



CRISPR/Cas Genome Editing in Potato: Current Status and Future Perspectives

Jagesh Kumar Tiwari¹*, Tanuja Buckseth¹, Clarissa Challam², Rasna Zinta^{1,3}, Nisha Bhatia^{1,4}, Dalamu Dalamu¹, Sharmistha Naik^{1,5}, Anuj K. Poonia⁴, Rajesh K. Singh¹, Satish K. Luthra⁶, Vinod Kumar¹ and Manoj Kumar⁶

¹ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla, India, ²ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, Shillong, India, ³School of Bioengineering and Biosciences, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, India, ⁴School of Biotechnology, Shoolini University, Solan, India, ⁵ICAR-National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India, ⁶ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute, Meerut, India

Keywords: abiotic, biotic, CRiSPR/Cas, genome editing, Potato, quality

INTRODUCTION

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) (2n = 4x = 48) is the third most important food crop after rice and wheat in terms of human consumption. Potato is considered as the staple food in Europe and parts of Americas. In 2018, the world total potato production was 368.17 million tonnes led by China (90.26 mt) followed by India (48.53 mt) (FAOSTAT, 2018). The increasing world population from the now 7.7 to the expected 9.7 billion by 2050 has posed a great challenge of food availability (United Nations, 2019). Potato suffers from various pathogens, insect pests, and environmental abiotic stresses. The condition is worsening under the climate change scenario. In India, the mean potato productivity in major potato-growing states, which together account for about 90% of the national potato production, is likely to decline by 2.0% in 2050s and 6.4% in 2080s (Rana et al., 2020). To address these issues, conventional breeding has shown key roles in varietal development programs combined with the deployment of marker-assisted selection mainly for late blight, viruses, and potato cyst nematode-resistant varieties the world over such as Kufri Karan in India (ICAR-CPRI Annual Report, 2018-19). Later, potato transgenics have also been developed for resistance to diseases (e.g., late blight and viruses), abiotic stresses (e.g., heat and drought), insect pest (e.g., potato cyst nematode and potato tuber moth), processing quality (e.g., reduced cold-induced sweetening), but none of them are being applied at the field level. Hence, with the advancement of sequencing technologies and availability of the potato genome sequence (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011), it is possible to modulate the target genes applying genomics tools like genome editing.

Genome editing is an advanced genomics tool which can be deployed for crop improvement by gene knock-out and insertion/deletion mutagenesis (Hameed et al., 2018). It allows double-stranded breaks (DSBs) at specific sites in the genome and repairs via naturally occurring DNA repair mechanisms, namely, nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination (HR). In the past, this system was earlier facilitated by protein-guided nucleases such as zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). But now, attention has been driven on the new RNA-guided nuclease called clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)—CRISPR associated (Cas) (Nadakuduti et al., 2018). The TALENs and ZFNs require particular expertise, longer timelines, and higher costs than those needed for assembling CRISPR/Cas. Indeed, a tremendous progress has been reported on the utility of CRISPR/Cas in crops. In potato, CRISPR/Cas has been demonstrated for tuber quality, disease resistance (late blight and potato virus Y), phenotype, and other traits (Dangol et al., 2019; Hameed et al., 2020; Hofvander et al., 2021). This article provides the current status of CRISPR/Cas, future perspectives, and challenges in potato.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Deepmala Sehgal, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico

Reviewed by:

Muntazir Mushtaq, National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (ICAR), India

*Correspondence:

Jagesh Kumar Tiwari jageshtiwari@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 02 December 2021 Accepted: 11 January 2022 Published: 02 February 2022

Citation:

Tiwari JK, Buckseth T, Challam C, Zinta R, Bhatia N, Dalamu D, Naik S, Poonia AK, Singh RK, Luthra SK, Kumar V and Kumar M (2022) CRISPR/Cas Genome Editing in Potato: Current Status and Future Perspectives. Front. Genet. 13:827808. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.827808

1

TABLE 1 | Successful examples of application of CRISPR/Cas genome editing technology for biotic and abiotic stress resistance/tolerance, tuber quality, and phenotype and other traits in potato.

