

# **CRISPR/Cas9 Based Site-Specific** Modification of FAD2 *cis*-Regulatory Motifs in Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea L*)

Anjanasree K. Neelakandan<sup>1</sup>, David A. Wright<sup>1</sup>, Sy M. Traore<sup>2</sup>, Xiangyu Chen<sup>3</sup>, Martin H. Spalding<sup>1</sup> and Guohao He<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, Development and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL, United States, <sup>3</sup>Crops Research Institute, Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Fuzhou, China

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) seed is a rich source of edible oil, comprised primarily of monounsaturated oleic acid and polyunsaturated linoleic acid, accounting for 80% of its fatty acid repertoire. The conversion of oleic acid to linoleic acid, catalyzed by Fatty Acid Desaturase 2 (FAD2) enzymes, is an important regulatory point linked to improved abiotic stress responses while the ratio of these components is a significant determinant of commercial oil quality. Specifically, oleic acid has better oxidative stability leading to longer shelf life and better taste qualities while also providing nutritional based health benefits. Naturally occurring FAD2 gene knockouts that lead to high oleic acid levels improve oil quality at the potential expense of plant health though. We undertook a CRISPR/Cas9 based site-specific genome modification approach designed to downregulate the expression of two homeologous FAD2 genes in seed while maintaining regulation in other plant tissues. Two cis-regulatory elements the RY repeat motif and 2S seed protein motif in the 5'UTR and associated intron of FAD2 genes are potentially important for regulating seed-specific gene expression. Using hairy root and stable germ line transformation, differential editing efficiencies were observed at both CREs when targeted by single gRNAs using two different gRNA scaffolds. The editing efficiencies also differed when two gRNAs were expressed simultaneously. Additionally, stably transformed seed exhibited an increase in oleic acid levels relative to wild type. Taken together, the results demonstrate the immense potential of CRISPR/Cas9 based approaches to achieve high frequency targeted edits in regulatory sequences for the generation of novel transcriptional alleles, which may lead to fine tuning of gene expression and functional genomic studies in peanut.

Keywords: regulatory element, gene editing, agrobacterium-mediated transformation, fatty acid, peanut

# INTRODUCTION

Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) or groundnut is an important legume crop due to the seed being a rich source of edible oil, protein and fiber. The oil content is about 50%, of which oleic and linoleic acids account for 80% of the total fatty acid with the ratio of these components largely determining oil quality. Therefore, the conversion of monounsaturated oleic acid to polyunsaturated linoleic acid by the Fatty Acid Desaturase 2 (FAD2) enzyme is a key regulatory point. Peanut oil is naturally high in

### OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jianping Wang, University of Florida, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Faiz Ahmad Joyia, University of Agriculture, Pakistan Hai Zhou, South China Agricultural University, China

> \*Correspondence: Guohao He ghe@tuskegee.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 06 January 2022 Accepted: 12 April 2022 Published: 27 April 2022

#### Citation:

Neelakandan AK, Wright DA, Traore SM, Chen X, Spalding MH and He G (2022) CRISPR/Cas9 Based Site-Specific Modification of FAD2 cis-Regulatory Motifs in Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L). Front. Genet. 13:849961. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.849961

1

linoleic acid, making it prone to oxidation, which reduces oil stability leading to rancidity and poor flavor characteristics. However, linoleic acid is important for healthy plant growth and it is also an essential fatty acid for humans (Guan et al., 2012; Marangoni et al., 2020). High oleic acid is beneficial for improved shelf life through oxidative stability, improved flavor characteristics and consumption may lead to better cardiovascular health in humans (O'Byrne et al., 1997; Yu et al., 2008; Lim et al., 2017). The natural FAD2 knockout mutant line, F435, is devoid of FAD2 enzyme activity in seed and accumulates 80% oleic acid as observed by Norden et al., 1987. On the surface, these mutations may seem optimal for oil quality, however, introgression of these mutations into other peanut varieties is time consuming and knockout mutations may leave plants vulnerable during periods of stress (Zhang et al., 2012). Therefore, an ideal strategy to engineer oil composition without compromising plant health may involve downregulation of FAD2 gene expression in seed while minimizing pleiotropic effects in other vegetative tissues through promoter modification.

The FAD2 gene family has been extensively characterized from many plant species including peanut (Janila et al., 2016; Dar et al., 2017). Cultivated peanut is an allotetraploid (AABB, 2n = 4x =40) and the genome contains two functional homeologous genes, AhFAD2A and AhFAD2B, derived from the diploid ancestors Arachis duranensis and Arachis ipaensis, respectively. FAD2 is the key enzyme that is responsible for biosynthesis of polyunsaturated acid in non-photosynthetic tissues, such as roots and developing seeds in oilseed plants (Miquel and Browse, 1992), while some FAD2 genes are targeted to stems and leaves (Cao et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2020). FAD2 genes typically have a conserved single large intron in the 5'-untranslated region (UTR), which has been suggested to have enhancer activity in mediating transcriptional regulation. This has been shown in sesame (Kim et al., 2006), and Brassica napus (Xiao et al., 2014) by deletion mapping approaches and Arabidopsis and olives by gene association studies (Menard et al., 2017; Salimonti et al., 2020).

Seed development, including embryogenesis and seed maturation, is regulated by a combination of hormonal, genetic and metabolic controls (Gutierrez et al., 2007). Differing clusters of cis-regulatory elements (CREs) in the promoters of seed associated proteins are bound by various combinations of transcription factors (TFs) including LEAFY COTYLEDON1 (LEC1), ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE3 (ABI3), FUSCA3 (FUS3), and LEC2 (Parcy et al., 1997; Boulard et al., 2017; Jo et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2021). These interactions lead to formation of tightly controlled regulatory pathways in seed and other tissues making CREs potentially useful targets for altered gene expression. Among the CREs, the RY repeat element (Bäumlein et al., 1992; Fujiwara and Beachy, 1994) and 2S seed protein motif (Stålberg et al., 1996) are crucial for regulatory activity in a number of seed-specific promoters (Reidt et al., 2000; Chatthai et al., 2004).

RNA programmable CRISPR/Cas nucleases trigger sequencespecific double stranded breaks (DSB)s that are subject to error prone non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair or template dependent, homology-directed repair (HDR) pathways. NHEJ, the most frequently used repair mechanism in plants, often leading to insertions or deletions (indels) at the target site. This technology is used to generate gene knockouts when coding regions are targeted, therefore, this approach has been widely used for plant genome editing applications (Manghwar et al., 2019).

