

## Transcriptome Profiling to the Effects of Drought Stress on Different Propagation Modes of Tea Plant (*Camellia sinensis*)

Zhou Ding<sup>1,2†</sup> and Changjun Jiang<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Tea and Food Science Technology, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China, <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Tea Biology and Utilization, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China

Tea plant (Camellia sinensis) is an important economic beverage crop. Drought stress seriously affects the growth and development of tea plant and the accumulation of metabolites, as well as the production, processing, yield and quality of tea. Therefore, it is necessary to understand the reaction mechanism of tea plant under drought conditions and find efficient control methods. Based on transcriptome sequencing technology, this study studied the difference of metabolic level between sexual and asexual tea plants under drought stress. In this study, there were multiple levels of upregulation and down-regulation of differential genes related to cell composition, molecular function and biological processes. Transcriptomic data show that the metabolism of tea plants with different propagation modes of QC and ZZ is different under drought conditions. In the expression difference statistics, it can be seen that the differential genes of QC are significantly more than ZZ; GO enrichment analysis also found that although differential genes in biological process are mainly enriched in the three pathways of metabolic, single organism process and cellular process, cellular component is mainly enriched in cell, cell part, membrane, and molecular function, and binding, catalytic activity, and transporter activity; the enrichment order of differential genes in these pathways is different in QC and ZZ. This difference is caused by the way of reproduction. The further study of these differential genes will lay a foundation for the cultivation methods and biotechnology breeding to improve the quality of tea.

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Zefeng Yang, Yangzhou University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Asosii Paul, Nagaland University, India Rasmieh Hamid Rasmieh Hamid, Cotton Research Institute of Iran, Iran

> \*Correspondence: Changjun Jiang jiangcj@ahau.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>ORCID: Zhou Ding orcid.org/0000-0001-7637-7523

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 30 March 2022 Accepted: 20 May 2022 Published: 10 August 2022

#### Citation:

Ding Z and Jiang C (2022) Transcriptome Profiling to the Effects of Drought Stress on Different Propagation Modes of Tea Plant (Camellia sinensis). Front. Genet. 13:907026. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.907026 Keywords: transcriptome, sexually progeny, asexual reproduction, drought stress, Camellia sinensis (L.)O.Ktze

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Tea (*Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze, Theaceae) is an important economic plant worldwide (Das et al., 2015), which has high economic value (Xue et al., 2013; Lou et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016): the leaves of it are long round or oval, which can be used to make tea (Kessenich and Higgs, 2010), a very popular drink (Hodgson et al., 2002; Hodgson et al., 2005); the seeds can be used for oil (Mantil et al.,

1

**Abbreviations:** CAT, catalase; DEG, differentially expressed genes; GAPDH, gluceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase; GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; POD, peroxidase; QC, cuttings of tea plant; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SOD, superoxide dismutase; ZZ, seeds propagate tea plant.



2015; Morsoleto et al., 2015; Tai et al., 2015); the tree is good materials and it can be used for carving. There are two modes of propagation of tea plants: sexual (seed raised) and clonal (derived from stem cutting), mainly clonal propagation (Zhang et al., 2021).

Drought is among the most severe constraint of all biotic and abiotic stresses, thereby limiting crop productivity of dryland farming and threatening world food security (Nouraei et al., 2022). Tea plant is an evergreen plant that likes warm temperature and humidity, and its drought tolerance is weak (Dong et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2017). Because of the global warming, drought is becoming more and more frequent, which has become one of the most serious natural disasters and is also an important factor restricting the growth of tea plants (Liu et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2019b; Li J. et al., 2019). Severe drought and high temperature will cause the transformation of tea leaves from dry to dead (Liu et al., 2016a; Zheng et al., 2016; Rahimi, Kordrostami and Mortezavi, 2019; Xie et al., 2019). Phenotypic symptom analysis showed that drought reduced the water content of tea plants and the weight of buds and roots (Wei et al., 2010; Jin-Long et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2019). The changes in these indicators indicate that tea plants work hard to cope with drought in some ways, but drought still hindering the growth and metabolism of tea plants at all stages, affecting the structure of tea plants while reducing the quality and yield of tea leaves (Xue et al., 2013; Tai et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2017).

Over the past decades, great progress has been made in explaining the genetic and molecular basis of drought responses in plants such as *Arabidopsis* (Zhang P. et al., 2019). Drought also promotes the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can damage cells. To survive drought, plants have developed enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidative defense mechanisms to scavenge ROS and ROS scavenging enzymes activities like superoxide dismutase (SOD), guaiacol peroxidase (POD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and catalase (CAT) (Zhang P. et al., 2019; Ansari et al., 2022). The physiological mechanism of tea under drought stress has also been partially studied in recent years (Jin et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021), The results showed that drought stress would hinder the growth and metabolism of tea plants and increase the content of soluble protein and proline. (Liu et al., 2016a; Liu et al., 2016b), The activity of SOD and other antioxidant enzymes significantly improved the ability of ROS scavenging mechanism, because the content of ROS in plants caused by drought exceeds the range of scavenging ability under the original equilibrium state (Sen and Alikamanoglu, 2012). In addition, drought reduced the photosynthesis of tea plants, resulting in water loss of stomatal cells, decreased stomatal conductance, and CO<sub>2</sub> entering stomata; thus, the photosynthetic rate (Chen et al., 2011). ABA accumulation of tea plants will increase under drought stress, which is conducive to maintaining a higher water state of plants, thus reducing stress injury (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 1992; Yoshida et al., 2010; Sreenivasulu et al., 2012; Bai et al., 2019; Song et al., 2019). At the same time, drought can change the contents of metabolites such as total polyphenols, total catechins, free amino acids and caffeine in tea plants, which has a great influence on the quality of tea (Li et al., 2020).

However, at present, the research of tea drought stress mainly uses clonal cultivated species as materials (Zhang et al., 2021). It is not clear whether there are differences between sexual lines and clones under drought stress. With the rapid development of second-generation sequencing technology (Ertl et al., 2011; Kaur et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012), transcriptome sequencing has been completed in more and more plants, and a large number of transcriptome data sequences have been obtained, which saves a lot of work for transcriptome screening and promotes the application in plants (Kim et al., 2014). Compared with model plants such as Arabidopsis thaliana, the molecular mechanism of drought tolerance of tea plants is not much (Abo Gamar et al., 2019; Roca Paixao et al., 2019). Drought tolerance phenomenon is a complex trait that involves several metabolic and morphological adaptive pathways. Deciphering genetic basis of drought stress tolerance mechanisms in tea plants still remains a challenging

task (Ansari et al., 2022). The application of transcriptome technology to tea plant response to drought stress can be used for secondary metabolic pathways (Kaur et al., 2011; Deng et al., 2016) and regulation research (Covington et al., 2008; Li et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019a), resistance research (Kim et al., 2014; Li et al., 2019a; Zhang X.-Y. et al., 2019), functional gene mining, and so on (Niinemets et al., 2018).