| Target gene | Trait | CRISPR system | Delivery/ transformation system | Genotype | Key findings | Reference |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| Biotic stress resistance | | | | | | |
| P3, CI, NIb, or CP (RNA virus genes) | PVY, PVS, and PVA resistance | LshCas13a | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Multiple PVY strain-resistant mutants | Zhan et al. (2019) |
| StDND1, StCHL1 and StDMR6-1 (S-genes: Susceptibility genes) | Late blight resistance | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Tetra-allelic mutants by knockout of <i>StDMR6-1</i> and <i>StCHL1</i> genes | Kieu et al. (2021) |
| Caffeoyl-CoA O- methyltransferase (StCCoAOMT) | Late blight resistance | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Russet Burbank | Increase in late blight resistance than control | Hegde et al. (2021) |
| Abiotic stress tolerance | | | | | | |
| StMYB44 (MYB transcription factor) | Phosphate transport (roots) | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Mutants (84%), <i>StMYB44</i> negatively regulates Pi transport by suppressing <i>StPHO1</i> gene expression | Zhou et al. (2017) |
| Tuber quality traits | | | | | | |
| GBBS | Starch quality | Cas9 | Protoplasts (PEG) | Kuras | Multiple allele mutants (67%) and amylopectin-rich and waxy potato | Andersson et al. (2017) |
| GBBS | Starch quality | Cas9/RNP | Protoplasts | Kuras | Regenerants without transgenes (9%) | Andersson et al. (2018) |
| GBBS | Starch quality | Cas9 | Protoplasts | Desiree and Wotan | Mutants (35%) | Johansen et al. (2019) |
| GBSS I | Starch quality | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Sayaka | Mutants with all four alleles (25%), low amylose starch | Kusano et al. (2018 |
| GBBS I | Starch quality | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Tetra-allelic mutants by knockout of amylose- producing <i>StGBSSI</i> gene | Veillet et al. (2019a |
| Starch synthase gene (StSS6) | Starch biosynthesis | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Specific gRNA design and successful knock-out SS6 | Sevestre et al. (2020) |
| Starch-branching enzymes (SBEs) genes SBE1, SBE2 | Starch quality | Cas9 | Agrobacterium and protoplasts (PEG) | Desiree | Mutants with valuable starch properties | Tuncel et al. (2019) |
| SBE1, SBE2 | Starch quality | Cas9/RNP | Protoplasts | Desiree | Three to four allele mutants (72%) with amylase starch with no branching | Zhao et al. (2021) |
| PHYTOENE desaturase (PDS) | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Mutants (2-10%) | Bánfalvi et al. (2020 |
| StPDS | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Cas9 | Agrobacterium rhizogenes | Diploid, self- compatible F_1 hybrid DMF1 (DM1- 3 × M6) | Transgenic hairy roots mutants (64–98%) | Butler et al. (2020) |
| PDS and coilin | Carotenoid biosynthesis | Cas9 | <i>In vitro</i> study without delivery | Chicago | Stimulated activity in vitro | Khromov et al. (2018) |
| St16DOX | Glycoalkaloids | Cas9 | A. rhizogenes (electroporation) | May Queen | Full knockout of steroidal glycoalkaloids | Nakayasu et al. (2018) |
| Sterol side chain reductase 2 (StSSR2) | Steroidal glycoalkaloids (SGAs) | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Atlantic | Mutants (64%) with significantly reduced SGAs | Zheng et al. (2021) |
| Polyphenol oxidases (PPOs) gene (StPPO2) | Enzymatic browning | Cas9/RNP | Protoplasts | Desiree | Mutants (69% in four alleles) with 73% reduction in PPO activity than the control | González et al. (2020) |
| Other traits | | | | | | |
| StDMR6-1 and StGBSSI | Phenotype | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Desiree | SpCas9-NG application in genome editing | Veillet et al. (2020a |
| StIAA2 | Phenotype | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | | Mono- and bi-allelic homozygous mutants (83%) (Continued or | Wang et al. (2015) |

TABLE 1 (*Continued*) Successful examples of application of CRISPR/Cas genome editing technology for biotic and abiotic stress resistance/tolerance, tuber quality, and phenotype and other traits in potato.

