Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Karansher Singh Sandhu, Bayer Crop Science, United States

REVIEWED BY Gireesh Channappa, Indian Institute of Rice Research (ICAR), India Sajid Fiaz, The University of Haripur, Pakistan

*CORRESPONDENCE Hari Krishna, harikrishna.agri@gmail.com Gyanendra Pratap Singh, GP.Singh@icar.gov.in

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Plant Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 29 September 2022 ACCEPTED 23 November 2022 PUBLISHED 08 December 2022

CITATION

Bellundagi A, Ramya KT, Krishna H, Jain N, Shashikumara P, Singh PK, Singh GP and Prabhu KV (2022), Markerassisted backcross breeding for heat tolerance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Front. Genet.* 13:1056783.

doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1056783

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Bellundagi, Ramya, Krishna, Jain, Shashikumara, Singh, Singh and Prabhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or

reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Marker-assisted backcross breeding for heat tolerance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Amasiddha Bellundagi^{1,2}, K. T. Ramya³, Hari Krishna²*, Neelu Jain², P. Shashikumara^{1,4}, Pradeep Kumar Singh², Gyanendra Pratap Singh⁵* and Kumble Vinod Prabhu⁶

¹ICAR-Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad, India, ²ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India, ³ICAR-Indian Institute of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad, India, ⁴ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, India, ⁵ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal, India, ⁶Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Authority, Government of India, New Delhi, India

Manipulation of flowering time for adaptation through natural or genetic approaches may combat heat-stress damage that occurs at the reproductive stages in production conditions. HD2733, a popular wheat variety of the eastern plains of India, is largely sensitive to heat stress. Therefore, the current study aims to improve heat tolerance of HD2733 by introgression of QTLs associated with early anthesis and high kernel weight linked to markers Xbarc186 and Xgwm190, respectively, through marker-assisted backcross breeding (MABB) from a tolerant donor, WH730. A total of 124 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers distributed evenly across the genome were used for the background selection. The alleles of Xbarc186 and Xgwm190 were fixed in BC₂F₁ and BC₁F₂ generations by selecting individual plants heterozygous for both marker loci and backcrossed with HD2733 and simultaneously selfed to generate BC_2F_1 and BC_1F_2 populations, respectively. Furthermore, the selected BC_1F_2 were selfed to generate the BC₁F4 population. By background screening, a total of 39 BC₂F₃ and 21 BC_1F_4 families homozygous for the targeted QTLs with 90.9–97.9% and 86.8-88.3% RPG recoveries were selected. The best performing 17 BC₂F₃ and 10 BC₁F₄ lines were evaluated for various morpho-physiological traits. Phenotypic evaluation and multi-location trials of the introgressed lines under late sown conditions led to the selection of three promising lines with early anthesis and higher grain yield. The improved lines will serve as an excellent genetic material for functional genomics and expression studies to understand the molecular mechanisms and pathways underlying the stress tolerance.

KEYWORDS

heat tolerance, MABB, markers, backcross, early anthesis

Introduction

Wheat is an important cereal crop in terms of global annual acres grown and tonnage harvested. According to FAO statistics, 772.64 million tons of wheat is harvested from 220.4 mha area in the world (FAO, 2020). High temperature stress during the anthesis period can not only reduce grain yield but also the quality of wheat (Stone and Nicolas, 1994; Langridge and Reynolds. 2021). Climate change is likely to increase the problem of high temperature stress to wheat production in many parts of Asia (Ortiz et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2021). The effects of climatic change are highly noticed in major wheat-growing regions of India, with frequent heat waves and earlier onset of higher temperatures. Furthermore, a substantial area under wheat cultivation is subjected to heat stress due to delayed planting (Joshi et al., 2007).

The central zone and north-eastern plain zone of India encompasses nearly 7 mha of wheat-growing area which is prone to high-temperature stress and nearly 13.5 mha of wheat-growing area is affected by heat stress (Bhusal et al., 2017). Increased ambient temperature above 30°C during the grain-filling period is a major threat for wheat productivity and grain-quality standards (Wardlaw and Moncur, 1995; Rane and Nagarajan, 2004) by affecting the duration and rate of grain development (Dias and Lidon, 2009; Pandey et al., 2013). The North Eastern Plain Zone (NEPZ) covers more than 33% of the wheat-growing area with a predicted yield potential of 4.5-5.0 t/ha. However, farmers in this zone realize only 2.5 to 3.0 t/ha of production, due to late sowing in the end of November or the first week of December, which leads to the exposure of the crop to high temperature during the reproductive growth period (anthesis to grain maturity) causing reduced spikelet fertility and grain filling, thereby reducing the yield (Shashikumara et al., 2022b). Manipulating the flowering time either naturally or through genetic approaches may combat heat stress damage during the reproductive stages (Jagadish et al., 2020). Thus, the development of wheat cultivars with built-in heat-tolerant traits such as early anthesis and high kernel weight are rewarding in boosting wheat production under hightemperature regimes. Earliness in wheat acts as an adaptive mechanism to avoid heat stress and has been observed in the release of early maturing varieties and heat-tolerant wheat in South-Asia (Mondal et al., 2016). Improving heat tolerance in plants through traditional methods of breeding is comparatively difficult as heat tolerance is a complex trait manifested by various yield and physiological adaptive traits (Manjunath et al., 2021). Selection based on phenotyping alone is tricky and time-consuming in case of complex traits affected by the environment on its expression. Heat tolerance is a quantitative trait which is influenced by prevailing environments. Hence, selection for such traits using phenotyping tools will be tricky and difficult in segregating generations. Identification of genomic regions governing such adaptive traits could be helpful in improving yield stability under stress using molecular marker-assisted transfer of genes/QTLs to improve thermotolerance.

Although the application of conventional plant breeding programs has a significant impact in improving the productivity under marginal wheat-growing environments (Manu et al., 2020), genetic improvement needs a more systematic use of physiological and molecular genetic approaches. Molecular markers are highly efficient in QTL identification and introgression of QTLs into the required genetic background through marker-assisted backcrossing (Puttamadanayaka et al., 2020). Marker-assisted backcross breeding is one of the best breeding methodologies to accelerate the improvement of varieties by adopting markerbased selection of genes/QTLs governing desirable traits (Hospital, 2003; Shashikumara et al., 2022a). Marker-assisted backcross breeding has successfully been demonstrated in various crops such as rice (Oryza sativa) (Neerja et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2013), wheat (Triticum aestivum) (Somers et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2005), maize (Zea mays L.) (Tamilkumar et al., 2014; Muthusamy et al., 2015), and so on for biotic and abiotic stresses. In wheat, MABB was efficiently used for high molecular weight glutenins (de Bustos et al., 2001), grain protein content enhancement (Davies et al., 2006), drought tolerance (Rai et al., 2018), and pre-harvest sprouting tolerance (Torada et al., 2008).

Most of the heat stress adaptive traits are polygenic in nature. QTLs identified for physiological and yield traits were also found to contribute to improving adaptation under heat stress (Pinto et al., 2010; Kadam et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2012; Ramya et al., 2021). Despite the availability of a large number of QTLs for heat stress-governing traits, few QTLs have been validated and fewer have been used in practical wheat-breeding programs. Hence, the present study was undertaken to transfer available heat-tolerant QTLs from donor parent WH730, an identified heat stress-tolerant line into a well-adapted, high-yielding variety of HD2733. HD2733 is one of the popular varieties, cultivated in more than 30% of area in the NEPZ and having a high indent for breeder seed requirement (www.iiwbr.org.in), but it is heat stress susceptible as there is significant reduction in the yield potential under high-temperature stress.

To improve HD2733 for heat tolerance and to overcome the yield reduction, two QTLs were targeted for transfer through MABB which is known as the most eco-friendly and sustainable approach to develop stress-tolerant varieties. The first QTL was transferred for early anthesis linked with marker Xbarc186 (Pinto et al., 2010) and the second major QTL linked with marker Xgwm190 was targeted for kernel weight and grain yield under heat stress (Mohammadi et al., 2008). The improved NILs possessing targeted QTLs were further analyzed for the performance of QTLs in homozygous generations.

Materials and methods

Plant material and experimental site

The recurrent parent HD2733, a high-yielding variety, was released for the North Eastern Plains Zone (NEPZ) of India under irrigated timely sown conditions. It is double dwarf (82 cm), resistant to leaf rust and leaf blight, medium to early maturing (130-135 days) with average yield of 5.0 t/ha under timely sown and irrigated conditions. The seeds of HD2733 were obtained from wheat-breeding section, IARI, New Delhi, India. WH730 (IC546937) (derived from a cross of CPAN2092/ Improved Lok1), developed by Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar, Haryana, India, was used as the donor parent. The variety had a higher grain yield, low heat susceptibility index, high kernel weight, membrane thermo-tolerance, and grain number under high-temperature stress (Dhanda and Munjal, 2012; Gupta et al., 2013). The experiment was conducted at the Division of Genetics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. All the package of practices recommended for bread wheat crop was followed.