Our overarching goal was to utilize CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing to modify *cis*-regulatory elements in the 5' UTR and intron of *FAD2* genes for functional characterization of these elements and to potentially generate seeds with increased oleic acid content without affecting the fatty acid composition in other plant tissues. We designed guide RNAs (gRNAs) specific to the RY repeat element and 2S seed protein motif of peanut *FAD2* genes then transformed peanut tissues to explore the potential of modifying transcriptional regulation of *FAD2* gene expression in seeds. Our findings demonstrated high frequency modification of FAD2 RY and 2S motifs by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing and alteration of the oil profile in seed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

# FAD2 Gene Sequence Confirmation and Analysis

The *AhFAD2A* and *AhFAD2B* genes from genotype GT-C20 were characterized by PCR and sequence analysis to serve as a reference. The gene models for selected isoforms, FAD2A (AH09G29670) and FAD2B (AH19G38370) (Figure 1) and expression data were obtained from the Peanut Genome Resource (http://peanutgr.fafu.edu.cn/index.php; Sinha et al., 2020). The *cis*-elements that are present in the region upstream of the coding sequence were predicted using PLACE (https://www.dna.affrc.go.jp/PLACE/?action=newplace; Higo et al., 1999) and PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent. be/webtools/plantcare/html/; Lescot et al., 2002).

# **Construction of Initial Vectors**

A dicot codon optimized Cas9 gene was excised from the vector pDW3602 as a *Bam*HI/*Spe*I fragment and ligated into pDW3868 using the same enzyme sites to generate pDW3872. This construct has an *Arabidopsis* U6 promoter from pTF101-AtCa9-GmRCA#1, dual *Bsa*I restriction sites for targeting oligonucleotide pair insertion, an extended gRNA scaffold (Dang. et al., 2015), modified *Arabidopsis* UBI promoter, Cas9 gene, a CaMV 35s terminator, and a CaMV 35s promoter expressing the *Bar* gene as a selectable marker. The extended gRNA scaffold was used to test for enhanced targeting efficiency compared to a standard gRNA scaffold. The vector pDW3877 has the same components as pDW3872, except a standard gRNA scaffold replaces the extended gRNA scaffold. The constructs are depicted in **Figure 2**.

## **Final Vector Construction**

gRNA targeting oligonucleotides specific to the predicted RY and 2S seed protein motif CREs were designed using the Cas-Designer tool (Park et al., 2015). The oligonucleotide pairs were synthesized at the Iowa State University DNA facility and were annealed after phosphorylation to generate sticky ends that correspond to the



FIGURE 1 Genomic loci, transcript models and location of regulatory elements. Star denotes RY element; circle marks Initiator (INR) motif; diamond marks the 2S seed protein element. The arrows denote transcription start sites. Black rectangles denote 5'UTR, grey for coding sequence and dotted rectangles stand for 3'UTR, broken line represents the intron in the 5'UTR.



overhangs generated by *Bsa*I restriction digestion of the expression vectors pDW3872 or pDW3877. The sequences of the gRNAs are provided in **Table 1**. The ligated oligo and vector combinations were transformed into competent DH10B *E coli* cells, which were selected on LB plates supplemented with 30  $\mu$ g/ml kanamycin and grown at 37°C overnight. DNA from individual clones was purified using the

TABLE 1 | Details of target sites and gRNAs used in hairy root assay.

Cis Element	sgRNA Target Sequence (5′ – 3′)	Purpose
RY repeat element	GATAACATCAACATGCATGCT	Induction of indels
2S Seed protein element	GATTTGAATGCCACATGTGTT	Induction of indels

IBI plasmid purification kit then clones were verified by DNA sequencing.

The cloning strategy for dual gRNA constructs was based on a one step Golden Gate ligation process. For this, a sacB gene was cloned into the BsaI sites of pDW3872 and pDW3877 to confer sucrose sensitivity, generating pDW3936 and pDW3937, respectively (Figure 2) Supplementary plasmids containing a BsaI site, extended or standard gRNA scaffold, an Arabidopsis U6 promoter and a second *BsaI* site, in that order, were created and designated pDW3898 and pDW3899, respectively (Figure 2). Note that the BsaI sites in the supplementary plasmids was positioned so the Type IIs enzyme will generate unique overhangs that cannot anneal to the Cas9 vector without an intervening oligo pair. Briefly, 625 ng of each plasmid was digested with 2 µL of Promega T4 ligase buffer and 1 µL of BsaI enzyme in a total volume of 18 µL at 37°C for 3 h 600 pmol of each oligo was phosphorylated in a total volume of 30 µL then appropriate pairs were annealed in a total of 60 µL. Finally, the 18 µL plasmid digest, 1 µL of each oligo pair, 1 µL of 10 mM ATP and 1 µL Promega T4 ligase were mixed for a total ligation volume of 22 µLs. The ligations were subjected to one cycle 16°C for 20 min then 49 cycles of 37°C for 5 min and 16°C for 5 min followed by one cycle at 65C for 20 min, in a PCR machine, to denature the enzymes. 2.5 µL of each ligation was transformed as described above. Successful dual oligo ligations were identified by positive/negative selection on LB medium supplemented with 30 µg/ml kanamycin and 5% sucrose. Sequence confirmed single and dual gRNA constructs were mobilized into Agrobacterium rhizogenes strain K599 by electroporation and selected using LB medium supplemented with 50 µg/ml kanamycin and grown at 28°C for 2 days.

#### **Hairy Root Transformation**

*Agrobacterium rhizogenes* is a plant pathogenic soil bacterium that induces the formation of adventitious roots, called hairy roots, at the site of infection. Hairy roots are unique in that they are predominantly non-chimeric and derive from a single *de novo* root meristem (Falasca et al., 2000). Stable transformation is laborious, time consuming and largely genotype dependent in peanuts. Therefore, the availability of rapid, reliable and quantifiable gene editing evaluation systems like hairy root assays help to select and advance functional target and gRNA combinations for later stable transformation efforts.

Genotype GT-C20 seeds were kindly provided by Dr. Baozhu Guo in USDA/ARS at Tifton, GA, which has no known natural mutations of the *FAD2* genes (Yuan et al., 2019). Peanut seeds were surface sterilized and incubated on germination medium for 1 week with 16-h photoperiod at 28°C. Hairy root transformation was performed on hypocotyl explants as described by Yuan et al. (2019) with slight modifications. The *Agrobacterium* culture was suspended in the infection medium at an optical density of 0.6 and incubated for 20 min with constant stirring. The explants were co-cultured for 3 days in the dark and transferred to hairy root induction medium after washing with an antibiotic solution containing timentin (300 mg/L). Explants were incubated under fluorescent lights at  $28^{\circ}$ C with a 16-h photoperiod. After

1.5-2 weeks, transformed roots were harvested then explants were sub-cultured and maintained for 4-6 weeks.

