Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kangxu Wang, Michigan State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Chen Wang, The Pennsylvania State University, United States Yue Zhao, The Ohio State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Joëlle Rüegg, joelle.ruegg@ebc.uu.se

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Epigenomics and Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 13 July 2022 ACCEPTED 23 August 2022 PUBLISHED 13 September 2022

CITATION

Cediel-Ulloa A, Yu X, Hinojosa M, Johansson Y, Forsby A, Broberg K and Rüegg J (2022), Methylmercuryinduced DNA methylation—From epidemiological observations to experimental evidence. *Front. Genet.* 13:993387. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.993387

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Cediel-Ulloa, Yu, Hinojosa, Johansson, Forsby, Broberg and Rüegg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Methylmercury-induced DNA methylation—From epidemiological observations to experimental evidence

Andrea Cediel-Ulloa¹, Ximiao Yu¹, Maria Hinojosa², Ylva Johansson², Anna Forsby ¹/₂, Karin Broberg³ and Joëlle Rüegg¹*

¹Department of Organismal Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, ²Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, ³Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Methylmercury (MeHg) is a developmental neurotoxicant, and one potential mechanism of MeHg toxicity is epigenetic dysregulation. In a recent metaanalysis of epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS), associations between prenatal MeHg exposure and DNA methylation at several genomic sites were identified in blood from newborns and children. While EWASs reveal humanrelevant associations, experimental studies are required to validate the relationship between exposure and DNA methylation changes, and to assess if such changes have implications for gene expression. Herein, we studied DNA methylation and gene expression of five of the top genes identified in the EWAS meta-analysis, MED31, MRPL19, GGH, GRK1, and LYSMD3, upon MeHg exposure in human SH-SY5Y cells exposed to 8 or 40 nM of MeHg during differentiation, using bisulfite-pyrosequencing and qPCR, respectively. The concentrations were selected to cover the range of MeHg concentrations in cord blood $(2-8.5 \,\mu\text{g/L})$ observed in the cohorts included in the EWAS. Exposure to MeHg increased DNA methylation at MED31, a transcriptional regulator essential for fetal development. The results were in concordance with the epidemiological findings where more MED31 methylation was associated with higher concentrations of MeHg. Additionally, we found a non-significant decrease in DNA methylation at GGH, which corresponds to the direction of change observed in the EWAS, and a significant correlation of GGH methylation with its expression. In conclusion, this study corroborates some of the EWAS findings and puts forward candidate genes involved in MeHg's effects on the developing brain, thus highlighting the value of experimental validation of epidemiological association studies.

KEYWORDS

methyl mercury (MeHg), neurodevelopment, epigenome wide association study, DNA methylation, SH-SY5Y cell line

Introduction

Methylmercury (MeHg), an organic form of mercury, is a developmental neurotoxicant. Epidemiological studies have reported severe neurodevelopmental impairment in population exposed to high concentrations of MeHg (Bakir et al., 1973; Harada, 1995; Grandjean and Landrigan, 2014), and experimental data show that exposure to low concentrations of MeHg interferes with important neurodevelopmental processes such as neural proliferation, neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth (Parran et al., 2001; Tamm et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2013; Fujimura and Usuki, 2015; Attoff et al., 2017).

Exposure to MeHg in the general population occurs predominantly by ingestion of fish and sea food (Sheehan et al., 2014; Nogara et al., 2019). Once absorbed, MeHg mainly binds to hemoglobin and is distributed to different tissues throughout the body (Pan et al., 2022). During pregnancy, MeHg can cross the placenta and the blood-brain barrier (Kajiwara et al., 1996), making the developing brain a sensitive target. There is uncertainty whether MeHg exposure from consumption of fish with background MeHg levels causes neurodevelopmental effects (Grandjean et al., 1997; Debes et al., 2006; Llop et al., 2012; Strain et al., 2015; Vejrup et al., 2016; van Wijngaarden et al., 2017; Barbone et al., 2019; Ke et al., 2021). However, epidemiological studies on epigenetic and other biomarkers of effects have uncovered potential toxicity from MeHg exposure early in life (Al-Saleh et al., 2016; Cardenas et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2019).