In this experiment, the transcriptome of tea tree was sequenced by RNA-Seq sequencing using the drought-prone treatment of the progeny and asexual progeny of the clonal tea tree. The gene annotation was obtained by Blast alignment, followed by Unigene and NR on the tea tree sample. The database was screened to find the molecular mechanism of response to high-temperature and drought stress in different reproductive modes at the level of gene transcription. The differentially expressed genes related to amino acids and enzymes in tea leaves under drought stress, such as proline, were obtained. The key regulatory genes in the POD, SOD, and CAT biosynthesis pathways provide theoretical guidance for analyzing the drought-resistant functional genes of the above tea plants, and lay the foundation for improving the quality of tea plants by improving cultivation methods and biotechnology breeding.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Materials and Drought Stress Treatments

One-year-old tea cultivars, Shuchazao (2n = 2x = 30)chromosomes, Camellia sinensis var. assamica (J.W.Mast.) Kitam, Theaceae), were planted in plastic pots (1 plant per pot) at the Hefei, Anhui China Figure 1. 18 pots of cutting seedlings and 18 pots of seed seedlings, respectively. Tea seedlings were uniformly cultured in an artificial climate chamber with a temperature of  $25 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C and a humidity of 80%. During drought treatment, the portable soil moisture meter (VICOMETER) is used to detect the soil moisture content and control the soil moisture in each period. The water content of soil was controlled at about 50% on the 5th day, about 40% on the 10th day, about 30% on the 15th day, about 20% on the 20th day, and about 15% on the 25th day. The control treatment was irrigation every day (9:00-10:00 a.m., the irrigation amount was 1.0 L per pot, and the relative water content of soil reached 80%). For physiological experiments, 200 mg roots was harvested and pooled for each treatment group at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 days, and the collection was repeated three times as biological replicates. In order to more accurately compare the differences between the two breeding methods under drought stress, we selected the samples treated on the 20th day (moderate drought) for transcriptome sequencing, and 0 day as the control. The cuttings were named QC and the seed seedlings were named ZZ. There were at least three repetitions per process.

# **RNA** Isolation and Transcriptome Sequencing

The two sets (0 and 20 days) of collected roots were subjected to RNA isolation using the TRIzol reagent in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity and purity of total RNA were assessed using a NanoDrop Photometer Spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, Westlake Village, CA, United States), and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Following the examination of quality and quantity, the libraries for transcriptome sequencing ware prepared using Illumina's kit following the manufacturer recommendations. The RNA libraries were sequenced on the Illumina sequencing platform by Genedenovo Biotechnology Co., Ltd., (Guangzhou, China).

#### **RNA-Seq Data Analysis**

Quality control of the data from RNA-Seq was utilized with SeqPrep (https://github.com/jstjohn/SeqPrep) yichuand Sickle (https://github.com/najoshi/sickle). Adapter and primer sequences were removed, and sequences with lengths below 20 bp were discarded. After removing adapter and primer sequences, low-quality bases were trimmed from the 3' end of the reads. After trimming low-quality bases, sequences with quality values less than 10 were discarded. Sequences with N ratios higher than 10% were also removed. The error rate (%), Q20 and Q30 values, GC-content (%), and sequence duplication levels of the resulting high-quality clean reads were then evaluated.

Reference genome sequences and gene annotation files were downloaded from TPIA (https://tpia.teaplant.org/index.html) and our RNA-seq reads were aligned to the tea reference genome using TopHat v2.1.0 (Wei et al., 2018). Gene annotation was used to guide read mapping and no more than 2 mismatches were allowed. Reads per kilobase per million reads (RPKMs) were calculated to estimate gene expression levels using HTSeq (version 0.6.1).

For functional annotation and classification, all transcripts and their corresponding genes were compared with the Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COG, https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/COG/), Gene Ontology (GO, https://www.geneontology. org) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG, https://www.genome.jp/kegg/) databases. GO analysis was conducted using the BLAST2GO software with default parameters. COG functional classification was conducted using Blastx software in the STRING database. KEGG pathway annotation was performed using KOBAS (https://kobas.cbi. pku.edu.cn/).

## **Differential Gene Expression Analysis**

The expression of Unigenes can be calculated by RPKM method, and the gene expression abundance obtained can be directly used to compare the expression differences between different samples. Log2 and FCFDR were used to screen the differentially expressed genes. The screening conditions were |log2FC| > 1 and FDR < 0.05.

#### TABLE 1 | qRT-PCR primers.

Primer name	Sequence 5'-3'
CsGAPDH-F	TTGGCATCGTTGAGGGTCT
CsGAPDH-R	CAGTGGGAACACGGAAAGC
CsActin-F	GCCATCTTTGATTGGAATGG
CsActin-R	GGTGCCACAACCTTGATCTT
QC TEA006283-F	TGACTAACCCGCCAACAACT
QC TEA006283-R	ACCAACCCGCCAAGAAGAT
QC TEA018992-F	CATACAAATGCCAGCTCCCA
QC TEA018992-R	TGAGAGGGCCACTAGGTCGT
QC TEA010590-F	TGCCAATTTCTCTGCTCCTG
QC TEA010590-R	TCAAGTTCCACACCGACGTT
QC TEA016075-F	CGGATCGTTGCCTAGTTCAC
QC TEA016075-R	CGCATTCGACCTCTTCTGAC
QC TEA004537-F	CAAACCCACAAGCGCAAGTA
QC TEA004537-R	TCACTGCCCAAGAATCGTTC
QC TEA005361-F	ACCGCATCACCACTACCACA
QC TEA005361-R	CACTCTGCCGATCCGAAAT
QC TEA008983-F	GGGTTTGACCTCGCAACTTC
QC TEA008983-R	GCATGACACGCAATAGGGAT
QC TEA026818-F	CCCATACGGTGAATACTGGC
QC TEA026818-R	CCCTGTGATCGACGAAATGT
QC TEA009673-F	TGTTCTTCCACCGGGTTCC
QC TEA009673-R	AACACCGGCCCATATCTCTG
QC TEA016430-F	AGAATCCGGGCTGCATGTAT
QC TEA016430-R	AGTCCCAAGCCAGAGTCGAT
ZZ TEA015199-F	CGCATGGACAATGAGGTGAT
ZZ TEA015199-R	TTGCGGCACAATACAGCTCT
ZZ TEA010880-F	CGCCACAGTTGGAAATTCTG
ZZ TEA010880-R	AAGCCAAGATTGGAACTCCC
ZZ TEA026349-F	TAGCCACTGAATGCGGGATA
ZZ TEA026349-R	CAATCGCTGCTCTGGAGTGT
ZZ TEA006156-F	GGGGCCATCAATGTTCCTTA
ZZ TEA006156-R	CAAGCTGGCATCCGACAATA
ZZ TEA015880-F	ACGAGATAGGGGTTCTTGCC
ZZ TEA015880-R	GAATCCCTTTCCTTTCCAGC
ZZ TEA008472-F	TTGGCAAGTTCGACACGTCT
ZZ TEA008472-R	AAGCCAACCCTAGCAAGCCT
ZZ TEA004071-F	CCTTGGCTTTGGCATCAGTA
ZZ TEA004071-R	AACATGACCTTGGGCGACAT
ZZ TEA001821-F	CACATTCTCGTCCCCATGAA
ZZ TEA001821-R	TGCTCGAAGAGGTTGTGGGT
ZZ TEA004537-F	CAAACCCACAAGCGCAAGTA
ZZ TEA004537-R	TCACTGCCCAAGAATCGTTC
ZZ TEA016076-F	GCGCGGTTTTCTCATTCCTA
ZZ TEA016076-R	AACCTCTCGGGCATGAATTG

