



Building a Mammalian Retina: An Eye on Chromatin Structure

Marwa Daghsni¹ and Issam Aldiri^{1,2,3}*

¹Department of Ophthalmology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, ²Department of Developmental Biology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, ³Louis J. Fox Center for Vision Restoration, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States

Regulation of gene expression by chromatin structure has been under intensive investigation, establishing nuclear organization and genome architecture as a potent and effective means of regulating developmental processes. The substantial growth in our knowledge of the molecular mechanisms underlying retinogenesis has been powered by several genome-wide based tools that mapped chromatin organization at multiple cellular and biochemical levels. Studies profiling the retinal epigenome and transcriptome have allowed the systematic annotation of putative cis-regulatory elements associated with transcriptional programs that drive retinal neural differentiation, laying the groundwork to understand spatiotemporal retinal gene regulation at a mechanistic level. In this review, we outline recent advances in our understanding of the chromatin architecture in the mammalian retina during development and disease. We focus on the emerging roles of non-coding regulatory elements in controlling retinal cell-type specific transcriptional programs, and discuss potential implications in untangling the etiology of eye-related disorders.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Veniamin Fishman, Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Russia

Reviewed by:

Vikki Weake, Purdue University, United States Sui Wang, Stanford University, United States

*Correspondence:

lssam Aldiri aldirii@pitt.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Epigenomics and Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 13 September 2021 Accepted: 08 October 2021 Published: 25 October 2021

Citation:

Daghsni M and Aldiri I (2021) Building a Mammalian Retina: An Eye on Chromatin Structure. Front. Genet. 12:775205. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.775205 Keywords: progenitors, retina, epigenetics, enhancers, histones, genome organization, neurogenesis, cell fate

RETINAL DEVELOPMENT

The retina has been an excellent system to study neurogenesis, due to its simplified anatomical structure, accessibility and well-defined cell types (Agathocleous and Harris, 2009; Demb and Singer, 2015). The vertebrate mature retina contains seven morphologically and functionally distinct cell types, including six types of neurons (ganglion cells, amacrines, bipolars, horizontal cells, and rod and cone photoreceptors) and one type of glia, the Müller glia (**Figure 1**) (Cepko et al., 1996). Retinal cells are organized into three layers (outer nuclear layer, inner nuclear layer and ganglion cell layer) interconnected by two synaptic layers that facilitate processing of visual signals (**Figure 1**) (Fisher, 1979). The visual pathway initiates by the response of the photoreceptors to a light stimulus, transducing it into action potentials that propagate to the retinal interneurons (horizontal, bipolar and amacrine cells) and ganglion cells. Eventually the visual input is relayed to the brain through retinal ganglion cell axons that collectively form the optic nerve.

Retinal differentiation initiates when multipotent retinal progenitor cells (RPCs) exit the cell cycle and differentiate into neurons or glia in a temporally conserved order under the control of gene regulatory networks and signaling pathways (**Figure 1**) (Wetts and Fraser, 1988; Turner et al., 1990; Agathocleous and Harris, 2009). Early retinal development is coordinated by a group of transcription factors (Rax, Otx2, Pax6, Six3, Lhx2, Vsx2 and other) that specifies the eye field within the developing forebrain, promotes retinal proliferation and primes RPCs for subsequent neural differentiation (Zuber et al., 2003). Mutations in many of these genes underlie severe retinal developmental

1



disorders, as observed in microphthalmia (small eye), anophthalmia (absence of the eye), and coloboma (failure in optic fissure closure) cases (Slavotinek, 2011; Reis and Semina, 2015).

Unlike development in the mammalian cortex, retinal cell types are born in waves during which the periods of neuron generation overlap considerably (**Figure 1**) (Marquardt and Gruss, 2002). Hence, retinal cell types are often classified into early born cell types (ganglion cells, cones, amacrine and horizontal cells) and late born cell types (rods, bipolar cells and Muiller glia) (Ohsawa and Kageyama, 2008). Experimental evidence suggests that the ability of retinal progenitors to produce different cell types (competence) changes as development progresses: early progenitors generate early born cell types while late progenitors produce late born cell types (Livesey and Cepko, 2001; Hafler et al., 2012).

The mechanisms that determine RPC competence are rooted in the ability of progenitor cells to integrate signaling pathways and the activities of complex networks of transcription factors (TFs) that drive cell fate decisions at the genomic level (Livesey and Cepko, 2001; Agathocleous and Harris, 2009). Chromatin regulation allows interpretation of identical genomes in a variety of ways, leading to cell type specific transcriptional outputs (Soshnev et al., 2016). Hence, chromatin architecture of the developing retina has been intensively studied, resulting in a wealth of information on transcriptional programs influenced by chromatin regulation during retinogenesis.

Chromatin regulators and retinal lineage-specific programs.

Nuclear DNA is wrapped around a disc of highly conserved proteins (histones) to form the nucleosome, the basic unit of chromatin. Histones are classified into core histones (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4), the principal components of the nucleosome, and linker histones (H1), which bind the nucleosome at the cross point of DNA entry/exit sites (Luger et al., 1997; Vignali and Workman, 1998). Accessibility to DNA requires nucleosome mobilization, which is mediated by large complexes that utilize ATP hydrolysis in the process (Wilson and Roberts, 2011; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015; Centore et al., 2020). The structural changes in chromatin are often associated with deposition and/or removal of chemical modifications on histone tails, facilitated by distinct multimeric complexes with enzymatic activity (Soshnev et al., 2016; Villasenor and Baubec, 2021).

Given the association between chromatin pathways and regulation of gene expression, genetic studies have focused on investigating the roles of chromatin remodelers and histone modifying complexes during retinal development, a topic that has been reviewed recently (Corso-Diaz et al., 2018; Raeisossadati et al., 2021). Briefly, these studies revealed that chromatin regulators influence retinal progenitor proliferation and cell fate determination in a context-dependent manner. For instance, multiple studies investigated the effect of loss of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), which catalyzes the addition of the repressive mark H3K27me3, on retinal development (Aldiri and Vetter, 2009; Aldiri et al., 2013; Iida et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2018; Fujimura et al., 2018). Mutations in the PRC2 core subunits Ezh2 or Eed lead to reduced retinal proliferation and alteration in neuronal cell fate, particularly amacrine cells, and glia formation (Iida et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Fujimura et al., 2018). In the postnatal retina, loss of PRC2 function caused photoreceptor degeneration, mediated by a de-repression of the PRC2 targets Six1 and Eya2 (Yan et al., 2016). Meanwhile, perturbation of H3K27me3 removal by knocking down the H3K27me3 demethylase Jmjd3 impacts retinal bipolar cell formation (Iida et al., 2014). Cell-type specific alterations were also observed when MLL, the core subunit of a complex required for mono- and di-methylation of H3K4, was mutated during retinal

development. Here, a conditional knockout of MLL impacts retinal proliferation and leads to a progressive loss of horizontal cells in the differentiating retina (Brightman et al., 2018). These examples highlight how chromatin modifying enzymes control multiple aspects of retinal development.

The function of chromatin remodelers that govern nucleosome mobilization has been investigated as well (Das et al., 2007; Lamba et al., 2008; Aldiri et al., 2015). For instance, evidence indicates that Brg1, a core subunit of the SWI/SNF complex, is required for retinal proliferation and photoreceptor differentiation (Aldiri et al., 2015). The effect of Brg1 is likely mediated by its ability to influence the chromatin landscape near actively transcribed cell-type specific genes, as Brg1 predominantly occupies active cis-regulatory elements in the retina, and previous work demonstrated that Brg1 binds transcription factors that drive neurogenesis such as Pax6 and NeuroD1 (Seo et al., 2005; Ninkovic et al., 2013). Additionally, work on cell lines suggests that activities of the enhancer landscape of lineage specification genes is sensitive to the loss of SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes (Aldiri et al., 2015; Alver et al., 2017).

Chromatin-associated complexes can change subunit composition during development, indicative of cell-typespecific roles (Lessard et al., 2007). Indeed, several auxiliary subunits of chromatin regulator complexes are expressed in a stage-specific manner during retinal development but the exact molecular and cellular phenotypes resulting from mutating these proteins during retinogenesis remains to be explored (Lamba et al., 2008; Aldiri et al., 2015).

More recently, chromosome confirmation capture (3C) techniques revealed that manipulation of chromatin regulators such as SWI/SNF and the polycomb repressive complexes can lead to changes in compartment-level chromatin organization (Schoenfelder et al., 2015; Barutcu et al., 2016; Cruz-Molina et al., 2017). These intriguing findings link regulation of gene expression with 3D chromatin architecture *via* activities of chromatin regulators, a function yet to be explored in the retina.

EPIGENETIC LANDSCAPE DYNAMICS DURING RETINOGENESIS

Genome-wide profiling of histone marks and chromatin associated proteins greatly facilitated the in depth probing of chromatin signature dynamics during developmental stages of mouse and human retina, revealing non-random genomic localization of histone marks and association with gene expression (Popova et al., 2012; Mo et al., 2016; Ueno et al., 2016; Aldiri et al., 2017). In progenitor cells, differentiation genes are poised (H3K27me3-occupied) toward activation and as retinal development proceeds, H3K27me3 is lost and cell type specific genes are expressed (Ueno et al., 2016; Aldiri et al., 2017). Interestingly, the accumulation of H3K27me3 on progenitor genes in differentiated neurons is not as common (Aldiri et al., 2017).

The retinal enhancer landscape exhibits exquisite reconfiguration concomitant with changes in gene expression

during retinal developmental transitions: whereas cis-regulatory elements of progenitor genes lose their activities, enhancers targeting differentiation genes are gradually activated (Aldiri et al., 2017). Mechanisms of enhancer potentiation have been the focus of many studies. Current models suggest that priming enhancers for activation during embryonic development can be achieved by a cooperative binding of lineage-specific TFs or by the deployment of a unique set of TFs, termed pioneer factors, that have the ability to bind closed chromatin and facilitate the recruitment of chromatin regulators and lineage-specific TFs and co-factors (Zaret and Carroll, 2011; Drouin, 2014). Retinal pioneer TFs remain poorly characterized but recent genomic data begins to shed light on their roles. For instance, a study examining the genomic profiling of the RPC gene LHX2 reveals global and local reduction of LHX2-bound chromatin accessible sites upon loss of Lhx2, including regulatory regions nearby TFs with potential pioneer function, suggesting that LHX2 functions as a pioneer factor in the developing retina (Zibetti et al., 2019). In another work, analysis of the regulatory elements bound by the photoreceptor differentiation transcription factor Crx in wild type and Crx-mutant retina in mice indicates a limited ability of CRX to remodel chromatin and points toward a cooperative TF binding module in promoting photoreceptor cell fate (Ruzycki et al., 2018). Thus, priming the retinal enhancer landscape during developmental transitions and cell fate choices likely involves multiple mechanisms and is highly context specific.

