Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qinghai Huang, Changhai Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY Alexey V. Polonikov, Kursk State Medical University, Russia Chao Ling, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (CAMS). China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jie Sun, ⊠ fyysunjie@nbu.edu.cn Yi Huang, ⊠ huangy102@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Epigenomics and Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 05 November 2022 ACCEPTED 28 December 2022 PUBLISHED 09 January 2023

CITATION

Xu T, Yu X, Zhou S, Wu Y, Deng X, Wu Y, Wang S, Gao X, Nie S, Zhou C, Sun J and Huang Y (2023), DNA methylation and mRNA expression of glutathione Stransferase alpha 4 are associated with intracranial aneurysms in a genderdependent manner. *Front. Genet.* 13:1079455. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1079455

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Xu, Yu, Zhou, Wu, Deng, Wu, Wang, Gao, Nie, Zhou, Sun and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

DNA methylation and mRNA expression of glutathione S-transferase alpha 4 are associated with intracranial aneurysms in a gender-dependent manner

Tianqi Xu^{1,2}, Xi Yu³, Shenjun Zhou^{2,4}, Yiwen Wu⁴, Xinpeng Deng⁴, Yuefei Wu¹, Shiyi Wang^{1,5}, Xiang Gao⁴, Sheng Nie⁴, Chenhui Zhou^{2,4}, Jie Sun⁴* and Yi Huang^{2,4}*

¹Department of Neurology, Ningbo First Hospital, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China, ²Key Laboratory of Precision Medicine for Atherosclerotic Diseases of Zhejiang Province, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China, ³Department of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery, Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China, ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Ningbo First Hospital, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China, ⁵Medical School of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China

Objective: We performed a case-control study to investigate the correlation between DNA methylation and mRNA expression of the glutathione S-transferase alpha 4 (*GSTA4*) gene and the risk of intracranial aneurysm (IA) in the Chinese Han population.

Methods: After propensity score matching, 44 pairs of cases and controls were collected in this study. Fasting blood samples were collected for DNA and RNA extraction within 24 h of admission. Nine CpG dinucleotides were selected from the GSTA4 promoter region for DNA methylation pyrosequencing. mRNA expression of GSTA4 was measured by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). *In vitro* cell experiments were conducted to verify the association between 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine induced DNA hypomethylation and GSTA4 mRNA expression.

Results: The mean methylation level of GSTA4 was much lower in IA patients, especially in IA patients, especially in unruptured IA (UIA), than that in controls (IA vs. Control, p < .001; ruptured IA (RIA) vs. Control, p = .005; UIA vs. Control, p < .001). With sex stratification, we further found that the association between GSTA4 methylation and IA risk presented only in women (mean methylation level: IA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .009; UIA vs. Control, p < .001). GSTA4 mRNA expression was significantly higher in the IA group than in the control group (p < .01) and negatively correlated with DNA methylation in all individuals (r = -.746, p < .001). DNA hypomethylation can increase GSTA4 mRNA expression in human primary artery smooth muscle cells. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve showed that GSTA4 mean methylation (AUC = .80, p < .001) was a reliable predictor of women intracranial aneurysm, among which CpG 1 exhibited the best predictive value (AUC = .89, p < .001). In addition, GSTA4 expression levels could also predict the risk of IA in women (AUC = .87, p = .005).

Conclusion: Decreased DNA methylation and increased mRNA expression of the GSTA4 gene are associated with the risk of IA in women.

KEYWORDS

intracranial aneurysm, DNA methylation, mRNA expression, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine, glutathione S-tranferase alpha 4

Introduction

Intracranial aneurysm (IA) is a common cerebrovascular disorder that presents as abnormal enlargement of the local cerebral arterial cavity. Rupture of the IA can develop into subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), which is a fatal acute event (Etminan and Rinkel, 2016). Epidemiological surveys have shown that IA occurs in one in 20–30 adults, and one in four IAs will rupture during the patient's lifetime (Korja and Kaprio, 2016; Chen et al., 2018). Congenital cerebral vascular dysplasia is a vital cause of IA. Acquired risk factors, including atherosclerosis, infection, and trauma, aggravate the pathological process (Jin et al., 2019; Etminan et al., 2020). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have confirmed that many genes are involved in the pathophysiology of IA (Bakker et al., 2020; Bakker and Ruigrok, 2021). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying IA formation are not yet fully understood.

DNA methylation is the main form of epigenetic modification that can alter gene expression without changing the DNA sequence. DNA methylation in gene promoter regions is closely related to reduced mRNA expression and protein levels (Palou-Marquez et al., 2021). DNA methylation can serve as a bridge between genetic and environmental factors in cerebrovascular diseases (Yu et al., 2017; Law and Holland, 2019). Wang et al. reported that long-term cigarette smoking could increase DNA methylation levels of gene-encoding polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1 (*PTBP1*), which further reduces PTBP1 expression and contributes to the formation of IA (Wang et al., 2021). Sex plays a vital role in DNA methylation. Liu et al. (2020) observed significant associations between phospholipase A2 group VII (*PLA2G7*) methylation and the risk of developing IA in women.

