



Meta-Analysis of Preclinical Studies of Fibrinolytic Therapy for Acute Lung Injury

Cong Liu^{1†}, Yana Ma^{1†}, Zhenlei Su¹, Runzhen Zhao², Xiaoli Zhao³, Hong-Guang Nie⁴, Ping Xu¹, Lili Zhu⁵, Mo Zhang¹, Xiumin Li¹, Xiaoju Zhang⁶, Michael A. Matthay^{7*} and Hong-Long Ji^{2*}

¹ Institute of Lung and Molecular Therapy, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, China, ² Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler, Tyler, TX, United States, ³ Department of Physiological Sciences, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA, United States, ⁴ Institute of Metabolic Disease Research and Drug Development, China Medical University, Shenyang, China, ⁵ School of Nursing, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, China, ⁶ Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China, ⁷ Department of Anesthesia and Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Guochang Hu, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

Reviewed by:

Dianhua Jiang, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, United States Samithamby Jeyaseelan, Louisiana State University, United States Lincoln S. Smith, University of Washington, United States

*Correspondence:

Michael A. Matthay michael.matthay@ucsf.edu; Hong-Long Ji james.ji@uthct.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Inflammation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 14 March 2018 Accepted: 31 July 2018 Published: 20 August 2018

Citation:

Liu C, Ma Y, Su Z, Zhao R, Zhao X, Nie H-G, Xu P, Zhu L, Zhang M, Li X, Zhang X, Matthay MA and Ji H-L (2018) Meta-Analysis of Preclinical Studies of Fibrinolytic Therapy for Acute Lung Injury. Front. Immunol. 9:1898. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01898 **Background:** Acute lung injury (ALI) is characterized by suppressed fibrinolytic activity in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) attributed to elevated plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1). Restoring pulmonary fibrinolysis by delivering tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA), urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA), and plasmin could be a promising approach.

Objectives: To systematically analyze the overall benefit of fibrinolytic therapy for ALI reported in preclinical studies.

Methods: We searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and CNKI Chinese databases, and analyzed data retrieved from 22 studies for the beneficial effects of fibrinolytics on animal models of ALI.

Results: Both large and small animals were used with five routes for delivering tPA, uPA, and plasmin. Fibrinolytics significantly increased the fibrinolytic activity both in the plasma and BALF. Fibrin degradation products in BALF had a net increase of 408.41 ng/ ml vs controls (P < 0.00001). In addition, plasma thrombin–antithrombin complexes increased 1.59 ng/ml over controls (P = 0.0001). In sharp contrast, PAI-1 level in BALF decreased 21.44 ng/ml compared with controls (P < 0.00001). Arterial oxygen tension was improved by a net increase of 15.16 mmHg, while carbon dioxide pressure was significantly reduced (11.66 mmHg, P = 0.0001 vs controls). Additionally, fibrinolytics improved lung function and alleviated inflammation response: the lung wet/dry ratio was decreased 1.49 (P < 0.0001 vs controls), lung injury score was reduced 1.83 (P < 0.00001 vs controls), and BALF neutrophils were lesser (3×10^4 /ml, P < 0.00001 vs controls). The mortality decreased significantly within defined study periods (h to 30 days for mortality), as the risk ratio of death was 0.2-fold of controls (P = 0.0008).

Conclusion: We conclude that fibrinolytic therapy may be effective pharmaceutic strategy for ALI in animal models.

Keywords: lung diseases, fibrinolytic agents, molecular therapy, interventions, preclinical study

INTRODUCTION

Suppressed fibrinolysis is a pathological hallmark of acute lung injury (ALI) in addition to pulmonary edema and cytokine/ chemokine "storm" (1, 2). Over the last two decades, the mortality of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), the late stage of ALI remains unacceptably high. There are approximately 200,000 new cases annually in the United States (3, 4). ALI could be caused by pulmonary (e.g., pneumonia and smoke inhalation) or systemic disorders (e.g., sepsis, hemorrhagic shock, and trauma) (5). The heterogeneity of ALI apparently makes it difficult to identify the etiology precisely and promptly for designing casespecific salutary interventions. To date, supportive strategies have been shown to be beneficial (5). In addition, some promising therapeutic strategies are being evaluated by registered clinical trials, including stem cell therapy (6, 7), corticosteroid, interferon beta, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (8).