| Target gene | Trait | CRISPR system | Delivery/ transformation system | Genotype | Key findings | Reference |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | <i>S. tuberosum</i> Gp Phureja double monoploid | | |
| Acetolactate synthase1 (StALS1) | Herbicide tolerance | Cas9 | <i>Agrobacterium</i> and Geminivirus replicon (GVR) | Desiree, diploid (MSX914-10) | Targeted mutants (87–100%) | Butler et al. (2015) |
| StALS | Herbicide tolerance | Cas9 | Agrobacterium and GVR | Desiree, diploid (MSX914-10) | Improved homozygous recombinants but no change in nonhomologous end joining | Butler et al. (2016) |
| StALS1 and StALS2 | Herbicide tolerance | Cas9/CBE (cytidine base editing) | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Transgene-free mutants (10%) | Veillet et al. (2019b |
| StALS1 and StALS2 | Herbicide tolerance | Cas9/prime editing | Agrobacterium | Desiree | Successful prime editing in potato with nucleotide transition/transversion | Veillet et al. (2020b |
| Stylar ribonuclease gene (S-RNase) | Self- incompatibility | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | DRH-195 and DRH- 310 F1 | Stable self-compatible mutants through S-RNase gene knockout | Enciso-Rodriguez et al. (2019) |
| S-RNase | Self- incompatibility | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | <i>S. tuberosum</i> Gp Phureja S15-65 | Knock out of S-RNase gene resulted in self-compatibility | Ye et al. (2018) |
| NbFT, NbPDS3, and NbXT2B | Virus-induced genome editing (VIGE) | Cas9 | Agrobacterium | Solanaceous plants | Heritable mutants expressing multiple sgRNAs in <i>Nicotiana</i> <i>benthamiana/</i> potato | Uranga et al., 2021 |

GBBS, Granule-bound starch synthase gene; PEG, polyethylene glycol; RNP, Ribonucleo protein.

CRISPR/CAS GENOME EDITING AND ITS NEED IN POTATO

CRISPR/Cas is the most powerful biological tool to create targeted modification in the genome, which allows easy designing and construction of gene-specific single guide RNA (sgRNA). The sgRNA vectors are easily reprogrammable to direct Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) to generate DSBs and are then repaired endogenously by the error-prone NHEJ or HR pathways. CRISPR/Cas is divided into two distinct classes based on the sequence, structure, and functions of the Cas proteins. Class 1 consists of types I, III, and IV andutilizes a multi-protein effector complex, whereas class 2 includes types II, V, and VI and uses a single effector protein; of which, type II and V target DNA, whereas type VI targets RNA. CRISPR/Cas9 (class 2, type-II) is the most commonly exploited machinery for DNA target. Remarkable innovations in CRISPR/Cas9 variant FnCas9 (Francisella novicida) (Price et al., 2015) and CRISPR/Cas13a (type VI, LshCas13a from Leptotrichia shahii) (Aman et al., 2018) have opened new avenues for RNA targets also. The SpCas9 and RNase III ribonucleases generate the Cas9/guide RNA complex that recognizes and cleaves DNA sequences adjacent to the 5'-NGG protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) and induces site-specific DSBs (Khatodia et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2020). Currently, CRISPAR/Cas9 has revolutionized plant research due to its simplicity, multiplexing, cost-effectiveness, high efficiency, and minimum off targets. Unlike genetically modified organisms, CRISPR/Cas creates alterations in the existing genome without

the introduction of foreign genes, particularly site-directed nucleases (SDN1 and SDN2). Hence, CRISPR/Cas is expected to be transgene free, and biosafety regulations are under consideration in various countries (Schmidt et al., 2020).

Several complex traits of agronomic importance are considered in potato while breeding a new variety. The multigenic-controlled biotic/abiotic stresses are difficult to improve through conventional breeding in less time, which could be possible by using CRISPR/Cas9. The gene knockout mechanism has been applied in potato for late blight resistance using susceptibility (S) genes (*StDND1*, *StCHL1*, and *StDMR6*-1) (Kieu et al., 2021). A few successful examples are discussed later for biotic/abiotic stress resistance/tolerance, tuber quality, and phenotype traits improvement in potato (**Table 1**, and **Supplementary Figures 1 and 2**).

APPLICATION OF CRISPR/CAS IN POTATO

Biotic and Abiotic Stress Resistance/ Tolerance Traits

CRISPR/Cas has emerged as an alternative and efficient technology to accelerate potato breeding (**Table 1**). It has been demonstrated for potato virus Y (PVY) and late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) resistance in potato. Cas13a protein was deployed to confer resistance to three PVY strains (RNA virus) by targeting *P3*, *CI*, *Nib*, and *CP* viral genes (Zhan et al., 2019). Host genes like the eukaryotic translation initiation factor

eIF4E and *coilin* have also been found very effective for PVY resistance (Makhotenko et al., 2019). Recently, late blight resistance was demonstrated in potato by the knockout of susceptibility genes *StDMR6-1* and *StCHL1* (Kieu et al., 2021) and *Caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase* (*StCCoAOMT*) (Hegde et al., 2021).