One of the mechanisms by which MeHg may induce developmental neurotoxicity is through epigenetic (Pan modifications In et al., 2022). fact, vital neurodevelopmental processes such as neurogenesis, astrogliogenesis, and neuronal differentiation and migration are dependent on epigenetic regulation (Gapp et al., 2014). DNA methylation, the addition of a methyl group at the fifth carbon of a pyrimidine base, is a major type of epigenetic modification with implications on the programming of different cells, including those in the brain (Jang et al., 2017). Moreover, DNA methylation can be affected by chemical exposure (Pan et al., 2022). For example, DNA hypomethylation in neural stem cell has been reported after exposure to 2.5 and 5 nM of MeHg (Bose et al., 2012). Several epidemiological studies have also reported associations between exposure to MeHg and altered DNA methylation at specific loci (Bakulski et al., 2015; Appleton et al., 2017; Cediel Ulloa et al., 2021; Nishizawa-Jotaki et al., 2021). A recent large epigenomewide association study (EWAS) by Lozano et al. (2022) demonstrated associations between prenatal exposure to MeHg and altered DNA methylation at several loci in blood from newborns (n = 1,462) and children (n = 883), further supporting epidemiological associations between developmental exposure to MeHg and altered DNA methylation. Nonetheless, associations in human data can be confounded by other factors and implications on gene expression and biological functions remain unknown.

In order to corroborate the findings from Lozano et al. and to elucidate whether these could be relevant for the developing brain (the main target of MeHg toxicity), we studied DNA methylation changes in an in vitro model previously used for the study of developmental neurotoxicity, the SH-SY5Y cell-line which displays characteristics of dopaminergic neurons (Presgraves et al., 2004; Lopes et al., 2010). We selected the five top differentially methylated genes from Lozano et al. (MED31, MRPL19, GGH, GRK1, and LYSMD3), and analyzed DNA methylation changes induced by exposure to MeHg during cellular differentiation. MED31, GGH and GRK1 were selected based on the fact that they were the only genes whose DNA methylation consistently associated with MeHg exposure in all cohorts included in the Lozano meta-analysis. Additionally, MRPL19 and LYSMD3, whose DNA methylation associated with MeHg in some studies, were selected since their expression has been reported to change upon exposure to MeHg in human embryonic stem cell-derived neural ectodermal progenitor cells (Waldmann et al., 2017). Moreover, in order to investigate whether the methylation changes could influence gene expression during neurodevelopment, we analyzed the expression of the selected genes.

Methods

Human SH-SY5H cell culture

SH-SY5Y cells were cultured in accordance with an established protocol (Attoff et al., 2016), routinely checked for mycoplasma contamination and used between passages 60-65. Cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Media containing 10% FBS, 1% Non-Essential Amino Acids, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (all reagents from Life Technologies). Cell cultures were sub-cultivated once every week, cells were seeded at a density of 27,000 cells/cm² in $75\,cm^2\,cell$ culture flasks, and kept in an incubator at $37^\circ C$ and 5% of CO2. For the experiments, SH-SY5Y cells were seeded in $60 \text{ mm} \times 15 \text{ mm}$ dishes at a density of 12,500 cells/cm². One day after seeding, the routine culture medium was removed and replaced with differentiation medium composed of DMEM:F12 supplemented with 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% N2 supplements and 1 µM retinoic acid (Merck), dissolved in ethanol (final concentration 0.1%). The exposure to MeHg took place over 6 days while the cells were differentiating. For this, the exposure was started the first day of differentiation and 50% of the differentiation medium was replaced with fresh differentiation medium containing 1X MeHg 3 days later.