Molecular marker analysis

Leaf samples were collected from 25- to 30-day-old seedlings for DNA isolation using a protocol as described by Prabhu et al. (1998). PCR was performed in a 10-µl reaction mixture containing 10-25 ng of template DNA, 1 µl 10X buffer (containing 500 mM KCL, 15 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.3), 0.4 µl of 10 mM dNTPs, 1 µl each of 5 mM forward and reverse primers, 0.4 µl of Taq DNA Polymerase (2 U/µl), and double-distilled water to make up the volume to 10 µl, using a 96well thermal cycler. The PCR program was as follows: initial denaturation for 5 min at 94°C, each cycle comprised 1 min, denaturation at 94°C, 1 min annealing at 55-60°C (depending upon the Xgwm/Xwmc primer), and 1 min extension at 72°C with a final extension for 10 min at 72°C at the end of 45 cycles. For Xbarc and Xcfd series, the thermo-cycling program included initial denaturation for 5 min at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles where each cycle comprised 30 s of denaturation at 94°C, 30 s of annealing at 60°C, and 30 s of extension at 72°C with a final extension for 10 min at 72°C. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 3.2% agarose/metaphorTM gel stained with ethidium bromide and were documented using Alpha Imager 1220 (Alpha Innotech, CA, United States).

Marker-aided development of improved lines

During the rabi season, crosses were affected by hand emasculation of HD2733 and pollination with WH730 pollens

to generate sufficient F1 seeds. F1 plants with confirmed hybridity through foreground markers were backcrossed to HD2733 to produce BC1F1s and subsequent generations were forwarded as per the MABB scheme presented in Figure 1. The scheme includes a three-step selection strategy in each backcross generation: (1) foreground selection for the target QTLs using linked SSR markers; (2) a two-phase background selection using 124 SSR polymorphic markers, 57 of these markers (nearly half the set of the total polymorphic markers) were used for background scoring in BC1F1, and the remaining 67 polymorphic markers and the markers heterozygous in BC1F1 were used in BC2F1 to select plants homozygous for recurrent parent alleles at the maximum number of loci to increase the recurrent parent genome (RPG) recovery and genome coverage; and (3) stringent phenotypic selection for agro-morphological traits and physiological traits to accelerate the recurrent parent phenome (RPP) recovery.

The desirable BC_1F_1 plants obtained as per the three-step selection strategy explained previously were backcrossed with HD2733 to develop BC_2F_1 lines and advanced with two generations of selfing to obtain BC_2F_2 (Off-season nursery) and BC_2F_3 lines. Simultaneously, BC_1F_1 plants were selfed to generate BC_1F_2 generation and advanced to obtain BC_1F_3 (offseason nursery) and BC_1F_4 generations. Foreground and background selections coupled with phenotypic selection were again carried out in the BC_2F_1 and BC_1F_2 generations; the SSR markers which were homozygous and fixed were not considered for background selection. QTL-positive plants with high RPG and RPP were advanced to BC_2F_2 and BC_1F_3 generations. The donor QTLs were confirmed in BC_2F_2 and BC_1F_3 and these were subsequently selfed to generate BC_2F_3 and BC_1F_4 families.

Foreground selection

Foreground selection for the targeted QTLs was carried out using QTL-linked markers, Xbarc186 (Pinto et al., 2010) and Xgwm190 (Mohammadi et al., 2008), respectively (Table 1). The Xbarc186 marker reported in a reciprocal cross of Seri M82/Babax-derived RILs population governs early anthesis, causing early maturity with 6.4% phenotypic variance, and the Xgwm190 marker reported in the RIL population of a cross between MTA16/Kauz governs high kernel weight and grain yield under stress with 44.3% phenotypic variance. QTL mapping for heat stress-related traits had also been carried out in the WH730 x HD2733 mapping population in our laboratory at IARI. In this earlier study, QTL linked to early heading and anthesis (Xbarc186) was mapped on chromosome 5A and QTL for grain yield (Xgwm190) mapped on chromosome 5D (Sun et al., 2021). These SSR markers were also validated in the



TABLE 1 Details of the markers used in the foreground selection of backcross-derived lines.

QTL	Marker	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Chr	Reference	R ² value	<i>p</i> -value
Days to anthesis	Xbarc186	5' GGAGTGTCGAGATGATGTGGA AAC 3'	5' CGCAGACGTCAGCAGCTCGAG AGG 3'	5A	[25]	0.089	0.001
Grain yield under stress	Xgwm190	5' GTGCTTGCTGAGCTATGAGTC 3'	5' GTGCCACGTGGTACCTTTG 3'	5D	[28]	0.245	1.13E-08

segregating BC_1F_2 population (296 plants) using singlemarker analysis during the present study.

 BC_1F_1 plants heterozygous for the Xbarc186 and Xgwm190 markers at both loci were selected for making backcrosses with HD2733 to generate the BC_2F_1 population. Simultaneously, the selected BC_1F_1 plants were selfed to generate the BC_1F_2 population and advanced up to BC_1F_4 generation. A similar strategy was used to select individual plants in the BC_2F_1 generation and selfed to get BC_2F_2 and BC_2F_3 generations (Figure 1).

Background selection

A parental polymorphic survey was carried out between donor and recurrent parent by screening parents for 1,350 microsatellite markers (Pestsova et al., 2000; Gupta et al., 2002; Somers et al., 2004; Kadam et al., 2012; Röder et al., 1998). Initially, in the BC₁F₁ generation, 57 polymorphic markers were used to screen the selected individual plants for recipient parental genome recovery and donor parent allele replacement at other regions of the chromosomes except at the targeted regions; later on, in BC2F1, BC2F2, BC2F3, BC1F2, and BC1F3 generations, 67 additional SSRs were used for background selection to calculate genome recovery. A total of 124 molecular markers differentiated the parents at the genome level, which were used for background selection (Supplementary Table S1). The genome contribution of the parents in the improved lines was analyzed and depicted using the software Graphical Genotypes (GGT) Version 2.0 (van Berloo, 1999). The recurrent parent genome recovery (RPG) percentage was calculated by using the formula RPG (%) = (R +1/2H) \times 100/P, where R is the total number of markers homozygous for a recurrent parent allele, H is the total number of markers which remained heterozygous, and P is the total number of polymorphic markers used in the background selection program. Chi-square (χ2) test of goodness of fit with one degree of freedom was used to test the observed and the expected segregation ratio of the targeted QTLs.

Evaluation of derived lines for targeted trait improvement and other morphophysiological traits

The experiment was laid out in an augmented design with four replications of parental checks in BC₂F₃ lines and three replications in BC1F4 lines. Two rows of each genotype were planted in a plot size of 0.46×2.5 m keeping 23 cm between rows. The standard cultivation field practices followed in wheat under normal (mid of November) and late sowing (second quarter of December) conditions to expose them to heat stress were followed precisely. The IARI research farm had calcic xe-rosol type of soil with a mean maximum temperature of 26.6°C and a mean rainfall of 2.9 mm during the wheat-growing seasons. Parental lines were raised under normal sowing and also at late sowing conditions for an accurate comparison of the derived lines with parents. Data for targeted traits and different morphophysiological traits, namely, days to flag leaf emergence (FLE), days to heading (DH), days to anthesis (DA), and days to maturity (DM), were recorded on a visible basis, number of productive tillers per plant (tillers/pl), number of spikelets per spike (spk/sp), 1000-kernel weight (TKW), number of grains per 5 spike, grain yield per 5 plants, biomass, and harvest index (HI) were measured on five plants. Observations on days to flag leaf emergence, days to heading, and days to maturity were measured by counting the days from date of sowing to the respective stages of the crop. Traits such as plant height, spike length, peduncle length, number of productive tillers per plant, number of spikelets per spike, and number of grains per 5 spike observations were taken on 5 randomly selected plants and their means were used for analysis. Biomass was recorded as above ground weight of the five selected plants.