#### **Quantitative RT-PCR Assay**

To determine mRNA levels of drought-responsive genes, quantitative real time PCR was performed. The remaining samples of the transcriptome were used to perform qRT-PCR, total RNA was extracted from drought treated and control group, and then reverse transcribed into cDNA. The specific primers were designed by Primer Premier 5.0 software and used to quantify the expression of genes, and actin was used as internal control (**Table 1**). All qRT-PCR experiments were repeated 3 biological replicates and each biological replicate had 6 technical replicates.

#### Determination of Physiological Indexes Related to Drought Stress

For each tea plant, biomass was measured at the end of drought treatment. The leaves and stems are collected together for

aboveground biomass. All roots collected in pot plants were collected to obtain root biomass. The collected samples were then dried at 70°C for 48 h. And then, the dried samples are measured to calculate the biomass. The contents of soluble sugar, soluble protein, proline, malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), and catalase (CAT) were measured by commercial kit (Suzhou Comin Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China), respectively. All procedures are performed according to the kit instructions.

#### **Data Analyses**

All data were analyzed by SPSS (version 20.0). Data of plant biomass were described using their dry weight, and the root to shoot ratio (R/S) was calculated using the following formula (Peng et al., 2019).

#### R/S = Broot/Baboveground

where Broot was the root biomass and Babove ground was the aboveground biomass. Values reported here are means of eight replicates. Significance testing was analyzed using Student's t-test and one-way ANOVA. Significance was considered for p < 0.05. \*\*p < 0.01, and \*\*\*p < 0.0001. Graphical work was carried out using Origin software 8.0.

#### RESULTS

#### Physiological Indexes of Sexual and Clonal Tea Plants Under Drought Stress

The effect of drought stress on physiological indicators in seedlings and cuttings of tea plant is shown in **Table 2**. For seedlings, the soluble sugar content reached the peak at 15d, which was 5.78 mg/g. The lowest soluble sugar content appeared at 5d, about 1.18 mg/g. The soluble sugar content of 15d was significantly higher than that of other groups (p < 0.05). The soluble sugar content of 10d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 25d (p < 0.05). The soluble sugar content of 10d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 25d (p < 0.05). The soluble sugar content of 25d was significantly higher than CK and 5d (p < 0.05).

The changes of soluble protein and MDA content were similar. The highest content of soluble protein and MDA appeared at 20d, which were 19.34 mg/g and 10.63 µmol/g, respectively. And the lowest points of these two indexes appeared in the CK group, which were 12.21 mg/g and 6.36 µmol/g, respectively. The soluble protein and MDA content of 20d were significantly higher than that of other groups (p < 0.05). The soluble protein and MDA content of 15d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 15d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein and MDA content of 15d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 10d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein and MDA content of 20d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 10d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein and MDA content of 15d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 10d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein and MDA content of 10d was significantly higher than CK and 5d (p < 0.05).

The proline content gradually increased with increasing drought stress levels. After 25 days of drought stress, it reached the highest point of  $38.37 \,\mu$ g/g. Without drought stress, the proline content in leaves was the lowest, which was

Materials	Item			Drought s	tress levels			SEM
		СК	5d	10d	15d	20d	25d	
Seedlings	soluble sugar (mg/g)	1.21 <sup>e</sup>	1.18 <sup>e</sup>	3.35°	5.78 <sup>a</sup>	4.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.95 <sup>d</sup>	0.23
-	soluble protein (mg/g)	12.21 <sup>d</sup>	13.18 <sup>d</sup>	15.35°	17.78 <sup>b</sup>	19.34 <sup>a</sup>	17.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.41
	proline (µg/g)	17.08 <sup>e</sup>	18.35 <sup>e</sup>	21.25 <sup>d</sup>	28.59 <sup>c</sup>	35.05 <sup>b</sup>	38.37 <sup>a</sup>	1.21
	MDA (µmol/g)	6.36 <sup>e</sup>	6.46 <sup>e</sup>	7.35 <sup>d</sup>	8.87 <sup>c</sup>	10.63 <sup>a</sup>	10.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.25
Cuttings	soluble sugar (mg/g)	1.35 <sup>d</sup>	1.25 <sup>d</sup>	2.28 <sup>c</sup>	3.95 <sup>a</sup>	3.11 <sup>b</sup>	2.23 <sup>c</sup>	0.14
Ū	soluble protein (mg/g)	12.61 <sup>d</sup>	13.65 <sup>d</sup>	15.28°	17.05 <sup>b</sup>	18.68 <sup>a</sup>	16.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.36
	proline (µg/g)	17.53 <sup>d</sup>	18.65 <sup>d</sup>	21.36°	26.45 <sup>ab</sup>	28.96 <sup>a</sup>	27.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.67
	MDA (µmol/g)	6.26 <sup>e</sup>	6.42 <sup>e</sup>	7.26 <sup>d</sup>	9.82 <sup>c</sup>	11.96 <sup>b</sup>	13.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.41

TABLE 2 | Effect of drought stress on physiological indicators in seedlings and cuttings of tea plant.

SEM: standard error of mean.

Means within the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05).<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup>, <sup>c</sup>, <sup>d</sup> indicate that after Duncan test, p < 0.05, the difference is significant

17.08 µg/g. The proline content of 25d was significantly higher than that of other groups (p < 0.05). The proline content of 20d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 15d (p < 0.05). The proline content of 15d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 10d (p < 0.05). The proline content of 10d was significantly higher than CK and 5d (p < 0.05).

For cuttings, the content changes of soluble sugar and soluble protein were similar. The highest contents of soluble sugar and soluble protein appeared at 15d and 20d, respectively. The lowest contents of soluble sugar and soluble protein appeared at 5d and CK, respectively. The soluble sugar content of 20d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 25d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein content of 15d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 25d (p < 0.05). The soluble sugar content of 20d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 25d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein content of 25d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 25d (p < 0.05). The soluble protein content of 25d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 10d (p < 0.05). However, there was no significant difference in soluble sugar and soluble protein between of CK and 5d.

The content changes of proline and MDA were similar. The proline and MDA content of cuttings gradually increased with increasing drought stress levels. Until 20 days of drought, the concentration of proline reached highest 28.96 µg/g. And until 25 days of drought, the concentration of MDA reached highest 13.37 µmol/g. The lowest contents of proline and MDA were 17.53 µg/g and 6.26 µmol/g without drought stress, respectively. The proline content of 25d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 15d (p < 0.05). The MDA content of 20d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, 10d, and 15d (p < 0.05). The proline and MDA content of 10d was significantly higher than CK, 5d, and 10d (p < 0.05). The proline and MDA content of 10d was significantly higher than CK and 5d (p < 0.05). Based on the above indicators, day 0 and day 20 were selected for transcriptome sequencing.

