(Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Jae Kun Shim, University of Maryland, College Park, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Silvio Ionta ionta.silvio@gmail.com

RECEIVED 23 June 2025 ACCEPTED 30 June 2025 PUBLISHED 18 July 2025

CITATION

Chung S, Ghezzi D, Subramanian A and Ionta S (2025) Editorial: Neuro-behavioral insights on low vision and beyond. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 19:1652389. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2025.1652389

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Chung, Ghezzi, Subramanian and lonta. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Neuro-behavioral insights on low vision and beyond

Susana Chung¹, Diego Ghezzi², Ahalya Subramanian³ and Silvio Ionta^{4*}

¹Herbert Wertheim School of Optometry and Vision Science, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, United States, ²Ophthalmic and Neural Technologies Laboratory, Department of Ophthalmology, Hôpital Ophtalmique Jules-Gonin, University of Lausanne, Fondation Asile des Aveugles, Lausanne, Switzerland, ³School of Health and Medical Sciences, City St George's, University of London, London, United Kingdom, ⁴SensoriMotorLab, Department of Ophthalmology-University of Lausanne, Jules Gonin Eye Hospital-Fondation Asile des Aveugles, Lausanne, Switzerland

KEYWORDS

vision, rehab, technology, assistance, assessment

Editorial on the Research Topic Neuro-behavioral insights on low vision and beyond

The landscape of low vision research is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by the convergence of neuroscience, behavioral science, and technological innovation. This Research Topic offers a panoramic view of how current trajectories are increasingly holistic, patient-centered, and grounded in real-world functionality.

The article by Virgili et al. draws attention to a critical but often overlooked aspect of visual rehabilitation: the burden on informal caregivers. Conducted across four Italian visual rehabilitation centers, the research reveals stress levels indicative of burnout or mental health issues in nearly one out five caregivers' experiences. These findings underscore the urgent need to integrate caregiver support into visual rehabilitation programs.

Grounded in pediatric ophthalmology, the study by Chen et al. shows how innovation is reshaping traditional treatment paradigms. In a randomized controlled trial the authors demonstrate that, compared to conventional patching, gamified binocular vision therapy led to faster initial improvements in visual acuity for children with amblyopia. The engaging nature of the investigated therapy suggests that it could enhance adherence and satisfaction, offering a promising alternative for young patients and their families.

Renton et al. propose a novel approach to study age-related macular degeneration (AMD), combining frequency-tagged videos and electroencephalography to assess spatial frequency processing. Their findings revealed that AMD is associated with reduced sensitivity to high spatial frequencies and increased reliance on low frequencies. The analysis of visual evoked potentials adds support to the theory that AMD may induce cortical reorganization. The combination of neural and behavioral assessments may be a powerful and non-invasive approach for tracking disease progression and tailoring interventions.

The study by Yu et al. focused on driving safety among older adults, an area where traditional visual assessments fall short. Their study examined visual function under various lighting conditions and found that mesopic visual acuity and contrast

sensitivity are more predictive of driving ability than standard photopic acuity tests. Using principal component analysis, the researchers identified a minimal set of metrics that explain most of the variance in visual function, providing a foundation for more comprehensive and predictive assessments of driving fitness in aging populations.

In the realm of assistive technology, Nejad et al. investigated a deep learning model for simulated prosthetic vision (Point-SPV). By incorporating gaze-based optimization and task-specific training, the model significantly improved object recognition performance. This research exemplifies how artificial intelligence can be harnessed to align prosthetic outputs with real-world visual demands, enhancing both functionality and user experience.

Swain et al.'s study conducted in rural environments sheds light on the quality of life among patients at risk for glaucoma. They found that factors such as driving status, insurance coverage, and visual symptoms significantly influenced vision-related quality of life. These findings highlight the importance of accessible, culturally sensitive screening tools and support the nine-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI VFQ-9) as a valuable outcome measure for evaluating interventions in underserved communities.

With a focus on cerebral visual impairment in children, Chandna et al. introduced the Higher Visual Function Deficit spectrum and severity indices and validated the Higher Visual Function Question Inventory. Their study demonstrated that higher visual function deficits can occur independently of acuity loss. This paradigm shift advocates for a more nuanced, neurodevelopmental model of pediatric visual assessment, with implications for both clinical practice and educational support.

Altogether, this Research Topic illustrates paradigm shifts in low vision research, from a narrow focus on acuity to a broader understanding of functional vision, neural adaptation, and psychosocial context. As we move forward, the integration of advanced diagnostics, personalized therapies, and inclusive care models will be essential to improving outcomes for individuals with visual impairments across the lifespan.

Author contributions

SI: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DG: Writing – review & editing. SC: Writing – review & editing. AS: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The authors declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.