Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Prasenjit Saha, Planet 13 Holdings, Inc., United States

REVIEWED BY Elida R. Robinson, Cibus, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Duoduo Wang, ⊠ duoduo.wang@unh.edu

RECEIVED 30 November 2024 ACCEPTED 21 January 2025 PUBLISHED 10 February 2025

CITATION

Wang D, Mandal P, Rahman MS and Yang L (2025) Engineering tomato disease resistance by manipulating susceptibility genes. *Front. Genome Ed.* 7:1537148. doi: 10.3389/fgeed.2025.1537148

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Mandal, Rahman and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Engineering tomato disease resistance by manipulating susceptibility genes

Duoduo Wang¹*, Palash Mandal¹, Md Sazan Rahman¹ and Lirong Yang²

¹Department of Agriculture, Nutrition, and Food Systems, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, United States, ²School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Various pathogens severely threaten tomato yield and quality. Advances in understanding plant-pathogen interactions have revealed the intricate roles of resistance (R) and susceptibility (S) genes in determining plant immunity. While R genes provide targeted pathogen resistance, they are often vulnerable to pathogen evolution. Conversely, S genes offer a promising avenue for developing broad-spectrum and durable resistance through targeted gene editing. Recent breakthroughs in CRISPR/Cas-based technologies have revolutionized the manipulation of plant genomes, enabling precise modification of S genes to enhance disease resistance in tomato without compromising growth or quality. However, the utilization of the full potential of this technique is challenging due to the complex plant-pathogen interactions and current technological limitations. This review highlights key advances in using gene editing tools to dissect and engineer tomato S genes for improved immunity. We discuss how S genes influence pathogen entry, immune suppression, and nutrient acquisition, and how their targeted editing has conferred resistance to bacterial, fungal, and viral pathogens. Furthermore, we address the challenges associated with growth-defense trade-offs and propose strategies, such as hormonal pathway modulation and precise regulatory edits, to overcome these limitations. This review underscores the potential of CRISPRbased approaches to transform tomato breeding, paving the way for sustainable production of disease-resistant cultivars amidst escalating global food security challenges.

KEYWORDS

CRISPR, crop improvement, immunity, susceptibility gene, tomato

Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of the most economically important horticulture crops, representing one of the top produced and consumed vegetables worldwide. Tomatoes are popular as both fresh and processed vegetable that benefit human health with bioactive compounds like flavonoids, lycopene, and ascorbic acid (Borguini and Ferraz Da Silva Torres, 2009). In the context of rapid climate change, adverse environmental factors limit tomato growth and result in substantial yield loss and fruit quality deterioration (Bacelar et al., 2024). Along with these limiting factors, infectious diseases caused by a wide range of pathogens pose significant threats to crop yield and quality (Potnis et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2022).

Co-evolution of plants and their surrounding pathogenic microorganisms has enabled plants to evolve a two-tiered immune system to combat pathogen infections (Jones and Dangl, 2006). The first line of plant immunity, known as pattern-triggered immunity (PTI), offers broad-spectrum defense response, which is triggered when plant cell surface receptors sense pathogenassociated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Many pathogens can secrete virulent effectors into host cells, facilitating infection by breaching the first line of defense. Thus, plants employed a second layer of defense, known as effector-triggered immunity (ETI) to counter pathogens. The ETI is a more specialized and robust defense that is triggered when plant intracellular immune receptors, mostly the nucleotide-binding/leucine-rich-repeat receptors (NB-LRRs or NLRs) class, detect and recognize pathogen effector proteins, often leading to hypersensitive response (HR), localized cell death and induction of systemic acquired resistance (Jones et al., 2024). PTI and ETI act synergistically and mutually potentiate each other to trigger a robust defense against pathogen (Ngou et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2021). Numerous transcriptional factors and hormones highly regulate the interplay between PTI and ETI.

The competition of resistance (R) genes and susceptibility (S) genes during plant-pathogen interactions determines if the plant will resist or be affected by a disease during interactions with pathogens. R genes are broadly grouped into two classes: typical R genes, which include NLRs and membrane-localized receptor-like kinases or proteins (RLKs/RLPs); and atypical R genes, which possess diverse architectures and functions associated with transcriptional regulation, kinases, translocation of substrates and hormone signaling (Sun et al., 2024). Typical R genes in plants mainly recognize specific pathogenic proteins or effectors. Gaining disease resistance by incorporating typical R genes can be challenging because these genes constantly evolve under strong positive selection due to the rapid evolution of pathogenic effectors. In contrast, many atypical R genes exhibit broadspectrum and durable resistance and thus can be promising candidates for the resistant crop breeding (Sun et al., 2024).

S genes are defined as any plant genes that allow compatibility with pathogens and facilitate infection, and they can be further categorized into three subclasses depending on diverse functions (van Schie and Takken, 2014). The first type of S genes helps pathogens enter the host plant by regulating cell wall structure, cuticle properties, and stomata opening. The second type suppresses the immune response of plants, especially through transcriptional and hormonal regulation. The third type allows pathogens to access nutrients and grow by controlling sugar transport and metabolite production (van Schie and Takken, 2014; Koseoglou et al., 2022).