#### Amplification and Sequencing of Targets

Hairy roots were harvested aseptically into sterile tubes and kept at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for future processing. Root tissues were crushed in dilution buffer provided in the Phire Plant Direct PCR Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the liquid fraction was used for direct PCR without purification, as per the manufacturer's instructions. The oligo sequences for PCR fragment generation and sequence analysis are given in **Table 2**. The amplified products were purified using the IBI PCR product purification kit then subjected to Sanger Sequencing at the Iowa State University DNA facility.

#### **Calyx Tube Injection Transformation**

To avoid time-consuming, genotype-dependent and often recalcitrant tissue culture and regeneration, we have developed an Agrobacterium-mediated calyx tube injection method to quickly obtain putatively edited peanut seeds. CRISPR/Cas9 constructs were mobilized into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 using a freeze and thaw methodology (An et al., 1988). Transformed Agrobacterium were grown on LB plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml kanamycin at 28°C and colonies were picked after 2-3 days' growth, followed by colony PCR to confirm mobilization of the constructs. Transformation confirmed Agrobacterium colonies were grown in 10 ml liquid LB cultures with shaking overnight at 28°C and supplemented with 50 µg/ml kanamycin. Bacterial cells were pelleted using low speed centrifugation (4,000 rpm) and resuspended into 10 ml sterile inoculation media composed of 1/2 MS supplemented with 25 g/ L sucrose and 200  $\mu$ L/L Silwet L77. The OD<sub>600</sub> was adjusted to 0.6 to 0.8 by addition of inoculation media. Besides GT-C20, two additional genotypes (AU18-46 and Exp27-1516) were also used to test the method of calyx tube injection. 0.2 ml of the Agrobacterium suspension carrying a CRISPR/Cas9 vector was injected into individual peanut plant calyx tubes. Inoculated flowers were labelled and derived seeds were harvested for analysis. DNAs were extracted from T<sub>1</sub> plants for sequencing FAD2 targets.

#### Fatty Acid Composition Analysis

The fatty acid content was measured for each of the harvested seeds from  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  generations using an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph (GC) with a flame ionization detector (FID). Seeds were individually crushed. Ground seed material was extracted in 4.0 ml heptane (Fisher Scientific) and converted to fatty acid methyl easters (FAMEs) with 500 µL 0.5 N sodium methoxide (NaOCH<sub>3</sub>) in methanol. A fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) standard mix RM-3 plus four additional FAMES (Sigma) were mixed and used to establish peak retention times. Fatty acid composition was determined by identifying and calculating relative peak areas (Wang et al., 2009).

## RESULTS

The FAD2A (AH09G29670) and FAD2B (AH19G38370) gene models used in this study have a 5' UTR with a single intron,

TABLE 2	The oligo	sequences	used for	PCR and	d Sander	Sequencing
	The oligo	Sugarious	u300 101	1 On Lan	a ounger	ocquerionig.

Oligo Name	Oligo Sequence (5'-3')	Purpose
AHY1006	GTCCTCAAATAGCTCGACTG	Forward primer to amplify FAD2A distal promoter
AHY1007	AGGGCCCAGAAGCAATTATGATAC	Reverse primer to amplify FAD2A distal promoter
AHY1078	TTGAAGCAAAGGGGTGAGGTTTTC	Forward primer to amplify FAD2A proximal promoter
AHY1073	CAAGTCAATAATCAGTAATCTAATG	Reverse primer to amplify FAD2A proximal promoter
AHY1012	GAATGAGGATGGGGACCAATATTC	Forward primer to amplify FAD2B distal promoter
AHY1013	AGGGCCCAGAAGCAATTACTAATG	Reverse primer to amplify FAD2B distal promoter
AHY1079	GAAGTAAGGGTTGGTGAAGTTTTC	Forward primer to amplify FAD2B proximal promoter
AHY1015	GCACTACTACAAAGCTAATGGTTC	Reverse primer to amplify FAD2B proximal promoter
AHY1074	CCAATGTGAGTGAGACAACAAC	Sequencing primer for FAD2A & B distal promoter
AHY1097	CTGGCTCCAAGTCCAAGCAATA	Sequencing primer for FAD2A and B proximal promot

coding sequence, and a 3' UTR as shown in **Figure 2**. *FAD2A* has two transcript models and *FAD2B* has four transcript models with altered 5' UTRs, and two transcriptional start sites predicted for each gene. We considered the transcript model AH09G29670.1 for *FAD2A* and AH19G38370.1 for *FAD2B* as a reference for this investigation. Mining of transcriptomic data (http://peanutgr.fafu.edu.cn/index.php) revealed co-expression of both *FAD2* homeologous genes in different tissues including seed, leaf, root tip, root nodule, stem tip, inflorescence etc. (Sinha et al., 2020). FAD2 gene expression was significantly upregulated in peanut seeds, especially during advanced embryo development stages, specifically *FAD2B* had a relatively high expression in root nodules and seed tissues compared to *FAD2A* (Sinha et al., 2020).

In this study, several conserved cis-elements important for tissue specific responsiveness to hormonal and environmental cues were observed in the AhFAD2A and AhFAD2B upstream 5'UTRs (Supplementary File S1). Among them, the cisregulatory RY element (CATGCATG) and 2S seed protein motifs (CAAACAC), which are implicated in seed specific gene expression. The initiator elements (INR) with sequences 'TTCATTCT' and 'TTCATTTT' responsible for basal transcription and light responsiveness were found. The cisregulatory elements involved in stress response included Anaerobiosis Responsive Element (ARE), Wounding-Responsive Element (WUN motif), W box, G box, Anaeroconsensus among others were also identified. Additionally, the conserved elements for hormonal regulation included Abscisic acid Responsive Element (ABRE), Auxin Responsive Element (AuxRE), GA-responsive elements (GARE motif) etc. were predicted (Supplementary File S1). We chose to focus on the seed specific RY and 2S motifs for this study.

Complete single gRNA CRISPR/Cas9 constructs with a standard or extended gRNA scaffold were developed for the RY and 2S target sites then an *Agrobacterium*-mediated hairy root assay was employed to efficiently test the assembled constructs because traditional peanut transformation and regeneration methods are technically challenging and time consuming. Site-specific mutation frequencies and the type of DNA modifications were analyzed and recorded for each construct through PCR amplification of the target area followed by purification and Sanger sequencing.

For the single gRNA constructs, a total of 49 hairy roots for RY and 47 hairy roots for 2S were examined. Indels at the distal RY repeat motif ranged from +2 to -33 bp in *AhFAD2A* and +1 to -7 bp in *AhFAD2B* and the vast majority of edits were indels of less than 10 bp. The extended scaffold produced mutations in *FAD2A* at 20%, *FAD2B* at 10.3% and at both genes 9.68% of the time. The standard scaffold produced mutations in *FAD2A* at 37.5%, *FAD2B* at 50% and at both genes 22.22% of the time (**Table 3**). Most indels caused a disruption of the RY motif (**Figure 3**). Based on these figures, the standard gRNA scaffold performed better than the extended gRNA scaffold at RY.

