#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Suxu Tan, Qingdao University, China

REVIEWED BY Jun Tang, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China Ajay Kumar Mahato, Centre for DNA Fingerprinting and Diagnostics (CDFD), India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaofang Xie, xxf317@fafu.edu.cn Yongxian Wen, Wen9681@sina.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Plant Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 30 April 2022 ACCEPTED 11 August 2022 PUBLISHED 02 September 2022

#### CITATION

Yang T, Li C, Zhang H, Wang J, Xie X and Wen Y (2022), Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the GRAS transcription in eggplant (Solanum melongena L.). *Front. Genet.* 13:932731. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.932731

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Yang, Li, Zhang, Wang, Xie and Wen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the GRAS transcription in eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.)

# Ting Yang<sup>1</sup>, Cheng Li<sup>2</sup>, Hui Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Jingyu Wang<sup>2</sup>, Xiaofang Xie<sup>1,3</sup>\* and Yongxian Wen<sup>2,4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Fujian Key Laboratory of Crop Breeding By Design, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Statistics and Applications, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>College of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture & Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>College of Computer and Information Science, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China

GRAS proteins are plant-specific transcription factors and play important roles in plant growth, development, and stress responses. In this study, a total of 48 GRAS genes in the eggplant (S. melongena) genome were identified. These genes were distributed on 11 chromosomes unevenly, with amino acid lengths ranging from 417 to 841 aa. A total of 48 GRAS proteins were divided into 13 subgroups based on the maximum likelihood (ML) model. The gene structure showed that 60.42% (29/48) of SmGRASs did not contain any introns. Nine pairs of SmGRAS appeared to have a collinear relationship, and all of them belonged to segmental duplication. Four types of cis-acting elements, namely, light response, growth and development, hormone response, and stress response, were identified by a cis-acting element predictive analysis. The expression pattern analysis based on the RNA-seq data of eggplant indicated that SmGRASs were expressed differently in various tissues and responded specifically to cold stress. In addition, five out of ten selected SmGRASs (SmGRAS2/28/32/41/44) were upregulated under cold stress. These results provided a theoretical basis for further functional study of GRAS genes in eggplant.

#### KEYWORDS

eggplant, GRAS, phylogenetic analysis, expression pattern, cold stress

### Introduction

The name of the *GRAS* gene family is derived from its first three identified members, namely, gibberellic acid insensitive (GAI) (Peng et al., 1997), repressor of GA1 (RGA) (Silverstone et al., 1998), and scarecrow (SCR) (Di Laurenzio et al., 1996). Members of the GRAS protein family have great differences in sequence length and structure. Typical GRAS proteins are generally composed of 400–770 amino acids, including a variable N-terminal sequence and a relatively conserved C-terminal sequence (Bolle, 2004). The conserved C-terminal of typical GRAS has five different sequence motifs: leucine heptad repeat I (LHRI), VHIID, leucine heptad repeat II (LHRII), PFYRE, and SAW (Pysh et al., 1999). It

was reported that GRAS proteins of rice, *Arabidopsis, Populus*, grape, and tomato were divided into 13 subfamilies: DELLA, HAM, LISCL, AtSCR, AtPAT1, DLT, AtSCL3, AtSCL4/7, AtLAS, AtSHR, Pt20, Os19, and Os4 based on phylogenetic analysis (Liu and Widmer, 2014).

Many studies have confirmed that GRAS proteins are important proteins in plant growth and development. For example, AtLAS controls the formation of the axillary meristem (Greb et al., 2003). OsSCR regulates asymmetric cell division (Kamiya et al., 2003). AtSCL3 is a tissue-specific integration factor of the GA pathway, which can promote the division and elongation of Arabidopsis root cells (Heo et al., 2011). In addition, GRAS genes are also involved in plant responses to various stresses. AtSCL14 interacts with Class II TGA to activate the detoxification system of the plant to reduce harm (Fode et al., 2008). In Arabidopsis thaliana, overexpression of BnLAS in Brassica napus leads to smaller stomatal opening, more wax deposition in leaves, and a lower water loss rate, indicating that BnLAS has potential applications in improving drought tolerance of plants (Yang, et al., 2011). In tomatoes, the transcript accumulation of SlGRAS4 exhibited more than 250fold change during cold stress compared to that in the control plants, which means that GRAS can respond positively to cold stress (Huang et al., 2015). In grapes, overexpression of VaPAT1 gene, a member of the PAT1 subfamily, significantly increased plant resistance to cold by regulating jasmonic acid biosynthesis (Yuan et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021). Torres-Galea et al. (2006) detected AtSCL13 expression patterns in PAT1 branches of Arabidopsis thaliana and found that AtSCL13 expression was induced under low temperature stress.