At the end of the differentiation and exposure, the media from the SH-SY5Y cells was removed and the cells were washed once with PBS (Gibco/Life technologies). To detach the cells, 1 ml of TrypLE (Gibco/Life technologies) were added to each well and left to incubate for 5 min at room temperature. The cells were then re-suspended in 2 ml of routine culture media, transferred to 15 ml tubes and centrifuged for 5 min at 300 g. The supernatant was then removed and the cells were kept at -80° C until the RNA and DNA extraction was carried out.

Exposure to MeHg

MeHgCl (Alfa Aesar, CAS 115-09-3) was dissolved in DMSO in polypropylene Eppendorf tubes and stock solutions of 1 mM were stored at -20° C until use. In order to cover the range of MeHg concentrations (2–8.5 µg/L) in cord blood observed in Lozano et al. (2022), SH-SY5Y cells were exposed to 8 and 40 nM of MeHg. These concentrations were calculated based on a molecular weight of 213.63 g/ml for MeHgCl and are equivalent to 1.7 and 8.5 µg/L respectively. Control cells were exposed to 0.1% DMSO. Effects on cell viability were assessed by visual examination at the end of the exposure.

RNA and DNA extraction

For extraction of RNA and DNA, the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) was used following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentrations of the extracted RNA and DNA were quantified with a plate reader (Tecan Spark, Zürich, Switzerland) or with a Nanophotometer P-class (IMPLEN GmbH), and the samples were stored at -80° C until further processing.

Gene expression

Extracted RNA was diluted to a concentration of 1,000 ng in 20 µl RNase free water, and cDNA was synthetized with iScript Synthesis Kit (BioRad), following the manufacturer's instructions. Upon cDNA synthesis, the samples were diluted in 60 μ l of RNAse free water and stored at -20° C until processing. The quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed using 4 µl of cDNA (4 ng), 5 µl SsoAdv supermix (BioRad), primers, and RNase free water. Amplification was carried out with a CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California) using a program of 95°C for 2 min; 95°C, 5 s and 60°C, 30 s with 40 cycles and melt curve analysis at 65-95°C for 5 s. The primers were purchased as PrimePCR assays from Bio-Rad and the assay information is provided in the (Supplementary Table S1). Data were analyzed with the CFX Maestro software (Bio-Rad, version 1.1), the CT values of SH-SY5Y samples were normalized against three reference genes (*RPL19*, *TBP*, and *POLR2B*). The relative gene expression was calculated using the 2–delta delta CT ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), and the analyzed data are presented as Log2-fold change in expression of each gene at different MeHg concentrations.

DNA methylation

Bisulfite treatment was performed on 200 ng DNA using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, United States) following the manufacturer's instructions. The bisulfite treated DNA was stored at -20°C and used for pyrosequencing. Gene fragments were amplified with a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California). For pyrosequencing, 10 µl of the PCR products were mixed with binding buffer (Qiagen), beads (Cytiva) and ultrapure Milli-Q water. After shaking at 1,400 rpm for 20-25 min, the beads containing immobilized template DNA were captured onto filter probes and run through different buffers. Subsequently, the attached DNA templates were released into the plate containing sequencing primer, and incubated at 80°C for 2 min. Finally, substrate, enzyme, and nucleotides (dNTP; deoxynucleoside triphosphate) from PyroMark Gold Q24 Reagents (Qiagen) were loaded into the reagent cartridge, allowing them to be injected into the plate. The assay conditions used for PCR and pyrosequencing of the analyzed genes are presented in Supplementary Table S2. PyroMark Q24 ID (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for sequencing and the percentage of DNA methylation was calculated with PyroMark Q24 software (Qiagen PyroMark Q24, v. 5.0).

Prediction of transcription factor binding sites

The prediction of transcription factor binding to the analyzed sequences was carried out with the University of California-Santa Cruz Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) and the JASPAR2022 TFBS hg19 track (Castro-Mondragon et al., 2022). This track permits the visualization of genome-wide transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) available in the JASPAR database CORE collection. The predicted TFBS are reported in Supplementary Table S3.