Literature data showed that the glutathione system might contribute to the formation of aneurysms through mechanisms related to increased oxidative stress, and a variety of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) may play a role in protecting the cell from oxidative damage (Jeong et al., 2021; Perez-Torres et al., 2022). Glutathione S-transferase alpha 4 (GSTA4) is a phase 2 detoxifying enzyme, a member of the α -class of GSTs (Carlstrom et al., 2020). By catalyzing conjugation reactions of metabolites of lipid peroxidation to glutathione (GSH), GSTA4 participates in regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis (Laborde, 2010). GSTA4 is expressed in the cerebral cortex and brainstem and is involved in the development of gliomas (Cheng et al., 2022). Overexpression of human GSTA4 in carotid arteries can reduce neointimal formation after carotid allografts (Xu et al., 2010). In addition, GSTA4 has been reported to be critical for the phenotypic transition of vascular smooth muscle cells (SMCs). Lou et al. reported that GSTA4 overexpression inhibits SMC proliferation and activation (Luo et al., 2018). SMCs and the collagen fibers they secrete provide structural support to the cerebral arteries. Disruption of the balance between SMC proliferation and apoptosis contributes to IA formation (Frosen, 2014; Kataoka et al., 2020). In this study, we hypothesized that GSTA4 methylation is involved in the pathological process of IA. In this case-control study, we investigated the association between DNA methylation and mRNA expression of the GSTA4 gene with the risk of IA in the Chinese Han population.

Materials and methods

Participants

This was a single-center case-control study. The clinical sample collection has been described in previously published study (Wang et al., 2021). Briefly, Patients with IA and age-/sex-matched controls were recruited from Ningbo First Hospital. All IA patients were diagnosed using computed tomography angiography (CTA) or digital subtraction angiography (DSA). Healthy controls were excluded if they had cerebrovascular, cardiovascular, or other serious disorders. Fasting blood samples were obtained from participants within 24 h of hospital admission. Plasma levels of triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), highdensity lipoprotein (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) were measured using an automatic biochemical analyzer (Olympus AU2700, Tokyo, Japan). After propensity score matching, 44 pairs of cases and controls were included in the following GSTA4 methylation analysis. There were 22 patients with a ruptured IA (RIA) and 22 with an unruptured IA (UIA) in the case group.

Pyrosequencing assay

Genomic DNA was extracted from human peripheral blood using an automatic nucleic acid extraction apparatus (LAB-AID 820, Xiamen, China). *GSTA4* is located on Chr6:52,977,953-52,995,284 (GRCh38/hg38). Nine CpG dinucleotides were selected from the promoter region for DNA methylation pyrosequencing. The EpiTect Fast Bisulfite Conversion. Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for bisulfite conversion. DNA methylation levels were measured using PyroMark Q24 (Qiagen). Polymerase chain reaction primers were designed using PyroMark Assay Design software (Qiagen). Primer sequences for the nine CpG regions of *GSTA4* were as follows: forward primer, 5'-AGGGAAAAAAAAGAAGAAGAGAAAAGG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-biotin-TTTAACACTATCCAAAATACCTTACAAA-3'; and sequencing primer, 5'-GGAGATAGATTTGGAGTTTA-3'.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions (RT-qPCR)

A total of 40 gender-age-matched participants (including 20 IAs and 20 controls) were collected for mRNA detection. The TRIzol-based method was used for DNA-free RNA extraction from blood samples (Meng and Feldman, 2010). The SYBR Green Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and LightCycler 480 system (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) were used for RT-qPCR. β -actin was used as an internal reference, whose primer sequences were described in previous study (Zhang et al., 2018). qPCR primers for *GSTA4* were as follows: forward primer, 5'-GTGAGAACCGTC TACAACAT-30; reverse primer, 5'- TGAACTGGCTACAGG ACAT-3'.

Character	IA (<i>n</i> = 44)	Control ($n = 44$)	t/x	p
Age (year)	47.73 ± 5.74	46.30 ± 6.02	1.14	.257
Sex (Male, n)	22	22	.03	.980
Hypertension (n)	8	3	1.22	.206
Diabetes (n)	1	4	.88	.362
Drinking (n)	10	5	1.43	.273
Smoking (n)	12	9	.35	.631
TG (mmol/L)	1.53 ± .87	1.61 ± 1.41	.31	.754
TC (mmol/L)	4.96 ± .81	4.80 ± .88	.89	.372
HDL (mmol/L)	1.19 ± .23	1.27 ± .26	1.48	.140
LDL (mmol/L)	3.05 ± .68	2.94 ± .62	.77	.441

TABLE 1 The clinical characteristics of participants after propensity score matching.

TG, Triglycerides; TC, Total cholesterol; HDL, High-density lipoprotein; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein.

Cell culture and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (AZA) treatment

Human brain vascular smooth muscle cells (HBVSMCs) (Catalog #1100, ScienCell, Carlsbad, Canada) were used in this study. Cells were cultured in six-well plates at a density of 1×10^6 cells/well in smooth muscle cell medium (SMCM) (ScienCell, Carlsbad, Canada). AZA (Sigma-Aldrich; Darmstadt, Germany) is a DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitor typically used to induce DNA hypomethylation. Cells were treated with three different concentrations of AZA (5, 15, and 25 μ M) for 3 days to assess the regulatory role of DNA methylation in *GSTA4* gene expression. Total RNA was extracted from the cells for RT-qPCR analysis.

