



Vγ9Vδ2 T Cells in the Bone Marrow of Myeloma Patients: A Paradigm of Microenvironment-Induced Immune Suppression

Barbara Castella^{1,2}, Myriam Foglietta^{1,2}, Chiara Riganti³ and Massimo Massaia^{1,2*}

¹Laboratorio di Immunologia dei Tumori del Sangue (LITS), Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca in Biologia Molecolare (CIRBM), Università degli Studi di Torino, Turin, Italy, ²SC Ematologia, AO S. Croce e Carle, Cuneo, Italy, ³Dipartimento di Oncologia, Università degli Studi di Torino, Turin, Italy

 $V_{\gamma}9V\delta 2$ T cells are non-conventional T cells with a natural inclination to recognize and kill cancer cells. Malignant B cells, including myeloma cells, are privileged targets of $V_{\gamma}9V\delta 2$ T cells *in vitro*. However, this inclination is often lost *in vivo* due to multiple mechanisms mediated by tumor cells and local microenvironment. Multiple myeloma (MM) is a paradigm disease in which antitumor immunity is selectively impaired at the tumor site. By interrogating the immune reactivity of bone marrow (BM) $V_{\gamma}9V\delta^2$ T cells to phosphoantigens, we have revealed a very early and long-lasting impairment of Vy9V82 T-cell immune functions which is already detectable in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) and not fully reverted even in clinical remission after autologous stem cell transplantation. Multiple cell subsets [MM cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, regulatory T cells, and BM-derived stromal cells (BMSC)] are involved in Vy9V δ 2 T-cell inhibition *via* several immune suppressive mechanisms including the redundant expression of multiple immune checkpoints (ICPs). This review will address some aspects related to the dynamics of ICP expression in the BM of MM patients in relationship to the disease status (MGUS, diagnosis, remission, and relapse) and how this multifaceted ICP expression impairs $V_{\gamma}9V\delta2$ T-cell function. We will also provide some suggestions how to rescue $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from the immune suppression operated by ICP and to recover their antimyeloma immune effector functions at the tumor site.

Keywords: $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells, immune checkpoints, multiple myeloma, immune suppression, bone marrow

INTRODUCTION

 $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells have gained a solid reputation in cancer immunotherapy for their capacity to bridge innate and adaptive immunity and to participate to a multifaceted array of direct and indirect antitumor immune responses (1). Hematological malignancies, and especially B-cell malignancies, are privileged targets of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell recognition and killing (2). This intrinsic susceptibility is due to the enhanced cell surface expression of stress-induced self-ligands and to the intense production of phosphorylated metabolites generated by the mevalonate (Mev) pathway. Isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) is the prototypic Mev metabolite recognized by $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells *via* TCR in association with the isoform A1 of the butyrophilin-3 (BTN3A1) protein family (3, 4). IPP is structurally related to the phosphoantigens generated by bacteria and stressed cells that are patrolled by $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells as part of their duty to act as first-line defenders against infections and stressed cell at risk of malignant transformation (5).

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesco Dieli, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy

Reviewed by:

Christelle Harly, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Andrea Knight, Masaryk University, Czechia

*Correspondence: Massimo Massaia massimo.massaia@unito.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 08 April 2018 **Accepted:** 15 June 2018 **Published:** 25 June 2018

Citation:

Castella B, Foglietta M, Riganti C and Massaia M (2018) V_Y9V82 T Cells in the Bone Marrow of Myeloma Patients: A Paradigm of Microenvironment-Induced Immune Suppression. Front. Immunol. 9:1492. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01492

1

One strategy commonly used in vivo and in vitro to activate Vy9V82 T cells is the stimulation of tumor cells, monocytes, and dendritic cells (DC) with aminobisphosphonates (NBP) like pamidronate and zoledronate (ZA) (6). These drugs inhibit farnesylpyrophosphate synthase in the Mev pathway (7) leading to intracellular IPP accumulation and extracellular IPP release which is sensed by $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells via TCR and BTN3A1 (8). Wilhelm and colleagues (9) were the first to demonstrate that activation of Vy9V82 T cells with pamidronate and low-dose interleukin 2 (IL-2) could induce clinical responses in patients with B-cell lymphomas and multiple myeloma (MM). The ability of peripheral blood (PB) Vy9V82 T cells to proliferate in vitro after stimulation with pamidronate and IL-2 was a predictor of clinical response. A clinical trial of adoptively transferred ex vivo activated Vy9V82 T cells in combination with ZA and IL-2 was well tolerated, but showed very limited clinical efficacy (9). Additional studies in solid tumors have also fallen short of clinical expectations (10–13). Understanding why $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells perform so poorly when intentionally recruited in vivo or ex vivo to kill tumor cells is mandatory to really exploit their antitumor properties. One possible explanation is that activated $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells do not reach the tumor site or, if reached, they are overwhelmed by the immune suppressive contexture operated by tumor cells and neighboring cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME).

The TME is the protective niche which helps tumor cell to resist chemotherapy and escape immune surveillance (14). Although immune effector cells are often recruited in the TME by the tumor mutational load and the inflammatory milieu, their antitumor functions are blunted by direct or indirect inhibitory signals generated by tumor cells and neighboring cells in the TME (15). $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are not exempted from this immune suppressive contexture operated via soluble and cellular factors (16). Soluble factors include transforming growth factor- β , prostaglandins, and kynurenins (17-19). Cellular factors include regulatory T cells (Tregs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC), bone marrow-derived stromal cells (BMSC), and others. The discovery that immune checkpoints (ICPs) and their ligands (ICP-L) are abundantly expressed by tumor cells, immune effector cells, and immune suppressive cells have helped to understand the mechanisms promoting the immune suppressive cross talk in the TME and provided new opportunities of interventions.