Among the physiological traits, the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) was measured with a field-portable Greenseeker at three growth stages (late boot stage, early milky stage, and late milky stage). The chlorophyll content was measured using a Minolta SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter at the three stages of growth. Stomatal conductance was measured using Decagon: SC-1 hand-held porometer at two growth stages (late-boot stage and early milky stage). Early ground cover was measured following the method described by Mullan and Reynolds (2010). With the use of a compact digital camera, images were acquired without using the zoom function at 25 days after germination, one image per plot was taken from a distance of constant 1 m height, and the digital photographs were processed. A hand-held infrared thermometer (Kane May Model Infratrace 8000, United States) was used to estimate the

canopy temperature. Two measurements per plot nearly 0.5 m from the edge of the plot and approximately 1 m above the canopy were recorded. Membrane stability index (MSI) was estimated according to the method of Sairam et al. (1997). Leaf material (100 mg) was taken in test tubes having 10 ml of double-distilled water. Initial (C1) (40°C) and final (C2) (100°C) conductivities of the solution were noted on a conductivity bridge (Century, Water soil analysis kit, CMK 751). MSI was calculated as follows: $MSI = [1 - (C1/C2)] \times 100$. Traits such as stomatal conductance and canopy temperature were measured on clear sunshine days at 11 a.m. to 12 p.m. h. CT and NDVI were measured two times a day, 11.00-11.30 a.m. and 1.00-1.30 PM. All physiological characters were measured at three developmental stages: late-boot stage, early milk stage, and late milk stage, which were considered as important and sensitive stages to heat stress.

The improvement of backcross-derived lines for the targeted traits and contribution of other morphophysiological characters to yield under high-temperature stress was tested for significance (t-test at p < 0.05) by using critical difference at 5 per cent level of significance (CD5%). The Anderson Darling test was studied to know the distribution pattern of lines in each population. Correlation coefficients were studied to determine the effect of other traits on days to anthesis and grain yield. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the augmented design was studied in BC2F3 and BC1F4 progenies. The number of selected genotypes was further reduced in subsequent generations on the basis of improved agronomic performance over the recurrent parent. The 27 selected BC₂F₄ (17) and BC₁F₅ (10) families were planted next season in an alpha-lattice design that consisted of 4 blocks with 7 plots/blocks. The two replications were planted in three rows with a gross plot size of 0.63×2.5 m, with rows at 23 cm apart under late sown conditions (second quarter of December), and data for DA and yield traits were recorded. From these 27 lines, the selected 8 homozygous lines were evaluated at three locations, namely, Delhi, Pusa Bihar, and Pune under a net plot size of 7.2 sq. m each. Pusa Bihar (north-east India) and Pune (central India) represent the target locations for a heat-stress environment.

Results

Development of NILs using foreground and background selections

Genotyping and selection in BC₁ generation

A total of 760 individual BC_1F_1 plants were tested for the presence of foreground markers and 266 plants were selected based on both phenotypic similarity to the recipient parent HD2733 and presence of foreground markers, *Xbarc186* and *Xgwm190*. Out of the 266 selected plants, 40 plants were

Generation	Total no. of plants obtained	Plants selected based on phenotypic similarity to RP	Markers used for background selection	% RPG in the QTL positive and high phenotypic similarity to RP plants	Plants selected after foreground, background, and phenotypic selection	% RPG in selected plants
BC_1F_1	760	_	_		7	
QTL-positive plants with 1 X <i>barc186</i>	377	40	57	67.3%-75.4%		74.5%– 75.4%
2. Xgwm190	374	39	57			
3. Both markers	187	187	57			
BC_2F_1	356	_	_			
1. Xbarc186	169	37	124	83.33%-94.44%	10	88.60%– 94.44%
2. Xgwm190	167	30	124		8	
3. Both markers	81	52	124		8	
BC ₂ F ₂	800	Phenotypic selection not practiced at off-			39	
1. Xbarc186	383	season nursery at Dalang maidan, Lahaul-	124	89.73%-96.87%	12	90.83%-
2. Xgwm190	417	Spiti, HP, India	124		21	96.87%
3. Both markers	186		124		6	
BC ₂ F ₃	39	39	_		17	
1. Xbarc186	12		124	90.90%-97.90%	6	93.90%-
2. Xgwm190	21		124		9	97.90%
3. Both markers	6		124		2	
BC_2F_4	17	17	_	_	6	93.90%- 97.90%
BC_1F_2	296	68			21	
1. Xbarc186	70	27	124	86.84%-88.35%	7	86.84%-
2. Xgwm190	68	24	124		10	88.35%
3. Both markers	20	17	124		4	
BC_1F_3	21	_			21	
1. Xbarc186	7		_	86.84%-88.35%	7	86.84%-
2. Xgwm190	10				10	88.35%
3. Both markers	4				4	
BC_1F_4	21	10			10	
1. Xbarc186	7		124	92.0%-92.80%	4	92.0%-
2. Xgwm190	10		124		3	92.80%
3. Both markers	4		124		3	
BC_1F_5	10	10	_	_	2	92.0%- 92.80%

TABLE 2 Number of plants selected and the recurrent parent genome recovery obtained in each of the backcross generations.

positive for the X*barc186* marker and 39 plants for the X*gwm190* marker, along with 187 plants positive for both the markers. Background screening using 57 polymorphic makers revealed a recovery percentage range from 67.3% to 75.4%. A total of seven plants showing heterozygous nature for both foreground marker loci, high RPG recovery of 74.5%–75.4%, and higher phenotypic similarity with a recurrent parent

were used to make backcrosses to generate BC_2F_1 and selfed to produce BC_1F_2 generation. The details of the plant population in each generation, RPG recovery, and number of plants selected are given in Table 2.

To examine the phenotypic expression of the two targeted QTLs, segregating the BC_1F_2 population (296 plants) was done for validation with linked SSRs through single-marker analysis.

The results showed QTL for days to anthesis, located on chromosome 5A (co-segregated with the *Xbarc186* marker) showing a phenotypic variance of 8.9% ($R^2 = 0.089$), and QTL for grain yield under stress, located on chromosome 5D, (co-segregated with the *Xgwm190* marker) showed 24.5% ($R^2 = 0.245$) phenotypic variance under high-temperature stress (Table 1).

Genotyping and selection in BC₂ generation

A total of 356 BC₂F₁ plants derived from seven plants selected in BC1F1 were screened with Xbarc186 and Xgwm190 markers linked with QTLs of interest. A total of 119 plants, which includes 52 plants with both QTLs, 37 plants with one QTL linked to Xbarc186 and 30 plants, and with another QTL linked to Xgwm190, were screened for background recovery. The SSR marker loci which were heterozygous in BC1F1 were used for screening again to know the replacement of the donor parent allele by the recipient parent allele at the respective locus. There were 67 additional markers used for background screening of BC₂F₁ plants along with 57 markers already used in BC₁F₁. Based on the results of background screening, lines having a comparatively high recurrent parent genome recovery and phenotypic similarity of the plants with recurrent parent also targeted the trait similarity with donor parent allele; a total of 26 BC₂F₁ plants with RPG ranging from 88.60% to 94.44% were selected for the advancement to BC₂F₂ generation.

The segregating BC₂F₂ generation (800 plants) of the selected 26 BC₂F₁ plants were screened for the presence of targeted trait QTLs using linked markers. 59 plants homozygous for donor parent allele were used for screening with background markers which were heterozygous in BC₂F₁-selected individual plants. Finally, 39 plants with a higher RPG per cent ranging from 89.73% to 96.87% were selected. Out of 39 plants, six plants were with both QTLs, 12 plants were with Xbarc186-linked QTL, and 21 plants with Xgwm190 marker-linked QTL. The selected homozygous BC_2F_3 families of the 39 selected plants were subjected to foreground selection for the confirmation of the targeted QTLs. An improvement in the RPG recovery per cent from 90.90% to 97.90% (39 BC2F3 lines) was observed in the background selection. After evaluation of 39 plants for targeted traits and other morpho-physiological traits, 17 lines were finalized for advancement.

Genotyping and selection in selfed BC₁ generations

A total of 68 BC_1F_2 plants containing single or both QTLs in the homozygous condition were selected for background

screening. Based on high RPG (ranging from 86.84% to 88.35%), high RPP, and targeted trait similarity with donor parent allele, a total of 21 BC₁F₂ plants (Table 2) were selected for advancement. The selected plants were selfed to generate BC₁F₃ and BC₁F₄ homozygous families.

Chi square test for Mendelian segregation of QTLs

The chi-square test is done to test an expected ratio of 1: 1 segregation for each QTL separately and also for the combination of QTLs in the BC₁F₁ and BC₂F₁ generations. It was established that the observed frequency of QTL-positive and -negative plants was in accordance to the Mendelian segregation pattern with an expected ratio of 1:1 and 1:1:1:1 for single and two QTLs, respectively (Table 3). In BC₁F₁ generation, the calculated χ^2 values for *qAnth* (0.0473), *qGY* (*s*) (0.1924), and for combination of both QTLs (0.2834) (at *p* = 0.05 level of significance) were non-significant, agreeing with the null hypothesis of no difference. In BC₂F₁ generation, the calculated χ^2 values (0.9111 for *qAnth*, 1.3594 for *qGY*(*s*), and 1.1114 for a combination of both QTLs) were again nonsignificant (Table 3).

