Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pilar Giraldo, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain

REVIEWED BY Xifang Zhang, Capital Medical University, China Afagh Alavi, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences. Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Xunlun Sheng, shengxunlun@163.com Weining Rong, rongweining426@126.com

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Genetics of Common and Rare Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 24 November 2022 ACCEPTED 17 January 2023 PUBLISHED 27 January 2023

CITATION

Yuan S, Huang X, Zhang S, Yang S, Rui X, Qi X, Wang X, Zheng Y, Rong W and Sheng X (2023), Two novel variations in *LRP2* cause Donnai-Barrow syndrome in a Chinese family with server early-onset high myopia. *Front. Genet.* 14:1107347. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2023.1107347

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Yuan, Huang, Zhang, Yang, Rui, Qi, Wang, Zheng, Rong and Sheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Two novel variations in *LRP2* cause Donnai-Barrow syndrome in a Chinese family with severe early-onset high myopia

Shiqin Yuan^{1†}, Xiaoyu Huang^{1,2†}, Shuang Zhang¹, Shangying Yang^{1,2}, Xue Rui³, Xiaolong Qi¹, Xuhui Wang¹, Yali Zheng⁴, Weining Rong^{1*} and Xunlun Sheng^{3*}

¹Ningxia Eye Hospital, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, Third Clinical Medical College of Ningxia Medical University, Yinchuan, China, ²Clinical Medical College, Ningxia Medical University, Yinchuan, China, ³Gansu Aier Ophthalmology and Optometry Hospital, Lanzhou, China, ⁴Department of Kidney Internal Medicine, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, Third Clinical Medical College of Ningxia Medical University, Yinchuan, China, ⁴Department of Kidney Internal Medicine, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, Third Clinical Medical College of Ningxia Medical University, Yinchuan, China

Donnai-Barrow syndrome (DBS) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder caused by mutation in the low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 2 gene (LRP2). Defects in this protein may lead to clinical multiple organ malformations by affecting the development of organs such as the nervous system, eyes, ears, and kidneys. Although some variations on LRP2 have been found to be associated with DBS, early diagnosis and prevention of patients with atypical DBS remains a challenge for many physicians because of their clinical heterogeneity. The objective of this study is to explore the association between the clinical presentation and the genotype of a DBS patient who was initially diagnosed with early-onset high myopia (eoHM) from a healthy Chinese family. To this end, we tested the patient of this family via whole exome sequencing and further verified the results among other family members by Sanger sequencing. Comprehensive ophthalmic tests as well as other systemic examinations were also performed on participants with various genotypes. Genetic assessment revealed that two novel variations in LRP2, a de novo missense variation (c.9032G>A; p.Arg3011Lys) and a novel splicing variation (c.2909-2A>T) inherited from the father, were both carried by the proband in this family, and they are strongly associated with the typical clinical features of DBS patients. Therefore, in this paper we are the first to report two novel compound heterozygous variations in LPR2 causing DBS. Our study extends the genotypic spectrums for LPR2-DBS and better assists physicians in predicting, diagnosing, and conducting gene therapy for DBS.

KEYWORDS

Donnai-Barrow syndrome, early-onset high myopia, compound heterozygous variation, clinical features, genetic assessment

Abbreviations: DBS, Donnai-Barrow syndrome; LRP2, low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 2; eoHM, early-onset high myopia; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; AL, axial length; CC, corneal curvature; ACD, anterior chamber depth; VF, visual fields; ffERG, full-field electroretinography; OCT, optical coherence tomography; NMD, non-sense-mediated mRNA decay; M1, mutation 1: c.9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys); M2, mutation 2: c.2909-2A>T; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; WES, whole exome sequencing; LDL, low-density lipoprotein receptor; PTC, premature translational-termination codon.