In this review, we will discuss how the ICP/ICP-L circuitry undermines V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell function and how V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are very early and sensitive detectors of the TME immune suppressive contexture in MM patients. Lessons learned from V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in MM can be instrumental to improve V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell-based immunotherapy in cancer.

THE IMMUNE SUPPRESSIVE TME IN MYELOMA

Multiple myeloma is a prototypic disease where malignant myeloma cells actively remodel the bone marrow (BM) microenvironment to establish a protective niche to support their growth, immune evasion, and drug resistance. MM is invariably preceded by a precursor asymptomatic stage of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) with an estimated risk of progression to symptomatic disease ranging from less than 1% to more than 3% per year. This range depends on risk factors traditionally ascribed to intrinsic features of myeloma cells. Genomic alterations determining clonal advantage are already detectable in MGUS indicating that the probability of progression is also dependent on extrinsic factors such as the composition of the surrounding TME (20). TME in MGUS and MM consists of a non-cellular component, the extracellular matrix, and of a heterogeneous cellular compartment that includes hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic cells. Both the non-cellular and cellular components are edited by myeloma cells to elude immune surveillance and insure their undisturbed survival and progressive expansion (14, 15, 21).

Immune escape in the BM of MGUS and MM patients is achieved by shifting the balance between immune effector and immune suppressor functions as in many other cancers. The immune suppressive mechanisms include the local recruitment and/or activation of immune suppressor cells like Tregs, MDSC, the protumoral polarization of tumor-associated macrophages and/or mesenchymal stem cells, and the differentiation and activation of Th17 cells (22). The wane of immune effector functions includes impaired phagocytosis, ineffective antigen presentation, and T-cell costimulation by DC, B-cell defects and humoral deficiencies, and NK and NKT cell dysfunctions (23). The protumoral immune shift in the TME is driven by soluble factors and cellular interactions, including the recently discovered ICP/ ICP-L circuitry.

For many years, the conventional wisdom has been that the immune balance is tipped in favor of myeloma cell control in MGUS and early stages of MM, whereas the balance is tipped in favor of myeloma cell growth in advanced disease. The wisdom was based on the results obtained from experiments exploring the phenotype and function of T cells, NK cells, and NKT cells in MGUS and early MM stages compared to advanced MM stages (24-26). Nowadays, it is clear that the TME of MGUS subjects already harbor a number of immune dysfunctions. The functional interrogation of pAg reactivity of Vy9V82 T cells in MGUS and MM patients at different stages of the disease (diagnosis, remission, and relapse) has been particularly enlightening (Figure 1). We have previously shown that $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from approximately 50% of MM patients are anergic to ZA stimulation at diagnosis when this assay is performed in PB and monocytes are used to generate IPP (27) (Figure 1B). The anergy is reversible if ZA-treated DC, and not monocytes, are used to stimulate PB $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells, one possible explanation being that the higher IPP production by DC after ZA stimulation (28, 29). The proportion of anergic MM patients increases to 80-90% if ZA stimulation is carried out in the BM using monocytes as IPP-presenting cells. Unlike PB, the strategy to use ZA-treated DC to recover Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell proliferation in the BM is ineffective (Figure 1C), and neither the removal nor the functional inhibition of suppressive cells like Tregs or MDSC are sufficient to recover BM Vy9V82 T-cell proliferation (30). Crossover experiments have clearly shown that the defective pAg reactivity is peculiar to BM Vγ9Vδ2 T cells, which do not proliferate no matter whether they



FIGURE 1 | V₁9V82 T-cell impairment in the immune suppressive TME of MM patients. The stimulation of monocytes or DC with zoledronate (ZA) and low dose of IL-2 is commonly used *in vitro* to induce IPP-dependent V₁9V82 T-cell activation. **(A)** This approach is uniformly effective in healthy donors (Ctrl), no matter whether V₁9V82 T cells are from the PB or the BM. ZA-treated DC are more effective than ZA-treated monocytes to induce V₁9V82 T-cell activation because they produce higher IPP amounts. **(B)** PB V₁9V82 T cells are refractory to ZA stimulation (gray colored) in about 50% of MM patients at diagnosis, when monocytes are used as stimulators. Anergy in these patients can be recovered if ZA-treated DC instead of monocytes are used as stimulators. **(C)** BM V₁9V82 T cells from MM patients includes suppressor cells like Tregs, MDSC, and BMSC (that produce high amounts of IPP). The proportion of these cells is very similar irrespective of the disease status. MDCS are PD-L1⁺ in MGUS and MM irrespective of the disease status and release high amounts of IPP in the TME. Other cells playing a major role in the TME are macrophages, endothelial cells, and osteoclasts. The proportion of these cells is variable according to diagnosis and disease status. The defective pAg reactivity of V₁9V82 T-cell (gray colored) is present in MGUS, MM at diagnosis, MM in remission, and MM in relapse. Elimination of myeloma cells (that are PD-L1⁺ and release IPP) is insufficient in the short/intermediate term to recover V₁9V82 T-cell functions. It is possible that the persistence of PD-L1⁺ cells in the TME (i.e., MDSC), high levels of extracellular IPP, and PD-1 expression (and other ICP) on their cell surface continue to render functionally incompetent BM V₁9V82 T cells even in the remission phase. Abbreviations: DC, dendritic cells; ZA, zoledronate; IPP, isopentenyl pyrophosphate; PB, peripheral blood; BM, bone marrow; Ctrl, healthy subjects; MM, multiple myeloma; Tregs, regulatory T cells; MDSC, myeloid-deri

are stimulated with BM- or PB-derived ZA-treated DC. V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell anergy is already detectable in the BM of MGUS individuals, largely anticipating the dysfunction of T and NKT cells. BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells remain anergic to pAg stimulation also in MM patients who are in remission after autologous stem cell transplantation (30) (**Figure 1D**). Altogether, these data indicate that V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are unique among other immune effector cells in sensing the very early and persistent immune suppressive TME commitment in MGUS and MM.