#### **Overview of Sequencing and Splicing**

The quality monitoring and filtering results are shown in **table 2**. The number of clean reads obtained from each sample is about 50 million. In addition, the total number of tested genes was 33,932, the known genes were 30,680, and the new genes were 7,120 (**Table 3**). As shown in **Figure 2**, QC has a good correlation, while ZZ has a complicated genetic background due to its sexual

TABLE 3 | Statistics of gene expression results.

All Reference Genes	Known Gene Num	New Gene Num
33932	30680 (90.42%)	7120



tea plant. CK: Cutting samples treated with drought for 0 days, T: Cutting samples treated with drought for 20 days.

reproduction and a slightly higher deviation degree of ZZ-2. The data were uploaded to NCBI with BioProject ID (PRJNA822682).



Through edge R software for gene expression quantity analysis of differences between groups, tea tree cutting propagation of asexual descendants treated with drought stress the differentially expressed genes increase to 312, in the form of cut to 1,293, tea seed reproductive health offspring after drought stress treatment of differentially expressed genes increases for 116, cut the number of 512 (**Figure 3**).

#### **Functional Analysis of Transcriptome**

GO annotation and functional enrichment analysis were performed on the differentially expressed genes obtained from the drought treatment of the asexual descendants of tea tree cuttings, respectively, in the biology process, cellular component and molecular function. The up-regulated genes were 255, 152, and 171, while the down-regulated genes were 1,356, 853, and 1,262, respectively (**Figure 4**). The distribution of up-regulated genes and down-regulated genes in GO function is consistent. Biological regulation, cellular component organization or biogenesis, cellular process, localization, metabolic process, response to stimulus, single-organism process, cell, cell part, and extracellular are all involved Significant enrichment is achieved in matrix, membrane part, organelle part, binding, transporter activity, and catalytic activity.







GO annotation and functional enrichment analysis were performed on the differentially expressed genes obtained by drought treatment in the vegetative offspring of tea tree seed propagation. In biological processes, cell components, and molecular functions of the three categories of notes, there are up-down. The up-regulated genes were 92, 43, 80 and downregulated genes were 47, 363, 369, respectively (**Figure 5**). Upregulated genes and down-regulated genes are found in biological regulation, cellular component organization or biogenesis, cellular process, localization, metabolic process, response to stimulus, single-organism process, cell, cell part, and extracellular cells. Significant enrichment is achieved in matrix, membrane part, organelle part, binding, transporter activity and catalytic activity.

The differential genes of KEGG pathway in the offspring of tea cutting propagation are mainly enriched in metabolic pathways such as metabolic pathways, biosynthesis of secondary metals, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, and so on (**Figure 6**). The treatment results of the actual offspring of tea seed reproduction can be divided into two categories: the first involves gene expression, such as DNA replication, and the second involves metabolism, such as phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, the metabolic pathways, pentose glucuronate interconversions, starch and sucrose metabolism, flavonoid biosynthesis, zeatin biosynthesis, Cetin, suberine and wax biosynthesis, proteasome, and so on (**Figure 7**).

#### Effects of Moderate Drought on Pro Metabolism-Related Genes in Tea Roots

Among the different genes in the asexual progeny of tea cutting propagation, the genes related to Pro metabolism and significantly down-regulated are as follows:AZT1 (TEA006283.1, azelaic acid inducible 1), THE1 (TEA018952.1, protein kinase family protein), At4g12490 (TEA019367.1, bifunctional inhibitor/lipid transfer protein/seed storage 2S



**FIGURE 6** KEGG pathway of cutting tea plants under drought on day 0 and day 20. QC, Cutting tea plant. CK: Cutting samples treated with drought for 0 days, T: Cutting samples treated with drought for 20 days.



albumin superfamily protein), PROT1 (TEA013759.1, proline transporter 1), and At1g49730 (TEA013092.1, protein kinase superfamily protein). It has been reported that AZI1 and MPK3 interact with each other to form protein complexes in plants, which have certain effects on drought resistance of tea plants. THE1, a malectin-like receptor kinase previously known as a cell wall integrity sensor, is responsible for drought resistance in tea plants, depending on the root tilt and salt stress sensitivity phenotypes. At4g12490 is involved in plant pathogen defense and stress tolerance. Overexpression of this gene will increase root growth of tea plant under drought stress. PROT1 plays an important role in the protective stress response of tea plants, and plays a protective role when tea plants are subjected to drought. The expression of At1g49730 was induced in tea plant during drought and high temperature, which encodes a protein kinase with unknown function. AZT1 was one of the significantly down-regulated genes related to Pro metabolism in the different genes in the offspring of tea seed reproduction.

#### Effects of Moderate Drought on Peroxidase Metabolism Related Genes in Tea Root

Among the different genes related to POD metabolism that were significantly down-regulated after drought stress, poxN1 (TEA008472.1, peroxidase N1) was found in the asexual descendants of tea cuttage reproduction. PER64 (TEA018992.1, peroxidase superfamily protein) has been reported to play a certain role in the formation of plant second cell wall, which is related to stress. APX3 (TEA027158.1, ascorbic acid peroxidase 3) may play a major role in tea tree adaptation to long-term high temperature stress and antioxidant protection. PoxN1 is one of the significantly down-regulated genes related to pro metabolism in the genes of the descendants of tea seed reproduction.

#### Effects of Moderate Drought on Superoxide Dismutase Metabolism Related Genes in Tea Root

SODCC (TEA023332.1, superoxide dismutase [cu-zn]) has been reported to play an important role in removing active



**FIGURE 8** | QC-CK&QC-T Comparison of unregulated genes expression pattern between RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR results. The gene expression values were normalized to the Actin gene. The purple column represents the results of drought treatment for 0 days, and the orange red column represents the results of drought treatment for 20 days. CK: Cutting samples treated with drought for 0 days, T: Cutting samples treated with drought for 20 days. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.0001.



of drought treatment for 0 days, and the orange red column represents the results of drought treatment for 20 days. CK: Cutting samples treated with drought for 0 days, T: Cutting samples treated with drought for 20 days. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.0001.

oxygen species in tea plants and is related to drought resistance.

## Validation of Transcriptome Data by qRT-PCR

In order to verify the accuracy of transcriptome, we screened 5 up-regulated genes and 5 down-regulated genes from QC and ZZ, respectively, for fluorescence quantitative PCR verification. The results showed that in QC treatment, except TEA009673, other genes were consistent with transcriptome data, whether up-regulated genes or down-regulated genes (**Figures 8, 9**). In ZZ

processing, TEA01599 and TEA010880 are slightly different from transcriptome data, and other values are highly correlated with transcriptome data (**Figures 10, 11**). The qRT-PCR analysis suggested that the RNA-seq results were reliable (**Figure 12**).