Evaluation of derived lines for targeted and other morpho-physiological traits

The 39 BC₂F₃ and 21 BC₁F₄ families were evaluated for their performance over the recurrent parent for morpho-physiological and yield traits. The morphological traits such as plant height, spike length, and peduncle length showed little improvement over HD2733 under heat stress. However, there was a significant improvement in the derived lines for days to heading, days to maturity, tillers/plant, 1,000-kernel weight, number of spikelets/ spikes, and yield/5 plants (p < 0.05) (Table 4). Based on the CD values at a 5% level of significance for individual traits (Table 4), it was found that all selected 39 BC₂F₃ lines performed better or at par with HD2733 for most of the traits. There was an improvement of 4.3% and 35.5% for the number of spikelets/ spike and yield/5 plants, respectively, over the recurrent parent. Likewise, most of the selected BC1F4 lines were also superior or similar to the recurrent parent for a majority of traits. An improvement of 8.4% for 1,000-kernel weight and 18.8% for yield/5 plants over the recurrent parent was observed (Table 4). We observed a 32% reduction in the number of grains/5 spikes in HD2733 under the stress condition; however, the donor parent was not much affected by this trait under stress. The selected BC₂F₃ and BC₁F₄ lines showed ~6% improvement for this trait over HD2733 under heat stress. Yield, the most important trait, was found to have a reduction of 16.5% in HD2733 when subjected to stress, but the improved lines showed better

Generation	QTL	QTL +ve plan		QTI plan	. −ve ts	Total no. plants	Observed ratio	Expected ratio	Total χ^2 value at $p = 0.05$
BC ₁ F ₁	qANTH	377		383		760	1:1	1:1	0.0473
	qGY(s)	374		386		760	1:1	1:1	0.1924
	qANTH+ qGY(s)	187	187	190	196	760	1:1:1:1	1:1:1:1	0.2834
BC_2F_1	qANTH	169		187		356	1:1	1:1	0.9111
	qGY(s)	167		189		356	1:1	1:1	1.3594
	qANTH+ qGY(s)	85	85	88	97	356	1:1:1:1	1:1:1:1	1.1114

TABLE 3 Chi-square (χ^2) test for QTL segregation in backcross generations.

"s" denotes stress condition.

TABLE 4 Morpho-physiological trait observations of recurrent parent and derived lines under heat stress.

	HD2733 (s)	BC ₂ F ₃ (%gain)	BC ₁ F ₄ (%gain)	T test	<i>p</i> -value	CD (5%)
DH	87	83 (4.5%)	80 (8%)	-3.04	0.004	4.3
DM	122	116 (4.9%)	113 (7.3%)	-3.44	0.001	2.86
CT	19.84	19.5 (1.7%)	20.55	3.95	0	1.47
NDVI	0.8	0.78	0.79	6.06	0	0.036
%GC	21.85	20.93	23.72 (8.5%)	7.48	0	1.66
MSI	172.44	287.95 (66.9%)	199.91 (15.9%)	-4.43	0	14.21
SC	355.5	324.33	387.02 (8.8%)	2.89	0.005	39.95
ChL content	49.51	51.03 (3%)	48.71	-4.13	0	0.84
Spk/sp	18.4	19.2 (4.3%)	18.6 (1%)	-2.41	0.019	0.49
Seeds/5spikes	191.5	204.4 (6.7%)	203 (6%)	-0.10	0.922	27.94
Tillers/pl	13.2	13.6 (3%)	11.2	-3.8	0	1.35
TKW	42.46	40.9	46.04 (8.4%)	2.7	0.009	3.86
Yield/5 pl	47.43	64.3 (35.5%)	56.35 (18.8%)	-2.45	0.017	3.71

Figures in parenthesis depict the percentage gain over the recurrent parent during stress (s).

performance than the recipient parent. BC_2F_3 lines showed an increase of 35.5%, and BC_1F_4 lines showed 18.8% increase in yield under stress over the recurrent parent.

An evaluation of physiological traits such as canopy temperature (CT), stomatal conductance (SC), normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), and chlorophyll content was carried out at different stages of the crop period (late-boot, early milky, and late milky stages). Significant improvement over the recurrent parent was observed at the early milk stage for these traits. Percentage improvement ranged from 1.7 for CT to 66.9 for membrane stability index (MSI) in the derived lines. For NDVI and per cent ground cover, the majority of the derived lines were similar to the recurrent parent. A few lines were superior to the recipient parent for chlorophyll content (3%) and SC (8.8%) (Table 4). Membrane stability index, which measures the lipid unsaturation, showed a highly significant improvement (36 lines showed absolutely better performance than HD2733) over the recipient parent under heat-stress conditions, indicating its strong association with the transferred QTLs or with other physiological traits.

The extent of the relative contribution of different physiological traits to abiotic stress tolerance was determined by significant correlations with yield under stress. Positive correlation (p > 0.01) with yield under stress was observed for tillers/plant (0.379**), days to anthesis (0.452**), and NDVI at the early milk stage (0.399**). Canopy temperature measured during the early milk stage (-0.686**) showed significant but negative correlation with yield (Supplementary Table S2). The performance of the progenies in the late sown conditions revealed a significant variance for the majority of the traits. The treatment mean sum of squares of the derived lines is provided as an online resource (Supplementary Table S3). The QTL per se performance of the derived lines carrying either single or both QTLs for the targeted traits is presented in Table 5. Lines pyramided with both QTLs and those carrying single QTL for DA revealed early heading, anthesis, and maturity in comparison

Traits	HD2733 (s)	WH730 (s)	BC ₂ F ₃			BC ₁ F ₄			
			qANTH + qYield(s)	qANTH	qYield (s)	qANTH + Yield(s)	qANTH	qYield (s)	
FLE	80	70	72.12	71.28	79.73	71.44	71.16	72.5	
DH	87	76	80.87	79.42	85.34	80.22	80.41	81.41	
DA	91	81	84.75	83	90.17	83.88	83.91	85.5	
DM	122	110	114.12	113.64	118.6	113.44	112.91	116.83	
Spikelets/spike	18.4	19.6	20.05	18.9	19.1	18.85	18.67	18.53	
Seeds/5 spike	192	217	230.06	198.89	213.5	196.83	192.41	214.2	
Biomass/5 pl	150	140	267.5	201.42	272.6	156.66	179.16	158.33	
1000kwt	42.5	45.5	33.7	43.49	42.34	46.025	45.5	46.72	
HI	31.6	37.6	25.48	28.86	30.22	37.17	29.58	41.12	
Yield/5 plant	47.4	52.7	59.35	54.17	58.61	58.53	51.36	57.9	
$\mathrm{CT}_{\mathrm{gf}}$	23.53	25.85	23.72	23.1	23.3	23.56	23.31	23.66	
NDVI _{gf}	0.63	0.53	0.62	0.61	0.64	0.58	0.56	0.56	
MSI	172.44	318.12	266.00	220.04	264.92	217.22	182.58	254.13	
Chl content	40.15	37.13	38.37	39.76	41.24	38.15	38.13	33.43	

TABLE 5 Morpho-physiological characters of parents and selected MABB-derived lines with single and two QTLs.

"s" denotes stress condition.

to the lines carrying single QTL for yield under stress. However, the derived lines performed better in yield, irrespective of having either sole QTL for DA and yield or having pyramided QTLs.

Among the 39 BC₂F₃ and 21 BC₁F₄ families, ten lines carrying single QTL for DA (81–85 days) were early in anthesis and superior in grain yield (52.03–56.94) than the recipient parent (91 days and 47.43 gms, respectively). Nine BC₂F₃ and three BC₁F₄ families carrying single QTL for yield under stress revealed a significant improvement over the recurrent parent; however, there was little improvement for days to anthesis (83–94) in these lines. The five families pyramided with both QTLs had early anthesis (83–85 days) and superior grain yield under stress (55.07–96.13 gms). Furthermore, a total of 27 (17 BC₂F₃ and 10 BC₁F₄) lines were carried forward for multi-location testing.