Statistics

Comparison between controls and treatment was carried out with One-way Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Bonferroni correction was carried out to adjust for multiple comparisons, unadjusted and adjusted *p*-values are reported in Supplementary Table S4. Correlation analyses



between DNA methylation and gene expression were performed with Spearman correlations. Statistical significance was considered for p-values <0.05. All the statistical analyses were executed with RStudio Version 1.2.5033 (RStudio, 2019).

Results

In human SH-SY5Y cells, exposure to MeHg 8 nM led to a significant increase (p = 0.00175, adjusted p = 0.0385) in DNA methylation of one of the CpG sites in *MED31* (Figure 1A). This CpG is located 3 base pairs (bp) from cg24184221, which was the one identified in Lozano et al. (2022) to be more methylated with higher exposure to MeHg. A similar, but non-significant (p = 0.0988, adjusted p = 1) change was found on DNA methylation of cg15288800 (Figure 1B), another CpG in the *MED31* identified by Lozano et al. (2022) to be more methylated with higher exposure to 40 nM MeHg did not produce significant changes on *MED31* methylation nor was *MED31* expression significantly altered, although a trend towards downregulation was observed (Figure 1C). MeHg exposure did not reveal any statistically significant changes (p > 0.05) on DNA methylation and gene expression of *MRPL19*, *GGH*, *GRK1*, and *LYSMD3* (Figures)

2A,B and Supplementary Figures S1, S2), nonetheless, trends were observed that were in accordance with the EWAS findings.

Although we did not evidence gene expression changes on any of the studied genes, we used the data to clarify if DNA methylation at the studied regions is correlated with mRNA expression, which would indicate a functional role of the DNA methylation changes observed in humans. Our results showed a positive correlation between DNA methylation and gene expression of *GGH* CpG2 ($r_S = 0.9, p = 0.0046$) (Figure 2C). No other statistically significant correlations were observed (Supplementary Table S5).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to corroborate and address functionality of associations between prenatal MeHg exposure and DNA methylation levels identified in an EWAS with experimental data. We were able to corroborate findings for *MED31* at an adjacent CpG site of the one reported in the EWAS meta-analysis, even after adjusting for multiple comparisons. *MED31* encodes for the mediator complex subunit 31 and is expressed in a variety of mouse fetal tissues with the highest expression in the developing brain (Risley et al., 2010). MED31 is



part of the mediator complex transcriptional activator responsible for mediating polymerase II promoter-enhancer interactions, and hence functioning as a major transcriptional regulator (Richter et al., 2022). Due to its function, the mediator complex is essential for fetal development, including neurodevelopment. For example, in neural stem cells (NSC), the mediator complex regulates expression of neurogenic transcription factors and genes linked to NSC identity (Quevedo et al., 2019). While MED31 expression was not significantly changed by MeHg in the differentiated SH-SY5Y cells, the observed DNA methylation alteration could still be relevant for gene expression in other cell types. Indeed 14 transcription factors (HIF1A, HES5, HES7, MYC, MYCN, MXI1, BHLHE40, CLOCK, HES1, HEY1, HEY2, MAX, MNT, and NPAS2, Supplementary Table S3) are predicted to bind to the analyzed region. Binding of some of these transcription factors is affected by DNA methylation, e.g., HIF1A, MYCN, and MAX whose binding is inhibited by DNA methylation (Cusack et al., 2020; D'Anna et al., 2020; Perini et al., 2005; Weinmann and Farnham, 2002) or NPAS2 that preferentially binds to methylated CpGs (Zhu et al., 2016). Thus, changes in MED31 methylation could be functionally implicated in the neurodevelopmental effects of MeHg.

