

Bernt C. Skottun *

Independent Scholar, Oslo, Norway *Correspondence: berntchrskottun@gmail.com

Edited by:

John J. Foxe, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, USA

Reviewed by:

Manuel Gomez-Ramirez, The Johns Hopkins University, USA

Keywords: contrast, magnocellular, ventral stream, dorsal stream, contrast-response

A commentary on

Contributions of magno- and parvocellular channels to conscious and nonconscious vision

by Breitmeyer, B. G. (2014). Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 369:20130213. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0213

In his opinion paper, Breitmeyer (2014) sought to identify the contributions from the magno- and parvocellular systems to conscious and unconscious vision. One approach employed in this attempt was to study the effect of contrast. This was based on the well established finding that magno- and parvocellular cells differ in their contrast-response functions (Kaplan and Shapley, 1986): Magnocellular responses increase rapidly with contrast at low contrast levels but saturate at medium contrasts. Parvocellular responses, on the other hand, increase with contrast at a relatively constant rate. In his Figure 5, Breitmeyer makes the suggestion based on the effect of contrast, that visible priming reflects magnocellular activity whereas invisible priming reflects parvocellular responses.

In **Figure 1** is shown (solid line) the function:

$$r = (66.5 c^{2.9}) / (0.076^{2.9} + c^{2.9}) \quad (1)$$

where *c* denotes contrast, which was assumed by Breitmeyer to reflect the contrast-response relationship of magnocellular neurons.

Sclar et al. (1990) found that contrastresponse data from visual neurons can be described by the equation:

$$r = r_{max} c^n / (c^n + c_{50}^n)$$
(2)



FIGURE 1 | The contrast-response curve from Breitmeyer (2014) (solid line) generated form Equation 1 which was by Breitmeyer attributed to magnocellular responses. Also shown are contrast-response curves for magnocellular cells (dotted line) and for cells in cortical Area MT of the dorsal stream for large (MT-L) and small stimuli (MT-S). These functions were generated from Equation 2 (see text). As can be seen, the curve of Breitmeyer is much closer to the functions for the MT cells than to the one for magnocellular cells and is well within the range of MT cell responses to large and small stimuli.

where *c* denotes contrast and the constants r_{max} , c_{50} , and *n* differ for the different types of neurons. For magnocellular cells these values were 52.7, 0.11, and 1.2, respectively (Sclar et al., 1990). By using these values in Equation 2 the dotted curve in **Figure 1** was generated. The functions in the figure have been normalized so as to give a response of 1.0 for a contrast of 1.0. This is the only adjustment made. As can be seen, the function for the magnocellular cells from Sclar et al. does not provide a particularly close fit to the function of Breitmeyer.

This prompts the question: Would functions for other types of cells provide a better fit? Sclar et al. (1990) found that c_{50} (in Equation 2) for MT cells increases with decreasing stimulus size and that for very small stimuli it is comparable to that for magnocellular neurons. However, the exponent, n, was was found to be unchanged and the maximum response,

 r_{max} , underwent only minor changes. The latter, however, is irrelevant in the present context since the responses are normalized. Using Equation 2 MT cell responses have been calculated for large and small stimuli. For large stimuli the constants r_{max} , c_{50} , and *n* were set to 36, 0.07, and 3.0 (from Sclar et al., 1990). In the case of small stimuli the value 0.11 (i.e., the value for magnocellular cells from Sclar et al.) was used for c_{50} . As can be seen, the curves for MT cells (marked MT-L and MT-S for large and small stimuli, respectively) provide closer fits to the curve of Breitmeyer than does the function for magnocellular responses and the function of Breitmeyer falls between the two curves for MT cells.

Area MT is part of the dorsal cortical stream. The ventral and dorsal cortical streams represent two sets of cortical areas (Merigan and Maunsell, 1993). Many authors (e.g., Breitmeyer, 2014) have conflated the magno- and parvocellular systems with, respectively, the dorsal and ventral streams. This, however, faces some difficulties. In the case of the dorsal stream, although it clearly receives a substantial portion of its input from the magnocellular system it also receives sizable inputs from the koniocellular (Sincich et al., 2004) and parvocellular systems (Nassi et al., 2006). In the case of the ventral stream, as exemplified by Area V4, lesion studies have indicated that it receives about equally strong inputs from the magnoand parvocellular systems (Ferrera et al., 1994). Also, lesions placed in the dorsal and ventral streams have quite different effects from those placed in the magnoand parvocellular systems (Merigan and Maunsell, 1993). Figure 1 emphasizes that the magnocellular system and the dorsal stream (exemplified here by Area MT)

also differ in regard to contrast-response

REFERENCES

- Breitmeyer, B. G. (2014). Contributions of magnoand parvocellular channels to conscious and non-conscious vision. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 369:20130213. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0213
- Ferrera, V. P., Nealey, T. A., and Maunsell, J. H. R. (1994). Responses in macaque visual area V4 following inactivation of the parvocellular and magnocellular LGN pathways. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 2080–2088.
- Kaplan, E., and Shapley, R. M. (1986). The primate retina contains two types of ganglion cells, with high and low contrast sensitivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 83, 2755–2757.
- Merigan, W. H., and Maunsell, J. H. R. (1993). How parallel are the primate visual pathways? *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 369–402.
- Nassi, J. J., Lyon, D. C., and Callaway, E. M. (2006). The parvocellular LGN provides a robust disynapic input to the visual motion area MT. *Neuron* 50, 319–327. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006. 03.019
- Sclar, G., Maunsell, J. H. R., and Lennie, P. (1990). Coding of image contrast in central visual pathways of the macaque monkey. *Vision Res.* 30, 1–10.

Sincich, L. C., Park, K. F., Wohlengemuth, M. J., and Horton, J. C. (2004). Bypassing V1: a direct geniculate input to area MT. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 1123–1128. doi: 10.1038/nn1318

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 17 April 2014; accepted: 16 September 2014; published online: 06 October 2014.

Citation: Skottun BC (2014) The magnocellular system versus the dorsal stream. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8:786. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00786

This article was submitted to the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience.

Copyright © 2014 Skottun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

functions.