#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Xiaodong Sun, Affiliated Hospital of Weifang Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Olga V. Anatskaya, Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Russia Haiting Ma, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Junbo Xiao, ⊠ xiaojunbo1992@csu.edu.cn Jun Yi, ⊠ junyee1989@csu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 21 February 2023 ACCEPTED 17 April 2023 PUBLISHED 02 August 2023

#### CITATION

Li S, Wang X, Liu Y, Xiao J and Yi J (2023), The implication of necroptosis-related IncRNAs in orchestrating immune infiltration and predicting therapeutic efficacy in colon adenocarcinoma: an integrated bioinformatic analysis with preliminarily experimental validation. *Front. Genet.* 14:1170640. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2023.1170640

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li, Wang, Liu, Xiao and Yi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. The implication of necroptosisrelated lncRNAs in orchestrating immune infiltration and predicting therapeutic efficacy in colon adenocarcinoma: an integrated bioinformatic analysis with preliminarily experimental validation

Shizhe Li<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xiaotong Wang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Yajun Liu<sup>2</sup>, Junbo Xiao<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Jun Yi<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, <sup>2</sup>Hunan Provincial People's Hospital, Changsha, Hunan, China

**Background:** Necroptosis contributes significantly to colon adenocarcinoma (COAD). We aim to assess the relationship between immunoinfiltration and stemness in COAD patients through the development of a risk score profile using necroptosis-related long noncoding RNAs (NRLs).

**Methods:** Our study was based on gene expression data and relevant clinical information from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Necroptosis-related genes (NRGs) were obtained from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genome (KEGG) database. Pearson correlation analysis, Cox regression, and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression were used to determine the NRL prognositic signature (NRLPS). NRLs expression was examined using qRT-PCR method. Several algorithms were used to identify relationships between immune cell infiltration and NRLPS risk scores. Further analysis of somatic mutations, tumor stemness index (TSI), and drug sensitivity were also explored.

**Results:** To construct NRLPS, 15 lncRNAs were investigated. Furthermore, NRLPS patients with high-risk subgroups had lower survival rates than that of patients with low-risk subgroups. Using GSEA analysis, NRL was found to be enriched in Notch, Hedgehog and Smoothened pathways. Immune infiltration analysis showed significant differences in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, dendritic cell DCs, and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells between the two risk groups. In addition, our NRLPS showed a relevance with the regulation of tumor microenvironment, tumor mutation burden (TMB) and stemness. Finally, NRLPS demonstrated potential applications in predicting the efficacy of immunotherapy and chemotherapy in patients with COAD.

**Conclusion:** Based on NRLs, a prognostic model was developed for COAD patients that allows a personalized tailoring immunotherapy and chemotherapy to be tailored.

#### KEYWORDS

necroptosis-related genes and lncRNAs, colon adenocarcinoma, stemness, bioinformatic analysis and experimental validation, immune infiltration



# Introduction

As a major cancer of the gastrointestinal tract, colorectal cancer (CRC) is among the most common types, accounting for 38.8% of gastrointestinal cancer cases (Arnold et al., 2020; Lu L. et al., 2021). As a combined cancer type of both sexes, it has the distinction of being the third most frequently diagnosed and the second deadliest in the United States (Siegel et al., 2020). Adenocarcinoma constitutes over 90% of CRCs (Lotfollahzadeh et al., 2022). Colon adenocarcinoma (COAD) is increasingly prevalent among patients with early-onset colorectal cancer (EOCRC) (Montminy et al., 2021). APC mutation is currently suggested to be one of the earliest initial events in CRC and drive the clinical phenotype related to infiltration and metastasis, which is linked to the sustained activation of the Wnt signaling pathway (Caspi et al., 2021). The Wnt signaling pathway is thought to facilitate the stemness of cancer stem cells (CSC), and alter the anti-tumor activity of immune cells (e.g., dendritic cells and T cells), causing tumor immune escape and therapeutic resistance (Goldsberry et al., 2019). Immunotherapy and targeted therapy are emerging as prominent treatment options that are expanding beyond chemotherapy; however, their effectiveness remains elusive, as their treatment responses and survival outcomes that are unpredictable (Brenner et al., 2014; Benson et al., 2021). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors are currently the primary therapeutic option for metastatic colon cancer, due to the limitations of innate and acquired drug resistance mechanisms, which urgently require the guidance of predictive biomarkers (Martinelli et al., 2020). In addition, 5-year survival rates for patients with COAD are largely determined by stage. For stage I patients, the survival rate exceeds 90%, while stage IV metastatic patients have a dramatic decline to only 11% (Miller et al., 2022). The utilization of innovative and dependable biomarkers can facilitate a more comprehensive evaluation of disease progression and can provide a more personalized approach to treating patients.

Known as a regulated cell death mode with a caspase-dependent way, necroptosis induces inflammatory responses that differ from those induced by apoptosis during programmed cell death (PCD) (Bertheloot et al., 2021). There is a prevalence of necroptosis in many diseases, including chronic hepatitis (Mohammed et al., 2021), disease with neurodegenerative processes (Yuan et al., 2019), and Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Lu Z. et al., 2021). Also, it has been proposed that necroptosis plays a dual role in the development of cancer (Qin et al., 2019). A malfunctioning apoptosis in tumor cells can result in necroptosis, which inhibits tumor metastasis and progression. Patients suffering from breast, ovarian, gastric, colon, and pancreatic cancer may face a poorer prognosis when mixed-lineagekinasedomain-like protein (MLKL), a necroptosis executor, is low in expression (Hu et al., 2018). And a key role for receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 3 (RIPK3) in preventing hematopoietic malignancies is through specific mediation of necroptosis in myeloid leukaemia cells (Hockendorf et al., 2016). According to recent findings, damageassociated molecular patterns (DAMPs) produced by necroptosis cells are responsible for the development of dendritic cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and to the cross-initiation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within it (Tang et al., 2020), triggering antitumor immunity. Apart from this, tumor immunity and proliferation have also been reported to be involved in the necroptosis signaling pathway, suggesting that targeting necroptosis may be a viable option for new tumor therapies (Yatim et al., 2015; Bolik et al., 2022). It is speculated that necroptosis may alter the TME and promote the infiltration of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), which, in turn, may increase the response to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) administered to patients with advanced cancer (Rosenbaum et al., 2021). Hence, targeting the mechanism of necroptosis could, for the time being, be a promising treatment option. However, further study is warranted before we can fully understand the role that necroptosis plays in COAD and its impact on tumor immunity.

LncRNAs (long non-coding RNA) are transcripts with nucleotides greater than 200 that lack protein coding ability (Bhan and Mandal, 2014). Yet, they contribute to diverse biological functions, such as the regulation of chromatin formation and the integrity of the genome (Yao et al., 2019; Statello et al., 2021). In addition, it is important to note that multiple mechanisms are involved in the proliferation, invasion, and resistance to chemotherapy of COAD caused by lncRNAs (Chen and Shen, 2020; Liu et al., 2021), including angiogenesis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Sun et al., 2019). Li et al. (2021) showed that lnc-RP11-536 K7.3 stimulated colon cancer proliferation and resistance to chemotherapeutics by mediating angiogenesis and glycolysis. According to Wang et al. (2020) HIF-1a induces MiR-205 to destabilize and degrade lncRNA HITT, contributing to the angiogenesis and growth of COAD. Further evidence shows PCD-related lncRNAs can help predict the prognosis of COAD patients and evaluate their treatment efficacy (Jiang et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021). Evidence is mounting that necroptosis-associated lncRNA (NRLs) can be used to screen, diagnose, and prognosticate other tumors (Hu et al., 2022). It is worth noting, however, that the research demonstrating the use of NRLs for prognosis evaluation in COAD patients remains limited.

Through bioinformatics and statistical analysis, we have managed to conduct a comprehensive and in-depth analysis of the expression and interactions of NRLs in COAD patients with the purpose of highlighting the immunological aspects and examining the mechanisms of NRLs in the progression of COAD, whose expressions have been validated experimentally. Furthermore, we examined the potential application of this model in regulating the tumor immunity and stemness of patients with COAD as well as predicting their response to immunotherapy and chemotherapy, which enables us to develop new strategies for improving patient survival and selecting the appropriate treatment approach.

# Materials and methods

#### Compilation of information

To investigate the clinical information and genetic characteristics of individuals suffering from COAD, 480 tumor samples and 41 normal samples were downloaded from the TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov). COAD somatic mutation datasets and copy number variation (CNV) data are also available from the TCGA and UCSC Xena websites. With the

help of Ensembl Human Genome Browser (Cunningham et al., 2019), we classified lncRNAs and protein-coding genes (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html). An overview of the clinical characteristics of patients with COAD is presented in Supplementary Material S1. There are data publicly available from TCGA, and the current study was conducted in compliance with TCGA data access policies and publication guidelines (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/abouttcga/policies/publicationguidelines).