Moreover, we identified correlations between DNA methylation and gene expression for GGH, implicating that altered DNA methylation at these positions influences gene expression. GGH encodes Gamma-glutamyl hydrolase, an enzyme involved in folate metabolism (Gibson et al., 2011). GGH is widely expressed, however, its expression is particularly high in dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra (Licker et al., 2014), which is interesting considering that SH-SY5Y are a model of dopaminergic cell differentiation. We found a positive correlation between DNA methylation and gene expression in SH-SY5Y, indicating increased gene expression with higher DNA methylation. This correlation was found at a CpG site located 1 bp away from GGH cg02212000. As this CpG site is not covered by the Illumina EPIC and 450K arrays which the EWAS was based on, we do not have information on potential associations between its methylation pattern and developmental exposure to MeHg in humans. Transcription factor binding site analysis predicted binding of transcription factors MGA, ATF3, PAX2, HIFLA, GMEB2, and FOXO4 to the region (Supplementary Table S3), out of which Atf3 acts as a transcriptional repressor. DNA binding of Atf3 has been reported to be impaired by DNA methylation (Zhang et al., 2021). Hence, the observed decrease in *GGH* DNA methylation in the EWAS (Lozano et al., 2022) could potentially lead to increased Atf3 binding and a reduction of gene expression.

No other statistically significant effects and correlations were found in our study, which could imply that MeHg exposure has no direct effect on the other investigated genes. However, we cannot exclude such effects in blood cells (as analyzed in the EWAS) or other cell types and tissues not addressed by the *in vitro* model we have chosen in this study. Additionally, the lack of statistically significant results could be secondary to the small sample size used in this study.

In conclusion, our study highlights the value of experimental validation of epidemiological association studies and suggests a role for *MED31*-controlled processes in the developmental neurotoxicity of MeHg.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The link to the data can be found below: https:// github.com/AndreaCediel/METHYLMERCURY-INDUCED-DNA-METHYLATION-FROM-EPIDEMIOLOGICAL-OBSERVATIONS-TO-EXPERIMENTAL-EVIDENCE.

Author contributions

JR and KB conceived, designed as well as supervised the study. AF contributed to the experimental design of the *in vitro* exposure to MeHg, and to data interpretation. YJ and MH carried the MeHg exposure and the RNA and DNA isolation. AC-U and XY performed the bisulfite conversion, DNA methylation and gene expression experiments. AC-U carried out the data analysis. AC-U, J.R, KB, and YJ wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version.

Funding

The work has been performed in the context of the ENDpoiNTs project. ENDpoiNTs has received funding from

References

Al-Saleh, I., Elkhatib, R., Al-Rouqi, R., Abduljabbar, M., Eltabache, C., Al-Rajudi, T., et al. (2016). Alterations in biochemical markers due to mercury (Hg) exposure and its influence on infant's neurodevelopment. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 219, 898–914. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.07.002

Appleton, A. A., Jackson, B. P., Karagas, M., and Marsit, C. J. (2017). Prenatal exposure to neurotoxic metals is associated with increased placental glucocorticoid receptor DNA methylation. *Epigenetics* 12, 607–615. doi:10.1080/15592294.2017. 1320637

Attoff, K., Gliga, A., Lundqvist, J., Norinder, U., and Forsby, A. (2017). Whole genome microarray analysis of neural progenitor C17.2 cells during differentiation and validation of 30 neural mRNA biomarkers for estimation the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 825759, and is part of the EURION cluster. The *in vitro* exposure of cells with MeHg was financed by the Swedish Fund for Research without Animal Experiments (grants No F2019-0009 and F2020-0006) and the Swedish Research Council (grant No 2016-02387).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Manuel Lozano and Sabrina Llop, Universitat de Valencia, for their contribution to the identification of the differentially methylated CpG sites analyzed in this paper. We also want to thank Hanin Salloum, Uppsala University, for her help to run the pyrosequencing samples for cg15288800.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2022.993387/full#supplementary-material

of developmental neurotoxicity. *PLoS One* 12, e0190066. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0190066

Attoff, K., Kertika, D., Lundqvist, J., OredSSon, S., and Forsby, A. (2016). Acrylamide affects proliferation and differentiation of the neural progenitor cell line C17.2 and the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y. *Toxicol. Vitro* 35, 100–111. doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2016.05.014

