### Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sandra Carvalho, University of Aveiro, Portugal

#### REVIEWED BY

Martin Victor Sale, The University of Queensland, Australia Asher Geffen, The University of Queensland, Australia, in collaboration with reviewer MS Keiichi Kitajo, National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS), Japan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Naoyuki Takeuchi ⊠ n-take@hs.akita-u.ac.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Brain Imaging and Stimulation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience

RECEIVED 12 May 2022 ACCEPTED 04 January 2023 PUBLISHED 19 January 2023

CITATION

Takeuchi N (2023) Pain control based on oscillatory brain activity using transcranial alternating current stimulation: An integrative review. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 17:941979.

doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.941979

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Takeuchi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Pain control based on oscillatory brain activity using transcranial alternating current stimulation: An integrative review

### Naoyuki Takeuchi\*

Department of Physical Therapy, Akita University Graduate School of Health Sciences, Akita, Japan

Developing effective tools and strategies to relieve chronic pain is a high-priority scientific and clinical goal. In particular, the brain regions related to pain processing have been investigated as potential targets to relieve pain by non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS). In addition to elucidating the relationship between pain and oscillatory brain activity, transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS), which can non-invasively entrain oscillatory brain activity and modulate oscillatory brain communication, has attracted scientific attention as a possible technique to control pain. This review focuses on the use of tACS to relieve pain through the manipulation of oscillatory brain activity and its potential clinical applications. Several studies have reported that tACS on a single brain reduces pain by normalizing abnormal oscillatory brain activity in patients with chronic pain. Interpersonal tACS approaches based on inter-brain synchrony to manipulate inter-brain communication may result in pain relief via prosocial effects. Pain is encoded by the spatiotemporal neural communication that represents the integration of cognitive, emotional-affective, and sensorimotor aspects of pain. Therefore, future studies should seek to identify the pathological oscillatory brain communication in chronic pain as a therapeutic target for tACS. In conclusion, tACS could be effective for re-establishing oscillatory brain activity and assisting social interaction, and it might help develop novel approaches for pain control.

#### KEYWORDS

brain communication, chronic pain, interpersonal interaction, oscillatory brain activity, transcranial alternating current stimulation

### Introduction

The brain regions involved in pain processing include the primary and secondary somatosensory cortices; primary motor and supplementary motor cortices; insular cortex; anterior cingulate cortex; thalamus; regions within the prefrontal and parietal cortices; and regions involved in emotion, memory, and fear processing in the amygdala, hippocampus, and subcortical structures, including the basal ganglia (Martucci and Mackey, 2018; Geuter et al., 2020). Among these brain regions, cortical sites near the scalp have been investigated as potential targets to reduce pain by non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS), which can alter cortical excitability through approaches, such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation (O'Connell et al., 2018; Kandiæ et al., 2021).

Neurophysiological studies using electroencephalography (EEG) and magnetoencephalography (MEG) have demonstrated that neural oscillatory frequencies corresponding to the theta (4-7 Hz), alpha (8-12 Hz), beta (13-29 Hz), and gamma bands (30-100 Hz) are associated with pain processing (Ploner et al., 2017). The elucidation of neural oscillations is not only helpful for the diagnosis and classification of chronic pain but might also help develop a target for therapeutic strategies. Transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS), which can non-invasively modulate oscillatory brain activity, has recently attracted scientific attention as a promising technique for pain control by targeting neural oscillations. Interestingly, tACS is a non-invasive method that is used to entrain neuronal activity into certain frequency patterns via the application of a weak oscillatory current to the brain through the scalp (Helfrich et al., 2014; Vosskuhl et al., 2018). It shifts the neural membrane potential from its resting potential toward slightly more depolarized or hyperpolarized states. Depolarized neurons are more likely to fire in response to other neurons. This is a potential mechanism by which tACS can entrain neural oscillations time-locked to the frequency of the weak stimulation (Fertonani and Miniussi, 2017; Liu et al., 2018).

As a mechanism of the brain's complex processes based on neural communication across distant brain areas, synchronization of oscillatory brain activity among networks is hypothesized to facilitate information transfer across brain regions by temporally aligning neural processing (Fries, 2015). Therefore, synchronous tACS over distant regions can increase functional connectivity between the targeted brain regions by synchronously entraining brain oscillations. Contrastingly, desynchronous tACS has the opposite effect (Vosskuhl et al., 2018; Cabral-Calderin and Wilke, 2020). Considering the hypothesis that pain is encoded by the spatiotemporal brain network communication that represents the integration of cognitive, emotional-affective, and sensorimotor aspects of pain (Kucyi and Davis, 2015; Ploner et al., 2017), applying tACS between distant brain regions might help control the progress of pain chronicity by modulating the pathological oscillatory brain communication.