Introduction

DBS is an extremely rare and complex disorder with wide phenotypic variability. It is characterized by major malformations including agenesis of the corpus callosum, congenital diaphragmatic hernia, facial dysmorphology, ocular anomalies, sensorineural hearing loss, developmental delay, and low molecular weight proteinuria (retinal-binding protein and vitamin D-binding protein are mainly elevated in urine). Only about 62 individuals worldwide to date with distinct DBS features have been reported in the medical literatures and there are no other population-based incidences or prevalence data (Avunduk et al., 2000; Chassaing et al., 2003; Kantarci and Donahoe, 2007; Patel et al., 2007; Kantarci et al., 2008; Shaheen et al., 2010; Bruce et al., 2011; Chinta et al., 2011; Roane et al., 2012; Storm et al., 2013; Schrauwen et al., 2014; Dachy et al., 2015; Khalifa et al., 2015; Anglani et al., 2018; Khan and Ghazi, 2018; Longoni et al., 2018; Canut et al., 2020; Flemming et al., 2020; Ozdemir et al., 2020; Aksenova et al., 2021; Dumitrescu et al., 2021; Higham et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2021; Sait et al., 2021; Alyousef et al., 2022). An increasing number of studies (Kantarci et al., 2007; Kantarci et al., 2008; Shaheen et al., 2010; Storm et al., 2013; Schrauwen et al., 2014; Khalifa et al., 2015; Anglani et al., 2018; Flemming et al., 2020; Ozdemir et al., 2020; Aksenova et al., 2021; Higham et al., 2021) have found that DBS is caused by variations in the LRP2 gene, which locates on chromosome 2q24-31 and encodes the megalin protein, a multiligand endocytic receptor that plays an important role in retinal and renal tissues by mediating endocytic uptake and clearance of sonic hedgehog in the retinal margin and by mediating renal uptake of the antiapoptotic protein survivin (Jobst-Schwan et al., 2013; Christ et al., 2015). As recorded by the human genetic mutation database by April of 2021, although 94 LRP2 variations have been found to be associated with many diseases, such as autism, cancer, obesity, and congenital heart defect, only few of them have been linked to DBS. Therefore, molecular diagnosis as a reliable tool for diagnosis when the pathogenic variation is known may be limited by those unknown variations in this disease.

Studies in mammals demonstrate LRP2 expression in the ciliary epithelia, retinal pigment epithelium layer, and retinal neurosensory layer of eye, which is critical for normal ocular function (Zheng et al., 1994; Assémat et al., 2005). High myopia is divided into early-onset high myopia (eoHM) and late-onset high myopia (loHM) according to age of onset. eoHM is defined as refractive error \leq -6.0 D or an eye axis length>26 mm and occurs at preschool age (less than 7 years old) (Morgan and Rose, 2005). High myopia and hypertelorism are prevalent major features of DBS, typically with down-slanting palpebral fissures. However, fewer studies have analyzed the phenotype of DBS-eoHM patient with LRP2 variation. In this paper, we describe one DBS patient with eoHM carrying two novel LRP2 variations from a healthy Chinese family and discuss the phenotypic characteristics of individuals with different genotypes, providing reliable molecular diagnosis for the DBS and prenatal screening aid to similar families to have healthier offspring.

Materials and methods

Clinical observations and analysis

This study was undertaken according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved and reviewed by the Ethics Committee

on Human Research at People Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region. Informed consent was obtained from all participants including parents and children.

All individuals in this family were recruited for both clinical and genetic tests. Comprehensive ophthalmic examinations and other systemic examinations were performed on subjects, including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), axial length (AL), corneal curvature (CC), anterior chamber depth (ACD), color fundus photography, visual fields (VF), full-field electroretinography (ffERG), optical coherence tomography (OCT), ultrasound of eyes and uterus, and 24-h proteinuria examinations.

BCVA was recorded by snellen visual acuity chart and optometry instrument (VT-10, TOPCON, Japan), respectively. AL, CC, and ACD were recorded by IOL marst optical biometry (IOL Marster 500, Carl Zeiss Meditec AG). Images of color fundus photography were captured from fundus photography analyzer (TRC-NW300, TOPCON, Japan). The visual field testing was performed with humphrey field analyzer (750i Carl Zeiss Meditec, United States). ffERG was performed using corneal ERG jet contact lens electrodes (RetiPort ERG system; Roland Consult, Wiesbaden, Germany), according to the international society of clinical electrophysiology of vision standards (Marmor et al., 2009; McCulloch et al., 2015). Enhanced depth imaging (EDI) of cirrus high definition optical coherence tomography (HD-OCT4000, Carl Zeiss Meditec, United States) was performed on the foveal center of macular cube. The pictures of ultrasound of eyes and uterus were captured by ultrasound diagnostic system (Mylab75, EIZO NANAO Corp.). Detect 24-h proteinuria by a urine total protein (CSF/UP) assay kit (Gcell, Beijing Strong Biotechnologies, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Peripheral venous blood samples (3 mL) were collected from all individuals of the family for genomic DNA isolation. DNA isolation was performed based on the manufacturer's instructions of TIANamp blood DNA kit (#DP348-03, TIANGEN biotech (Beijing) co., ltd).

Sequencing analysis

Proband's whole-exome library was captured by using xGen Exome Research Panel v1.0 of IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., United States). Then the product was quantified after purification by magnetic beads. Paired-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina (San Diego, CA) sequencing platform using PE150 mode. Sequencing data analysis first need to remove the reads that did not meet the quality control requirements in the original sequencing data, and then use BWA (Burrows-Wheeler Aligner) software to align with the hg19 version of the human genome reference sequence provided by UCSC (https://genome.ucsc.edu/), and finally find out the variants by GATK v3.70 (Genome Analysis Toolkit). The pathogenicity classification of variants refers to the genetic variant classification standards and guidelines of American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). Several publicly available servers for bioinformatic prediction tools such as MutationTaster (http://www. mutationtaster.org/), FATHMM-MKL (http://fathmm.biocompute. org.uk/fathmmMKL.htm), PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard. edu/pph2/), dbscSNV (http://asia.ensembl.org/info/docs/tools/vep/ script/vep_plugins.html#plugins_existing), and SpliceAI (https:// github.com/Illumina/SpliceAI) were used to predict the effect of two novel variations of the DBS-associated pathogenic gene (LRP2)