Bakir, F., Damluji, S. F., Amin-Zaki, L., MurtadhaM.KhAlidi, A., al-Rawi, N. Y., et al. (1973). Methylmercury poisoning in Iraq. *Science* 181, 230–241. doi:10.1126/science.181.4096.230

Bakulski, K. M., Lee, H., Feinberg, J. I., Wells, E. M., Brown, S., Herbstman, J. B., et al. (2015). Prenatal mercury concentration is associated with changes in DNA

methylation at TCEANC2 in newborns. Int. J. Epidemiol. 44, 1249–1262. doi:10. 1093/ije/dyv032

Barbone, F., Rosolen, V., Mariuz, M., Parpinel, M., Casetta, A., Sammartano, F., et al. (2019). Prenatal mercury exposure and child neurodevelopment outcomes at 18 months: Results from the mediterranean PHIME cohort. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 222, 9–21. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.07.011

Bose, R., Onishchenko, N., Edoff, K., Janson Lang, A. M., and Ceccatelli, S. (2012). Inherited effects of low-dose exposure to methylmercury in neural stem cells. *Toxicol. Sci.* 130, 383–390. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfs257

Cardenas, A., Rifas-Shiman, S. L., Agha, G., Hivert, M. F., Litonjua, A. A., DeMeo, D. L., et al. (2017). Persistent DNA methylation changes associated with prenatal mercury exposure and cognitive performance during childhood. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 288–313. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00384-5

Castro-Mondragon, J. A., Riudavets-Puig, R., Rauluseviciute, I., Lemma, R. B., Turchi, L., Blanc-Mathieu, R., et al. (2022). Jaspar 2022: The 9th release of the openaccess database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, D165–d173. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1113

Cediel Ulloa, A., Gliga, A., Love, T. M., Pineda, D., Mruzek, D. W., Watson, G. E., et al. (2021). Prenatal methylmercury exposure and DNA methylation in sevenyear-old children in the Seychelles Child Development Study. *Environ. Int.* 147, 106321. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106321

Cusack, M., King, H. W., Spingardi, P., Kessler, B. M., Klose, R. J., and Kriaucionis, S. (2020). Distinct contributions of DNA methylation and histone acetylation to the genomic occupancy of transcription factors. *Genome Res.* 30, 1393–1406. doi:10.1101/gr.257576.119

D'Anna, F., Van Dyck, L., Xiong, J., Zhao, H., Berrens, R. V., Qian, J., et al. (2020). DNA methylation repels binding of hypoxia-inducible transcription factors to maintain tumor immunotolerance. *Genome Biol.* 21, 182–236. doi:10.1186/s13059-020-02087-z

Debes, F., Budtz-Jorgensen, E., Weihe, P., White, R. F., and Grandjean, P. (2006). Impact of prenatal methylmercury exposure on neurobehavioral function at age 14 years. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 28, 363–375. doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2006.02.004

Fujimura, M., and Usuki, F. (2015). Low concentrations of methylmercury inhibit neural progenitor cell proliferation associated with up-regulation of glycogen synthase kinase 3 β and subsequent degradation of cyclin E in rats. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 288, 19–25. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2015.07.006

Gapp, K., Woldemichael, B. T., Bohacek, J., and Mansuy, I. M. (2014). Epigenetic regulation in neurodevelopment and neurodegenerative diseases. *Neuroscience* 264, 99–111. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.11.040

Gibson, T. M., Brennan, P., Han, S., Karami, S., Zaridze, D., Janout, V., et al. (2011). Comprehensive evaluation of one-carbon metabolism pathway gene variants and renal cell cancer risk. *PLoS One* 6, e26165. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0026165

Grandjean, P., and Landrigan, P. J. (2014). Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. *Lancet. Neurol.* 13, 330–338. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13) 70278-3

Grandjean, P., Weihe, P., White, R. F., DebesF.Araki, S., YoKoyama, K., et al. (1997). Cognitive deficit in 7-year-old children with prenatal exposure to methylmercury. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 19, 417–428. doi:10.1016/s0892-0362(97) 00097-4