Pesticides have been used worldwide to control plant disease for over half a century. However, their overuse presents a substantial risk to the environment, ecological stability, and human health (Kimm et al., 2017). Breeding disease-resistant crops using new breeding technologies including gene editing is an effective and sustainable strategy for plant protection (Manzoor et al., 2024). Natural genetic variation and artificially induced genetic diversity, combined with modern molecular and genetic tools, including deep sequencing technologies and gene editing, have accelerated the discovery of genomic regions or alleles associated with immunity in tomato. So far, dozens of genes related to plant immunity, including R genes and S genes in tomato have been mapped and cloned (Rothan et al., 2019), and the role of these genes in regulating disease resistance was characterized in CRISPR edited tomato plants (Table 1).

Disease resistance can be ensured via the incorporation and introgression of desired R genes into crops through different breeding approaches, including conventional breeding and transgenic technology (Derbyshire et al., 2024). However, R gene associated resistance is mostly disrupted because their targeted effectors are generally under strong negative selection (Sacristán et al., 2021; Bent and Mackey, 2007). Additionally, the incorporation of R genes into host genome via conventional breeding can be timeconsuming or results in genetically modified organisms (GMOs) by means of genetic engineering techniques, which will provoke public concern (Rozas et al., 2022). A better strategy is using mutated S genes for sustainable and broad-spectrum resistance. Various geneediting technologies, particularly CRISPR/Cas-based gene editing tools, have opened up new avenues to precisely engineer S genes for resistance breeding. CRISPR/Cas9 and its derivatives have been developed for a wide variety of applications, including gene knockout, gene knock-in, gene regulation, and epigenetic editing (Altpeter et al., 2016; Čermák et al., 2015; Tyumentseva et al., 2023). Recently, gene editing has greatly expedited our understanding of plant-pathogen interaction and the development of host resistance against various biotic stresses in many crops (Zhao et al., 2022). This mini-view summarizes the recent applications of genome editing technology in developing disease-resistant tomato cultivars, discusses the current obstacles that may restrict the use of CRISPR in breeding disease-resistant crops, and proposes some viewpoints that may help overcome these challenges.

Gene editing of S genes in tomato for improved host immunity

Pathogens usually need to break through the plant cell wall to infect a plant. This process activates various cell wall structure-related genes. Recent studies showed that knocking out a cell wall structure-related gene *pectate lyase (PL)* in tomato cultivar Ailsa Craig (AC) using CRISPR/Cas9 resulted in significantly reduced degradation of pectin, increased fruit firmness and resistance against fungal disease (Wang et al., 2018; 2019; Silva et al., 2021; Ortega-Salazar et al., 2024), suggesting a strong link between pectin and cell wall-mediated plant immunity.

Instead of breaching the cell wall, some pathogens enter the host apoplast via entry portals like stomata with the help of S genes. *SIJAZ2* which encodes a major co-receptor of coronatine (COR) in tomato stomatal guard cells could facilitate *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato* (*Pto*) DC3000 colonization by stimulating stomata opening. Knocking out *SIJAZ2* in the tomato cultivar Moneymaker using CRISPR/Cas9 resulted in enhanced resistance to (*Pto*) DC3000 while did not affect resistance to the necrotrophic fungal pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (*B. cinerea*) that does not rely on stomata for penetration (Ortigosa et al., 2019). The tomato S gene *Phospholipase C2* (*SIPLC2*), which can be induced by fungal elicitor xylanase, was required for *B. cinerea* proliferation. Knock-downing the expression of *SIPLC2* by virus-induced gene silencing and