FIGURE 1

External photographs of DBS patient with early-onset high myopia. Patient is characterized by (A) Carrying hearing-aid as well as cochlear implant. (B) Ocular hypertelorism, exophthalmos, broad and high forehead, receding hairline, depressed nasal bridge, short nose with broad tip, and esotropia. (C, D) Hypermobility of the hand joints.

on its protein function. Then the variations of the studied gene were verified in proband's parents and her siblings through Sanger sequencing.

Conservational analysis was first performed with UNIPROT knowledgebase (https://www.uniprot.org) to acquire the amino acid sequence of LRP2 from different species. The subsequent results were aligned and analyzed by alignment tool, Multalin (http://sacs.ucsf.edu/cgi-bin/multalin.py). The minor change of the amino acid at position 3011 between these sequences will show that the amino acid of LRP2 at this position is highly conserved among species and the p.Arg3011Lys in LRP2 is more likely to affect the structure and function of the related protein.

Structural analysis

Protein modeling of the wild type and mutant LRP2 were constructed with SWISS-MODEL online server (https://swissmodel. expasy.org/interactive), and then displayed with PyMol software (https://pymol.org/2/).

Results

Medical history and external characteristics of patient in this family

The proband (II:2) is a 14-year-old girl from a healthy unrelated couple (I:1, I:2). She has three healthy siblings (II:1, II:3, and II:4) of ages 13, 8, and 4 respectively. But she was born calcium deficient and had been breast-fed for only 2 months. At 2 months of age, she was detected with proteinuria. At 2 years of age, she was diagnosed with

high myopia and sensorineural hearing loss and started wearing hearing aids (Figure 1A). For the following 3 years, she received the cognitive rehabilitation training. She did not learn to speak "mum" until 3 years old and continued to speak in a basic and unclear manner. At 9 years of age, she was performed ears magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanning and then was implanted cochlear in the right ear. At 13 years of age, she had her first period. At the time of the study, she is studying in a special school. She gets decent grades, participates adequately in sports she likes, and even takes bus home by herself.

A recent ophthalmologic examination of the patient II:2 in our hospital revealed that except for dysplasia in the ears and renal, the patient also had features of ocular hypertelorism, exophthalmos broad and high forehead, receding hairline, depressed nasal bridge, short nose with broad tip, and esotropia (Figure 1B). The fingers of both hands were overstretched (Figures 1C,D). Therefore, its clinical complications are serious enough to warrant genetic analysis.

Two novel variations of *LRP2* are probably pathogenic factors of patient in our study

To identify the cause of the disease in this study, we performed the whole exome sequencing (WES) of the patient II:2 and found that two novel variations in *LRP2*, Mutation 1: c.9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys) (M1), and Mutation 2: c.2909-2A>T (M2), were both carried by this patient. Further verification in other family members (I:1, I:2, II:1, II:3, and II:4) by Sanger sequencing showed that c.9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys) was *de novo* missense variation and c.2909-2A>T was new splicing variation inherited from the father (I:1) (Figures 2A,B). Both variations were then performed *in silico* analysis by the prediction programs of FATHMM-MKL, Polyphen2 (HDIV/HVAR),



FIGURE 2

Validation of the variation. (A) Pedigree information. Filled black symbol represents the affected member. Two variations are M1: c.9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys) and M2: c.2909-2A>T, Wt indicates Wild type. Proband is indicated by arrow. (B) Complementary sequence chromatograms of identified sites for all family members. (C) Orthologous protein sequence alignment of LRP2 from human (H. sapiens), chimpanzees (P. troglodytes), rhesus macaque (M.mulatta), mouse (M. musculus), rats (R. norvegicus), Goldfish (C.auratus), Chicken (G. gallus), Rock dove (C.livia), and cows (B. taurus). Conserved residues are shaded. (D) Structural analysis of the wild type and mutant LRP2 protein. Hydrogen bonds between residue 3011 and Tyr3029 were eliminated due to the substitution from arginine to lysine. Overall structure of human LRP2 and effect of the M1: c.9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys) (top), Squares within solid red lines are cropped for magnification (bottom). The yellow dashed line indicates hydrogen bonds.

TABLE 1 The effects of LRP2 variations on their protein function by in silico analysis.