### Molecular identification of necroptosisassociated genes and lncRNAs

There are 161 necroptosis-related genes (NRGs) listed in Supplementary Table S2, which is derived from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. In order to identify differentially expressed NRGs in TCGA tissues, we used the "limma" and "edgeR" R packages, displaying the results as heatmaps and filtering the data according to a log fold change (FC)| > 2 and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05. The "maftools" package was used to generate mutation frequency and oncoplot waterfall plots of NRGs patients with COAD. With the use of the "RCircos" package in R, we were able to locate the CNV alteration of NRGs on 23 chromosomes. After that, Gene Ontology (GO) classifications, including biological processes (BP), cellular components (CC), and molecular functions (MF), were carried out using the "ggplot2" and "clusterProfiler"R package. To NRGs expression determine whether correlates with corresponding lncRNA expression, Pearson correlation coefficients were then calculated. We identified necroptosisrelated lncRNAs (NRLs) based on the following thresholds: *p*-value <0.001 and correlation coefficient |R| > 0.3(Supplementary Material S3).

# Incorporation of NRLs into a prognostic signature

The effect of NRLs on overall survival (OS) in patients with COAD was evaluated using univariate Cox regression analysis (p < 0.05) (Supplementary Material S4). Afterward, these NRLs were included in least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression analysis utilizing the "glmnet" R package and tenfold cross-validation (Friedman et al., 2010). We finally performed a multivariate Cox regression analysis to construct the optimal NRL prognostic signature (NRLPS).

Calculating the prognostic signature risk scores required the following formula:

Risk score =  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i$  \* (expression of lncRNA<sub>i</sub>),  $\beta$  means a regression coefficient.

According to the median values of the NRLPS risk scores, we divided the data into low- and high-risk groups. A Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed to assess our risk score's survival rate. An independent prognostic prediction of the risk score was examined using univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. For the purposes of assessing the accuracy of the prediction and comparing the NRLPS with a variety of patients' characteristics, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and the area under the curve (AUC) values were employed as predictors. A correlation analysis was conducted between the NRLPS risk scores and a number of clinical manifestations, as well as an analysis of survival between the NRLPS risk scores and the clinicopathological stratifications. Through the use of R package "survival" and "rms", a nomogram based on different clinicopathological factors was generated to predict survival at 1, 3, and 5 years. In calibration graphs, the actual survival rates are compared with the nomogram-predicted survival rates, where the overlap with the reference line indicates the model's accuracy.

### Culture of cell lines and COAD tissues

NCM460, HCT116, HT29, SW480, and CaCO2 cell lines were donated by Cancer Research Institute of Central South University (Hunan, China). They were maintained in DMEM or RPMI 1640(Hyclone, Logan, UT, United States) supplemented with 10% FBS,streptomycin (100 ug/mL) and penicillin (100 U/ mL), and were cultured in incubators containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. Four pairs of COAD and adjacent non-tumor tissue samples were obtained from patients who underwent surgery at the Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (Hunan, China).

# Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from the tissue samples and cells by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then cDNA was synthesized using the Reverse Transcription Kit (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). qPCR assay was conducted using SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing,China) under conditions including 30s at 94°C and 40 cycles of 5s at 94°C, 15s at 60°C, and 10s at 72°C. The sequences of the primers and results used in this study are listed in Supplementary Material S5.

# The establishment of a co-expression network between necroptosis-related lncRNAs and mRNAs

Through the usage of the Cytoscape software (http://www. cytoscape.org/), the co-expression network of mRNAs and lncRNAs was generated to visualize the correlation between the NRLs and corresponding mRNAs. A Sankey diagram was then constructed using R's "ggalluvial" package to further illustrate the degree of correlation between NRLs (risk/protective) and their related mRNAs.

# Principal component analysis (PCA)

In analyses of the whole genome, lncRNAs, NRLs, and NRLPS, PCA was utilized through "scatterplot3D" R package to uncover patterns and interpret exploratory visualizations.

### Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

GSEA was performed to identify the potential signaling pathways implicated in the occurrence and progression of patients with COAD as mediated by NRLPS, including GO and KEGG, which were enriched in different NRLPS risk groups (Subramanian et al., 2005). p < 0.05 and false discovery rate (FDR) q-value <0.05 were statistically significant.

# An analysis of the immunogenomic landscape

We compared different algorithmic approaches, including CIBERSORT (Newman et al., 2015; Charoentong et al., 2017), CIBERSORT -ABS (Tamminga et al., 2020), QUANTISEQ (Finotello et al., 2019; Plattner et al., 2020), EPIC (Racle et al., 2017), ESTIMATE (Yoshihara et al., 2013), MCPcounter (Shi et al., 2020), single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) (Yi et al., 2020) and TIMER (Li et al., 2017), in an attempt to comprehensively analyze the immune differences between high-risk and low-risk groups of the TCGA cohort.

### Differential expression of immune checkpoint genes (ICGs) and MHC molecules in high-/low-risk groups

It is currently acknowledged that ICGs are a promising treatment option for COAD (Emambux et al., 2018). It was decided to evaluate the differences in the levels of expression of selected ICGs and MHC molecules in high-risk and low-risk groups to provide guidance for the selection of treatment that may be most appropriate for each individual.

# Tumor-related scores and tumor stemness indices (TSIs) analysis

Several tumor-related scores of each tumor samples were calculated by ssGSEA algorithm, including tumourigenic cytokines, EMT, angiogenic activity and stemness scores.

There was reported to be a correlation between TSIs and active biological processes in stem cells as well as a greater degree of tumor dedifferentiation based on the results of a previous study (Malta et al., 2018), including mRNAsi, EREG-mRNAsi, mDNAsi, EREGmDNAsi, and ENHsi. An oxidative stress-related gene list was obtained from the GeneCards database, and relevance scores of genes ranking top 60 were used for screening Supplementary Material S6.

# Somatic mutation analysis

According to the somatic mutation data from the TCGA, we analyzed the data for each COAD patient using VarScan platform (Koboldt et al., 2012) and "maftools" R package. Our next step was



Landscape of genetic and expression variation of NRGs in COAD. (A) The differential expression of NRGs in COAD and normal colon tissues, Tumour, red; Normal, blue. (B, C) The mutation frequency and classification of NRGs in COAD. (D) The location of CNV alteration of NRGs on 23 chromosomes in the COAD cohort. (E) The CNV variation frequency of differentially expressed NRGs in the COAD cohort. The height of the column represented the alteration frequency.\*p < 0.05,\*\*p < 0.01,\*\*\*p < 0.001, NRG necroptosis-related gene, COAD colon adenocarcinoma, SNP single nucleotide polymorphism, INS insertion, DEL deletion.

to calculate the tumor mutation burden (TMB) of each patient and to compare the correlation between TMB and NRLPS risk groups. Additionally, a survival analysis was conducted based on the TMB score. Aside from that, the cBioPortal database was used to display somatic mutations of the selected genes within NRLPS.

# Differences in the effectiveness of chemotherapeutics and corresponding small molecule drugs

Based on the database called Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC; https://www.cancerrxgene.org/) database, chemotherapy response for COAD patients could be predicted. A drug's IC50 is determined by the dose, that is, required to result in 50% inhibition of cancer cells. To calculate the IC50 of drugs, the R package "pRRophetic" was used, followed by Wilcoxon signed rank comparisons of different IC50 values between high-risk and low-risk groups of NRLPS. A cutoff value of p < 0.05 was determined significant. The 3D structures of these drugs were obtained from the PubChem database.

# Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the R software (version 3.6.3), Perl software (version 5.30 https://strawberryperl.com/). To determine related genes and their prognostic value, univariate Cox



regression analysis was performed. The Kaplan-Meier method was utilized to generate the survival curves, and the log-rank test was used for comparison. We compared the results between groups using log-rank tests. Based on Spearman's correlation analysis, the association between the prognostic signature and immune score was identified. m7G regulator expression levels were compared between COAD tissues and normal tissues using one-way analysis of variance. For each statistical analysis, a p < 0.05 represents a statistically significant difference.

# Results

# Identification of NRGs and their genetic variation landscape analysis

A flow diagram depicting the main steps of this study can be found in Graphical Abstract 1.