Mechanisms of enhancer-mediated transcriptional control of genes with multiphasic expression during retinogenesis are particularly interesting, and underscore the complexity of gene regulation. For instance, the transcription factor Sox2 is expressed in RPCs and is confined to amacrine cells and Müller glia in adult retina (Taranova et al., 2006). In principle, such a complex temporal and spatial expression pattern can occur via recruitment of stage- and cell-type specific TFs and/or by the utilization of cell-type exclusive enhancers. Retina-specific enhancer elements with temporally restricted activities have been identified as the case with those nearby Otx2, a transcription factor expressed in a subset of progenitor cells and marks bipolar cells and photoreceptors (Emerson and Cepko, 2011; Kaufman et al., 2021). Notably, Sox2 chromatin architecture has been studied given its essential roles in maintaining stem cell pluripotency, revealing a complex regulatory landscape with multiple putative enhancer elements, including stem cell-specific regulatory constituents that are essential for Sox2 expression (Li et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014; Bonev et al., 2017).

Interestingly, downregulation of Sox2 in rod photoreceptors is accompanied by site-specific deposition of the repressive histone mark H3K27me3 (Norrie et al., 2019). Whereas Sox2 coding region and nearby enhancers are occupied by H3K27me3, Sox2regulatory elements that are hundreds of base pairs away holds limited levels. This implies that not all regulatory elements are created equally and underscores a locus-specific utilization of repressive mechanisms on enhancer elements. Florescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) performed on rod nuclei indicates that while Sox2 coding region is located in euchromatin, its longrange putative enhancers reside in heterochromatin, thus likely inaccessible to the action of repressive complexes (Norrie et al., 2019). These data are in agreement with the finding that rod photoreceptors render a substantial fraction of vestigial regulatory elements (enhancers that used to be active in earlier stages of retinogenesis) inaccessible to repression mediated by DNA methyltransferase (Mo et al., 2016).

Diverse histone marks tend to co-exist, leading to an excessive number of possible combinatorial readouts and renders interpretation of epigenomic maps challenging. To facilitate a better understanding to the biological roles of combinations of histone marks and chromatin associated proteins, a computational modeling that utilizes machine learning algorithms (ChromHMM) was developed to distinguish groups (states) of co-occurring chromatin marks across the genome (Ernst and Kellis, 2010; 2012). Applying this method to ChIP-Seq data generated from mouse and human developing retina led to the identification of several chromatin states that capture known genomic elements such as active promoters and enhancers, insulators and repressed regions (Aldiri et al., 2017). ChromHMM analysis was also informative in exploring prevailing chromatin states in retinoblastoma and retinal organoids (Hiler et al., 2015; Aldiri et al., 2017). Later, a computational work that integrates retinal chromatin states and 3D FISH imaging successfully predicted genome-wide euchromatin and heterochromatin compartmentalization in the mouse retina (Norrie et al., 2019).

Mapping of the epigenomic marks and regions of chromatin accessibility has emerged as a powerful tool to annotate retinal putative regulatory elements, particularly enhancers (Wilken et al., 2015; Aldiri et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Cherry et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020). Given the essential roles of enhancer elements in controlling cell type specific differentiation programs during retinogenesis, we will discuss recent progress in the field and highlight examples related to the gene regulatory networks controlling retinal cell fate choices.

DISCOVERY OF RETINAL ENHANCERS

Enhancers are stretches of non-coding DNA elements that spatially and temporally regulate transcription by acting as platforms to recruit transcription factors and transcriptional machinery, irrespective of sequence orientation (Gasperini et al., 2020). Enhancers are the main source for communication between chromatin and the environment as they contain motifs that can bind transcription factors and recruit effectors of signaling pathways (Long et al., 2016). Biochemically, enhancers are characterized by occupancy of active histone marks (i.e., H3K27ac and/or H3K4me1) and chromatin-associated proteins (i.e., p300), overlaying areas of open chromatin (Visel et al., 2009; Creyghton et al., 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011; Thurman et al., 2012). Interestingly, while H3K27Ac has been widely validated as a hallmark for active enhancers in the animal kingdom, association of H3K27Ac deposition with active regulatory elements in plants appears species-specific (Yan et al., 2019).

Recent advances in techniques that map 3D genome organization demonstrated that enhancers may act over long genomic distances, *via* looping, to contact their cognate gene promoters in 3D space (Li et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2016; Mumbach et al., 2016). The prevailing model is that a promoter-enhancer interaction mediates activation of gene expression by bringing transcription factors and transcription machinery into promoter proximity (Robson et al., 2019). However, whether promoterenhancer physical contact is a universal prerequisite mechanism for gene activation is not firmly established (Chen et al., 2018; Benabdallah et al., 2019; Crump et al., 2021). There are hundreds of thousands of putative regulatory elements in the human genome, far in excess of number of genes, underscoring the complexity of enhancer function in organ development and homeostasis.

Classically, strategies to pinpoint cell-type specific cisregulatory elements in the developing retina have exploited DNA conservation and enrichment of lineage-specific transcription factor motifs coupled with *in vivo* screening for enhancer activities. This method was successful in the identification of numerous distal regulatory elements near genes essential for retinal development and cell-type specification such as Vsx2 and Grm6 (bipolar cells), Nrl, Otx2 and Prdm1 (photoreceptors), Atoh7 (ganglion cells), Onecut1 and Thrb (cones/horizontal cells), and Pax6 (RPCs, amacrine cells), among others (Kleinjan et al., 2004; Rowan and Cepko, 2005; Riesenberg et al., 2009; Willardsen et al., 2009; Emerson and Cepko, 2011; Kautzmann et al., 2011; Emerson et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2017; Goodson et al., 2020; Patoori et al., 2020).

Comparative genomics employing convergent evolution were also useful in identifying and characterizing putative retinal enhancer elements (Kvon et al., 2016; Partha et al., 2017; Roscito et al., 2018). The logic behind this interesting method is that regulatory elements that are essential for vision are under evolutionarily constraints to preserve visual structures and functions. In animals where vision is regressed, such as subterranean mammals, vision-related regulatory regions and/ or their target genes undergo accelerated mutation rate and suffer sequence divergence due to relaxed evolutionally constraints, thus revealing DNA sequences potentially essential for development of optical structures. Such a strategy was employed to investigate enhancer elements in the grounddwelling moles, leading to the identification of several retinaspecific regulatory regions associated with vision deterioration, including those nearby Pax6 (Partha et al., 2017). Still, not all regulatory elements are conserved at the DNA level, and many highly conserved enhancers lack in vivo activities in transgenic assays (Pennacchio et al., 2013). Thus, complementary approaches to profile the cis-regulome remain essential to elucidate enhancer structure and function.

With the broad availability of next generation sequencing platforms, profiling chromatin structure in the developing retina has taken a momentum, facilitating the discovery of genome wide putative distal enhancers with a relative ease. Taking advantage of transcription factors occupancy as a proxy to the identification of distal enhancer regions, numerous transcription factors involved in retinal cell fate choices have been surveyed using ChIP-Seq and, more recently, CUT and RUN, including OTX2, ATOH7, NRL, CRX, MEF2D, RORB and LHX2 (Corbo et al., 2010; Swaroop et al., 2010; Samuel et al., 2014; Andzelm et al., 2015; Zibetti et al., 2019; Cherry et al., 2020; Brodie-Kommit et al., 2021). Likewise, histone modifications associated with active promoters and enhancers have been extensively charted in the developing retina, and hundreds of cis-regulatory elements have been catalogued (Popova et al., 2012; Wilken et al., 2015; Aldiri et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2020). More recently, a transcriptional profiling of non-coding RNAs, often transcribed from active enhancer regions, was performed to delineate cone and rod regulatory elements in wild type and Nrl mutant mice (Perez-Cervantes et al., 2020).

Chromatin accessibility has become a popular method to identify cis-regulatory elements. Studies on bulk tissues from human and murine developing retina revealed temporal dynamics in chromatin accessibility associated with changes in gene expression during retinogenesis. Earlier work utilized DNaseI hypersensitivity (DHS) to profile the mouse developing retina, leading to the identification of developmentally regulated enhancer elements near the transcription factors Neurog2, Otx2 and Olig2, (Wilken et al., 2015). By far, assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with sequencing (ATAC-Seq) has become the most common technique used to profile regulatory elements, revealing enhancer landscape dynamics in the mouse and human developing retina (Mo et al., 2016; Aldiri et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2017; Cherry et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020). As retinal organoids become a powerful method to investigate and model retinal development and disease (Eiraku et al., 2011; Volkner et al., 2016), ATAC-Seq was used to demonstrate a high temporal correlation of regulatory landscape dynamics in retinal organoids and human fetal retina, further validating retinal organoids as a robust model to study human retina (Xie et al., 2020).

To date, most of the studies surveying retinal open chromatin regions used bulk tissues as input, which renders the determination of cell type specific deployment of regulatory elements in rare retinal cell types challenging. To overcome this limitation, ATAC-Seq, and sometimes ChIP-Seq, experiments have been performed on purified cells from transgenic mice carrying cell type-specific reporter genes, and as a result, epigenomic data from enriched rods, cones, bipolar cells and Müller glia are now available (Mo et al., 2016; Ueno et al., 2016; Hughes et al., 2017; Ueno et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2019; VandenBosch et al., 2020).

The advent of single cell technologies, methods that circumvent heterogeneity and allow the investigation of rare cell populations at high resolution, has revolutionized the field, and a large cohort of studies focusing on surveying the adult and developing retinal single cell transcriptome has been performed (Clark et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2019; Menon et al., 2019; Cherry et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Sridhar et al., 2020; Brodie-Kommit et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2021). Still, matching studies that investigate retinal chromatin accessibility dynamics at the single cell resolution remain limited (Xie et al., 2020). With recent technical advances that enable the simultaneous profiling of transcriptome and epigenome from the same cells, it is almost certain that work is underway to accurately outlining the epigenome dynamics in relation to gene expression in retinal cell populations (Kashima et al., 2020; Weir et al., 2021).