Genomic contribution of donor parent regions and agronomic evaluation of improved lines

The substituted chromosomal segment of donor parent WH730 was in the range of 0.0%-3.2% in BC₂F₃ and BC₁F₄ selected lines excluding the introgressed targeted regions on 5A and 5D chromosomes (Table 6). The graphical genotyping image for 17 selected BC₂F₃ lines showed the targeted QTL-linked *Xbarc186* and *Xgwm190* markers, the maximum recovery of the recurrent parent genome at non-targeted regions on carrier chromosomes, and residual minimum donor parent regions (Figure 2). The line HD2733-210-45-812-3 with the highest percent of genome recovery (96.7%) transferred with both QTLs is represented in Figure 3.

The selected 17 BC_2F_3 and 10 BC_1F_4 families were advanced to successive generation and subjected to agronomic evaluation under late sowing condition. Furthermore, eight lines were selected for multi-location yield trials in the next season (Table 6) on the basis of superior yield in comparison to the recurrent parent under stress conditions. The selected eight lines were evaluated in large plots at three locations, namely, New Delhi, Bihar, and Pune, which resulted in the final selection of three superior lines for further entry into a varietal testing system for release (Table 7).

Discussion

Heat stress is a limiting factor in the global agricultural production by preventing the crop from its potential genetic yield. To develop tolerance to heat stress, improvement of wheat varieties with stress-tolerant genes/QTLs is the most effective strategy. MABB is considered as one of the reliable methods to improve a crop variety by incorporating the desired gene(s)/QTLs that govern the trait expression in which the variety is essentially deficient. Numerous reports are available on molecular markers linked with the expression of QTLs for heat-stress tolerance (Devate et al., 2022; Khan et al., 2022; Pinto et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2012; Gupta et al., 2017) but their use in wheat-breeding programs is still rare. The present study is an attempt of the transfer of QTLs associated with heat stress in to the background of high-yielding wheat varieties using MABB.

Marker-assisted foreground selection had been used successfully in earlier studies (Alam et al., 2012; Singh et al.,

TABLE 6 Performance of the targeted traits and genomic constitution of MABB-derived lines.

Genotypes	QTL	DA	Yield/ 5plant (gms)	DA	Yield/ 20 spikes (gms)	RPG %	HD2733 allele %	Heterozygous allele %	WH 730 allele %
HD2733		91	47.43	76	23.6				
WH730	q ANTH+ q YIELD	80	52.65	67	25.74				
				BC_2F_3	BC_2F_4				
HD2733-129-44- 246-1	q ANTH+ q YIELD	84	96.13	75	23.29	96.3	94.3	4	1.6
HD2733-210-45- 812-3	q ANTH+ q YIELD	83	78.53	73	26.35	96.7	94.3	4.8	0.8
HD2733-129-44- 241-7	q ANTH	83	56.23	73	25.73	93.9	91.1	5.6	3.2
HD2733-210-45- 777-8	q ANTH	83	52.18	69	33.38	97.5	96.7	1.6	1.6
HD2733-210-45- 777-9	q ANTH	82	56.94	72	25.94	95.9	93.5	4.8	1.6
HD2733-154-152- 314-14	q ANTH	81	54.81	69	23.55	94.7	91.9	5.6	2.4
HD2733-182-156- 398-15	q ANTH	81	55.42	_	_	95.5	92.7	5.6	1.6
HD2733-210-42- 756-16	q ANTH	84	56.08	68	29.49	96.7	94.3	4.8	0.8
HD2733-129-44- 220-20	q YIELD	89	75.73	72	27.06	96.7	95.1	3.2	1.6
HD2733-129-44- 225-21	q YIELD	92	79.71	73	30.5	97.9	96.7	2.4	0.8
HD2733-210-31- 908-26	q YIELD	92	80.74	71	27.63	94.3	91.1	6.4	2.4
HD2733-210-31- 910-27	q YIELD	90	79.06	70	30.19	94.3	90.3	8	1.6
HD2733-210-31- 915-28	q YIELD	90	85.19	70	23.42	95.1	91.9	6.4	1.6
HD2733-210-31- 916-29	q YIELD	90	80.48	71	24.85	96.3	92.7	7.2	0
HD2733-210-31- 938-32	q YIELD	94	78.7	73	27.73	95.1	91.1	5.6	3.2
HD2733-210-31- 948-35	q YIELD	89	69.53	73	29.42	94.3	91.1	6.4	2.4
HD2733-210-31- 959-37	q YIELD	90	75.01	72	36.56	95.1	91.1	7.2	1.6
			BC_1F_4	BC_1F_5					
HD2733-154-46-3	q ANTH+ q YIELD	84	71.68	70	33.61	92	86.4	11.2	2.4
HD2733-182-81-4	q ANTH+ q YIELD	83	55.07	69	24.33	92	88	8	3.2
HD2733-485-153-6	q ANTH+ q YIELD	85	71.45	74	22.03	92.4	86.4	12	1.6
HD2733-210- 209-11	q ANTH	85	52.03	69	29.42	92.4	85.6	13.6	0.8
HD2733-210- 209-12	q ANTH	82	53.32	72	22.85	92.4	88	8.8	3.2
HD2733-210- 209-13	q ANTH	82	53.22	73	20.46	92.8	87.2	11.2	1.6
HD2733-210- 209-16	q ANTH	85	52.75	_	_	92.4	86.4	12	1.6

1: 1A	2: 1B 3: 1D 4: 2A	5: 2B 6: 2D	7: 3A 8: 3B	9: 3D 10: 4A 1	11: 4B12: 4D13	8: 5A 14: 5B	15: 5D 16: 6A	17: 6B18: 6D 19: 7A	20: 7B 21: 7D
HD27 <mark>83</mark> WH73									
G1									
G2 📕									
G3									
G4									
G5									
G6									
G7									
G8									
G9 G10									
G10									
G12									
G13									
G14									
G15									
G16									
G17									
Consensus									
Legend	A	в Пн							

FIGURE 2

Graphical genotyping image of the selected 17 BC_2F_3 MABB lines. Red and blue represent the genomes of RP and DP genomic regions, respectively, and residual heterozygous regions are represented in white.



Lines	QTL	Pusa Bihar		Delhi RI		Delhi LS		Pune	
		Yield (q/ha)	DA						
HD2733 NIL15	qANTH + qYield(s)	58.4	75	50.83	81	35.97	66	48.47	64
HD2733 NIL23	qANTH	68.5	76	53.61	82	38.06	65	26.07	68
HD2733 NIL6	qYield (s)	34.34	85	46.53	87	30.42	67	35.1	76
HD2733	_	57.27	85	46.53	91	25.69	72	22.98	73
CD 5%	_	0.87	_	1.72	_	3.21	_	2.28	_

TABLE 7 Multi-location evaluation of the selected homozygous NILs.

Delhi LS: Delhi late sown; Delhi RI: restricted irrigation stress with one irrigation only.

2012; Babu et al., 2017; Rai et al., 2018; Todker et al., 2020; Pandit et al., 2021) for abiotic stress such as identification of salt-tolerant genotypes in rice (Neerja et al., 2007) and for biotic stress as downy mildew resistance in bajra (Hash et al., 2006). In the present study, foreground selection helped to select only those desirable genotypes that were carrying the QTLs (either in homozygous or heterozygous) for targeted morphophysiological traits imparting tolerance to the heat stress.

It has been found that the applications of background selection with genome-wide polymorphic markers hasten the RPG recovery in MABB (Servin and Hospital, 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Basavaraj et al., 2010). The simulation studies on markerassisted breeding (Hospital et al., 1992; Hospital, 2003; Servin et al., 2004) recommended that a minimum of four markers per chromosome (2 markers on each arm) at an average distance of 20 cM between markers is sufficient for the accelerated recovery of the recipient parent genome with a sufficient population size. Hence, marker alleles corresponding to HD2733 were selected for background screening to determine the actual recovery of RPG in the early segregating generations, making it possible to reduce the number of genotypes to be carried to the next generation. Selected plants had an enhanced RPG recovery ranging from 67.3% to 75.4% in BC_1F_1 and 83.33% to 94.44% in BC₂F₁. This additional recovery is due to the fixation of recipient allele from a heterozygous condition which may be theoretically gained after 3–4 backcrossing in case of single-gene/ QTL transfer which also could have taken an additional number of backcrossing in case of more than two QTLs/gene pyramiding.