PROGRAMMED CELL DEATH PROTEIN 1 (PD-1)/PD-L1 NETWORK IMPAIRS $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-CELL ACTIVATION IN THE TME

Immune checkpoints/ICP-L are expressed by a variety of immune cells to control the strength and duration of immune responses

and maintain T-cell homeostasis and self-tolerance (31). Smartly, tumor cells have learned very quickly how to hijack the ICP/ ICP-L circuitry to withstand immune recognition and onslaught. Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA4) and PD-1 are so far the ICP more often targeted for therapeutic purposes (32). PD-1 is expressed on the surface of dysfunctional T and B cells and inhibits T cell-mediated apoptosis after engagement by PD-L1 expressed by tumor cells (33-36). The devilish plot to protect tumor cells from immune recognition and killing begins in the tumor-draining lymph nodes where the PD-1/PD-L1 axis interferes with antigen presentation to blunt the activation of tumor-specific immune responses (37, 38). The inhibition of adaptive immune responses in secondary lymphoid organs is strategically implemented in the TME by ICP/ICP-L-dependent blockade of antitumor responses mediated by innate immunity (i.e., NK cells and Vy9V82 T cells) (30, 39). Based on these premises, ICP blockade (mainly pursued using anti-CTLA4

and/or anti-PD-1/PD-L1 mAbs) has been granted FDA approval in solid tumors and Hodgkin lymphoma (40, 41).

In the context of MM, increasing evidences suggest that the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway plays an active role in the generation of the immunosuppressive TME (42, 43). Myeloma cells offer high levels of PD-L1 to PD-1-expressing T and NK cells in the TME (43–45), and *in vitro* studies showed enhanced myeloma cell killing by T and NK cells after PD-1 and/or PD-L1 blockade (46). In a mouse model, Hallett and colleagues demonstrated that PD-L1 expression in myeloma cells decreases cytotoxic function, cytokine production, and proliferation of PD-1⁺ T and NK cells leading to their functional exhaustion (47). Consistent with this observation, Paiva et al. reported a prolonged survival in disseminated myeloma-bearing mice after PD-1 block-ade in MM.

However, unsatisfactory results of single agent anti PD-1 mAb failed to meet the expectations in the clinical setting. Combination approaches with immunomodulatory drugs (lenalidomide or pomalidomide) and dexamethasone proved synergistic effects in phase I/II trials, nurturing hopes for therapeutic exploitation of PD-1 blockade in MM (48–50). Immunotherapy with daratumumab is currently under investigation as an alternative partner to improve efficacy of PD-1 blockade in a multiphase randomized clinical trial (NCT03357952) recruiting relapsed refractory myeloma patients. Only a deeper understanding of molecular mechanisms triggered by PD-1/PD-L1 signaling pathway may lead to rationally identify targeted strategies to overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade.

Although the function of PD-1 has been extensively studied in mouse and human conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells (51–53), little is known about the role of PD-1/PD-L1 signaling in human Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. Iwasaki et al. analyzed PD-1 expression in PB Vγ9Vδ2 T cells after pAg stimulation in healthy donors and breast cancer patient (54). They found that PD-1⁺ Vy9V82 T cells in breast cancer patients produced less IFNy had lower cytotoxic activity and CD107 degranulation than PD-1⁻ cells after challenging with PD-L1⁺ tumor target cells. Zumwalde et al. (55) have stressed the different kinetics of PD-1 expression in normal Vγ9Vδ2 T cells upon pAg stimulation compared with tumor-experienced Vy9V82 T cells. BM Vy9V82 T cells from myeloma patients represent a paradigmatic example of functionally impaired tumorexperienced Vy9V82 T cells. PD-1 expression in Vy9V82 T cells from normal donors peaks approximately 3-4 days after pAg stimulation afterward PD-1 expression returns to baseline values (55). This is very different compared with myeloma patients in which PD-1 expression increased in BM anergic Vγ9Vδ2 T cells after ZA stimulation, suggesting that these cells are intrinsically programmed to increase their threshold of refractoriness to pAg-induced TCR stimulation via PD-1 upregulation (30). Interestingly, PD-1 expression in myeloma BM Vγ9Vδ2 T cells is predominant in the central memory subset, which in normal conditions is the subset with the highest proliferative capacity to pAg stimulation (30).

One possible mechanism to explain PD-1 expression in BM $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells, already detectable in MGUS when the myeloma cell infiltration is still low (<10% by definition), is the prolonged

TCR engagement by pAg in the TME. Preliminary results from our lab indicate that myeloma cells are not the only IPP producers in the TME, and that BMSC in MGUS and MM also produce and release very high amounts of IPP in the extracellular microenvironment (8) (**Figure 1D**). Thus, it is possible that a chronic TCR engagement within an immune suppressive TME, characterized by inappropriate costimulatory signals and/or cytokines, leads to PD-1 expression and functional exhaustion of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells.