## DISCUSSION

Drought resistance is a complex biological process. Multiple genes related to various biochemical and physiological processes of plants interact to dynamically control and regulate drought resistance (Ansari et al., 2022). In this study, the physiological, biochemical, and transcriptome responses of



**FIGURE 10** ZZ-CK&ZZ-T Comparison of unregulated genes expression pattern between RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR results. The gene expression values were normalized to the Actin gene. The purple column represents the results of drought treatment for 0 days, and the orange red column represents the results of drought treatment for 20 days. CK: Seeding samples treated with drought for 0 days, T: Seeding samples treated with drought for 20 days. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.0001.



pattern between RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR results. The gene expression values were normalized to the Actin gene. The purple column represents the results of drought treatment for 0 days, and the orange red column represents the results of drought treatment for 20 days. CK: Seeding samples treated with drought for 0 days, T: Seeding samples treated with drought for 20 days. \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.0001.

cutting and seed propagation tea plants to drought stress were combined to comprehensively understand the genetic control of drought resistance of tea plants. Previous studies showed that most DEGs tended to increase with the intensification of drought (Li et al., 2020). However, our results showed that the downregulated genes were significantly higher than the up-regulated genes, which may be caused by the change of regulated genes due to the different cultivars selected, but the specific mechanism still needs further study.

Metabolic regulation is the key mechanism for plants to maintain cell osmotic potential under drought stress. Plant primary and secondary metabolites and metabolic genes participate in a variety of metabolic pathways and are the key factors of plant drought resistance. Physiological, biochemical, and molecular strategies of plant drought resistance mechanism can be used to improve the survival rate of plants under drought stress (Kumar et al., 2021). Studies have shown that aquaporins play a key role in the regulation of plant hydraulic conductivity at the molecular level. They are identified and found in different plant chambers of grapevine and expressed in all tissues of grapevine (Sabir et al., 2021). In this study, GO function analysis showed that the drought raised and lowered the expression of genes in sexual health offspring and asexual reproduction, the distribution of functional parts on the GO, in the biological control, composition organization or biological cells, cell processes, metabolic process, positioning, stimulusresponse, single biological process, cells, cell components, the

Gene ID (QC) RNA-seq (FPKM)		qPCR	r (Pearson correlation coefficient)	
TEA005361.1-CK	10.06	1.000967167	1	
ГЕА005361.2-Т	52.60666667	6.438379071	1	
TEA008983.1-CK	14.98	1.000112442	1	
ГЕА008983.2-Т	60.32333333	1.782093445	1	
ГЕА026818.1-CK	3.9766666667	1.001366861	1	
ГЕА026818.2-Т	17.88666667	3.649007479		
TEA009673.1-CK	4.086666667	1.000262185		
ГЕА009673.2-Т	18.02666667	0.754592618	-1	
TEA016430.1-CK	3.013333333	1.000827629	0.999	
ГЕА016430.2-Т	12.96	5.378579874	0.999	
TEA006283.1-CK	14.25333333	0.99232431	0.000	
ГЕА006283.2-Т	1.58	0.355120458	0.999	
TEA018992.1-CK	12.04333333	1.000278766	0.000	
ГЕА018992.2-Т	3.896666667	0.638717085	0.999	
TEA010590.1-CK	194.2133333	1.000064061	0.999	
ГЕА010590.2-Т	92.88333333	0.556790927		
TEA016075.1-CK	44.64666667	1.000706284	0.999	
ГЕА016075.2-Т	14.70333333	0.557470735		
TEA004537.1-CK	7.806666667	1.005598468		
ГЕА004537.2-Т	2.93	0.542449979	0.999	
Cana ID (77)	RNA-seq			
Gene ID (ZZ)	(FPKM)	qPCR	(Pearson correlation coefficient)	
TEA015199.1-CK	(FPKM) 19.41	1.000278766	coefficient)	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333	1.000278766 0.931123779	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.0866666667	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717	coefficient) -0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA010880.2-T	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.0866666667 73.71	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707	coefficient)	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA010880.2-T TEA026349.1-CK	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.086666667 73.71 13.11333333	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707        1.000359512	-0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA010880.2-T TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.086666667 73.71 13.11333333 106.5566667	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936	coefficient) -0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA010880.2-T TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.0866666667 73.71 13.11333333 106.5566667 12.48666667	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707        1.000359512        2.009487936        1.001333036	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.0866666667 73.71 13.11333333 106.5566667 12.48666667 89.78	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039	-0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.086666667 73.71 13.11333333 106.55666667 12.48666667 89.78 6.39333333	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.086666667 73.71 13.11333333 106.55666667 12.48666667 89.78 6.39333333 45.64666667	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081 1.792104101	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T	(FPKM) 19.41 59.02333333 2.086666667 73.71 13.11333333 106.55666667 12.48666667 89.78 6.39333333	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.1-CK TEA008472.2-T	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.5566667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.8066666667        0.42	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081 1.792104101 1.792104101 1.000400418 0.339540497	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.1-CK TEA008472.2-T TEA004071.1-CK	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.0866666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.5566667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.8066666667        0.42        14.66333333	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081 1.792104101 1.000400418 0.339540497 1.001948585	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.1-CK TEA008472.1-CK TEA004071.1-CK	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.5566667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.8066666667        0.42	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081 1.792104101 1.792104101 1.000400418 0.339540497	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.1-CK TEA008472.1-CK TEA004071.1-CK TEA004071.2-T TEA004071.2-T	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.55666667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.806666667        0.42        14.66333333        3.786666667        19.76666667	1.000278766 0.931123779 1.001416717 0.496459707 1.000359512 2.009487936 1.001333036 3.498724039 1.006777081 1.792104101 1.000400418 0.339540497 1.001948585 0.272352959 1.000967167	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.2-T TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.2-T TEA004071.1-CK TEA004071.2-T TEA001821.1-CK	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.55666667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.806666667        0.42        14.66333333        3.786666667        19.76666667        19.76666667	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707        1.000359512        2.009487936        1.001333036        3.498724039        1.006777081        1.792104101        1.000400418        0.339540497        1.001948855        0.272352959        1.000967167        0.409228014	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.1-CK TEA004071.1-CK TEA001821.1-CK TEA001821.2-T TEA001821.2-T	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.5566667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.806666667        0.42        14.66333333        3.786666667        19.76666667        19.76666667        5.663333333        6.016666667	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707        1.000359512        2.009487936        1.00133036        3.498724039        1.006777081        1.792104101        1.00400418        0.39540497        1.001948585        0.272352959        1.000967167        0.409228014        1.003422833	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.2-T TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.2-T TEA004071.1-CK TEA004071.2-T TEA001821.1-CK	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.5566667        12.486666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        0.42        14.66333333        3.786666667        19.76666667        5.663333333        6.01666667        19.75666667        19.76666667        1.56	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707        1.000359512        2.009487936        1.001333036        3.498724039        1.006777081        1.792104101        1.000400418        0.339540497        1.001948855        0.272352959        1.000967167        0.409228014	-0.999 -0.999 0.999 1 0.999 0.999 0.999	
TEA015199.1-CK TEA015199.2-T TEA010880.1-CK TEA026349.1-CK TEA026349.2-T TEA006156.1-CK TEA006156.2-T TEA006156.2-T TEA015880.1-CK TEA015880.2-T TEA008472.1-CK TEA004071.1-CK TEA001821.1-CK TEA001821.2-T TEA001821.2-T	(FPKM)        19.41        59.02333333        2.086666667        73.71        13.11333333        106.5566667        12.48666667        89.78        6.393333333        45.64666667        2.806666667        0.42        14.66333333        3.786666667        19.76666667        19.76666667        5.663333333        6.016666667	1.000278766        0.931123779        1.001416717        0.496459707        1.000359512        2.009487936        1.00133036        3.498724039        1.006777081        1.792104101        1.00400418        0.39540497        1.001948585        0.272352959        1.000967167        0.409228014        1.003422833	coefficient)        -0.999        -0.999        0.999        1        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999        0.999	