The number of mutations detected at the proximal 2S motif were lower than that observed at the RY motif and the type of edits ranged from indels of -4 bp in *FAD2A* and +1 to -3 bp for *FAD2B* with most mutations causing disruption of the 2S motif (**Figure 4**). The extended scaffold produced mutations in *FAD2A* at 6.67%, *FAD2B* at 13.33% and in both genes at 4.35% of the time. The standard scaffold did not produce detectable mutations in *FAD2A* while 4.55% of mutations were at *FAD2B* (**Table 3**) Based on these figures, the extended scaffold performed better than the standard gRNA scaffold at 2S.

Dual gRNA editing constructs were generated that target both RY and 2S motifs using the validated targeting oligo sequences used in the single gRNA constructs. These constructs used the extended scaffold because they exhibited improved efficiency overall and were meant to test the efficiency of editing both targets simultaneously, targeting both genes simultaneously the possibility of generating large deletions between RY and 2S. To test for position effect in the gRNA order, two constructs were generated: one with a RY gRNA in the first position and 2S in the second position while the reverse order was used for the second construct.

Analysis of transformed hairy roots showed that overall editing efficiency at RY was greater than at 2S regardless of gRNA order; a result similar to that observed with the single gRNA study. This remained true for edits in both the *FAD2A* and *FAD2B* genes. Most indels at RY were less than 7 bp (80–90% in *FAD2A* and 50–75% in *FAD2B*) and a few (16–25%) deletions were greater than 40 bp (43 bp, 44 bp and 46 bp specifically) (**Figure 3**). Approximately 18–20% of the lines had RY edits in both *FAD2A* and *FAD2B* using either gRNA order (**Table 3**). Also noted was a single root containing a large deletion of 1,140 bp between the RY and 2S sites in *FAD2B* (**Figure 3**).

Using the dual editing constructs, it was noted that editing at the 2S motif was much less effective with only one edit of -9 bp in

#### TABLE 3 | Site-specific editing efficiency in the hairy root assay.

Motif	Construct	Target type	Number of Roots Tested	Number of Edited Roots	FAD2A edits <sup>a</sup>	FAD2B edits <sup>a</sup>	Bi-Homeological edit <sup>b</sup>
RY	Cas9-Ext scaffold	Sinale aRNA RY	31	6	20%	10.3%	9.68%
		Dual gRNA (RY-2S)	27	9	36.84%	30.77%	18.51%
		Dual gRNA (2S-RY)	20	6	40%	25%	20%
	Cas9-Reg scaffold	Single gRNA RY	18	8	37.5%	50%	22.22%
2S	Cas9-Ext scaffold	Single gRNA 2S	23	2	6.67%	13.33%	4.35%
		Dual gRNA (RY-2S)	27	2	5.26%	3.85%	0
		Dual gRNA (2S-RY)	20	2	0	12.5%	0
	Cas9-Reg scaffold	Single gRNA 2S	24	1	0	4.55%	0

<sup>a</sup>The percentage of amplicons with edit in total amplicons from FAD2A or FAD2B.

<sup>b</sup>The percentage of amplicons with both FAD2A and FAD2B edits in total amplicons from FAD2A and FAD2B.

	RY	А	В	С	D	Е
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTTTTA(WT)	Edit	RY-E	RY-R	(RY-25)-E	(25-RY)-E
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATTGCTTGGATAACTTTTTA	+1, 1:	33.3	25	54.5	16.7
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATATGCTTGGATAACTTTTTA	+2		12.5	9.1	
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCT <mark>ATAACATCAACATGC-TGCTTGG</mark> ATAACTTTTTA	-1			9.1	
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATTGCTTGGATAACTTTTTA	3		12.5		
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCT <mark>ATAACATCAACATGCTTGG</mark> ATAACTTTTTA	-4	33.3	12.5	18.2	16.7
FAD2A	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACTGCTTGGATAACTTTTTA	-5	16.7			
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAATGCTTGGATAACTTTTTA	-5		25		50
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCT <mark>ATAAC</mark> GCTTGGATAACTTTTTA	-12	16.7			
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCT <mark>ATAA</mark> TAACTTTTTA	-20			9.1	
	СТТ <mark>СТТGG</mark> ATAACTTTTTA	-33		12.5		
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATA//TAATAACCTTGAA	-44				16.7
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATA//TAATAACCTTGAA RY CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT (W)	_44 Edit	RY-E	RY-R	(RY-25)-E	16.7 (25-RY)-E
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATA//TAATAACCTTGAA RY CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT (WT) CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATTGCTTGGATAACTTCTT	-44 Edit +1	RY-E 333	RY-R 143	(RY-25)-E 12.5	16.7 (25-RY)-E 25
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATA//TAATAACCTTGAA RY CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT (WT) CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA-GCTTGGATAACTTCTT	TTTA - 125 132 167   'TTTA -4 333 125 182 167   'TTTA -5 167 - - -   'TTTA -12 167 - - - -   'TTTA -12 167 - - - - -   'TTTA -12 167 - - - - -   'TTTA -12 167 - </td				
	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATA//TAATAACCTTGAA RY CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT (WT) CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA-GCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGGATAACTTCTT	-44 Edit +1 -1 -4	RY-E 333 333	RY-R 143 429 143	(RY-25)-E 12.5 37.5	16.7 (25-R¥)-E 25
FAD2B	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATA//TAATAACCTTGAA RY CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT (WT) CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA-GCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA	 Edit +1  _4 	RY-E 333 333	RY-R 143 429 143	(RY-25)-E 12.5 37.5	16.7 (25-RY)-I 25
FAD2B	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATCAACATCGACATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA-GCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATCAATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAA	-44 Edit +1 -1 -4 -5 -7	RY-E 333 333 333	RY-R 143 429 143 286	(RY-25)-Z 12.5 37.5	16.7 (25-RY)-E 25 25 25
FAD2B	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATCAACATGCATG	-44 Edit +1 -1 -4 -5 -7 -40	RY-E 333 333 333	RY-R 143 429 143 256	(RY-25)-E 12.5 37.5 12.5	16.7 (25-RY)-E 25 25 25
FAD2B	CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA-GCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCA-GCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATGCATGGTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAACATCAATGCTTGGATAACTTCTT CTTCTTGTGTCCATTTCTATAACATCAA	             	RY-E 333 333 333	RY-R 143 429 143 256	(RY-25)-E 125 37.5 125 125	16.7 (25-RY)-E 25 25 25 25 25

FIGURE 3 | Site-specific modifications at RY repeat element in FAD2A and FAD2B Shown are mutations around the RY motif that were detected for the single RY targeted and duel RY and 2S targeted CRISPR/Cas9 constructs using the hairy root system. The target protospacer sequence is in red, the PAM is in dark blue, insertions are in green, bases in light blue are outside of the wild type sequence that is shown, deletions are represented by dashes, a double slash indicates a deletion larger than what is depicted and the arrow indicated the predicted double strand break site (DSB). Numbers in column A indicate indel size, numbers in columns B to E are percentages of total mutations and the E (extended) or R (standard) designation in columns B to E indicate the gRNA scaffold used.