FUNCTIONAL VALIDATION OF RETINAL ENHANCERS

Genome-wide analysis to delineate putative regulatory elements is a robust method to infer enhancer activities but not without limitations (Halfon, 2019). With the wealth of information available on the genomic location of predicted retinal enhancers, derived primarily by biochemical annotations and computational methods, in vivo experimental characterization of those elements remains necessary to validate their functions. In theory, a regulatory element should recapitulate its cognate gene's spatial and temporal expression pattern, and when mutated should lead to alteration in gene expression. A large body of work has been directed toward investigating enhancer activities in the retina using reporter assays, which test the ability of a candidate enhancer sequence to activate a reporter gene (i.e., GFP, LacZ and luciferase). Electroporation of the mouse developing retina has been the main method for construct introduction into the retina, testing enhancer activity one element at a time (Montana et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Goodson et al., 2020; Kaufman et al., 2021). In vivo transgenesis using mouse, zebrafish and the frog xenopus was also used (Hutcheson et al., 2005; Ghiasvand et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2017; Bhansali et al., 2020; Kaufman et al., 2021). High throughput strategies to interrogate the activities of retinal enhancers has been explored as well. In one such a study, massively parallel reporter assay (MPRA) was used to investigate photoreceptor cis-regulatory elements bound by CRX (White et al., 2013).

CRISPR-based genome editing technology has tremendously facilitated testing the function of retinal enhancers in vivo by providing a venue to efficiently delete non-coding regions with precision (Osterwalder et al., 2018). Emerging studies on enhancer elements nearby Vsx2, Otx2 and Prdm1 in retinal explants and mouse knockouts uncovered lineage- and stagespecific regulatory elements important for photoreceptor and bipolar cell fates (Wang et al., 2014; Norrie et al., 2019; Chan et al., 2020; Goodson et al., 2020; Kaufman et al., 2021). Still, whether an enhancer is required for the expression of its target gene remains challenging to address given the complex nature of the chromatin landscape. Enhancers may regulate the expression of a single target (i.e., a single or many enhancers, one target gene) or acting promiscuously on multiple genes (i.e., one enhancer, multiple target genes). As such, in vivo perturbations of regulatory elements, especially those nearby functionally important genes, may result in no molecular or cellular consequences, likely due to enhancer redundancy (Kurokawa et al., 2004; Osterwalder et al., 2018). Additionally, an enhancer may govern the expression of gene(s) broadly expressed in multiple tissues during embryogenesis, leading to pleiotropic effects and/or embryonic lethality upon loss of enhancer function. Still, enhancer deletion assays remain an important tool to reveal molecular mechanism underlying biological functions of enhancer landscape.

Super enhancers and retinal cell type specific programs

Developmentally critical transcription factors are often marked with strong enhancers to drive and/or maintain robust expression. Work on embryonic stem cells defined a subclass of regulatory elements, termed super-enhancers (SEs), that are selectively enriched near genes important for stem cell identity (Whyte et al., 2013). Super-enhancers tend to span large genomic regions and are strongly enriched in mediator complex and transcription factors, particularly those driving lineage-specific programs (Parker et al., 2013; Adam et al., 2015). The importance of SE size is not clear, but it was proposed that strong H3K27Ac occupancy that demarcates these regulatory clusters weakens DNA-histone interactions, thus exposing DNA to transcription factors (Parker et al., 2013). Evidence suggests that SEs drive high levels of transcriptional activity and are particularly sensitive to perturbations (Hnisz et al., 2013; Loven et al., 2013; Whyte et al., 2013; Bahr et al., 2018). Recent studies propose that transcription factors, activators and co-activators occupying SEs form condensates with liquid-phase separation properties (Hnisz et al., 2017; Boija et al., 2018; Sabari et al., 2018). However, the functional significance of SEs and whether a super-enhancer constitutes a single functional unit of cooperating regulatory clusters or a mere stretch of aggregated enhancers remains unclear (Pott and Lieb, 2015; Moorthy et al., 2017).

Given the emerging interest in SEs roles in regulating tissuespecific gene expression, dynamically regulated SEs in mouse and human developing retina have been annotated (Aldiri et al., 2017). Studies that functionally investigate SEs in the retina remain limited but available data suggest central roles in driving retinal cell fate choices. For example, a large deletion (35 kb) in an area that overlaps a super-enhancer nearby Vsx2 caused a complete loss of retinal bipolar cells, while proliferation appears to proceed normally (Norrie et al., 2019). This regulatory region contains conserved elements that can drive reporter expression in RPCs, Müller glia and bipolar cells (Rowan and Cepko, 2004; Kim et al., 2008), and a recent study demonstrated that knocking down of a smaller portion of the Vsx2 SE also impacts bipolar cell differentiation (Goodson et al., 2020). Thus, while the concept of super-enhancers is appealing, detailed functional studies are needed to elucidate the exact biological roles of SEs and their constituents in promoting retinal cell fate acquisitions.

RETINAL ENHANCEROPATHIES

Defining cis-regulatory elements is crucial to understand disease mechanisms, as variations in DNA sequences linked to inherited human disorders often lie in non-coding regions (Chatterjee and Ahituv, 2017). Retinal diseases associated with alterations in regulatory landscape have been reported but only in a handful of cases has a causative link been suggested. A clear example illustrating a direct role of regulatory elements in inherited retinal disorders comes from studies on patients with nonsyndromic congenital retinal nonattachment (NCRNA), an autosomal recessive retinal disease characterized by congenital blindness due to loss of RGCs and optic nerve atrophy (Keser et al., 2017). A deletion in a non-coding DNA region 20 kb upstream of the proneural bHLH transcription factor ATOH7 has been linked to the disease (Ghiasvand et al., 2011). Transgenic reporter assays in mouse and zebrafish demonstrated that this non-coding element has developmental activities that matched the spatiotemporal expression of Atoh7, suggesting that it acts as an enhancer element for Atoh7 (Ghiasvand et al., 2011). Subsequent studies identified pathogenic mutations in the ATOH7 coding region itself, further linking NCRNA to misregulation of Atoh7 (Keser et al., 2017; Kondo et al., 2018). Surprisingly, deleting the orthologous murine enhancer region does not recapitulate the disease phenotype, suggesting a differential biological significance of mouse and human Atoh7 enhancer landscape (Miesfeld et al., 2020). Other examples that identified variations in enhancer elements with links to ocular disorders include those nearby Pax6 (aniridia) and Samd7 (retinitis pigmentosa) (Bhatia et al., 2013; Van Schil et al., 2016).

Global alterations in retinal enhancer landscape have been observed in patients with retinal degenerative diseases. A recent study profiled the genome-wide chromatin accessibility in patients with dry age-related macular degeneration (AMD), a disease characterized by a progressive loss of photoreceptors, and revealed a genome-wide quantitative reduction in chromatin accessibility associated with advanced stages of the disease, particularly in the macular region (Wang et al., 2018). Of note, the genomic regions that recruit gene regulatory networks controlling photoreceptor gene expression seems to be most impacted in those patients (Wang et al., 2018).

Retinal diseases can be associated with genomic rearrangements that lead to the formation of a *de novo* regulatory landscape, causing gene deregulation. In one such instance, a cohort of patients with autosomal-dominant retinitis pigmentosa suffered a structural rearrangement that led to a repositioning of retina-specific regulatory landscape nearby GDPD1, a gene involved in lipid metabolism. The ectopic activation of GDPD1 driven by the newly created enhancer region likely leads to de-regulation of lipid metabolism, an essential process for phototransduction (de Bruijn et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2021). This work demonstrates how recent advances in surveying 3D genome organization can facilitate the discovery of molecular mechanisms underlying retinal diseases.

Retinal 3D Nuclear Organization and High Order Chromatin

Thanks to the rapid development of 3C techniques, the mammalian 3D genome conformation has been profiled at high resolution, illuminating that chromatin is organized into compartments in which multiple levels of DNA-DNA preferential interactions exist (Dixon et al., 2012; Lieberman-Aiden et al., 2009). At the chromosome level, transcriptionally active and inactive regions are spatially segregated into very large genomic regions, called compartments A and B, respectively (Lieberman-Aiden et al., 2009). Within each compartment distinct territories, the topologically associated domains



(TADs), exist in which promoter-enhancer contacts are heavily constrained (Dixon et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2014). How hierarchical genomic folding is formed and maintained is under intensive investigation, but evidence points toward a major role of the transcription factor CTCF (Merkenschlager and Nora, 2016; Nora et al., 2012). Current models propose that genomic contacts are established *via* loop formation that involves homo-dimerization of CTCF at the loop anchors (**Figure 2**) (Rao et al., 2014; Hnisz et al., 2016; Weintraub et al., 2017). These interactions are further stabilized by a cohesin complex that forms a ring around the loop anchor region (Kagey et al., 2011; Hnisz et al., 2016; Merkenschlager and Nora, 2016; Rao et al., 2017; Weintraub et al., 2017). The orientation of CTCF binding seems to be important for the proper formation of the loop (de Wit et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2015).

Research investigating high order chromatin of the developing retina remains limited, and available data is primarily collected from bulk mouse retina and purified rod photoreceptors (Falk et al., 2019; Norrie et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2019). Overall, retina hierarchical genomic organization is similar to what has been reported in other tissues, including in the cortex, and developmental transitions of compartments A and B correlated well with retinal chromatin signature (Dixon et al., 2012; Norrie et al., 2019). However, while the number of TADs remain relatively constant as neural progenitors differentiate into cortical neurons, rod photoreceptors have significantly more TADs than in RPCs or cortical neurons, presumably due to the compact nature of rod nuclei (Bonev et al., 2017; Norrie et al., 2019).

Genomic technologies have enabled the identification and cataloging of putative regulatory elements yet defining their cognate genes remains challenging (Buecker and Wysocka, 2012; de Laat and Duboule, 2013). Promoter-enhancer contacts are generally difficult to identify using Hi-C due to resolution limitations but work on developing neural tissues captured the dynamics of several prominent interactions associated with genes important for neurogenesis (Bonev et al., 2017; Norrie et al., 2019). This is illustrated by Sox2 locus, where changes in the Sox2 expression during cortical and retinal differentiation is associated with re-wiring of longs-range contacts between Sox2 promoter and regulatory elements hundreds of kilobases away (Bonev et al., 2017; Norrie et al., 2019).