Statistical analyses

SPSS (v.23.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States) and GraphPad Prism v.7.0 (GraphPad Software, CA, United States) were used to conduct statistical analyses in this study. Propensity score matching analysis was used to control for confounders on the results. For continuous variables, Student's t-test was used for group non-normal comparison. For continuous variables, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used. Associations between GSTA4 methylation and clinical characteristics were assessed using Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficient analysis. The predictive value of GSTA4 methylation levels for the risk of IA was evaluated using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Statistical significance was set at p values <.05.

Results

The clinical baseline and other characteristics (such as age, hypertension, diabetes, alcohol drinking, cigarette smoking status, plasma levels of TG, TC, HDL, and LDL, etc.) were not statistically different between IA and control groups (p > .05, Table 1). The nine dinucleotide loci (CpG1, CpG2, CpG3, CpG4, CpG5, CpG6, CpG7, CpG8, CpG9) in the *GSTA4* promoter region (Chr6:52,977,953-

52,995,284, GRCh38/hg38) were selected for DNA methylation pyrosequencing (Figure 1). Figure 2 shows significant correlations involving the methylation levels of all nine CpGs (p < .05). However, age and blood lipids, including TG, TC, LDL, and HDL, were not associated with *GSTA4* methylation in men and women.

The DNA methylation levels of *GSTA4* in the IAs were lower than those in the controls. As shown in Table 2, the significant differences were found in CpG1 (IA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .033; UIA vs. Control, p = .001), CpG2 (IA vs. Control, p = .002; RIA vs. Control, p = .035; UIA vs. Control, p = .002), CpG3 (IA vs. Control, p < .001; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .001), CpG4 (IA vs. Control, p < .001; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .001), CpG4 (IA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003), CpG5 (IA vs. Control, p = .024; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .003; UIA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .005; UIA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .005; UIA

Sex is a crucial factor that influences DNA methylation levels. With sex stratification, we further found that the association between GSAT4 methylation and IA risk was present only in women. As shown in Table 3, the significant differences were found in CpG1 levels among women (IA vs. Control, *p* < .001; RIA vs. Control, *p* = .001; UIA vs. Control, *p* < .001), CpG2 (IA vs. Control, p = .005; UIA vs. Control, p = .006), CpG3 (IA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .002; UIA vs. Control, p = .011), CpG4 (IA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .020; UIA vs. Control, *p* = .002), CpG5 (IA vs. Control, *p* = .026; RIA vs. Control, *p* = .039), CpG6 (IA vs. Control, p = .002; RIA vs. Control, p = .039; UIA vs. Control, p =.002), CpG7 (IA vs. Control, *p* = .003; UIA vs. Control, *p* < .001), CpG8 (IA vs. Control, p = .002; UIA vs. Control, p < .001), CpG9 (IA vs. Control, p = .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .04; UIA vs. Control, p = .003), Mean methylation levels of the nine CpG sites (IA vs. Control, p < .001; RIA vs. Control, p = .009; UIA vs. Control, p < .001). However, no significant differences were found in mean methylation level in men (p > .05, Table 4). Subsequent sex comparison analysis showed that compared to that in healthy men, healthy women had higher GSTA4 methylation levels CpG1 (p = .004, Table 5), CpG2 (p = .024), CpG3 (*p* = .042), CpG4 (*p* = .041), CpG6 (*p* = .038), CpG8 (*p* = .035),





Pearson correlation analysis involving *GSTA4* methylation status and clinical characters. (A) In men and women: methylation levels of all nine CpGs were significantly correlated. (B) In men: methylation levels of all nine CpGs were significantly correlated. (C) In women: methylation levels of all nine CpGs were significantly correlated.

CpG9 (p = .02), mean methylation levels of the nine CpG sites (p = .019). However, only CpG1 presented a significant difference between women and man IA (p = .013).

GSTA4 mRNA expression was significantly higher in the IA group than in the control group (p < .01, Figure 3A). Sex group analysis showed that *GSTA4* mRNA expression was significantly higher in the women IA group (p < .01, Figure 3B), but not in men IA group (p > .05, Figure 3C). In addition, spearman correlation analysis indicated that *GSTA4* mRNA expression was negatively correlated with DNA methylation levels in all individuals (r = -.746, p < .001, Figure 3D). *In vitro* experiments also demonstrated that DNA hypomethylation induced by AZA could increase *GSTA4* mRNA expression in HBVSMCs (p < .01, Figure 3E).