Software	Variants	Score	Predicted signal		
MutationTaster	c9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys)	1	Disease_causing		
fathmm-MKL	c9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys)	0.99505	Damaging		
Polyphen2 (HDIV/HVAR)	c9032G>A (p.Arg3011Lys)	0.999/0.996	Damaging		
DbscSNV (ada_score)	c.2909-2A>T	0.999988	Probably affect splicing		
DbscSNV (rf_score)	c.2909-2A>T	0.932	Probably affect splicing		
SpliceAI	c.2909-2A>T	0.95	Accepter Loss (DS_AL)		

MutationTaster, dbscSNV (Ada_2 score and Rf_score), and SpliceAI, all showing pathogenicity (Table 1). Besides, the arginine at position 3011 of LRP2 (the accession number of NCBI reference sequence of

LRP2 protein is NP_004516.2) was highly conserved among different species by proteomic conservation analysis (Figure 2C), indicating that the missense variation at this site is more likely to affect the structure

TABLE 2 Ophthalmological examination of DBS patient with early-onset high myopia and unaffected members.

Samples (genotype)	Sex	Age	Eye	BCVA	Refraction	AL (mm)	CC(D)	ACD	ffERG	Others
					(SE, D)			(mm)		
I:2(Wt/Wt)	F	35	OD	20/20	-1.50	22.38	44.70/45.12	2.66	Normal	None
			OS	20/20	-2.25	22.47	44.47/44.70	2.77		
II:1(Wt/M2)	F	13	OD	20/20	-2.75	24.24	43.35/44.29	3.57	Normal	None
			OS	20/20	-2.50	23.96	43.60/44.76	3.53	-	
II:2(M1/M2)	F	14	OD	20/100	-28.00	37.58	39.94/41.26	2.27	Severe decline	Nystagmus
			OS	20/100	-28.00	37.80	40.37/42.35	2.46		

Wt:Wildtype, M1:c9032G>A(p.Arg3011Lys), M2:c.2909-2A>T, F:Female, OD:oculus dexter, OS:oculus sinister, BCVA:Best-Corrected Visual Acuity, SE:spherical equivalent, D:Diopter, AL:axial length, CC:corneal curvature, ACD:anterior chamber depth, mm:Millimeter, ffERG:full-field Electrophsiology.

and function of LRP2 protein. What's more, structural analysis of the wild type and variant LRP2 protein revealed that hydrogen bonds between residue Arg3011 and Tyr3029 were eliminated due to the substitution from arginine to lysine (Figure 2D), indicating that the novel *de novo* missense variation (c.9032G>A; p.Arg3011Lys) probably impact on the tertiary structure of LRP2 protein. Taken together, these two new variations in *LRP2* are likely to cause disease by affecting the structure and function of LRP2 protein.

The patient with compound heterozygous variations of *LRP2* in this family presents obvious clinical phenotype of DBS

To explore whether the novel variations of LRP2 cause distinguishing phenotypes in our patient, we selected subjects with three genotypes (Wt/Wt, Wt/M2, and M1/M2) in this family, all female (I:2, II:1, and II:2) (Figure 2A). Compared with the normal clinical manifestations of the mother (I:2) with wild type (Wt/Wt) and the younger sister (II:1) with heterozygous variation (Wt/M2), we found that the patient II:2 with compound heterozygous variations (M1/M2) in our study exhibited more severe ocular abnormalities, such as longer axial length (OD:37.58 mm, OS:37.80 mm), nystagmus, severe decline scotopic as well as photopic (Table 2), persistent pupillary membrane (Figure 3A), severe high myopic retinopathy in fundus (Figure 3B), bilateral posterior staphylomata and vitreous opacities (Figure 3C), small central scotoma and multiple paracentral scotoma in both eyes' visual field (Figure 3D), and fovea macula disappeared (Figure 3E), and also showed dicornal uterus and proteinuria (2434.95 mg/24 h) (Figure 3F; Supplementary Table S1). It indicates that the patient II:2 in this family carries an autosomal recessive disorder caused by LRP2 variations, and its main clinical features, including high myopia and dysplasia in ears, uterus, and renal, are consistent with that of DBS.

Discussion

The patient II:2 in this study has clinical features concordant with DBS and harbors two novel LRP2 variations, which are very likely to be the cause of this disease. Hitherto, only about 25 different *LRP2* variations associated with DBS have been documented (Kantarci et al.,

2007; Kantarci et al., 2008; Shaheen et al., 2010; Storm et al., 2013; Schrauwen et al., 2014; Khalifa et al., 2015; Anglani et al., 2018; Flemming et al., 2020; Ozdemir et al., 2020; Aksenova et al., 2021; Higham et al., 2021). They showed that there was no variant hotspot of LRP2 and no obvious genotype-phenotype correlations (Kantarci et al., 2007; Khalifa et al., 2015; Anglani et al., 2018). Notably, most of the variations studied to date are homozygous variation expected to lead to the absence of LRP2 synthesis, causing distinct phenotypes in DBS (Kantarci et al., 2007; Shaheen et al., 2010; Khalifa et al., 2015). Furthermore, LRP2 has not been reported to be expressed in fibroblasts and lymphoblasts, and accordingly, we were unable to detect the protein or mRNA of LRP2 in the blood of our DBS patient by immunoblotting or real-time PCR. However, in this study, we can predict that two novel compound heterozygous variations of LRP2 carried by the patient II:2 probably affect the function of LRP2 protein primarily by impacting on its structure as well as synthesis, leading to different DBS phenotypes. As shown by the structural analysis of wild type and variant protein of LRP2, the hydrogen bonds between residue Arg3011 and Tyr3029 were eliminated due to the substitution from arginine to lysine (Figure 2D), indicating that the de novo missense variation (c.9032G>A; p.Arg3011Lys) of LRP2 is likely to cause reduced protein stability by affecting the tertiary structure of the LRP 2 protein.