*FAD2A* when the RY gRNA was in the first position and no edits were observed when 2S was in the first position for *FAD2A*. The opposite was true for *FAD2B* where no edits were observed when the RY gRNA was in the first position, but a +1 edit and a –6 bp edit were observed when the 2S gRNA was in the first position (**Figure 4**). Additionally, the dual gRNA constructs produced no simultaneous 2S mutations in both *FAD2A* and *FAD2B* (**Table 3**).

To access the consequences of *FAD2* gene editing on oil quality, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation through calyx tube injection was conducted. Single gRNA constructs with the

extended scaffold targeting either RY or 2S were used to compare the mutation effect on oil quality at each motif. Approximate 650 calyx tubes were injected with the RY construct strain and 200 of calyx tubes were injected with the 2S construct strain. Following injection, a total of 120 potentially edited seeds were harvested. Among these, 26 seeds had an increased oleic acid content ranging from 55 to 70% when the RY motif was targeted and 7 seeds had an increased oleic acid content ranging from 55 to 60% when the 2S motif was targeted in compared to untransformed seeds with oleic acid concentration of 40–54%

	28	А	В	С	D	Е
	AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGCCACATGTGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT (WT)	Edits	25-E	25-R	(RY-25)-E	(25-RY)-E
FAD2A	AAGCTAAATTGTGAT <mark>ATTTGAATGCCTTGTTTGG</mark> AAATTGGTGTCTATT	-4, 1:	100			
	AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT	-9			100	
	2S AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGCCACATGTGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT (WT)	Edit:	25-E	25-R	(RY-25)-E	(25-RY)-E
	AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGCCACATGTTGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT	+1	50			50
FAD2B	AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGCCAC-GTTGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT	-1, 2:	50			
	AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGCCACAGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT	7		100		
	AAGCTAAATTGTGATATTTGAATGTTTGGAAATTGGTGTCTATT	-6				50
					100	

FIGURE 4 | Site-specific modifications at 2S Seed protein element. Shown are mutations around the 2S motif that were detected for the single 2S targeted and duel RY and 2S targeted CRISPR/Cas9 constructs used the hairy root assays. The target protospacer sequence is in red, PAM is in blue, substitutions are in purple, insertions are in green, deletions are represented by dashes and the arrow indicated the predicted double strand break site (DSB). Numbers in column A indicate indel size, numbers in columns B to E are percentages of total mutations and the E (extended) or R (standard) designation in columns B to E indicate the gRNA scaffold used.

#### TABLE 4 | The content of oleic acid in the To seeds.

Target			RY			– 2S					_
Oleic Acid Content		40%-54% <sup>a</sup>	55%-60%	61%-65%	_	_	- 40%-54%	55%-60%	61%-65%	66%-70%	_
Genotype used	ienotype used AU18-46	32 14		94	8	4	_	_	26		
	GT-C20	17	1				7	3	_	_	
	Exp27-1516	19	5	4	2		3	1	_	_	
Total number of seeds harvested		68	26			94	18	8			26
Transformation efficiency (%)		_	-	_	—	27.66 <sup>b</sup>	-	_	—	-	26.92

<sup>a</sup>Same oleic acid content as wild type in some harvested seeds.

<sup>b</sup>The percentage of number of seeds with increased oleic acid in total number of harvested seeds in each target.

(Table 4). The changes of fatty acid in T0 seeds were listed in the Supplementary File S2. Although the apparent transformation efficiency was similar (27.66 RY vs 26.92% 2S) for both constructs, the resulting oleic acid content in the edited RY motif was higher than the edited 2S motif. It should be noted that the oleic acid content of two seeds for the RY motif was 66-70%, while the majority of presumed edits had a lower oleic acid content. Regardless, apparent mutations increased oleic acid concentration in these seed whether the RY or 2S motifs were targeted showing efficacy of this approach. However, amplifications of T<sub>1</sub> plant DNAs showed no mutations in the targets from either FAD2A or FAD2B, and all seeds harvested from T<sub>1</sub> plants derived from these T<sub>0</sub> edited seeds showed oleic acid content less than 55%. It might indicate that the CRISPR components were delivered into somatic cells rather than germ cells in T0 seeds using the calyx tube injection method.

### DISCUSSION

Gene Editing has proven to be a powerful tool for generating gene knockouts for the study of gene function. Although less well studied, promoter editing has been successfully employed to engineer desirable traits such as disease resistance in rice (Oliva et al., 2019) and yield traits in tomato (Rodríguez-Leal et al., 2017). As demonstrated in this study and others, this approach has the potential to generate a wide spectrum of novel transcriptional alleles for genetic fine-tuning and optimized gene expression in crop plants.

The RY repeat motif is implicated in quantitative expression in seeds in diverse species (Baumlein et al., 1992; Lelievre et al., 1992; Dickinson et al., 1998) and is known to interact with B3 domain transcription factors involved in Abscisic acid (ABA) mediated transcription, embryogenesis and seed development (Reidt et al., 2000). Promoter studies by Ellerström et al. (1996) demonstrated that alteration of RY motifs in the Brassica napus nap A promoter resulted in changes in tissue specific gene expression. In addition, the 2S seed protein motif is conserved in the promoters of several seed storage protein genes and is implicated in higher activity of the nap A promoter (Stålberg et al., 1996). Deletion analysis specifically showed the 2S motif was important in regulation of seed protein accumulation while alterations to the promoter changed gene expression patterns (Stålberg et al., 1993). In this study, we investigated the feasibility and efficiency of simultaneously editing regulatory elements in two related peanut genes. Specifically, the RY and 2S motifs in the FAD2 genes were altered and an expected increase in oleic acid content of seed was detected suggesting reduced FAD2 gene expression as a consequence of targeted promoter alteration.