Our study has been the first to show that human MDSC are PD-L1⁺ in the TME suggesting that this is an additional mechanism exploited by these cells to exert local immune suppression against PD-1+ effector cells. Interestingly, the BM is highly hypoxic in MM (56) and experimental data in tumor-bearing mice have shown that the hypoxia-inducible factor-1a selectively upregulates PD-L1 in tumor-infiltrating MDSC, but not in MDSC from peripheral lymphoid organs (57). Hypoxia has been reported to increase the immune suppressive TME contexture via upregulation of a variety of ICP/ ICP-L (58). Extracellular adenosine, which accumulates due to tissue hypoxia, also contributes to ICP/ICP-L upregulation (59), and adenosine levels are significantly higher in the BM of myeloma due to the highly coordinated expression of adenosinergic ecto-nucleotidases (CD39/CD73/CD38/CD203a) strategically located at the interface between myeloma cells and neighboring cells (60). Preliminary data from our lab indicate that BMSC, another major protumoral component in the BM niche of MGUS and MM patients, are PD-L1⁺, further confirming that there is a redundancy of immune suppressor cells exploiting the ICP/ICP-L circuitry to hamper myeloma cell recognition and elimination by immune effector cells in the TME. The finding that BM $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are PD-1⁺ in MGUS, MM at diagnosis, and even in remission, confirms the unique sensitivity of these cells to the immune suppressor imprinting operated by the TME which is not overcome even when myeloma cells have been cleared from the BM. One possible explanation is that the immune suppression is exerted by PD-L1⁺ cells other than myeloma cells, like MDSC and BMSC, whose percentages and PD-L1 expression remain unchanged in the BM of MM in remission (30) (Figure 1D).

STRATEGIES TO RESCUE ANTITUMOR V γ 9V δ 2 T-CELL FUNCTION IN THE TME: LESSONS FROM MM

Clinical trials using anti-PD-1 mAbs as single agents in MM have failed to confirm the excellent premises of experimental data (61, 62). Interestingly, we have shown that single agent PD-1 blockade is insufficient to fully recover the antitumor activity of BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in MM (30). Thus, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are excellent tools to decipher the mechanisms developed by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and other immune effector cells to resist immune recovery triggered by ICP/ICP-L blockade in the TME (**Figure 2**). Understanding these mechanisms of resistance is important to improve the efficacy of immune interventions based on ICP/ICP-L blockade in MM and other cancers.

One mechanism could be the expression of alternative ICP under resting conditions or after pAg stimulation and/or PD-1 blockade (Figure 2, option A). Double PD-1 and T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3) expression in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes from tumor-bearing mice identifies the most dysfunctional CD8+ T cells in the TME of these mice, and concurrent PD-1 and TIM-3 blockade significantly improves the antitumor activity of these cells, much better than single inhibition (63). The expression of multiple ICP/ICP-L expression on individual immune cells and tumor cells has recently been proposed as a mechanism of acquired resistance to single PD-1 blockade also in human cancer (64, 65). TIM-3, lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3), and TIGIT are examples of alternative ICP that could be expressed on the cell surface of BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and could restrain the efficacy of single PD-1 blockade. Targeting multiple ICP could be an attractive strategy to improve the recovery of antitumor Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell responses (Figure 2, option A).

Another mechanism could be the regulatory/suppressor polarization of BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells driven by the TME (66, 67). This functional polarization cannot be reverted by single PD-1 blockade. V γ 9V δ 2 T cells with regulatory functions have initially been described by Casetti et al. (68), who reported the *in vitro* induction of FOXP3⁺ regulatory V γ 9V δ 2 T cells after pAg stimulation in the presence of TGF- β 1 and IL-15. Other groups have confirmed the emergence of regulatory/suppressor V γ 9V δ 2 T cells as a consequence of pAg activation in the presence of selected cytokines (69, 70). Ma et al. have reported an increased proportion of regulatory $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells in the PB of MM patients which could suppress antimyeloma immune responses with the same efficiency of conventional Tregs (71).

Single PD-1 blockade may not be sufficient to revert the regulatory/suppressor polarization of BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Preliminary data in our lab indicate that PD-1 blockade of BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in MM could even worsen this polarization by inducing the expression of additional inhibitory molecules (FOXP3, CD73, CD39, and CD38) and the release of suppressive factors like IL-10 (**Figure 2**, option B). In this case, PD-1 blockade should be integrated by strategies aimed at preventing the detrimental BM V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell polarization and/or the regulatory/suppressor functions exerted by polarized V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in the TME.

Another major hurdle preventing the full recovery of antitumor V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell functions by PD-1 blockade could be their immune senescence status (**Figure 2**, option C). Immune senescence is the hallmark of oligoclonal T cells which accumulate in the PB of MM patients with progressive and advanced disease (72–74). The immune competence of senescent cells is very hard to resurrect by single PD-1 blockade. The CD57+CD160+KLRG-1+CD28⁻ phenotype might portray a distinct population of senescent V γ 9V δ 2 T cells gathered in the BM of MM patients which require multiple approaches to overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade.



FIGURE 2 | Hypothetical mechanisms of resistance to PD-1 blockade in BM V_Y9V82 T cells from MM patients. Left panel: BM V_Y9V82 T cells in MGUS and MM are anergic to ZA + IL-2 stimulation; this anergy is only partially rescued by single agent PD-1 blockade. Right panel: possible mechanisms of resistance to immune recovery triggered by single agent PD-1 blockade. (A) Alternative inhibitory ICPs, such as TIM-3, TIGIT, and LAG-3, are expressed by BM V_Y9V82 T cells to reinforce their anergy and resist to PD-1 blockade; (B) V_Y9V82 T cells have been functionally polarized to express inhibitory molecules (FOXP3, CD73, CD39, and CD38) and release immune suppressive cytokines (IL-10) as a consequence of their long-term exposure to tumor cells in the BM; (C) BM V_Y9V82 T cells have acquired a senescence status (CD57+CD160+KLRG-1+CD28⁻ phenotype) which is hard to reverse by single PD-1 blockade; (D) PD-1 engagement of anergic/senescent BM V_Y9V82 T cells induces the expression of PD-L1 and galectin-9 (GAL-9), and IL-17 secretion leading to immune suppressive effects on other effector cells like CD8⁺ cells. Abbreviations: MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance; MM, multiple myeloma; BM, bone marrow; TME, tumor microenvironment; ZA, zoledronate; IL-2, interleukin 2; ICP, immune checkpoint; TIM-3, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3; LAG-3, lymphocyte-activation gene 3; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1.