In addition, both variations in this study were also predicted to be deleterious through in silico analysis of mutationTaster, FATHMM-MKL, polyphen2 (HDIV/HVAR), dbscSNV, and SpliceAI (Table 1). The p.Arg3011Lys is a novel missense variation located in the extracellular domain of LRP2 and is conserved among species (Figure 2C). The large amino-terminal extracellular domain of LRP2 contains four clusters (I-IV) of low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDL) type A repeats that constitute the ligand-binding regions (Russell et al., 1989; Fass et al., 1997). p.Arg3011Lys in this study might be impairing part of LRP2 protein's function by reducing certain ligand-binding affinities located in the extracellular domain of LDL. LRP2 has a total of 79 exons. Another new variation, c.2909-2A>T, is located at the 3'end of intron 20 of LRP2 gene, close to the 5'flanking of LRP2. As other studies discussed, a transcript that contains premature translational-termination codon (PTC) closing to the 5'flanking of gene is easily recognized by mRNA quality monitoring mechanism, non-sense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD), and rapidly degraded, thus eliminating abnormal transcript. NMD often affects the clinical phenotype of monogenic inherited diseases by



FIGURE 3

Clinical photograph of DBS patient with early-onset high myopia patient and unaffected members. (A) Anterior segment photography of the individuals I: 2, II:1, and II:2, showing persistent pupillary membrane (red arrow) in II:2 but normal anterior segment in I:1 and II:1. (B) Fundus photography shows severe high myopic retinopathy in II:2 but no change in I:1 and II:1. (C) Ocular color duplex ultrasonography of the individuals I:2, II:1, and II:2, showing bilateral posterior staphylomata and vitreous opacities in both eyes of II:2 while no change in I:1 and II:1. (D) Visual field of II:2 shows small central scotoma and multiple paracentral scotoma in both eyes, but only very small paracentral scotoma were detected in both eyes of I:2 and II:1. (E) Optical coherence tomography of the individuals I:2, II:1, and II:2, showing fovea macula disappeared in II:2 but normal fovea macula in I:1 and II:1. (F) Uterine color ultrasound of the individuals I:2, II: 1, and II:2, showing dicornal uterus (red coil) in II:2, but the uteruses (yellow coil) of I:1 and II:1 are normal, and the uterus of II:1 is larger than that of I:2 and II:1.

altering the disease type, severity, and inheritance mode (Holbrook et al., 2004; Conti and Izaurralde, 2005; Khajavi et al., 2006; Bhuvanagiri et al., 2010; Pomares et al., 2012). Therefore, according to the results of *in silico* analysis (Table 1), the splicing variation in this family also probably causes the production of PTC, which is generally harmful to organisms, due to certain intron retention or exon hopping of *LRP2*, and subsequently results in the loss of LRP2 functionality.

Previously reported DBS, also known as facio-oculo-acousticorenal syndrome, is a rare autosomal recessive and multisystem condition involving craniofacial abnormalities, ocular abnormalities, sensorineural hearing loss, nephropathy, agenesis of the corpus callosum, and developmental delay. Comparing the system features about DBS between the literature and the patient presented in this study, we found that most DBS patients presented with high myopia, sensorineural hearing loss, proteinuria, and hypertelorism (Supplementary Table S1). Similarly, the patient II:2 in our study also had obvious dysplasia in the eyes, ears, and kidneys, especially the clinical phenotypes of bicornuate uterus and joint hypermobility of patient II:2 were rarely addressed in prior DBS patients (Supplementary Table S1). But the features of other organs of the patient in here were relatively mild or normal. In particular, the patient II:2 had not been detected with omphalocele or abnormality in cerebral parenchyma (Supplementary Table S1). Hence, familial variability, which is a feature of DBS is probably explained by the genetic background of each patient and, possibly, by some random factors.