Target gene	Function	Target pathogen/ disease	Tomato cultivar	Method	Outcome	Refs.
SIJAZ2	Co-receptor of coronatine in stomatal guard cells	Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pto) DC3000; Botrytis cinerea (B. cinerea)	Moneymaker	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Resistance to <i>Pto</i> DC3000; Unaltered resistance to <i>B. cinerea</i>	Ortigosa et al. (2019)
eIF4E1	Aids the initiation of protein translation	Pepper mottle virus (PepMoV); Tobacco, etch virus (TEV)	Micro-Tom	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Enhanced resistance to PepMoV without effect on growth; Unchanged resistance to TEV	Yoon et al. (2020)
SlPMR4	Callose synthesis at infection sites; repress salicylic acid (SA)- associated defense pathway	Powdery mildew (PM)	Moneymaker	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Increased resistance to PM	Santillán Martínez et al. (2020)
SIPMR 4	Callose synthesis at infection sites; repress SA-associated defense pathway	Phytophthora infestans (P. infestans)	San Marzano (SM) and Oxheart (OX)	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Reduced susceptibility to P. infestans	Li et al. (2022)
LeEIX1	Cell-surface receptor binding to fungal elicitor EIX; attenuating EIX induced immune response	Trichoderma harzianum (T. harzianum)	M82	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Increased response to <i>T.</i> harzianum	Leibman-Markus et al. (2021)
SlWak1	Flagellin-mediated pattern triggered immunity (PTI)	Pseudomonas. syringae pv. Tomato DC3000	Rio-Grande PtoR (RG-PtoR)	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Compromised in PTI induced by flagellin	a Zhang et al. (2020a)
SlPelo	Messenger RNA surveillance factor involved in ribosome recycling	Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV)	BN-86	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	TYLCV resistance by restricting the viral proliferation	Pramanik et al. (2021)
SlMlo1	Susceptibility factor of fungal PM	РМ	BN-86	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Complete resistance to PM fungus	Pramanik et al. (2021)
SIPLC2	Susceptibility gene facilitating pathogen infection and proliferation	B. cinerea	MM-Cf0 cultivar	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Enhanced resistance to <i>B. cinerea</i>	Perk et al. (2023)
SlBBX20	Suppressing Jasmonic acid (JA) signaling	B. cinerea	Alisa Craig'	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Enhanced resistance to <i>B. cinerea</i>	Luo et al. (2023)
SRFR1	Adaptor protein negatively regulating effector triggered immunity (ETI)- associated transcriptional immune responses	Pto DC3000; Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (FOL); B. cinerea	M82	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Enhance resistance to <i>Pto</i> DC3000; Enhanced susceptibility to <i>FOL</i> and <i>B. cinerea</i>	Son et al. (2021)
SlHyPRP1	Hybrid proline-rich protein involved in cell- wall signaling, plant development, and stress responses	Pto DC3000; FOL	Hongkwang and 15T01 local tomato varieties	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Enhanced resistance to <i>Pto</i> DC3000; Enhanced susceptibility to <i>FOL</i>	Tran et al. (2023)
SINRX1	Nucleoredoxin modulating the redox states of immune	Pseudomonas yringae pv. maculicola (Psm) ES4326	Micro-Tom	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium	Enhanced resistance to <i>Psm</i> ES4326	Cha et al. (2023)

TABLE 1 Application of CRISPR/Cas-based gene editing tool for engineering resistance against pathogens in tomato plants.

(Continued on following page)

Target gene	Function	Target pathogen/ disease	Tomato cultivar	Method	Outcome	Refs.
	signaling proteins and negatively regulating plant immunity			-mediated stable transformation		
I2	Nucleotide-binding (NB) leucine-rich repeat (LRR) (or NLR) protein	P. infestans;FOL	Nicotiana . benthamiana	Agroinfiltration	Expanded resistance profile to <i>P. infestans</i> and <i>FOL</i>	Giannakopoulou et al. (2015)
PL	Pectate lyase involved in cell wall degradation during fruit ripening	B. cinerea	Alisa Craig	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Reduced susceptibility to <i>B. cinerea</i>	Silva et al. (2021)
SIDMR6-1	SA-5 hydroxylase involved in the SA catabolic pathway	Pto DC3000. Xanthomonas. gardneri; Phytophthora capsici; Xanthomonas perforans; Pseudoidium neolycopersici	Fla. 8000	CRISPR/Cas9; Agrobacterium -mediated stable transformation	Enhanced resistance with no evident growth penalty	Thomazella et al. (2021)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Application of CRISPR/Cas-based gene editing tool for engineering resistance against pathogens in tomato plants.

knocking out *SIPLC2* by CRISPR/Cas9 in the tomato cultivar Moneymaker without Cf resistance genes (MM-Cf0) resulted in enhanced resistance to *B. cinerea*, accompanied by decreased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and altered salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA) signaling pathways (Gonorazky et al., 2016; Perk et al., 2023). Cell surface localized receptors can bind microbial elicitors and mediate plant defense response. In tomato plants, cell-surface decoy receptor LeEIX1 has the ability to bind ethylene-inducing xylanase (EIX), a fungal elicitor secreted by *Trichoderma* spp, and attenuate EIX-induced signaling and host defense (Ron and Avni, 2004). Knocking out *LeEIX1* in tomato M82 cultivar using CRISPR/Cas9 led to stronger host immune activation and enhanced disease resistance against *Trichoderma* in *LeEIX1*-edited lines compared with wild-type (WT) control plants (Leibman-Markus et al., 2021).