It is now broadly accepted that enhancers can act over great genomic distances, via CTCF-mediated looping, to regulate promoter activities, bypassing proximally located genes (Schoenfelder and Fraser, 2019). The specific roles of CTCF in retinal differentiation remain unclear but early studies on chick retina suggest regulatory functions associated with Pax6 (Li et al., 2006). CTCF is essential for proper retinal formation as loss of CTCF expression in the murine developing retina leads to massive cell death (Watson et al., 2014). The genome wide occupancy of CTCF in the developing retina has been profiled, revealing constitutive and dynamic CTCF occupancy across retinal genome during retinogenesis (Aldiri et al., 2017). Interestingly, work on retinal organoids suggest that maintaining a robust CTCF binding memory in stem cells reprogrammed from rod photoreceptors is important for efficient differentiation of retinal organoids (Hiler et al., 2015). Still, evidence from stem cells indicates that global loss of chromatin loops has a minimal effect on gene expression (Zuin et al., 2014; Rao et al., 2017). Thus the retina-specific roles of CTCF likely reflect gene-specific regulatory functions independent of 3D genome structure, although more work is needed to examine this idea.

Inverted Nuclear Architecture in Mouse Rod Photoreceptors

The chromatin spatial architecture is commonly shared among animal nuclei, where inactive heterochromatin is preferentially sequestered to the nuclear periphery while active euchromatin occupies the nuclear interior (Holla et al., 2020; Solovei et al., 2016). The structure of rod photoreceptor nuclei in nocturnal animals has deviated from this organization: heterochromatin is densely concentrated in the nuclear center while euchromatin occupies the outer edges (**Figure 2**) (Solovei et al., 2009). Data suggest that the inverted nuclear arrangement in rods reduces light scattering, effectively converting the nuclei into micro-lenses that enhance vision in dim light conditions (Solovei et al., 2009; Solovei et al., 2013; Subramanian et al., 2019). As such, this inverted nuclear structure in rods represents a clear example of how 3D nuclear architecture may directly influence a physiological function. Still, inverted nuclei structure is also observed in other cell types such as olfactory sensory neurons and neutrophils but the exact biological purpose of this organization in these cells is not clear (Clowney et al., 2012; Solovei et al., 2013).

Despite the stark structural differences between inverted and conventional nuclei, Hi-C data indicate that the hierarchical chromatin compartmentalization is qualitatively similar (Falk et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2019). Additionally, studies integrating Hi-C experiments with computational modeling suggest that the spatial partitioning of heterochromatin and euchromatin in both conventional and inverted nuclei is mediated by liquid-phase separation dynamics, driven primarily by heterochromatin interactions (Falk et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2019).

The establishment of inverted nuclei occurs during rod photoreceptors terminal differentiation and is completed by postnatal day 28 in mice (Solovei et al., 2009). During this process, rod precursor nuclei experience morphological reorganization where chromocenters gradually dissociate from the nuclear periphery and coalesce centrally (Solovei et al., 2009). At the molecular level, nuclear inversion is correlated with loss of LBR and Lamin A/C, proteins essential for tethering heterochromatin to the nuclear periphery (Clowney et al., 2012; Solovei et al., 2013). The molecular mechanism involving downregulation of laminaassociated proteins during rod differentiation has not been fully explored but preliminary evidence suggests a role for the transcription factor Casz1 in association with polycomb proteins in repressing Lamin A (Mattar et al., 2018). Casz1 is also expressed in cone photoreceptors and does not seem to regulate LBR expression (Mattar et al., 2018). Thus, it is likely that repression of lamina-associated proteins in differentiating rods involves other rod-specific transcription factors (Hughes et al., 2017; Mattar et al., 2018). Interestingly, while loss of LBR can alter the nuclear structure, it does not affect global gene expression (Solovei et al., 2013; Norrie et al., 2019).

REFERENCES

- Adam, R. C., Yang, H., Rockowitz, S., Larsen, S. B., Nikolova, M., Oristian, D. S., et al. (2015). Pioneer Factors Govern Super-enhancer Dynamics in Stem Cell Plasticity and Lineage Choice. *Nature* 521, 366–370. doi:10.1038/nature14289
- Agathocleous, M., and Harris, W. A. (2009). From Progenitors to Differentiated Cells in the Vertebrate Retina. Annu. Rev. Cel Dev. Biol. 25, 45–69. doi:10.1146/ annurev.cellbio.042308.113259

CONCLUDING MARKS

Genomic studies thus far have provided insights into modulation of retinal development by chromatin structure, yet the field is still in its infancy and a tremendous amount of work is needed to gain a comprehensive understanding on how epigenetics shape retinal development and are associated with retinal diseases. As sequencing technologies and computational analyses continue to rapidly evolve, it is likely that more high resolution data from retinal cell types will be available in the near future.

What are the long-range interactions that occur among cisregulatory elements during retinal development and how essential are they to retinal development and homeostasis? Are these interactions disrupted in ocular diseases? If so in what way? What are the factors that govern nuclear organization in retinal neurons? How does nuclear architecture influence gene expression during retinal cell type specification? Do liquidphase separation properties of nuclear compartments influence retinal transcriptional programs? These are some of the outstanding questions that are likely to help elucidating how chromatin influence transcriptional regulation in the retina.

Animal models have been immensely valuable in understanding molecular mechanisms underlying human biology and diseases but more studies investigating chromatin structure in human native and diseased retina are needed. This is particularly important to advance therapeutic strategies aiming at stimulating regeneration and/or preventing degeneration in the mammalian retina.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by fund from the Research to Prevent Blindness (RPB) career development award, NIH R01 (EY030861-01A1) and a University of Pittsburgh start up for IA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Jeff Gross for his comments on this manuscript.

- Aldiri, I., Ajioka, I., Xu, B., Zhang, J., Chen, X., Benavente, C., et al. (2015). Brg1 Coordinates Multiple Processes During Retinogenesis and Is a Tumor Suppressor in Retinoblastoma. *Development* 142, 4092–4106. doi:10.1242/dev.124800
- Aldiri, I., Moore, K. B., Hutcheson, D. A., Zhang, J., and Vetter, M. L. (2013). Polycomb Repressive Complex PRC2 Regulates Xenopus Retina Development Downstream of Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling. *Development* 140, 2867–2878. doi:10.1242/dev.088096
- Aldiri, I., and Vetter, M. L. (2009). Characterization of the Expression Pattern of the PRC2 Core subunitSuz12during Embryonic Development ofXenopus Laevis. Dev. Dyn. 238, 3185–3192. doi:10.1002/dvdy.22120

- Aldiri, I., Xu, B., Wang, L., Chen, X., Hiler, D., Griffiths, L., et al. (2017). The Dynamic Epigenetic Landscape of the Retina During Development, Reprogramming, and Tumorigenesis. *Neuron* 94, 550–568. doi:10.1016/ j.neuron.2017.04.022
- Alver, B. H., Kim, K. H., Lu, P., Wang, X., Manchester, H. E., Wang, W., et al. (2017). The SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodelling Complex Is Required for Maintenance of Lineage Specific Enhancers. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14648. doi:10.1038/ncomms14648
- Andzelm, M. M., Cherry, T. J., Harmin, D. A., Boeke, A. C., Lee, C., Hemberg, M., et al. (2015). MEF2D Drives Photoreceptor Development Through a Genomewide Competition for Tissue-specific Enhancers. *Neuron* 86, 247–263. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.038
- Bahr, C., von Paleske, L., Uslu, V. V., Remeseiro, S., Takayama, N., Ng, S. W., et al. (2018). A Myc Enhancer Cluster Regulates Normal and Leukaemic Haematopoietic Stem Cell Hierarchies. *Nature* 553, 515–520. doi:10.1038/ nature25193
- Barutcu, A. R., Lajoie, B. R., Fritz, A. J., McCord, R. P., Nickerson, J. A., van Wijnen, A. J., et al. (2016). SMARCA4 Regulates Gene Expression and Higher-Order Chromatin Structure in Proliferating Mammary Epithelial Cells. *Genome Res.* 26, 1188–1201. doi:10.1101/gr.201624.115
- Benabdallah, N. S., Williamson, I., Illingworth, R. S., Kane, L., Boyle, S., Sengupta, D., et al. (2019). Decreased Enhancer-Promoter Proximity Accompanying Enhancer Activation. *Mol. Cel* 76, 473–484. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.07.038
- Bhansali, P., Cvekl, A., and Liu, W. (2020). A Distal Enhancer That Directs Otx2 Expression in the Retinal Pigment Epithelium and Neuroretina. *Dev. Dyn.* 249, 209–221. doi:10.1002/dvdy.127
- Bhatia, S., Bengani, H., Fish, M., Brown, A., Divizia, M. T., de Marco, R., et al. (2013). Disruption of Autoregulatory Feedback by a Mutation in a Remote, Ultraconserved PAX6 Enhancer Causes Aniridia. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 93, 1126–1134. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.10.028
- Boija, A., Klein, I. A., Sabari, B. R., Dall'Agnese, A., Coffey, E. L., Zamudio, A. V., et al. (2018). Transcription Factors Activate Genes Through the Phase-Separation Capacity of Their Activation Domains. *Cell* 175, 1842–1855. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.042
- Bonev, B., Mendelson Cohen, N., Szabo, Q., Fritsch, L., Papadopoulos, G. L., Lubling, Y., et al. (2017). Multiscale 3D Genome Rewiring During Mouse Neural Development. *Cell* 171, 557–572. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.043
- Brightman, D. S., Grant, R. L., Ruzycki, P. A., Suzuki, R., Hennig, A. K., and Chen, S. (2018). MLL1 Is Essential for Retinal Neurogenesis and Horizontal Inner Neuron Integrity. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 11902. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-30355-3
- Brodie-Kommit, J., Clark, B. S., Shi, Q., Shiau, F., Kim, D. W., Langel, J., et al. (2021). Atoh7-independent Specification of Retinal Ganglion Cell Identity. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabe4983. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abe4983
- Buecker, C., and Wysocka, J. (2012). Enhancers as Information Integration Hubs in Development: Lessons from Genomics. *Trends Genet.* 28, 276–284. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2012.02.008
- Centore, R. C., Sandoval, G. J., Soares, L. M. M., Kadoch, C., and Chan, H. M. (2020). Mammalian SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling Complexes: Emerging Mechanisms and Therapeutic Strategies. *Trends Genet.* 36, 936–950. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2020.07.011
- Cepko, C. L., Austin, C. P., Yang, X., Alexiades, M., and Ezzeddine, D. (1996). Cell Fate Determination in the Vertebrate Retina. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 93, 589–595. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.2.589
- Chan, C. S. K., Lonfat, N., Zhao, R., Davis, A. E., Li, L., Wu, M.-R., et al. (2020). Cell Type- and Stage-specific Expression of Otx2 Is Regulated by Multiple Transcription Factors and Cis-Regulatory Modules in the Retina. *Development* 147, dev187922. doi:10.1242/dev.187922
- Chatterjee, S., and Ahituv, N. (2017). Gene Regulatory Elements, Major Drivers of Human Disease. Annu. Rev. Genom. Hum. Genet. 18, 45–63. doi:10.1146/ annurev-genom-091416-035537
- Chen, H., Levo, M., Barinov, L., Fujioka, M., Jaynes, J. B., and Gregor, T. (2018). Dynamic Interplay Between Enhancer-Promoter Topology and Gene Activity. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 1296–1303. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0175-z
- Cheng, L., Wong, L. J., Yan, N., Han, R. C., Yu, H., Guo, C., et al. (2018). Ezh2 Does Not Mediate Retinal Ganglion Cell Homeostasis or Their Susceptibility to Injury. *Plos One* 13, e0191853. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0191853
- Cherry, T. J., Yang, M. G., Harmin, D. A., Tao, P., Timms, A. E., Bauwens, M., et al. (2020). Mapping the Cis-Regulatory Architecture of the Human Retina Reveals