Multiple QTL mapping studies performed over the years have identified several QTLs associated with physiological, morphological, and agronomic traits in wheat (Griffths et al., 2009; Griffths et al., 2012; Gupta et al., 2017; Puttamadanayaka et al., 2020). Meta-analysis of such QTLs identified genomic regions that contribute to improved adaptation under stress (Acuna-Galindo et al., 2015). In earlier studies, there were 43 meta-QTL (MQTL) regions that co-localized with traits governing both drought and heat stress. MQTL38 on 5A chromosome harbors individual QTL for days to heading, biomass, CT, maturity, stay-green, yield, kernel number, and harvest index (Acuna-Galindo et al., 2015). The present study reports the transfer of the Xbarc186 marker that co-localized with the MQTL38 region, known for drought and heat stress-adaptive traits. The improved lines were superior in performance probably due to introgression of this meta-QTL region governing beneficial genes for heat-tolerant traits. Another QTL-linked SSR marker Xgwm190 for grain yield under stress lies on chromosome 5D according to the high-density consensus linkage map (Somers et al., 2004). However, Mohammadi et al. (2008) reported the presence of the Xgwm190 marker on 1D chromosome in its linkage map. Blast analysis of the sequence of marker Xgwm190 with Triticum aestivum genome sequence (www.ensemblplants.org/triticum aestivum/release 47) revealed its location at the 5D: 8746873-8747085 region. The linkage mapping carried out earlier in our lab for heat tolerance also determined Xgwm190 position at the upper arm of 5D (Sun et al., 2021). The chromosomal location of Xgwm190 on linkage group 5D was, therefore, considered and used for transfer of the linked trait in this study. The location of Xgwm190 was very close to a trait-linked DART marker on 5D, identified for droughttolerance in wheat in previous studies (McIntyre et al., 2010).

Tolerance to high-temperature stress is achieved by an interaction between several physiological, biochemical, and molecular components in wheat (Shashikumara et al., 2022b). High correlation between physiological traits and grain yield was observed under stress condition (Sun et al., 2021). Previous researchers reported that several morpho-physiological traits significantly contributed to yield improvement under stress and could effectively be used in breeding programs (Richards et al., 2000; Ramya et al., 2015; Cossani and Reynolds 2012; Puttamadanayaka et al., 2020; Goel et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2006). These include traits for canopy establishment and architecture, photosynthesis, and partitioning of total assimilates to grain. In the present study, traits such as canopy temperature, membrane stability index, and stomatal conductance were improved in the derived lines introgressed with heat-tolerant QTLs. This suggests the effectiveness in use of such traits as the selection criteria in wheat breeding (Ramya et al., 2021). Spikelet fertilization and grain setting are the most

critical stages sensitive to the high-temperature stress at the midanthesis stage (Ferris et al., 1998; Ullah et al., 2022). In the present study, under late sowing condition, HD2733 and WH730 took 91 and 80 days for anthesis, respectively. This difference of nearly 10 days subjected HD2733 to heat stress. The grain yield reduced drastically in HD2733 from 56.83 g under normal condition to 47.43 g under late sowing condition, which accounts for nearly 18.30% reduction as compared to WH730 which showed 8.01% higher yield under stress. A significant reduction of 32.86% for seeds per five spikes in HD2733 in comparison to WH730 which showed a reduction of 3.55% under stress suggesting that a decrease in grain number per spike could be one of the reasons to have a significant reduction in the grain yield of HD2733 under high temperature and improving wheat for this trait would be worthwhile for the development of tolerant varieties.

Many of the previous studies indicated a severe effect of high temperature at phenological stages, in particular, heading on seeds/ spike and thereby on grain yield (Wardlaw et al., 1989; Wardlaw and Moncur, 1995; Sarker et al., 2021). Hence, early heading is a desirable trait to combat heat stress in wheat. Several cultivars had been released for adaptation to production systems to avoid reproductive stage-heat stress. The early heading lines developed in the present study can adapt to heat stress with higher yields. Early heading lines complete the initial seed setting and grain filling before the incidence of heat stress. In the eastern Gangetic plains of South-Asia, early heading had been suggested as a good approach for wheat-breeding (Joshi et al., 2007; Mondal et al., 2016). In an earlier study by Tewolde et al. (2006), it was found that early-heading wheat cultivars yielded better results than later-heading cultivars in heat stress environments, even in durum lines (Akter and Islam, 2017).

There are numerous examples of QTLs mapped for heattolerant traits, but the mobilization of mapped QTLs into practical breeding is extremely worthwhile. Validation of identified QTLs with high PVE (phenotypic variation explained) in different genetic backgrounds is essential for their utilization. The QTLs used in this study were mapped with high phenotypic variance for the trait of interest. The selected lines containing QTLs for days to anthesis exhibited earliness in anthesis causing early maturity to avoid the effect of heat stress without affecting grain yield. It is pertinent that earlyheading wheat varieties have an adaptive mechanism to heat stress with shorter life cycles in the area where there are frequent occurrences of terminal heat stress (Mondal et al., 2016). The heat-tolerant early heading varieties had high grain-filling duration and lower senescence of leaf compared to lateheading varieties. Negative association between days to heading and grain yield has been observed in five years of South-Asian trials of early maturing varieties which support the fact that earliness enabled tolerance to high-temperature stress. The study, therefore, reports the first successful incorporation of QTLs for early heading and yield traits into the background of a high-yielding elite cultivar, HD2733. Eight

homozygous lines comprising tolerant QTLs were subjected to multi-location testing, and three lines were finally identified for their subsequent entry into varietal release system.

Conclusion

The present study improved the performance of one of the most popular wheat cultivars, HD2733, by introgression of QTLs associated with early anthesis and high-kernel weight under high-temperature stress. The study has led to the development of MABB-derived lines with targeted QTLs that caused earliness, and plants escaped the terminal stage heat stress without compromising the grain yield. These derived genotypes were further advanced for multi-location testing, and three lines were finally selected for subsequent release as improved varieties. The lines may also serve as the best genetic materials for functional genomics and expression studies to understand the molecular pathways and mechanisms underlying the stress tolerance governed by the respective QTLs without the effect of background noise. Furthermore, improved HD2733 can be used as genetic resource for the wheat-breeding program for heat-stress tolerance.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

Conceptualization of research (GS, NJ, KP, and AB), design of the experiment (GS, NJ, and AB), contribution of the experimental material (GS), execution of field/lab experiments and data collection (AB, PKS, KR, and HK), analysis of data and interpretation (AB, GS, PS, and NJ), and preparation of the manuscript (AB, NJ, PS, GS, and KP).

Funding

Part of the research supported by a grant from Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (grant number # OPP53402) under Generation Challenge Programme (GCP) and ICAR funded NICRA project.

Acknowledgments

The main author would like to thank the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India, for the doctoral fellowship and the Generation Challenge Programme (GCP) for the financial assistance during the research period.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Acuna-Galindo, M. A., Mason, R. E., Subramanian, N. K., and Hays, D. B. (2015). Meta-analysis of wheat QTL regions associated with adaptation to drought and heat stress. *Crop Sci.* 55, 477–492. doi:10.2135/cropsci2013.11.0793

Akter, N., and Islam, M. R. (2017). Heat stress effects and management in wheat. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 37, 37. doi:10.1007/s13593-017-0443-9

Alam, M. S., Salim, M., Moniruzzaman, M., Rashid, J. A., and Islam, M. M. (2012). Marker-assisted foreground selection for identification of salt tolerant rice genotypes. *Agriculturists* 10, 1–8. doi:10.3329/agric.v10i2.13128

Amasiddha, B., Ramya, K. T., Prashant, K. C., Neha, R., Leena, T., Krishna, H., et al. (2016). Evaluation of marker assisted backcross breeding derived lines for morpho-physiological characters under late sown heat stress condition in bread wheat. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 76 (3), 304–311. doi:10.5958/0975-6906.2016. 00046.8

Babu, N. N., Gopala Krishnan, S., Vinod, K. K., Krishnamurthy, S. L., Singh, V. K., Singh, M. P., et al. (2017). Marker aided incorporation of saltol, a major QTL associated with seedling stage salt tolerance, into *Oryza sativa* 'Pusa Basmati 1121. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 41. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00041

Basavaraj, S. H., Singh, V. K., Singh, A., Singh, A., Singh, A., Yadav, S., et al. (2010). Marker-assisted improvement of bacterial blight resistance in parental lines of Pusa RH10, a superfine grain aromatic rice hybrid. *Mol. Breed.* 26, 293–305. doi:10.1007/s11032-010-9407-3

Bhusal, N., Sarial, A. K., Sharma, P., and Sareen, S. (2017). Mapping QTLs for grain yield components in wheat under heat stress. *PLoS One* 12 (12), e0189594. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0189594

Chen, S., Xu, C. G., Lin, X. H., and Zhang, Q. (2008). Improving bacterial blight resistance of '6078', an elite restorer line of hybrid rice, by molecular markerassisted selection. *Plant Breed.* 120, 133–137. doi:10.1046/j.1439-0523.2001.00559.x

Cossani, C. M., and Reynolds, M. P. (2012). Physiological traits for improving heat tolerance in wheat. *Plant Physiol*. 1601, 1710–1718. doi:10.1104/pp.112.207753

Davies, J., Berzonsky, W. B., Leach, G. D., and Leach, G. D. (2006). A comparison of marker assisted and phenotypic selection for high grain protein content in spring wheat. *Euphytica* 152, 117–134. doi:10.1007/s10681-006-9185-5

de Bustos, A., Rubio, P., Soler, C., García, P., and Jouve, N. (2001). "Marker assisted selection to improve HMW-glutenins in wheat," in *Wheat in a global environment. Developments in plant breeding.* Editors Z. Bedö and L. Láng (Dordrecht: Springer), 171–176.