LRP2 is primary cilia related gene associated with cilia transduced cell signaling pathway which is crucial to the formation, normal development and maintenance of visual, auditory and olfactory organs, central nervous system, respiratory system, gonads, liver, kidney and other tissue cells. Its

protein function loss can lead to a range of clinical phenotypes including retinal dystrophy, hearing loss, neurodevelopmental defects, and nephropathy (Washington Smoak et al., 2005; Joachimiak et al., 2018). Before the study, the patient II:2, a case of DBS, was initially misdiagnosed and her other clinical features were also ignored. When we found out that she suffered high myopia at a very young age and also had some other abnormal phenotypes, we realized that the patient had eoHM, which is associated with some hereditary eye diseases and is often the earliest feature of DBS. So we should pay more attention to the patient's genetic background. For such reason, we revealed that two novel variations of LRP2 carried by the proband were followed an autosomal recessive inheritance mode and might be an early diagnostic factor for DBS patient. Besides, other animal study also showed that the LRP2deficient eyes were first presented with increased eye lengthening by post-natal day 5 and it was accompanied by a rapid decrease of the bipolar, photoreceptor and retinal ganglion cells, and the eventually the optic nerve axons, indicating that the function of LRP2 in the ocular tissues is necessary for normal eye growth (Cases et al., 2015). Consequently, the eoHM combined with the novel LRP2 variations in our patient may provide good reference value for the diagnosis of DBS. However, the specific pathogenic mechanism by which LRP2 variations cause DBS is currently unknown and needs to be explored carefully in future studies.

Conclusion

DBS is a complex disease with wide genetic variability and phenotypic heterogeneity. Its clinical complications are serious enough to warrant timely genetic counseling and prenatal diagnosis. The eoHM may be the first reason for children visiting ophthalmology clinic and an important clue to the clinician's detection of underlying ocular disease. Therefore, we here identified two novel variations in *LRP2* in our DBS patient with eoHM. Further analysis in the pathogenicity of *LRP2* variations and the clinical phenotype of DBS will not only help us to understand the occurrence, development, and harmfulness of DBS but also provide a theoretical basis for the gene therapy of DBS and eugenics for patient family members in the future.

Data availability statement

The datasets for this article are not publicly available due to concerns regarding participant/patient anonymity. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s), and minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin, for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

References

Aksenova, M., Zaikova, N., and Lepaeva, T. (2021). Spectrum of tubular dysfunction in Donnai-Barrow syndrome. Lessons for the clinical nephrologist. *J. Nephrol.* 34, 921–924. doi:10.1007/s40620-020-00890-2

Author contributions

XS diagnosed the patient and made contribution to supervision and final approval. WR diagnosed the patient and designed experiments. SY drafted this manuscript, analyzed and interpreted the patient data. XH collected the patient's information, designed experiment and analyzed the patient data. SZ, SY, and XR collected the patient's information. YZ diagnosed the patient. XQ and XW performed the clinical examination of patient. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 82260206, the Natural Science Foundation of Ningxia under grant number 2022AAC03365, the key research and development project of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region under grant number 2020BEG03047 and number 2021BEG02045, the training project of the scientific innovation commanding talented person in Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region under grant number KJT2020013, and the Clinical-Basic Joint PI program of Aier of Aier Eye Hospital Group under grant number LCER1-003.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all subjects for their participation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2023.1107347/full#supplementary-material

Alyousef, M. Y., Abuhaimed, A. A., Alkelabi, D. M., AlKahtani, M., Yousef, E. M., and Yousef, M. F. (2022). Performance of children with donnai-barrow syndrome after cochlear implantation: A case report. *Cureus* 14, e21063. doi:10.7759/cureus.21063 Anglani, F., Terrin, L., Brugnara, M., Battista, M., Cantaluppi, V., Ceol, M., et al. (2018). Hypercalciuria and nephrolithiasis: Expanding the renal phenotype of Donnai-Barrow syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* 94, 187–188. doi:10.1111/cge.13242

Assémat, E., Châtelet, F., Chandellier, J., Commo, F., Cases, O., Verroust, P., et al. (2005). Overlapping expression patterns of the multiligand endocytic receptors cubilin and megalin in the CNS, sensory organs and developing epithelia of the rodent embryo. *Gene. Expr. Patterns.* 6, 69–78. doi:10.1016/j.modgep.2005.04.014

Avunduk, A. M., Aslan, Y., Kapicioğlu, Z., and Elmas, R. (2000). High myopia, hypertelorism, iris coloboma, exomphalos, absent corpus callosum, and sensorineural deafness: Report of a case and further evidence for autosomal recessive inheritance. *Acta. Ophthalmol. Scand.* 78, 221–222. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0420.2000.078002221.x

Bhuvanagiri, M., Schlitter, A. M., Hentze, M. W., and Kulozik, A. E. (2010). NMD: RNA biology meets human genetic medicine. *Biochem. J.* 430, 365–377. doi:10.1042/BJ20100699

Bruce, I. A., Broomfield, S. J., Henderson, L., Green, K. M., and Ramsden, R. T. (2011). Cochlear implantation in Donnai-Barrow syndrome. *Cochlear. Implants. Int.* 12, 60–63. doi:10.1179/146701010X486534

