

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Gernot R. Müller-Putz, Graz University of Technology, Austria

*CORRESPONDENCE Likun Xia Xlk@cnu.edu.cn Ester Martinez-Martin ester@ua.es Ming Ma ming.ma@yu.edu Davide Borra davide.borra2@unibo.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 15 June 2025 ACCEPTED 24 June 2025 PUBLISHED 09 July 2025

CITATION

Borra D, Ma M, Martinez-Martin E and Xia L (2025) Editorial: Methods in brain-computer interfaces: 2023. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 19:1647584. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2025.1647584

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Borra, Ma, Martinez-Martin and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use,

distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Methods in brain-computer interfaces: 2023

Davide Borra^{1*†}, Ming Ma^{2*†}, Ester Martinez-Martin^{3*†} and Likun Xia^{4*†}

¹Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering "Guglielmo Marconi" (DEI), University of Bologna, Cesena, Italy, ²Department of Graduate Computer Science and Engineering, Katz School of Science and Health, Yeshiva University, New York City, NY, United States, ³Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain, ⁴College of Information Engineering, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China

KEYWORDS

brain-computer interfaces, assistive technologies, rehabilitation, neural decoding, artificial intelligence

Editorial on the Research Topic Methods in brain-computer interfaces: 2023

Introduction

Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) represent a rapidly evolving field within human neuroscience, enabling direct link between the brain and external devices. BCI research is aiming at transitioning from experimental constructs to accurate and generalized tools with transformative implications in clinical, commercial, and assistive domains. Despite significant advancements in the field, such as the design and application of artificial intelligence methods (e.g., convolutional neural networks, Roy et al., 2019) for improving neural decoding, several challenges persist. A primary concern involves neural decoding, which is affected by intra- and inter-subject variability as well as the limited availability of labeled data (Saha and Baumert, 2020). In addition, improving user experience and ensuring system robustness in complex, real-world scenarios are critical challenges that should be addressed to advance practical BCI applications (Pan et al., 2024). The objective of this Research Topic Methods in brain-computer interfaces: 2023 is not only to highlight technological advances in BCI, but also to critically assess and share methodological insights that can inform future research design, improve reproducibility, and facilitate practical deployment. This editorial introduces and discusses the key methodologies developed in 2023, emphasizing the methodological advances and their implications for clinical and cognitive applications.

The studies included in this Research Topic each offer unique methodological advances that reflect the diversity and interdisciplinarity of current BCI research. They emphasize methodological contributions—ranging from novel signal processing techniques to integrative paradigms that leverage emerging technologies such as virtual reality, machine learning, and large language models.

Notable advances for improving neural decoding were made, mainly addressing the intra- and inter-subject variability, and the lack of large datasets. Heterogeneous transfer learning was proposed by Feng et al. for improving the generalization of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) classification of motor imagery in stroke patients. To this aim, the authors introduced a cross-subject heterogeneous transfer learning model, based on convolutional neural networks, which leveraged EEG data from healthy individuals as a source domain to improve offline fNIRS decoding in stroke patients. Additionally, reinforcement learning was employed by Fidêncio et al. to dynamically adapt the BCI system to the EEG variability due to inherent non-stationarities, arising from changes in mental state or device characteristics (e.g., electrode placement and impedance). The hybridization of reinforcement learning and BCIs opens exciting avenues for enhancing BCI adaptability, particularly in contexts where a minimal calibration is desirable (avoiding re-calibration due to non-stationarities in long-term BCI use).

Non-invasive decoding of imagined speech from EEG is a frontier application of BCIs, with significant implications for patients suffering from severe motor impairments (e.g., locked-in patients), as it may enable the design of more naturalistic BCIs. **Carvalho et al.** explored the application of low resource-intensive algorithms for speech decoding based on delay differential analysis, achieving state-of-the-art performance. Non-linear algorithms for speech decoding are widely based on deep learning methods, which are computationally slower, and less robust to noise. Importantly, fast and robust decoders, capable of providing naturalistic EEG decoding, could be valuable for real-world BCI applications.

Finally, Yao et al. introduced a conceptual framework that combines large language models (LLMs), EEG-based BCIs, and virtual reality for improving the diagnosis and the treatment of mild cognitive impairment, seeking to offer patients new avenues for remote diagnostics, treatment, and follow-up care. While the integration of these technologies is in its infancy, this approach theorizes an interesting future direction of BCIs, toward LLM-enhanced BCI frameworks. However, an important challenge of such theorized framework lies in orchestrating its components to operate synchronously, ethically, and securely.

Collectively, these contributions underscore the need for standardized methodologies and comprehensive evaluations of existing techniques to bridge the gap between experimental success and practical implementation. We hope this Research Topic of articles inspires ongoing methodological exploration and interdisciplinary collaboration, fostering the development of more efficient and effective BCI solutions.

Conclusion

The methodological advances of BCI research in 2023 reflect a field that is aiming to bridge the gap between theoretical innovations and practical utility. From BCI frameworks employing reinforcement learning, heterogeneous transfer learning and LLMs to speech decoding, the year has been marked by a diverse array of breakthroughs. Future research should prioritize a robust benchmarking of the algorithms—also consolidating their validation on larger datasets—and testing online the approaches, to promote the integration of BCIs into real-world applications.

Author contributions

DB: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. EM-M: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LX: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was partially supported by the Italian Complementary National Plan PNC-I.1 "Research initiatives for innovative technologies and pathways in the health and welfare sector" DD 931 of 06/06/2022, "DARE— DigitAl lifelong pRevEntion" initiative, code PNC0000002, CUP: B53C22006450001 and the Beijing Natural Science Foundation under Grant 4242033.

Acknowledgments

We extend our deepest gratitude to the contributing authors for their excellent work, and to the reviewers for their thoughtful and constructive feedback. Special thanks to the editorial team for supporting this Research Topic and ensuring a smooth publication process. We believe this Research Topic offers valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and technologists who are committed to advancing the science and application of BCIs.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Pan, H., Ding, P., Wang, F., Li, T., Zhao, L., Nan, W., et al. (2024) Comprehensive evaluation methods for translating BCI into practical applications: usability, user satisfaction and usage of online BCI systems. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 18:1429130. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2024.1429130

Roy, Y., Banville, H., Albuquerque, I., Gramfort, A., Falk, T. H., and Faubert, J. (2019). Deep learning-based electroencephalography analysis:

a systematic review. J. Neural Eng. 16:51001. doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/ ab260c

Saha, S., and Baumert, M. (2020). Intra- and inter-subject variability in EEG-based sensorimotor brain computer interface: a review. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 13:87. doi: 10.3389/fncom.2019. 00087