Using the TCGA-COAD dataset, we first examined whether the NRGs are differentially expressed between COAD and normal colon tissues to explore their potential significance in carcinogenesis (FDR <0.05 and |logFC| >2). Figure 1A shows the identification of 60 Differential Expressed Genes (DEGs) (29 upregulated and 31 downregulated). To be specific, the expression of HSP90AB1, TNFRSF10B, PGAM5, H2AFY, PPIA, MLKL, H2AFZ, BID, TNFRSF10A, RBCK1, TRAF5, H2AFX, TRAF2, HSP90AA1, HMGB1, PARP1, VDAC1, CHMP4C, BAX, SHARPIN, TYK2, EIF2AK2, H2AFV, DNM1L, SQSTM1, H2AFY2, AIFM1, SLC25A6, and IL33 were increased, while the expression of TLR3, SMPD1, TNFSF10, CAPN2, CHMP1B, BCL2, VPS4B, TNFRSF1A, RIPK3, PYGM, RIPK1, CHMP6, CAMK2G, FAS, CAMK2D, FTH1, SLC25A4, CHMP3, CHMP2B, CHMP2A, PLA2G4F, VDAC2, TICAM1, HIST1H2AC, CHMP7, CHMP5, JAK1, IFNGR2, GLUD1, STAT3, and IFNGR1 were decreased comparing COAD with normal tissues. Following that, a comprehensive summary of CNVs and somatic mutations of the NRGs in COAD was then provided. A genetic mutation was detected in 117 of 427 (27.4%) COAD samples (Figures 1B, C), As far as variant classifications are concerned, missense mutations topped the list, while Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) represented the most common types. C > T was rated as the most common Single Nucleotide Variants (SNV) classification. The most frequently mutated gene, among the NRGs, was NLRP3, followed by TRPM7, STAT5B and PYGB (Figure 1C). A representation of the location of CNV alterations on chromosomes of these NRGs can be found in Figure 1D. Furthermore, differentially expressed NRGs had prominent CNV alterations, as revealed by Figure 1E. The next step is to explore the mechanisms and pathways by which NRGs are involved in the emergence and development of COAD. We conducted GO analysis of upregulated NRGs and downregulated NRGs separately (Figures 2A, B). It is important to note that these contents have important implications for the mechanism research of differential expression of NRGs in COAD.

# Identification of NRLs with significant prognostic value in COAD

Previous studies have demonstrated the importance of NRGs in the pathogenesis of COAD, but we also would like to investigate the potential value of NRLs in COAD.

As a starting point, we retrieved 13,413 lncRNAs from TCGA-COAD cohort. Afterwards, with a threshold of *p*-value <0.001 and correlation coefficient  $|\mathbf{R}| > 0.3$  by the Pearson correlation analysis, we identified 1127 NRLs. A preliminary screening was also conducted using a univariate cox analysis, which identified 61 NRLs which were related to OS (p < 0.05), among which, 30 NRLs were subsequently screened out with LASSO regression analysis that was tenfold cross-validated (Figures 3A, B). We



therefore conducted a multivariate Cox regression analysis and developed an NRLPS that contains 15 NRLs that can be used together to predict a patient's outcome (Figure 3C). The forest plots revealed that all lncRNAs showed a considerable association with risk (HR > 1) with the exception of PINK1-AS and AC073895.3 (HR < 1). Besides, a co-expression network of lncRNAs and mRNAs was also visualized using Cytoscape software (Figure 3D). To illustrate whether these NRLs are protective or risk factors, we also drew a Sankey diagram using the R package "ggalluvial" (Figure 3E). Among these, LncRNA AC073896.3 had co-expression relationship with 24 NRGs (BAX, BIRC2, CAPN1, CASP8, CFLAR, CHMP1A, CHMP2A, CHMP6, CYBB, CYLD, DNM1L, EIF2AK2, FADD, IFNAR1, JAK2, MAPK8, MAPK9,

SHARPIN, SPATA2L, STAT4, TRAF5, TRPM7 XIAP and TEAD1), SNHG16 was co-expressed with 23 necroptosis-related genes (BIRC2, BIRC3, CAMK2D, CAPN1, CASP8, CFLAR, CHMP1A, CHMP2A, CHMP4B, CYLD, DNM1L, EIF2AK2, JAK2, MAPK8, MAPK9, SPATA2L, STAT4, TICAM1, TNFRSF1A, TRADD, TRPM7, XIAP, and TEAD1) and so on.

# Verifications of NRLs expression in clinical COAD samples and cell lines

The expression of each NRL in the NRLPS was analyzed in COAD, and the following step was to validate our findings by



using qRT-PCR in COAD tissues and cell lines (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1). Results showed that CD27-AS1, SNHG16, ELFN1-AS1, LINC01011, LINC02474, SNHG16, AP001505.1, and AC068580.3 expressions were significantly higher, while PINK-AS1, LINC02381, AC015726.1, and AL450326.1 were significantly lower in the COAD tissues than in the normal tissues. Moreover, for each lncRNA, at least one COAD cell line further supported this conclusion. Interestingly, the expression level of CD27-AS1-2, PINK-AS1, SNHG16, and LINC0238 showed completely the same tendency in tissue samples and different cell lines. However, exceptions existed. AC073896.3 was lowly expressed in COAD tissues which was contrary to the cell lines expression.

#### Prognostic value of NRLPS risk score

In order to determine the high-risk and low-risk groups of patients with COAD, we divided them according to their median risk score. In Figure 5A, the heatmap illustrates how 15 NRLs show different expressions depending on the subgroups. People with high risk scores had a higher mortality rate and a worse prognosis, according to a distribution of risk scores and survival status (Figure 5B, C). As a result of evaluating Kaplan-Meier survival curves, the prognoses of different risk subgroups were also evaluated; those in high-risk groups had a shorter OS than those in low-risk groups, as shown in Figure 5D (p < 0.001). We

generated ROC curves for 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year for our risk signatures and calculated AUC values, which are 0.772, 0.829, and 0.833, respectively (Figure 5E), demonstrating our score's reliability and sensitivity over the long term.

# NRLPS verification and nomogram construction

We performed univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses to determine if NRLPS was an independent predictor of survival. A univariate cox regression analysis revealed the following results: HR = 1.036 and 95% CI: 1.027-1.045 (p <0.001). The results of the multivariate Cox regression analysis were as follows: HR = 1.036 and 95% CI: 1.025–1.046 (*p* < 0.001), suggesting that the NRLPS risk score plays an important role in determining prognosis, regardless of age, gender and TNM stage (Figures 6A, B). Additionally, we examined whether the risk score has a better prognostic significance compared to other clinicopathological factors shown in Figure 6C. We also developed a nomogram based on the risk score for possible clinical use in predicting the prognosis of patients (Figure 6D). Further, the calibration curves in Figures 6E-G were used to validate the accuracy of the nomogram model by comparing predictions with actual survival rates of COAD patients. Overall, these results demonstrated that our risk signature was capable of indicating a high level of reliability and sensitivity.



The analysis of NRLPS fix patients with WAD. (A) Heatmap of NRI-s expression in risk subgroup. (B) The survival time of the patients. (C) The risk score between two risk gmups. (D) The KM survival curve of NMI'S risk store. (E) The art. ds under the ROC curve about I-y = 0-year and 5-year.

# NRLPS and clinical features of COAD patients

As a further assessment of NRLPS' role in development of COAD, we correlated it with clinicopathological factors. A highrisk score reveals a significantly worse prognosis when compared with a low-risk score (Figure 6H, p < 0.001). As shown in Figures 6I–K, there were significant correlations between the risk score and tumor stage (p < 0.001), tumor size (p < 0.001) and lymph node metastasis (p < 0.001). In Figure 7, survival analysis of clinical stratification analysis in regard to our signature was performed, including age, gender, grade and TNM stage. These results indicate that NRLPS risk score is closely associated with COAD progression and may serve as a reliable tool for predicting COAD survival.

### Differences in NRLPS between high-risk and low-risk groups as well as functional enrichment analysis

In Figures 8A–D, PCA was used to determine whether there is a difference in necroptosis distribution across the genome-wide expression profile, lncRNAs, NRGs, and NRLPS. In comparison to the other 3 methods, NRLPS allowed for a more obvious division of patients into low- and high-risk groups.

The differentially expressed genes between high-risk and low-risk groups were analyzed to determine the physiological functions and signal transduction pathways associated with the NRLPS in COAD. The majority of enriched GO terms in Figure 8E were related to "\_NEGATIVE\_REGULATION\_OF\_SMOOTHENED\_SIGNALING\_PATHWAY", "POSITIVE\_REGULATION\_OF\_PROTEIN\_DEACE



Calibration curves showing nomogram predictions for 1-year (E), 3-year (F), and 5-year (G) survival. NRLPS was associated with the clinical features of patients with COAD: survival outcome [(H), p < 0.001]; (N) [(I), p < 0.001]; (T) [(J), p < 0.001]; K stage [(K), p < 0.001]. T, tumor size; N, regional lymph node metastasis.

TYLATION", "REGULATION\_OF\_TUMOR\_NECROSIS\_FACTOR\_ MEDIATED\_SIGNALING\_PATHWAY", "INTRAMOLECULAR\_T RANSFERASE\_ACTIVITY" and "PRENYLTRANSFERASE\_ACTIVI TY" (Figure 7A). In addition, the analysis of KEGG pathways in Figure 8F was mainly focused on "BUTANOATE\_METABOLISM", "CITRATE\_CYCLE\_TCA\_CYCLE", "GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID\_M ETABOLISM", "HEDGEHOG\_SIGNALING\_PATHWAY" and "NOT CH\_SIGNALING\_PATHWAY" (Figure 7B). In addition, we sought to determine whether tumor development is associated with angiogenic activity, EMT, tumorigenic cytokines, and stemness scores in COAD patients. In Figure 8G, it is shown that the high-risk group had higher EMT and stemness scores. In Figure 8H, the correlation between the risk score and four indices is shown with R = 0.12 and p = 0.018 suggesting a positive association between the risk score and the mesenchymal EMT score. In addition, the high-risk group had lower TSIs, such as



(E) The art. ds under the ROC curve about I-y = 0-year and 5-year.

mRNAsi, EREG-mRNAsi, mDNAsi, EREG-mDNAsi and ENHsi (Figure 8I). Equally important, we found our risk score showed a significant correlation with oxidative stress genes in Supplementary Figure S2.