Canut, M. I., Alonso-Agesta, M., Botella, J., and Julio, G. (2020). Cauterized suture for complete tube occlusion of Ahmed glaucoma valve in hypotony maculopathy. *Eur. J. Ophthalmol.* 30, 221–223. doi:10.1177/1120672119853750

Cases, O., Joseph, A., Obry, A., Santin, M. D., Ben-Yacoub, S., Pâques, M., et al. (2015). Foxg1-Cre mediated Lrp2 inactivation in the developing mouse neural retina, ciliary and retinal pigment epithelia models congenital high myopia. *PLoS. One.* 10, e0129518. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0129518

Chassaing, N., Lacombe, D., Carles, D., Calvas, P., Saura, R., and Bieth, E. (2003). Donnai-barrow syndrome: four additional patients. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 121A, 258–262. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.20266

Chinta, S., Gupta, A., Sachdeva, V., and Kekunnaya, R. (2011). Persistent pupillary membrane, strabismus, and optic nerve hypoplasia in Donnai-Barrow syndrome. *J. AAPOS.* 15, 604–605. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2011.07.016

Christ, A., Christa, A., Klippert, J., Eule, J. C., Bachmann, S., Wallace, V. A., et al. (2015). LRP2 acts as SHH clearance receptor to protect the retinal margin from mitogenic stimuli. *Dev. Cell.* 35, 36–48. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.09.001

Conti, E., and Izaurralde, E. (2005). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: molecular insights and mechanistic variations across species. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 17, 316–325. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2005.04.005

Dachy, A., Paquot, F., Debray, G., Bovy, C., Christensen, E. I., Collard, L., et al. (2015). In-depth phenotyping of a Donnai-Barrow patient helps clarify proximal tubule dysfunction. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* 30, 1027–1031. doi:10.1007/s00467-014-3037-7

Dumitrescu, A. V., Pfeifer, W. L., and Drack, A. V. (2021). Clinical phenocopies of albinism. J. AAPOS. 25, 220.e1–220.e8. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2021.03.015

Fass, D., Blacklow, S., Kim, P. S., and Berger, J. M. (1997). Molecular basis of familial hypercholesterolaemia from structure of LDL receptor module. *Nature* 388, 691–693. doi:10.1038/41798

Flemming, J., Marczenke, M., Rudolph, I. M., Nielsen, R., Storm, T., Erik, I. C., et al. (2020). Induced pluripotent stem cell-based disease modeling identifies ligand-induced decay of megalin as a cause of Donnai-Barrow syndrome. *Kidney. Int.* 98, 159–167. doi:10. 1016/j.kint.2020.02.021

Higham, A., Hildebrand, G. D., Graham-Evans, K. A. J., Gilbert, R. D., Horton, R., Hunt, D., et al. (2021). Ectopic vortex veins and varices in Donnai Barrow syndrome. *Ophthalmic. Genet.* 27, 248–252. doi:10.1080/13816810.2021.1992787

Holbrook, J. A., Neu-Yilik, G., Hentze, M. W., and Kulozik, A. E. (2004). Nonsensemediated decay approaches the clinic. *Nat. Genet.* 36, 801–808. doi:10.1038/ng1403

Joachimiak, E., Włoga, D., Filipek, A., and Fabczak, H. (2018). Ciliopaties - diseases caused by abnormal cilia functioning. *Postepy. Biochem.* 64, 338–350. doi:10.18388/pb.2018_148

Jobst-Schwan, T., Knaup, K. X., Nielsen, R., Hackenbeck, T., Buettner-Herold, M., Lechler, P., et al. (2013). Renal uptake of the antiapoptotic protein survivin is mediated by megalin at the apical membrane of the proximal tubule. *Am. J. Physiol. Ren. Physiol.* 305, F734–F744. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00546.2012

Kantarci, S., and Donahoe, P. K. (2007). Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) etiology as revealed by pathway genetics. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C. Semin. Med. Genet.* 145C, 217–226. doi:10.1002/ajmg.c.30132

Kantarci, S., Al-Gazali, L., Hill, R. S., Donnai, D., Black, G. C., Bieth, E., et al. (2007). Mutations in LRP2, which encodes the multiligand receptor megalin, cause Donnai-

Barrow and facio-oculo-acoustico-renal syndromes. Nat. Genet. 39, 957–959. doi:10.1038/ng2063

Kantarci, S., Ragge, N. K., Thomas, N. S., Robinson, D. O., Noonan, K. M., Russell, M. K., et al. (2008). Donnai-Barrow syndrome (DBS/FOAR) in a child with a homozygous LRP2 mutation due to complete chromosome 2 paternal isodisomy. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 146A, 1842–1847. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.32381

Khajavi, M., Inoue, K., and Lupski, J. R. (2006). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay modulates clinical outcome of genetic disease. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 14, 1074–1081. doi:10. 1038/sj.ejhg.5201649