Initial testing of gene editing technology in peanut focused on determining the efficacy of CRISPR/Cas9 technology when single gRNAs were employed. Previously tested vector components were ligated together to create a basic vector platform that contained either a standard or an extended gRNA scaffold then targeting oligos for the RY or 2S motifs were added. Testing of constructs in a hairy root assay demonstrated the following: 1) editing efficiency at the distal RY motif was higher than at the proximal 2S motif, 2) the standard gRNA scaffold was more effective at RY than the extended gRNA scaffold, 3) the extended gRNA scaffold was more effective at 2S than the standard scaffold, 4) FAD2B was targeted more efficiently than FAD2A, and 5) the predominance of edits involving deletions less than 10 bp with a single gRNA target was consistent with earlier studies (Gisler et al., 2019). Taken together, these data demonstrate the efficacy of CRISPR/Cas9 mediated editing of peanut FAD2 promoter sequences and suggest that some positions within a promoter may be more accessible than others, use of different gRNA scaffolds may give some flexibility in target efficiency and there may be some difference in homeolog accessibility when targeting gene families.

To further explore the limits of promoter sequence editing, dual gRNA constructs, using the extended gRNA scaffold, were generated to target RY and 2S motifs simultaneously. Two versions of the vector were constructed with RY and 2S targeting oligos in the first and second positions respectively or in the reverse order. The results demonstrated differential editing rates at the target sites with RY being targeted at a higher rate than 2S. Again, *FAD2A* was targeted more frequently than *FAD2B* for the RY motif and *FAD2B* was targeted more frequently than *FAD2A* for the 2S motif. This could be related to the sequence context, local DNA methylation levels, or the chromatin configuration modulating accessibility as suggested by Liu et al., 2019. It should also be noted that this observation was independent of which targeting oligo was in the first position or second position.

Most indels created by the dual gRNA vectors were less than 12 bases while a few were larger. A few longer deletions (>40 bp) at a single site seems to suggest a plausible interaction effect of editing at closely linked sites possibly affecting the local chromatin context. This type of local opening up of chromatin can possibly modulate the timing and activity of the individual gRNAs. This seems analogous to the strategy involving coupling of proximal dead sgRNA (dsgRNA) to the functional sgRNA target, to open up the closed chromatin in rice cells and thereby enhancing editing efficiency (Liu et al., 2019). It should also be noted that one instance of a large deletion (1,140 bp) was detected, which demonstrates that it is possible to generate deletions between to gRNA targets albeit at a low frequency. Taken together this dual gRNA construct data demonstrates that multiple targets can be altered in a gene family in peanut, generating many different types of indels that may be useful for promoter modulation.

With the efficacy of single and dual gRNA constructs demonstrated in peanut, a test of practical application would demonstrate the usefulness of promoter modification. Fatty acids are essential components of plant cells and cell membranes, playing an important role in regulating different abiotic stress responses by modulating cell membrane properties (Iba, 2002; Kachroo and Kachroo, 2009). Thus, the desaturation of fatty acids and the number and position of double bonds in fatty acid chains influences the physical and physiological properties of membranes (Shanklin and Cahoon, 1998; Wu et al., 2009), which impacts proper plant growth and development (Traore and He, 2021). Therefore, general FAD2 loss of function mutations would be predicted to negatively impact cell membranes, leading to poor plant growth and development under abiotic stress conditions. This suggests that existing high oleic acid varieties resulting from mutations in the coding sequences of both FAD2A and FAD2B, while commercially useful, may be agriculturally detrimental (Lopez et al., 2000). CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in peanut may afford a more nuance approach whereby the FAD2 gene activity in seed could be reduced while maintaining gene activity in other plant tissues.

A calyx tube transformation method was employed using the previously demonstrated RY and 2S single gRNA constructs to test if RY or 2S modification would impact oleic acid content in seed. A total of 120 seeds were generated through this method-94 for the RY construct and 26 for the 2S construct. The transformation efficiency for both constructs was relatively high at 27.66% for the RY construct and 26.92% for the 2S construct based on elevated oleic acid content relative to wild type. When tested by gas chromatograph method, the majority of the seeds had an oleic acid content ranging from 55% to 65%. While none of the 2S seeds tested above 61% oleic acid content. four of the RY edited seed were between 61 and 65% and two seeds were in the range of 66%-70% oleic acid. Taken together, this data suggests that editing of the RY or 2S motifs in the peanut FAD2 genes can impact oleic acid concentration in seed. Because the increased oleic acid content in T<sub>0</sub> seeds might be derived from somatic cell mutations, a further experiment will be needed to optimize the parameter for inoculation media, the suitable time and the location of the calyx tube for injection to improve the delivery of construct into reproductive cells with the calyx tube injection method.

Using gene editing to target *cis*-regulatory elements of the *FAD2* gene promoters provides a promising approach to manipulate *FAD2* gene expression in seeds and potentially minimize undesirable pleiotropic effects on other plant tissues while improving the fatty acid profile of seed. The use of CRISPR/ Cas9 based promoter or enhancer editing may lead to the development of "cisgenic" plants with optimized *FAD2* gene expression, which may serve as ideal breeding materials for trait introgression, without introducing potentially deleterious alleles and linkage drag, thereby accelerating the pace of cultivar development.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AN, DW, MS and GH conceived and designed the study and developed the manuscript. AN, XC and ST conducted experiment and data analysis. All authors proofread and approved the final version.

# FUNDING

The authors are grateful for financial support from USDA/NIFA (Grant No: 2018-67014-27572 and 2018-38821-27758).