**FIGURE 12** Correlation analysis between RNA-seq and qPCR. Pearson coefficient is used for correlation analysis, and r represents the correlation coefficient. extracellular region, membrane components, organelles composition, bonding, catalytic activity and transport activity significantly enriched in functions, such as that involved in the process of tea plant drought resistance abundant cell reaction.

Relevant studies comprehensively analyzed the global changes of protein and mRNA abundance regulated by fulvic acid in plant leaves under drought stress. It was found that FA enhanced drought resistance by regulating starch and sucrose metabolism, phenylpropane metabolism, triterpene biosynthesis, and HSPs (Qiu et al., 2021). Our transcriptome analysis found that, KEEG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes showed that the enrichment pathways of sexual and asexual progeny overlaps, such as phenylpropanin biosynthesis, secondary metabolic biosynthesis, metabolic pathways, starch and sucrose metabolism, pentose and glucuronic acid conversion, and flavonoid biosynthesis. In addition, biological synthesis of keratin and wax, zeaxin biosynthesis, and proteasome are also involved in the enrichment of sexual progeny. The enrichment pathways of asexual progeny include biosynthesis of distyrene diarylheptanoic acid and gingerol, glutathione metabolism, galactose metabolism, degradation of limonene and pinene, amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism. Although most of them are involved in metabolism, there are still differences between the two pathways, indicating that there may be differences between the molecular mechanisms of sexual and asexual offspring of tea plants in coping with drought stress, which needs further verification.

Plants can integrate different stress signals and improve their chances of survival by inducing stomatal responses and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and hormones (Zhao et al., 2022). Oxygen-scavenging system can be used as a descriptor for identifying drought-tolerant accessions (Rahimi et al., 2021). The analysis of transcriptome results also found that moderate drought had a great impact on the expression of Pro-,

#### REFERENCES

- Abo Gamar, M. I., Kisiala, A., Emery, R. J. N., Yeung, E. C., Stone, S. L., and Qaderi, M. M. (2019). Elevated Carbon Dioxide Decreases the Adverse Effects of Higher Temperature and Drought Stress by Mitigating Oxidative Stress and Improving Water Status in *Arabidopsis T. Planta* 250, 1191–1214. doi:10.1007/s00425-019-03213-3
- Ansari, M. A., Bano, N., Kumar, A., Dubey, A. K., Asif, M. H., Sanyal, I., et al. (2022). Comparative Transcriptomic Analysis and Antioxidant Defense Mechanisms in Clusterbean (Cyamopsis Tetragonoloba (L.) Taub.) Genotypes with Contrasting Drought Tolerance. *Funct. Integr. Genomics.* doi:10.1007/s10142-022-00860-w
- Bai, G., Xie, H., Yao, H., Li, F., Chen, X., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization of ABA Receptor PYL/RCAR Gene Family Reveals Evolution and Roles in Drought Stress in Nicotiana Tabacum. BMC Genomics 20, 575. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5839-2
- Chen, C. P., Frei, M., and Wissuwa, M. (2011). The OzT8 Locus in Rice Protects Leaf Carbon Assimilation Rate and Photosynthetic Capacity under Ozone Stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* 34, 1141–1149. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02312.x
- Covington, M. F., Maloof, J. N., Straume, M., Kay, S. A., and Harmer, S. L. (2008). Global Transcriptome Analysis Reveals Circadian Regulation of Key Pathways in Plant Growth and Development. *Genome Biol.* 9, R130. doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-8-r130

SOD-, and POD-related genes in tea roots. The genes significantly related to Pro and POD were significantly down-regulated, while the genes significantly related to SOD were up-regulated.

### CONCLUSION

The above research results revealed that moderate drought stress on different breeding way tea tree root molecular mechanisms of metabolic control, to further study of some of the key functional genes, will help improve tea cultivation way, cultivating high effective constituents' content and strong drought resistance varieties of tea tree, further improve the yield and quality tea.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data presented in the study are deposited in the Sequence Read Archive(SRA) repository, accession number PRJNA822682. The data was released on 2022-05-01.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ZD wrote the manuscript, performed most of the experiments, and analyzed the results. CJ conceived the study.

## FUNDING

The current research was supported by the Open Project of Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecosystem Protection and Restoration (AKLWEPR-K-2020-03) and the Key Science and Technology Program of Anhui Province (806136580060).

- Das, A., Mukhopadhyay, M., Sarkar, B., Saha, D., and Mondal, T. K. (2015). Influence of Drought Stress on Cellular Ultrastructure and Antioxidant System in Tea Cultivars with Different Drought Sensitivities. J. Environ. Biol. 36, 875–882.
- Deng, N., Chang, E., Li, M., Ji, J., Yao, X., Bartish, I. V., et al. (2016). Transcriptome Characterization of Gnetum Parvifolium Reveals Candidate Genes Involved in Important Secondary Metabolic Pathways of Flavonoids and Stilbenoids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 174. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00174
- Dong, B., Wu, B., Hong, W., Li, X., Li, Z., Xue, L., et al. (2017). Transcriptome Analysis of the Tea Oil Camellia (Camellia Oleifera) Reveals Candidate Drought Stress Genes. *PLoS One* 12, e0181835. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0181835
- Ertl, R., Birzele, F., Hildebrandt, T., and Klein, D. (2011). Viral Transcriptome Analysis of Feline Immunodeficiency Virus Infected Cells Using Second Generation Sequencing Technology. *Veterinary Immunol. Immunopathol.* 143, 314–324. doi:10.1016/j.vetimm.2011.06.010
- Guo, Y., Zhao, S., Zhu, C., Chang, X., Yue, C., Wang, Z., et al. (2017). Identification of Drought-Responsive miRNAs and Physiological Characterization of Tea Plant (Camellia Sinensis L.) under Drought Stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 17, 211. doi:10.1186/s12870-017-1172-6
- Hodgson, J. M., Burke, V., and Puddey, I. B. (2005). Acute Effects of Tea on Fasting and Postprandial Vascular Function and Blood Pressure in Humans. J. Hypertens. 23, 47–54. doi:10.1097/00004872-200501000-00012
- Hodgson, J. M., Puddey, I. B., Burke, V., Watts, G. F., and Beilin, L. J. (2002). Regular Ingestion of Black Tea Improves Brachial Artery Vasodilator Function. *Clin. Sci. (Lond)* 102, 195–201. doi:10.1042/cs1020195