Noncoding Genetic Variation in Disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 9001–9012. doi:10.1073/pnas.1922501117

- Clark, B. S., Stein-O'Brien, G. L., Shiau, F., Cannon, G. H., Davis-Marcisak, E., Sherman, T., et al. (2019). Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis of Retinal Development Identifies NFI Factors as Regulating Mitotic Exit and Late-Born Cell Specification. *Neuron* 102, 1111–1126. doi:10.1016/ j.neuron.2019.04.010
- Clowney, E. J., LeGros, M. A., Mosley, C. P., Clowney, F. G., Markenskoff-Papadimitriou, E. C., Myllys, M., et al. (2012). Nuclear Aggregation of Olfactory Receptor Genes Governs Their Monogenic Expression. *Cell* 151, 724–737. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.043
- Corbo, J. C., Lawrence, K. A., Karlstetter, M., Myers, C. A., Abdelaziz, M., Dirkes, W., et al. (2010). CRX ChIP-Seq Reveals the Cis-Regulatory Architecture of Mouse Photoreceptors. *Genome Res.* 20, 1512–1525. doi:10.1101/gr.109405.110
- Corso-Díaz, X., Jaeger, C., Chaitankar, V., and Swaroop, A. (2018). Epigenetic Control of Gene Regulation During Development and Disease: A View from the Retina. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* 65, 1–27. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2018.03.002
- Creyghton, M. P., Cheng, A. W., Welstead, G. G., Kooistra, T., Carey, B. W., Steine, E. J., et al. (2010). Histone H3K27ac Separates Active From Poised Enhancers and Predicts Developmental State. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 21931–21936. doi:10.1073/pnas.1016071107
- Crump, N. T., Ballabio, E., Godfrey, L., Thorne, R., Repapi, E., Kerry, J., et al. (2021). BET Inhibition Disrupts Transcription but Retains Enhancer-Promoter Contact. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 223. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20400-z
- Cruz-Molina, S., Respuela, P., Tebartz, C., Kolovos, P., Nikolic, M., Fueyo, R., et al. (2017). PRC2 Facilitates the Regulatory Topology Required for Poised Enhancer Function During Pluripotent Stem Cell Differentiation. *Cell Stem Cell* 20, 689–705. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2017.02.004
- Das, A. V., James, J., Bhattacharya, S., Imbalzano, A. N., Antony, M. L., Hegde, G., et al. (2007). SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling ATPase Brm Regulates the Differentiation of Early Retinal Stem Cells/progenitors by Influencing Brn3b Expression and Notch Signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 35187–35201. doi:10.1074/ jbc.M706742200
- de Bruijn, S. E., Fiorentino, A., Ottaviani, D., Fanucchi, S., Melo, U. S., Corral-Serrano, J. C., et al. (2020). Structural Variants Create New Topological-Associated Domains and Ectopic Retinal Enhancer-Gene Contact in Dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 107, 802–814. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.09.002
- de Laat, W., and Duboule, D. (2013). Topology of Mammalian Developmental Enhancers and Their Regulatory Landscapes. *Nature* 502, 499–506. doi:10.1038/nature12753
- Demb, J. B., and Singer, J. H. (2015). Functional Circuitry of the Retina. Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci. 1, 263–289. doi:10.1146/annurev-vision-082114-035334
- de Wit, E., Vos, E. S. M., Holwerda, S. J. B., Valdes-Quezada, C., Verstegen, M. J. A. M., Teunissen, H., et al. (2015). CTCF Binding Polarity Determines Chromatin Looping. *Mol. Cel* 60, 676–684. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.09.023
- Dixon, J. R., Selvaraj, S., Yue, F., Kim, A., Li, Y., Shen, Y., et al. (2012). Topological Domains in Mammalian Genomes Identified by Analysis of Chromatin Interactions. *Nature* 485, 376–380. doi:10.1038/nature11082
- Drouin, J. (2014). Minireview: Pioneer Transcription Factors in Cell Fate Specification. Mol. Endocrinol. 28, 989–998. doi:10.1210/me.2014-1084
- Eiraku, M., Takata, N., Ishibashi, H., Kawada, M., Sakakura, E., Okuda, S., et al. (2011). Self-organizing Optic-Cup Morphogenesis in Three-Dimensional Culture. *Nature* 472, 51–56. doi:10.1038/nature09941
- Emerson, M. M., and Cepko, C. L. (2011). Identification of a Retina-specific Otx2 Enhancer Element Active in Immature Developing Photoreceptors. *Dev. Biol.* 360, 241–255. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.09.012
- Emerson, M. M., Surzenko, N., Goetz, J. J., Trimarchi, J., and Cepko, C. L. (2013). Otx2 and Onecut1 Promote the Fates of Cone Photoreceptors and Horizontal Cells and Repress Rod Photoreceptors. *Dev. Cel* 26, 59–72. doi:10.1016/ j.devcel.2013.06.005
- Ernst, J., and Kellis, M. (2012). ChromHMM: Automating Chromatin-State Discovery and Characterization. Nat. Methods 9, 215–216. doi:10.1038/ nmeth.1906
- Ernst, J., and Kellis, M. (2010). Discovery and Characterization of Chromatin States for Systematic Annotation of the Human Genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28, 817–825. doi:10.1038/nbt.1662

- Falk, M., Feodorova, Y., Naumova, N., Imakaev, M., Lajoie, B. R., Leonhardt, H., et al. (2019). Heterochromatin Drives Compartmentalization of Inverted and Conventional Nuclei. *Nature* 570, 395–399. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1275-3
- Fang, R., Yu, M., Li, G., Chee, S., Liu, T., Schmitt, A. D., et al. (2016). Mapping of Long-Range Chromatin Interactions by Proximity Ligation-Assisted ChIP-Seq. *Cell Res* 26, 1345–1348. doi:10.1038/cr.2016.137
- Fang, W., Guo, C., and Wei, X. (2017). Rainbow Enhancers Regulate Restrictive Transcription in Teleost Green, Red, and Blue Cones. J. Neurosci. 37, 2834–2848. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3421-16.2017
- Fisher, L. J. (1979). Development of Synaptic Arrays in the Inner Plexiform Layer of Neonatal Mouse Retina. J. Comp. Neurol. 187, 359–372. doi:10.1002/ cne.901870207
- Fu, Z., Kern, T. S., Hellström, A., and Smith, L. E. H. (2021). Fatty Acid Oxidation and Photoreceptor Metabolic Needs. J. Lipid Res. 62, 100035. doi:10.1194/ jlr.TR120000618
- Fujimura, N., Kuzelova, A., Ebert, A., Strnad, H., Lachova, J., Machon, O., et al. (2018). Polycomb Repression Complex 2 Is Required for the Maintenance of Retinal Progenitor Cells and Balanced Retinal Differentiation. *Dev. Biol.* 433, 47–60. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.11.004
- Gasperini, M., Tome, J. M., and Shendure, J. (2020). Towards a Comprehensive Catalogue of Validated and Target-Linked Human Enhancers. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 21, 292–310. doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0209-0
- Ghiasvand, N. M., Rudolph, D. D., Mashayekhi, M., Brzezinski, J. A., Goldman, D., and Glaser, T. (2011). Deletion of a Remote Enhancer Near ATOH7 Disrupts Retinal Neurogenesis, Causing NCRNA Disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 578–586. doi:10.1038/nn.2798
- Goodson, N. B., Kaufman, M. A., Park, K. U., and Brzezinski, J. A. (2020). Simultaneous Deletion of Prdm1 and Vsx2 Enhancers in the Retina Alters Photoreceptor and Bipolar Cell Fate Specification, Yet Differs from Deleting Both Genes. *Development* 147. doi:10.1242/dev.190272
- Guo, Y., Xu, Q., Canzio, D., Shou, J., Li, J., Gorkin, D. U., et al. (2015). CRISPR Inversion of CTCF Sites Alters Genome Topology and Enhancer/Promoter Function. *Cell* 162, 900–910. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.038
- Hafler, B. P., Surzenko, N., Beier, K. T., Punzo, C., Trimarchi, J. M., Kong, J. H., et al. (2012). Transcription Factor Olig2 Defines Subpopulations of Retinal Progenitor Cells Biased Toward Specific Cell Fates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109, 7882–7887. doi:10.1073/pnas.1203138109
- Halfon, M. S. (2019). Studying Transcriptional Enhancers: The Founder Fallacy, Validation Creep, and Other Biases. *Trends Genet.* 35, 93–103. doi:10.1016/ j.tig.2018.11.004
- Hiler, D., Chen, X., Hazen, J., Kupriyanov, S., Carroll, P. A., Qu, C., et al. (2015). Quantification of Retinogenesis in 3D Cultures Reveals Epigenetic Memory and Higher Efficiency in iPSCs Derived from Rod Photoreceptors. *Cell Stem Cell* 17, 101–115. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2015.05.015
- Hnisz, D., Abraham, B. J., Lee, T. I., Lau, A., Saint-André, V., Sigova, A. A., et al. (2013). Super-enhancers in the Control of Cell Identity and Disease. *Cell* 155, 934–947. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.053
- Hnisz, D., Day, D. S., and Young, R. A. (2016). Insulated Neighborhoods: Structural and Functional Units of Mammalian Gene Control. *Cell* 167, 1188–1200. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.024
- Hnisz, D., Shrinivas, K., Young, R. A., Chakraborty, A. K., and Sharp, P. A. (2017). A Phase Separation Model for Transcriptional Control. *Cell* 169, 13–23. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.02.007
- Holla, S., Dhakshnamoorthy, J., Folco, H. D., Balachandran, V., Xiao, H., Sun, L.-l., et al. (2020). Positioning Heterochromatin at the Nuclear Periphery Suppresses Histone Turnover to Promote Epigenetic Inheritance. *Cell* 180, 150–164. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.12.004
- Hughes, A. E., Enright, J. M., Myers, C. A., Shen, S. Q., and Corbo, J. C. (2017). Cell Type-specific Epigenomic Analysis Reveals a Uniquely Closed Chromatin Architecture in Mouse Rod Photoreceptors. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43184. doi:10.1038/ srep43184
- Hutcheson, D. A., Hanson, M. I., Moore, K. B., Le, T. T., Brown, N. L., and Vetter, M. L. (2005). bHLH-Dependent and -independent Modes of Ath5 Gene Regulation during Retinal Development. *Development* 132, 829–839. doi:10.1242/dev.01653
- Iida, A., Iwagawa, T., Baba, Y., Satoh, S., Mochizuki, Y., Nakauchi, H., et al. (2015). Roles of Histone H3K27 Trimethylase Ezh2 in Retinal Proliferation and Differentiation. *Devel Neurobio* 75, 947–960. doi:10.1002/dneu.22261

