Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Leonardo L. Gollo, Monash University, Australia

REVIEWED BY Bruno Bonaz, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, France Sophie Clementine Payne, Bionics Institute, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Christoph Szeska ⊠ christoph.szeska@uni-potsdam.de Mathias Weymar ⊠ mathias.weymar@uni-potsdam.de

RECEIVED 18 March 2025 ACCEPTED 17 June 2025 PUBLISHED 08 July 2025

CITATION

Szeska C, Ventura-Bort C, Giraudier M and Weymar M (2025) A vagal route to memory: evidence from invasive and non-invasive electrical vagus nerve stimulation studies and areas for future clinical application. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 19:1595737. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2025.1595737

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Szeska, Ventura-Bort, Giraudier and Weymar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. A vagal route to memory: evidence from invasive and non-invasive electrical vagus nerve stimulation studies and areas for future clinical application

Christoph Szeska^{1*}, Carlos Ventura-Bort¹, Manon Giraudier¹ and Mathias Weymar^{1,2*}

¹Department of Biological Psychology and Affective Science, Faculty of Human Sciences, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany, ²Faculty of Health Sciences Brandenburg, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

The ability to remember emotionally significant stimuli and stimulus associations is critical to survival, as it ensures that rewarding and threatening events can be recalled to guide future behavior. Consequently, events are consolidated more strongly into long-term memory as they are encoded under heightened emotional arousal. Such memory prioritization is partly driven by the release of peripheral adrenaline, which acts as a bodily signal emphasizing an event's emotional significance and enhances plasticity in the brain. Animal research suggest that the vagus nerve translates elevated peripheral adrenaline into central noradrenergic activation of memory-relevant brain areas via its projections to the brainstem locus coeruleus-the main source of noradrenaline in the brain. The possibility of vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), both invasively (iVNS) and non-invasively (i.e., transcutaneously; tVNS), has opened up new avenues to test a potential vagal route to memory in humans whilst circumventing the necessity of actual peripheral adrenergic release. Here, we briefly review recent research applying iVNS and tVNS in a variety of animal and human emotional episodic memory and Pavlovian conditioning and extinction learning experiments, supporting a critical role of the vagus nerve in modulating emotional memories. Based on this body of evidence, we highlight clinical areas where VNS may therefore serve as an adjunct to treatments for neurocognitive, anxiety- and trauma-related disorders, that aim at improving learning and memory consolidation. In fact, a brief review of (sub-) clinical studies shows that VNS alleviates symptoms in mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer's disease as well as anxiety- and trauma-related disorders.

KEYWORDS

vagus nerve stimulation, emotional memory, associative memory, fear extinction, Alzheimer's disease, PTSD - Posttraumatic stress disorder, anxiety disorders, mild cognitive impairment - MCI

Introduction

Memories are created through a highly selective filter: While mundane experiences easily fade away, events laden with emotional salience are etched deeply into our remembrance (Dolan, 2002; LaBar and Cabeza, 2006; Wang and Bukuan, 2015; Rouhani et al., 2023). Emotions are typically elicited during events that are critical to our survival and can therefore functionally be conceived as tags emphasizing the motivational significance of stimuli and stimulus associations (Bradley et al., 2001b). Thus, memory prioritization for emotional material is highly adaptive, as it ensures that significant events (e.g., receiving rewards or facing threats) can be recalled to guide our future behavior (e.g., approach or escape) (McIntyre et al., 2012; Dunsmoor and Kroes, 2019; Szeska et al., 2022). Given its relevance, it may therefore be somewhat surprising that the memory-enhancing effect of emotion has been recognized for a long time (James, 1890), yet the underlying mechanisms of action have only begun to be uncovered in the second half of the last century (Easterbrook, 1959).

More than 50 years later, it is now well established that memory consolidation for emotional experiences is prioritized as they are associated with a profound increase in arousal, entailing increased attentional and perceptual processing (Bradley et al., 2001a; LaBar and Cabeza, 2006; Rouhani et al., 2023). For instance, pictures that have been rated as highly arousing are overall better remembered than low arousing ones, as indicated by increased free recall (Bradley et al., 1992; Hamann et al., 1997) and high-confidence (recollection-based) recognition memory (Dolcos et al., 2005, 2020; Weymar et al., 2009). Even non-emotional pictures of tools or animals have found to be preferably consolidated if they have been associated with physiologically arousing stimuli, e.g., an aversive electric shock or a monetary reward (Dunsmoor et al., 2015a; Patil et al., 2017). Accordingly, dedicated strategies that elevate arousal around the time of stimulus encoding, e.g., by stressful tasks, have found to additionally foster memory enhancement for emotional material (Nielson et al., 1996; Nater et al., 2007; Schwabe et al., 2008; Weymar et al., 2012), while strategies that decrease arousal, e.g., by relaxing music, have shown to attenuate such effect (Rickard et al., 2012; for a review see McGaugh, 2018).

Extensive research in animals and humans unveiled that arousal-based memory enhancement is hinged upon the adrenal glands' immediate adrenaline and delayed glucocorticoids release (Roozendaal et al., 2009; McIntyre et al., 2012; McGaugh, 2018). For instance, aversive emotionally arousing pictures (e.g., of a snake or a gun pointing towards the participant; see Figure 1) lead to a profound increase in peripheral adrenergic activation, as indexed by endocrine markers such as salivary alpha amylase (sAA), and cortisol release, both of which positively covarying with enhanced memory retention (Abercrombie et al., 2003; Codispoti et al., 2003; Van Stegeren et al., 2006; Segal and Cahill, 2009). Highlighting the particular role of adrenergic activation in memory consolidation, depleted levels of peripheral adrenaline-e.g., due to adrenalectomy-in contrast impair memory performance for emotional stimuli (Borrell et al., 1983). Providing even stronger mechanistic evidence, small doses of exogeneous adrenaline foster memory enhancement for emotional material and even reverse the effects of adrenalectomy (Borrell et al., 1983; Cahill and Alkire, 2003), while beta-blockers that attenuate adrenergic transmission

prevent memory enhancement from unfolding (Van Stegeren et al., 1998; for electrocortical evidence see e.g., Weymar et al., 2010).

Pharmacological and imaging studies have demonstrated, that increased levels of peripheral adrenaline invoke such memory enhancement by impacting on the neural transmission in the amygdala-a central hub organizing the establishment of emotional memories via its projections to the hippocampus and cortical regions (Dolcos et al., 2004; Kensinger, 2004; Ritchey et al., 2008; McIntyre et al., 2012; McGaugh, 2018). Accordingly, the activity of this region during encoding of emotionally arousing material increases and positively correlates with memory performance (Canli et al., 2000; Figure 1), while bilateral amygdala lesions have found to prevent memory enhancement for emotionally salient stimuli (Adolphs et al., 1997; Phelps et al., 1998). At this, increases in amygdala activity are indeed strikingly concomitant to increases in adrenergic activity (Van Stegeren et al., 2006; van Stegeren et al., 2007), suggesting that the release of adrenaline might stimulate this region to ultimately invoke memory enhancement: In line with this view, direct infusions of adrenaline into the amygdala foster, while direct infusions of beta-blockers attenuated emotional memory enhancement in animals (Liang et al., 1995).

However, as peripheral adrenaline is unable to cross the bloodbrain barrier (Weil-Malherbe et al., 1959), a neural axis-the vagus nerve-has been presumed to convey information about elevated adrenergic levels from the body to the brain, thereby indirectly increasing neural transmission in memory-relevant brain regions. The vagus nerve, as a cranial nerve consisting of 80% afferent fibers, had long been considered a major autonomic communication route by which the brain receives information about the state of the inner body (Foley and DuBois, 1937; Berthoud and Neuhuber, 2000; McIntyre et al., 2012). Importantly, vagal afferents indeed innervate the adrenal glands and are highly responsive to the release of peripheral adrenaline due to a high number of beta-adrenergic receptors (Coupland et al., 1989; Niijima, 1992; Miyashita and Williams, 2006; Figure 1). Thus, the release of peripheral adrenaline is able to activate vagal afferents, which then project to the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) in the brainstem where adrenergic activation is finally synapsed onto the main hub of noradrenaline in the brain: the locus coeruleus (LC) (Miyashita and Williams, 2004; McIntyre et al., 2012; McGaugh, 2018; Figure 1). By way of the vagus nerve, a peripheral release of adrenaline is thus translated into increased noradrenergic release via the LC-brainstem arousal system. This system ultimately projects to memory-relevant brain areas including the basolateral amygdala (AMY), hippocampus (HC) and cortex (e.g., the medial prefrontal cortex; mPFC), increasing noradrenergic transmission and, thus, promoting plasticity in these areas to eventually foster memory establishment (McIntyre et al., 2012; Mather et al., 2016; McGaugh, 2018; Figure 1). Accordingly, the vagus nerve was presumed to constitute the first relay of a neural circuit mediating the memory enhancing effects of emotional arousal. Indeed, animal research supports this concept by showing that an invasive stimulation of the vagus nerve (iVNS) elicits firing in the NTS and LC and eventually increases noradrenergic transmission in the amygdala (Hassert et al., 2004; Hulsey et al., 2017; Cooper et al., 2021). Consequently, iVNS in fact promotes the memory formation in animals (Clark et al., 1998) while such memory enhancement is prohibited from unfolding, when the LC is optogenetically silenced (Calderon-Williams et al., 2024).