It is well known that cigarette smoking influences DNA methylation levels, contributing to the formation of IA. Therefore, we performed subgroup analysis stratified by smoker or non-smoker in all participants. No significant differences were found in *GSTA4* methylation between smoker and non-smoker group (p > .05,

Character	Control ($n = 44$)	IA (<i>n</i> = 44)	RIA (<i>n</i> = 22)	UIA (<i>n</i> = 22)	p IA vs. control	p RIA vs. control	p UIA vs. control
CpG1 (%)	12.84 ± 3.23	10.33 ± 3.55	10.91 ± 3.71	9.75 ± 3.36	.001	.033	.001
CpG2 (%)	10.28 ± 3.73	7.99 ± 2.95	8.26 ± 3.28	7.72 ± 2.64	.002	.035	.002
CpG3 (%)	7.13 ± 2.42	5.49 ± 1.36	5.52 ± 1.26	5.45 ± 1.48	<.001	.001	.001
CpG4 (%)	6.73 ± 2.37	5.09 ± 1.26	5.31 ± 1.41	4.88 ± 1.08	<.001	.003	.074
CpG5 (%)	11.37 ± 3.51	9.73 ± 3.19	9.81 ± 2.55	9.66 ± 3.79	.024	.043	.001
CpG6 (%)	5.68 ± 1.71	4.55 ± 1.20	4.62 ± 1.31	4.47 ± 1.10	.001	.008	<.001
CpG7 (%)	7.30 ± 2.07	6.04 ± 1.30	6.22 ± 1.59	5.87 ± .92	.001	.023	<.001
CpG8 (%)	7.27 ± 1.80	6.05 ± 1.16	6.30 ± 1.16	5.80 ± 1.13	<.001	.011	.029
CpG9 (%)	6.71 ± 2.02	5.94 ± 1.25	6.10 ± 1.15	5.77 ± 1.34	.034	.127	.001
Mean (%)	8.37 ± 2.30	6.80 ± 1.52	7.01 ± 1.50	6.60 ± 1.55	<.001	.005	<.001

TABLE 2 DNA methylation differences of nine CpGs dinucleotides of GSTA4 gene between IAs and controls.

Abbreviation: GSTA4, Glutathione S-transferase alpha 4; IA, intracranial aneurysm; RIA, ruptured intracranial aneurysm; UIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm. Data were presented as mean ± SD. The *t*-test was used for group comparison. The *p*-value less than or equal to .05 is in bold.

TABLE 3 DNA methylation d	differences of nine CpGs dinu	ucleotides of GSTA4 gene bet	ween IA and control in women.
---------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

Character	Control ($n = 22$)	IA (<i>n</i> = 22)	RIA (<i>n</i> = 11)	UIA (<i>n</i> = 11)	p IA vs. control	p RIA vs. control	p UIA vs. control
CpG1 (%)	14.19 ± 3.24	9.03 ± 3.19	9.31 ± 3.91	8.74 ± 2.44	<.001	.001	<.001
CpG2 (%)	11.53 ± 3.65	8.31 ± 3.54	8.83 ± 4.07	7.78 ± 3.04	.005	.063	.006
CpG3 (%)	7.86 ± 2.35	5.71 ± 1.49	5.70 ± 1.39	5.42 ± 1.72	.001	.002	.011
CpG4 (%)	7.46 ± 2.47	5.11 ± 1.35	5.42 ± 1.72	4.80 ± .82	<.001	.020	.002
CpG5 (%)	12.20 ± 3.83	9.69 ± 3.36	9.79 ± 2.52	9.60 ± 4.16	.026	.039	.084
CpG6 (%)	6.21 ± 1.80	4.61 ± 1.43	4.81 ± 1.68	4.41 ± 1.17	.002	.039	.002
CpG7 (%)	7.83 ± 1.97	6.16 ± 1.59	6.62 ± 1.96	5.70 ± .98	.003	.106	<.001
CpG8 (%)	7.83 ± 1.77	6.28 ± 1.23	6.69 ± 1.31	5.86 ± 1.02	.002	.070	<.001
CpG9 (%)	7.41 ± 1.71	5.80 ± 1.34	6.13 ± 1.33	5.47 ± 1.31	.001	.040	.003
Mean (%)	9.17 ± 2.25	6.74 ± 1.55	7.03 ± 1.69	6.45 ± 1.43	<.001	.009	<.001

Abbreviation: GSTA4, Glutathione S-transferase alpha 4; IA, intracranial aneurysm; RIA, ruptured intracranial aneurysm; UIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm. Data were presented as mean ± SD. The *t*-test was used for group comparison. The *p*-value less than or equal to .05 is in bold.

Character	Control ($n = 22$)	IA (<i>n</i> = 22)	RIA (<i>n</i> = 11)	UIA (<i>n</i> = 11)	p IA vs. control	p RIA vs. control	p UIA vs. control
CpG1 (%)	11.50 ± 2.66	11.64 ± 3.47	12.51 ± 2.83	10.77 ± 2.94	.879	.322	.536
CpG2 (%)	9.02 ± 3.45	7.68 ± 2.25	7.69 ± 2.31	7.66 ± 2.31	.134	.258	.124
CpG3 (%)	6.39 ± 2.31	5.27 ± 1.22	5.35 ± 1.15	5.20 ± 1.33	.053	.17	.07
CpG4 (%)	6.00 ± 2.08	5.08 ± 1.19	5.19 ± 1.09	4.96 ± 1.33	.078	.152	.141
CpG5 (%)	10.55 ± 3.02	9.78 ± 3.09	9.82 ± 2.71	9.73 ± 3.57	.405	.503	.494
CpG6 (%)	5.15 ± 1.47	4.48 ± .94	4.44 ± .85	4.53 ± 1.06	.083	.151	.224
CpG7 (%)	6.77 ± 2.07	5.93 ± .95	5.82 ± 1.05	6.03 ± .86	.093	.09	.161
CpG8 (%)	6.70 ± 1.67	5.83 ± 1.06	5.91 ± .86	5.74 ± 1.27	.046	.083	.104
CpG9 (%)	6.00 ± 2.10	6.07 ± 1.16	6.07 ± .99	6.07 ± 1.37	.903	.928	.927
Mean (%)	7.57 ± 2.11	6.86 ± 1.53	6.98 ± 1.37	6.74 ± 1.73	.211	.409	.273

TABLE 4 DNA methylation differences of nine CpGs dinucleotides of GSTA4 gene between IA and control in men.