- Iida, A., Iwagawa, T., Kuribayashi, H., Satoh, S., Mochizuki, Y., Baba, Y., et al. (2014). Histone Demethylase Jmjd3 Is Required for the Development of Subsets of Retinal Bipolar Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 3751–3756. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1311480111
- Kadoch, C., and Crabtree, G. R. (2015). Mammalian SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling Complexes and Cancer: Mechanistic Insights Gained from Human Genomics. *Sci. Adv.* 1, e1500447. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500447
- Kagey, M. H., Newman, J. J., Bilodeau, S., Zhan, Y., Orlando, D. A., van Berkum, N. L., et al. (2011). Erratum: Mediator and Cohesin Connect Gene Expression and Chromatin Architecture. *Nature* 472, 247. doi:10.1038/nature09930
- Kashima, Y., Sakamoto, Y., Kaneko, K., Seki, M., Suzuki, Y., and Suzuki, A. (2020). Single-cell Sequencing Techniques from Individual to Multiomics Analyses. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 52, 1419–1427. doi:10.1038/s12276-020-00499-2
- Kaufman, M. L., Goodson, N. B., Park, K. U., Schwanke, M., Office, E., Schneider, S. R., et al. (2021). Initiation of Otx2 Expression in the Developing Mouse Retina Requires a Unique Enhancer and Either Ascl1 or Neurog2 Activity. *Development* 148. doi:10.1242/dev.199399
- Kautzmann, M.-A. I., Kim, D. S., Felder-Schmittbuhl, M.-P., and Swaroop, A. (2011). Combinatorial Regulation of Photoreceptor Differentiation Factor, Neural Retina Leucine Zipper Gene NRL, Revealed by In Vivo Promoter Analysis. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 28247–28255. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.257246
- Keser, V., Khan, A., Siddiqui, S., Lopez, I., Ren, H., Qamar, R., et al. (2017). The Genetic Causes of Nonsyndromic Congenital Retinal Detachment: A Genetic and Phenotypic Study of Pakistani Families. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 58, 1028. doi:10.1167/iovs.16-20281
- Kim, D. S., Matsuda, T., and Cepko, C. L. (2008). A Core Paired-type and POU Homeodomain-Containing Transcription Factor Program Drives Retinal Bipolar Cell Gene Expression. J. Neurosci. 28, 7748–7764. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.0397-08.2008
- Kim, S., Lowe, A., Dharmat, R., Lee, S., Owen, L. A., Wang, J., et al. (2019). Generation, Transcriptome Profiling, and Functional Validation of Cone-Rich Human Retinal Organoids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 10824–10833. doi:10.1073/pnas.1901572116
- Kleinjan, D. A., Seawright, A., Childs, A. J., and van Heyningen, V. (2004). Conserved Elements in Pax6 Intron 7 Involved in (Auto)regulation and Alternative Transcription. *Dev. Biol.* 265, 462–477. doi:10.1016/ j.ydbio.2003.09.011
- Kondo, H., Matsushita, I., Tahira, T., Uchio, E., and Kusaka, S. (2018). Mutations in ATOH7 Gene in Patients with Nonsyndromic Congenital Retinal Nonattachment and Familial Exudative Vitreoretinopathy (Vol 37, Pg 462, 2016). Ophthalmic Genet. 39, 553. doi:10.1080/13816810.2017.1401090
- Kurokawa, D., Kiyonari, H., Nakayama, R., Kimura-Yoshida, C., Matsuo, I., and Aizawa, S. (2004). Regulation of Otx2 Expression and its Functions in Mouse Forebrain and Midbrain. *Development* 131, 3319–3331. doi:10.1242/ dev.01220
- Kvon, E. Z., Kamneva, O. K., Melo, U. S., Barozzi, I., Osterwalder, M., Mannion, B. J., et al. (2016). Progressive Loss of Function in a Limb Enhancer During Snake Evolution. *Cell* 167, 633–642. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.028
- Lamba, D. A., Hayes, S., Karl, M. O., and Reh, T. (2008). Baf60c Is a Component of the Neural Progenitor-specific BAF Complex in Developing Retina. *Dev. Dyn.* 237, 3016–3023. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21697
- Lessard, J., Wu, J. I., Ranish, J. A., Wan, M., Winslow, M. M., Staahl, B. T., et al. (2007). An Essential Switch in Subunit Composition of a Chromatin Remodeling Complex during Neural Development. *Neuron* 55, 201–215. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.019
- Li, G., Ruan, X., Auerbach, R. K., Sandhu, K. S., Zheng, M., Wang, P., et al. (2012). Extensive Promoter-Centered Chromatin Interactions Provide a Topological Basis for Transcription Regulation. *Cell* 148, 84–98. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2011.12.014
- Li, T., Lu, Z., and Lu, L. (2006). Pax6 Regulation in Retinal Cells by CCCTC Binding Factor. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 47, 5218–5226. doi:10.1167/ iovs.06-0254
- Li, Y., Rivera, C. M., Ishii, H., Jin, F., Selvaraj, S., Lee, A. Y., et al. (2014). CRISPR Reveals a Distal Super-enhancer Required for Sox2 Expression in Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells. *Plos One* 9, e114485. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114485
- Liang, Q., Dharmat, R., Owen, L., Shakoor, A., Li, Y., Kim, S., et al. (2019). Singlenuclei RNA-Seq on Human Retinal Tissue Provides Improved Transcriptome Profiling. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5743. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12917-9