- Jin, J., Zhao, M., Gao, T., Jing, T., Zhang, N., Wang, J., et al. (2021). Amplification of Early Drought Responses Caused by Volatile Cues Emitted from Neighboring Tea Plants. *Hortic. Res.* 8, 243. doi:10.1038/s41438-021-00704-x
- Jin-Long, G., Li-Ping, X., Jing-Ping, F., Ya-Chun, S., Hua-Ying, F., You-Xiong, Q., et al. (2012). A Novel Dirigent Protein Gene with Highly Stem-Specific Expression from Sugarcane, Response to Drought, Salt and Oxidative Stresses. *Plant Cell Rep.* 31, 1801–1812. doi:10.1007/s00299-012-1293-1
- Kaur, S., Cogan, N. O., Pembleton, L. W., Shinozuka, M., Savin, K. W., Materne, M., et al. (2011). Transcriptome Sequencing of Lentil Based on Second-Generation Technology Permits Large-Scale Unigene Assembly and SSR Marker Discovery. BMC Genomics 12, 265. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-265
- Kessenich, C. R., and Higgs, D. (2010). Herbal Tea Components and Liver Function. Nurse Pract. 35, 10–11. doi:10.1097/01.npr.0000383952.94594.56
- Kim, C. K., Lim, H. M., Na, J. K., Choi, J. W., Sohn, S. H., Park, S. C., et al. (2014). A Multistep Screening Method to Identify Genes Using Evolutionary Transcriptome of Plants. *Evol. Bioinform Online* 10, 69–78. doi:10.4137/ EBO.S14823
- Kumar, M., Kumar Patel, M., Kumar, N., Bajpai, A. B., and Siddique, K. H. M. (2021). Metabolomics and Molecular Approaches Reveal Drought Stress Tolerance in Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (17), 9108. doi:10.3390/ijms22179108
- Li, C.-F., Zhu, Y., Yu, Y., Zhao, Q.-Y., Wang, S.-J., Wang, X.-C., et al. (2015). Global Transcriptome and Gene Regulation Network for Secondary Metabolite Biosynthesis of Tea Plant (Camellia Sinensis). *BMC Genomics* 16, 560. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1773-0
- Li, H., Dong, Z., Ma, C., Tian, X., Xiang, Z., Xia, Q., et al. (2019a). Discovery of Powdery Mildew Resistance Gene Candidates from Aegilops Biuncialis Chromosome 2Mb Based on Transcriptome Sequencing. *PLoS One* 14, e0220089. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220089
- Li, H., Teng, R. M., Liu, J. X., Yang, R. Y., Yang, Y. Z., Lin, S. J., et al. (2019b). Identification and Analysis of Genes Involved in Auxin, Abscisic Acid, Gibberellin, and Brassinosteroid Metabolisms under Drought Stress in Tender Shoots of Tea Plants. DNA Cell Biol. 38 (11), 1292–1302. doi:10. 1089/dna.2019.4896
- Li, J., Yang, Y., Sun, K., Chen, Y., Chen, X., and Li, X. (2019c). Exogenous Melatonin Enhances Cold, Salt and Drought Stress Tolerance by Improving Antioxidant Defense in Tea Plant (Camellia Sinensis (L.) O. Kuntze). *Molecules* 24 (9), 1826. doi:10.3390/molecules24091826
- Li, M., Liu, J., Zhou, Y., Zhou, S., Zhang, S., Tong, H., et al. (2020). Transcriptome and Metabolome Profiling Unveiled Mechanisms of Tea (Camellia Sinensis) Quality Improvement by Moderate Drought on Pre-harvest Shoots. *Phytochemistry* 180, 112515. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2020.112515
- Liu, S.-C., Jin, J.-Q., Ma, J.-Q., Yao, M.-Z., Ma, C.-L., Li, C.-F., et al. (2016a). Transcriptomic Analysis of Tea Plant Responding to Drought Stress and Recovery. *PLoS One* 11, e0147306. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147306
- Liu, S.-C., Xu, Y.-X., Ma, J.-Q., Wang, W.-W., Chen, W., Huang, D.-J., et al. (2016b). Small RNA and Degradome Profiling Reveals Important Roles for microRNAs and Their Targets in Tea Plant Response to Drought Stress. *Physiol. Plant.* 158, 435–451. doi:10.1111/ppl.12477
- Lou, W., Sun, S., Wu, L., and Sun, K. (2015). Effects of Climate Change on the Economic Output of the Longjing-43 Tea Tree, 1972-2013. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 59, 593–603. doi:10.1007/s00484-014-0873-x
- Mantil, E., Daly, G., and Avis, T. J. (2015). Effect of Tea Tree (Melaleuca Alternifolia) Oil as a Natural Antimicrobial Agent in Lipophilic Formulations. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 61, 82–88. doi:10.1139/cjm-2014-0667
- Morsoleto, F. M., Nakagawa, I. T., Santos, W. S., Morsoleto, M. J., Morgado, F. M., and Matos, A. P. (2015). Administration of Essential Oil of Tea Tree (Melaleuca Alternifolia) in Experimental Muscle Injury by Phonoforesis. *Microsc. Microanal.* 21 (Suppl. 5), 79–80. doi:10.1017/S1431927615014208
- Niinemets, Ü., Bravo, L. A., and Copolovici, L. (2018). Changes in Photosynthetic Rate and Stress Volatile Emissions through Desiccation-Rehydration Cycles in Desiccation-Tolerant Epiphytic Filmy Ferns (Hymenophyllaceae). *Plant Cell Environ.* 41, 1605–1617. doi:10.1111/pce.13201
- Nouraei, S., Mia, M. S., Liu, H., Turner, N. C., and Yan, G. (2022). Transcriptome Analyses of Near Isogenic Lines Reveal Putative Drought Tolerance Controlling Genes in Wheat. Front. Plant Sci. 13, 857829. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.857829
- Peng, H., Yan, Z., Chen, Y., Zhao, X., and Han, W. (2019). Effects of Body Size and Root to Shoot Ratio on Foliar Nutrient Resorption Efficiency in Amaranthus Mangostanus. Am. J. Bot. 106, 363–370. doi:10.1002/ajb2.1246