While a major role of the vagus nerve in the formation of emotional memories is thus supported by the animal model (see for a review Olsen et al., 2023), a comparable role has long been elusive in humans. In 1999, however, Clark and colleagues demonstrated that iVNS in fact leads to an enhancement in word recognition memory (Clark et al., 1999). Despite such promising preliminary evidence, though, subsequent stimulation studies that further explored a vagal route to memory in humans remained scarce due to the requirement of invasive surgery. This changed at the beginning of the millennium, when Peuker and Filler (2002) discovered that vagal afferents reach the body's surface at the Cymba Conchae of the human auricle - a skin area that is exclusively innervated by the auricular vagal branch (Figure 1), allowing non-invasive vagal stimulation (transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation; taVNS) with minimal side effects (Giraudier et al., 2025). TaVNS leads to activation in the vagal afferent network important for memory formation including the NTS, LC, amygdala, hippocampus and mPFC, and also results in an increase in central noradrenergic transmission (Frangos et al., 2015; Yakunina et al., 2017; Ventura-Bort et al., 2018; Sclocco et al., 2019; Borgmann et al., 2021; Teckentrup et al., 2021; Giraudier et al., 2022; Figure 1). The availability of this neuromodulatory

strategy has opened up new possibilities to test whether the vagus nerve fulfils a similar role for emotional memory in humans as it does in animals. In the following sections, we will provide a brief overview of recent stimulation studies, which support the view that vagal firing promotes episodic and associative emotional memory establishment. Based on this body of evidence, we will highlight potential areas of clinical application where VNS may be utilized to facilitate the long-term consolidation of learning experiences.

The role of the vagus nerve in episodic memory

Initial evidence for the causal influence of ascending vagal fibers in emotional memory came from animal research (Clark et al., 1995, 1998). In one study, Clark et al. (1998) trained rats in an inhibitory avoidance task, where animals were to learn to avoid an electric shock. Directly after, animals received either 30 s of VNS or sham stimulation (see Table 1). The authors observed that VNS compared to sham stimulation improved memory performance, assessed 24 h after stimulation, especially for intermediate stimulation intensities (Clark et al., 1998; see also Sanders et al., 2019; Olsen et al., 2022; for a review see Olsen et al., 2023; also see Table 1). Subsequent studies have shown that VNS modulates molecular mechanisms within the HC, suggesting that VNS-induced memory improvements are likely related to hippocampal activity (c.f., Olsen et al., 2023).

In a follow up study, Clark et al. (1999) attempted to extend their animal findings to humans. In this study, epileptic patients with an implanted VN stimulator underwent verbal learning before receiving either active VNS at varying intensities (Table 1) or no stimulation in a control condition. Memory performance was tested in an immediate word-recognition test. Results showed that when the stimulation device was turned on after encoding, participants exhibited better memory performance, compared to when the stimulator remained off, particularly at intermediate stimulation intensities (Clark et al., 1999). Subsequent investigations on the effects of iVNS on memory performance have, however, yielded mixed findings. While some studies also reported positive effects of iVNS on memory performance (Ghacibeh et al., 2006), others failed to observed memory improvements after iVNS (Mertens et al., 2022; for a review see Olsen et al., 2023; see Table 1).

Unlike animal studies, which focused on emotional memories, human studies using iVNS did not assess episodic memory for emotional information, limiting the generalization of the same neural path from animals to humans. Ventura-Bort et al. (2021) therefore investigated the role of the VN on the formation and consolidation of emotional episodic memories in humans using taVNS. In this study, participants underwent two encoding sessions in which unpleasant and neutral images were encoded while receiving taVNS or sham stimulation in a counterbalanced, withinsubjects design. One week later, recognition memory was tested by also assessing the contribution of familiarity vs. recollectionbased remembering (i.e., *low vs. high confidence*; Wixted and Stretch, 2004), with the latter representing a more elaborate mnemonic process that particularly reflects increased amygdala and hippocampal activity (Dolcos et al., 2005, 2020).

Although no overall effects of VNS on memory performance were found (see also Ludwig et al., 2025), unpleasant images encoded under taVNS were more often retrieved with high confidence (Ventura-Bort et al., 2021), indicating a recollectiondriven increase for emotional but not neutral images (see also Ludwig et al., 2025 for general memory improvements for unpleasant images encoded under taVNS). These findings were also accompanied by larger recollection-sensitive brain potentials (late ERP Old/New effect) during retrieval of emotional scenes encoded under taVNS, compared to sham stimulation (for a recent conceptual replication of the electrocortical findings using different stimulation protocols, see Ventura-Bort et al., 2025). Similar recollection-related results were also obtained in a behavioral study investigating the effects of taVNS on memory for emotional and neutral words (Giraudier et al., 2020). Although no overall effects of taVNS were found, participants receiving taVNS during the word encoding task showed a recollection-driven advantage (i.e., for words with the highest confidence) 1 day later (but see for no effects when taVNS was applied offline using a same day memory paradigm, Mertens et al., 2020). In contrast to Ventura-Bort et al. (2021), however, no emotion-specific memory enhancement was observed after taVNS (Giraudier et al., 2020), which may be partly explained by the use of the less arousing emotional material (words compared to high arousing pictures, c.f., Ventura-Bort et al. (2021)).

Altogether, these results suggest that stimulation of vagal afferents improves the formation of episodic, particularly recollection-based (i.e., hippocampal-mediated), memories, as indicated by behavioral and electrophysiological measures.

The role of the vagus nerve in associative memory

Importantly, it is not only vital for survival to remember distinct stimuli, but also to remember associations between them, ultimately allowing to anticipate upcoming events based on past experiences. In both animals and humans, such associative emotional memory is predominantly investigated by means of Pavlovian conditioning and extinction protocols. During conditioning, an inherently neutral conditioned stimulus (CS; e.g., a light in animal research; a geometrical figure in human research) repeatedly predicts the occurrence of an emotionally salient, i.e., unpleasant or pleasant, unconditioned stimulus (US; e.g., electric shock or food incentive) (Lonsdorf et al., 2017). As a result, the CS gains the capacity to elicit conditioned emotional responses (e.g., fear in case of a highly aversive US), reflecting a learned CS-US association (Lonsdorf et al., 2017). In contrast, during subsequent extinction protocols, the CS is no longer paired with any US (Lonsdorf et al., 2017). Thus, a novel association (CS-No US) is established, that inhibits the activation of an originally conditioned memory trace and thus reduces conditioned emotional responses (Dunsmoor et al., 2015b). Importantly, vagal projection targets (see Figure 1) play pivotal roles in both conditioning and extinction: Plasticity in the basolateral amygdala underlies initial associative learning (i.e., initial conditioning and extinction), while the medial prefrontal cortex is particularly involved in the consolidation and recall of extinction memory (Herry et al., 2008; Senn et al., 2014; Tovote et al., 2015; Szeska et al., 2022). In addition, noradrenaline heavily impacts on the plasticity in these areas, and consequently increased activity of the LC-NA system has found to promote associative memory processes (Uematsu et al., 2017; Giustino and Maren, 2018).

Accordingly, animal studies found promoted associative emotional memory by iVNS: Rats, that underwent an extinction protocol under iVNS, consistently show promoted extinction of previously conditioned fear-an effect, that maintains even for 10 days and may even reverse experimentally induced extinction impairments (Peña et al., 2013, 2014; Alvarez-Dieppa et al., 2016; Noble et al., 2017, 2019; Souza et al., 2019, 2021, 2022; see Table 1). Importantly, this extinction enhancement by iVNS is abolished if the LC is optogenetically silenced (Calderon-Williams et al., 2024), providing strong mechanistic evidence that respective memory improvements are dependent to vagal projections to the LC-NA system. Notably, the enhancing effects of iVNS are not limited to the extinction of fear, but also apply to the extinction of conditioned appetitive responses (e.g., cocaine-induced place preference) (Childs et al., 2017, 2019). Animal research therefore strongly suggests, that the vagus nerve is involved in guiding the establishment of associative emotional memory, primarily through its projections to the LC-NA system.

TABLE 1 Overview of reviewed studies with particular emphasis on critical stimulation parameters and central effects.

						Stin	nulation para	ameters				
Authors	Торіс	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
Clark et al., 1995	Episodic memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	500 μs	continuous	30 s	0.2/0.4/0.8 mA	After encoding	Inhibitory avoidance	Improved memory retention for 0.4 mA of stimulation
Clark et al., 1998	Episodic memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	500 μs	continuous	30 s	0.2/0.4/0.8 mA	After encoding	Inhibitory avoidance	Improved memory retention for 0.4 mA of stimulation
Sanders et al., 2019	Episodic memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	100 μs	Intermittent 0.5 s trains	Effective stimulation duration ~ 51 s	0.8 mA	During multiple encoding sessions	Object recognition	Increased novelty preference as an index of improved memory retention
Clark et al., 1999	Episodic memory	Human (epileptic patients)	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	500 μs	continuous	30 s	0.50 mA, 0.75 –1.50 mA	After encoding	Word recognition	Improved recognition memory for 0.50 mA of stimulation
Olsen et al., 2022	Episodic memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	100 μs	Intermittent 0.5 s trains	Effective stimulation duration ~ 50 s	0.8 mA	During encoding	Inhibitory avoidance and object recognition	Improved memory performance in both tasks
Mertens et al., 2022	Episodic memory	Human (epileptic patients)	iVNS and taVNS	Left	30 Hz	500 μs	continuous	30 s	invasive 0.5/1.0 mA (increased by 0.125 and 0.25 mA throughout treatment) non-invasive: individually adjusted	After encoding	Word recognition	No immediate memory improvement. However, improved memory retention after 6 weeks of VNS
Ghacibeh et al., 2006	Episodic memory	Human (epileptic patients)	iVNS	Left	No report	No report	continuous	30 s	0.5 mA	During encoding	Word recall	Improved memory retention