Guo, B. Q., Yan, C. H., Cai, S. Z., Yuan, X. B., and Shen, X. M. (2013). Low level prenatal exposure to methylmercury disrupts neuronal migration in the developing rat cerebral cortex. *Toxicology* 304, 57–68. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2012.11.019

Harada, M. (1995). Minamata disease: Methylmercury poisoning in Japan caused by environmental pollution. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 25, 1–24. doi:10.3109/10408449509089885

Jang, H. S., Shin, W. J, Jeong Eon Lee, J. E, and Do, J. T, (2017). CpG and non-CpG methylation in epigenetic gene regulation and brain function. *Genes (Basel).* 8 (6), 148. doi:10.3390/genes8060148

Kajiwara, Y., YAsutAke, A., Adachi, T., and Hirayama, K. (1996). Methylmercury transport across the placenta via neutral amino acid carrier. *Arch. Toxicol.* 70, 310–314. doi:10.1007/s002040050279

Ke, T., Tinkov, A. A., Skalny, A. V., Bowman, A. B., Rocha, J. B. T., Santamaria, A., et al. (2021). Developmental exposure to methylmercury and ADHD, a literature review of epigenetic studies. *Environ. Epigenet.* 7, dvab014. doi:10.1093/eep/dvab014

Licker, V., Turck, N., Kovari, E., Burkhardt, K., Cote, M., Surini-Demiri, M., et al. (2014). Proteomic analysis of human substantia nigra identifies novel candidates involved in Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. *Proteomics* 14, 784–794. doi:10.1002/pmic.201300342

Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Llop, S., Guxens, M., Murcia, M., Lertxundi, A., Ramon, R., Riano, I., et al. (2012). Prenatal exposure to mercury and infant neurodevelopment in a multicenter cohort in Spain: Study of potential modifiers. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 175, 451–465. doi:10.1093/ aje/kwr328

Lopes, F. M., Schroder, R., da Frota, M. L. C., Zanotto-Filho, A., Muller, C. B., Pires, A. S., et al. (2010). Comparison between proliferative and neuron-like SH-SY5Y cells as an *in vitro* model for Parkinson disease studies. *Brain Res.* 1337, 85–94. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2010.03.102

Lozano, M., Yousefi, P., Broberg, K., Soler-Blasco, R., Miyashita, C., Pesce, G., et al. (2022). DNA methylation changes associated with prenatal mercury exposure: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies from pace consortium. *Environ. Res.* 204, 112093. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112093

Nishizawa-Jotaki, S., Sakurai, K., Eguchi, A., Tanabe, H., Watanabe, M., and Mori, C. (2021). Association between mercury in cord serum and sex-specific DNA methylation in cord tissues. *J. Dev. Orig. Health Dis.* 12, 124–131. doi:10.1017/S2040174420000161

Nogara, P. A., Oliveira, C. S., Schmitz, G. L., Piquini, P. C., Farina, M., Aschner, M., et al. (2019). Methylmercury's chemistry: From the environment to the mammalian brain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Gen. Subj.* 1863, 129284. doi:10. 1016/j.bbagen.2019.01.006

Pan, J., Li, X., Wei, Y., Ni, L., Xu, B., Deng, Y., et al. (2022). Advances on the influence of methylmercury exposure during neurodevelopment. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 35, 43–58. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.1c00255

Parran, D. K., Mundy, W. R., and Barone, S. (2001). Effects of methylmercury and mercuric chloride on differentiation and cell viability in PC12 cells. *Toxicol. Sci.* 59, 278–290. doi:10.1093/toxsci/59.2.278

Perini, G., Diolaiti, D., Porro, A., and Della Valle, G. (2005). *In vivo* transcriptional regulation of N-Myc target genes is controlled by E-box methylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 12117–12122. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0409097102

Presgraves, S. P., Ahmed, T., Borwege, S., and Joyce, J. N. (2004). Terminally differentiated SH-SY5Y cells provide a model system for studying neuroprotective effects of dopamine agonists. *Neurotox. Res.* 5, 579–598. doi:10.1007/BF03033178