This review explores the potential for applying tACS techniques to achieve pain control by modulating oscillatory brain activity. First, this review focuses on tACS studies that target a single brain for pain control by normalizing oscillatory brain activity. Next, inter-brain synchrony related to pain processing in the context of social interaction revealed by hyperscanning, which is a neuroimaging technique used to measure the activity of multiple brains simultaneously, is reviewed. Then, this review discusses a potential dual-brain approach using tACS to reduce pain via prosocial effects. This concurrent manipulation of brain activities helps elucidate the role of inter-brain oscillatory communication in pain processing via interpersonal interaction. However, the application of dual-brain stimulation to modulate the clinician's brain activity in clinical settings is unrealistic. Therefore, this review discusses the potential of using brain-to-brain interfaces, which allow two brains to mutually exchange decoded neural information for analgesia via interpersonal interaction. Finally, to inform future pain control research, the altered functional connectivity among large-scale distributed brain networks is reviewed and the potential of tACS approaches to modulate network-level abnormalities of oscillatory communication in chronic pain is discussed.

## Pain control targeting abnormal neural oscillations

Accumulating evidence shows that chronic pain is closely associated with altered neural oscillations (Ploner et al., 2017). The most noticeable change in patients with chronic pain is an increase in theta oscillations (Pinheiro et al., 2016), a phenomenon that is explained by the thalamocortical dysrhythmia model of chronic pain (Llinás et al., 2005; Vanneste et al., 2018). In this model, abnormal nociceptive input induces thalamic theta oscillations, which in turn entrains thalamocortical loops. At the cortical level, the abnormal theta oscillations induce disinhibition of neighboring areas, which might result in abnormal gamma oscillations. Abnormal alpha oscillations are also well-known changes associated with chronic pain. Systematic reviews have reported that low-alpha oscillations are increased in patients with chronic pain (Pinheiro et al., 2016; Mussigmann et al., 2022). Furthermore, beta oscillations are reported to increase during chronic pain (Lim et al., 2016; May et al., 2019). However, abnormality in these oscillations have not always been observed in patients with chronic pain (Schmidt et al., 2012), and seemingly conflicting results that the suppression of alpha oscillations is correlated with pain severity have also been reported (Camfferman et al., 2017). Therefore, neural oscillatory modulation using tACS can investigate the relationship between pain and specific frequency patterns across different pathologies and may consequently be used as a promising therapeutic tool for chronic pain.

A literature search regarding original research using tACS for pain control was carried out in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science using the following search terms: ("tACS" OR "transcranial alternative current stimulation") AND ("pain relief" OR "pain control" OR "reduce pain" OR "modulate pain" OR "analgesia"). Searches were limited to papers published in English before April 2022. No inclusion or exclusion criteria were established because there were only five studies that modulated experimental and chronic pain using tACS as a result of a literature search. The effects of tACS on experimental pressure pain in healthy individuals were first investigated by Arendsen et al. (2018). The authors showed that alpha-tACS over bilateral sensorimotor cortices can reduce subject-reported pain when the intensity of an upcoming pain was uncertain. However, another study reported that alpha-tACS over bilateral sensorimotor cortices had no effect on experimental heat pain in healthy participants (May et al., 2021). Clinical studies have investigated whether tACS modulates pain intensity in patients with chronic low back pain. One study reported that alpha-tACS over bilateral sensorimotor cortices reduced pain intensity compared to the sham condition (Ahn et al., 2019). Moreover, increasing alpha oscillations after tACS positively correlated with the degree of pain reduction, indicating a relationship between pathological reduced alpha oscillations and chronic pain. Another study performed alphatACS over bilateral sensorimotor cortices in patients with chronic low back pain (Prim et al., 2019), and the results showed that twice as many responders of pain reduction were in the tACS groups compared with the sham condition.

Few tACS studies have adapted conditions other than somatosensory alpha oscillations to modulate pain. A recent study investigated whether gamma-tACS over bilateral prefrontal cortices modulates experimental heat pain (May et al., 2021). Gamma oscillations in prefrontal areas encode pain intensity during experimental heat pain in healthy participants (Schulz et al., 2015; Nickel et al., 2017) and are associated with ongoing back pain intensity (May et al., 2019). However, gamma-tACS over bilateral prefrontal cortices had no effect on pain intensity in healthy participants. Another recent study investigated whether the normalization of abnormal brain oscillations by delivering personalized tACS reduces pain in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome (Bernardi et al., 2021). Patients showing spectral power corresponding to higher slow frequencies (1–10 Hz) were stimulated with beta-tACS at 30 Hz, while those showing higher fast frequencies (10.5–30 Hz) were stimulated with theta-tACS at 4 Hz. The primary motor cortex (M1) and sensorimotor areas were stimulated as the main targets based on the topography of EEG abnormalities. The authors showed that personalized tACS combined with a physical program increased alpha power and reduced patient-reported pain.