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) is a popular vegetable. It is cultivated all over the world, with the largest acreage in Asia. Eggplant is a kind of temperature-bias plant (Saini and Kaushik, 2019), and it is much more sensitive to low temperatures than other solanaceous vegetables (Wan et al., 2014), which is one of the main factors affecting the production of eggplant.

There are few studies on genes related to low-temperature tolerance in eggplants, which mainly focus on the analysis of genes related to low-temperature tolerance in A. thaliana and the mining of low-temperature tolerant genes in wild eggplant based on highthroughput sequencing technology. Wan et al. (2014) transferred AtCOR15 and AtCBF3 into the eggplant cultivar Sanyueqie and assessed their cold tolerance. The results showed that the expression of the exogenous AtCBF3 and AtCOR15A could promote the cold adaptation process to protect eggplant plants from chilling stress (Wan et al., 2014). Zhou et al. (2020) isolated an ICE1-like gene (SmICE1a) from eggplant and functionally characterized its role in cold tolerance by overexpressing it in A. thaliana. The findings of this study indicated that the SmICE1a gene can be used to enhance cold tolerance in eggplant. A study using high-throughput sequencing technology to study the miRNA and its target genes of Solanum aculeatissimum at low temperatures obtained and verified nine significantly differentially

expressed miRNAs and 12 targeted mRNAs (Yang et al., 2017). Therefore, excavating key cold resistance genes of eggplant is of significance for the cold resistance varieties' breeding. At present, the response mode of GRAS transcription factor family members of eggplant under low temperature stress is not clear.

In this study, a comprehensive investigation of the *GRAS* gene family, including physicochemical properties, gene structure, conserved motifs, phylogeny, chromosome location, collinearity, cis-acting elements, and gene expression patterns under low temperature stress, was performed based on the current eggplant genome sequence data. The information derived from this study would provide a solid foundation for the further functional investigation of *GRAS* genes in eggplant.

### Materials and methods

# Screening and domain identification of eggplant GRAS proteins

The protein sequences of A. thaliana 33) and Oryza sativa L. (50) GRAS members (Liu and Widmer, 2014) were downloaded from TAIR (http://www.Arabidopsis.org/) and Phytozome (http:// www.phytozome.net/search.php). These protein sequences were used as the queries to identify the GRAS orthologs in eggplant using the BLASTP tool of SpudDB and the Eggplant Genome Database (http://eggplant-hq.cn). Proteins with more than 30% similarity to the query sequence and an E-value less than E<sup>-10</sup> were selected. The domains for GRAS proteins were further confirmed using the Conserved Domain Database (CDD) of NCBI (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi) and visualized by TBtools (Chen et al., 2020). The genes containing the GRAS domain were selected as the final candidates for eggplant GRAS genes and were renamed based on their physical position in the eggplant genome. The information of these genes was extracted from the eggplant database (http://eggplant-hq.cn), including gene IDs, physical position, gene sequence, and protein sequence. The molecular weight (MW), theoretical isoelectric point (pI), and protein length (PL) for the predicted SmGRAS proteins were calculated using the ExPASy online tool (http://prosite.expasy. org/) (Gasteiger et al., 2003).

# Gene structure and conserved motif analysis of eggplant GRAS proteins

The *SmGRAS* gene structures were identified using the Gene Structure Display Server (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn) (Guo et al., 2007). The conserved motifs were identified using MEME software (http://meme-suite.org) (Bailey et al., 2009). The parameters were set at 10 motifs with an optimum motif width of 50–300 residues. The conserved motifs were further annotated with the CDD tool (Marchler et al., 2017).