Abiotic stresses such as heat, drought, salinity, and cold are very important in potato, but with meagre work that is available in potato so far. Zhou et al. (2017) developed mutants (84%) by manipulating potato MYB transcription factor gene StMYB44, which negatively regulates phosphate transport in potato by suppressing StPHO1 gene expression (**Table 1**). Considerable research work on abiotic stress has been reported in cereals and other crops, but not in potato. Recently, we have proposed the use of CRISPR/Cas to manipulate N metabolism genes for improving nitrogen use efficiency in potato (Tiwari et al., 2020).

Tuber Quality, Phenotype, and Other Traits

CRISPR/Cas studies have been reported in potato for traits like improved tuber starch quality (Andersson et al., 2017, 2018; Kusano et al., 2018; Johansen et al., 2019; Tuncel et al., 2019; Veillet et al., 2019a; Sevestre et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2021), carotenoid biosynthesis (Khromov et al., 2018; Bánfalvi et al., 2020; Butler et al., 2020), glycoalkaloids (Nakayasu et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2021), and enzymatic browning (González et al., 2020) (**Table 1**). Functional mutants were developed for variations in phenotype (Wang et al., 2015; Veillet et al., 2020a) and herbicide tolerance (Butler et al., 2015, 2016). Self-compatible regenerants were also produced using Cas9 via *Agrobacterium* (Ye et al., 2018; Enciso-Rodriguez et al., 2019) or virus-induced genome editing (VIGE) (Uranga et al., 2021a; 2021b). Researchers have demonstrated the utility of Cas9 base editing and prime editing tools for herbicide tolerance in potato (Veillet et al., 2019b; 2020a; 2020b; 2020c).

CRISPR/CAS DELIVERY AND TRANSFORMATION SYSTEM AND CHALLENGES IN TETRAPLOID POTATO

Because potato is a highly amenable crop to tissue culture, transformation methods such as Agrobacterium, particle bombardment or biolistic, floral-dip, and protoplasts have been applied to it (Sandhya et al., 2020). The most common Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and protoplasts that have been successfully deployed in CRISPR/Cas in potato are sgRNA dicot-origin promoters like Arabidopsis (AtUp)/potato (StU6p)/U3p and plant promoters like CaMV 35S (Belhaj et al., 2013). However, the Agrobacterium-mediated method cannot be used to deliver ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes, and elimination of the Cas9 assembly from the plant genome via selfing or backcrossing is more complicated in genetically complex and vegetatively propagated tetraploid potato (Koltun et al., 2018). In potato, each botanical seed called True Potato Seed (TPS), which is a product of the meiosis process, is genetically different from another seed, hence the maintenance of the clonal identity is very crucial.

To address the above issues, the DNA-free delivery system is an ideal approach using somatic cells, i.e. protoplast. Polyethylene

glycol (PEG)-mediated protoplast transformation has been found to be an excellent alternative for the efficient delivery of Cas9/ gRNA-RNPs in potato (Andersson et al., 2017). DNA-free preassembled Cas9/gRNA-RNPs were directly delivered into the plant cells to induce mutations (Park and Choe, 2019) and were also demonstrated in lipofection-mediated DNA-free delivery (Liu et al., 2020). But with the establishment of suspension culture, protoplast isolation and regeneration into whole plants are the associated problems of the protoplast system (Sandhya et al., 2020).

VIGE is an emerging approach for CRISPR/Cas9 delivery. VIGE involving plant virus-derived vector such as geminivirus replicon has been demonstrated for fast and efficient delivery of sgRNAs in potato (Butler et al., 2015, 2016). This VIGE system bypasses the requirement of transformation and regeneration of plants which is a time-consuming and tedious process. But the large size of a Cas9 assembly challenges the use of the virus vector, as the length of a foreign insert negatively correlates with the stability of the vector.

Recently, base editing and prime editing are the upgraded and more efficient approaches of Cas9. The programmable base editing technology, like the adenine base editor that coverts A.T to G.C without DNA cleavage, has emerged as a boon for crop improvement (Gaudelli et al., 2017). Catalytically inactive Cas9 variant dCas9 or Cas9-nickase is fused with cytosine or adenosine deaminase domain to introduce the desired point mutations (C to T or A to G) in the target region (Mishra et al., 2020). Veillet et al. (2020c) deployed Staphylococcus aureus-cytosine base editor (CRISPR-SaCas9 CBE) to edit StDMR6-1 in potato. Similarly, genes Acetolactate synthase1 herbicide tolerance and Acetolactate synthase2 (StALS2) were targeted through Cas9 cytidine base editing and Cas9 prime editing technologies, respectively (Veillet et al., 2019b; 2020b). Ariga et al. (2020) used the potato virus X vector to express a base editor consisting of modified Cas9 fused with cytidine deaminase to introduce the targeted nucleotide substitution in Nicotiana benthamiana. However, the size of the base editor is larger than Cas9 and this hindered the delivery into cells by the viral vectors.