Abbreviation: GSTA4, Glutathione S-transferase alpha 4; IA, intracranial aneurysm. Data were presented as mean ± SD. The *t*-test was used for group comparison. The *p*-value less than or equal to .05 is in bold.

TABLE 5 The gender differences in DNA methylation of nine CpGs of GSTA4 gene in IAs and controls respectively.

Character	Men	Women		р	
All	(n = 44)	(n = 44)			
CpG1 (%)	11.57 ± 3.06	11.61 ± 4.11	.05	.962	
CpG2 (%)	8.35 ± 2.96	9.92 ± 3.91	2.12	.037	
CpG3 (%)	5.83 ± 1.91	6.78 ± 2.23	2.15	.034	
CpG4 (%)	5.54 ± 1.74	6.29 ± 2.30	1.72	.090	
CpG5 (%)	10.16 ± 3.05	10.95 ± 3.78	1.07	.287	
CpG6 (%)	4.82 ± 1.26	5.41 ± 1.80	1.79	.078	
CpG7 (%)	6.35 ± 1.65	6.99 ± 1.96	1.66	.100	
CpG8 (%)	6.26 ± 1.45	7.05 ± 1.70	2.35	.021	
CpG9 (%)	6.04 ± 1.68	6.60 ± 1.72	1.56	.121	
Mean (%)	7.21 ± 1.86	7.96 ± 2.27	1.68	.096	
IA	(<i>n</i> = 22)	(<i>n</i> = 22)			
CpG1 (%)	11.64 ± 3.47	9.03 ± 3.19	2.61	.013	
CpG2 (%)	7.68 ± 2.25	8.31 ± 3.55	.7	.487	
CpG3 (%)	5.27 ± 1.22	5.71 ± 1.49	1.06	.297	
CpG4 (%)	5.07 ± 1.19	5.11 ± 1.35	.09	.925	
CpG5 (%)	9.78 ± 3.09	9.69 ± 3.36	.08	.935	
CpG6 (%)	4.48 ± .94	4.61 ± 1.43	.34	.735	
CpG7 (%)	5.93 ± .95	6.16 ± 1.59	.58	.561	
CpG8 (%)	5.83 ± 1.06	6.28 ± 1.23	1.31	.199	
CpG9 (%)	6.07 ± 1.16	5.80 ± 1.34	.702	.487	
Mean (%)	6.86 ± 1.53	6.74 ± 1.55	.25	.803	
Control	(<i>n</i> = 22)	(<i>n</i> = 22)			
CpG1 (%)	11.50 ± 2.66	14.19 ± 3.24	3	.004	
CpG2 (%)	9.02 ± 3.45	11.53 ± 3.65	2.35	.024	
CpG3 (%)	6.39 ± 2.31	7.86 ± 2.35	2.1	.042	
CpG4 (%)	6.00 ± 2.08	7.46 ± 2.47	2.11	.041	
CpG5 (%)	10.55 ± 3.02	12.20 ± 3.83	1.59	.121	
CpG6 (%)	5.15 ± 1.47	6.21 ± 1.79	2.14	.038	
CpG7 (%)	6.77 ± 2.08	7.83 ± 1.97	1.73	.091	
CpG8 (%)	6.70 ± 1.67	7.83 ± 1.77	2.18	.035	
CpG9 (%)	6.01 ± 2.10	7.41 ± 1.71	2.42	.020	
Mean (%)	7.57 ± 2.11	9.17 ± 2.25	2.44	.019	

Abbreviation: GSTA4, Glutathione S-transferase alpha 4; IA, intracranial aneurysm; Data were presented as mean ± SD. The *t*-test was used for group comparison. The *p*-value less than or equal to .05 is in bold.

Figure 3F). The *GSTA4* methylation in non-smoker IAs were much lower than that in the smoker controls and non-smoker controls (p < .05), but no statistical differences were found in smoker IAs (p > .05, Figure 3G). A similar result was also shown in *GSTA4* mRNA expression between smoker and non-smoker groups (p > .05, Figure 3H).

With subgroup comparisons, we discovered that decreased DNA methylation and increased mRNA expression of *GSTA4* were associated with the risk of IA in women but not in men. The predictive power of *GSTA4* methylation status on IA was further tested using ROC curve analysis. We found that *GSTA4* mean methylation (AUC = .80, p < .001, Figure 4A) was a reliable predictor of women IA, of which



FIGURE 3

Significant association between *GSTA4* mRNA expression and DNA methylation. (A) mRNA expression levels in the IA group were higher than that in controls. (B) mRNA expression levels in women IAs were higher than that in women controls. (C) No statistical significance was observed between men IAs and men controls. (D) *GSTA4* mRNA expression was correlated with its DNA methylation levels in all participants. (E) DNA hypomethylation induced by AZA increased the mRNA expression of the *GSTA4* gene in HBVSMCs. (F,G) *GSTA4* methylation levels in between smoker and non-smoker group. (H) *GSTA4* mRNA expression between smoker and non-smoker groups.