#### TABLE 1 GRAS genes identified in eggplant.

No.	Gene name	Gene id	Location (bp)	Chr	MW (Da)	pI	PL (aa
1	SmGRAS1	Smechr0100956.1	90966279597	1	74440.13	5.83	657
	SmGRAS2	Smechr0100957.1	91009089588	1	74295.13	6.03	656
	SmGRAS3	Smechr0101655.1	1635370416356949	1	82853.66	5.64	766
	SmGRAS4	Smechr0102147.1	2375151123085	1	59071.26	6.58	525
	SmGRAS5	Smechr0102148.1	2375501523177	1	68002.17	5.1	598
	SmGRAS6	Smechr0102782.1	4431349344868	1	46955.22	6.29	417
	SmGRAS7	Smechr0103899.1	101543583101983	1	52423.91	6.2	467
	SmGRAS8	Smechr0202369.1	6816781968195	2	67484.03	5.04	616
	SmGRAS9	Smechr0202394.1	6836625768555	2	60491.63	5.58	548
)	SmGRAS10	Smechr0202592.1	7011770970951	2	58773.7	5.74	518
1	SmGRAS11	Smechr0202609.1	7029812970059	2	47501.12	5.46	421
2	SmGRAS12	Smechr0203187.1	7499463574828	2	76998.66	5.25	697
3	SmGRAS13	Smechr0302081.1	8030051580024	3	71252.27	6.26	652
4	SmGRAS14	Smechr0302579.1	8616589886167872	3	58899.44	6.61	528
;	SmGRAS15	Smechr0303662.1	9596086895962490	3	60658.13	5.41	541
5	SmGRAS16	Smechr0401109.1	4857391448575963	4	55008.66	5.36	495
7	SmGRAS17	Smechr0401258.1	5571734055718779	4	53181.96	5.96	465
3	SmGRAS18	Smechr0401532.1	6483326964836967	4	62884.01	5.11	561
)	SmGRAS19	Smechr0500353.1	39507723952937	5	80739.03	5.69	720
)	SmGRAS20	Smechr0500354.1	39539713958015	5	81748.31	5.32	726
	SmGRAS21	Smechr0500468.1	53671755374708	5	60210.61	5.49	541
	SmGRAS22	Smechr0501999.1	7142212171424489	5	63678.71	6.42	567
5	SmGRAS23	Smechr0502680.1	8048615280487825	5	57594.82	5.44	501
Ł	SmGRAS24	Smechr0502748.1	8106328381065782	5	52495.18	6.09	469
5	SmGRAS25	Smechr0600059.1	897922900511	6	65616.54	5.6	579
5	SmGRAS26	Smechr0601319.1	6623576366237871	6	65621.08	6.38	599
7	SmGRAS27	Smechr0602914.1	8732308687326478	6	95884.91	5.88	841
3	SmGRAS28	Smechr0603017.1	8820866288210722	6	72410.31	6.1	636
)	SmGRAS29	Smechr0701498.1	8493762384938921	7	48011.8	5.25	433
)	SmGRAS30	Smechr0701743.1	9262323792627075	7	60658.9	6.36	435 546
, L	SmGRAS31	Smechr0701943.1	9724532197247331	7	49218.94	5.53	434
2	SmGRAS32	Smechr0701943.1 Smechr0702503.1	104537045104540305	7	64262.94		434 578
			105493514105496196	7	59959.92	6.27	
3	SmGRAS33	Smechr0702636.1		7		5.27	538
ł	SmGRAS34	Smechr0702726.1	106308917106310185	/	47913.35	8.43	423
5	SmGRAS35	Smechr0800451.1	67680436770159	8	51558.29	5.45	450
5	SmGRAS36	Smechr0802185.1	8257598382579091	8	76017.79	5.74	701
	SmGRAS37	Smechr0802313.1	8409530584098713	8	75327.93	5.71	680
	SmGRAS38	Smechr0900438.1	64116696415271	9	86289.75	5.75	764
)	SmGRAS39	Smechr0900855.1	1879368818795304	9	55612.27	5.08	484
)	SmGRAS40	Smechr0901929.1	7966261279664345	9	55711.21	6.23	500
	SmGRAS41	Smechr1000504.1	66919396694766	10	62131.32	5.73	556
2	SmGRAS42	Smechr1001636.1	6474764364751256	10	86484.02	5.73	760
3	SmGRAS43	Smechr1001829.1	6815673968160660	10	89747.97	5.92	826
4	SmGRAS44	Smechr1100032.1	470509473623	11	59993	5.72	540
5	SmGRAS45	Smechr1100163.1	19021841903926	11	63608.38	4.95	581
5	SmGRAS46	Smechr1100616.1	80374358039120	11	55463.21	5.19	489
7	SmGRAS47	Smechr1102566.1	9837218398374214	11	60852.15	6.65	546
.8	SmGRAS48	Smechr1102664.1	9960243399606100	11	83061.76	5.69	738



### Phylogenetic analysis of GRAS proteins

The GRAS protein sequences of eggplant, *Arabidopsis*, and rice were aligned using the multiple sequence alignment tool ClustalX (Thompson et al., 1997). The phylogenetic tree of GRAS family proteins was generated using the MEGA-X maximum likelihood (ML) model (Kumar et al., 2018) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The SmGRASs were categorized based on taxonomic rules for the subfamily of *Arabidopsis* and rice GRAS protein sequences (Liu and Widmer, 2014).