- Lieberman-Aiden, E., van Berkum, N. L., Williams, L., Imakaev, M., Ragoczy, T., Telling, A., et al. (2009). Comprehensive Mapping of Long-Range Interactions Reveals Folding Principles of the Human Genome. *Science* 326, 289–293. doi:10.1126/science.1181369
- Livesey, F. J., and Cepko, C. L. (2001). Vertebrate Neural Cell-Fate Determination: Lessons from the Retina. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2, 109–118. doi:10.1038/35053522
- Long, H. K., Prescott, S. L., and Wysocka, J. (2016). Ever-Changing Landscapes: Transcriptional Enhancers in Development and Evolution. *Cell* 167, 1170–1187. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.018
- Lovén, J., Hoke, H. A., Lin, C. Y., Lau, A., Orlando, D. A., Vakoc, C. R., et al. (2013). Selective Inhibition of Tumor Oncogenes by Disruption of Super-enhancers. *Cell* 153, 320–334. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.036
- Lu, Y., Shiau, F., Yi, W., Lu, S., Wu, Q., Pearson, J. D., et al. (2020). Single-Cell Analysis of Human Retina Identifies Evolutionarily Conserved and Speciesspecific Mechanisms Controlling Development. *Dev. Cel* 53, 473–491. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.04.009
- Luger, K., Mäder, A. W., Richmond, R. K., Sargent, D. F., and Richmond, T. J. (1997). Crystal Structure of the Nucleosome Core Particle at 2.8 Å Resolution. *Nature* 389, 251–260. doi:10.1038/38444
- Marquardt, T., and Gruss, P. (2002). Generating Neuronal Diversity in the Retina: One for Nearly All. *Trends Neurosciences* 25, 32–38. doi:10.1016/s0166-2236(00)02028-2
- Mattar, P., Stevanovic, M., Nad, I., and Cayouette, M. (2018). Casz1 Controls Higher-Order Nuclear Organization in Rod Photoreceptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, E7987–E7996. doi:10.1073/pnas.1803069115
- Menon, M., Mohammadi, S., Davila-Velderrain, J., Goods, B. A., Cadwell, T. D., Xing, Y., et al. (2019). Single-cell Transcriptomic Atlas of the Human Retina Identifies Cell Types Associated with Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4902. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12780-8
- Merkenschlager, M., and Nora, E. P. (2016). CTCF and Cohesin in Genome Folding and Transcriptional Gene Regulation. Annu. Rev. Genom. Hum. Genet. 17, 17–43. doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-083115-022339
- Miesfeld, J. B., Ghiasvand, N. M., Marsh-Armstrong, B., Marsh-Armstrong, N., Miller, E. B., Zhang, P., et al. (2020). TheAtoh7remote Enhancer Provides Transcriptional Robustness During Retinal Ganglion Cell Development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 117, 21690–21700. doi:10.1073/pnas.2006888117
- Mills, T. S., Eliseeva, T., Bersie, S. M., Randazzo, G., Nahreini, J., Park, K. U., et al. (2017). Combinatorial Regulation of a Blimp1 (Prdm1) Enhancer in the Mouse Retina. *Plos One* 12, e0176905. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176905
- Mo, A., Luo, C., Davis, F. P., Mukamel, E. A., Henry, G. L., Nery, J. R., et al. (2016). Epigenomic Landscapes of Retinal Rods and Cones. *Elife* 5, e11613. doi:10.7554/eLife.11613
- Montana, C. L., Myers, C. A., and Corbo, J. C. (2011). Quantifying the Activity of Cis-Regulatory Elements in the Mouse Retina by Explant Electroporation. J. Vis. Exp. 10, 3791. doi:10.3791/2821
- Moorthy, S. D., Davidson, S., Shchuka, V. M., Singh, G., Malek-Gilani, N., Langroudi, L., et al. (2017). Enhancers and Super-enhancers Have an Equivalent Regulatory Role in Embryonic Stem Cells through Regulation of Single or Multiple Genes. *Genome Res.* 27, 246–258. doi:10.1101/gr.210930.116
- Mumbach, M. R., Rubin, A. J., Flynn, R. A., Dai, C., Khavari, P. A., Greenleaf, W. J., et al. (2016). HiChIP: Efficient and Sensitive Analysis of Protein-Directed Genome Architecture. *Nat. Methods* 13, 919–922. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3999
- Murphy, D. P., Hughes, A. E., Lawrence, K. A., Myers, C. A., and Corbo, J. C. (2019). Cis-regulatory Basis of Sister Cell Type Divergence in the Vertebrate Retina. *Elife* 8, e48216. doi:10.7554/eLife.48216
- Ninkovic, J., Steiner-Mezzadri, A., Jawerka, M., Akinci, U., Masserdotti, G., Petricca, S., et al. (2013). The BAF Complex Interacts with Pax6 in Adult Neural Progenitors to Establish a Neurogenic Cross-Regulatory Transcriptional Network. *Cell Stem Cell* 13, 403–418. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2013.07.002
- Nora, E. P., Lajoie, B. R., Schulz, E. G., Giorgetti, L., Okamoto, I., Servant, N., et al. (2012). Spatial Partitioning of the Regulatory Landscape of the X-Inactivation Centre. *Nature* 485, 381–385. doi:10.1038/nature11049
- Norrie, J. L., Lupo, M. S., Xu, B., Al Diri, I., Valentine, M., Putnam, D., et al. (2019). Nucleome Dynamics During Retinal Development. *Neuron* 104, 512–528. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.08.002
- Ohsawa, R., and Kageyama, R. (2008). Regulation of Retinal Cell Fate Specification by Multiple Transcription Factors. *Brain Res.* 1192, 90–98. doi:10.1016/ j.brainres.2007.04.014

- Osterwalder, M., Barozzi, I., Tissières, V., Fukuda-Yuzawa, Y., Mannion, B. J., Afzal, S. Y., et al. (2018). Enhancer Redundancy Provides Phenotypic Robustness in Mammalian Development. *Nature* 554, 239–243. doi:10.1038/ nature25461
- Parker, S. C. J., Stitzel, M. L., Taylor, D. L., Orozco, J. M., Erdos, M. R., Akiyama, J. A., et al. (2013). Chromatin Stretch Enhancer States Drive Cell-specific Gene Regulation and Harbor Human Disease Risk Variants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 17921–17926. doi:10.1073/pnas.1317023110
- Partha, R., Chauhan, B. K., Ferreira, Z., Robinson, J. D., Lathrop, K., Nischal, K. K., et al. (2017). Subterranean Mammals Show Convergent Regression in Ocular Genes and Enhancers, Along with Adaptation to Tunneling. *Elife* 6, e25884. doi:10.7554/eLife.25884
- Patoori, S., Jean-Charles, N., Gopal, A., Sulaiman, S., Gopal, S., Wang, B., et al. (2020). Cis-regulatory Analysis of Onecut1 Expression in Fate-Restricted Retinal Progenitor Cells. *Neural Dev.* 15, 5. doi:10.1186/s13064-020-00142-w
- Pennacchio, L. A., Bickmore, W., Dean, A., Nobrega, M. A., and Bejerano, G. (2013). Enhancers: Five Essential Questions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 14, 288–295. doi:10.1038/nrg3458
- Perez-Cervantes, C., Smith, L. A., Nadadur, R. D., Hughes, A. E. O., Wang, S., Corbo, J. C., et al. (2020). Enhancer Transcription Identifies Cis-Regulatory Elements for Photoreceptor Cell Types. *Development* 147. doi:10.1242/dev.184432
- Popova, E. Y., Xu, X., DeWan, A. T., Salzberg, A. C., Berg, A., Hoh, J., et al. (2012). Stage and Gene Specific Signatures Defined by Histones H3K4me2 and H3K27me3 Accompany Mammalian Retina Maturation In Vivo. *Plos One* 7, e46867. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046867
- Pott, S., and Lieb, J. D. (2015). What Are Super-enhancers. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 8–12. doi:10.1038/ng.3167
- Rada-Iglesias, A., Bajpai, R., Swigut, T., Brugmann, S. A., Flynn, R. A., and Wysocka, J. (2011). A Unique Chromatin Signature Uncovers Early Developmental Enhancers in Humans. *Nature* 470, 279–283. doi:10.1038/ nature09692
- Raeisossadati, R., Ferrari, M. F. R., Kihara, A. H., AlDiri, I., and Gross, J. M. (2021). Epigenetic Regulation of Retinal Development. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 14, 11. doi:10.1186/s13072-021-00384-w
- Rao, S. S. P., Huang, S.-C., Glenn St Hilaire, B., Engreitz, J. M., Perez, E. M., Kieffer-Kwon, K.-R., et al. (2017). Cohesin Loss Eliminates All Loop Domains. *Cell* 171, 305, 320.e24. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.026
- Rao, S. S. P., Huntley, M. H., Durand, N. C., Stamenova, E. K., Bochkov, I. D., Robinson, J. T., et al. (2014). A 3D Map of the Human Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin Looping. *Cell* 159, 1665–1680. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.021
- Reis, L. M., and Semina, E. V. (2015). Conserved Genetic Pathways Associated with Microphthalmia, Anophthalmia, and Coloboma. *Birth Defect Res. C* 105, 96–113. doi:10.1002/bdrc.21097
- Riesenberg, A. N., Le, T. T., Willardsen, M. I., Blackburn, D. C., Vetter, M. L., and Brown, N. L. (2009). Pax6regulation ofMath5during Mouse Retinal Neurogenesis. *Genesis* 47, 175–187. doi:10.1002/dvg.20479
- Robson, M. I., Ringel, A. R., and Mundlos, S. (2019). Regulatory Landscaping: How Enhancer-Promoter Communication Is Sculpted in 3D. *Mol. Cel* 74, 1110–1122. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.032
- Roscito, J. G., Sameith, K., Parra, G., Langer, B. E., Petzold, A., Moebius, C., et al. (2018). Phenotype Loss Is Associated with Widespread Divergence of the Gene Regulatory Landscape in Evolution. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 4737. doi:10.1038/ s41467-018-07122-z
- Rowan, S., and Cepko, C. L. (2005). A POU Factor Binding Site Upstream of the Chx10 Homeobox Gene Is Required for Chx10 Expression in Subsets of Retinal Progenitor Cells and Bipolar Cells. *Dev. Biol.* 281, 240–255. doi:10.1016/ j.ydbio.2005.02.023
- Rowan, S., and Cepko, C. L. (2004). Genetic Analysis of the Homeodomain Transcription Factor Chx10 in the Retina Using a Novel Multifunctional BAC Transgenic Mouse Reporter. *Dev. Biol.* 271, 388–402. doi:10.1016/ j.ydbio.2004.03.039
- Ruzycki, P. A., Zhang, X., and Chen, S. (2018). CRX Directs Photoreceptor Differentiation by Accelerating Chromatin Remodeling at Specific Target Sites. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 11, 42. doi:10.1186/s13072-018-0212-2
- Sabari, B. R., Dall'Agnese, A., Boija, A., Klein, I. A., Coffey, E. L., Shrinivas, K., et al. (2018). Coactivator Condensation at Super-enhancers Links Phase Separation and Gene Control. *Science* 361, eaar3958. doi:10.1126/science.aar3958