Thus, alpha-tACS over the sensorimotor cortex may represent a potential approach to relieve chronic pain. However, tACS studies for pain control are limited, and this hypothesis is strongly influenced by publication and reporting biases. Moreover, the alpha-tACS effect on experimental pain in healthy individuals is inconsistent. The personalized frequency-location parameters might reduce variability in the tACS response. Moreover, important insights into the neural mechanisms of chronic pain could be yielded using appropriate tACS parameters considering pathological factors, such as disease and duration of onset. So far, I have discussed tACS approaches targeting a single brain. Next, the focus will shift to tACS based on inter-brain communication between two brains in the context of interpersonal interactions.

## Pain control targeting inter-brain oscillatory communication

It is known that interpersonal interactions relieve pain (Jensen et al., 2014; Shamay-Tsoory and Eisenberger, 2021). To elucidate the neural mechanism of analgesia *via* interpersonal interaction, a neuroimaging method that allows simultaneous investigation in multiple persons is useful. Studies measuring the activity of multiple brains simultaneously, termed hyperscanning, have revealed that various interpersonal factors can be reflected in inter-brain communication between individuals engaging in joint actions and communication (Dai et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2020). Hyperscanning studies seeking to elucidate the neural mechanism of pain processing have targeted mainly pain empathy, interpersonal touch, and clinician-patient relationships.

Pain empathy enables one to understand or recognize others' pain perception by observing them experiencing pain, inducing emotional sharing associated with pain reduction (Fitzgibbon et al., 2010; Jensen et al., 2014). Peng et al. (2021) performed EEG hyperscanning to assess the neuronal mechanism of pain empathy during a pain-sharing task wherein electrical pain stimulation was delivered to one participant of a dyad; expecting high-intensity pain induced greater alpha-band inter-brain synchrony of sensorimotor areas between pain-takers and pain-observers than did expecting low-intensity pain. Moreover, mediation analysis indicated that sharing a painful experience induces prosocial behavior within dyads through alpha-band inter-brain synchrony. Interpersonal touch has an analgesic effect on newborns (Gray et al., 2000), patients with cancer (Aghabati et al., 2010), and patients with chronic pain (Smith et al., 2009). Goldstein et al. (2018) performed EEG

hyperscanning of romantic partners to examine the association between oscillatory brain communication and analgesia during handholding. They showed that hand-holding increases the alpha-band inter-brain synchrony of central regions during heat pain stimulation. Moreover, alpha-band inter-brain synchrony correlates with the magnitude of analgesia and the observer's empathic accuracy. A good clinician-patient relationship has a positive effect on patient outcomes and accounts for a substantial part of psychological pain reduction (Kaptchuk and Miller, 2015; Mistiaen et al., 2016). Using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), Ellingsen et al. (2020) investigated the brain activity concordance when clinicians treated patients with chronic pain. They found that patient-clinician dyads that had established a therapeutic alliance, relative to the control group, showed increased dynamic concordance between dyads in brain networks related to theory-of-mind and social mirroring processing.

Neural coupling during interpersonal interaction may either cause or be caused by behavioral entrainment, emotional sharing, and common understanding, and these factors may work jointly to promote analgesia (Koole and Tschacher, 2016; Kingsbury and Hong, 2020). Therefore, artificial induction of inter-brain synchrony has the potential to promote analgesia through prosocial effects. Currently, tACS is the main type of NIBS utilized for dual-brain stimulation to induce inter-brain synchrony in human studies (Takeuchi, 2022). A previous study evaluated whether tACS applied simultaneously to two individuals (hyper-tACS) alters interpersonal interaction (Novembre et al., 2017). Synchronous beta-tACS over M1 in dyads with both individuals performing a finger-tapping task enhanced interpersonal movement synchrony, compared with desynchronous or sham stimulation. Phase coupling of brain oscillations across two individuals' M1s supports the interpersonal alignment of sensorimotor processes that regulate rhythmic action, thereby facilitating synchronous interpersonal movement. It has been reported that the higher the movement synchronization between the patient and clinician, the lower the patient-reported pain to heat stimulation administered by the clinician, and the higher the trust in the clinician (Goldstein et al., 2020). Therefore, beta-band hyper-tACS over M1 might promote the therapeutic alliance via movement synchrony between the clinician and patient, providing a good foundation for facilitating pain therapy.