# Chromosomal location and collinearity analysis of *SmGRASs*

According to the annotation information of the eggplant genome downloaded from the Eggplant Genome Database, the physical positions of *SmGRASs* on chromosomes were obtained, and the chromosome mapping was performed using TBtools software (Chen et al., 2020). Collinearity of *SmGRASs* was analyzed using MCScanX software (Wang et al., 2012), and Circos (Krzywinski et al., 2009) software was used for visualization. To identify gene duplication, the parameters for the proportion of alignment and the similarity of the two sequences were set to be greater than 70%. Two duplicated genes with a distance of less than 100 kb on the same chromosome were defined as tandem duplicates (Yang et al., 2020), while any other duplicated genes were all defined as segmental duplicated genes.

### Cis-acting element analysis of SmGRASs

According to the annotation information of the eggplant genome sequence, the 2 kb upstream sequence of *SmGRASs* was submitted to the PlantCARE website (http://bioinformatics.psb. ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html) (Lescot et al., 2002) for cis-



acting element prediction, and TBtools software (Chen et al., 2020) was used for visualization.

#### Expression pattern analysis of SmGRASs

According to the transcriptome data of eggplant (PRJNA328564 and PRJNA572318) published by NCBI, the FPKM (fragments per kilobase per million) value (log2 conversion) was used to analyze the expression of *GRAS* family genes under different tissues and low-temperature stress. Heatmaps of *SmGRAS* expression patterns were generated using the TBtools' Heatmap module (Chen et al., 2020).

#### Plant treatments and qRT-PCR analysis

The commercial species "Selected Purple Long Eggplant" was used for qRT-PCR. Eighteen plants were grown in a growth chamber at 28°C with a 16/8 h light/dark photoperiod until the fourth leaves were fully expanded. Then, nine plants of these seedlings were transferred to 4°C for 12 h. The seedlings grown under normal conditions were used as a control. The treated and control plantlets were collected 12 h after treatment and then stored at -80°C before RNA extraction.

The total RNA of the plantlets was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA samples were then assessed by qRT-PCR using SYBR Premix ExTaq (Takara). Actin was used as an

internal control gene. Three biological replicates (each containing three plants) and three technical replicates were measured for each treatment. The relative expression level of a gene was calculated according to the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The primers used for qRT-PCR analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

## **Results and analysis**

# Identification and physicochemical property analysis of the SmGRASs

A total of 48 SmGRASs containing GRAS domains were identified from the whole genome of eggplant and renamed from *SmGRAS1* to *SmGRAS48* based on their physical position on chromosomes (Table 1). The protein lengths of the 48 SmGRASs varied from 417 (SmGRAS6) to 841 (SmGRAS27) amino acids. The molecular weights ranged from 46,955.22 Da (SmGRAS6) to 95,884.91 Da (SmGRAS27). The theoretical isoelectric points (pI) of these SmGRASs varied from 4.95 (SmGRAS45) to 8.43 (SmGRAS34).

# Gene structure and conserved motif analysis of *SmGRASs*

Gene structure analysis showed that up to 60.42% (29/48) of *SmGRASs* genes did not contain any introns (Figure 1B), and the



from alignments of 131 GRAS protein sequences from *Arabidopsis* (33), rice (50), and eggplant (48) under the LG + G + F model with 1,000 bootstrap replications. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown. For each subfamily, bootstrap values are shown in different colors.

members clustered in the same subfamily had similar gene structures (Figure 1). Motif analysis (Figure 1C) indicated that members grouped in the same subfamily possessed similar conserved motif composition and sorting order, suggesting that members clustered in the same subfamily might share similar functions. All members contained motifs 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8, indicating that these motifs played an important role in the GRAS gene family. Among them, motif 5 is found in the C terminus of all SmGRASs, indicating that the C terminus of the SmGRAS gene family is relatively conserved, which is consistent with previous studies (Pysh et al., 1999). Using the CDD tool, a total of nine motifs (motifs 1-9) were functionally annotated for the components of the conserved GRAS domain. Moreover, conserved motif sequence analysis found that motif 1 contained a VHIID fragment in the GRAS domain, and motif 5 contained a SAW fragment in the GRAS domain (Figure 2).