Some S genes facilitate pathogen survival by providing nutrients or supporting microbial metabolism. One of the best characterized S gene family is mildew resistance locus o (Mlo) which encodes membrane-associated proteins and was reported to confer susceptibility to powdery mildew (PM) disease in many plant species (Acevedo-Garcia et al., 2014). Targeted mutagenesis of SlMlo1, the major contributor to PM susceptibility, in both tomato BN-86 and Moneymaker cultivars using CRISPR/ Cas9 resulted in fully resistant plants to the PM fungus without compromising plant growth and fruit development (Nekrasov et al., 2017; Pramanik et al., 2021). SlPelo was previously discovered to encode a messenger RNA surveillance factor and render susceptibility to Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) (Lapidot et al., 2015). More recently, the role of SlPelo in regulating TYLCV infection in the elite tomato cultivar BN-86was validated in SlPeloedited mutants generated by CRISPR/Cas9, and the results indicated that SlPelo was a susceptibility factor of yellow leaf curl disease caused by TYLCV (Pramanik et al., 2021). Another well-studied S gene related to PM is POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANT 4 (PMR4). PMR4 was reported to inhibit SA defense signaling pathway (Nishimura et al., 2003). CRISPR/Cas-9 mediated mutagenesis of SIPMR4 in susceptible tomato cultivar moneymaker resulted in reduced susceptibility to PM, accompanied by a higher occurrence of hypersensitive response-like cell death at infection sites in the CRISPR edited line compared with a control plant, which was likely to be induced by SA signaling pathway (Santillán Martínez et al., 2020). More recently, knocking out SIPMR4 in two widely grown Italian tomato cultivars including San Marzano (SM) and Oxheart (OX) by CRISPR/Cas9, indicated that SIPMR4 conferred susceptibility to Late Blight (LB), a fungal disease caused by Phytophthora infestans (Li et al., 2022). Genes required for viruses to maintain their lifecycle can also be regarded as S genes. Yoon et al. (2020) generated CRISPR/Cas9-derived mutations in the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) in the tomato cultivar Micro-Tom, and evaluated the role of eIF4E in Potyvirus resistance. Results demonstrated that eIF4E was a susceptible factor which is necessary for pepper mottle virus (PepMoV) infection (Yoon et al., 2020).

The third class of S genes act as negative regulators of host innate immune response, many of which regulate hormone signaling pathways. Disabling such S genes is likely to be a promising strategy to obtain durable and broad-spectrum disease resistance. Inactivating a tomato DOWNY MILDEW RESISTANCE gene (SlDMR6-1) in the tomato Fla. 8000 variety using CRISPR/ Cas9 enhanced resistance to bacterial, oomycete, and fungal pathogens, correlating with increased SA (Thomazella et al., 2021). Moreover, SIDMR6-1 displayed SA-5 hydroxylase activity, which could explain the increased SA level in the SlDMR6-1 homozygous mutants (Thomazella et al., 2021). More recently, CRISPR/Cas9 employed to edit was two tomato NUCLEOREDOXIN (SlNRX) genes including SlNRX1 and SlNRX2 in the Mocro-Tom cultivar, and characterization of the CRISPR edited plants unraveled the negative role of SlNRX1, a member of the nucleocytoplasmic THIOREDOXIN subfamily, in modulating SA-dependent immune response to both bacterial and fungal infection in tomato (Cha et al., 2023).

Another important plant hormone JA regulates various biological processes, including plant immunity, through complex modules involving multiple transcription factors (TFs) or regulators.

Manipulating a number of *MYC2-TARGETED BHLH* (*MTB*) genes which negatively regulate JA-mediated defense response in the tomato AC cultivar by CRISPR/Cas9 resulted in stronger resistance to herbivore attack compared to WT plants, without compromising normal plant growth (Liu et al., 2019). By integrating CRISPR/Cas9 with RNA sequencing, *SlBBX20*, a gene belonging to the B-box (BBX) family in tomato, was knocked out in the tomato AC cultivar and found to negatively regulate resistance to *B. cinerea* in tomato plants by attenuating JA signaling (Luo et al., 2023).

The contrasting effect of host genes in regulating resistance to different pathogens was observed in many plants. A functional study of tomato SRFR1 using CRISPR-based gene editing tool revealed its negative role in immune response to Pto DC3000 via regulating SApathway defense genes, while it functioned as a positive regulator to necrotrophic pathogens Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (FOL) by modulating JA/ethylene genes (Son et al., 2021). Similarly, a tomato gene SlHyPRP1encoding a proline-rich protein involved in cell wall signaling was reported as a negative regulator of defense to Pto DC3000 but a positive regulator of immunity to FOL (Tran et al., 2023). It has been suggested that defense against biotrophic pathogens is largely regulated by SA signaling pathway while JA/ ethylene mainly dominates in facilitating host defense response against necrotrophic pathogens (Glazebrook, 2005). In addition to JA and SA, other hormones including auxins, cytokinins (CK), abscisic acid, gibberellins (GA), brassinosteroids, strigolactones, as well as nitric oxide, are likely to act antagonistically in the regulation of plant-pathogen interactions (Huang et al., 2020). The regulation of plant defense is largely dependent on multiple hormone pathways often interconnected by complex transcription module. The antagonistic role of host genes in regulating defense against different types of pathogens might be explained by different attack strategies of pathogens and complex strategies of host plants to counteract the invasion of pathogens.

Gene editing technology has been used to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of R genes in regulating immune response in tomato. For instance, the role of a cell wall-associated kinase gene named SlWak1 was characterized in CRISPR mutant lines generated in the Grande PtoR (RG-PtoR) genetic background, suggesting SlWak1, acting in a complex with Fls2 and Fls3, positively regulate immune signaling at later stages of PTI in the apoplast upon the inoculation of P. syringae pv. tomato (Pst) (Zhang et al., 2020a). So far, more than 150 genes in tomato associated with host immunity to various pathogens have been edited by gene editing technologies, and detailed information can be found in Plant Genome Editing Database (PGED) (Zheng et al., 2019). Among them, 63 candidate genes were further molecularly characterized in CRISPR edited lines for their gRNA efficiency, specificity of modifications and heritability of the mutations (Zhang et al., 2020b). Many of the selected genes encode cell surface localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), kinases, transporters and TFs, and their role in plant immunity requires further investigation.