(Continued)

						Stim	ulation para	ameters				
Authors	Торіс	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
Ventura-Bort et al., 2021	Episodic memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	continuous	7 min	individually adjusted	During encoding	Picture recognition	Improved high-confidence recognition memory for emotional pictures Enhanced electrocortical correlates of emotional encoding and memory retrieval
Ludwig et al., 2025	Episodic memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 3 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2.4 min	3.0 mA/5.0 mA	During encoding	Picture recognition	Improved recognition memory for emotional pictures
Ventura-Bort et al., 2025 (Exp. 1)	Episodic memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 7.5 min	individually adjusted	During and after encoding	Picture recognition	No effect on memory performance Enhanced electrococortical correlates of emotional encoding and memory retrieval in taVNS condition
Ventura-Bort et al., 2025 (Exp. 2)	Episodic memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 7.5 min	individually adjusted	During encoding	Picture recognition	Improved high-confidence recognition memory for emotional pictures Enhanced electrocortical correlates of emotional encoding and memory retrieval

TABLE 1 (Continued)

						Stin	nulation para	ameters				
Authors	Торіс	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
Giraudier et al., 2020	Episodic memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 11.5 min	individually adjusted	Before, during and after encoding	Word recognition	Improved high-confidence recognition memory for neutral and emotional words
Mertens et al., 2020	Episodic memory	Human	taVNS	No report	25 Hz	250 μs	continuous	30 s	0.5 mA and individually adjusted	After encoding	Word recognition	No effect of taVNS
Peña et al., 2013	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	500 μs	intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2 min	0.4 mA	During extinction	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Improved fear extinction learning and memory retention
Peña et al., 2014	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	500 μs	intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2 min	0.4 mA	During extinction	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Improved between-session extinction/equivalent to extended extinction
Alvarez-Dieppa et al., 2016	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	500 μs	intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2 min	0.4 mA	During initial extinction	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Improved between-session extinction
Noble et al., 2017	Associative memory	Animal (PTSD model)	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	100 μs	intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2 min	0.4 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Improved between-session extinction, reversal of extinction impairments and attenuation of PTSD-like symptoms due to prior prolonged stress
Noble et al., 2019	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	100 μs	intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2 min	0.4 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Improved between-session extinction; Improved extinction memory generalization; Inherent anxiolytic effects of VNS

Szeska et al.

(Continued)

						Stin	nulation para	ameters				
Authors	Торіс	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
Souza et al., 2019	Associative memory	Animal (PTSD model)	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	100 μs	intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 2.5 min	0.4 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Fear conditioning and extinction	Improved between-session extinction, reduced fear renewal and inherent anxiolytic effects of VNS
Souza et al., 2021	Associative memory	Animal (PTSD model)	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	100 μs	intermittent 0.5 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 10 s	0.4/0.8/1.6 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Improved between-session extinction at 0.4 and 0.8 mA, improved long-term extinction retention at 0.8 mA, no extinction enhancement at 1.6 mA
Souza et al., 2022	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	100 μs	intermittent 0.5 s trains	Effective stimulation duration up to 40 s	0.5/0.8 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Strong vs. modest between-session extinction improvements when stimulation was paired vs. unpaired with CS, respectively
Calderon- Williams et al., 2024	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	500 μs	intermittent 2 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 32 s	0.8 mA	During initial extinction	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Facilitated between-session extinction
Childs et al., 2017	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	100 μs	intermittent 0.5 s trains	Unclear	0.8 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Extinction of cocaine seeking	Facilitated between-session extinction and reduced reinstatement of cocaine seeking
Childs et al., 2019 (Exp. 1)	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	500 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 3 min	0.4 mA	During or after multiple extinction sessions	Conditioned place preference and extinction	Reduced reinstatement of conditioned place preference for cocaine

(Continued)

						Stim	nulation para	ameters				
Authors	Торіс	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
Childs et al., 2019 (Exp. 2)	Associative memory	Animal	iVNS	Left	30 Hz	500 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Unclear	0.4 mA	During multiple extinction sessions	Extinction of cocaine seeking	Enhanced extinction from drug seeking, reduced context- and cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking
Burger et al., 2016	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	No report	Intermittent 30 s trains	Unclear	0.5 mA	During initial extinction	Differential-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Facilitated within-session extinction (threat expectancy), no effect on extinction recall
Burger et al., 2017	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration 10 min	0.5 mA	During initial extinction	Differential-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Facilitated within-session extinction (threat expectancy), no effect on extinction recall
Burger et al., 2018	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration ~ 13 min	0.5 mA	During initial extinction	Differential-cue fear conditioning and extinction	No effect of taVNS
Szeska et al., 2020	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation ~ 4 min	individually adjusted	During initial extinction	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Facilitated within-session extinction and between-session extinction (threat expectancy, startle response)
Szeska et al., 2021	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation ~ 4 min	individually adjusted	During initial extinction	Single-cue fear conditioning and extinction	Facilitated within-session extinction (heart rate)
D'Agostini et al., 2025	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	continuous	Effective stimulation duration \sim 15 min	individually adjusted	During initial extinction	Differential-cue fear conditioning and extinction	No effect of taVNS

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

						Stin	nulation para	ameters				
Authors	Торіс	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
Genheimer et al., 2017	Associative memory	Human	taVNS	Left	25 Hz	250 μs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration ~ 20 min	individually adjusted	Before and during initial extinction	Contextual fear conditioning and extinction	No effects of taVNS
Jacobs et al., 2015	MCI and AD	Human (elderly)	taVNS	Left	8 Hz	200 µs	continuous	Effective stimulation duration 17 min	5.0 mA	During and after encoding	Face-Name assocation task	Improved recognition memory
Murphy et al., 2023	MCI and AD	Human (MCI)	taVNS	Left	20 Hz	50 μs	continuous	Effective stimulation duration 6 min	individually adjusted	During MRI scanning	Resting State MRI	Altered functional connectivity between brain regions involved in semantic and salience processing
Wang et al., 2022	MCI and AD	Human (MCI)	taVNS	Left	20 Hz and 50 Hz	No report	continuous	Effective stimulation duration 30 min	individually adjusted	Between baseline and follow-up testing (after 24 weeks of treatment)	Battery of cognitive tests	Improved cognitive (including memory) performance
Dolphin et al., 2023	MCI and AD	Human (MCI)	taVNS	Left	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Face-Name assocation task	Improved recognition memory
Sjogren et al., 2002	MCI and AD	Human (AD patients)	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	No report	intermittent 30 s trains	Unclear	0.25 mA (increased throughout treatment to 0.5 mA)	After baseline and during follow-up testing (at 3 and 6 months of treatment)	Battery of cognitive tests	Improved cognitive performance after 3 and 6 months of VNS treatment
Merrill et al., 2006	MCI and AD	Human (AD patients)	iVNS	Left	20 Hz	No report	intermittent 30 s trains	Unclear	0.25 mA (increased throughout treatment to 0.5 mA)	After baseline and during follow-up testing (1 year after treatment)	Battery of cognitive tests	Improved cognitive performance after 1 year of VNS treatment

	Q	υ
	-	-
		2
	c	=
	-	-
×	F	٠
j	Ć	-
Ľ,	7	5
1	۰,	,
e		۱
()
ĉ		2
2	-	2
2		2
5		2
5)
S L		
(L		
(v L		
	- -	

Szeska et al

						Stim	ulation para	ameters				
lopi	υ	Subject	VNS type	VNS site	Fre- quency	Pulse width	Duty cycle	Duration per session*	Intensity	Timing	Task	VNS effect
PTSD Anxiet disorda	and ty lers	Humans (treatment- resistant PTSD patients)	SNVi	Left	30 Hz	100 µs	intermittent 0.5 s trains	Unclear	0.8 mA	During prolonged exposure sessions	Repeated prolonged exposure sessions (therapist guided and alone)	Alleviation of PTSD symptoms lasting for at least 6 months, loss of PTSD diagnosis
PTSD Anxiet disord	and by lers	Humans (spider phobic individuals)	ta VNS	Left	25 Hz	250 µs	Intermittent 30 s trains	Effective stimulation duration \sim 11 min	individually adjusted	During laboratory exposure to spider pictures	Repeated <i>in vivo</i> exposures separated by one <i>in vitro</i> laboratory exposure	Inhibition of stimulus-specific threat responses (heart rate, corrugator activity), reduced avoidance behavior towards exposed tarantula

Human research utilizing taVNS has largely translated these findings from the animal model, although results have been mixed (see e.g., Burger et al., 2018 and D'Agostini et al., 2025; Szeska et al., 2020, 2021; see Table 1): Using a differential-cue Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm, requiring discriminative learning between a threat and a safety cue, Burger and colleagues showed that taVNS accelerates the extinction of previously conditioned fear (Burger et al., 2016, 2017). Notably, though, these extinction enhancements were limited to verbal report measures of fear (i.e., threat expectancy). Yet, in a simpler single-cue Pavlovian fear conditioning paradigm, which is more closely adapted to animal research and requires simpler associative learning (either threat learning or not in a between-group design), taVNS has found to accelerate the extinction of verbal report, behavioral and physiological components of the fear response (Szeska et al., 2020, 2021). Consistent with prolonged extinction enhancements observed in animals, such beneficial effect maintained for even 4 weeks. However, the extinction enhancements by taVNS may be limited to the learning of associations between distinct cues: In a differential-context Pavlovian fear conditioning protocol, requiring discriminative learning of a threat-signaling vs. safetysignaling environment in virtual reality, taVNS failed to promote the extinction of contextually-related conditioned responses (Genheimer et al., 2017).