As aforementioned, alpha-band inter-brain synchrony between dyads is involved in pain empathy and interpersonal touch processing. Although it remains unclear whether the specific frequency and site of inter-brain communication are associated with the clinician-patient relationship, it is well known that the alpha-mu band in centroparietal areas comprises the most robust oscillations of inter-brain synchrony during social interaction (Tognoli and Kelso, 2015). Therefore, alpha-band hyper-tACS over centroparietal areas is a potential candidate for the induction of analgesia via prosocial interaction. However, applying NIBS to the clinician's brain is not realistic in the clinical field because of ethical issues regarding the manipulation of healthy brains. Thus, rather than being a therapeutic tool, hyper-tACS is useful as a technique to investigate the role of frequency and site-specific brain-to-brain communication in analgesia via interpersonal interaction, which can complement hyperscanning and single-brain stimulation studies. After clarifying the relationship between inter-brain oscillatory communication and analgesia, it is desirable to use the brain-to-brain interface, which could induce inter-brain synchrony by modulating the patient's brain activity using tACS and adjusting it to the clinician's brain

activity. A brain-to-brain interface enables the mutual exchange of decoded neural information between two brains through a brain-computer interface. This interface receives the sender's neural information and transmits it to the receiver's brain *via* electrical stimulation (Nam et al., 2021). Direct information transmission from the brain of a clinician to that of a patient using a brain-to-brain interface could facilitate interpersonal interaction and more complex bidirectional clinician-patient interactions to achieve pain control.

# Future direction of tACS research for pain control

Pain is a complex, multifaceted experience that has physiological, emotional-affective, and cognitive dimensions, indicating that chronic pain progression might be influenced by altered integration between sensory and contextual process networks (Kucyi and Davis, 2015; Ploner et al., 2017). Consistent with this hypothesis, neuroimaging studies have reported that functional connectivity changes in chronic pain are widespread and involve the sensorimotor network (SMN) as well as self-reflection (default mode, DMN), cognitive control (frontal-parietal, FPN), and emotion (salience, SN) networks (Farmer et al., 2012; Hemington et al., 2018; Ellingsen et al., 2021). The DMN, which is associated with selfreferential processing and theory-of-mind, is consistently disturbed in patients with chronic pain (Loggia et al., 2013; Baliki et al., 2014). In contrast, the FPN is involved in the cognitive control of behavior. Kutch et al. (2017) showed that strong functional connectivity within the FPN was associated with the improvement of chronic pain after 3 months. The abnormal connectivity within SN, which is involved in emotional control and social behavior regarding the detection of salient stimuli, has been reported in patients with chronic pain (Borsook et al., 2013). Moreover, while each network independently demonstrates changes in functional connectivity, it has also been reported that hyper-connectivity between these networks exists in patients with chronic pain (Napadow et al., 2010; Hemington et al., 2018; van Ettinger-Veenstra et al., 2019; Ellingsen et al., 2021). Consistent with these findings, clinical studies have reported that pharmacological pain therapy reduced functional connectivity between DMN-SN and DMN-SMN (Rogachov et al., 2019), and that cognitive-behavioral pain therapy reduced the functional connectivity between DMN, FPN, and SN in patients with chronic pain (Meier et al., 2020). The neural oscillatory coupling between distant brain regions serves the integrative functions by facilitating information flow throughout the brain (Fries, 2015). Therefore, the analysis of neural oscillatory communication in chronic pain might contribute to the development of pain control targets of tACS to help modulate the abnormal functional connectivity. However, the altered functional connectivity of chronic pain, as aforementioned, were observed using resting-state fMRI and fluctuations of blood oxygenation leveldependent signals below 0.1 Hz. Recent EEG/MEG studies have investigated the change of fine neural oscillatory communication within and between these networks in chronic pain (Choe et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2020; Kisler et al., 2020; Heitmann et al., 2021). In contrast to fMRI, MEG studies have demonstrated reduced functional connectivity within and between networks across multiple frequency bands in patients with chronic pain (Choe et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2020). Moreover, the improvement of pain intensity after 6 months interdisciplinary multimodal pain therapy was associated with an increase in the global network at theta frequencies (Heitmann et al., 2021). Thus, the change in fine neural oscillatory communication has been gradually reported in chronic pain, but an overarching framework remains unclear and requires further investigation concerning the therapeutic target of tACS.