Overall, high heterozygosity, tetrasomic inheritance, severe inbreeding depression, and vegetative propagation caused difficulties in the successful application of CRISPR/Cas in tetraploid potato. Furthermore, the selection of suitable sgRNA, robust CRISPR/Cas, and efficient transformation protocols and phenotypes without off targets are the main decisive factors in potato. Currently, gene knockout is a preferred mechanism in plants and even all four alleles were mutated through Cas9 in potato *StGBSS* gene (Andersson et al., 2017). PAM limitation (NGG) is one of the drawbacks of SpCas9, and therefore more diversity in CRISPR/Cas toolbox is necessary (Veillet et al., 2020a).

CONCLUSIONS

Desirable plant phenotypes, biotic/abiotic stress resistance/tolerance, and improved tuber quality traits play key roles in potato. The availability of robust CRISPR/Cas arrays, target genes selection, efficient plant transformation protocols, and minimum off-target mutants are the major issues in tetraploid potato. It is a fact that improvement of multigenic traits is difficult than that of the monogenic traits, particularly in potato, due to polyploidy and clonal propagation. Despite this, considerable success has been achieved in potato for some traits and mostly through the gene knockout or insertion/deletion process. Studies have suggested that the use of multiplexing SpCas9 that can handle single or multiple sgRNA/RNPs via targeting conserved sequences combined with protoplast-mediated transformation is an ideal option in potato. Apart from this, awareness among people and policy makers/regulators would be necessary for the success of genome editing research. Collectively, CRISPR-Cas provides an effective next-generation toolbox for fast potato breeding to achieve sustainable crop yield.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JT conceived the idea and wrote the manuscript. All authors performed research, literature reviewing, and editing, and approved the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

- Aman, R., Ali, Z., Butt, H., Mahas, A., Aljedaani, F., Khan, M. Z., et al. (2018). RNA Virus Interference via CRISPR/Cas13a System in Plants. *Genome Biol.* 19, 1. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1381-1
- Andersson, M., Turesson, H., Nicolia, A., Fält, A. S., Samuelsson, M., and Hofvander, P. (2017). Efficient Targeted Multiallelic Mutagenesis in Tetraploid Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) by Transient CRISPR-Cas9 Expression in Protoplasts. *Plant Cel Rep* 36, 117–128. doi:10.1007/s00299-016-2062-3
- Andersson, M., Turesson, H., Olsson, N., Fält, A. S., Ohlsson, P., Gonzalez, M. N., et al. (2018). Genome Editing in Potato via CRISPR-Cas9 Ribonucleoprotein Delivery. *Physiol. Plant* 164 (4), 378–384. doi:10.1111/ppl.12731
- Ariga, H., Toki, S., and Ishibashi, K. (2020). Potato Virus X Vector-Mediated DNA-free Genome Editing in Plants. *Plant Cel Physiol* 61 (11), 1946–1953. doi:10.1093/pcp/pcaa123
- Bánfalvi, Z., Csákvári, E., Villányi, V., and Kondrák, M. (2020). Generation of Transgene-free PDS Mutants in Potato by Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation. *BMC Biotechnol.* 20 (1), 25. doi:10.1186/s12896-020-00621-2
- Belhaj, K., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Kamoun, S., and Nekrasov, V. (2013). Plant Genome Editing Made Easy: Targeted Mutagenesis in Model and Crop Plants Using the CRISPR/Cas System. *Plant Methods* 9, 39–47. doi:10.1186/1746-4811-9-39
- Butler, N. M., Atkins, P. A., Voytas, D. F., and Douches, D. S. (2015). Generation and Inheritance of Targeted Mutations in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Using the CRISPR/Cas System. *PLoS One* 10, e0144591. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0144591
- Butler, N. M., Baltes, N. J., Voytas, D. F., and Douches, D. S. (2016). Geminivirusmediated Genome Editing in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L) Using Sequencespecific Nucleases. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1045. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01045
- Butler, N. M., Jansky, S. H., and Jiang, J. (2020). First-generation Genome Editing in Potato Using Hairy Root Transformation. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 18 (11), 2201–2209. doi:10.1111/pbi.13376
- Cao, Y., Zhou, H., Zhou, X., and Li, F. (2020). Control of Plant Viruses by CRISPR/ Cas System-Mediated Adaptive Immunity. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 593700. doi:10. 3389/fmicb.2020.593700
- Dangol, S. D., Barakate, A., Stephens, J., Çalıskan, M. E., and Bakhsh, A. (2019). Genome Editing of Potato Using CRISPR Technologies: Current Development and Future Prospective. *Plant Cel Tissue Organ. Cult* 139, 403–416. doi:10.1007/ s11240-019-01662-y
- Enciso-Rodriguez, F., Manrique-Carpintero, N. C., Nadakuduti, S. S., Buell, C. R., Zarka, D., and Douches, D. (2019). Overcoming Self-Incompatibility in Diploid Potato Using CRISPR-Cas9. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 376. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019. 00376