As for episodic memory, the current body of evidence therefore also generally supports a pivotal role of the vagus nerve in the establishment of human associative emotional memory, although beneficial effects primarily unfold when simple cue-outcome relationships are to be learned.

A potential role of vagus nerve stimulation in the treatment of mental disorders

Based on the beneficial effects of non-invasive VNS on memory formation, this method was rendered a potential adjunct to the treatment of mental conditions, that are either marked by impairments in memory performance or where treatments are hinged upon successful learning of new information. In the following sections we will highlight such clinical areas, where taVNS may therefore be utilized to aid treatment strategies, including neurocognitive, anxiety and trauma-related disorders (see Figure 2).

Neurocognitive disorders

It is well established, that episodic and associative memory performance declines with increasing age (Shing et al., 2010; Greene and Naveh-Benjamin, 2020) and such memory decline has found to be partly grounded in LC integrity (Dahl et al., 2019; Dahl et al., 2023) and overall neurodegenerative actions (Maass et al., 2018). Since VNS specifically targets the LC, but also increases overall cortical and hippocampal neuroplasticity (Biggio et al., 2009; Morrison et al., 2019; Keute and Gharabaghi, 2021), and invokes memory-enhancing effects (Ventura-Bort et al., 2021), it



may be presumed that VNS might counter such deterioration in ageing individuals. In fact, taVNS has found to improve both episodic and associative memory in elderly people (Jacobs et al., 2015, see Table 1).

However, in some cases age-related impairments in memory performance are particularly pronounced: Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) describes such a condition of cognitive decline, which is has been conceptualized as an intermediate point between normal ageing and dementia (Simon et al., 2012). At this, MCI either includes memory impairments (amnestic subtypes) or not (non-amnestic subtypes) (Bradfield, 2023). Importantly, amnestic MCI is highly present among elderly people, with studies reporting prevalence rates ranging from 0.5% up to 31.9% (median 4.9%) (Ward et al., 2012). To combat memory impairments in amnestic MCI, current treatments often build upon drugs like cholinesterase inhibitors (e.g., galantamine; Loy and Schneider, 2006) or cognitive interventions, such as visual imagery, chunking or cueing (Simon et al., 2012). However, given that MCI has found to be linked to reduced locus coeruleus integrity (Jacobs et al., 2021), such treatments could be complemented by LC-targeting taVNS, effectively utilizing its memory-enhancing effects: Indeed, preliminary data suggests that VNS triggered alterations in functional connectivity between memory-relevant brain regions (Murphy et al., 2023) and demonstrated to improve both the establishment of associative memory as well as immediate and delayed episodic memory recall in MCI patients (Wang et al., 2022; Dolphin et al., 2023).

Longitudinal studies indicated, that amnestic MCI is a critical risk factor for the development of Alzheimer's disease (AD), as it progresses to AD at an average rate of 10%-17% per year (Ferman et al., 2013). This is not surprising, given that amnestic MCI and AD share common features of neurodegeneration and memory decline (Weller and Budson, 2018). According to the World Alzheimer Report 2018, it was estimated that about 50 million people worldwide suffer from AD, and it was projected that this prevalence will triple by the year of 2050 (Patterson, 2018). To combat the progredient memory loss in AD, effective treatments currently tap into similar mechanisms as therapeutic strategies for amnestic MCI: Drugs, such as cholinesterase inhibitor galantamine and NMDA-antagonist memantine, complemented by cognitive interventions (Loy and Schneider, 2006; Weller and Budson, 2018). Given the striking similarities between amnestic MCI and AD, it might be presumed that VNS could provide a valuable addition to this list. Indeed, first pilot studies suggest that taVNS might alleviate AD symptomatology (Sjogren et al., 2002; Merrill et al., 2006) and these effects are further tested in currently ongoing clinical trials (Vargas-Caballero et al., 2022).

Anxiety and trauma-related disorders

Besides neurocognitive disorders, memory processes also play a pivotal role in the etiology and treatment anxiety and traumarelated disorders-conditions, that share features of excessive

fear-based symptoms elicited by distinct (trauma-related) fear cues (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Pavlovian fear conditioning is widely regarded as a model for the establishment of such excessive fear (Craske et al., 2006). In contrast, fear extinction is considered to be an associative learning mechanism driving the success of exposure-based cognitive behavioral therapy-the current first-line treatment for anxiety and trauma-related disorders (Craske et al., 2014; Carpenter et al., 2018). Such treatment involves the repeated exposure towards the patient's individual fear cues, invoking extinction learning which ultimately results in a gradual inhibition of fear-based symptoms (Craske et al., 2014). However, as indicated by basic research, extinction memory is rather fragile compared to the original fear memory trace (Dunsmoor et al., 2015b), and thus the organism remains prone to fear memory reactivation. Such proneness might be even more pronounced upon deficient extinction, which thus constitutes a risk factor for nonresponding to treatment or relapses (Vervliet et al., 2013; Milad et al., 2014). Importantly, extinction deficits are prevalent in anxiety and trauma-related disorders, possibly contributing to high rates of non-responders (\sim 50%) and relapses (\sim 14%) in exposure-based treatments (Loerinc et al., 2015; Levy et al., 2021). Given the positive effects of both invasive and non-invasive VNS on the formation and recall of extinction memory in animals and humans (see above), VNS might constitute a valuable adjunct to overcome these deficits and boost the success of exposure-based therapeutic strategies.

In fact, first evidence on invasive VNS supports this view: In a recent study of Powers and colleagues (Powers et al., 2025), iVNS was combined with prolonged exposure therapy in treatment-resistant PTSD. By applying the invasive VNS during twelve treatment sessions, PTSD symptoms were indeed substantially alleviated–an effect, which persisted even 6 months after the cessation of therapy and ultimately resulted in a loss of PTSD diagnosis in all participants.

Non-invasive taVNS, which may be viewed as a more applicable alternative to iVNS due to not requiring surgery, results in similar effects: In a study of Szeska et al. (2025), spider phobic individuals underwent a standardized exposure in vivo towards a living tarantula, which was followed by a complementary taVNSpaired laboratory exposure in vitro, during which participants were presented with pictures of various spiders including the exposed specimen. Participants, that received active VNS during this complementary exposure indeed showed a stimulus-specific inhibition of fear responses, as indexed by attenuated fear tachycardia and corrugator activity towards pictures of the exposed tarantula-autonomic and behavioral components of fear, that serve as indirect read-outs of amygdala activity (Heller et al., 2014; Roelofs and Dayan, 2022). This effect became stronger with increasing stimulation duration, indicating a dose-dependency of stimulation effects. Importantly, fear attenuation even maintained after stimulation had ceased and participants were subjected to a second in vivo exposure: After receiving taVNS, participants were more likely to make physical contact with the phobic stimulus and touch the tarantula with bare hands, as opposed to participants that received a sham stimulation of the earlobe. Together, these results indicate that vagus nerve stimulation boosts a stimulusspecific reduction of fear responses in a dose-dependent manner, which culminates in promoted responding to exposure treatment.

In sum, current evidence therefore suggests that VNS might be a powerful adjunct for therapeutic regimen that build upon exposure effects (Craske et al., 2014), including first-line treatments of anxiety, stressor-and trauma-related disorders, as well as obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Discussion

A prioritized storage of emotionally salient stimuli into longterm memory warrants that significant cues, people, places and events can be remembered in the future, thus acting as a prerequisite for behavioral adaptivity (Dunsmoor and Kroes, 2019). Animal research has long suggested the vagus nerve to be a central relay driving this effect, since adrenergic actions on this nerve in emotionally arousing situations elicit central noradrenergic release, which promotes plasticity in memory-relevant brain areas (McIntyre et al., 2012). The availability of electrical invasive and non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) has recently opened up the possibility to test a comparable vagal route to memory in humans. In this review, we synthesized animal and human studies utilizing VNS, which altogether suggested that (1) the vagus nerve constitutes an evolutionarily preserved brainbody axis driving emotional memory formation and (2) VNS may consequently be used to promote emotional episodic and associative memory consolidation. Following up on the latter notion, we further discussed VNS as a tool to combat memory decline in neurocognitive disorders and as an adjuvant to facilitate learning processes underlying exposure-based treatment of anxiety and trauma-related disorders. In fact, preliminary evidence suggests that electrical VNS improves mnemonic performance in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease (Wang et al., 2022), just as it promotes responding to exposure-based treatment (Powers et al., 2025; Szeska et al., 2025), rendering electrical VNS as a promising adjunct to a variety of therapeutic strategies. Interestingly, this might also apply to non-electrical VNS: The afferent vagus nerve is a critical component of the microbiotagut-brain axis and thus it may be possible to invoke VNS by manipulations of the microbiome to achieve similar beneficial effects (Kuijer and Steenbergen, 2023; Faraji et al., 2025).