Further investigation with regard to tACS approaches is warranted in future studies. Networks, such as the DMN and SN, comprise deep brain sites that are difficult to stimulate with conventional NIBS. As a new tACS technique, transcranial temporal interference stimulation may be able to solve this problem (Grossman et al., 2017). This technique has been proposed to stimulate deep brain regions with specific frequencies and amplitudes using temporally interfering electric fields, although it needs validation of safety in humans. Furthermore, identifying optimal tACS parameters in the future is essential with regard to achieving pain control. Personalized tACS according to the brain state by closed-loop systems, comprising EEG/MEG combined with tACS, is desirable to stabilize and promote pain reduction. Moreover, this closed-loop system might be able to appropriately set the tACS parameters based on the disease-specific frequency and site in pathological conditions that are different from those of healthy participants. However, further systematic testing is required to analyze the oscillatory brain activities in real-time and remove the artifacts produced by tACS without removing a substantial amount of valuable electrophysiological signals (Thut et al., 2017; Zarubin et al., 2020). Finally, it should be noted that tACS-induced entrainment on neural oscillations cannot explain all longer-lasting aftereffects of tACS although they are related (Veniero et al., 2015; Geffen et al., 2021). A detailed elucidation of the neuroplastic tACS effect is requisite for its clinical application to plastic changes in chronic pain.

In conclusion, despite the translational potential of tACS based on oscillatory brain activity for pain control, the methodology remains in its infancy and poses several issues that require greater innovation. Neuroscientific knowledge of intra- and interbrain communication related to pain processing is instrumental in developing optimal tACS approaches as non-pharmaceutical alternatives for the treatment of chronic pain.

### Author contributions

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

## Funding

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 20K11278) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Aghabati, N., Mohammadi, E., and Pour Esmaiel, Z. (2010). The effect of therapeutic touch on pain and fatigue of cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 7, 375–381. doi: 10.1093/ecam/nen006

Ahn, S., Prim, J. H., Alexander, M. L., Mcculloch, K. L., and Fröhlich, F. (2019). Identifying and engaging neuronal oscillations by transcranial alternating current stimulation in patients with chronic low back pain: a randomized, crossover, doubleblind, sham-controlled pilot study. *J. Pain* 20, 277.e271-277.e211. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain. 2018.09.004

Arendsen, L. J., Hugh-Jones, S., and Lloyd, D. M. (2018). Transcranial alternating current stimulation at alpha frequency reduces pain when the intensity of pain is uncertain. J. Pain 19, 807–818. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2018.02.014

Baliki, M. N., Mansour, A. R., Baria, A. T., and Apkarian, A. V. (2014). Functional reorganization of the default mode network across chronic pain conditions. *PLoS One* 9:e106133. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0106133

Bernardi, L., Bertuccelli, M., Formaggio, E., Rubega, M., Bosco, G., Tenconi, E., et al. (2021). Beyond physiotherapy and pharmacological treatment for fibromyalgia syndrome: tailored tACS as a new therapeutic tool. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 271, 199–210. doi: 10.1007/s00406-020-01214-y

Borsook, D., Edwards, R., Elman, I., Becerra, L., and Levine, J. (2013). Pain and analgesia: the value of salience circuits. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 104, 93–105. doi: 10.1016/j. pneurobio.2013.02.003

Cabral-Calderin, Y., and Wilke, M. (2020). Probing the link between perception and oscillations: lessons from transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Neuroscientist* 26, 57–73. doi: 10.1177/1073858419828646

Camfferman, D., Moseley, G. L., Gertz, K., Pettet, M. W., and Jensen, M. P. (2017). Waking EEG cortical markers of chronic pain and sleepiness. *Pain Med.* 18, 1921–1931. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnw294

Choe, M. K., Lim, M., Kim, J. S., Lee, D. S., and Chung, C. K. (2018). Disrupted Resting State Network of Fibromyalgia in Theta frequency. *Sci. Rep.* 8:2064. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18999-z

Dai, B., Chen, C., Long, Y., Zheng, L., Zhao, H., Bai, X., et al. (2018). Neural mechanisms for selectively tuning in to the target speaker in a naturalistic noisy situation. *Nat. Commun.* 9:2405. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04819-z

Ellingsen, D. M., Beissner, F., Moher Alsady, T., Lazaridou, A., Paschali, M., Berry, M., et al. (2021). A picture is worth a thousand words: linking fibromyalgia pain widespreadness from digital pain drawings with pain catastrophizing and brain crossnetwork connectivity. *Pain* 162, 1352–1363. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000002134