Discussion

Incorporating R genes from natural germplasm resources into cultivated species by classical or transgenic breeding has

been used for enhancing disease resistance in many crops including tomato. However, this is a lengthy and laborious process. R genes usually defend against specific pathogens, and their effectiveness may not last long because they often fail to recognize frequently mutated pathogen effectors of newly evolved pathogens. Engineering R genes using CRISPR-based technology to achieve broad-spectrum resistance might be a promising solution to this challenge. Giannakopoulou et al. (2015) engineered a tomato NLR gene named I2 and investigated if the mutation in I2 could alter plant response to the pathogen effector AVR3a in Nicotiana benthamiana by agroinfiltration assay. It was shown that mutation of I2 resulted in markedly increased response to AVR3a, suggesting altered resistance to pathogens could be achieved by engineering synthetic immune receptors (Giannakopoulou et al., 2015).

Another approach to achieve resistance is manipulating S genes, a class of plant genes that facilitate pathogen penetration and proliferation or supports compatibility with pathogens. Enhanced disease resistance can be achieved by manipulating S genes using gene editing. Several strategies have been developed to generate transgene-free CRISPR edited crops which are defined as non- GMO; these include elimination of transgenic sequences via genetic segregation, and transient expression of CRISPR/ Cas9 editor via ribonucleoprotein (RNP)-mediated CRISPR genome editing method (Gu et al., 2021; Ahmad et al., 2023). The options for utilizing both R and S genes to develop diseaseresistant genotypes are summarized in Figure 1. However, the defense-growth trade-off or fitness cost of silencing S genes is common in plants, which are species and condition-specific. Modifying the regulatory element precisely might be a solution. Plant growth-defense trade-off has remained a challenge when engineering disease resistance. Numerous studies have suggested enhanced defense usually results in inhibited growth and development, and this process is modulated by complex network involving interactions between multiple regulators and hormones (Giolai and Laine, 2024; He et al., 2022). In tomato, several modules were reported to fine-turn trade-off of plant growth-defense. For example, using a systematical approach including CRISPR/Cas9 and transcriptional regulation methods, the RALF2-FER-MYB63 module was found to fine-tune root growth and resistance against FOL through regulating the deposition of lignin in tomato cultivar Condine Red (Fan et al., 2024). JA was shown to involve in maintaining a balance between lateral root (LR) development and root-knot nematode (RKN) susceptibility via SIMYB-mediated transcriptional regulation in tomato (Zhao et al., 2023). A more recent study using three different immunity elicitors to investigate the effect of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and induced systemic resistance (ISR) pathways on tomato development indicated that growth and defense could be positively correlated through alterations to the CK/GA balance (Leibman-Markus et al., 2023). This study challenges the classic model of the growth-defense trade-off, suggesting that growth promotion and induced resistance can be co-dependent. It further shows that defense priming can occur within a specific developmental window and that growthdefense trade-off can be uncoupled through the modulation of certain hormonal pathways. Therefore, uncoupling the antagonism between hormonal pathways opens new avenues for



FIGURE 1

An integrated framework for improving disease resistance in tomatoes through multi-omics approaches and genome editing technologies. Genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics are utilized to identify key genes or modules associated with disease resistance. These insights are applied through two complementary strategies: traditional breeding methods and CRISPR/Cas-based genome editing tools. CRISPR/Cas technology facilitates the incorporation of resistance (R) genes and the manipulation of susceptibility (S) genes, enabling precise genetic modifications to enhance tomato disease resistance. This systematic approach combines modern molecular tools with conventional practices to develop robust, disease-resistant tomato cultivars.

applying gene editing tools to develop crops with enhanced biotic stress resistance. So far, only a limited number of S genes have been identified and manipulated in tomato for conferring resistance to pathogens. A wealth of available tomato genetic resources and multi-omics dataset, along with newly developed web-based platforms, including the Tomato multi-omics data Analysis Platform (TomAP) (http://bioinformatics.cau.edu.cn/TomAP/) (Cao et al., 2024) and Solanaceae Information Resource (SoIR) (https://soir.bio2db.com) (Liu et al., 2024), will facilitate the identification of key genes or modules linked to immune response in tomato. Future research should also focus on developing novel CRISPR/Cas-based toolbox and using highthroughput genetic screens to improve the editing of disease resistance genes. The present review proposes that critical challenges in sustainable agriculture, such as dependency on pesticides and drawbacks of conventional methods, can be addressed by leveraging gene editing technology. Additionally, it proposes multi-omics approaches coupled with advanced gene editing tools provide an effective way for precisely engineering disease resistance for crop improvement.