CpG1 exhibited the best predictive value (AUC = .89, p < .001, Figure 4B). In addition, *GSTA4* expression levels could also predict the risk of IA in women (AUC = .87, p = .005, Figure 4C).

Discussion

This case-control study investigated the association between GSTA4 methylation and expression and IA risk. We found that

DNA methylation of *GSTA4* negatively correlated with its mRNA expression. Patients exhibited decreased methylation and increased expression of *GSTA4* compared to that in controls. *GSTA4* methylation and expression are reliable predictors of IA in women.

GSTA4 is a phase 2 detoxifying enzyme that modulates cell proliferation and apoptosis by regulating lipid peroxidation. Previous studies have reported that decreased expression of GSTA4 is associated with positive outcomes in glioma models (Cheng et al., 2022), whereas increased expression of

GSTA4 promotes the malignant progression of liver cancer (Liu et al., 2017). Notably, GSTA4 is involved in SMC proliferation (Luo et al., 2018) and may thus play a vital role in the pathological process of IA. As a member of the human α -class of GSTs, *GSTA4* is the only one that has a gene promoter CpG island (Peng et al., 2008). Promoter DNA methylation usually downregulates mRNA levels and, consequently, protein expression of target genes (Moore et al., 2013). Our data also indicated that decreased *GSTA4* mRNA expression.

The main finding of this study was that patients have lower GSTA4 methylation and higher GSTA4 expression than that in healthy controls. The pathological formation of IA is influenced by complex interactions between genetic and environmental risk factors such as congenital dysgenesis and acquired atherosclerosis of the cerebral arteries (Lawton et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2021). A previous study reported that overexpressing GSTA4 can prevent neointima formation in carotid arteries and suppress SMC activation and proliferation (Xu et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2018). SMCs and the collagen fibers they secrete provide structural support to cerebral arteries. Disruption of the balance between SMC proliferation and apoptosis potentially contributes to IA formation (Frosen, 2014; Kataoka et al., 2020). This may partly explain why patients with IA tended to have lower GSTA4 methylation and higher GSTA4 expression. In addition, as an epigenetic modification, DNA methylation can be involved in disease progression and serve as a marker of environmental exposures, such as tobacco smoking, lipid peroxidation, and inflammation (Gao et al., 2019; Christiansen et al., 2021). Consistent with this hypothesis, DNA methylation of the GSTA4 promoter, which contains antioxidant response elements, may act as an adaptive mechanism through which they are transcriptionally activated during exposure to lipid peroxidation of the vascular wall in IA patients (Benes et al., 2013). Therefore, lower levels of GSTA4 methylation may serve as a biomarker of vascular impairment in patients with IA.

There are sex-based differences in morbidity, rupture risk, and prognosis in patients (Korja et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2019; Ogilvy et al., 2020). DNA methylation reportedly plays a vital role in sexspecific characteristics in the human brain (Xu et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2021). In addition, GSTA4 expression has sexually differential characteristics that are probably regulated by female hormones (Faustino et al., 2012; Tierbach et al., 2018). In this study, we observed that healthy women had higher GSTA4 methylation levels than healthy men. Notably, GSTA4 methylation and expression are reliable predictors of IA risk in women but not in men. Recent studies have reported that GSTA4 knockout results in more severe hearing loss in female mice than in male mice in a cisplatin toxicity experiment (Park et al., 2019). Collectively, these results suggest that interactions between estrogen and GSTA4 methylation may affect IA progression.

Our study had certain limitations. First, *GSTA4* methylation levels in UIA patients were slightly lower than those in RIA patients. However, this was not a statistically significant difference, which may be attributed to the relatively small sample size. Second, our results showed that *GSTA4* methylation was not significantly associated with smoking, which may be due to the fact that too few smoking patients were included in the analysis. Therefore, external validation trials with larger cohorts are essential. Third, *in vivo* animal experiments are needed to verify the effects of *GSTA4* overexpression or suppression on the SMC phenotypic transition and IA formation. In future studies, we will further supplement this finding.

In conclusion, we established that IA patients had lower levels of *GSTA4* promoter methylation and higher *GSTA4* expression than controls. *GSTA4* methylation and mRNA expression may be reliable predictive markers of the occurrence of women IA.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants and all study protocols were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Ningbo First Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JS, XG, and YH contributed to the conception and design of the study. TX, XY, SZ, YWW, YFW, SN, and CZ organized the database and experiments. XY and SW performed the statistical analysis. TX and YH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. YH carried out the review and revision of the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the grants from the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY22H090001), Medicine and health science and technology projects of Zhejiang province (2022KY305, 2022KY322), Ningbo medical and health brand discipline (PPXK 2018-04) and Ningbo Science and Technology Innovation 2025 Major Project (2022Z134), Key Laboratory of Precision Medicine for Atherosclerotic Diseases of Zhejiang Province (2022E10026).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Bakker, M. K., and Ruigrok, Y. M. (2021). Genetics of intracranial aneurysms. *Stroke* 52, 3004–3012. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.032621