Finally, the acquisition of protumoral functions by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells that are long-term resident in the TME could be another mechanism of resistance to single PD-1 blockade. The inappropriate expression of ICP-L such as PD-L1 and galectin-9 (GAL-9) in V γ 9V δ 2 T cells could affect *via* PD-1 and TIM-3 the antitumor responses of other immune effector cells in the TME (**Figure 2**, option D). Likewise, production of IL-17 by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, as reported for selected $\gamma\delta$ subsets in solid tumors (75), may contribute to reinforce the immune suppressive TME imprinting by recruiting MDSC (76) and polarizing neutrophils (77). This hypothetical scenario suggests that PD-L1/GAL-9 and IL-17 (or its receptor) could be novel targets to rescue antimyeloma V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell function.

Whether the mechanisms reported above are operative under baseline conditions or sharpened by pAg stimulation in the presence of PD-1 blockade is a matter of current investigation in our lab. Preliminary data suggest that the intracellular metabolic and signaling pathways evoked by PD-1 blockade could worsen the immune competence status of pAg-experienced V γ 9V δ 2 T cells.

CONCLUSION

 $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are programmed by default to behave as very effective professional killers of malignant B cells, including myeloma cells. We propose that $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are very precociously neutralized by myeloma cells in cooperation with neighboring cells in the TME of MGUS and MM patients. Finalistically speaking, it makes sense that myeloma cells inactivate or co-opt in their favor those immune cells mostly well suited to threaten their survival. This is done very early at the stage of MGUS and the uncontrolled production of IPP by BMSC and myeloma cells is probably a relevant initiating event.

REFERENCES

- 1. Vantourout P, Hayday A. Six-of-the-best: unique contributions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells to immunology. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2013) 13(2):88–100. doi:10.1038/nri3384
- Castella B, Vitale C, Coscia M, Massaia M. Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based immunotherapy in hematological malignancies: from bench to bedside. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2011) 68(14):2419–32. doi:10.1007/s00018-011-0704-8
- 3. Harly C, Guillaume Y, Nedellec S, Peigné CM, Mönkkönen H, Mönkkönen J, et al. Key implication of CD277/butyrophilin-3 (BTN3A) in cellular stress sensing by a major human $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subset. *Blood* (2012) 120(11):2269–79. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-05-430470
- 4. Sandstrom A, Peigné CM, Léger A, Crooks JE, Konczak F, Gesnel MC, et al. The intracellular B30.2 domain of butyrophilin 3A1 binds phosphoantigens to mediate activation of human V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. *Immunity* (2014) 40(4):490–500. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.03.003
- Wesch D, Marx S, Kabelitz D. Comparative analysis of alpha beta and gamma delta T cell activation by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and isopentenyl pyrophosphate. *Eur J Immunol* (1997) 27(4):952–6. doi:10.1002/eji. 1830270422
- 6. Kunzmann V, Bauer E, Feurle J, Weissinger F, Tony HP, Wilhelm M. Stimulation of gammadelta T cells by aminobisphosphonates and induction of antiplasma cell activity in multiplemyeloma. *Blood* (2000) 96:384–92.
- Thompson K, Rogers MJ. Statins prevent bisphosphonate-induced gammadelta-T-cell proliferation and activation in vitro. *J Bone Miner Res* (2004) 19(2):278–88. doi:10.1359/JBMR.0301230
- 8. Castella B, Kopecka J, Sciancalepore P, Mandili G, Foglietta M, Mitro N, et al. The ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 regulates phosphoantigen release

Functional interrogation of BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells from MM patients in remission has revealed that clearance of myeloma cells does not automatically imply the recovery of a fully immune competent TME.

ICP/ICP-L abundantly expressed by tumor cells, immune effector cells, and immune suppressive cells are major promoters of immune suppressive cross talks in the TME at any stage and hamper the antimyeloma activity of BM $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells. Single agent PD-1 blockade is insufficient to fully recover the antitumor activity of Vy9V82 T cells in vitro, especially in MM at diagnosis or in relapse. These data indicate that additional immune suppressive mechanisms are involved in the anergy of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. A working knowledge of these mechanisms may yield insight into the development of more effective interventions to fully exploit the immune potency of Vy9V82 T cells in MM and other cancers. This knowledge could be profitably implemented by next generation sequencing studies investigating the genetic and epigenetic consequences of cell-to-cell interactions of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells and other cell subsets in the TME of MGUS and MM patients.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have made substantial contributions to text and figures and have approved the manuscript for submission.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) (IG15232 to CR and IG16985 to MM). BC is a post-doc research fellow supported by American Association for Cancer Research (AACR).

and V γ 9V δ 2 T cell activation by dendritic cells. Nat Commun (2017) 8:15663. doi:10.1038/ncomms15663

- 9. Wilhelm M, Kunzmann V, Eckstein S, Reimer P, Weissinger F, Ruediger T, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T cells for immune therapy of patients with lymphoid malignancies. *Blood* (2003) 102(1):200–6. doi:10.1182/blood-2002-12-3665
- 10. Lang JM, Kaikobad MR, Wallace M, Staab MJ, Horvath DL, Wilding G, et al. Pilot trial of interleukin-2 and zoledronic acid to augment $\gamma\delta T$ cells as treatment for patients with refractory renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2011) 60(10):1447–60. doi:10.1007/s00262-011-1049-8
- 11. Kunzmann V, Smetak M, Kimmel B, Weigang-Koehler K, Goebeler M, Birkmann J, et al. Tumor-promoting versus tumor-antagonizing roles of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cancer immunotherapy: results from a prospective phase I/II trial. *J Immunother* (2012) 35(2):205-13. doi:10.1097/CJI. 0b013e318245bb1e
- Bennouna J, Bompas E, Neidhardt EM, Rolland F, Philip I, Galéa C, et al. Phase-I study of innacell γδ, an autologous cell-therapy product highly enriched in γ9δ2 T lymphocytes, in combination with IL-2, in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2008) 57(11):1599–609. doi:10.1007/s00262-008-0491-8
- 13. Sakamoto M, Nakajima J, Murakawa T, Fukami T, Yoshida Y, Murayama T, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer using zoledronate-expanded $\gamma\delta$ T cells: a phase I clinical study. *J Immunother* (2011) 34(2):202–11. doi:10.1097/CJI.0b013e318207ecfb
- BasaK GW, Srivastava AS, Malhotra R, Carrier E. Multiple myeloma bone marrow niche. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* (2009) 10(3):345–6. doi:10.2174/ 138920109787847493