Author contributions

DW: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. PM: Writing-review and editing. MR: Writing-review and editing. LY: Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Acevedo-Garcia, J., Kusch, S., and Panstruga, R. (2014). Magical mystery tour: MLO proteins in plant immunity and beyond. *New Phytol.* 204 (2), 273–281. doi:10.1111/nph. 12889

Ahmad, A., Jamil, A., and Munawar, N. (2023). GMOs or non-GMOs? The CRISPR conundrum. Front. Plant Sci. 14, 1232938. doi:10.3389/fpls.2023.1232938

Altpeter, F., Springer, N. M., Bartley, L. E., Blechl, A. E., Brutnell, T. P., Citovsky, V., et al. (2016). Advancing crop transformation in the era of genome editing. *Plant Cell* 28 (7), 1510–1520. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00196

Bacelar, E., Pinto, T., Anjos, R., Morais, M. C., Oliveira, I., Vilela, A., et al. (2024). Impacts of climate change and mitigation strategies for some abiotic and biotic constraints influencing fruit growth and quality. *Plants* 13 (14), 1942. doi:10.3390/ plants13141942

Bent, A. F., and Mackey, D. (2007). Elicitors, effectors, and R genes: the new paradigm and a lifetime supply of questions. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 45, 399–436. doi:10.1146/ annurev.phyto.45.062806.094427

Borguini, R. G., and Ferraz Da Silva Torres, E. A. (2009). Tomatoes and tomato products as dietary sources of antioxidants. *Food Rev. Int.* 25 (4), 313–325. doi:10.1080/87559120903155859

Cao, Y., She, J., Li, Z., Liu, Y., Tian, T., You, Q., et al. (2024). TomAP: a multi-omics data analysis platform for advancing functional genomics research in tomatoes. *New Crop.* 1, 100002. doi:10.1016/j.ncrops.2023.10.001

Čermák, T., Baltes, N. J., Čegan, R., Zhang, Y., and Voytas, D. F. (2015). High-frequency, precise modification of the tomato genome. *Genome Biol.* 16, 232. doi:10. 1186/s13059-015-0796-9

Cha, J. Y., Uddin, S., Macoy, D. M., Shin, G.-I., Jeong, S. Y., Ali, I., et al. (2023). Nucleoredoxin gene SINRX1 negatively regulates tomato immunity by activating SA signaling pathway. *Plant Physiol. biochem.* 200, 107804. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2023. 107804

Derbyshire, M. C., Newman, T. E., Thomas, W. J. W., Batley, J., and Edwards, D. (2024). The complex relationship between disease resistance and yield in crops. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 22 (9), 2612–2623. doi:10.1111/pbi.14373

Fan, Y., Bai, J., Wu, S., Zhang, M., Li, J., Lin, R., et al. (2024). The RALF2-FERONIA-MYB63 module orchestrates growth and defense in tomato roots. *New Phytol.* 243 (3), 1123–1136. doi:10.1111/nph.19865

Giannakopoulou, A., Steele, J. F. C., Segretin, M. E., Bozkurt, T. O., Zhou, J., Robatzek, S., et al. (2015). Tomato 12 immune receptor can be engineered to confer partial resistance to the oomycete *Phytophthora infestans* in addition to the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 28 (12), 1316–1329. doi:10.1094/MPMI-07-15-0147-R

Giolai, M., and Laine, A. L. (2024). A trade-off between investment in molecular defense repertoires and growth in plants. *Science* 386 (6722), 677–680. doi:10.1126/ science.adn2779

Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 43 (1), 205–227. doi:10.1146/annurev. phyto.43.040204.135923

Gonorazky, G., Guzzo, M. C., Abd-El-Haliem, A. M., Joosten, M. H. A. J., and Laxalt, A. M. (2016). Silencing of the tomato phosphatidylinositol-phospholipase C2 (SIPLC2) reduces plant susceptibility to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 17 (9), 1354–1363. doi:10.1111/mpp.12365

Gu, X., Liu, L., and Zhang, H. (2021). Transgene-free genome editing in plants. *Front. Genome* 3, 805317. doi:10.3389/fgeed.2021.805317

He, Z., Webster, S., and He, S. Y. (2022). Growth-defense trade-offs in plants. *Curr. Biol.* 32 (12), R634–R639. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2022.04.070

Huang, S., Zhang, X., and Fernando, W. G. D. (2020). Directing trophic divergence in plant-pathogen interactions: antagonistic phytohormones with no doubt? *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 600063. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.600063

Jones, J. D. G., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444 (7117), 323–329. doi:10.1038/nature05286

Jones, J. D. G., Staskawicz, B. J., and Dangl, J. L. (2024). The plant immune system: from discovery to deployment. *Cell* 187 (9), 2095–2116. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2024.03.045

Kimm, K. H., Kabir, E., and Jahan, S. A. (2017). Exposure to pesticides and the associated human health effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* 1 (575), 525–535. doi:10.1016/j. scitotenv.2016.09.009

Koseoglou, E., van der Wolf, J. M., Visser, R. G. F., and Bai, Y. (2022). Susceptibility reversed: modified plant susceptibility genes for resistance to bacteria. *Trends Plant Sci.* 27 (1), 69–79. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2021.07.018

Lapidot, M., Karniel, U., Gelbart, D., Fogel, D., Evenor, D., Kutsher, Y., et al. (2015). A novel route controlling begomovirus resistance by the messenger RNA surveillance factor pelota. *PLOS Genet.* 11 (10), e1005538. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005538