Bakker, M. K., van der Spek, R., van Rheenen, W., Morel, S., Bourcier, R., Hostettler, I. C., et al. (2020). Genome-wide association study of intracranial aneurysms identifies 17 risk loci and genetic overlap with clinical risk factors. *Nat. Genet.* 52, 1303–1313. doi:10. 1038/s41588-020-00725-7

Benes, H., Vuong, M. K., Boerma, M., McElhanon, K. E., Siegel, E. R., and Singh, S. P. (2013). Protection from oxidative and electrophilic stress in the gsta4-null mouse heart. *Cardiovasc Toxicol.* 13, 347–356. doi:10.1007/s12012-013-9215-1

Carlstrom, K. E., Zhu, K., Ewing, E., Krabbendam, I. E., Harris, R. A., Falcao, A. M., et al. (2020). Gsta4 controls apoptosis of differentiating adult oligodendrocytes during homeostasis and remyelination via the mitochondria-associated fas-casp8-bid-axis. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4071. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17871-5

Chen, J., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Tian, Z., Wang, K., Zhang, Y., et al. (2018). China intracranial aneurysm project (ciap): Protocol for a registry study on a multidimensional prediction model for rupture risk of unruptured intracranial aneurysms. *J. Transl. Med.* 16, 263. doi:10.1186/s12967-018-1641-1

Cheng, B., Hong, X., Wang, L., Cao, Y., Qin, D., Zhou, H., et al. (2022). Curzerene suppresses progression of human glioblastoma through inhibition of glutathione s-transferase a4. *Cns Neurosci. Ther.* 28, 690–702. doi:10.1111/cns.13800

Christiansen, C., Castillo-Fernandez, J. E., Domingo-Relloso, A., Zhao, W., El-Sayed, M. J., Tsai, P. C., et al. (2021). Novel dna methylation signatures of tobacco smoking with trans-ethnic effects. *Clin. Epigenetics* 13, 36. doi:10.1186/s13148-021-01018-4

Etminan, N., Dorfler, A., and Steinmetz, H. (2020). Unruptured intracranial aneurysmspathogenesis and individualized management. *Dtsch. Arztebl Int.* 117, 235–242. doi:10. 3238/arztebl.2020.0235

Etminan, N., and Rinkel, G. J. (2016). Unruptured intracranial aneurysms: Development, rupture and preventive management. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 12, 699–713. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2016.150

Faustino, L. C., Almeida, N. A., Pereira, G. F., Ramos, R. G., Soares, R. M., Morales, M. M., et al. (2012). Thyroid hormone and estradiol have overlapping effects on kidney glutathione s-transferase-alpha gene expression. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 303, E787–E797. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00223.2012

Frosen, J. (2014). Smooth muscle cells and the formation, degeneration, and rupture of saccular intracranial aneurysm wall--a review of current pathophysiological knowledge. *Transl. Stroke Res.* 5, 347–356. doi:10.1007/s12975-014-0340-3

Gao, X., Zhang, Y., Burwinkel, B., Xuan, Y., Holleczek, B., Brenner, H., et al. (2019). The associations of dna methylation alterations in oxidative stress-related genes with cancer incidence and mortality outcomes: A population-based cohort study. *Clin. Epigenetics* 11, 14. doi:10.1186/s13148-018-0604-y

Hu, S., Yu, N., Li, Y., Hao, Z., Liu, Z., and Li, M. H. (2019). A meta-analysis of risk factors for the formation of de novo intracranial aneurysms. *Neurosurgery* 85, 454–465. doi:10. 1093/neuros/nyy332

Jeong, S. J., Park, J. G., and Oh, G. T. (2021). Peroxiredoxins as potential targets for cardiovascular disease. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 10, 1244. doi:10.3390/antiox10081244

Jin, D., Song, C., Leng, X., and Han, P. (2019). A systematic review and meta-analysis of risk factors for unruptured intracranial aneurysm growth. *Int. J. Surg.* 69, 68–76. doi:10. 1016/j.ijsu.2019.07.023

Kataoka, H., Yagi, T., Ikedo, T., Imai, H., Kawamura, K., Yoshida, K., et al. (2020). Hemodynamic and histopathological changes in the early phase of the development of an intracranial aneurysm. *Neurol. Med. Chir. (Tokyo)* 60, 319–328. doi:10.2176/nmc.st.2020-0072

Korja, M., and Kaprio, J. (2016). Controversies in epidemiology of intracranial aneurysms and sah. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 12, 50-55. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2015.228

Korja, M., Lehto, H., and Juvela, S. (2014). Lifelong rupture risk of intracranial aneurysms depends on risk factors: A prospective Finnish cohort study. *Stroke* 45, 1958–1963. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.005318

Laborde, E. (2010). Glutathione transferases as mediators of signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation and cell death. *Cell Death Differ*. 17, 1373–1380. doi:10.1038/cdd. 2010.80

Law, P. P., and Holland, M. L. (2019). Dna methylation at the crossroads of gene and environment interactions. *Essays Biochem.* 63, 717–726. doi:10.1042/EBC20190031 Lawton, M. T., Rutledge, W. C., Kim, H., Stapf, C., Whitehead, K. J., Li, D. Y., et al. (2015). Brain arteriovenous malformations. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 1, 15008. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.8

Liu, C. J., Yang, J. H., Huang, F. Z., Nie, W. P., Liu, C. P., Mao, X. H., et al. (2017). Glutathione-s-transferase a 4 (gsta4) suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of human hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting akt pathway. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 9, 301–315.