## Immunity and gene expression

The tumor microenvironment has previously been implicated in tumor development (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). As shown in the heatmap of in Figure 9, we further explored the immune landscape of COAD patients using eight types of algorithms to further understand the relationship between NRLs and tumor immunity. A TIMER database, for example, showed significant correlations between immune cells in different risk groups, including B cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells.

TNFRSF14, TNFRSF4, TNFRSF25, LAIR1 CD40 and CD200R1 were significantly higher expressed in the high-risk group than in the low-risk group using boxplots to visualize differentially expressed ICGs in different risk subgroups (p < 0.001). The remaining ICIs such asVTCN1, ADORA2A, TNFRSF9, CD44, and CD27 also had statistically significant differences (Figure 10A). In addition, MHC molecules were mostly detected in the high-risk group and their expression was significantly increased (Figure 10B). The results of these studies



PCA illustrations based on the whole-genome (A), all lncRNAs (B), NRLs (C) and NRLPS risk score (D). GSEA results showing differen-tial enrichment of genes in GO (E) and KEGG (F) with NRLPS risk score. (G) Differences of angiogenic activity, mesenchymal-EMT, tumourigenic cytokines and sternness scores between the high- and low-risk groups. (H) The correlation of the risk score and angiogenic activity, mesenchy-mal-EMT, tumourigenic cytokines and sternness scores. (I) Differences of TSIs between the two groups. (\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*p < 0.001; ns, not significant).

showed that the risk model was reliable for predicting how COAD patients would respond to corresponding ICIs.

# Comparison of somatic mutation and TMB in NRLPS

We downloaded nucleotide variation data from TCGA in order to see if there are any differences in mutations between high-risk and low-risk groups. As shown in Figures 10C, D, the information concerning mutated genes is presented in terms of the variant classification, variant type, and single nucleotide variant (SNV) class. It is shown in the waterfall plot that the top twenty genes with the highest mutation frequency in high- and low-risk groups are represented by mutational landscape in Figure 10C and Figure 10D. APC (72%), TP53 (65%), TTN (44%), KRAS (41%), and PIK3CA (26%), were the most common mutated genes in the 189 samples (97.42%) of the high-risk group, while APC (72%), TP53 (41%), TTN (50%), KRAS (47%) and PIK3CA (34%) were the top 5 mutations in the low-risk group (Figure 10E; Figure 10F).

There was also evidence of somatic mutation interactions. Most genes showed cooccurrence of mutations, and TP53-KMT2D and TP53-ZFHX4 mutations were found in the high-risk and low-risk group to be mutually exclusive, respectively (Figure 10G; Figure 10H). In addition to comparing TMB between the two groups, significant differences were found in survival time (p = 0.0044) between the groups with high-TMB and low-TMB. The prognosis of patients in the high-risk group with a high TMB was significantly worse compared to those in the low-risk group with a low TMB when combined with our NRLPS model (Figures 10I–K).



#### FIGURE 9

The heatmap of immune landscape among high risk and low risk groups based on NRLPS by using the CIBERSORT, CIBERSORT-ABS, ESTIMATE, MCPcounter, ssGSEA, QUANTISEQ, EPIC and TIMER algorithms. Adjusted p values were showed as: \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

#### Chemosensitivity determined in COAD patients using risk scores

As of now, chemotherapy drugs continue to be the primary treatment for COAD. Chemoresistance, however, is a major factor contributing to COAD patients' poor prognoses.

We investigated the sensitivity of COAD patients to common chemotherapy drugs using GDSC project in both high- and low-risk groups (p = 0.0017 for Cytarabine, p = 0.0082 for Dasatinib, p =0.021 for Imatinib, p = 0.0028 for Nilotinib, p = 0.011 for Pazopanib, p =0.0034 for PLX4720, *p* = 0.00024 for JNK. Inhibitor.VIII, *p* = 0.0032 for Parthenolide, p = 0.008 for Metformin, p = 0.0033 for Lenalidomide, p =0.015 for GDC.0449, p = 0.00082 for CMK). The low-risk group exhibited increased IC50 values for Dasatinib, Imatinib, Nilotinib, Pazopanib, PLX4720, Lenalidomide, GDC.0449 and CMK, indicating that high-risk patients may benefit from these chemotherapy agents. Interestingly, the low-risk group exhibited decreased IC50 values for Cytarabine, JNK. Inhibitor.VIII, Parthenolide and Metformin, indicating that low-risk patients may benefit from these chemotherapy agents. Overall, these results showed that NRLPS was related to drug sensitivity. The 3D structures of these drugs were displayed through the PubChem database (Figures 11A–L).

# Discussion

It is estimated that COAD is the most common type of colorectal cancer in China, and its incidence is steadily increasing each year, resulting in increased economic and social costs (Shi et al., 2021). And as a result of COAD's adverse prognosis, it is crucial that we uncover new, better and more accurate predictive markers as well as improve the prognosis (Taieb et al., 2019). A number of studies have



#### FIGURE 10

Immune checkpoint genes expression level (A), MHC molecules expression level (B) between the high- and low-risk groups. (\*p < 0.05; "p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; ns, not significant). Distribution of mutation types between the high-risk (C) and low-risk groups (D). Waterfall maps of the somatic mutations in the high-risk group (E) and the low-risk group (F). Genecloud and heatmap of co-occurrence and mutually exclusive mutations of the differently mutated genes in the high-risk group (G) and the low-risk group (H). sp < 0.01. (I) Comparison of TMB between the high- and low-risk groups. (J) Difference in overall survival between high TMB and low TMB groups. (K) Difference in overall survival based on TMB and risk score.



shown that the onset of necroptosis induces antitumor immunity and has a significant influence on tumor progression and metastasis (Gong et al., 2019). Likewise, lncRNAs have been implicated in COAD metastasis, EMT, formation of stemness and chemoresistance (Wang et al., 2019). The roles of COAD's NRLs as potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets, however, have not been extensively studied.

In our study, the first step was to identify NRGs based on the KEGG database and perform a differential expression analysis of COAD samples in comparison to normal samples, demonstrating that 60 NRGs showed significant differences in expression levels between the two groups (upregulation of 29 NRGs; downregulation of 31 NRGs). Afterwards, we described the expression landscape of NRGs in COAD by documenting genetic mutations of NRGs and the localizations of NRGs CNV alterations. In light of the importance of lncRNAs in COAD, 1127 lncRNAs related to necroptosis were obtained for our follow-up study. Hence, we

identified 15 NRLs with prognostic value for COAD through univariate COX regression, LASSO analysis, and multivariate COX regression analysis, based on which our risk model was constructed. Furthermore, we validated the expression of these lncRNAs in multiple cell lines and tissue samples. Reviewing the literature, we discovered that some of these 15 NRLs that construct our risk models have also been reported elsewhere. For example, in a signature of ferroptosis-related lncRNAs, LINC02381, ELFN1-AS1, LINC01011, AL450326.1, and AL161729.4 contributed to COAD carcinogenesis and correlated closely with ICGs expression (Chen et al., 2022). A study by Du et al. (2020) found that ELFN1-AS levels are significantly elevated in COAD, which increases invasiveness and prevents tumor cells from apoptosis by affecting miR-191-5p/ SATB1 axis. Also, ELFN1-AS1 partially suppresses MEIS1 in CRC by suppressing epigenetic activity of EZH2-DNMT3a, which promotes resistance to chemotherapy (Li et al., 2022). As Christensen et al. reported, there was an upregulation of the

SNHG16 gene expression in CRCs which was mediated by Wnt signaling (Christensen et al., 2016). As an activator of the Hedgehog pathway via miR-802/PTCH1, SNHG16 is also upregulated in cancer stem cells (CSCs) (Zhang et al., 2022). And SNHG16 can boost CRC epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and promote liver metastasis through YAP1 (Xiang et al., 2022). It was discovered by Du et al. (2021) that LINC02474 inhibited CTLs and NKs expression of granzyme B in CRC tumor microenvironment, promoting tumor invasion and metastasis. Our findings further support the idea that our selected lncRNAs play a significant role in the development of COAD, which strengthens the credibility of the predictions and mechanistic explorations we make in this study.

A median risk score of COAD patients was subsequently calculated, and patients were then classified into high-risk and low-risk groups. There were significant differences between the groups according to the Kaplan-Meier curves, with the high-risk group having a worse survival prognosis and COAD patients having short survival times and more deaths with increasing risk scores. Additionally, its AUC values increased over time at 1, 3, and 5 years. Taking the clinical characteristics into account, the total AUC value was 0.783, which is comparable to the TNM stage. In contrast, COX multivariate analysis did not show an independent prognostic significance for TNM stage with a p-value greater than 0.05. To further assess the prognosis of patients, we drew an additional nomogram of NRLPS and clinicopathological characteristics. Interestingly, we found that the predictive plots for 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival were closer to the true curve, showing the potential clinical value of our risk score on a clinical level. The risk score also displayed high predictive capability in assessing prognosis for clinicopathological subgroups based on clinical characteristics such as patient age, gender, and TNM stage. Overall, the risk score signature based on 15 NRLs has proven to be a reliable and highly useful prognostic factor for COAD, and has displayed excellent performance, which is of clinical significance.