Leibman-Markus, M., Gupta, R., Pizarro, L., Gershony, O., Rav-David, D., Elad, Y., et al. (2021). Gene editing of the decoy receptor LeEIX1 increases host receptivity to *Trichoderma* bio-control. *Front. Fungal Biol.* 2, 678840. doi:10.3389/ffunb.2021.678840

Leibman-Markus, M., Schneider, A., Gupta, R., Marash, I., Rav-David, D., Carmeli-Weissberg, M., et al. (2023). Immunity priming uncouples the growth-defense trade-off in tomato. *Development* 150 (21), dev201158. doi:10.1242/dev.201158

Li, R., Maioli, A., Yan, Z., Bai, Y., Valentino, D., Milani, A. M., et al. (2022). CRISPR/ Cas9-based knock-out of the *PMR4* gene reduces susceptibility to late blight in two tomato cultivars. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (23), 14542. doi:10.3390/ijms232314542

Liu, Y., Du, M., Deng, L., Shen, J., Fang, M., Chen, Q., et al. (2019). MYC2 regulates the termination of jasmonate signaling via an autoregulatory negative feedback loop. *Plant Cell* 31 (1), 106–127. doi:10.1105/tpc.18.00405

Liu, Z., Shen, S., Li, C., Zhang, C., Chen, X., Fu, Y., et al. (2024). SoIR: a comprehensive Solanaceae information resource for comparative and functional genomic study. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 53, D1623–D1632. doi:10.1093/nar/gkae1040

Luo, D., Sun, W., Cai, J., Hu, G., Zhang, D., Zhang, X., et al. (2023). SIBBX20 attenuates JA signalling and regulates resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* by inhibiting SIMED25 in tomato. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 21 (4), 792–805. doi:10.1111/pbi.13997

Manzoor, S., Nabi, S. U., Rather, T. R., Gani, G., Mir, Z. A., Wani, A. W., et al. (2024). Advancing crop disease resistance through genome editing: a promising approach for enhancing agricultural production. *Front. Genome* 6, 1399051. doi:10.3389/fgeed.2024. 1399051

Nekrasov, V., Wang, C., Win, J., Lanz, C., Weigel, D., and Kamoun, S. (2017). Rapid generation of a transgene-free powdery mildew resistant tomato by genome deletion. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 482. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00578-x

Ngou, B. P. M., Ahn, H.-K., Ding, P., and Jones, J. D. G. (2021). Mutual potentiation of plant immunity by cell-surface and intracellular receptors. *Nature* 592 (7852), 110–115. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03315-7

Nishimura, M. T., Stein, M., Hou, B.-H., Vogel, J. P., Edwards, H., and Somerville, S. C. (2003). Loss of a callose synthase results in salicylic acid-dependent disease resistance. *Science* 301 (5635), 969–972. doi:10.1126/science.1086716

Ortega-Salazar, I., Crum, D., Sbodio, A. O., Sugiyama, Y., Adaskaveg, A., Wang, D., et al. (2024). Double CRISPR knockout of pectin degrading enzymes improves tomato shelf-life while ensuring fruit quality. *Plants, People, Planet* 6 (2), 330–340. doi:10.1002/ ppp3.10445

Ortigosa, A., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Leonhardt, N., and Solano, R. (2019). Design of a bacterial speck resistant tomato by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of *SIJAZ2. Plant Biotechnol. J.* 17 (3), 665–673. doi:10.1111/pbi.13006

Perk, E. A., Arruebarrena Di Palma, A., Colman, S., Mariani, O., Cerrudo, I., D'Ambrosio, J. M., et al. (2023). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated phospholipase C 2 knockout tomato plants are more resistant to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Planta* 257 (6), 117. doi:10. 1007/s00425-023-04147-7

Potnis, N., Timilsina, S., Strayer, A., Shantharaj, D., Barak, J. D., Paret, M. L., et al. (2015). Bacterial spot of tomato and pepper: diverse Xanthomonas species with a wide variety of virulence factors posing a worldwide challenge. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 16 (9), 907–920. doi:10.1111/mpp.12244

Pramanik, D., Shelake, R. M., Park, J., Kim, M. J., Hwang, I., Park, Y., et al. (2021). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated generation of pathogen-resistant tomato against tomato yellow leaf curl virus and powdery mildew. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (4), 1878. doi:10.3390/ ijms22041878

Ron, M., and Avni, A. (2004). The receptor for the fungal elicitor ethylene-inducing xylanase is a member of a resistance-like gene family in tomato. *Plant Cell* 16 (6), 1604–1615. doi:10.1105/tpc.022475

Rothan, C., Diouf, I., and Causse, M. (2019). Trait discovery and editing in tomato. *Plant J.* 97 (1), 73–90. doi:10.1111/tpj.14152

Rozas, P., Kessi-Pérez, E. I., and Martínez, C. (2022). Genetically modified organisms: adapting regulatory frameworks for evolving genome editing technologies. *Biol. Res.* 55 (1), 31. doi:10.1186/s40659-022-00399-x