Khalifa, O., Al-Sahlawi, Z., Imtiaz, F., Ramzan, K., Allam, R., Al-Mostafa, A., et al. (2015). Variable expression pattern in Donnai-Barrow syndrome: Report of two novel LRP2 mutations and review of the literature. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 58, 293–299. doi:10.1016/j.ejmg.2014.12.008

Khan, A. O., and Ghazi, N. G. (2018). The distinct optic disk and peripapillary appearance in Donnai-Barrow syndrome. *Ophthalmic. Genet.* 39, 321–324. doi:10. 1080/13816810.2018.1430245

Longoni, M., Kantarci, S., Donnai, D., and Pober, B. R. (2018). "Donnai-barrow syndrome," in GeneReviews. Editor M. P. Adam (Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press), 1993–2022.

Marmor, M. F., Fulton, A. B., Holder, G. E., Miyake, Y., Brigell, M., Bach, M., et al. (2009). ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2008 update). *Doc. Ophthalmol.* 118, 69–77. doi:10.1007/s10633-008-9155-4

McCulloch, D. L., Marmor, M. F., Brigell, M. G., Hamilton, R., Holder, G. E., Tzekov, R., et al. (2015). ISCEV Standard for full-field clinical electroretinography (2015 update). *Doc. Ophthalmol.* 130, 1–12. doi:10.1007/s10633-014-9473-7

Morgan, I., and Rose, K. (2005). How genetic is school myopia? Prog. Retin Eye Res. 24, 1–38. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2004.06.004

Ozdemir, H., Plamondon, J., Gaskin, P., Asoglu, M. R., and Turan, S. (2020). A prenatally diagnosed case of Donnai-Barrow syndrome: Highlighting the importance of whole exome sequencing in cases of consanguinity. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 182, 289–292. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.61428

Patel, N., Hejkal, T., Katz, A., and Margalit, E. (2007). Ocular manifestations of Donnai-Barrow syndrome. J. Child. Neurol. 22, 462-464. doi:10.1177/0883073807301933

Pomares, E., Burés-Jelstrup, A., Ruiz-Nogales, S., Corcóstegui, B., González-Duarte, R., and Navarro, R. (2012). Nonsense-mediated decay as the molecular cause for autosomal recessive bestrophinopathy in two unrelated families. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 53, 532–537. doi:10.1167/iovs.11-7964

Roane, H., Bouxsein, K., and Fulton, C. (2012). Assessment and treatment of selfinjurious behavior associated with donnai-barrow syndrome. *J. Dev. Phys. Disabil.* 24, 327–335. doi:10.1007/s10882-012-9272-6

Robinson, M. K., Coe, K., and Bradshaw, W. T. (2021). A case report of donnai-barrow syndrome. *Adv. Neonatal. Care.* 21, 133–141. doi:10.1097/ANC.00000000000066

Russell, D. W., Brown, M. S., and Goldstein, J. L. (1989). Different combinations of cysteine-rich repeats mediate binding of low density lipoprotein receptor to two different proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 21682–21688. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(20)88240-4

Sait, H., Singh, R., and Kapoor, S. (2021). Bartter-like renal phenotype in a child with donnai-barrow syndrome. *Indian. J. Pediatr.* 88, 390. doi:10.1007/s12098-020-03589-1

Schrauwen, I., Sommen, M., Claes, C., Pinner, J., Flaherty, M., Collins, F., et al. (2014). Broadening the phenotype of LRP2 mutations: a new mutation in LRP2 causes a predominantly ocular phenotype suggestive of stickler syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* 86, 282–286. doi:10.1111/cge.12265

Shaheen, I. S., Finlay, E., Prescott, K., Russell, M., Longoni, M., and Joss, S. (2010). Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in a female patient with Donnai-Barrow syndrome. *Clin. Dysmorphol.* 19, 35–37. doi:10.1097/MCD.0b013e328333c20a

Storm, T., Tranebjærg, L., Frykholm, C., Birn, H., Verroust, P. J., Nevéus, T., et al. (2013). Renal phenotypic investigations of megalin-deficient patients: novel insights into tubular proteinuria and albumin filtration. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* 28, 585–591. doi:10.1093/ndt/ gfs462

Washington Smoak, I., Byrd, N. A., Abu-Issa, R., Goddeeris, M. M., Anderson, R., Morris, J., et al. (2005). Sonic hedgehog is required for cardiac outflow tract and neural crest cell development. *Dev. Biol.* 283, 357–372. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.04.029

Zheng, G., Bachinsky, D. R., Stamenkovic, I., Strickland, D. K., Brown, D., Andres, G., et al. (1994). Organ distribution in rats of two members of the low-density lipoprotein receptor gene family, gp330 and LRP/alpha 2MR, and the receptor-associated protein (RAP). J. Histochem. Cytochem. 42, 531–542. doi:10.1177/42.4.7510321