We then analyzed necroptosis-related signaling pathways within high- and low-risk COAD groups by applying GSEA, and then filtered through the five pathways with the highest upregulations and lowest downregulations. Consistent with our analysis, Notch signaling pathway expression was upregulated in COAD. There is evidence that Notch signaling is involved in regulating heterotypic interactions between stroma and tumors in the tumor microenvironment. Multiple aspects of tumor biology have been demonstrated to be mediated by this signaling pathway, including angiogenesis, the maintenance of CSCs, immune infiltration, and the response to chemotherapy (Meurette and Mehlen, 2018; Jackstadt et al., 2019). It has been discovered that epithelial NOTCH1 signaling is a prognosis-damaging subtype of CRC, and that it is capable of driving tumor cell metastasis through TGF β-dependent migration of neutrophils (Jackstadt et al., 2019). Further, our results indicate that Hedgehog and Smoothened (Smo) signaling pathways are upregulated in COAD, which has been regarded as a positive regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway, important for the survival of colon CSCs (Regan et al., 2017). COAD progression has been inhibited in some instances by targeting signaling pathways involved in CSC regeneration and

differentiation, including Notch, WNT, and Hedgehog (Ma et al., 2017).

Next, we examined the relationship between the risk score and stemness scores, EMT, tumor angiogenesis, which are believed to contribute to tumor progression and metastasis. Stemness scores and EMT have been found upregulated in high-risk group significantly. The proliferation of CSCs has been attributed to cancer metastasis, recurrence, and chemoresistance, and the presence of high CSC scores often indicates a poor prognosis for colon cancer (Patsalias and Kozovska, 2021). Besides, as mentioned above, NRL SNHG16 is believed to be relevant to the stemness of CRC. Moreover, NRGs are also associated with CRC stemness. For example, overexpression of RBCK1 in CRC cells enhances cell stemness and chemoresistance (Liu et al., 2019). HMGB1 is highly expressed in COAD tissues, and the overexpression of HMGB1 in glioblastoma is thought to promote self-renewal of glioma stem cells (Ye et al., 2022). While IL33 can dually target tumor cells and macrophages to promote stem cell production in colon cancer to drive tumor progression (Fang et al., 2017). EMT is a critical process for metastasis and progression in COAD, likewise, suggesting a very poor prognosis. However, tumor angiogenesis is not significantly different in the two groups. Additionally, tumor angiogenesis contributes to tumor metastasis and formation as well, which can lead to the development of CSCs as well (Catalano et al., 2013). Our hypothesis is that simple necrosis often occurs within solid tumors, since internal poor neovascularization and the deprivation of nutrients and oxygen (Yan et al., 2022). The high-risk group is more likely to experience tumor angiogenesis, which could lead to a poorer outcome. Unfortunately, there are no relevant studies that demonstrate the correlation between necroptosis and tumor angiogenesis, which needs to be investigated further in future studies.

It is generally believed that in malignant patients with high TMB, more antigens are induced that could enhance tumor immunogenicity, by which ICI response are more prevalent, leading to a better prognosis (Jardim et al., 2021). A recent study suggested that TMB may play a role in predicting the success of immunotherapy in some cases (McGrail et al., 2018). Accordingly, a possible synergistic role of necroptosis in patients with COAD can be posited where by necroptosis, a process that also increases tumor immunogenicity, acts in conjunction with TMB. Our study investigates TMB in both risk groups and discovers that TMB is lower in the high-risk group. The top five genes with the highest mutation frequency in the high-risk group were APC (72%), TP53 (65%), TTN (44%), KRAS (41%) and SYNE1 (28%). Of these, APC mutation is one of the earliest events in CRC initiation, and the major role of APC in CRC is thought to be related to its negative regulation of Wnt signaling pathway by targeting  $\beta$ -catenin degradation. CRC also shows high mutations of KRAS and TP53, which cooperate with APC mutation, to drive CRC progression and invasion (Caspi et al., 2021). Moreover, CRC patients with KRAS mutation often fail to respond to treatment with EGFR inhibitors (Parseghian et al., 2019). However, according to the results of the survival analysis, the overall survival of patients with high TMB is instead decreased, and the

inclusion of the risk score further reveals that the survival of the high-risk group is still worse. In contrast, the survival rate of patients with high TMB was higher than those with low TMB in the early years, while the survival rate plummeted in the following years. In fact, there is still considerable disagreement on whether TMB can be a reliable biomarker (McGrail et al., 2021). High TMB could mediate drug resistance, T-cell dysfunction, chromosomal instability and genetic heterogeneity (Valero et al., 2021). When considering the context of the immunotherapy received by the patient, higher TMB is not associated with a better prognosis in certain cancer patients who received non-ICI therapy, but rather suggests a worse prognosis (Valero et al., 2021).

fact that patients included in the cohort have the absence of

immunotherapy, and the development of drug resistance,

distant metastases, and other comorbidities, which still need

to be further investigated. An TME is a regulated environment where tumor cells grow in the presence of non-tumor cells and other immune-related elements (Xiao and Yu, 2021). There is a growing understanding that necroptosis is an immunogenic cell death (ICD) process which enhances the immunogenicity of tumors (Aaes and Vandenabeele, 2021). When necroptosis is activated in the TME, immunostimulatory cytokines are produced, which facilitate the infiltration of immune cells that are responsible for the anti-cancer effect (Park et al., 2021). A comprehensive immune cell infiltration analysis of two risk groups was then conducted using ESTIMATE, XCELL, TIMER, CIBERSORT, CIBERSORT-ABS, QUANTISEQ, EPIC, and MCPcounter algorithms to explore COAD immune susceptibility from "cold" to "hot" therapeutic targets. According to TIMER results, significant differences were found in the levels of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, dendritic cell DCs, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, B cells, macrophages and neutrophils between the two risk groups. Study demonstrated that TME undergoing necroptosis with the release of DAMPs (Krysko et al., 2012) and activation of NF-kB signaling (Snyder et al., 2019) can recruit and activate DCs, which are essential for cross-initiation and infiltration of CD8<sup>+</sup> effector T cells into the TME (Spranger et al., 2017), through the assistance of CD4<sup>+</sup> helper T cells, thus triggering a sustained cytotoxic antitumor immune response leading to colon cancer cell death (Minute et al., 2020). Van et al. validated that induction of necroptosis by intra-tumoral delivery of mRNA encoding MLKL to colon cancer models formation and distant inhibited tumor metastasis. mechanistically through rapid triggering of tumor antigenspecific CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses, which required Batf3-dependent cDC1 and DC migration (Van Hoecke et al., 2018). A significant difference was also observed between the risk groups in terms of the expression of ICGs. In the high-risk group, the expression of TNFSF9 was remarkably reduced. As a co-stimulatory ligand for the 4-1BB receptor, TNFSF9 promoted CD4 T cell and CD8 T cell activation, proliferation, and survival and was highly expressed in DCs maturation (Chester et al., 2018). There is currently a strong correlation between the lack of anti-tumor T-cell infiltration in some COAD patients and the ineffectiveness of immune checkpoint inhibitors-based immunotherapy (Lizardo et al., 2020). Necroptosis, however, provides a potential therapeutic strategy by increasing the immunogenicity of COAD and improving the efficacy of T-cell therapies, offering a foundation for guiding individualized immunotherapy.

Patients with intermediate to advanced COAD are currently treated primarily with chemotherapy (Taieb et al., 2019). Nevertheless, since mechanisms such as EMT, CSC, and hypoxia can induce multiple drug resistance (MDR) (Shibue and Weinberg, 2017), the use of our risk score is essential for detecting patients who are sensitive to chemotherapy drugs. Patients who fall into the high-risk group are more sensitive to chemotherapy agents such as JNK. Inhibitor.VIII, Lenalidomide, GDC.0449, and CMK. COAD with low or absent PD-L1 expression were induced to become apoptotic and chemoresistance was inhibited with JNK inhibitors (Sun et al., 2021). As a result of GDC.0449 acting on Smo, colon cancer cells were able to grow slower and undergo less EMT as a result of reducing Hedgehog pathway activity (Magistri et al., 2017). A major benefit of lentidomide is that it may normalize tumor vessels and improve hypoxia, thereby improving the efficacy of chemotherapy (Leuci et al., 2016). It has been suggested that the use of necroptosis-based chemotherapy could provide a new alternative to the conventional treatment of drug-resistant COADs (Dasgupta et al., 2016). In this regard, we further explored small-molecule inhibitor drugs targeting the possible action of NRLPS in COAD, including Dasatinib, Imatinib, Nilotinib, Pazopanib, PLX4720. Pazopanib could target RIPK1 to act as a cytostatic inhibitor of necroptosis (Fauster et al., 2015), while the c-Src inhibitor Dasatinib could enhance necroptosis in paclitaxel-treated lung adenocarcinoma cells (Diao et al., 2016). Therefore, it should be possible to predict the effectiveness of chemotherapy and help to tailor treatment for patients with COAD according to their individual characteristics with our risk model.