Ellingsen, D. M., Isenburg, K., Jung, C., Lee, J., Gerber, J., Mawla, I., et al. (2020). Dynamic brain-to-brain concordance and behavioral mirroring as a mechanism of the patient-clinician interaction. *Sci. Adv.* 6:eabc1304. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abc1304

Farmer, M. A., Baliki, M. N., and Apkarian, A. V. (2012). A dynamic network perspective of chronic pain. *Neurosci. Lett.* 520, 197–203. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2012.05. 001

Fertonani, A., and Miniussi, C. (2017). Transcranial electrical stimulation: what we know and do not know about mechanisms. *Neuroscientist* 23, 109–123. doi: 10.1177/1073858416631966

Fitzgibbon, B. M., Giummarra, M. J., Georgiou-Karistianis, N., Enticott, P. G., and Bradshaw, J. L. (2010). Shared pain: from empathy to synaesthesia. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 34, 500–512. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.007

Fries, P. (2015). Rhythms for cognition: communication through coherence. *Neuron* 88, 220–235. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.034

Geffen, A., Bland, N., and Sale, M. V. (2021). Effects of slow oscillatory transcranial alternating current stimulation on motor cortical excitability assessed by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 15:726604. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2021. 726604

Geuter, S., Reynolds Losin, E. A., Roy, M., Atlas, L. Y., Schmidt, L., Krishnan, A., et al. (2020). Multiple brain networks mediating stimulus-pain relationships in humans. *Cereb. Cortex* 30, 4204–4219. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhaa048

Goldstein, P., Losin, E. A. R., Anderson, S. R., Schelkun, V. R., and Wager, T. D. (2020). Clinician-patient movement synchrony mediates social group effects on interpersonal trust and perceived pain. J. Pain 21, 1160–1174. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2020.03.001

Goldstein, P., Weissman-Fogel, I., Dumas, G., and Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. (2018). Brainto-brain coupling during handholding is associated with pain reduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, E2528–E2537. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703643115 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Gray, L., Watt, L., and Blass, E. M. (2000). Skin-to-skin contact is analgesic in healthy newborns. *Pediatrics* 105:e14. doi: 10.1542/peds.105.1.e14

Grossman, N., Bono, D., Dedic, N., Kodandaramaiah, S. B., Rudenko, A., Suk, H. J., et al. (2017). Noninvasive deep brain stimulation via temporally interfering electric fields. *Cell* 169, 1029-1041.e1016. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.024

Heitmann, H., Gil Ávila, C., Nickel, M. M., Ta Dinh, S., May, E. S., Tiemann, L., et al. (2021). Longitudinal resting-state electroencephalography in patients with chronic pain undergoing interdisciplinary multimodal pain therapy. *Pain* 163, e997–e1005. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000002565

Helfrich, R. F., Schneider, T. R., Rach, S., Trautmann-Lengsfeld, S. A., Engel, A. K., and Herrmann, C. S. (2014). Entrainment of brain oscillations by transcranial alternating current stimulation. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 333–339. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013. 12.041

Hemington, K. S., Rogachov, A., Cheng, J. C., Bosma, R. L., Kim, J. A., Osborne, N. R., et al. (2018). Patients with chronic pain exhibit a complex relationship triad between pain, resilience, and within- and cross-network functional connectivity of the default mode network. *Pain* 159, 1621–1630. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.00000000000 01252

Jensen, K. B., Petrovic, P., Kerr, C. E., Kirsch, I., Raicek, J., Cheetham, A., et al. (2014). Sharing pain and relief: neural correlates of physicians during treatment of patients. *Mol. Psychiatry* 19, 392–398. doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.195

Kandiæ, M., Moliadze, V., Andoh, J., Flor, H., and Nees, F. (2021). Brain circuits involved in the development of chronic musculoskeletal pain: evidence from non-invasive brain stimulation. *Front. Neurol.* 12:732034. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.732034

Kaptchuk, T. J., and Miller, F. G. (2015). Placebo effects in medicine. N. Engl. J. Med. 373, 8–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1504023

Kim, J. A., Bosma, R. L., Hemington, K. S., Rogachov, A., Osborne, N. R., Cheng, J. C., et al. (2020). Cross-network coupling of neural oscillations in the dynamic pain connectome reflects chronic neuropathic pain in multiple sclerosis. *NeuroImage Clin.* 26:102230. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102230

Kingsbury, L., and Hong, W. (2020). A Multi-Brain Framework for Social Interaction. *Trends Neurosci.* 43, 651–666. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2020.06.008