- Joshua D, Suen H, Brown R, Bryant C, Ho PJ, Hart D, et al. The T cell in myeloma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2016) 16(10):537–42. doi:10.1016/j. clml.2016.08.003
- Lo Presti E, Pizzolato G, Gulotta E, Cocorullo G, Gulotta G, Dieli F, et al. Current advances in γδ T cell-based tumor immunotherapy. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1401. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01401
- Capietto AH, Martinet L, Cendron D, Fruchon S, Pont F, Fournie JJ. Phosphoantigens overcome human TCRVγ9+ γδ cell immunosuppression by TGF-β: relevance for cancer immunotherapy. *J Immunol* (2010) 184(12):6680–7. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1000681
- Basingab FS, Ahmadi M, Morgan DJ. IFN-γ-dependent interactions between ICAM-1 and LFA-1 counteract prostaglandin E2-mediated Inhibition of antitumor CTL responses. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2016) 4(5):400–11. doi:10.1158/ 2326-6066.CIR-15-0146
- Zhai L, Spranger S, Binder DC, Gritsina G, Lauing KL, Giles FJ, et al. Molecular pathways: targeting IDO1 and other tryptophan dioxygenases for cancer immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* (2015) 21(24):5427–33. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0420
- Manier S, Kawano Y, Bianchi G, Roccaro AM, Ghobrial IM. Cell autonomous and microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression in precursor states of multiple myeloma. *Curr Opin Hematol* (2016) 23(4):426–33. doi:10.1097/MOH.0000000000259
- Glavey SV, Naba A, Manier S, Clauser K, Tahri S, Park J, et al. Proteomic characterization of human multiple myeloma bone marrow extracellular matrix. *Leukemia* (2017) 31(11):2426–34. doi:10.1038/leu.2017.102
- Kawano Y, Moschetta M, Manier S, Glavey S, Görgün GT, Roccaro AM, et al. Targeting the bone marrow microenvironment in multiple myeloma. *Immunol Rev* (2015) 263(1):160–72. doi:10.1111/imr.12233
- Guillerey C, Nakamura K, Vuckovic S, Hill GR, Smyth MJ. Immune responses in multiple myeloma: role of the natural immune surveillance and potential of immunotherapies. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2016) 73(8):1569–89. doi:10.1007/ s00018-016-2135-z
- Fauriat C, Mallet F, Olive D, Costello RT. Impaired activating receptor expression pattern in natural killer cells from patients with multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2006) 20(4):732–3. doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2404096
- Ogawara H, Handa H, Yamazaki T, Toda T, Yoshida K, Nishimoto N, et al. High Th1/Th2 ratio in patients with multiple myeloma. *Leuk Res* (2005) 29(2):135–40. doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2004.06.003
- Dhodapkar MV, Richter J. Harnessing natural killer T (NKT) cells in human myeloma: progress and challenges. *Clin Immunol* (2011) 140(2):160–6. doi:10.1016/j.clim.2010.12.010
- Mariani S, Muraro M, Pantaleoni F, Fiore F, Nuschak B, Peola S, et al. Effector gammadelta T cells and tumor cells as immune targets of zoledronic acid in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2005) 19(4):664–70. doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2403693
- Fiore F, Castella B, Nuschak B, Bertieri R, Mariani S, Bruno B, et al. Enhanced ability of dendritic cells to stimulate innate and adaptive immunity upon short-term incubation with zoledronic acid. *Blood* (2007) 110(3):921–7. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-09-044321
- 29. Castella B, Riganti C, Fiore F, Pantaleoni F, Canepari ME, Peola S, et al. Immune modulation by zoledronic acid in human myeloma: an advantageous cross talk between V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, $\alpha\beta$ CD8+ T cells, regulatory T cells, and dendritic cells. *J Immunol* (2011) 187(4):1578–90. doi:10.4049/jimmunol. 1002514
- Castella B, Foglietta M, Sciancalepore P, Rigoni M, Coscia M, Griggio V, et al. Anergic bone marrow V9V2 T cells as early and long-lasting markers of PD-1-targetable microenvironment-induced immune suppression in human myeloma. *Oncoimmunology* (2015) 4(11):e1047580. doi:10.1080/ 2162402X.2015.1047580
- Pardoll DM. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer (2012) 12(4):252–64. doi:10.1038/nrc3239
- Ribas A, Wolchok JD. Cancer immunotherapy using checkpoint blockade. Science (2018) 359(6382):1350–5. doi:10.1126/science.aar4060
- 33. Dong H, Strome SE, Salomao DR, Tamura H, Hirano F, Flies DB, et al. Tumor-associated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. *Nat Med* (2002) 8(8):793–800. Erratum in: Nat Med 2002 Sep;8(9):1039. doi:10.1038/nm730
- 34. Keir ME, Francisco LM, Sharpe AH. PD-1 and its ligands in T-cell immunity. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2007) 19(3):309–14. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2007.04.012