Sacristán, S., Goss, E. M., and Eves-van den Akker, S. (2021). How do pathogens evolve novel virulence activities? *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 34 (6), 576–586. doi:10. 1094/MPMI-09-20-0258-IA

Santillán Martínez, M. I., Bracuto, V., Koseoglou, E., Appiano, M., Jacobsen, E., Visser, R. G. F., et al. (2020). CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of the tomato susceptibility gene *PMR4* for resistance against powdery mildew. *BMC Plant Biol.* 20 (1), 284. doi:10.1186/s12870-020-02497-y

Silva, C. J., van den Abeele, C., Ortega-Salazar, I., Papin, V., Adaskaveg, J. A., Wang, D., et al. (2021). Host susceptibility factors render ripe tomato fruit vulnerable to fungal disease despite active immune responses. *J. Exp. Bot.* 72 (7), 2696–2709. doi:10.1093/jkb/eraa601

Son, G. H., Moon, J., Shelake, R. M., Vuong, U. T., Ingle, R. A., Gassmann, W., et al. (2021). Conserved opposite functions in plant resistance to biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens of the immune regulator SRFR1. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (12), 6427. doi:10.3390/ ijms22126427

Sun, P., Han, X., Milne, R. J., and Li, G. (2024). Trans-crop applications of atypical R genes for multipathogen resistance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 29 (10), 1103–1112. doi:10.1016/j. tplants.2024.05.004

Thomazella, D. P. de T., Seong, K., Mackelprang, R., Dahlbeck, D., Geng, Y., Gill, U. S., et al. (2021). Loss of function of a DMR6 ortholog in tomato confers broad-spectrum disease resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 118 (27), e2026152118. doi:10.1073/pnas. 2026152118

Tran, M. T., Son, G. H., Song, Y. J., Nguyen, N. T., Park, S., Thach, T. V., et al. (2023). CRISPR-Cas9-based precise engineering of SIHyPRP1 protein towards multi-stress tolerance in tomato. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14, 1186932. doi:10.3389/fpls.2023.1186932

Tyumentseva, M., Tyumentsev, A., and Akimkin, V. (2023). CRISPR/Cas9 landscape: current state and future perspectives. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24 (22), 16077. doi:10.3390/ ijms242216077

van Schie, C. C. N., and Takken, F. L. W. (2014). Susceptibility genes 101: how to be a good host. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 52 (1), 551–581. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-045854

Wang, D., Samsulrizal, N., Yan, C., Allcock, N. S., Craigon, J., Blanco-Ulate, B., et al. (2018). Characterisation of CRISPR mutants targeting genes modulating pectin degradation in ripening tomato. *Plant Physiol.* 179 (2), 01187–02018. doi:10.1104/ pp.18.01187

Wang, D., Yeats, T. H., Uluisik, S., Rose, J. K. C., and Seymour, G. B. (2019). Fruit softening: revisiting the role of pectin. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23 (4), 302–310. doi:10.1016/j. tplants.2018.01.006

Yoon, Y. J., Venkatesh, J., Lee, J. H., Kim, J., Lee, H. E., Kim, D. S., et al. (2020). Genome editing of eIF4E1 in tomato confers resistance to pepper mottle virus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 1098. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.01098 Yuan, M., Jiang, Z., Bi, G., Nomura, K., Liu, M., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Patternrecognition receptors are required for NLR-mediated plant immunity. *Nature* 592 (7852), 105–109. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03316-6

Zhang, N., Pombo, M. A., Rosli, H. G., and Martin, G. B. (2020a). Tomato wallassociated kinase SIWak1 depends on Fls2/Fls3 to promote apoplastic immune responses to *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Plant Physiol*. 183 (4), 1869–1882. doi:10.1104/ pp.20.00144

Zhang, N., Roberts, H. M., Van Eck, J., and Martin, G. B. (2020b). Generation and molecular characterization of CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in 63 immunity-associated genes in tomato reveals specificity and a range of gene modifications. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 10. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00010

Zhang, S., Griffiths, J. S., Marchand, G., Bernards, M. A., and Wang, A. (2022). Tomato brown rugose fruit virus: an emerging and rapidly spreading plant RNA virus that threatens tomato production worldwide. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 23 (9), 1262–1277. doi:10.1111/mpp.13229

Zhao, W., Liang, J., Huang, H., Yang, J., Feng, J., Sun, L., et al. (2023). Tomato defence against *Meloidogyne incognita* by jasmonic acid-mediated fine-tuning of kaempferol homeostasis. *New Phytol.* 238 (4), 1651–1670. doi:10.1111/nph.18837

Zhao, Y., Zhu, X., Chen, X., and Zhou, J. M. (2022). From plant immunity to crop disease resistance. J. Genet. Genomics 49 (8), 693–703. doi:10.1016/j.jgg.2022.06.003

Zheng, Y., Zhang, N., Martin, G. B., and Fei, Z. (2019). Plant genome editing database (PGED): a call for submission of information about genome-edited plant mutants. *Mol. Plant* 12 (2), 127–129. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2019.01.001