Nevertheless, more research into the mechanisms of electrical VNS is necessary to fully exhaust its memory-enhancing potential and utilize this stimulation technique in clinical areas: Although there is abundant evidence that VNS increases noradrenergic transmission by projections to the locus coeruleus (Frangos et al., 2015; Yakunina et al., 2017; Ventura-Bort et al., 2018; Sclocco et al., 2019; Borgmann et al., 2021; Teckentrup et al., 2021; Giraudier et al., 2022), VNS also targets centers of other transmitter systems (Frangos et al., 2015) and thus modulates cholinergic (Bowles et al., 2022), dopaminergic (Manta et al., 2009; Brougher et al., 2021), serotonergic (Manta et al., 2009, 2013) as well as glutamatergic and GABA-ergic neural transmission (Ben-Menachem et al., 1995). As each of these systems is involved in memory formation (Myhrer, 2003), the exact mechanism that underlies VNS-driven memory enhancement is yet to disentangle. Likewise, it needs to be determined under which conditions VNS yields the strongest memory-enhancing effects: Of the 40 articles included in our minireview (see Table 1), only four have reported null-effects by VNS, with all using taVNS. Thus, we may preliminarily conclude that iVNS produces more consistent effects, possibly as it exhibits more direct action on the vagus. However, future studies need to additionally test the role of different stimulation parameters (frequency, intensity, duration; see D'Agostini et al., 2023) in VNS effects: Indeed, it has been shown that adrenergic agents affect memory retention in an inverted-U-shaped function, that depends upon the arousal level of the organism, where exceeding levels of systemic adrenaline may even impair memory performance (Gold and Korol, 2012). As VNS is suggested to tap into similar mechanisms, it is tempting to speculate that its memory enhancing effects are similarly shaped, i.e., being strongest at intensities that when acting upon the current arousal state - invoke moderate levels of adrenergic activation (for preliminary evidence, see Souza et al., 2021). However, besides the current arousal state, further individual characteristics may also shape VNS effects and need to be systematically investigated (e.g., age, genetic factors, baseline cognitive functions, but also baseline vagal tone). Furthermore, it needs to be tested how (1) acute vs. multiple stimulation, (2) online (during task) vs. offline stimulation (before and/or after task), (3) type of task (e.g., relying on HC function), and (4) immediate vs. delayed testing impact on the effects of VNS on learning and memory. This also applies to the affective valence of the encoded material: While our review showed that VNS enhances memory for unpleasant material, to best of our knowledge there is no study that investigated whether the same holds true for pleasant stimuli, despite (mnemonic) processing similarly taps into (nor-) adrenergic mechanisms (Sternberg et al., 1985; Codispoti et al., 2003). Hence, we hope that our mini-review strongly encourages specific experimental designs or meta-and mega-analytic approaches (see Giraudier et al., 2022) to answer these open research questions. While VNS is already an FDAapproved clinical treatment of epilepsy and drug-resistant major depressive disorder (and applied in further clinical trials focusing e.g., on Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment, PTSD, alcohol use disorder or stroke rehabilitation; see (Herr et al., 2024)), addressing these gaps will help to integrate it even more effectively into therapeutic strategies and tailor this stimulation technique for specific patient populations.

Altogether, this mini-review revealed that the vagus nerve constitutes a major communication route between the body's periphery and the brain, which is critically involved in the formation of emotional memories. Vagus nerve stimulation can therefore be considered as one of the most promising

References

Abercrombie, H. C., Kalin, N. H., Thurow, M. E., Rosenkranz, M. A., and Davidson, R. J. (2003). Cortisol variation in humans affects memory for emotionally laden and neutral information. *Behav. Neurosci.* 117, 505–516. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.117. 3.505

Adolphs, R., Cahill, L., Schul, R., and Babinsky, R. (1997). Impaired declarative memory for emotional material following bilateral amygdala damage in humans. *Learn. Mem.* 4, 291-300. doi: 10.1101/lm.4.3.291

Alvarez-Dieppa, A. C., Griffin, K., Cavalier, S., and Mcintyre, C. K. (2016). Vagus nerve stimulation enhances extinction of conditioned fear in rats and modulates arc protein, CaMKII, and GluN2B-Containing NMDA receptors in the basolateral amygdala. *Neural Plast.* 2016:4273280. doi: 10.1155/2016/4273280

American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. Washington, D.C: American Psychiatric Association. doi: 10.1176/ appi.books.9780890425596

neuromodulation techniques to combat mental disorders, and its full potential at this is yet to unfold.

Author contributions

CS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. CV-B: Writing – review and editing. MG: Writing – review and editing. MW: Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by a grant from the German Research Foundation (DFG, WE 4801/6-1) to MW.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Ben-Menachem, E., Hamberger, A., Hedner, T., Hammond, E. J., Uthman, B. M., Slater, J., et al. (1995). Effects of vagus nerve stimulation on amino acids and other metabolites in the CSF of patients with partial seizures. *Epilepsy Res.* 20, 221–227. doi: 10.1016/0920-1211(94)00083-9

Berthoud, H. R., and Neuhuber, W. L. (2000). Functional and chemical anatomy of the afferent vagal system. *Autonom. Neurosci. Basic Clin.* 85, 1–17. doi: 10.1016/S1566-0702(00)00215-0

Biggio, F., Gorini, G., Utzeri, C., Olla, P., Marrosu, F., Mocchetti, I., et al. (2009). Chronic vagus nerve stimulation induces neuronal plasticity in the rat hippocampus. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 12, 1209–1221. doi: 10.1017/S1461145709000200

Borgmann, D., Rigoux, L., Kuzmanovic, B., Edwin Thanarajah, S., Münte, T. F., Fenselau, H., et al. (2021). Technical note: Modulation of fMRI brainstem responses by transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation. *Neuroimage* 244:118566. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2021.118566

Borrell, J., De Kloet, E. R., Versteeg, D. H. G., and Bohus, B. (1983). Inhibitory avoidance deficit following short-term adrenalectomy in the rat: The role of adrenal catecholamines. *Behav. Neural Biol.* 39, 241–258. doi: 10.1016/S0163-1047(83)90910-X

Bowles, S., Hickman, J., Peng, X., Williamson, W. R., Huang, R., Washington, K., et al. (2022). Vagus nerve stimulation drives selective circuit modulation through cholinergic reinforcement. *Neuron* 110, 2867–2885.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2022.06. 017.

Bradfield, N. I. (2023). Mild cognitive impairment: Diagnosis and subtypes. Clin. EEG Neurosci. 54, 4–11. doi: 10.1177/15500594211042708

Bradley, M. M., Codispoti, M., Cuthbert, B. N., and Lang, P. J. (2001a). Emotion and motivation I: Defensive and appetitive reactions in picture processing. *Emotion* 1, 276–298. doi: 10.1037/1528-3542.1.3.276

Bradley, M. M., Codispoti, M., Cuthbert, B. N., and Lang, P. J. (2001b). Emotion and motivation II: Sex differences in picture processing. *Emotion* 1, 300–319. doi: 10.1037/1528-3542.1.3.300

Bradley, M. M., Greenwald, M. K., Petry, M. C., and Lang, P. J. (1992). Remembering pictures: Pleasure and arousal in memory. *J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn.* 18, 379–390. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.18.2.379

Brougher, J., Aziz, U., Adari, N., Chaturvedi, M., Jules, A., Shah, I., et al. (2021). Self-Administration of right vagus nerve stimulation activates midbrain dopaminergic nuclei. *Front. Neurosci.* 15:782786. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.782786

Burger, A. M., Van Diest, I., van der Does, W., Hysaj, M., Thayer, J. F., Brosschot, J. F., et al. (2018). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation and extinction of prepared fear: A conceptual non-replication. *Sci. Rep.* 8:11471. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-29561-W

Burger, A. M., Verkuil, B., Fenlon, H., Thijs, L., Cools, L., Miller, H. C., et al. (2017). Mixed evidence for the potential of non-invasive transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation to improve the extinction and retention of fear. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 97, 64–74. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2017.07.005

Burger, A. M., Verkuil, B., Van Diest, I., Van der Does, W., Thayer, J. F., and Brosschot, J. F. (2016). The effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation on conditioned fear extinction in humans. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 132, 49–56. doi: 10. 1016/j.nlm.2016.05.007

Cahill, L., and Alkire, M. T. (2003). Epinephrine enhancement of human memory consolidation: Interaction with arousal at encoding. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 79, 194–198. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7427(02)00036-9

Calderon-Williams, D. R., de Souza, R. R., Tseng, C. T., Abdi, H., Sandoval-Flores, A., Ploski, J. E., et al. (2024). Optogenetic inhibition of the locus coeruleus blocks vagus nerve stimulation-induced enhancement of extinction of conditioned fear in rats. *Learn. Mem.* 31:a053958. doi: 10.1101/lm.053958.124

Canli, T., Zhao, Z., Brewer, J., Gabrieli, J. D., and Cahill, L. (2000). Event-related activation in the human amygdala associates with later memory for individual emotional experience. *J. Neurosci.* 20:RC99. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.20-19-j0004.2000

Carpenter, J. K., Andrews, L. A., Witcraft, S. M., Powers, M. B., Smits, J. A. J., and Hofmann, S. G. (2018). Cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety and related disorders: A meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *Dep. Anxiety* 35, 502–514. doi: 10.1002/da.22728

Childs, J. E., DeLeon, J., Nickel, E., and Kroener, S. (2017). Vagus nerve stimulation reduces cocaine seeking and alters plasticity in the extinction network. *Learn. Mem.* 24, 35–42. doi: 10.1101/lm.043539.116

Childs, J. E., Kim, S., Driskill, C. M., Hsiu, E., and Kroener, S. (2019). Vagus nerve stimulation during extinction learning reduces conditioned place preference and context-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking. *Brain Stimul.* 12, 1448–1455. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2019.07.001

Clark, K. B., Krahl, S. E., Smith, D. C., and Jensen, R. A. (1995). Post-training unilateral vagal stimulation enhances retention performance in the rat. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 63, 213–216. doi: 10.1006/nlme.1995.1024

Clark, K. B., Naritoku, D. K., Smith, D. C., Browning, R. A., and Jensen, R. A. (1999). Enhanced recognition memory following vagus nerve stimulation in human subjects. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 94–98. doi: 10.1038/4600

Clark, K. B., Smith, D. C., Hassert, D. L., Browning, R. A., Naritoku, D. K., and Jensen, R. A. (1998). Posttraining electrical stimulation of vagal afferents with concomitant vagal efferent inactivation enhances memory storage processes in the rat. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 70, 364–373. doi: 10.1006/nlme.1998.3863

Codispoti, M., Gerra, G., Montebarocci, O., Zaimovic, A., Raggi, M. A., and Baldaro, B. (2003). Emotional perception and neuroendocrine changes. *Psychophysiology* 40, 863–868. doi: 10.1111/1469-8986.00104

Cooper, C. M., Farrand, A. Q., Andresen, M. C., and Beaumont, E. (2021). Vagus nerve stimulation activates nucleus of solitary tract neurons via supramedullary pathways. J. Physiol. 599, 5261–5279. doi: 10.1113/JP282064

Coupland, R. E., Parker, T. L., Kesse, W. K., and Mohamed, A. A. (1989). The innervation of the adrenal gland. III. Vagal innervation. *J. Anat.* 163, 173–181. doi: 10.1002/cne.900640307

Craske, M. G., Hermans, D., and Vansteenwegen, D. (2006). *Fear and learning: From basic processes to clinical implications*. Washington, D.C: American Psychological Association.