Devate, N. B., Krishna, H., Parmeshwarappa, S. K. V., Manjunath, K. K., Chauhan, D., Singh, S., et al. (2022). Genome-wide association mapping for component traits of drought and heat tolerance in wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13, 943033. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.943033

Dhanda, S. S., and Munjal, R. (2012). Heat tolerance in relation to acquired thermo-tolerance for membrane lipids in bread wheat. *Field Crops Res.* 135, 30–37. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2012.06.009

Dias, A. S., and Lidon, F. C. (2009). Evaluation of grain filling rate and duration in bread and durum wheat under heat stress after anthesis. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 195, 137–147. doi:10.1111/j.1439-037x.2008.00347.x

Ferris, R., Ellis, R. H., Wheeler, T. R., and Hadley, P. (1998). Effect of high temperature stress at anthesis on grain yield and biomass of field-grown crops of wheat. *Ann. Bot.* 82 (5), 631–639. doi:10.1006/anbo.1998.0740

Goel, S., Singh, K., Singh, B., Grewal, S., Dwivedi, N., Alqarawi, A. A., et al. (2019). Analysis of genetic control and QTL mapping of essential wheat grain quality traits organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2022.1056783/full#supplementary-material

in a recombinant inbred population. $PLoS\ One\ 14$ (3), e0200669. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0200669

Griffiths, S., Simmonds, J., Leverington, M., Wang, Y., Fish, L., Sayers, L., et al. (2009). Meta-QTL analysis of the genetic control of ear emergence in elite European winter wheat germplasm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 119, 383–395. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-1046-x

Griffiths, S., Simmonds, J., Leverington, M., Wang, Y., Fish, L., Sayers, L., et al. (2012). Meta-QTL analysis of the genetic control of crop height in elite European winter wheat germplasm. *Mol. Breed.* 29, 159–171. doi:10.1007/s11032-010-9534-x

Gupta, O. P., Gupta, R. K., Sharma, I., and Tiwari, R. (2013). Comparative behaviour of terminal heat tolerant (WH730) and intolerant (Raj 4014) hexaploid wheat genotypes at germination and growth at early stage under varying temperature regimes. *Afr. J. Microbiol.* 7, 3953–3960.

Gupta, P. K., Balyan, H. S., Edwards, K. J., Isaac, P., Korzun, V., Röder, M., et al. (2002). Genetic mapping of 66 new microsatellite (SSR) loci in bread wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 105, 413–422. doi:10.1007/s00122-002-0865-9

Gupta, P. K., Balyan, H. S., Gahlaut, V., and Kulwal, P. L. (2012). Phenotyping, genetic dissection, and breeding for drought and heat tolerance in common wheat: Status and prospects. *Plant Breed. Rev.* 14, 36–85.

Gupta, P. K., Balyan, H. S., and Gahlaut, V. (2017). QTL analysis for drought tolerance in wheat: Present status and future possibilities. *Agron. (Basel).* 7, 5. doi:10.3390/agronomy7010005

Harikrishna, S., Upadhyay, D., Gajghate, R., Shashikumara, P., Chouhan, D., Singh, S., et al. (2020). QTL mapping for heat tolerance related traits using backcross inbred lines in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Indian J. Genet.* 80 (3), 242–249.

Hash, C. T., Thakur, R. P., Rao, V. P., and Raj, A. G. B. (2006). Evidence for enhanced resistance to diverse isolates of Pearl Millet Downy Mildew through gene pyramiding. *Intern. Sorghum Millets Newsl.* 47, 134–138.

Hospital, F., Chevalet, C., and Mulsant, P. (1992). Using markers in gene introgression breeding programs. *Genetics* 132, 1199–1210. doi:10.1093/genetics/132.4.1199

Hospital, F. (2003). "Marker-assisted breeding," in *Plant molecular breeding*. Editor H. J. Newbury (Oxford/Boca Raton: Blackwell Publishing and CRC Press), 30–59.

Jagadish, S. V. K. (2020). Heat stress during flowering in cereals – effects and adaptation strategies. *New Phytol.* 226 (6), 1567–1572. doi:10.1111/nph.16429

Joshi, A. K., Mishra, B., Chatrath, R., Ferrara, G. O., and Singh, R. P. (2007). Wheat improvement in India: Present status, emerging challenges and future prospects. *Euphytica* 157, 431–446. doi:10.1007/s10681-007-9385-7

Kadam, S., Singh, K., Shukla, S., Goel, S., Vikram, P., Pawar, V., et al. (2012). Genomic associations for drought tolerance on the short arm of wheat chromosome 4B. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 12, 447–464. doi:10.1007/s10142-012-0276-1

Khan, H., Krishnappa, G., Kumar, S., Mishra, C. N., Krishna, H., Devate, N. B., et al. (2022). Genome-wide association study for grain yield and component traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Front. Genet.* 13, 982589. doi:10.3389/fgene. 2022.982589

Kumar, S., Sehgal, S. K., Kumar, U., Prasad, P. V. V., Joshi, A. K., and Gill, B. K. (2012). Genomic characterization of drought tolerance-related traits in spring wheat. *Euphytica* 186, 265–276. doi:10.1007/s10681-012-0675-3

Langridge, P., and Reynolds, M. (2021). Breeding for drought and heat tolerance in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 134 (6), 1753–1769. doi:10.1007/s00122-021-03795-1 Manjunatha, P. B., Sinha, N., Krishna, H., Chauhan, D., Kumar, P., Kumar, R. R., et al. (2021). Exploration of heat stress-responsive markers in understanding trait associations in wheat. *J. Plant Biol.* 64 (2), 167–179. doi:10.1007/s12374-020-09289-9

Manu, B., Kumara, P. S., Biradar, S., Phuke, R., Ambati, D., Prasad, S. S., et al. (2020). Genetic gain and morpho-physiological characterisation of BILs (Backcross inbred lines) under different moisture regimes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L). *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed*. 80 (01), 84–93. doi:10.31742/ijgpb.80.1.11

McIntyre, C. L., Mathews, K. L., Rattey, A., Chapman, S. C., Drenth, J., Ghaderi, M., et al. (2010). Molecular detection of genomic regions associated with grain yield and yield-related components in an elite bread wheat cross evaluated under irrigated and rainfed conditions. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120, 527–541. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-1173-4

Mohammadi, V., Zali, A. A., and Bihamta, M. R. (2008). Mapping QTLs for heat tolerance in wheat. *J. Agric. Sci. Technol.* 10, 261–267.

Mondal, S., Singh, R. P., Mason, E. R., Huerta-Espino, J., Autrique, E., and Joshi, A. K. (2016). Grain yield, adaptation and progress in breeding for early-maturing and heat-tolerant wheat lines in South Asia. *Field Crops Res.* 192, 78–85. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.04.017

Mullan, D. J., and Reynolds, M. P. (2010). Quantifying genetic effects of ground cover on soil water evaporation using digital imaging. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 37, 703–712. doi:10.1071/fp09277

Muthusamy, V., Hossain, F., Thirunavukkarasu, N., Choudhary, M., Saha, S., Bhat, J. S., et al. (2015). Development of β -carotene rich maize hybrids through marker assisted introgression of β -carotene hydroxylase allele. PLoS One 9, e0122130. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122130

Neeraja, C. N., Maghirang-Rodriguez, R., Pamplona, A., Heuer, S., Collard, B. C. Y., Septiningsih, E. M., et al. (2007). A marker assisted backcross approach for developing submergence tolerant rice cultivars. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 115, 767–776. doi:10.1007/s00122-007-0607-0

Ortiz, R., Sayre, K. D., Govaerts, B., Gupta, R., Subbarao, G. V., Ban, T., et al. (2008). Climate change: Can wheat beat the heat? *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 126, 46–58. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2008.01.019

