



# Corrigendum: No Effect of Anodal Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Over the Motor Cortex on Response-Related ERPs during a Conflict Task

Alexander C. Conley<sup>1,2,3</sup>, W. R. Fulham<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Jodie L. Marquez<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Mark W. Parsons<sup>2,3,5</sup> and Frini Karayanidis<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Functional Neuroimaging Laboratory, School of Psychology, Faculty of Science and IT, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia, <sup>2</sup> Priority Research Centre for Stroke and Brain Injury, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia, <sup>3</sup> Hunter Medical Research Institute, Newcastle, NSW, Australia, <sup>4</sup> School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia, <sup>5</sup> School of Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia

**Keywords:** transcranial direct current stimulation, event-related potential, contingent negative variation, lateralized readiness potential, P300, aging

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited and reviewed by:

Mikhail Lebedev,  
Duke University, USA

### \*Correspondence:

Frini Karayanidis  
frini.karayanidis@newcastle.edu.au

**Received:** 18 October 2016

**Accepted:** 03 November 2016

**Published:** 17 November 2016

### Citation:

Conley AC, Fulham WR, Marquez JL, Parsons MW and Karayanidis F (2016) Corrigendum: No Effect of Anodal Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Over the Motor Cortex on Response-Related ERPs during a Conflict Task. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10:584. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00584

## A corrigendum on

### No Effect of Anodal Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Over the Motor Cortex on Response-Related ERPs during a Conflict Task


by Conley, A. C., Fulham, W. R., Marquez, J. L., Parsons, M. W., and Karayanidis, F. (2016). *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10:384. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00384

It has come to the authors' attention that there is an error in **Figure 4**. Specifically, the line colors used in the LRP waveforms are not consistent with what is indicated in the legend. The authors sincerely apologize for the error. This error has been corrected in the revised figure.

It is important to note that this error does not impact the conclusions of the article in any way, but may confuse readers as the direction of effects depicted in the original figure do not match the text.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Conley, Fulham, Marquez, Parsons and Karayanidis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



**FIGURE 4 |** LRP waveforms for directional (blue) and non-directional (red) conditions in target-locked (left) and response-locked (right) LRP waveforms following active (i.e., anodal tDCS) and sham for (A) young and (B) old adults.