Craske, M. G., Treanor, M., Conway, C. C., Zbozinek, T., and Vervliet, B. (2014). Maximizing exposure therapy: An inhibitory learning approach. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 58, 10–23. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2014.04.006

D'Agostini, M., Burger, A. M., Franssen, M., Perkovic, A., Claes, S., von Leupoldt, A., et al. (2023). Short bursts of transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation enhance evoked pupil dilation as a function of stimulation parameters. *Cortex* 159, 233–253. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2022.11.012

D'Agostini, M., Vanden Bossche, L., Burger, A. M., and Van Diest, I. (2025). Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation does not accelerate fear extinction: A randomized, sham-controlled study. *Psychophysiology* 62:e14754. doi: 10.1111/psyp. 14754

Dahl, M. J., Kulesza, A., Werkle-Bergner, M., and Mather, M. (2023). Declining locus coeruleus-dopaminergic and noradrenergic modulation of long-term memory in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 153:105358. doi: 10.1016/j. neubiorev.2023.105358

Dahl, M. J., Mather, M., Düzel, S., Bodammer, N. C., Lindenberger, U., Kühn, S., et al. (2019). Rostral locus coeruleus integrity is associated with better memory performance in older adults. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* 3, 1203–1214. doi: 10.1038/s41562-019-0715-2

Dolan, R. J. (2002). Neuroscience and psychology: Emotion, cognition, and behavior. *Science* 298, 1191–1194. doi: 10.1126/science.1076358

Dolcos, F., Katsumi, Y., Bogdan, P. C., Shen, C., Jun, S., Buetti, S., et al. (2020). The impact of focused attention on subsequent emotional recollection: A functional MRI investigation. *Neuropsychologia* 138:107338. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia. 2020.107338

Dolcos, F., LaBar, K. S., and Cabeza, R. (2004). Dissociable effects of arousal and valence on prefrontal activity indexing emotional evaluation and subsequent memory: An event-related fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 23, 64–74. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004. 05.015

Dolcos, F., LaBar, K. S., and Cabeza, R. (2005). Remembering one year later: Role of the amygdala and the medial temporal lobe memory system in retrieving emotional memories. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 2626–2631. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0409848102

Dolphin, H., Dyer, A. H., Dukelow, T., Commins, S., Finucane, C., and Kennelly, S. (2023). Neuromodulation for mild cognitive impairment: Improvements in spatial navigation and associative memory with acute transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation: VINCI-AD. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 19:83030. doi: 10.1002/alz.083030

Dunsmoor, J. E., and Kroes, M. C. (2019). Episodic memory and Pavlovian conditioning: Ships passing in the night. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 26, 32–39. doi: 10. 1016/j.cobeha.2018.09.019

Dunsmoor, J. E., Murty, V. P., Davachi, L., and Phelps, E. A. (2015a). Emotional learning selectively and retroactively strengthens memories for related events. *Nature* 520, 345–348. doi: 10.1038/nature14106

Dunsmoor, J. E., Niv, Y., Daw, N., and Phelps, E. A. (2015b). Rethinking Extinction. *Neuron* 88, 47–63. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.028

Easterbrook, J. A. (1959). The effect of emotion on cue utilization and the organization of behavior. *Psychol. Rev.* 66, 183–201. doi: 10.1037/h0047707

Faraji, N., Payami, B., Ebadpour, N., and Gorji, A. (2025). Vagus nerve stimulation and gut microbiota interactions: A novel therapeutic avenue for neuropsychiatric disorders. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 169:105990. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2024. 105990

Ferman, T. J., Smith, G. E., Kantarci, K., Boeve, B. F., Pankratz, V. S., Dickson, D. W., et al. (2013). Nonamnestic mild cognitive impairment progresses to dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology* 81, 2032–2038. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000436942.55281.47

Foley, J. O., and DuBois, F. S. (1937). Quantitative studies of the vagus nerve in the cat. I. The ratio of sensory to motor fibers. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 67, 49–67. doi: 10.1002/cne.900670104

Frangos, E., Ellrich, J., and Komisaruk, B. R. (2015). Non-invasive access to the vagus nerve central projections via electrical stimulation of the external ear: FMRI evidence in humans. *Brain Stimul.* 8, 624–636. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2014.11. 018

Genheimer, H., Andreatta, M., Asan, E., and Pauli, P. (2017). Reinstatement of contextual conditioned anxiety in virtual reality and the effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation in humans. *Sci. Rep.* 7:17886. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18183-3

Ghacibeh, G. A., Shenker, J. I., Shenal, B., Uthman, B. M., and Heilman, K. M. (2006). Effect of vagus nerve stimulation on creativity and cognitive flexibility. *Epilepsy Behav.* 8, 720–725. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2006.03.008

Giraudier, M., Ventura-Bort, C., Burger, A. M., Claes, N., D'Agostini, M., Fischer, R., et al. (2022). Evidence for a modulating effect of transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) on salivary alpha-amylase as indirect noradrenergic marker: A pooled mega-analysis. *Brain Stimul.* 15, 1378–1388. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2022. 09.009

Giraudier, M., Ventura-Bort, C., Szeska, C., and Weymar, M. (2025). A pooled analysis of the side effects of non-invasive transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS). *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 19:1539416. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2025. 1539416

Giraudier, M., Ventura-Bort, C., and Weymar, M. (2020). Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation (tVNS) improves high-confidence recognition memory but not emotional word processing. *Front. Psychol.* 11:1276. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01276

Giustino, T. F., and Maren, S. (2018). Noradrenergic modulation of fear conditioning and extinction. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 12:43. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018. 00043

Gold, P. E., and Korol, D. L. (2012). Making memories matter. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 6:116. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2012.00116

Greene, N. R., and Naveh-Benjamin, M. (2020). A Specificity principle of memory: Evidence from aging and associative memory. *Psychol. Sci.* 31, 316–331. doi: 10.1177/0956797620901760

Hamann, S. B., Cahill, L., and Squire, L. R. (1997). Emotional perception and memory in amnesia. *Neuropsychology* 11, 104–113. doi: 10.1037/0894-4105.11.1.104

Hassert, D. L., Miyashita, T., and Williams, C. L. (2004). The effects of peripheral vagal nerve stimulation at a memory-modulating intensity on norepinephrine output in the basolateral amygdala. *Behav. Neurosci.* 118, 79–88. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.118. 1.79

Heller, A. S., Lapate, R. C., Mayer, K. E., and Davidson, R. J. (2014). The face of negative affect: Trial-by-Trial corrugator responses to negative pictures are positively associated with amygdala and negatively associated with ventromedial prefrontal cortex activity. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 26, 2102–2110. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00622

Herr, T., Kleger, P., Strauss, S., Szeska, C., Khalil, N., Badran, B. W., et al. (2024). Effect of non-invasive transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation on cerebral motor excitability—study protocol for a randomized, sham controlled trial. *Front. Neurol.* 14:1341898. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1341898

Herry, C., Ciocchi, S., Senn, V., Demmou, L., Müller, C., and Lüthi, A. (2008). Switching on and off fear by distinct neuronal circuits. *Nature* 454, 600–606. doi: 10.1038/nature07166

Hulsey, D. R., Riley, J. R., Loerwald, K. W., Rennaker, R. L., Kilgard, M. P., and Hays, S. A. (2017). Parametric characterization of neural activity in the locus coeruleus in response to vagus nerve stimulation. *Exp. Neurol.* 289, 21–30. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol. 2016.12.005

Jacobs, H. I. L., Becker, J. A., Kwong, K., Engels-Domínguez, N., Prokopiou, P. C., Papp, K. V., et al. (2021). In vivo and neuropathology data support locus coeruleus integrity as indicator of Alzheimer's disease pathology and cognitive decline. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 13:eabj2511. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abj2511

Jacobs, H. I. L. L., Riphagen, J. M., Razat, C. M., Wiese, S., and Sack, A. T. (2015). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation boosts associative memory in older individuals. *Neurobiol. Aging* 36, 1860–1867. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.02. 023

James, W. (1890). *The principles of psychology*. New York, NY: Henry Holt and Co, doi: 10.1037/10538-000

Kensinger, E. A. (2004). Remembering emotional experiences: The contribution of valence and arousal. *Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 241–251. doi: 10.1515/REVNEURO.2004.15.4. 241