Pandey, G. C., Sareen, S., Siwach, P., and Tiwari, R. (2013). Molecular characterization of heat tolerant in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using differences in thousand grain weights (dTGW) as a potential indirect selection criterion. *Cereal Res. Commun.* 42, 38–46. doi:10.1556/crc.2013.0041

Pandit, E., Pawar, S., Barik, S. R., Mohanty, S. P., Meher, J., and Pradhan, S. K. (2021). Marker-assisted backcross breeding for improvement of submergence tolerance and grain yield in the popular rice variety 'maudamani. *Agronomy* 11 (7), 1263. doi:10.3390/agronomy11071263

Pestsova, E., Ganal, M. W., and Röder, M. S. (2000). Isolation and mapping of microsatellite markers specific for the D genome of bread wheat. *Genome* 43, 689–697. doi:10.1139/g00-042

Pinto, R. S., Reynolds, M. P., Mathews, K. L., McIntyre, C. L., Olivares-Villegas, J. J., and Chapman, C. S. (2010). Heat and drought adaptive QTL in a wheat population designed to minimize confounding agronomic effects. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121, 1001–1021. doi:10.1007/s00122-010-1351-4

Prabhu, K. V., Somers, D. J., Rakow, G., and Gugel, R. K. (1998). Molecular markers linked to white rust resistance in mustard Brassica juncea. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 97, 865–870. doi:10.1007/s001220050966

Puttamadanayaka, S., HarikrishnaBalaramaiah, M., Biradar, S., Sunilkumar, V. P., Sinha, N., et al. (2020). Mapping genomic regions of moisture deficit stress tolerance using backcross inbred lines in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 21646–21717. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-78671-x

Rai, N., Amasiddha, B., Prashant, K. C. K., Ramya, K. T., Sushma, R., Nivedita, S., et al. (2018). Marker-assisted backcross breeding for improvement of drought tolerance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell). *Plant Breed.* 137, 514–526. doi:10.1111/pbr.12605

Ramya, K. T., Bellundagi, A., Rai, N., Jain, N., Singh, P. K., Arora, A., et al. (2021). Gene action governing the inheritance of stomatal conductance in four wheat crosses under high temperature stress condition. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12, 658443. doi:10. 3389/fpls.2021.658443

Ramya, K. T., Jain, N., Ramya, P., Singh, P. K., Arora, A., Singh, G. P., et al. (2015). Genotypic variation for normalized difference vegetation index and its relationship with grain yield in wheat under terminal heat stress. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 75, 174–182. doi:10.5958/0975-6906.2015.00027.9

Rane, J., and Nagarajan, S. (2004). High temperature index -for field evaluation of heat tolerance in wheat varieties. *Agric. Syst.* 79, 243–255. doi:10.1016/S0308-521X(03)00075-1

Richards, R. A., Rebetzke, G. J., Appels, R., and Condon, A. G. (2000). "Physiological traits to improve the yield of rainfed wheat: Can molecular genetics help?," in *Molecular approaches for the genetic improvement of cereals for stable production in water limited environments*". Editors J. M. Ribaut and D. Poland (Mexico: CIMMYT).

Röder, M. S., Korzun, V., Wendehake, K., Plaschke, J., Tixier, M. H., Leroy, P., et al. (1998). A microsatellite map of wheat. *Genetics* 149, 2007–2023. doi:10.1093/genetics/149.4.2007

Sairam, R. K., Deshmukh, P. S., and Shukla, D. S. (1997). Tolerance of drought and temperature stress in relation to increased antioxidant enzyme activity in wheat. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 178, 171–178. doi:10.1111/j.1439-037x.1997.tb00486.x

Sarkar, S., Islam, A. A., Barma, N. C. D., and Ahmed, J. U. (2021). Tolerance mechanisms for breeding wheat against heat stress: A review. *South Afr. J. Bot.* 138, 262–277. doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2021.01.003

Servin, B., and Hospital, F. (2002). Optimal positioning of markers to control genetic background in marker-assisted backcrossing. *J. Hered.* 93, 214–217. doi:10. 1093/jhered/93.3.214

Servin, B., Martin, O. C., Mezard, M., and Hospital, F. (2004). Toward a theory of marker-assisted gene pyramiding. *Genetics* 168, 513–523. doi:10.1534/genetics.103. 023358

Shashikumara, P., Gajghate, R., Bhatt Devate, N., Mamrutha, H. M., Gopalareddy, K., and Singh, G. P. (2022b). "Heat stress in wheat: Adaptation strategies," in *Thermotolerance in crop plants* (Singapore: Springer), 1–21.

Shashikumara, P., Gajghate, R., Devate, N. B., Shiv, A., Mehta, B. K., Sunilkumar, V. P., et al. (2022a). "Breaking the yield barriers to enhance genetic gains in wheat," in *New horizons in wheat and barley research* (Singapore: Springer), 179–226.

Singh, A., Singh, V. K., Singh, S. P., Pandian, R. T., Ellur, R. K., Singh, D., et al. (2012). Molecular breeding for the development of multiple disease resistance in Basmati rice. *AoB Plants* 2012, pls029. doi:10.1093/aobpla/pls029

Singh, V. K., Singh, A., Singh, S. P., Ranjith, K. E., Singh, D., Gopala Krishnan, S., et al. (2013). Marker-assisted simultaneous but stepwise backcross breeding for pyramiding blast resistance genes Piz5 and Pi54 into an elite Basmati rice restorer line 'PRR78. *Plant Breed.* 132, 486–495. doi:10.1111/pbr. 12077

Somers, D. J., Isaac, P., and Edwards, K. (2004). A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 109, 1105–1114. doi:10.1007/s00122-004-1740-7

Stone, P. J., and Nicolas, M. E. (1994). Wheat cultivars vary widely in their responses of grain yield and quality to short periods of post-anthesis heat stress. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 21, 887–900. doi:10.1071/PP9940887

Sun, L., Wen, J., Peng, H., Yao, Y., Hu, Z., Ni, Z., et al. (2021). The genetic and molecular basis for improving heat stress tolerance in wheat. *aBIOTECH* 3, 1–15. doi:10.1007/s42994-021-00064-z

Tamilkumar, P., Senthil, N., Sureshkumar, S., Thangavelu, A. U., Nagarajan, P., Vellaikumar, S., et al. (2014). Introgression of low phytic acid locus (lpa2-2) into elite Maize (*Zea mays L.*) inbred through marker assisted backcross breeding. *Aus J. Crop Sci.* 8, 1224–1231.

Tewolde, H., Fernandez, C. J., and Erickson, C. A. (2006). Wheat cultivars adapted to post-heading high temperature stress. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 192, 111–120. doi:10.1111/j.1439-037x.2006.00189.x

Todkar, L., Singh, G. P., Jain, N., Singh, P. K., and Prabhu, K. V. (2020). Introgression of drought tolerance QTLs through marker assisted backcross breeding in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 80 (02), 209–212. doi:10.31742/ijgpb.80.2.12

Torada, A., Koike, M., Ikeguchi, S., and Tsutsui, I. (2008). Mapping of a major locus controlling seed dormancy using bachcrossed progenies in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Genome* 51, 426–432. doi:10.1139/G08-007

Ullah, A., Nadeem, F., Nawaz, A., Siddique, K. H., and Farooq, M. (2022). Heat stress effects on the reproductive physiology and yield of wheat. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 208 (1), 1–17. doi:10.1111/jac.12572

Van Berloo, R. (1999). Computer note. GGT: Software for the display of graphical genotypes. J. Hered. 90, 328–329. doi:10.1093/jhered/90.2.328

Wardlaw, I. F., Dawson, I. A., Munibi, P., and Fewster, R. (1989). The tolerance of wheat to high temperatures during reproductive growth. I. Survey procedures and general response patterns. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 40, 1–3. doi:10.1071/ar9890001

Wardlaw, I. F., and Moncur, L. (1995). The response of wheat to high temperature following anthesis: The rate and duration of kernel filling. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 22, 391–397. doi:10.1071/pp9950391

Wardlaw, I. F., and Wrigley, C. W. (1994). Heat tolerance in temperate cereals: An overview. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 21, 695–703. doi:10.1071/PP9940695

Yadav, D. K., Pawar, I. S., Sharma, G. R., and Lamba, R. A. (2006). Evaluation of variability parameters and path analysis in bread wheat. *Natl. J. Plant Improv* 8, 86–89.

Zhou, R. H., Zhu, Z. D., Kong, X. Y., Huo, N. X., Tian, Q. Z., Li, P., et al. (2005). Development of wheat near isogenic lines for powdery mildew resistance. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110, 640–648. doi:10.1007/s00122-004-1889-0