## Phylogenetic analysis of GRAS proteins

The phylogenetic trees of GRAS proteins of *A. thaliana* (33), rice (50), and eggplant (48) were constructed by using the MEGA-X tool (Figure 3). Upon consultation with previous systematic classification rules of *Arabidopsis* and rice GRAS subfamilies (Liu and Widmer, 2014), the GRAS proteins of *Arabidopsis*, rice, and eggplant were divided into 13 subfamilies. Each subfamily contained SmGRAS members, and the number of SmGRAS members in different subfamilies varied greatly. Among them, PAT1 had the largest number of SmGRAS members (10),followed by LISCL (9). In contrast, LAS, OS4, DLT, and OS19 had the smallest subfamily, which contained only one member. The majority subfamilies contained the common members across *A. thaliana*, rice, and eggplant. However, the SmGRAS5 was a new subfamily identified



in this study, which only contained GRAS members in eggplant. Moreover, OS4 and OS19 of GRAS members were only identified in rice and eggplant, and no members of these families were found in *Arabidopsis*. These results indicated that the GRAS protein subfamily might undergo differentiation between monocotyledons and dicotyledons to some extent.

# Chromosomal location and collinearity analysis of SmGRASs

The 48 *SmGRASs* were unevenly distributed on 11 chromosomes of eggplant (Figure 4). The majority of *SmGRAS* members were distributed on chromosomes 1, 2, 5, 7, and 11, and chromosome 1 contained the largest number of *SmGRASs* (seven genes) while chromosomes 3, 4, 8, 9, and 10 all contained three SmGRAS members. Gene duplication events, including segmental duplication and tandem duplication (Cannon et al., 2004), were analyzed in this study. A total of

nine *SmGRAS* gene pairs (3/36, 13/26, 15/2, 27/39, 32/41, 33/44, 30/42, 38/42, and 44/47) were identified (Figure 5). All of them were confirmed to be segmental duplication events.

## Cis-acting elements of SmGRASs

The 2 kb upstream CDS sequences were extracted from the promoter regions of 48 *SmGRASs*. The *cis*-acting elements in the promoter region of *SmGRASs* were predicted by the PlantCARE online tool (Figure 5). Four types of cis-acting elements were found in the promoter regions of *SmGRASs*. Each *SmGRAS* gene contains 5–19 photoresponsive cis-acting elements, which is the most abundant type. This is followed by hormone-associated cisacting elements consisting of a combination of abscisic acid, auxin, gibberellin, methyl jasmonate, and salicylic acid responsive elements, which all *SmGRAS* members contain. However, there were few cis-acting elements related to growth and development and stress response, and the *SmGRAS* members



containing these two types of cis-acting elements were 18 (*SmGRAS04/08/12/15/16/18/19/22/25/30/33/35/36/37/40/41/44/* 48) and 22 (*SmGRAS01/05/06/09/11/15/19/20/22/25/26/27/28/ 31/36/37/39/40/41/43/45/48*), respectively.

## Expression profiles of SmGRASs

To further explore the expression patterns of the *GRAS* genes, the transcript data of 16 tissues were obtained from the public genome database, including roots, stems, leaves, flowers, and fruits. A heatmap was generated based on the transcript data of 48 *SmGRAS* genes (Figure 6). As shown in Figure 6, some *SmGRAS*s exhibited distinct tissue-specific expression patterns, while others were expressed throughout in the whole plant. A total of 48 genes were grouped into 3 groups (Figure 6). Group I contained 10 genes (*SmGRAS32/41/26/18/44/45/13/30/33/36*), which showed higher expression levels in the whole growth period, indicating that these genes might have a relatively important role in the whole growth stage of plants. In contrast, 24 genes in group II showed low or no expression in most tissues. A total of 14 genes (SmGRAS1/2/7/10/29/9/20/48/3/8/24/38/21/27) were included in group III, which were at moderate expression levels in most of the analyzed tissues.

## Expression of *SmGRASs* in response to cold stress

To further investigate the expression patterns of *SmGRASs* in response to cold stress, the relative expression levels were measured based on the expression FPKM values (Figure 7). Under cold stress, the expression levels of different genes showed great variation, such as *SmGRAS2*, *SmGRAS2*, *SmGRAS4*, *showed significant upregulation, while the rest showed significant downregulation.* 

According to the transcriptome data (Figure 8), ten SmGRAS genes that exhibited significant change under cold stress were



selected for further qRT-PCR analysis (Figure 8). The results showed that five genes (*SmGRAS2, SmGRAS28, SmGRAS32, SmGRAS41*, and *SmGRAS44*) were upregulated and five genes (*SmGRAS7/8/16/24/29*) were downregulated. A similar gene expression pattern was found between the qRT-PCR and transcriptome analysis (Figure 7).