Keute, M., and Gharabaghi, A. (2021). Brain plasticity and vagus nerve stimulation. *Auton. Neurosci.* 236:102876. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2021.102876

Kuijer, E. J., and Steenbergen, L. (2023). The microbiota-gut-brain axis in hippocampus-dependent learning and memory: Current state and future challenges. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 152:105296. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105296

LaBar, K. S., and Cabeza, R. (2006). Cognitive neuroscience of emotional memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 7, 54–64. doi: 10.1038/nrn1825

Levy, H. C., O'Bryan, E. M., and Tolin, D. F. (2021). A meta-analysis of relapse rates in cognitive-behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders. *J. Anxiety Disord.* 81:102407. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2021.102407

Liang, K. C., Chen, L. L., and Huang, T. E. (1995). The role of amygdala norepinephrine in memory formation: Involvement in the memory enhancing effect of peripheral epinephrine. *Chin. J. Physiol.* 38, 81–91. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2012.09.010

Loerinc, A. G., Meuret, A. E., Twohig, M. P., Rosenfield, D., Bluett, E. J., and Craske, M. G. (2015). Response rates for CBT for anxiety disorders: Need for standardized criteria. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 42, 72–82. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2015.08.004

Lonsdorf, T. B., Menz, M. M., Andreatta, M., Fullana, M. A., Golkar, A., Haaker, J., et al. (2017). Don't fear 'fear conditioning': Methodological considerations for the design and analysis of studies on human fear acquisition, extinction, and return of fear. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 77, 247–285. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.02.026

Loy, C., and Schneider, L. (2006). Galantamine for Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2009, 116–117. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001747.pub3

Ludwig, M., Betts, M. J., and Hämmerer, D. (2025). Stimulate to remember? the effects of short burst of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (taVNS) on memory performance and pupil dilation. *Psychophysiology* 62:e14753. doi: 10.1111/psyp.14753

Maass, A., Lockhart, S. N., Harrison, T. M., Bell, R. K., Mellinger, T., Swinnerton, K., et al. (2018). Entorhinal tau pathology, episodic memory decline, and neurodegeneration in aging. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 530–543. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2028-17.2017

Manta, S., Dong, J., Debonnel, G., and Blier, P. (2009). Enhancement of the function of rat serotonin and norepinephrine neurons by sustained vagus nerve stimulation. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci.* 34, 272–280.

Manta, S., El Mansari, M., Debonnel, G., and Blier, P. (2013). Electrophysiological and neurochemical effects of long-term vagus nerve stimulation on the rat monoaminergic systems. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 16, 459–470. doi: 10.1017/S1461145712000387

Mather, M., Clewett, D., Sakaki, M., and Harley, C. W. (2016). Norepinephrine ignites local hotspots of neuronal excitation: How arousal amplifies selectivity in perception and memory. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 39:e200. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X15000667

McGaugh, J. L. (2018). Emotional arousal regulation of memory consolidation. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 19, 55–60. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.10.003

McIntyre, C. K., McGaugh, J. L., and Williams, C. L. (2012). Interacting brain systems modulate memory consolidation. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 36, 1750–1762. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.11.001

Merrill, C. A., Jonsson, M. A. G., Minthon, L., Ejnell, H., Silander, H. C., Blennow, K., et al. (2006). Vagus nerve stimulation in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 67, 1171–1178. doi: 10.4088/JCP.v67n0801

Mertens, A., Gadeyne, S., Lescrauwaet, E., Carrette, E., Meurs, A., De Herdt, V., et al. (2022). The potential of invasive and non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation to improve verbal memory performance in epilepsy patients. *Sci. Rep.* 12:1984. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-05842-3

Mertens, A., Naert, L., Miatton, M., Poppa, T., Carrette, E., Gadeyne, S., et al. (2020). Transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation does not affect verbal memory performance in healthy volunteers. *Front. Psychol.* 11:551. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00551

Milad, M. R., Rosenbaum, B. L., and Simon, N. M. (2014). Neuroscience of fear extinction: Implications for assessment and treatment of fear-based and anxiety related disorders. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 62, 17–23. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2014.08.006

Miyashita, T., and Williams, C. L. (2004). Peripheral arousal-related hormones modulate norepinephrine release in the hippocampus via influences on brainstem nuclei. *Behav. Brain Res.* 153, 87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2003.11.005

Miyashita, T., and Williams, C. L. (2006). Epinephrine administration increases neural impulses propagated along the vagus nerve: Role of peripheral β -adrenergic receptors. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 85, 116–124. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2005.08.013

Morrison, R. A., Hulsey, D. R., Adcock, K. S., Rennaker, R. L., Kilgard, M. P., and Hays, S. A. (2019). Vagus nerve stimulation intensity influences motor cortex plasticity. *Brain Stimul.* 12, 256–262. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2018.10.017

Murphy, A. J., O'Neal, A. G., Cohen, R. A., Lamb, D. G., Porges, E. C., Bottari, S. A., et al. (2023). The effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation on functional connectivity within semantic and hippocampal networks in mild cognitive impairment. *Neurotherapeutics* 20, 419–430. doi: 10.1007/s13311-022-01318-4

Myhrer, T. (2003). Neurotransmitter systems involved in learning and memory in the rat: A meta-analysis based on studies of four behavioral tasks. *Brain Res. Rev.* 41, 268–287. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0173(02)00268-0

Nater, U. M., Moor, C., Okere, U., Stallkamp, R., Martin, M., Ehlert, U., et al. (2007). Performance on a declarative memory task is better in high than low cortisol responders to psychosocial stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 32, 758–763. doi: 10. 1016/j.psyneuen.2007.05.006

Nielson, K. A., Radtke, R. C., and Jensen, R. A. (1996). Arousal-induced modulation of memory storage processes in humans. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 66, 133–142. doi: 10.1006/nlme.1996.0054

Niijima, A. (1992). Electrophysiological study on the vagal innervation of the adrenal gland in the rat. J. Auton. Nerv. Syst. 41, 87–92.

Noble, L. J., Gonzalez, I. J., Meruva, V. B., Callahan, K. A., Belfort, B. D., Ramanathan, K. R., et al. (2017). Effects of vagus nerve stimulation on extinction of conditioned fear and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in rats. *Transl. Psychiatry* 7:e1217. doi: 10.1038/tp.2017.191

Noble, L. J., Meruva, V. B., Hays, S. A., Rennaker, R. L., Kilgard, M. P., and McIntyre, C. K. (2019). Vagus nerve stimulation promotes generalization of conditioned fear extinction and reduces anxiety in rats. *Brain Stimul.* 12, 9–18.

Olsen, L. K., Moore, R. J., Bechmann, N. A., Ethridge, V. T., Gargas, N. M., Cunningham, S. D., et al. (2022). Vagus nerve stimulation-induced cognitive enhancement: Hippocampal neuroplasticity in healthy male rats. *Brain Stimul.* 15, 1101–1110. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2022.08.001

Olsen, L. K., Solis, E., McIntire, L. K., and Hatcher-Solis, C. N. (2023). Vagus nerve stimulation: Mechanisms and factors involved in memory enhancement. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 17:1152064. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2023.1152064

Patil, A., Murty, V. P., Dunsmoor, J. E., Phelps, E. A., and Davachi, L. (2017). Reward retroactively enhances memory consolidation for related items. *Learn. Mem.* 24, 65–69. doi: 10.1101/lm.042978.116

Patterson, C. (2018). World Alzheimer report 2018 - The state of the art of dementia research: New frontiers. London: An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends.

Peña, D. F., Childs, J. E., Willett, S., Vital, A., McIntyre, C. K., and Kroener, S. (2014). Vagus nerve stimulation enhances extinction of conditioned fear and modulates plasticity in the pathway from the ventromedial prefrontal cortex to the amygdala. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 8:327. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00327

Peña, D. F., Engineer, N. D., and McIntyre, C. K. (2013). Rapid remission of conditioned fear expression with extinction training paired with vagus nerve stimulation. *Biol. Psychiatry* 73, 1071–1077. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.10.021

Peuker, E. T., and Filler, T. J. (2002). The nerve supply of the human auricle. *Clin.* Anat. 15, 35–37. doi: 10.1002/ca.1089

Phelps, E. A., Labar, K. S., Anderson, A. K., O'connor, K. J., Fulbright, R. K., and Spencer, D. D. (1998). specifying the contributions of the human amygdala to emotional memory: A case study. *Neurocase* 4, 527–540. doi: 10.1080/13554799808410645

Powers, M. B., Hays, S. A., Rosenfield, D., Porter, A. L., Gallaway, H., Chauvette, G., et al. (2025). Vagus nerve stimulation therapy for treatment-resistant PTSD. *Brain Stimul.* 18, 665–675. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2025.03.007

Rickard, N. S., Wong, W. W., and Velik, L. (2012). Relaxing music counters heightened consolidation of emotional memory. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 97, 220–228. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2011.12.005

Ritchey, M., Dolcos, F., and Cabeza, R. (2008). Role of amygdala connectivity in the persistence of emotional memories over time: An event-related fMRI investigation. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 2494–2504. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhm262

Roelofs, K., and Dayan, P. (2022). Freezing revisited: Coordinated autonomic and central optimization of threat coping. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 23, 568–580. doi: 10.1038/ s41583-022-00608-2

Roozendaal, B., McEwen, B. S., and Chattarji, S. (2009). Stress, memory and the amygdala. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 423–433. doi: 10.1038/nrn2651