## REFERENCES

- An, G., Ebert, P. R., Mitra, A., and Ha, S. B. (1988). "Binary Vectors," in *Plant Molecular Biology Manual*. Editors S. B. R. A. GelvinSchilperoort and D. P. S. Verma (Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic), A3, 1–19. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-5294-7\_3
- Bäumlein, H., Nagy, I., Villarroel, R., Inzé, D., and Wobus, U. (1992). Cis-analysis of a Seed Protein Gene Promoter: the Conservative RY Repeat CATGCATG within the Legumin Box Is Essential for Tissue-specific Expression of a Legumin Gene. *Plant J. Cel Mol. Biol.* 2, 233
- Boulard, C., Fatihi, A., Lepiniec, L., and Dubreucq, B. (2017). Regulation and Evolution of the Interaction of the Seed B3 Transcription Factors With NF-Y Subunits. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Gene Regul. Mech.* 1860 (10), 1069–1078.
- Cao, S., Zhou, X.-R., Wood, C. C., Green, A. G., Singh, S. P., Liu, L., et al. (2013). A Large and Functionally Diverse Family of Fad2 Genes in Safflower (Carthamus tinctoriusL.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 13, 5. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-13-5
- Chatthai, M., Forward, B. S., Yevtushenko, D., Stefanov, I., Osuska, L., Osusky, M., et al. (2004). 2S Storage Protein Gene of Douglas-fir: Characterization and Activity of Promoter in Transgenic Tobacco Seeds. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 42, 417–423. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2004.04.008
- Dang, Y., Jia, G., Choi, J., Ma, H., Anaya, E., Ye, C., et al. (2015). Optimizing sgRNA Structure to Improve CRISPR-Cas9 Knockout Efficiency. *Genome Biol.* 16, 280. doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0846-3
- Dar, A. A., Choudhury, A. R., Kancharla, P. K., and Arumugam, N. (2017). The FAD2 Gene in Plants: Occurrence, Regulation, and Role. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1789. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01789
- Dickinson, C. D., Evans, R. P., and Nielsen, N. C. (1988). RY Repeats Are Conserved in the 5'-flanking Regions of Legume Seed-Protein Genes. Nucl. Acids Res. 16, 371. doi:10.1093/nar/16.1.371
- Ellerström, M., Stålbery, K., Ezcurra, I., and Rask, L. (1996). Functional Dissection of a Napin Gene Promoter: Identification of Promoter Elements Required for Embryo and Endosperm Specific Transcription. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 32, 1019
- Falasca, G., Reverberi, M., Lauri, P., Caboni, E., De Stradis, A., and Altamura, M. M. (2000). How Agrobacterium Rhizogenes Triggers de novo Root Formation in a Recalcitrant Woody Plant: An Integrated Histological, Ultrastructural and Molecular Analysis. *The New Phytologist*. 145 (1), 77–93.
- Fujiwara, T., and Beachy, R. N. (1994). Tissue-specific and Temporal Regulation of a ?-conglycinin Gene: Roles of the RY Repeat and Other Cis-Acting Elements. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 24, 261–272. doi:10.1007/bf00020166
- Gisler, S., Gonçalves, J. P., Akhtar, W., de Jong, J., Pindyurin, A. V., Wessels, L. F. A., et al. (2019). Multiplexed Cas9 Targeting Reveals Genomic Location Effects and gRNA-Based Staggered Breaks Influencing Mutation Efficiency. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1598. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09551-w
- Guan, L.-L., Wang, Y.-B., Shen, H., Hou, K., Xu, Y.-W., and Wu, W. (2012). Molecular Cloning and Expression Analysis of Genes Encoding Two Microsomal Oleate Desaturases (FAD2) from Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L.*). *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 30, 139–148. doi:10.1007/s11105-011-0322-5
- Gutierrez, L., Van Wuytswinkel, O., Castelain, M., and Bellini, C. (2007). Combined Networks Regulating Seed Maturation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 294–300. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2007.06.003

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Brandon Tonnis and Ming Li Wang from USDA-ARS Plant Genetic Resources Conservation Unit at Griffin, GA, for the measurement of fatty acid composition.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2022.849961/full#supplementary-material

- Higo, K., Ugawa, Y., Iwamoto, M., and Korenaga, T. (1999). Plant Cis-Acting Regulatory DNA Elements (PLACE) Database: 1999. Nucleic Acids Res. 27 (1), 297–300. doi:10.1093/nar/27.1.297
- Hsu, P. D., Scott, D. A., Weinstein, J. A., Ran, F. A., Konermann, S., Agarwala, V., et al. (2013). DNA Targeting Specificity of RNA-Guided Cas9 Nucleases. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31 (9), 827–832. doi:10.1038/nbt.2647
- Iba, K. (2002). Acclimative Response to Temperature Stress in Higher Plants: Approaches of Gene Engineering for Temperature Tolerance. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 53, 225–245. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.100201.160729
- Janila, P., Pandey, M. K., Shasidhar, Y., Variath, M. T., Sriswathi, M., Khera, P., et al. (2016). Molecular Breeding for Introgression of Fatty Acid Desaturase Mutant Alleles (ahFAD2A and ahFAD2B) Enhances Oil Quality in High and Low Oil Containing Peanut Genotypes. *Plant Sci.* 242, 203–213. doi:10.1016/j. plantsci.2015.08.013
- Jo, L., Pelletier, J. M., and Harada, J. J. (2019). Central Role of the LEAFY COTYLEDON1 Transcription Factor in Seed Development. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 61 (5), 564–580.
- Kachroo, A., and Kachroo, P. (2009). Fatty Acid-Derived Signals in Plant Defense. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 47, 153–176. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080508-081820
- Kim, M. J., Kim, H., Shin, J. S., Chung, C.-H., Ohlrogge, J. B., and Suh, M. C. (2006). Seed-specific Expression of Sesame Microsomal Oleic Acid Desaturase Is Controlled by Combinatorial Properties between Negative Cis-Regulatory Elements in the SeFAD2 Promoter and Enhancers in the 5'-UTR Intron. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 276 (4), 351–368. doi:10.1007/s00438-006-0148-2
- Lee, M. W., Padilla, C. S., Gupta, C., Galla, A., Pereira, A., Li, J., et al. (2020). The FATTY ACID DESATURASE2 Family in Tomato Contributes to Primary Metabolism and Stress Responses. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 1083–1099. doi:10.1104/ pp.19.00487
- Lelievre, J.-M., Oliveira, L. O., and Nielsen, N. C. (1992). 5'CATGCAT-3' Elements Modulate the Expression of Glycinin Genes. *Plant Physiol.* 98, 387–391. doi:10. 1104/pp.98.1.387
- Lescot, M., Déhais, P., Thijs, G., Marchal, K., Moreau, Y., Van de Peer, Y., et al. (2002). PlantCARE, a Database of Plant Cis-Acting Regulatory Elements and a portal to Tools for In Silico Analysis of Promoter Sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 325–327. doi:10.1093/nar/30.1.325
- Lim, D. K., Long, N. P., Mo, C., Dong, Z., Cui, L., Kim, G., et al. (2017). Combination of Mass Spectrometry-based Targeted Lipidomics and Supervised Machine Learning Algorithms in Detecting Adulterated Admixtures of White Rice. *Food Res. Int.* 100, 814–821.
- Liu, G., Yin, K., Zhang, Q., Gao, C., and Qiu, J.-L. (2019). Modulating Chromatin Accessibility by Transactivation and Targeting Proximal dsgRNAs Enhances Cas9 Editing Efficiency In Vivo. Genome Biol. 20, 145. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1762-8
- López, Y., Nadaf, H. L., Smith, O. D., Connell, J. P., Reddy, A. S., and Fritz, A. K. (2000). Isolation and Characterization of the Δ12-fatty Acid Desaturase in Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and Search for Polymorphisms for the High Oleate Trait in Spanish Market-type Lines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 101, 1131–1138. doi:10.1007/s001220051589
- Manghwar, H., Lindsey, K., Zhang, X., and Jin, S. (2019). CRISPR/Cas System: Recent Advances and Future Prospects for Genome Editing. *Trends Plant Sci.* 24, 1102–1125. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2019.09.006