In injured lungs, alveolar fibrinolytic activity is depressed markedly and intravascular and extracellular fibrin is deposited in the air spaces (9, 10). The eliminated fibrinolytic activity was predominately attributed to elevated plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) in both the plasma and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF). The fibrinolytic system is composed of proteases and anti-proteases, including plasminogen, plasminogen activators (tissue-type plasminogen activator, tPA; urokinase plasminogen activator, uPA), plasmin, PAI-1, and plasmin catalytic antagonists (α2-antiplasmin and α2-macroglobulin). Both uPA and tPA proteolytically cleave zymogen plasminogen to generate plasmin with catalytic activity, which degrades fibrin. To date, the fibrinolytic therapy has clinically been applied to pleural effusion/empyema as fibrinolysins (11), cardiovascular diseases as thrombolytics (12), and obstructive airway diseases as mucolytics (13, 14). The benefit of fibrinolytic therapy for ALI, however, is still at the earlier stage of preclinical studies. Intravenous delivery of either uPA or tPA might be protective for traumatic lung injury, as improved survival and gas exchange were observed in treated pigs (15). Moreover, tPA attenuated pulmonary abnormalities in smoke inhalation injured sheep (16). Interestingly, an earlier pilot study reported a potential improvement in lung function following administration of either uPA or tPA in 20 ALI patients (17). Because of inconclusive preclinical studies to address optimized dose, routes, and benefit, clinical trials have not been conducted to date.

The main purpose of this meta-analysis is, therefore, to assess preclinical studies of ALI for the potential effects of fibrinolytic therapy. Additionally, we quantified the differences in outcomes between large and small animal models, variable fibrinolytic regimes, and routes. Our analysis suggests that three fibrinolytic regimens may benefit ALI by improving gas exchange, inflammation, and lung injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in accordance with the methods recommended in the PRISMA guidelines. Please see Datasheet S1 in Supplementary Material for detail search strategies.

Data Sources

Three investigators (CL, ZS, and YM) independently searched the published studies indexed by the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and CNKI on March 2017, using the strategy: (fibrinolytics OR plasmin OR fibrinase OR fibrinolysin OR alfimeprase OR uPA OR urokinase OR abbokinase OR breokinase OR winkase OR kinlytic OR tPA OR activase OR reteplase OR rctPA OR retavase OR actilyse OR repilysin OR alteplase OR tenecteplase OR TNKase OR TNK tPA OR metalyse OR SK OR streptokinase OR streptase OR kabikinase OR actase OR thrombolysin OR eminase OR desmoteplase OR pro-urokinase OR staphylokinase OR plasminogen activator) AND (lung injury OR ALI OR ARDS OR respiratory distress syndrome OR septic OR sepsis OR bacteremia OR endotoximia OR multi-organ failure OR respiratory failure OR pneumonia OR shock OR pulmonary edema OR lung edema OR edematous OR pulmonary edema). The fourth investigator (H-LJ) was consulted in case of no consensus on inclusion. There was no language restriction in the searching and non-English literature was translated into English via a professional service. We also checked the references of included studies for additional publications that were not hit by the searching strategy.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included in the current meta-analysis if: (1) the species of studies were animals; (2) animal models were ALI, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, fibrotic lung injury, trauma, septic shock, burn and smoke inhalation injury, and disseminated intravascular coagulation; (3) the animals were treated with three fibrinolytics, genetically engineered for overexpressing of plasminogen activators or knocking out PAI-1; and (4) results were expressed or could be digitized or converted to mean and SD.

The following studies were excluded: (1) review articles, letters, case reports, posters, or without objective data to be evaluated; (2) data were from PAI-1 transgenic, PAI-1 knock-in, and tPA- and uPA-deficient animals; (3) insufficient publications existed to perform a meta-analysis; (4) the number of control group or experimental group was less than three animals; (5) the animals were treated with combined heparin, antithrombin, activated protein C, and other medicines with potential effects on fibrinolysis; and (6) combined regimens and fibrinolytic therapy for lung injury.