- Qiu, C., Sun, J., Shen, J., Zhang, S., Ding, Y., Gai, Z., et al. (2021). Fulvic Acid Enhances Drought Resistance in Tea Plants by Regulating the Starch and Sucrose Metabolism and Certain Secondary Metabolism. J. Proteomics 247, 104337. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2021.104337
- Rahimi, M., Kordrostami, M., Mohamadhasani, F., and Chaeikar, S. S. (2021). Antioxidant Gene Expression Analysis and Evaluation of Total Phenol Content and Oxygen-Scavenging System in Tea Accessions under Normal and Drought Stress Conditions. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21, 494. doi:10.1186/s12870-021-03275-0
- Rahimi, M., Kordrostami, M., and Mortezavi, M. (2019). Evaluation of Tea (Camellia Sinensis L.) Biochemical Traits in Normal and Drought Stress Conditions to Identify Drought Tolerant Clones. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 25, 59–69. doi:10.1007/s12298-018-0564-x
- Roca Paixao, J. F., Gillet, F. X., Ribeiro, T. P., Bournaud, C., Lourenco-Tessutti, I. T., Noriega, D. D., et al. (2019). Improved Drought Stress Tolerance in Arabidopsis by CRISPR/dCas9 Fusion with a Histone AcetylTransferase. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 8080. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44571-y
- Sabir, F., Zarrouk, O., Noronha, H., Loureiro-Dias, M. C., Soveral, G., Gerós, H., et al. (2021). Grapevine Aquaporins: Diversity, Cellular Functions, and Ecophysiological Perspectives. *Biochimie* 188, 61–76. doi:10.1016/j.biochi. 2021.06.004
- Sen, A., and Alikamanoglu, S. (2012). Analysis of Drought-Tolerant Sugar Beet (Beta Vulgaris L.) Mutants Induced with Gamma Radiation Using SDS-PAGE and ISSR Markers. *Mutat. Res.-Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen.* 738-739, 38–44. doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2012.08.003
- Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (1992). Plant Genes Induced by Drought Stress and ABA. *Tanpakushitsu Kakusan Koso* 37, 1190–1199.
- Song, J., Henry, H. A. L., and Tian, L. (2019). Brachypodium Histone Deacetylase BdHD1 Positively Regulates ABA and Drought Stress Responses. *Plant Sci.* 283, 355–365. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.03.009
- Sreenivasulu, N., Harshavardhan, V. T., Govind, G., Seiler, C., and Kohli, A. (2012). Contrapuntal Role of ABA: Does it Mediate Stress Tolerance or Plant Growth Retardation under Long-Term Drought Stress? *Gene* 506, 265–273. doi:10. 1016/j.gene.2012.06.076
- Tai, Y., Wei, C., Yang, H., Zhang, L., Chen, Q., Deng, W., et al. (2015). Transcriptomic and Phytochemical Analysis of the Biosynthesis of Characteristic Constituents in Tea (Camellia Sinensis) Compared with Oil Tea (Camellia Oleifera). BMC Plant Biol. 15, 190. doi:10.1186/s12870-015-0574-6
- Wang, Y., Zeng, X., Iyer, N. J., Bryant, D. W., Mockler, T. C., and Mahalingam, R. (2012). Exploring the Switchgrass Transcriptome Using Second-Generation Sequencing Technology. *PLoS One* 7, e34225. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0034225
- Wei, C., Yang, H., Wang, S., Zhao, J., Liu, C., Gao, L., et al. (2018). Draft Genome Sequence of Camellia Sinensis Var. Sinensis Provides Insights into the Evolution of the Tea Genome and Tea Quality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.* A. 115, E4151–E4158. doi:10.1073/pnas.1719622115
- Wei, J. L., Cui, J. Z., Zhao, H. X., and Mi, X. J. (2010). Effects of Saline-Alkali and Drought Stress on Seed Germination and TvNHX1 Expression of Tripolium Vulgare. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao* 21, 1389–1394. doi:10.3724/SP.J.1142. 2010.40521
- Wu, L.-M., Fang, Y., Yang, H.-N., and Bai, L.-Y. (2019). Effects of Drought-Stress on Seed Germination and Growth Physiology of Quinclorac-Resistant Echinochloa Crusgalli. *PLoS One* 14, e0214480. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0214480
- Xie, H., Wang, Y., Ding, Y., Qiu, C., Sun, L., Gai, Z., et al. (2019). Global Ubiquitome Profiling Revealed the Roles of Ubiquitinated Proteins in Metabolic Pathways of Tea Leaves in Responding to Drought Stress. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 4286. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41041-3
- Xue, H., Ren, X., Li, S., Wu, X., Cheng, H., Xu, B., et al. (2013). Assessment of Private Economic Benefits and Positive Environmental Externalities of Tea Plantation in China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 185, 8501–8516. doi:10.1007/ s10661-013-3191-6
- Yang, D., Liang, J., Wang, Y., Sun, F., Tao, H., Xu, Q., et al. (2016). Tea Waste: An Effective and Economic Substrate for Oyster Mushroom Cultivation. J. Sci. Food Agric. 96, 680–684. doi:10.1002/jsfa.7140
- Yoshida, T., Fujita, Y., Sayama, H., Kidokoro, S., Maruyama, K., Mizoi, J., et al. (2010). AREB1, AREB2, and ABF3 Are Master Transcription Factors that Cooperatively Regulate ABRE-dependent ABA Signaling Involved in Drought

Stress Tolerance and Require ABA for Full Activation. *Plant J.* 61, 672–685. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313x.2009.04092.x

- Zhang, P., Fan, Y., Sun, X., Chen, L., Terzaghi, W., Bucher, E., et al. (2019a). A Large-Scale Circular RNA Profiling Reveals Universal Molecular Mechanisms Responsive to Drought Stress in Maize and Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 98, 697–713. doi:10.1111/tpj.14267
- Zhang, X.-Y., Sun, X.-Z., Zhang, S., Yang, J.-H., Liu, F.-F., and Fan, J. (2019b).
  Comprehensive Transcriptome Analysis of Grafting onto Artemisia Scoparia
  W. To Affect the Aphid Resistance of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum Morifolium T.). *BMC Genomics* 20, 776. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-6158-3
- Zhang, X., Chen, S., Shi, L., Gong, D., Zhang, S., Zhao, Q., et al. (2021). Haplotype-Resolved Genome Assembly Provides Insights into Evolutionary History of the Tea Plant Camellia Sinensis. *Nat. Genet.* 53, 1250–1259. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00895-y
- Zhao, K., Yang, W., Zhou, Y., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Ahmad, S., et al. (2017). Comparative Transcriptome Reveals Benzenoid Biosynthesis Regulation as Inducer of Floral Scent in the Woody Plant Prunus Mume. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 319. doi:10.3389/ fpls.2017.00319
- Zhao, M., Jin, J., Wang, J., Gao, T., Luo, Y., Jing, T., et al. (2022). Eugenol Functions as a Signal Mediating Cold and Drought Tolerance via UGT71A59 -Mediated Glucosylation in Tea Plants. *Plant J.* 109, 1489–1506. doi:10.1111/tpj.15647

Zheng, C., Wang, Y., Ding, Z., and Zhao, L. (2016). Global Transcriptional Analysis Reveals the Complex Relationship between Tea Quality, Leaf Senescence and the Responses to Cold-Drought Combined Stress in Camellia Sinensis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1858. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01858

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ding and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.