- Tumeh PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH, Shintaku IP, Taylor EJ, Robert L, et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature* (2014) 515(7528):568–71. doi:10.1038/nature13954
- 36. Freeman GJ, Long AJ, Iwai Y, Bourque K, Chernova T, Nishimura H, et al. Engagement of the PD-1 immunoinhibitory receptor by a novel B7 family member leads to negative regulation of lymphocyte activation. *J Exp Med* (2000) 192(7):1027–34. doi:10.1084/jem.192.7.1027
- Cogdill A, Miles CA, Wargo J. Hallmarks of response to immune checkpoint blockade. Br J Cancer (2017) 117:1–7. doi:10.1038/bjc.2017.136
- Borcherding N, Kolb R, Gullicksrud J, Vikas P, Zhu Y, Zhang W. Keeping tumors in check: a mechanistic review of clinical response and resistance to immune checkpoint blockade in cancer. *J Mol Biol* (2018) 430(14):2014–29. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.05.030
- Guo Y, Feng X, Jiang Y, Shi X, Xing X, Liu X, et al. PD1 blockade enhances cytotoxicity of in vitro expanded natural killer cells towards myeloma cells. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7(30):48360–74. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.10235
- Mahoney KM, Freeman GJ, McDermott DF. The next immune-checkpoint inhibitor: PD-1/PD-L1 blockade in melanoma. *Clin Ther* (2015) 37(4):764–82. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2015.02.018
- Ansell SM, Lesokhin AM, Borrello I, Halwani A, Scott EC, Gutierrez M, et al. PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med (2015) 372(4):311–9. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1411087
- Paiva B, Azpilikueta A, Puig N, Ocio EM, Sharma R, Oyajobi BO, et al. PD-L1/PD-1 presence in the tumor microenvironment and activity of PD-1 blockade in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2015) 29(10):2110–3. doi:10.1038/ leu.2015.79
- Ray A, Das DS, Song Y, Richardson P, Munshi NC, Chauhan D, et al. Targeting PD1-PDL1 immune checkpoint in plasmacytoid dendritic cell interactions with T cells, natural killer cells and multiple myeloma cells. *Leukemia* (2015) 29(6):1441–4. doi:10.1038/leu.2015.11
- Benson DM Jr, Bakan CE, Mishra A, Hofmeister CC, Efebera Y, Becknell B, et al. The PD-1/PD-L1 axis modulates the natural killer cell versus multiple myeloma effect: a therapeutic target for CT-011, a novel monoclonal anti-PD-1 antibody. *Blood* (2010) 116(13):2286–94. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-02-271874
- 45. Liu J, Hamrouni A, Wolowiec D, Coiteux V, Kuliczkowski K, Hetuin D, et al. Plasma cells from multiple myeloma patients express B7-H1 (PD-L1) and increase expression after stimulation with IFN-gamma and TLR ligands via a MyD88-, TRAF6-, and MEK-dependent pathway. *Blood* (2007) 110(1): 296–304. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-10-051482
- 46. Tamura H, Ishibashi M, Yamashita T, Tanosaki S, Okuyama N, Kondo A, et al. Marrow stromal cells induce B7-H1 expression on myeloma cells, generating aggressive characteristics in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2013) 27:464–72. doi:10.1038/leu.2012.213
- Hallett WH, Jing W, Drobyski WR, Johnson BD. Immunosuppressive effects of multiple myeloma are overcome by PD-L1 blockade. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* (2011) 17(8):1133–45. doi:10.1016/j.bbmt.2011.03.011
- Mateos M-V, Orlowski RZ, Siegel DSD, Reece DE, Moreau P, Ocio EM, et al. Pembrolizumab in combination with lenalidomide and low-dose dexamethasone for relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): final efficacy and safety analysis. *J Clin Oncol* (2016) 34(15_suppl):8010-8010. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2016.34.15_suppl.8010
- 49. Wilson L, Cohen AD, Weiss BM, Vogl DT, Garfall AL, Capozzi DL, et al. Pembrolizumab in combination with pomalidomide and dexamethasone (PEMBRO/POM/DEX) for pomalidomide exposed relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2016) 128(22):2119.
- Efebera YA, Rosko AE, Hofmeister C, Benner J, Bakan C, Stamper K, et al. First interim results of a phase I/II study of lenalidomide in combination with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody MDV9300 (CT-011) in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2015) 126(23):1838.
- Chen L. Co-inhibitory molecules of the B7-CD28 family in the control of T-cell immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2004) 4(5):336–47. doi:10.1038/ nri1349
- Okazaki T, Honjo T. PD-1 and PD-1 ligands: from discovery to clinical application. Int Immunol (2007) 19(7):813-24. doi:10.1093/intimm/ dxm057
- 53. Ahmadzadeh M, Johnson LA, Heemskerk B, Wunderlich JR, Dudley ME, White DE, et al. Tumor antigen-specific CD8 T cells infiltrating the tumor

express high levels of PD-1 and are functionally impaired. *Blood* (2009) 114(8):1537-44. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-12-195792