Kisler, L. B., Kim, J. A., Hemington, K. S., Rogachov, A., Cheng, J. C., Bosma, R. L., et al. (2020). Abnormal alpha band power in the dynamic pain connectome is a marker of chronic pain with a neuropathic component. *NeuroImage Clin.* 26:102241. doi: 10. 1016/j.nicl.2020.102241

Koole, S. L., and Tschacher, W. (2016). Synchrony in psychotherapy: a review and an integrative framework for the therapeutic alliance. *Front. Psychol.* 7:862. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00862

Kucyi, A., and Davis, K. D. (2015). The dynamic pain connectome. *Trends Neurosci.* 38, 86–95. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2014.11.006

Kutch, J. J., Labus, J. S., Harris, R. E., Martucci, K. T., Farmer, M. A., Fenske, S., et al. (2017). Resting-state functional connectivity predicts longitudinal pain symptom change in urologic chronic pelvic pain syndrome: a MAPP network study. *Pain* 158, 1069–1082. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000886

Lim, M., Kim, J. S., Kim, D. J., and Chung, C. K. (2016). Increased low- and high-frequency oscillatory activity in the prefrontal cortex of fibromyalgia patients. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10:111. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00111

Liu, A., Vöröslakos, M., Kronberg, G., Henin, S., Krause, M. R., Huang, Y., et al. (2018). Immediate neurophysiological effects of transcranial electrical stimulation. *Nat. Commun.* 9:5092. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07233-7

Llinás, R., Urbano, F. J., Leznik, E., Ramírez, R. R., and Van Marle, H. J. (2005). Rhythmic and dysrhythmic thalamocortical dynamics: GABA systems and the edge effect. *Trends Neurosci.* 28, 325–333. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2005.04.006

Loggia, M. L., Kim, J., Gollub, R. L., Vangel, M. G., Kirsch, I., Kong, J., et al. (2013). Default mode network connectivity encodes clinical pain: an arterial spin labeling study. *Pain* 154, 24–33. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2012.07.029

Martucci, K. T., and Mackey, S. C. (2018). Neuroimaging of pain: human evidence and clinical relevance of central nervous system processes and modulation. *Anesthesiology* 128, 1241–1254. doi: 10.1097/aln.00000000002137

May, E. S., Hohn, V. D., Nickel, M. M., Tiemann, L., Gil Ávila, C., Heitmann, H., et al. (2021). Modulating brain rhythms of pain using transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) - A Sham-controlled study in healthy human participants. *J. Pain* 22, 1256–1272. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2021.03.150

May, E. S., Nickel, M. M., Ta Dinh, S., Tiemann, L., Heitmann, H., Voth, I., et al. (2019). Prefrontal gamma oscillations reflect ongoing pain intensity in chronic back pain patients. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 40, 293–305. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24373

Meier, S. K., Ray, K. L., Waller, N. C., Gendron, B. C., Aytur, S. A., and Robin, D. A. (2020). Network analysis of induced neural plasticity post-acceptance and commitment therapy for chronic pain. *Brain Sci.* 11:10. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11010010

Mistiaen, P., Van Osch, M., Van Vliet, L., Howick, J., Bishop, F. L., Di Blasi, Z., et al. (2016). The effect of patient-practitioner communication on pain: a systematic review. *Eur. J. Pain* 20, 675–688. doi: 10.1002/ejp.797

Mussigmann, T., Bardel, B., and Lefaucheur, J. P. (2022). Resting-state electroencephalography (EEG) biomarkers of chronic neuropathic pain. A systematic review. *Neuroimage* 258:119351. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119351

Nam, C. S., Traylor, Z., Chen, M., Jiang, X., Feng, W., and Chhatbar, P. Y. (2021). Direct communication between brains: a systematic PRISMA review of brain-to-brain interface. *Front. Neurorobot.* 15:656943. doi: 10.3389/fnbot.2021.656943

Napadow, V., Lacount, L., Park, K., As-Sanie, S., Clauw, D. J., and Harris, R. E. (2010). Intrinsic brain connectivity in fibromyalgia is associated with chronic pain intensity. *Arthritis Rheum.* 62, 2545–2555. doi: 10.1002/art.27497

Nickel, M. M., May, E. S., Tiemann, L., Schmidt, P., Postorino, M., Ta Dinh, S., et al. (2017). Brain oscillations differentially encode noxious stimulus intensity and pain intensity. *Neuroimage* 148, 141–147. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.01.011