## Discussion

In this study, a total of 48 *SmGRASs* were identified from the eggplant genome, higher than that of *A. thaliana* (34) and lower than that of rice (60) and tomato(53) (Liu and Widmer, 2014; Huang et al., 2015). As we all know, the genome size of eggplant was 1.07 Gb (Wei et al., 2020), much higher than that of rice (420 Mb) (Goff et al., 2002), *A. thaliana* (125 Mb) (Kaul et al., 2000), and potato (844 Mb) (Diambra, 2011). Thus, it can be seen

that *GRAS* gene families are widely distributed in plants, and the number of family members is independent of genome size (Xu et al., 2016), which may be due to the degree of genetic expansion between species. Collinearity analysis showed that nine *SmGRAS* gene pairs had collinearity relationships, and all of them were segmental duplication events, indicating that the amplification of eggplant *GRAS* protein was mainly dependent on segmental duplication. Meanwhile, these collinear genes were also grouped into the same group in evolutionary tree analysis, such as *SmGRAS21*, *SmGRAS33*, *SmGRAS44*, and *SmGRAS47*.

Phylogenetic tree, gene structure, and conserved motif analysis of SmGRASs showed that gene family members in the same group often had similar gene structures and conserved domains, but it was not excluded that some members had specificity. For example, in the HAM subgroup, only SmGRAS36 contains an intron, which may be due to the evolution of genes within the group. In addition, the



#### FIGURE 7

Expression changes of *SmGRASs* under cold stress. S0 and T0 represent the sensitive and tolerant varieties before low-temperature treatment, respectively. S12 and T12 represent the sensitive and tolerant varieties after low-temperature treatment, respectively. This experiment was repeated three times. The genes on the right of the image correspond to the two color-coded boxes on the left.



Relative expression levels of eight *SmGRASs* analyzed using qPCR in response to cold after 12 h treatment compared with that of control (CK). The bars of expression with and without hypothermia treatment are shown in red and blue, respectively. *Y*-axis represents relative expression values, and *X*-axis represents different genes. Error bars are standard deviations of three biological replicates.

exon-intron analysis showed that 60.42% of *SmGRAS* genes were intron-less (Figure 1B), with proportions of 82,2%, 77,4%, 67.6%, 55%, and 54.7% in *P. mume*, tomato, *Arabidopsis*, rice, *and Populus* (Liu and Widmer, 2014; Huang et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2015), respectively. The high percentage of intron-less genes in

the *GRAS* gene family in plants implies the close evolutionary relationship of GRAS proteins.

The functional studies on *GRAS* gene families have shown that they play an important role in various abiotic stress responses (Fan et al., 2017) and are extensively involved in stress resistance, signal

transduction, and mycorrhizal formation in plants (Sun et al., 2012). This study analyzed the relative expression levels of SmGRASs under low-temperature stress and found that the expression levels of 10 SmGRASs were significantly different after low-temperature stress compared with normal conditions. Among them, SmGRAS2, SmGRAS32, and SmGRAS44 responded positively to low-temperature stress (Figure 8). Notably, SmGRAS32 and SmGRAS41 were segmentary replicate pairs (Figure 4), and their promoter regions both contained cisacting elements related to cold stress (Figure 5), which was consistent with our qPCR results (Figure 8). The result suggested that segmentary replicate pairs may play similar functions. As we know, SmGRAS32 and SmGRAS44 belong to the PAT1 subfamily, indicating that members of this family can actively respond to low-temperature stress, which is consistent with the report of Yuan et al. (2016), and the genes included in this subfamily have potential applications in improving cold stress tolerance of plants. This study provides important information for further research on the function of GRAS transcription factors in eggplant under cold stress.

### Conclusion

In this study, a total of 48 *SmGRASs* were identified by genome-wide analysis, and then a comprehensive analysis of the identified *SmGRASs* was conducted. The structural diversity of *SmGRASs* may reflect their functional diversity. The collinearity analysis of *SmGRASs* found nine pairs of genes in collinear relationships, and they belonged to segmental duplication. The analysis of expression patterns of *SmGRASs* showed that these genes were expressed distinctly in different tissues of eggplant, and five genes (*SmGRAS2/32/28/41/44*) were positively responsive to cold stress. Our results provide vital information for the further exploration of the functional aspects of the gene family.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## References

Bailey, T. L., Boden, M., Buske, F. A., Frith, M., Grant, C. E., Clementi, L., et al. (2009). Meme suite: Tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 202–208. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp335

Bolle, C. (2004). The role of GRAS proteins in plant signal transduction and development. *Planta* 218, 683-692. doi:10.1007/s00425-004-1203-z