- Iwasaki M, Tanaka Y, Kobayashi H, Murata-Hirai K, Miyabe H, Sugie T, et al. Expression and function of PD-1 in human gd T cells that recognize phosphoantigens. *Eur J Immunol* (2011) 41(2):345–55. doi:10.1002/eji.201040959
- 55. Zumwalde NA, Sharma A, Xu X, Ma S, Schneider CL, Romero-Masters JC, et al. Adoptively transferred Vγ9Vδ2 T cells show potent antitumor effects in a preclinical B cell lymphomagenesis model. *JCI Insight* (2017) 2(13). doi:10.1172/jci.insight.93179
- Martin SK, Diamond P, Gronthos S, Peet DJ, Zannettino AC. The emerging role of hypoxia, HIF-1 and HIF-2 in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2011) 25(10):1533–42. doi:10.1038/leu.2011.122
- Noman MZ, Desantis G, Janji B, Hasmim M, Karray S, Dessen P, et al. PD-L1 is a novel direct target of HIF-1a, and its blockade under hypoxia enhanced MDSC-mediated T cell activation. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211(5):781–90. doi:10.1084/jem.20131916
- Ohta A. Oxygen-dependent regulation of immune-checkpoint mechanisms. Int Immunol (2018) dxy038. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxy038
- Allard B, Pommey S, Smyth MJ, Stagg J. Targeting CD73 enhances the antitumor activity of anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 mAbs. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19(20):5626–35. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0545
- Horenstein AL, Quarona V, Toscani D, Costa F, Chillemi A, Pistoia V, et al. Adenosine generated in the bone marrow niche through a CD38-mediated pathway correlates with progression of human myeloma. *Mol Med* (2016) 3:22. doi:10.2119/molmed.2016.00198
- Lesokhin AM, Ansell SM, Armand P, Scott EC, Halwani A, Gutierrez M, et al. Nivolumab in patients with relapsed or refractory hematologicmalignancy: preliminary results of a phase Ib study. *J Clin Oncol* (2016) 34(23): 2698–704. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.65.9789
- Rosenblatt J, Avigan D. Targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 axis in multiple myeloma: a dream or a reality? *Blood* (2017) 129(3):275–9. doi:10.1182/blood-2016-08-731885
- Koyama S, Akbay EA, Li YY, Herter-Sprie GS, Buczkowski KA, Richards WG, et al. Adaptive resistance to therapeutic PD-1 blockade is associated with upregulation of alternative immune checkpoints. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7:10501. doi:10.1038/ncomms10501
- Gorris MAJ, Halilovic A, Rabold K, van Duffelen A, Wickramasinghe IN, Verweij D, et al. Eight-color multiplex immunohistochemistry for simultaneous detection of multiple immune checkpoint molecules within the tumor microenvironment. *J Immunol* (2018) 200(1):347–54. doi:10.4049/ jimmunol.1701262
- Zhou G, Sprengers D, Boor PPC, Doukas M, Schutz H, Mancham S, et al. Antibodies against immune checkpoint molecules restore functions of tumor-infiltrating T cells in hepatocellular carcinomas. *Gastroenterology* (2017) 153(4):1107–19. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.06.017
- 66. Daley D, Zambirinis CP, Seifert L, Akkad N, Mohan N, Werba G, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T cells support pancreatic oncogenesis by restraining $\alpha\beta$ T cell activation. *Cell* (2016) 166(6):1485–99. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.046
- Zhao Y, Niu C, Cui J. Gamma-delta (γδ) T cells: friend or foe in cancer development? J Transl Med (2018) 16(1):3. doi:10.1186/s12967-017-1378-2

- Casetti R, Agrati C, Wallace M, Sacchi A, Martini F, Martino A, et al. Cutting edge: TGF-beta1 and IL-15 Induce FOXP3+ gammadelta regulatory T cells in the presence of antigen stimulation. *J Immunol* (2009) 183(6):3574–7. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0901334
- Traxlmayr MW, Wesch D, Dohnal AM, Funovics P, Fischer MB, Kabelitz D, et al. Immune suppression by gammadelta T-cells as a potential regulatory mechanism after cancer vaccination with IL-12 secreting dendritic cells. *J Immunother* (2010) 33(1):40–52. doi:10.1097/CJI.0b013e3181b51447
- Barjon C, Michaud HA, Fages A, Dejou C, Zampieri A, They L, et al. IL-21 promotes the development of a CD73-positive Vγ9Vδ2 T cell regulatory population. *Oncoimmunology* (2017) 7(1):e1379642. doi:10.1080/2162402X. 2017.1379642
- 71. Ma Y, Lei H, Tan J, Xuan L, Wu X, Liu Q. Characterization of $\gamma\delta$ regulatory T cells from peripheral blood in patients with multiple myeloma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2016) 480(4):594–601. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc. 2016.10.098
- Zelle-Rieser C, Thangavadivel S, Biedermann R, Brunner A, Stoitzner P, Willenbacher E, et al. T cells in multiple myeloma display features of exhaustion and senescence at the tumor site. *J Hematol Oncol* (2016) 9(1):116. doi:10.1186/s13045-016-0345-3
- Suen H, Brown R, Yang S, Weatherburn C, Ho PJ, Woodland N, et al. Multiple myeloma causes clonal T-cell immunosenescence: identification of potential novel targets for promoting tumour immunity and implications for checkpoint blockade. *Leukemia* (2016) 30(8):1716–24. doi:10.1038/leu. 2016.84
- 74. Sze DM, Giesajtis G, Brown RD, Raitakari M, Gibson J, Ho J, et al. Clonal cytotoxic T cells are expanded in myeloma and reside in the CD8(+)CD57(+)CD28(-) compartment. *Blood* (2001) 98:2817–27. doi:10.1182/blood.V98.9.2817
- 75. Chitadze G, Oberg HH, Wesch D, Kabelitz D. The ambiguous role of $\gamma\delta$ T lymphocytes in antitumor immunity. *Trends Immunol* (2017) 38(9):668–78. doi:10.1016/j.it.2017.06.004
- Douguet L, Bod L, Lengagne R, Labarthe L, Kato M, Avril MF. Nitric oxide synthase 2 is involved in the pro-tumorigenic potential of gd17 T cells in melanoma. *Oncoimmunology* (2016) 5(8):e1208878. doi:10.1080/2162402X. 2016.1208878
- 77. Coffelt SB, Kersten K, Doornebal CW, Weiden J, Vrijland K, Hau CS, et al. IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* (2015) 522(7556):345–8. doi:10.1038/nature14282

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Castella, Foglietta, Riganti and Massaia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.