Novembre, G., Knoblich, G., Dunne, L., and Keller, P. E. (2017). Interpersonal synchrony enhanced through 20 Hz phase-coupled dual brain stimulation. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 12, 662–670. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsw172

O'Connell, N. E., Marston, L., Spencer, S., Desouza, L. H., and Wand, B. M. (2018). Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 4:Cd008208. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008208.pub5

Pan, Y., Dikker, S., Goldstein, P., Zhu, Y., Yang, C., and Hu, Y. (2020). Instructorlearner brain coupling discriminates between instructional approaches and predicts learning. *Neuroimage* 211:116657. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116657

Peng, W., Lou, W., Huang, X., Ye, Q., Tong, R. K., and Cui, F. (2021). Suffer together, bond together: Brain-to-brain synchronization and mutual affective empathy when sharing painful experiences. *Neuroimage* 238:118249. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021. 118249

Pinheiro, E. S., De Queirós, F. C., Montoya, P., Santos, C. L., Do Nascimento, M. A., Ito, C. H., et al. (2016). Electroencephalographic patterns in chronic pain: a systematic review of the literature. *PLoS One* 11:e0149085. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149085

Ploner, M., Sorg, C., and Gross, J. (2017). Brain rhythms of pain. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 21, 100–110. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2016.12.001

Prim, J. H., Ahn, S., Davila, M. I., Alexander, M. L., Mcculloch, K. L., and Fröhlich, F. (2019). Targeting the autonomic nervous system balance in patients with chronic low back pain using transcranial alternating current stimulation: a randomized. crossover,

double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. J. Pain Res. 12, 3265-3277. doi: 10.2147/jpr. s208030

Rogachov, A., Bhatia, A., Cheng, J. C., Bosma, R. L., Kim, J. A., Osborne, N. R., et al. (2019). Plasticity in the dynamic pain connectome associated with ketamine-induced neuropathic pain relief. *Pain* 160, 1670–1679. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.000000000001545

Schmidt, S., Naranjo, J. R., Brenneisen, C., Gundlach, J., Schultz, C., Kaube, H., et al. (2012). Pain ratings, psychological functioning and quantitative EEG in a controlled study of chronic back pain patients. *PLoS One* 7:e31138. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0031138

Schulz, E., May, E. S., Postorino, M., Tiemann, L., Nickel, M. M., Witkovsky, V., et al. (2015). Prefrontal gamma oscillations encode tonic pain in humans. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 4407–4414. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv043

Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., and Eisenberger, N. I. (2021). Getting in touch: A neural model of comforting touch. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 130, 263–273. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev. 2021.08.030

Smith, A. A., Kimmel, S. R., and Milz, S. A. (2009). Effects of therapeutic touch on pain, function and well being in persons with osteo-arthritis of the knee: A pilot study. *Int. J. Adv. Nurs. Pract.* 10, 271–277.

Takeuchi, N. (2022). Perspectives on rehabilitation using non-invasive brain stimulation based on second-person neuroscience of teaching-learning interactions. *Front. Psychol.* 12:789637. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.789637

Thut, G., Bergmann, T. O., Fröhlich, F., Soekadar, S. R., Brittain, J. S., Valero-Cabré, A., et al. (2017). Guiding transcranial brain stimulation by EEG/MEG to interact with ongoing brain activity and associated functions: A position paper. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 128, 843–857. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2017.01.003

Tognoli, E., and Kelso, J. A. (2015). The coordination dynamics of social neuromarkers. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 9:563. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00563

van Ettinger-Veenstra, H., Lundberg, P., Alföldi, P., Södermark, M., Graven-Nielsen, T., Sjörs, A., et al. (2019). Chronic widespread pain patients show disrupted cortical connectivity in default mode and salience networks, modulated by pain sensitivity. *J. Pain Res.* 12, 1743–1755. doi: 10.2147/jpr.s189443

Vanneste, S., Song, J. J., and De Ridder, D. (2018). Thalamocortical dysrhythmia detected by machine learning. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1103. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-02820-0

Veniero, D., Vossen, A., Gross, J., and Thut, G. (2015). Lasting EEG/MEG aftereffects of rhythmic transcranial brain stimulation: level of control over oscillatory network activity. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9:477. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00477

Vosskuhl, J., Struber, D., and Herrmann, C. S. (2018). Non-invasive brain stimulation: a paradigm shift in understanding brain oscillations. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 12:211. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00211

Zarubin, G., Gundlach, C., Nikulin, V., Villringer, A., and Bogdan, M. (2020). Transient amplitude modulation of alpha-band oscillations by short-time intermittent closed-loop tACS. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 14:366. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2020.00366