Quevedo, M., Meert, L., Dekker, M. R., Dekkers, D. H. W., Brandsma, J. H., van den Berg, D. L. C., et al. (2019). Publisher Correction: Mediator complex interaction partners organize the transcriptional network that defines neural stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 3318–3415. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11254-1

Richter, W. F., Nayak, S., Iwasa, J., and Taatjes, D. J. (2022). The Mediator complex as a master regulator of transcription by RNA polymerase II. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 20:1–18. doi:10.1038/s41580-022-00498-3

Risley, M. D., Clowes, C., Yu, M., Mitchell, K., and Hentges, K. E. (2010). The Mediator complex protein Med31 is required for embryonic growth and cell proliferation during mammalian development. *Dev. Biol.* 342, 146–156. doi:10. 1016/j.ydbio.2010.03.019

RStudio, T. (2019). RStudio: Integrated development for R. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc. Available at: URL http://www.rstudio.com,

Sheehan, M. C., Burke, T. A., Navas-Acien, A., Breysse, P. N., McGready, J., and Fox, M. A. (2014). Global methylmercury exposure from seafood consumption and risk of developmental neurotoxicity: A systematic review. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 92, 254–269f. doi:10.2471/BLT.12.116152

Strain, J., Yeates, A. J., van Wijngaarden, E., Thurston, S. W., Mulhern, M. S., McSorley, E. M., et al. (2015). Prenatal exposure to methyl mercury from fish consumption and polyunsaturated fatty acids: Associations with child development at 20 mo of age in an observational study in the republic of Seychelles. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 101, 530–537. doi:10.3945/ajcn.114.100503

Tamm, C., Duckworth, J., Hermanson, O., and Ceccatelli, S. (2006). High susceptibility of neural stem cells to methylmercury toxicity: Effects on cell survival and neuronal differentiation. *J. Neurochem.* 97, 69–78. doi:10.1111/j. 1471-4159.2006.03718.x

van Wijngaarden, E., Thurston, S. W., Myers, G. J., Harrington, D., Cory-Slechta, D. A., Strain, J. J., et al. (2017). Methyl mercury exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes in the Seychelles Child Development Study Main cohort at age 22 and 24 years. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 59, 35–42. doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2016.10.011

Vejrup, K., Schjolberg, S., Knutsen, H. K., Kvalem, H. E., Brantsæter, A. L., Meltzer, H. M., et al. (2016). Prenatal methylmercury exposure and language delay at three years of age in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *Environ. Int.* 92, 63–69. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.03.029 Waldmann, T., Grinberg, M., Konig, A., Rempel, E., Schildknecht, S., Henry, M., et al. (2017). Stem cell transcriptome responses and corresponding biomarkers that indicate the transition from adaptive responses to cytotoxicity. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 30, 905–922. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.6b00259

Weinmann, A. S., and Farnham, P. J. (2002). Identification of unknown target genes of human transcription factors using chromatin immunoprecipitation. *Methods* 26, 37–47. doi:10.1016/S1046-2023(02)00006-3

Xu, Y., Wahlberg, K., Love, T. M., Watson, G. E., Yeates, A. J., Mulhern, M. S., et al. (2019). Associations of blood mercury and fatty acid concentrations

with blood mitochondrial DNA copy number in the Seychelles Child Development Nutrition Study. *Environ. Int.* 124, 278–283. doi:10.1016/j. envint.2019.01.019

Zhang, C., Zhang, X., Huang, L., Guan, Y., Huang, X., Tian, X. L., et al. (2021). ATF3 drives senescence by reconstructing accessible chromatin profiles. *Aging Cell* 20, e13315. doi:10.1111/acel.13315

Zhu, H., Wang, G., and Qian, J. (2016). Transcription factors as readers and effectors of DNA methylation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 17, 551–565. doi:10.1038/nrg. 2016.83