Cannon, S. B., Mitra, A., Baumgarten, A., Young, N. D., and May, G. (2004). The roles of segmental and tandem gene duplication in the evolution of large gene families in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 4, 10. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-4-10

## Author contributions

YW and TY conceived and designed the experiments. TY and CL performed the experiments. JW prepared the materials. TY and Hui Zhang analyzed the data. XX and YW helped to revise the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 32071892), the National Natural Science Foundation of Fujian (grant no. 2021J01126), and the Science and Technology Innovation Special Foundation of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University of China (no. CXZX2020109A).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2022.932731/full#supplementary-material

Chen, C., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., Thomas, H. R., Frank, M. H., He, Y., et al. (2020). TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant* 13, 1194–1202. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009

Di Laurenzio, L., Wysocka-Diller, J., Malamy, J. E., Pysh, L., Helariutta, Y., Freshour, G., et al. (1996). The SCARECROW gene regulates an asymmetric cell division that is essential for generating the radial organization of the *Arabidopsis* root. *Cell* 86, 423–433. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80115-4

Diambra, L. A., Xu, X., Pan, S., Cheng, S., Zhang, B., Mu, D., et al. (2011). Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* 475, 189–195. doi:10.1038/nature10158

Fan, S., Zhang, D., Gao, C., Zhao, M., Wu, H., Li, Y., et al. (2017). Identification, classification, and expression analysis of GRAS gene family in Malus domestica. *Front. Physiol.* 8, 253. doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00253

Fode, B., Siemsen, T., Thurow, C., Weigel, R., and Gatz, C. (2008). The *Arabidopsis* GRAS protein SCL14 interacts with class II TGA transcription factors and is essential for the activation of stress-inducible promoters. *Plant Cell* 20, 3122–3135. doi:10.1105/tpc.108.058974

Gasteiger, E., Gattiker, A., Hoogland, C., Ivanyi, I., Appel, R. D., and Bairoch, A. (2003). ExPASy: The proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 3784–3788. doi:10.1093/nar/gkg563

Goff, A., Ricke, D., Lan, T. H., Presting, G., Wang, R., Dunn, M., et al. (2002). A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Qryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica*). *Science* 296, 92–100. doi:10.1126/science.1068275

Greb, T., Clarenz, O., Schäfer, E., Müller, D., Herrero, R., Schmitz, G., et al. (2003). Molecular analysis of the LATERAL SUPPRESSOR gene in *Arabidopsis* reveals a conserved control mechanism for axillary meristem formation. *Genes Dev.* 17, 1175–1187. doi:10.1101/gad.260703

Guo, A. Y., Zhu, Q. H., Chen, X., and Luo, J. C. (2007). Gsds: A gene structure display server. *Hereditas* 29, 1023–1026. doi:10.1360/yc-007-1023

Heo, J. O., Chang, K. S., Kim, I. A., Lee, M.-H., Lee, S. A., Song, S.-K., et al. (2011). Funneling of gibberellin signaling by the GRAS transcription regulator scarecrowlike 3 in the *Arabidopsis* root. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 2166–2171. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1012215108

Huang, W., Xian, Z., Kang, X., Tang, N., and Li, Z. (2015). Genome-wide identification, phylogeny and expression analysis of GRAS gene family in tomato. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15, 209–218. doi:10.1186/s12870-015-0590-6

Kamiya, N., Itoh, J. I., Morikami, A., Nagato, Y., and Matsuoka, M. (2003). The SCARECROW gene's role in asymmetric cell divisions in rice plants. *Plant J.* 36, 45–54. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01856.x

Kaul, S., Koo, H. L., Jenkins, J., Rizzo, M., Rooney, T., Tallon, L. J., et al. (2000). Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 408, 796–815. doi:10.1038/35048692

Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., et al. (2009). Circos: An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* 19, 1639–1645. doi:10.1101/gr.092759.109

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). Mega X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547–1549. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy096

Lescot, M., Déhais, P., Thijs, G., Marchal, K., Moreau, Y., Van de Peer, Y., et al. (2002). PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for *in silico* analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 325–327. doi:10.1093/nar/30.1.325

Liu, X., and Widmer, A. (2014). Genome-wide comparative analysis of the GRAS gene family in *Populus, Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 32, 1129–1145. doi:10.1007/s11105-014-0721-5

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. *Methods* 25 (4), 402–408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Lu, J., Wang, T., Xu, Z., Sun, L., and Zhang, Q. (2015). Genome-wide analysis of the GRAS gene family in Prunus mume. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 290, 303–317. doi:10. 1007/s00438-014-0918-1