- Marangoni, F., Agostoni, C., Borghi, C., Catapano, A. L., Cena, H., Ghiselli, A., et al. (2020). Dietary Linoleic Acid and Human Health: Focus on Cardiovascular and Cardiometabolic Effects. *Atherosclerosis* 292, 90–98.
- Menard, G. N., Moreno, J. M., Bryant, F. M., Munoz-Azcarate, O., Kelly, A. A., Hassani-Pak, K., et al. (2017). Genome Wide Analysis of Fatty Acid Desaturation and its Response to Temperature. *Plant Physiol.* 173, 1594–1605. doi:10.1104/pp.16.01907
- Miquel, M., and Browse, J. (1992). Arabidopsis Mutants Deficient in Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid Synthesis. Biochemical and Genetic Characterization of a Plant Oleoyl-Phosphatidylcholine Desaturase. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 1502–1509. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(18)45974-1
- Norden, A. J., Gorbet, D. W., Knauft, D. A., and Young, C. T. (1987). Variability in Oil Quality Among Peanut Genotypes in the Florida Breeding Program1. *Peanut Sci.* 14, 7–11. doi:10.3146/i0095-3679-14-1-3
- O'Byrne, D. J., Knauft, D. A., and Shireman, R. B. (1997). Low Fat Monounsaturated Rich Diets Containing High-Oleic Peanuts Improve Serum Lipoprotein Profiles. *Lipids* 32, 678
- Oliva, R., Ji, C., Atienza-Grande, G., Huguet-Tapia, J. C., Perez-Quintero, A., Li, T., et al. (2019). Broad-spectrum Resistance to Bacterial Blight in rice Using Genome Editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37 (11), 1344–1350. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0267-z
- Parcy, F., Valon, C., Kohara, A., Miséra, S., and Giraudat, J. (1997). The ABSCISIC ACID-INSENSITIVE3, FUSCA3, and LEAFY COTYLEDON1 Loci Act in Concert to Control Multiple Aspects of Arabidopsis Seed Development. *Plant Cell* 9 (8), 1265–1277.
- Park, J., Bae, S., and Kim, J. S. (2015). Cas-Designer: A Web-Based Tool for Choice of CRISPR-Cas9 Target Sites. *Bioinformatics* 31, 4014–4016. doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btv537
- Reidt, W., Wohlfarth, T., Ellerstrom, M., Czihal, A., Tewes, A., Ezcurra, I., et al. (2000). Gene Regulation during Late Embryogenesis: the RY Motif of Maturation-specific Gene Promoters Is a Direct Target of the FUS3 Gene Product. *Plant J.* 21, 401–408. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00686.x
- Rodríguez-Leal, D., Lemmon, Z. H., Man, J., Bartlett, M. E., and Lippman, Z. B. (2017). Engineering Quantitative Trait Variation for Crop Improvement by Genome Editing. *Cell* 171 (2), 470–e8. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.030
- Salimonti, A., Carbone, F., Romano, E., Pellegrino, M., Benincasa, C., Micali, S., et al. (2020). Association Study of the 5'UTR Intron of the FAD2-2 Gene with Oleic and Linoleic Acid Content in Olea Europaea L. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 66. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00066
- Shanklin, J., and Cahoon, E. B. (1998). Desaturation and Related Modifications of Fatty Acids. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 49, 611–641. doi:10.1146/ annurev.arplant.49.1.611
- Sinha, P., Bajaj, P., Pazhamala, L. T., Nayak, S. N., Pandey, M. K., Chitikineni, A., et al. (2020). Arachis hypogaea Gene Expression Atlas for Fastigiata Subspecies of Cultivated Groundnut to Accelerate Functional and Translational Genomics Applications. Plant Biotechnol. J. 18 (11), 2187–2200. doi:10.1111/pbi.13374
- Stålberg, K., Ellerstöm, M., and Ezcurra, I. (1996). Disruption of an Overlapping E-Box/ABRE Motif Abolished High Transcription of the *napA* Storage-Protein Promoter in Transgenic *Brassica Napus* Seeds. *Planta* 199, 515

- Stålberg, K., Ellerström, M., Josefsson, L. G., and Rask, L. (1993). Deletion Analysis of a 2S Seed Storage Protein Promoter of *Brassica Napus* in Transgenic Tobacco. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 23, 671
- Tang, G., Xu, P., Li, P., Zhu, J., Chen, G., Shan, L., et al. (2021). Cloning and Functional Characterization of Seed-specific *LEC1A* Promoter from Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *PLoS ONE* 16 (3), e0242949. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0242949
- Traore, S. M., and He, G. (2021). "Soybean as a Model Crop to Study Plant Oil Genes: Mutations in FAD2 Gene Family," in *Book Chapter in: Model Organisms* in Plant Genetics. doi:10.5772/intechopen.99752
- Wang, M. L., Chen, C. Y., Davis, J., Guo, B., Stalker, H. T., and Pittman, R. N. (2009). Assessment of Oil Content and Fatty Acid Composition Variability in Different Peanut Subspecies and Botanical Varieties. *Plant Genet. Res.* 8, 71–73. doi:10.1017/s1479262109990177
- Wu, Q., Liu, T., Liu, H., and Zheng, G. C. (2009). Unsaturated Fatty Acid: Metabolism, Synthesis and Gene Regulation. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 8 (9), 1782–1785. http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB.
- Xiao, G., Zhang, Z. Q., Yin, C. F., Liu, R. Y., Wu, X. M., Tan, T. L., et al. (2014). Characterization of the Promoter and 5'-UTR Intron of Oleic Acid Desaturase (FAD2) Gene in Brassica Napus. *Gene* 545, 45–55. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2014. 05.008
- Yu, S., Pan, L., Yang, Q., Min, P., Ren, Z., and Zhang, H. (2008). Comparison of the Δ12 Fatty Acid Desaturase Gene between High-Oleic and normal-oleic Peanut Genotypes. J. Genet. Genomics 35, 679–685. doi:10.1016/s1673-8527(08)60090-9
- Yuan, M., Zhu, J., Gong, L., He, L., Lee, C., Han, S., et al. (2019). Mutagenesis of FAD2 Genes in Peanut with CRISPR/Cas9 Based Gene Editing. BMC Biotechnol. 19, 24. doi:10.1186/s12896-019-0516-8
- Zhang, J., Liang, S., Duan, J., Wang, J., Chen, S., Cheng, Z., et al. (2012). De novo Assembly and Characterisation of the Transcriptome During Seed Development, and Generation of Genic-SSR Markers in Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.). BMC genomics. 13 (1), 1–6.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Neelakandan, Wright, Traore, Chen, Spalding and He. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.