Rouhani, N., Niv, Y., Frank, M. J., and Schwabe, L. (2023). Multiple routes to enhanced memory for emotionally relevant events. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 27, 867–882. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2023.06.006

Sanders, T. H., Weiss, J., Hogewood, L., Chen, L., Paton, C., McMahan, R. L., et al. (2019). Cognition-Enhancing vagus nerve stimulation alters the epigenetic landscape. *J. Neurosci.* 39, 2407–2418. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2407-18.2019

Schwabe, L., Bohringer, A., Chatterjee, M., and Schachinger, H. (2008). Effects of pre-learning stress on memory for neutral, positive and negative words: Different roles of cortisol and autonomic arousal. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 90, 44–53. doi: 10.1016/j. nlm.2008.02.002

Sclocco, R., Garcia, R. G., Kettner, N. W., Isenburg, K., Fisher, H. P., Hubbard, C. S., et al. (2019). The influence of respiration on brainstem and cardiovagal response to auricular vagus nerve stimulation: A multimodal ultrahigh-field (7T) fMRI study. *Brain Stimul.* 12, 911–921. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2019.02.003

Segal, S. K., and Cahill, L. (2009). Endogenous noradrenergic activation and memory for emotional material in men and women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 34, 1263–1271. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.04.020

Senn, V., Wolff, S. B. E., Herry, C., Grenier, F., Ehrlich, I., Gründemann, J., et al. (2014). Long-range connectivity defines behavioral specificity of amygdala neurons. *Neuron* 81, 428–437. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.11.006

Shing, Y. L., Werkle-Bergner, M., Brehmer, Y., Müller, V., Li, S. C., and Lindenberger, U. (2010). Episodic memory across the lifespan: The contributions of associative and strategic components. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 34, 1080–1091. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.11.002

Simon, S. S., Yokomizo, J. E., and Bottino, C. M. C. (2012). Cognitive intervention in amnestic mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 36, 1163–1178. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.01.007

Sjogren, M. J. C., Hellstrom, P. T. O., Jonsson, M. A. G., Runnerstam, M., C-Son Silander, H., Ben-Menachem, E., et al. (2002). Cognition-Enhancing effect of vagus nerve stimulation in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 63, 972–980. doi: 10.4088/JCP.v63n1103

Souza, R. R., Powers, M. B., Rennaker, R. L., McIntyre, C. K., Hays, S. A., and Kilgard, M. P. (2022). Timing of vagus nerve stimulation during fear extinction determines efficacy in a rat model of PTSD. *Sci. Rep.* 12:16526. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-20301-9

Souza, R. R., Robertson, N. M., McIntyre, C. K., Rennaker, R. L., Hays, S. A., and Kilgard, M. P. (2021). Vagus nerve stimulation enhances fear extinction as an inverted-U function of stimulation intensity. *Exp. Neurol.* 341:113718. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol. 2021.113718

Souza, R. R., Robertson, N. M., Pruitt, D. T., Gonzales, P. A., Hays, S. A., Rennaker, R. L., et al. (2019). Vagus nerve stimulation reverses the extinction impairments in a model of PTSD with prolonged and repeated trauma. *Stress* 22, 509–520. doi: 10.1080/ 10253890.2019.1602604

Sternberg, D. B., Isaacs, K. R., Gold, P. E., and McGaugh, J. L. (1985). Epinephrine facilitation of appetitive learning: Attenuation with adrenergic receptor antagonists. *Behav. Neural Biol.* 44, 447–453. doi: 10.1016/S0163-1047(85)90856-8

Szeska, C., Klepzig, K., Hamm, A. O., and Weymar, M. (2025). Ready for translation: Non-invasive auricular vagus nerve stimulation inhibits psychophysiological indices of stimulus-specific fear and facilitates responding to repeated exposure in phobic individuals. *Transl. Psychiatry* 15:135. doi: 10.1038/s41398-025-03352-0

Szeska, C., Pünjer, H., Riemann, S., Meinzer, M., and Hamm, A. O. (2022). Stimulation of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex blocks the return of subcortically mediated fear responses. *Transl. Psychiatry* 12:394. doi: 10.1038/s41398-022-02174-8

Szeska, C., Richter, J., Wendt, J., Weymar, M., and Hamm, A. O. (2020). Promoting long-term inhibition of human fear responses by non-invasive transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation during extinction training. *Sci. Rep.* 10:1529. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-58412-w

Szeska, C., Richter, J., Wendt, J., Weymar, M., and Hamm, A. O. (2021). Attentive immobility in the face of inevitable distal threat—Startle potentiation and fear bradycardia as an index of emotion and attention. *Psychophysiology* 58:e13812. doi: 10.1111/psyp.13812

Teckentrup, V., Krylova, M., Jamalabadi, H., Neubert, S., Neuser, M. P., Hartig, R., et al. (2021). Brain signaling dynamics after vagus nerve stimulation. *Neuroimage* 245:118679. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118679

Tovote, P., Fadok, J. P., and Lüthi, A. (2015). Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 317–331. doi: 10.1038/nrn3945

Uematsu, A., Tan, B. Z., Ycu, E. A., Cuevas, J. S., Koivumaa, J., Junyent, F., et al. (2017). Modular organization of the brainstem noradrenaline system coordinates opposing learning states. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20, 1602–1611. doi: 10.1038/nn. 4642

Van Stegeren, A., Rohleder, N., Everaerd, W., and Wolf, O. T. (2006). Salivary alpha amylase as marker for adrenergic activity during stress: Effect of betablockade. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 31, 137–141. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.05.012

Van Stegeren, A. H., Everaerd, W., Cahill, L., McGaugh, J. L., and Gooren, L. J. G. (1998). Memory for emotional events: Differential effects of centrally versus peripherally acting β -blocking agents. *Psychopharmacology* 138, 305–310. doi: 10.1007/s002130050675

van Stegeren, A. H., Wolf, O. T., Everaerd, W., Scheltens, P., Barkhof, F., and Rombouts, S. A. R. B. (2007). Endogenous cortisol level interacts with noradrenergic activation in the human amygdala. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 87, 57–66. doi: 10.1016/j. nlm.2006.05.008

Vargas-Caballero, M., Warming, H., Walker, R., Holmes, C., Cruickshank, G., and Patel, B. (2022). Vagus nerve stimulation as a potential therapy in early alzheimer's disease: A review. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 16:866434. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2022.866434

Ventura-Bort, C., Giraudier, M., and Weymar, M. (2025). Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation enhances emotional processing and long-term recognition memory: Electrophysiological evidence across two studies. *Psychophysiology* 62:e70034. doi: 10.1111/psyp.70034

Ventura-Bort, C., Wirkner, J., Genheimer, H., Wendt, J., Hamm, A. O., and Weymar, M. (2018). Effects of Transcutaneous Vagus Nerve Stimulation (tVNS) on the P300 and alpha-amylase level: A pilot study. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 12:202. doi: 10.3389/fnhum. 2018.00202

Ventura-Bort, C., Wirkner, J., Wendt, J., Hamm, A. O., and Weymar, M. (2021). Establishment of emotional memories is mediated by vagal nerve activation: Evidence from noninvasive tavns. *J. Neurosci.* 41, 7636–7648. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2329-20.2021

Vervliet, B., Craske, M. G., and Hermans, D. (2013). Fear extinction and relapse: State of the art. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 9, 215–248. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185542

Wang, B., and Bukuan, S. (2015). Timing matters: Negative emotion elicited 5min but not 30min or 45min after learning enhances consolidation of internal-monitoring source memory. *Acta Psychol.* 157, 56–64. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2015.02.006

Wang, L., Zhang, J., Guo, C., He, J., Zhang, S., Wang, Y., et al. (2022). The efficacy and safety of transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation in patients with mild cognitive impairment: A double blinded randomized clinical trial. *Brain Stimul.* 15, 1405–1414. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2022.09.003

Ward, A., Arrighi, H. M., Michels, S., and Cedarbaum, J. M. (2012). Mild cognitive impairment: Disparity of incidence and prevalence estimates. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 8, 14–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2011.01.002

Weil-Malherbe, H., Axelrod, J., and Tomchick, R. (1959). Blood-Brain Barrier for Adrenaline. *Science* 129, 1226–1227. doi: 10.1126/science.129.3357.1226

Weller, J., and Budson, A. (2018). Current understanding of Alzheimer's disease diagnosis and treatment [version 1; referees: 2 approved]. *F1000Research* 7:F1000 Faculty Rev-1161. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14506.1.

Weymar, M., Löw, A., Melzig, C. A., and Hamm, A. O. (2009). Enhanced long-term recollection for emotional pictures: Evidence from high-density ERPs. *Psychophysiology* 46, 1200–1207. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2009.00869.x

Weymar, M., Löw, A., Modess, C., Engel, G., Gründling, M., Petersmann, A., et al. (2010). Propranolol selectively blocks the enhanced parietal old/new effect during long-term recollection of unpleasant pictures: A high density ERP study. *Neuroimage* 49, 2800–2806. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.10.025

Weymar, M., Schwabe, L., Löw, A., and Hamm, A. O. (2012). Stress sensitizes the brain: Increased processing of unpleasant pictures after exposure to acute stress. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 24, 1511–1518. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00174

Wixted, J. T., and Stretch, V. (2004). In defense of the signal detection interpretation of remember/know judgments. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 11, 616–641. doi: 10.3758/BF03196616

Yakunina, N., Kim, S. S., and Nam, E.-C. (2017). Optimization of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation using functional MRI. *Neuromodulat. Technol. Neural Interface* 20, 290–300. doi: 10.1111/ner.12541