Marchler, B., Bo, Y., Han, L., He, J., Lanczycki C, J., Lu S, N., et al. (2017). CDD/ SPARCLE: Functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D200–D203. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1129

Peng, J., Carol, P., Richards, D. E., King, K. E., Cowling, R. J., Murphy, G. P., et al. (1997). The Arabidopsis GAI gene defines a signaling pathway that negatively

regulates gibberellin responses. Genes Dev. 11, 3194–3205. doi:10.1101/gad.11.23. 3194

Pysh, L. D., Wysocka-Diller, J. W., Camilleri, C., Bouchez, D., and Benfey, P. N. (1999). The GRAS gene family in *Arabidopsis*: Sequence characterization and basic expression analysis of the SCARECROW-LIKE genes. *Plant J.* 18, 111–119. doi:10. 1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00431.x

Saini, D. K., and Kaushik, P. (2019). Visiting eggplant from a biotechnological perspective: A review. Sci. Hortic. 253, 327–340. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2019.04.042

Silverstone, A. L., Ciampaglio, C. N., and Sun, T. p. (1998). The Arabidopsis RGA gene encodes a transcriptional regulator repressing the gibberellin signal transduction pathway. *Plant Cell* 10, 155–169. doi:10.1105/tpc.10.2.155

Sun, X., Jones, W. T., and Rikkerink, E. H. (2012). GRAS proteins: The versatile roles of intrinsically disordered proteins in plant signalling. *Biochem. J.* 442, 1–12. doi:10.1042/BJ20111766

Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D. G. (1997). The CLUSTAL\_X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25 (24), 4876–4882. doi:10.1093/nar/25.24.4876

Torres-Galea, P., Huang, L. F., Chua, N. H., and Bolle, C. (2006). The GRAS protein SCL13 is a positive regulator of phytochrome-dependent red light signaling, but can also modulate phytochrome a responses. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 276, 13–30. doi:10.1007/s00438-006-0123-y

Wan, F., Pan, Y., Li, J., Chen, X., Pan, Y., Wang, Y., et al. (2014). Heterologous expression of *Arabidopsis* C-repeat binding factor 3 (AtCBF3) and cold-regulated 15A (AtCOR15A) enhanced chilling tolerance in transgenic eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 1951–1961. doi:10.1007/s00299-014-1670-z

Wang, Y., Tang, H., DeBarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J., Wang, X., et al. (2012). MCScanX: A toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, e49. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1293

Wang, Z., Wong, D., Wang, Y., Xu, G., Liang, Z., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Grasdomain transcription factor PAT1 regulates jasmonic acid biosynthesis in grape cold stress response. *Plant Physiol.* 186, 1660–1678. doi:10.1093/plphys/kiab142

Wei, Q., Wang, J., Wang, W., Hu, T., and Bao, C. (2020). A high-quality chromosome-level genome assembly reveals genetics for important traits in eggplant. *Hortic. Res.* 7, 153. doi:10.1038/s41438-020-00391-0

Xu, W., Chen, Z., Ahmed, N., Han, B., Cui, Q., and Liu, A. (2016). Genome-wide identification, evolutionary analysis, and stress responses of the GRAS gene family in castor beans. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17, 1004. doi:10.3390/ijms17071004

Yang, M., Yang, Q., Fu, T., and Zhou, Y. (2011). Overexpression of the Brassica napus BnLAS gene in *Arabidopsis* affects plant development and increases drought tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* 30, 373–388. doi:10.1007/s00299-010-0940-7

Yang, X., Liu, F., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., and Cheng, Y. F. (2017). Cold-responsive miRNAs and their target genes in the wild eggplant species *Solanum aculeatissimum. Bmc Genomics* 18 (1), 1000. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-4341-y

Yang, X., Yuan, J., Luo, W., Qin, M., Yang, J., Wu, W., et al. (2020). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the class III peroxidase gene family in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Front. Genet.* 11, 593577. doi:10.3389/fgene.2020. 593577

Yuan, Y., Fang, L., Karungo, S., Zhang, L., Gao, Y., Li, S., et al. (2016). Overexpression of VaPAT1, a GRAS transcription factor from Vitis amurensis, confers abiotic stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Rep.* 35, 655–666. doi:10. 1007/s00299-015-1910-x

Zhou, L., He, Y. J., Li, J., Li, L. Z., Liu, Y., and Chen, H. Y. (2020). An eggplant *SmICE1a* gene encoding MYC-type ICE1-like transcription factor enhances freezing tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Biol.* 22, 450–458. doi:10.1111/plb.13095