Despite the positive results of the study, some limitations remain. To begin with, the risk signature is derived from the TCGA public databases which, while comprehensive, do not yet include data from new clinical samples for further validation. Aside from that, the sample size is not large enough, and the qRT-PCR is only used to validate NRLs expression in tissue samples and cell lines. It will be necessary to perform further functional studies in order to gain a better understanding of the mechanistic pathways that underlie NRLs actions. Lastly, it is notable that despite this study's promising performance in predicting prognosis, as a retrospective study, it needs to be validated by a multicenter prospective clinical trial.

# Conclusion

The present study systematically describes an NRLPS constructed for COAD and discusses the potential functions and clinical indications. In addition, the model has also been shown to have independent prognostic value, as well as good sensitivity and reliability, which can help to predict the survival rate of COAD patients, as well as assist in elucidating immune landscape and the possible pathogenesis of COAD. Furthermore, the prediction model

also provides the ability to identify COAD patients who are likely to respond well to immunotherapy and chemotherapy as well. A deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms of this risk signature is necessary to facilitate individualized treatment of patients with COAD.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

### **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (No.202210231). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

SL and XW designed, wrote the article and performed experimental validations; YL helped in data analysis, construction of tables and figures. JX and JY supervised the whole process and helped in the critical revisions of this manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# References

Aaes, T. L., and Vandenabeele, P. (2021). The intrinsic immunogenic properties of cancer cell lines, immunogenic cell death, and how these influence host antitumor immune responses. *Cell Death Differ*. 28 (3), 843–860. doi:10.1038/s41418-020-00658-y

Arnold, M., Abnet, C. C., Neale, R. E., Vignat, J., Giovannucci, E. L., McGlynn, K. A., et al. (2020). Global burden of 5 major types of gastrointestinal cancer. *Gastroenterology* 159 (1), 335–349.e15. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.068

Benson, A. B., Venook, A. P., Al-Hawary, M. M., Arain, M. A., Chen, Y. J., Ciombor, K. K., et al. (2021). Colon cancer, version 2.2021, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *J. Natl. Compr. Canc Netw.* 19 (3), 329–359. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2021.0012

Bertheloot, D., Latz, E., and Franklin, B. S. (2021). Necroptosis, pyroptosis and apoptosis: An intricate game of cell death. *Cell Mol. Immunol.* 18 (5), 1106–1121. doi:10. 1038/s41423-020-00630-3

Bhan, A., and Mandal, S. S. (2014). Long noncoding RNAs: Emerging stars in gene regulation, epigenetics and human disease. *ChemMedChem* 9 (9), 1932–1956. doi:10. 1002/cmdc.201300534

Bolik, J., Krause, F., Stevanovic, M., Gandrass, M., Thomsen, I., Schacht, S. S., et al. (2022). Inhibition of ADAM17 impairs endothelial cell necroptosis and blocks metastasis. *J. Exp. Med.* 219 (1), e20201039. doi:10.1084/jem.20201039

Brenner, H., Kloor, M., and Pox, C. P. (2014). Colorectal cancer. Lancet 383 (9927), 1490–1502. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61649-9

Caspi, M., Wittenstein, A., Kazelnik, M., Shor-Nareznoy, Y., and Rosin-Arbesfeld, R. (2021). Therapeutic targeting of the oncogenic Wnt signaling pathway for treating colorectal cancer and other colonic disorders. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 169, 118–136. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2020.12.010

Catalano, V., Turdo, A., Di Franco, S., Dieli, F., Todaro, M., and Stassi, G. (2013). Tumor and its microenvironment: A synergistic interplay. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 23 (6), 522–532. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2013.08.007

Charoentong, P., Finotello, F., Angelova, M., Mayer, C., Efremova, M., Rieder, D., et al. (2017). Pan-cancer immunogenomic analyses reveal genotype-immunophenotype relationships and predictors of response to checkpoint blockade. *Cell Rep.* 18 (1), 248–262. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.019

# Funding

National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82000502), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2021M693572), Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (No. 2020JJ5941).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2023.1170640/ full#supplementary-material

Chen, S., and Shen, X. (2020). Long noncoding RNAs: Functions and mechanisms in colon cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 19 (1), 167. doi:10.1186/s12943-020-01287-2

Chen, W., Chen, Y., Liu, L., Wu, Y., Fu, P., Cao, Y., et al. (2022). Comprehensive analysis of immune infiltrates of ferroptosis-related long noncoding RNA and prediction of colon cancer patient prognoses. *J. Immunol. Res.* 2022, 9480628. doi:10.1155/2022/9480628

Chester, C., Sanmamed, M. F., Wang, J., and Melero, I. (2018). Immunotherapy targeting 4-1BB: Mechanistic rationale, clinical results, and future strategies. *Blood* 131 (1), 49–57. doi:10.1182/blood-2017-06-741041

Christensen, L. L., True, K., Hamilton, M. P., Nielsen, M. M., Damas, N. D., Damgaard, C. K., et al. (2016). SNHG16 is regulated by the Wnt pathway in colorectal cancer and affects genes involved in lipid metabolism. *Mol. Oncol.* 10 (8), 1266–1282. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2016.06.003

Cunningham, F., Achuthan, P., Akanni, W., Allen, J., Amode, M. R., Armean, I. M., et al. (2019). Ensembl 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1), D745–D751. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1113

Dasgupta, A., Nomura, M., Shuck, R., and Yustein, J. (2016). Cancer's achilles' heel: Apoptosis and necroptosis to the rescue. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18 (1), 23. doi:10.3390/ ijms18010023

Diao, Y., Ma, X., Min, W., Lin, S., Kang, H., Dai, Z., et al. (2016). Dasatinib promotes paclitaxel-induced necroptosis in lung adenocarcinoma with phosphorylated caspase-8 by c-Src. *Cancer Lett.* 379 (1), 12–23. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2016.05.003

Du, T., Gao, Q., Zhao, Y., Gao, J., Li, J., Wang, L., et al. (2021). Long non-coding RNA LINC02474 affects metastasis and apoptosis of colorectal cancer by inhibiting the expression of GZMB. *Front. Oncol.* 11, 651796. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.651796

Du, Y., Hou, Y., Shi, Y., Liu, J., and Li, T. (2020). Long non-coding RNA ELFN1-AS1 promoted colon cancer cell growth and migration via the miR-191-5p/special AT-rich sequence-binding protein 1 Axis. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 588360. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.588360

Emambux, S., Tachon, G., Junca, A., and Tougeron, D. (2018). Results and challenges of immune checkpoint inhibitors in colorectal cancer. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 18 (5), 561–573. doi:10.1080/14712598.2018.1445222

Fang, M., Li, Y., Huang, K., Qi, S., Zhang, J., Zgodzinski, W., et al. (2017). IL33 promotes colon cancer cell stemness via JNK activation and macrophage recruitment. *Cancer Res.* 77 (10), 2735–2745. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1602

Fauster, A., Rebsamen, M., Huber, K. V., Bigenzahn, J. W., Stukalov, A., Lardeau, C. H., et al. (2015). A cellular screen identifies ponatinib and pazopanib as inhibitors of necroptosis. *Cell Death Dis.* 6, e1767. doi:10.1038/cddis.2015.130

Finotello, F., Mayer, C., Plattner, C., Laschober, G., Rieder, D., Hackl, H., et al. (2019). Molecular and pharmacological modulators of the tumor immune contexture revealed by deconvolution of RNA-seq data. *Genome Med.* 11 (1), 34. doi:10.1186/s13073-019-0638-6

Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2010). Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. J. Stat. Softw. 33 (1), 1–22. doi:10. 18637/jss.v033.i01

Goldsberry, W. N., Londono, A., Randall, T. D., Norian, L. A., and Arend, R. C. (2019). A review of the role of Wnt in cancer immunomodulation. *Cancers (Basel)* 11 (6), 771. doi:10.3390/cancers11060771

Gong, Y., Fan, Z., Luo, G., Yang, C., Huang, Q., Fan, K., et al. (2019). The role of necroptosis in cancer biology and therapy. *Mol. Cancer* 18 (1), 100. doi:10.1186/s12943-019-1029-8

Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell 144 (5), 646–674. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

Hockendorf, U., Yabal, M., Herold, T., Munkhbaatar, E., Rott, S., Jilg, S., et al. (2016). RIPK3 restricts myeloid leukemogenesis by promoting cell death and differentiation of leukemia initiating cells. *Cancer Cell* 30 (1), 75–91. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.002

Hu, B., Gao, J., Shi, J., Zhang, F., Shi, C., Wen, P., et al. (2022). Necroptosis throws novel insights on patient classification and treatment strategies for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 970117. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.970117