FUNDING

This work is funded by the Biotechnology programme by ICAR-CPRI, Shimla, CABin Scheme, and ICAR-LBS Outstanding Young Scientist Award project to JT.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank ICAR-CPRI, CABin Scheme, and ICAR-LBS Outstanding Young Scientist Award project for support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2022.827808/full#supplementary-material

- FAOSTAT (2018). Available at: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/ Accessed: November 20, 2021.
- Gaudelli, N. M., Komor, A. C., Rees, H., Packer, M. S., Badran, A. H., Bryson, D. I., et al. (2017). Programmable Base Editing of A.T to G.C in Genomic DNA without DNA Cleavage. *Nature* 551, 464–471. doi:10.1038/ nature24644
- González, M. N., Massa, G. A., Andersson, M., Turesson, H., Olsson, N., Fält, A. S., et al. (2020). Reduced Enzymatic browning in Potato Tubers by Specific Editing of a Polyphenol Oxidase Gene via Ribonucleoprotein Complexes Delivery of the CRISPR/Cas9 System. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 1649. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019. 01649
- Hameed, A., Mehmood, M. A., Shahid, M., Fatma, S., Khan, A., and Ali, S. (2020). Prospects for Potato Genome Editing to Engineer Resistance against Viruses and Cold-Induced Sweetening. *GM Crops Food* 11 (4), 185–205. doi:10.1080/ 21645698.2019.1631115
- Hameed, A., Zaidi, S. S., Shakir, S., and Mansoor, S. (2018). Applications of New Breeding Technologies for Potato Improvement. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 925. doi:10. 3389/fpls.2018.00925
- Hegde, N., Joshi, S., Soni, N., and Kushalappa, A. C. (2021). The Caffeoyl-CoA O-Methyltransferase Gene SNP Replacement in Russet Burbank Potato Variety Enhances Late Blight Resistance through Cell wall Reinforcement. *Plant Cel Rep* 40 (1), 237–254. doi:10.1007/s00299-020-02629-6
- Hofvander, P., Andreasson, E., and Andersson, M. (2021). Potato Trait Development Going Fast-Forward with Genome Editing. *Trends Genet*. S0168-9525 (21), 00288–292. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2021.10.004
- ICAR-CPRI Annual Report (2018). Annual Report. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India: ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute.
- Johansen, I. E., Liu, Y., Jørgensen, B., Bennett, E. P., Andreasson, E., Nielsen, K. L., et al. (2019). High Efficacy Full Allelic CRISPR/Cas9 Gene Editing in Tetraploid Potato. Sci. Rep. 9, 17715. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-54126-w
- Khatodia, S., Bhatotia, K., Passricha, N., Khurana, S. M., and Tuteja, N. (2016). The CRISPR/Cas Genome-Editing Tool: Application in Improvement of Crops. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 506. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00506
- Khromov, A. V., Gushchin, V. A., Timberbaev, V. I., Kalinina, N. O., Taliansky, M. E., and Makarov, V. V. (2018). Guide RNA Design for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Potato Genome Editing. *Dokl Biochem. Biophys.* 479 (1), 90–94. doi:10.1134/s1607672918020084
- Kieu, N. P., Lenman, M., Wang, E. S., Petersen, B. L., and Andreasson, E. (2021). Mutations Introduced in Susceptibility Genes through CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing Confer Increased Late Blight Resistance in Potatoes. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 4487. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-83972-w
- Koltun, A., Corte, L. E. -D., Mertz-Henning, L. M., and Gonçalves, L. S. A. (2018). Genetic Improvement of Horticultural Crops Mediated by CRISPR/Cas: a New Horizon of Possibilities. *Hortic. Brasil.* 36, 290–298. doi:10.1590/s0102-053620180302