Liu, Y., Wu, X., Nie, S., Zhou, S., Xiao, S., Gao, X., et al. (2020). Methylation of phospholipase a2 group vii gene is associated with brain arteriovenous malformations in han Chinese populations. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 70, 1056–1063. doi:10.1007/s12031-020-01508-9

Luo, J., Chen, G., Liang, M., Xie, A., Li, Q., Guo, Q., et al. (2018). Reduced expression of glutathione s-transferase alpha 4 promotes vascular neointimal hyperplasia in ckd. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 29, 505–517. doi:10.1681/ASN.2017030290

Meng, L., and Feldman, L. (2010). A rapid trizol-based two-step method for dna-free rna extraction from arabidopsis siliques and dry seeds. *Biotechnol. J.* 5, 183–186. doi:10.1002/biot.200900211

Moore, L. D., Le, T., and Fan, G. (2013). Dna methylation and its basic function. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 38, 23–38. doi:10.1038/npp.2012.112

Ogilvy, C. S., Gomez-Paz, S., Kicielinski, K. P., Salem, M. M., Maragkos, G. A., Lee, M., et al. (2020). Women with first-hand tobacco smoke exposure have a higher likelihood of having an unruptured intracranial aneurysm than nonsmokers: A nested case-control study. *Neurosurgery* 87, 1191–1198. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyaa227

Palou-Marquez, G., Subirana, I., Nonell, L., Fernandez-Sanles, A., and Elosua, R. (2021). Dna methylation and gene expression integration in cardiovascular disease. *Clin. Epigenetics* 13, 75. doi:10.1186/s13148-021-01064-y

Park, H., Kim, M., Rothenberger, C., Kumar, A., Sampson, E. M., Ding, D., et al. (2019). Gsta4 mediates reduction of cisplatin ototoxicity in female mice. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4150. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12073-0

Peng, D. F., Razvi, M., Chen, H., Washington, K., Roessner, A., Schneider-Stock, R., et al. (2008). Dna hypermethylation regulates the expression of members of the mu-class glutathione s-transferases and glutathione peroxidases in barrett's adenocarcinoma. *Gut* 58, 5–15. doi:10.1136/gut.2007.146290

Perez-Torres, I., Soto, M. E., Manzano-Pech, L., Diaz-Diaz, E., Soria-Castro, E., Rubio-Ruiz, M. E., et al. (2022). Oxidative stress in plasma from patients with marfan syndrome is modulated by deodorized garlic preliminary findings. Oxid. Med. Cell Longev. 2022, 5492127. doi:10.1155/2022/5492127

Tierbach, A., Groh, K. J., Schonenberger, R., Schirmer, K., and Suter, M. J. (2018). Glutathione s-transferase protein expression in different life stages of zebrafish (danio rerio). *Toxicol. Sci.* 162, 702–712. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfx293

Wang, Z., Zhou, S., Zhao, J., Nie, S., Sun, J., Gao, X., et al. (2021). Tobacco smoking increases methylation of polypyrimidine tract binding protein 1 promoter in intracranial aneurysms. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 13, 688179. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2021.688179

Xia, Y., Dai, R., Wang, K., Jiao, C., Zhang, C., Xu, Y., et al. (2021). Sex-differential dna methylation and associated regulation networks in human brain implicated in the sexbiased risks of psychiatric disorders. *Mol. Psychiatr.* 26, 835–848. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0416-2

Xu, H., Wang, F., Liu, Y., Yu, Y., Gelernter, J., and Zhang, H. (2014). Sex-biased methylome and transcriptome in human prefrontal cortex. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 1260–1270. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddt516

Xu, Y., Gong, B., Yang, Y., Awasthi, Y. C., and Boor, P. J. (2010). Adenovirus-mediated overexpression of glutathione-s-transferase mitigates transplant arteriosclerosis in rabbit carotid allografts. *Transplantation* 89, 409–416. doi:10.1097/TP.0b013e3181c69838

Yu, L., Wang, J., Wang, S., Zhang, D., Zhao, Y., Wang, R., et al. (2017). Dna methylation regulates gene expression in intracranial aneurysms. *World Neurosurg*. 105, 28–36. doi:10. 1016/j.wneu.2017.04.064

Zhang, Y., Wang, L., Meng, L., Cao, G. K., Zhao, Y. L., and Wu, Y. (2018). Expression changes of autophagy-related proteins in aki patients treated with crrt and their effects on prognosis of adult and elderly patients. *Immun. Ageing* 15, 23. doi:10.1186/s12979-018-0128-5

Zhong, W., Su, W., Li, T., Tan, X., Chen, C., Wang, Q., et al. (2021). Aneurysm wall enhancement in unruptured intracranial aneurysms: A histopathological evaluation. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 10, e018633. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.018633