Hu, B., Shi, D., Lv, X., Chen, S., Huang, Q., Xie, M., et al. (2018). Prognostic and clinicopathological significance of MLKL expression in cancer patients: A metaanalysis. *BMC Cancer* 18 (1), 736. doi:10.1186/s12885-018-4655-4

Jackstadt, R., van Hooff, S. R., Leach, J. D., Cortes-Lavaud, X., Lohuis, J. O., Ridgway, R. A., et al. (2019). Epithelial NOTCH signaling rewires the tumor microenvironment of colorectal cancer to drive poor-prognosis subtypes and metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 36 (3), 319–336.e7. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2019.08.003

Jardim, D. L., Goodman, A., de Melo Gagliato, D., and Kurzrock, R. (2021). The challenges of tumor mutational burden as an immunotherapy biomarker. *Cancer Cell* 39 (2), 154–173. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.10.001

Jiang, N., Zhang, X., Gu, X., Li, X., and Shang, L. (2021). Progress in understanding the role of lncRNA in programmed cell death. *Cell Death Discov.* 7 (1), 30. doi:10.1038/s41420-021-00407-1

Koboldt, D. C., Zhang, Q., Larson, D. E., Shen, D., McLellan, M. D., Lin, L., et al. (2012). VarScan 2: Somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res.* 22 (3), 568–576. doi:10.1101/gr.129684.111

Krysko, D. V., Garg, A. D., Kaczmarek, A., Krysko, O., Agostinis, P., and Vandenabeele, P. (2012). Immunogenic cell death and DAMPs in cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 12 (12), 860–875. doi:10.1038/nrc3380

Leuci, V., Maione, F., Rotolo, R., Giraudo, E., Sassi, F., Migliardi, G., et al. (2016). Lenalidomide normalizes tumor vessels in colorectal cancer improving chemotherapy activity. *J. Transl. Med.* 14 (1), 119. doi:10.1186/s12967-016-0872-2

Li, Q., Sun, H., Luo, D., Gan, L., Mo, S., Dai, W., et al. (2021). Lnc-RP11-536 K7.3/ SOX2/HIF-1a signaling axis regulates oxaliplatin resistance in patient-derived colorectal cancer organoids. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 40 (1), 348. doi:10.1186/ s13046-021-02143-x

Li, T., Fan, J., Wang, B., Traugh, N., Chen, Q., Liu, J. S., et al. (2017). TIMER: A web server for comprehensive analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *Cancer Res.* 77 (21), e108–e110. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0307

Li, Y., Gan, Y., Liu, J., Li, J., Zhou, Z., Tian, R., et al. (2022). Downregulation of MEIS1 mediated by ELFN1-AS1/EZH2/DNMT3a axis promotes tumorigenesis and oxaliplatin resistance in colorectal cancer. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 7 (1), 87. doi:10.1038/s41392-022-00902-6

Liu, M. L., Zang, F., and Zhang, S. J. (2019). RBCK1 contributes to chemoresistance and stemness in colorectal cancer (CRC). *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 118, 109250. doi:10. 1016/j.biopha.2019.109250

Liu, S. J., Dang, H. X., Lim, D. A., Feng, F. Y., and Maher, C. A. (2021). Long noncoding RNAs in cancer metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 21 (7), 446–460. doi:10.1038/ s41568-021-00353-1

Lizardo, D. Y., Kuang, C., Hao, S., Yu, J., Huang, Y., and Zhang, L. (2020). Immunotherapy efficacy on mismatch repair-deficient colorectal cancer: From bench to bedside. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 1874 (2), 188447. doi:10.1016/ j.bbcan.2020.188447

Lotfollahzadeh, S., Recio-Boiles, A., and Cagir, B. (2022). *Colon cancer*. Treasure Island FL: StatPearls.

Lu, L., Mullins, C. S., Schafmayer, C., Zeissig, S., and Linnebacher, M. (2021a). A global assessment of recent trends in gastrointestinal cancer and lifestyle-associated risk factors. *Cancer Commun. (Lond).* 41 (11), 1137–1151. doi:10.1002/cac2.12220

Lu, Z., Van Eeckhoutte, H. P., Liu, G., Nair, P. M., Jones, B., Gillis, C. M., et al. (2021b). Necroptosis signaling promotes inflammation, airway remodeling, and emphysema in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 204 (6), 667–681. doi:10.1164/rccm.202009-3442OC

Ma, T. K., McAdoo, S. P., and Tam, F. W. (2017). Targeting the tyrosine kinase signalling pathways for treatment of immune-mediated glomerulonephritis: From bench to bedside and beyond. *Nephrol. Dial. Transpl.* 32 (1), i129–i138. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfw336

Magistri, P., Battistelli, C., Strippoli, R., Petrucciani, N., Pellinen, T., Rossi, L., et al. (2017). SMO inhibition modulates cellular plasticity and invasiveness in colorectal cancer. *Front. Pharmacol.* 8, 956. doi:10.3389/fphar.2017.00956

Malta, T. M., Sokolov, A., Gentles, A. J., Burzykowski, T., Poisson, L., Weinstein, J. N., et al. (2018). Machine learning identifies stemness features associated with oncogenic dedifferentiation. *Cell* 173 (2), 338–354.e15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.034

Martinelli, E., Ciardiello, D., Martini, G., Troiani, T., Cardone, C., Vitiello, P. P., et al. (2020). Implementing anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: Challenges and future perspectives. *Ann. Oncol.* 31 (1), 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2019.10.007

McGrail, D. J., Federico, L., Li, Y., Dai, H., Lu, Y., Mills, G. B., et al. (2018). Multiomics analysis reveals neoantigen-independent immune cell infiltration in copynumber driven cancers. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 1317. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03730-x

McGrail, D. J., Pilie, P. G., Rashid, N. U., Voorwerk, L., Slagter, M., Kok, M., et al. (2021). High tumor mutation burden fails to predict immune checkpoint blockade response across all cancer types. *Ann. Oncol.* 32 (5), 661–672. doi:10.1016/j.annonc. 2021.02.006

Meurette, O., and Mehlen, P. (2018). Notch signaling in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Cell 34 (4), 536-548. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.07.009

Miller, K. D., Nogueira, L., Devasia, T., Mariotto, A. B., Yabroff, K. R., Jemal, A., et al. (2022). Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 72 (5), 409–436. doi:10.3322/caac.21731

Minute, L., Teijeira, A., Sanchez-Paulete, A. R., Ochoa, M. C., Alvarez, M., Otano, I., et al. (2020). Cellular cytotoxicity is a form of immunogenic cell death. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 8 (1), e000325. doi:10.1136/jitc-2019-000325

Mohammed, S., Thadathil, N., Selvarani, R., Nicklas, E. H., Wang, D., Miller, B. F., et al. (2021). Necroptosis contributes to chronic inflammation and fibrosis in aging liver. *Aging Cell* 20 (12), e13512. doi:10.1111/acel.13512

Montminy, E. M., Zhou, M., Maniscalco, L., Abualkhair, W., Kim, M. K., Siegel, R. L., et al. (2021). Contributions of adenocarcinoma and carcinoid tumors to early-onset colorectal cancer incidence rates in the United States. *Ann. Intern Med.* 174 (2), 157-166. doi:10.7326/M20-0068

Newman, A. M., Liu, C. L., Green, M. R., Gentles, A. J., Feng, W., Xu, Y., et al. (2015). Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. *Nat. Methods* 12 (5), 453–457. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3337

Park, H. H., Kim, H. R., Park, S. Y., Hwang, S. M., Hong, S. M., Park, S., et al. (2021). RIPK3 activation induces TRIM28 derepression in cancer cells and enhances the antitumor microenvironment. *Mol. Cancer* 20 (1), 107. doi:10.1186/s12943-021-01399-3

Parseghian, C. M., Napolitano, S., Loree, J. M., and Kopetz, S. (2019). Mechanisms of innate and acquired resistance to anti-EGFR therapy: A review of current knowledge with a focus on rechallenge therapies. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25 (23), 6899–6908. doi:10.1158/ 1078-0432.CCR-19-0823

Patsalias, A., and Kozovska, Z. (2021). Personalized medicine: Stem cells in colorectal cancer treatment. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 141, 111821. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111821

Plattner, C., Finotello, F., and Rieder, D. (2020). Deconvoluting tumor-infiltrating immune cells from RNA-seq data using quanTIseq. *Methods Enzymol.* 636, 261–285. doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2019.05.056

Qin, X., Ma, D., Tan, Y. X., Wang, H. Y., Cai, Z., Dong, H. L., et al. (2019). The role of necroptosis in cancer: A double-edged sword? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 1871 (2), 259–265. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.04.147

Racle, J., de Jonge, K., Baumgaertner, P., Speiser, D. E., and Gfeller, D. (2017). Simultaneous enumeration of cancer and immune cell types from bulk tumor gene expression data. *Elife* 6, e26476. doi:10.7554/eLife.26476

Regan, J. L., Schumacher, D., Staudte, S., Steffen, A., Haybaeck, J., Keilholz, U., et al. (2017). Non-canonical Hedgehog signaling is a positive regulator of the WNT pathway and is required for the survival of colon cancer stem cells. *Cell Rep.* 21 (10), 2813–2828. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.11.025