- Kusano, H., Ohnuma, M., Mutsuro-Aoki, H., Asahi, T., Ichinosawa, D., Onodera, H., et al. (2018). Establishment of a Modified CRISPR/Cas9 System with Increased Mutagenesis Frequency Using the Translational Enhancer dMac3 and Multiple Guide RNAs in Potato. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 13753. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32049-2
- Liu, W., Rudis, M. R., Cheplick, M. H., Millwood, R. J., Yang, J.-P., Ondzighi-Assoume, C. A., et al. (2020). Lipofection-mediated Genome Editing Using DNA-free Delivery of the Cas9/gRNA Ribonucleoprotein into Plant Cells. *Plant Cel Rep* 39, 245–257. doi:10.1007/s00299-019-02488-w
- Makhotenko, A. V., Khromov, A. V., Snigir, E. A., Makarova, S. S., Makarova, V. V., Suprunova, T. P., et al. (2019). Functional Analysis of Coilin in Virus Resistance and Stress Tolerance of Potato Solanum tuberosum Using CRISPR-Cas9 Editing. Dokl Biochem. Biophys. 484, 88–91. doi:10.1134/s1607672919010241
- Mishra, R., Joshi, R. K., and Zhao, K. (2020). Base Editing in Crops: Current Advances, Limitations and Future Implications. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 18, 20–31. doi:10.1111/pbi.13225
- Nadakuduti, S. S., Buell, C. R., Voytas, D. F., Starker, C. G., and Douches, D. S. (2018). Genome Editing for Crop Improvement-Applications in Clonally Propagated Polyploids with a Focus on Potato (*Solanum tuberosum L.*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 1607. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01607
- Nakayasu, M., Akiyama, R., Lee, H. J., Osakabe, K., Osakabe, Y., Watanabe, B., et al. (2018). Generation of α-solanine-free Hairy Roots of Potato by CRISPR/Cas9 Mediated Genome Editing of the St16DOX Gene. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 131, 70–77. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.04.026
- Park, J., and Choe, S. (2019). DNA-free Genome Editing with Preassembled CRISPR/Cas9 Ribonucleoproteins in Plants. *Transgenic Res.* 28 (Suppl. 2), 61–64. doi:10.1007/s11248-019-00136-3
- Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium (2011). Genome Sequence and Analysis of the Tuber Crop Potato. *Nature* 475, 189–195. doi:10.1038/nature10158
- Price, A. A., Sampson, T. R., Ratner, H. K., Grakoui, A., and Weiss, D. S. (2015). Cas9-mediated Targeting of Viral RNA in Eukaryotic Cells. *Procd. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 6164–6169. doi:10.1073/pnas.1422340112
- Rana, A., Dua, V. K., Chauhan, S., and Sharma, J. (2020). Climate Change and Potato Productivity in Punjab—Impacts and Adaptation. *Potato Res.* 63, 597–613. doi:10.1007/s11540-020-09460-2
- Sandhya, D., Jogam, P., Allini, V. R., Abbagani, S., and Alok, A. (2020). The Present and Potential Future Methods for Delivering CRISPR/Cas9 Components in Plants. J. Genet. Eng Biotechnol 18, 25. doi:10.1186/s43141-020-00036-8
- Schmidt, S. M., Belisle, M., and Frommer, W. B. (2020). The Evolving Landscape Around Genome Editing in Agriculture. *EMBO Rep.* 21, e50680. doi:10.15252/ embr.202050680
- Sevestre, F., Facon, M., Wattebled, F., and Szydlowski, N. (2020). Facilitating Gene Editing in Potato: a Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) Map of the Solanum tuberosum L. Cv. Desiree Genome. Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 2045. doi:10. 1038/s41598-020-58985-6
- Tiwari, J. K., Buckseth, T., Singh, R. K., Kumar, M., and Kant, S. (2020). Prospects of Improving Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Potato: Lessons from Transgenics to Genome Editing Strategies in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 597481. doi:10.3389/ fpls.2020.597481
- Tuncel, A., Corbin, K. R., Ahn-Jarvis, J., Harris, S., Hawkins, E., Smedley, M. A., et al. (2019). Cas9-mediated Mutagenesis of Potato Starch-Branching Enzymes Generates a Range of Tuber Starch Phenotypes. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 17 (12), 2259–2271. doi:10.1111/pbi.13137
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights (ST/ESA/ SER.A/423).
- Uranga, M., Aragonés, V., Selma, S., Vázquez-Vilar, M., Orzaez, D., and Daros, J.-A. (2021a). Efficient Cas9 Multiplex Editing Using Unspaced sgRNA Arrays Engineering in a Potato Virus X Vector. *Plant J.* 106, 555–565. doi:10.1111/tpj.15164