- Samuel, A., Housset, M., Fant, B., and Lamonerie, T. (2014). Otx2 ChIP-Seq Reveals Unique and Redundant Functions in the Mature Mouse Retina. *Plos One* 9, e89110. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089110
- Schoenfelder, S., and Fraser, P. (2019). Long-range Enhancer-Promoter Contacts in Gene Expression Control. Nat. Rev. Genet. 20, 437–455. doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0128-0
- Schoenfelder, S., Sugar, R., Dimond, A., Javierre, B.-M., Armstrong, H., Mifsud, B., et al. (2015). Polycomb Repressive Complex PRC1 Spatially Constrains the Mouse Embryonic Stem Cell Genome. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1179–1186. doi:10.1038/ ng.3393
- Seo, S., Richardson, G. A., and Kroll, K. L. (2005). The SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodeling Protein Brg1 Is Required for Vertebrate Neurogenesis and Mediates Transactivation of Ngn and NeuroD. *Development* 132, 105–115. doi:10.1242/dev.01548
- Slavotinek, A. M. (2011). Eye Development Genes and Known Syndromes. Mol. Genet. Metab. 104, 448–456. doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2011.09.029
- Solovei, I., Kreysing, M., Lanctôt, C., Kösem, S., Peichl, L., Cremer, T., et al. (2009). Nuclear Architecture of Rod Photoreceptor Cells Adapts to Vision in Mammalian Evolution. *Cell* 137, 356–368. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.052
- Solovei, I., Thanisch, K., and Feodorova, Y. (2016). How to rule the nucleus: divide et impera. Curr. Opin. Cel Biol. 40, 47–59. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2016.02.014
- Solovei, I., Wang, A. S., Thanisch, K., Schmidt, C. S., Krebs, S., Zwerger, M., et al. (2013). LBR and Lamin A/C Sequentially Tether Peripheral Heterochromatin and Inversely Regulate Differentiation. *Cell* 152, 584–598. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2013.01.009
- Soshnev, A. A., Josefowicz, S. Z., and Allis, C. D. (2016). Greater Than the Sum of Parts: Complexity of the Dynamic Epigenome. *Mol. Cel* 62, 681–694. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.05.004
- Sridhar, A., Hoshino, A., Finkbeiner, C. R., Chitsazan, A., Dai, L., Haugan, A. K., et al. (2020). Single-Cell Transcriptomic Comparison of Human Fetal Retina, hPSC-Derived Retinal Organoids, and Long-Term Retinal Cultures. *Cel Rep.* 30, 1644–1659. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.01.007
- Subramanian, K., Weigert, M., Borsch, O., Petzold, H., Garcia-Ulloa, A., Myers, E. W., et al. (2019). Rod Nuclear Architecture Determines Contrast Transmission of the Retina and Behavioral Sensitivity in Mice. *Elife* 8, e49542. doi:10.7554/ eLife.49542
- Swaroop, A., Kim, D., and Forrest, D. (2010). Transcriptional Regulation of Photoreceptor Development and Homeostasis in the Mammalian Retina. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 563–576. doi:10.1038/nrn2880
- Tan, L., Xing, D., Daley, N., and Xie, X. S. (2019). Three-dimensional Genome Structures of Single Sensory Neurons in Mouse Visual and Olfactory Systems. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 26, 297–307. doi:10.1038/s41594-019-0205-2
- Taranova, O. V., Magness, S. T., Fagan, B. M., Wu, Y. Q., Surzenko, N., Hutton, S. R., et al. (2006). SOX2 Is a Dose-dependent Regulator of Retinal Neural Progenitor Competence. *Genes Dev.* 20, 1187–1202. doi:10.1101/gad.1407906
- Thurman, R. E., Rynes, E., Humbert, R., Vierstra, J., Maurano, M. T., Haugen, E., et al. (2012). The Accessible Chromatin Landscape of the Human Genome. *Nature* 489, 75–82. doi:10.1038/nature11232
- Turner, D. L., Snyder, E. Y., and Cepko, C. L. (1990). Lineage-independent Determination of Cell Type in the Embryonic Mouse Retina. *Neuron* 4, 833–845. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(90)90136-4
- Ueno, K., Iwagawa, T., Kuribayashi, H., Baba, Y., Nakauchi, H., Murakami, A., et al. (2016). Transition of Differential Histone H3 Methylation in Photoreceptors and Other Retinal Cells during Retinal Differentiation. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 29264. doi:10.1038/srep29264
- Ueno, K., Iwagawa, T., Ochiai, G., Koso, H., Nakauchi, H., Nagasaki, M., et al. (2017). Analysis of Müller Glia Specific Genes and Their Histone Modification Using Hes1-Promoter Driven EGFP Expressing Mouse. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 3578. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03874-8
- Van Schil, K., Karlstetter, M., Aslanidis, A., Dannhausen, K., Azam, M., Qamar, R., et al. (2016). Autosomal Recessive Retinitis Pigmentosa with Homozygous Rhodopsin Mutation E150K and Non-coding Cis-Regulatory Variants in CRX-Binding Regions of SAMD7. Sci. Rep. 6, 21307. doi:10.1038/srep21307
- VandenBosch, L. S., Wohl, S. G., Wilken, M. S., Hooper, M., Finkbeiner, C., Cox, K., et al. (2020). Developmental Changes in the Accessible Chromatin, Transcriptome and Ascl1-Binding Correlate with the Loss in Müller Glial Regenerative Potential. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 13615. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-70334-1

- Vignali, M., and Workman, J. L. (1998). Location and Function of Linker Histones. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 5, 1025–1028. doi:10.1038/4133
- Villaseñor, R., and Baubec, T. (2021). Regulatory Mechanisms Governing Chromatin Organization and Function. *Curr. Opin. Cel Biol.* 70, 10–17. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2020.10.015
- Visel, A., Blow, M. J., Li, Z., Zhang, T., Akiyama, J. A., Holt, A., et al. (2009). ChIPseq Accurately Predicts Tissue-specific Activity of Enhancers. *Nature* 457, 854–858. doi:10.1038/nature07730
- Völkner, M., Zschätzsch, M., Rostovskaya, M., Overall, R. W., Busskamp, V., Anastassiadis, K., et al. (2016). Retinal Organoids from Pluripotent Stem Cells Efficiently Recapitulate Retinogenesis. *Stem Cel Rep.* 6, 525–538. doi:10.1016/ j.stemcr.2016.03.001
- Wang, J., Zibetti, C., Shang, P., Sripathi, S. R., Zhang, P., Cano, M., et al. (2018). ATAC-seq Analysis Reveals a Widespread Decrease of Chromatin Accessibility in Age-Related Macular Degeneration. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1364. doi:10.1038/ s41467-018-03856-y
- Wang, S., Sengel, C., Emerson, M. M., and Cepko, C. L. (2014). A Gene Regulatory Network Controls the Binary Fate Decision of Rod and Bipolar Cells in the Vertebrate Retina. *Dev. Cel* 30, 513–527. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.07.018
- Watson, L. A., Wang, X., Elbert, A., Kernohan, K. D., Galjart, N., and Berube, N. G. (2014). Dual Effect of CTCF Loss on Neuroprogenitor Differentiation and Survival. J. Neurosci. 34, 2860–2870. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3769-13.2014
- Weintraub, A. S., Li, C. H., Zamudio, A. V., Sigova, A. A., Hannett, N. M., Day, D. S., et al. (2017). YY1 Is a Structural Regulator of Enhancer-Promoter Loops. *Cell* 171, 1573–1588. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.008
- Weir, K., Leavey, P., Santiago, C., and Blackshaw, S. (2021). Multiplexed Analysis of Retinal Gene Expression and Chromatin Accessibility Using scRNA-Seq and scATAC-Seq. J. Vis. Exp. (169). doi:10.3791/62239
- Wetts, R., and Fraser, S. E. (1988). Multipotent Precursors Can Give Rise to All Major Cell Types of the Frog Retina. *Science* 239, 1142–1145. doi:10.1126/ science.2449732
- White, M. A., Myers, C. A., Corbo, J. C., and Cohen, B. A. (2013). Massively Parallel In Vivo Enhancer Assay Reveals that Highly Local Features Determine the Cis-Regulatory Function of ChIP-Seq Peaks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 11952–11957. doi:10.1073/pnas.1307449110
- Whyte, W. A., Orlando, D. A., Hnisz, D., Abraham, B. J., Lin, C. Y., Kagey, M. H., et al. (2013). Master Transcription Factors and Mediator Establish Superenhancers at Key Cell Identity Genes. *Cell* 153, 307–319. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2013.03.035
- Wilken, M. S., Brzezinski, J. A., La Torre, A., Siebenthall, K., Thurman, R., Sabo, P., et al. (2015). DNase I Hypersensitivity Analysis of the Mouse Brain and Retina Identifies Region-specific Regulatory Elements. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 8, 8. doi:10.1186/1756-8935-8-8
- Willardsen, M. I., Suli, A., Pan, Y., Marsh-Armstrong, N., Chien, C.-B., El-Hodiri, H., et al. (2009). Temporal Regulation of Ath5 Gene Expression During Eye Development. *Dev. Biol.* 326, 471–481. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.10.046
- Wilson, B. G., and Roberts, C. W. M. (2011). SWI/SNF Nucleosome Remodellers and Cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 11, 481–492. doi:10.1038/nrc3068
- Wu, F., Bard, J. E., Kann, J., Yergeau, D., Sapkota, D., Ge, Y., et al. (2021). Single Cell Transcriptomics Reveals Lineage Trajectory of Retinal Ganglion Cells in Wild-type and Atoh7-Null Retinas. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 1465. doi:10.1038/ s41467-021-21704-4
- Xie, H., Zhang, W., Zhang, M., Akhtar, T., Li, Y., Yi, W., et al. (2020). Chromatin Accessibility Analysis Reveals Regulatory Dynamics of Developing Human Retina and hiPSC-Derived Retinal Organoids. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eaay5247. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aay5247
- Yan, N., Cheng, L., Cho, K., Malik, M. T. A., Xiao, L., Guo, C., et al. (2016). Postnatal Onset of Retinal Degeneration by Loss of Embryonic Ezh2 Repression of Six1. Sci. Rep. 6, 33887. doi:10.1038/srep33887
- Yan, W., Chen, D., Schumacher, J., Durantini, D., Engelhorn, J., Chen, M., et al. (2019). Dynamic Control of Enhancer Activity Drives Stage-specific Gene Expression During Flower Morphogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1705. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09513-2
- Zaret, K. S., and Carroll, J. S. (2011). Pioneer Transcription Factors: Establishing Competence for Gene Expression. *Genes Dev.* 25, 2227–2241. doi:10.1101/ gad.176826.111
- Zhang, J., Taylor, R. J., La Torre, A., Wilken, M. S., Cox, K. E., Reh, T. A., et al. (2015). Ezh2 Maintains Retinal Progenitor Proliferation, Transcriptional

Integrity, and the Timing of Late Differentiation. Dev. Biol. 403, 128-138. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.05.010

- Zhou, H. Y., Katsman, Y., Dhaliwal, N. K., Davidson, S., Macpherson, N. N., Sakthidevi, M., et al. (2014). ASox2distal Enhancer Cluster Regulates Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation Potential. *Genes Dev.* 28, 2699–2711. doi:10.1101/gad.248526.114
- Zibetti, C., Liu, S., Wan, J., Qian, J., and Blackshaw, S. (2019). Epigenomic Profiling of Retinal Progenitors Reveals LHX2 Is Required for Developmental Regulation of Open Chromatin. *Commun. Biol.* 2, 142. doi:10.1038/s42003-019-0375-9
- Zuber, M. E., Gestri, G., Viczian, A. S., Barsacchi, G., and Harris, W. A. (2003). Specification of the Vertebrate Eye by a Network of Eye Field Transcription Factors. *Development* 130, 5155–5167. doi:10.1242/dev.00723
- Zuin, J., Dixon, J. R., van der Reijden, M. I. J. A., Ye, Z., Kolovos, P., Brouwer, R. W. W., et al. (2014). Cohesin and CTCF Differentially Affect Chromatin Architecture and Gene Expression in Human Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, 996–1001. doi:10.1073/pnas.1317788111

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Daghsni and Aldiri. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.