Rosenbaum, S. R., Wilski, N. A., and Aplin, A. E. (2021). Fueling the fire: Inflammatory forms of cell death and implications for cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Discov.* 11 (2), 266–281. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0805

Shi, J., Jiang, D., Yang, S., Zhang, X., Wang, J., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). LPAR1, correlated with immune infiltrates, is a potential prognostic biomarker in prostate cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 846. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.00846

Shi, J. F., Wang, L., Ran, J. C., Wang, H., Liu, C. C., Zhang, H. Z., et al. (2021). Clinical characteristics, medical service utilization, and expenditure for colorectal cancer in China, 2005 to 2014: Overall design and results from a multicenter retrospective epidemiologic survey. *Cancer* 127 (11), 1880–1893. doi:10.1002/cncr.33445

Shibue, T., and Weinberg, R. A. (2017). EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: The mechanistic link and clinical implications. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 14 (10), 611–629. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.44

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., Goding Sauer, A., Fedewa, S. A., Butterly, L. F., Anderson, J. C., et al. (2020). Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 70 (3), 145–164. doi:10.3322/caac.21601

Snyder, A. G., Hubbard, N. W., Messmer, M. N., Kofman, S. B., Hagan, C. E., Orozco, S. L., et al. (2019). Intratumoral activation of the necroptotic pathway components RIPK1 and RIPK3 potentiates antitumor immunity. *Sci. Immunol.* 4 (36), eaaw2004. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aaw2004

Spranger, S., Dai, D., Horton, B., and Gajewski, T. F. (2017). Tumor-residing Batf3 dendritic cells are required for effector T cell trafficking and adoptive T cell therapy. *Cancer Cell* 31 (5), 711–723.e4. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2017.04.003

Statello, L., Guo, C. J., Chen, L. L., and Huarte, M. (2021). Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 22 (2), 96–118. doi:10.1038/s41580-020-00315-9

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., et al. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (43), 15545–15550. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102

Sun, L., Patai, A. V., Hogenson, T. L., Fernandez-Zapico, M. E., Qin, B., and Sinicrope, F. A. (2021). Irreversible JNK blockade overcomes PD-L1-mediated resistance to chemotherapy in colorectal cancer. *Oncogene* 40 (32), 5105–5115. doi:10.1038/ s41388-021-01910-6

Sun, Z., Ou, C., Liu, J., Chen, C., Zhou, Q., Yang, S., et al. (2019). YAP1-induced MALAT1 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and angiogenesis by sponging miR-126-5p in colorectal cancer. *Oncogene* 38 (14), 2627–2644. doi:10.1038/s41388-018-0628-v

Taieb, J., Andre, T., and Auclin, E. (2019). Refining adjuvant therapy for nonmetastatic colon cancer, new standards and perspectives. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 75, 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2019.02.002

Tamminga, M., Hiltermann, T. J. N., Schuuring, E., Timens, W., Fehrmann, R. S., and Groen, H. J. (2020). Immune microenvironment composition in non-small cell lung cancer and its association with survival. *Clin. Transl. Immunol.* 9 (6), e1142. doi:10. 1002/cti2.1142

Tang, R., Xu, J., Zhang, B., Liu, J., Liang, C., Hua, J., et al. (2020). Ferroptosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis in anticancer immunity. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 13 (1), 110. doi:10.1186/s13045-020-00946-7

Valero, C., Lee, M., Hoen, D., Wang, J., Nadeem, Z., Patel, N., et al. (2021). The association between tumor mutational burden and prognosis is dependent on treatment context. *Nat. Genet.* 53 (1), 11–15. doi:10.1038/s41588-020-00752-4

Van Hoecke, L., Van Lint, S., Roose, K., Van Parys, A., Vandenabeele, P., Grooten, J., et al. (2018). Treatment with mRNA coding for the necroptosis mediator MLKL induces

antitumor immunity directed against neo-epitopes. Nat. Commun. 9 (1), 3417. doi:10. 1038/s41467-018-05979-8

Wang, L., Cho, K. B., Li, Y., Tao, G., Xie, Z., and Guo, B. (2019). Long noncoding RNA (lncRNA)-Mediated competing endogenous RNA networks provide novel potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for colorectal cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (22), 5758. doi:10.3390/ijms20225758

Wang, X., Li, L., Zhao, K., Lin, Q., Li, H., Xue, X., et al. (2020). A novel LncRNA HITT forms a regulatory loop with HIF-1 $\alpha$  to modulate angiogenesis and tumor growth. *Cell Death Differ.* 27 (4), 1431–1446. doi:10.1038/s41418-019-0449-8

Xiang, Z., Huang, G., Wu, H., He, Q., Yang, C., Dou, R., et al. (2022). SNHG16 upregulation-induced positive feedback loop with YAP1/TEAD1 complex in Colorectal Cancer cell lines facilitates liver metastasis of colorectal cancer by modulating CTCs epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 18 (14), 5291–5308. doi:10.7150/ijbs.73438

Xiao, Y., and Yu, D. (2021). Tumor microenvironment as a therapeutic target in cancer. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 221, 107753. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107753

Yan, J., Wan, P., Choksi, S., and Liu, Z. G. (2022). Necroptosis and tumor progression. *Trends Cancer* 8 (1), 21–27. doi:10.1016/j.trecan.2021.09.003

Yang, Y., Yan, X., Li, X., Ma, Y., and Goel, A. (2021). Long non-coding RNAs in colorectal cancer: Novel oncogenic mechanisms and promising clinical applications. *Cancer Lett.* 504, 67–80. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2021.01.009

Yao, R. W., Wang, Y., and Chen, L. L. (2019). Cellular functions of long noncoding RNAs. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 21 (5), 542–551. doi:10.1038/s41556-019-0311-8

Yatim, N., Jusforgues-Saklani, H., Orozco, S., Schulz, O., Barreira da Silva, R., Reis e Sousa, C., et al. (2015). RIPK1 and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling in dying cells determines cross-priming of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. *Science* 350 (6258), 328–334. doi:10.1126/science.aad0395

Ye, C., Li, H., Li, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, G., Mi, H., et al. (2022). Hypoxia-induced HMGB1 promotes glioma stem cells self-renewal and tumorigenicity via RAGE. *iScience* 25 (9), 104872. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2022.104872

Yi, M., Nissley, D. V., McCormick, F., and Stephens, R. M. (2020). ssGSEA scorebased Ras dependency indexes derived from gene expression data reveal potential Ras addiction mechanisms with possible clinical implications. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1), 10258. doi:10. 1038/s41598-020-66986-8

Yoshihara, K., Shahmoradgoli, M., Martinez, E., Vegesna, R., Kim, H., Torres-Garcia, W., et al. (2013). Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2612. doi:10.1038/ncomms3612

Yuan, J., Amin, P., and Ofengeim, D. (2019). Necroptosis and RIPK1-mediated neuroinflammation in CNS diseases. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 20 (1), 19–33. doi:10.1038/ s41583-018-0093-1

Zhang, L., Liang, H., Zhang, J., Yang, Y., Ling, X., and Jiang, H. (2022). Long noncoding RNA SNHG16 facilitates esophageal cancer cell proliferation and self-renewal through the microRNA-802/PTCH1 Axis. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 29 (39), 6084–6099. doi:10.2174/0929867329666220510090418

# Glossary

IncRNAs	Long noncoding RNAs	ICGs	Immune checkpoint genes
NRLs	Necroptosis-related long noncoding RNAs	ТМВ	Tumor mutation burden
COAD	Colon adenocarcinoma	GDSC	Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer
EMT	Epithelial-mesenchymal transition	DEGs	Differential Expressed Genes
NRLPS	NRL prognostic signature	SNP	Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms
TSIs	Tumor stemness indices	SNV	Single Nucleotide Variants
ICGs	Immune checkpoint genes	IC50	Half maximal inhibitory concentration
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes	CSCs	Cancer stem cells
LASSO	Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator	ICD	Immunogenic cell death
qRT-PCR	Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction	MDR	Multiple drug resistance
ICGs	Immune checkpoint genes	Smo	Smoothened
GSEA	Gene Set Enrichment Analysis		
CRC	Colorectal cancer		
EOCRC	Early-onset colorectal cancer		
EGFR	Epidermal growth factor receptor		
PCD	Programmed cell death		
COPDC	Hronic obstructive pulmonary disease		
MLKL	Mixed-lineagekinasedomain-like protein		
RIPK3	Receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 3		
DAMPs	Damage-associated molecular patterns		
TME	Tumor microenvironment		
TILs	Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes		
ICIs	Immune checkpoint inhibitors		
TCGA	The Cancer Genome Atlas		
CNV	Copy number variation		
NRGs	Necroptosis-related genes		
GO	Gene Ontology		
BP	Biological processes		
CC	Cellular components		
MF	Molecular functions		
OS	Overall survival		
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic		
AUC	Area under the curve		
РСА	Principal component analysis		
GSEA	Gene Set Enrichment Analysis		
FDR	False discovery rate		
ssGSEA	Single-sample gene set enrichment analysis		