- Uranga, M., Vazquez-Vilar, M., Orzáez, D., and Daròs, J. A. (2021b). CRISPR-Cas12a Genome Editing at the Whole-Plant Level Using Two Compatible RNA Virus Vectors. CRISPR J. 4 (5), 761–769. doi:10.1089/crispr.2021.0049
- Veillet, F., Chauvin, L., Kermarrec, M. P., Sevestre, F., Merrer, M., Terret, Z., et al. (2019a). The *Solanum tuberosum GBSSI* Gene: a Target for Assessing Gene and Base Editing in Tetraploid Potato. *Plant Cel Rep* 38 (9), 1065–1080. doi:10.1007/ s00299-019-02426-w
- Veillet, F., Kermarrec, M. -P., Chauvin, L., Guyon-Debast, A., Chauvin, J. E., Gallois, J. -L., et al. (2020b). Prime Editing Is Achievable in the Tetraploid Potato, but Needs Improvement. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2020.06.18.159111
- Veillet, F., Kermarrec, M. P., Chauvin, L., Chauvin, J. E., and Nogué, F. (2020a). CRISPR-induced Indels and Base Editing Using the *Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9 in Potato. *PLoS One* 15, e0235942. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0235942
- Veillet, F., Perrot, L., Chauvin, L., Kermarrec, M. P., Guyon-Debast, A., Chauvin, J. E., et al. (2019b). Transgene-free Genome Editing in Tomato and Potato Plants Using Agrobacterium-Mediated Delivery of a CRISPR/Cas9 Cytidine Base Editor. *Inter. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (2), 402. doi:10.3390/ijms20020402
- Veillet, F., Perrot, L., Guyon-Debast, A., Kermarrec, M. P., Chauvin, L., Chauvin, J. E., et al. (2020c). Expanding the CRISPR Toolbox in *P. patens* Using SpCas9-NG Variant and Application for Gene and Base Editing in Solanaceae Crops. *Inter. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (3), 1024. doi:10.3390/ijms21031024
- Wang, S., Zhang, S., Wang, W., Xiong, X., Meng, F., and Cui, X. (2015). Efficient Targeted Mutagenesis in Potato by the CRISPR/Cas9 System. *Plant Cel Rep* 34, 1473–1476. doi:10.1007/s00299-015-1816-7
- Ye, M., Peng, Z., Tang, D., Yang, Z., Li, D., Xu, Y., et al. (2018). Generation of Self-Compatible Diploid Potato by Knockout of. S-RNaseNature Plant 4 (9), 651–654. doi:10.1038/s41477-018-0218-6
- Zhan, X., Zhang, F., Zhong, Z., Chen, R., Wang, Y., Chang, L., et al. (2019). Generation of Virus-Resistant Potato Plants by RNA Genome Targeting. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 17, 1814–1822. doi:10.1111/pbi.13102
- Zhao, X., Jayarathna, S., Turesson, H., Fält, A. S., Nestor, G., González, M. N., et al. (2021). Amylose Starch with No Detectable Branching Developed through DNA-free CRISPR-Cas9 Mediated Mutagenesis of Two Starch Branching Enzymes in Potato. Sci. Rep. 11 (1), 4311. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-83462-z
- Zheng, Z., Ye, G., Zhou, Y., Pu, X., Su, W., and Wang, J. (2021). Editing Sterol Side Chain Reductase 2 Gene (*StSSR2*) via CRISPR/Cas9 Reduces the Total Steroidal Glycoalkaloids in Potato. *All Life* 14, 401–413. doi:10.1080/26895293.2021. 1925358
- Zhou, X., Zha, M., Huang, J., Li, L., Imran, M., and Zhang, C. (2017). StMYB44 Negatively Regulates Phosphate Transport by Suppressing Expression of PHOSPHATE1 in Potato. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 1265–1281. doi:10.1093/jxb/erx026

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Tiwari, Buckseth, Challam, Zinta, Bhatia, Dalamu, Naik, Poonia, Singh, Luthra, Kumar and Kumar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.