Data Extraction

Data extraction was carried out by three authors (CL, ZS, and YM). The following items from the eligible studies were extracted: article information (first author name, publication date, and country of origin), animal species, gender, method of ALI induction, type and dose of fibrinolytics, duration of treatment, delivery approach, and number of animals for both control and treated groups. Data were extracted as mean and SD if available. When only graphic presentations were available, values for mean and SD were obtained *via* calibrating images using GetData Graph Digitizer software (version 2.26.0.20) (18–20). If raw data were represented by median and interquartile

range (20, 21) or range (22), these values were converted to SD by the formulas: $SD^2 = 1/12 [(a - 2m + b)^2/4 + (b - a)^2]$, and mean = (a + 2 m + b)/4, where m represents median, a and b are lower and upper range (23). If the SE was reported (20), it was converted to SD using the function, $SD = SE \times \sqrt{n}$, where n is the sample size. For one study (24), we combined individual data using the formulas, $\overline{X} = \frac{\Sigma X}{n}$ and $SD = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(X - \overline{X})}{n-1}}$, where x represent variance, $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ is the mean of the pooled individual data. If neither SD nor SEM were found (15, 25), we borrowed SD value of similar studies for the same parameter. For parameters reported with divergent units, for example, PaO₂, PaCO₂, and neutrophils, the units were converted to the same one. For lung water content that shown as weight gain or lung leak index, we converted them to W/D ratio following the formulas: W/D ratio = $(W_0 + W_1)/$ $D_0 = lung leak index \times 100$, where W_0 represents normal animal lung wet weight, W₁ represents weigh gain, and D₀ represents normal animal lung dry weight. Lung injury scores were analyzed as occurrence of at least one of following indices: (1) leukocyte and red blood cell infiltration, (2) alveolar epithelium damage, (3) interstitial edema, and (4) fibrin deposition and hyaline membrane formation. Extracted raw data are included in Datasheet S2 in Supplementary Material.

Quality Assessment

Risk of biases was assessed using the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (26). All included studies were assessed on seven fronts: randomization (selection bias), blinding of personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), selective outcome reporting (reporting bias), and other biases. These assessments are included in **Table 6**. In addition, we examined the quality of the included 22 studies with the ARRIVE guidelines specifically for animal studies (**Table 2**).

Statistical Analysis

We performed statistical analysis in the preclinical studies using Review Manager (RevMan), version 5.3 (Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) and STATA V.12 (StataCorp. College Station, TX, USA). The mean differences were considered to be statistically significant when $P \leq 0.05$. If *P*-value was less than 0.05, or the *I*²-value was greater than 50%, the overall estimate was analyzed in a random effects model. Groups within a parameter were included if there were ≥ 3 comparisons. Eleven readouts were selected: PaO₂, PaCO₂, plasma thrombin-antithrombin complexes (TATc), plasma PAA, fibrin degradation products (FDP) in BALF, PAA in BALF, PAI-1 in BALF, neutrophils in BALF, lung water content, lung injury score, and mortality. The data were combined using inverse variance method and shown as weighted mean differences (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) in the forest plots in addition to mortality. The measures for the parameters (PaO₂ and PaCO₂) at the same time points were continuously monitored. If unavailable, we used the data collected at an adjacent time point. We pooled dichotomous variables (i.e., mortality) using the Mantel-Haenszel method. If the mortality was measured within a period, the end time point was used. The RR was computed with the random effects model for a high heterogeneity. The potential publication bias was assessed with funnel plots and the Egger's regression test (40) (Stata, version 12). Heterogeneity among studies was defined with the *I*²-statistic function as an unimportant ($I^2 < 25\%$), a moderate $(25\% < I^2 < 75\%)$, or a high degree of heterogeneity $(I^2 > 75\%)$. To eliminate heterogeneity, the meta-analyses were further performed for data grouped by animal size (small or large animals), individual fibrinolytics (tPA, uPA, or plasmin), and routes (i.v., i.p., i.t., nebulization, or transgenic). Small animals included mice, rats, and rabbits. Large animals were comprised of sheep, pigs, and dogs. Subgroup analysis was not performed when there was only one sample. In addition, the robustness of the results was confirmed by sensitivity analysis. If multiple studies with the same first author and publication year, they were distinguished with an asterisk (*) (19, 20). The same control group was used for several comparisons and denoted with superscript letters a, b, c, and d (15, 18, 29, 32).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Included Studies

Our comprehensive search of the PubMed, the Web of Science, the Embase, and the CNKI databases hit 7,624 publications. After carefully examining the titles and abstracts, we selected 73 articles with full texts available for further screening. Twenty-two studies were finally included for systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1). General characteristics of these 22 studies were summarized in Table 1. Ten studies were performed for tPA (0.098-250 mg) (15, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24, 27-30), seven for uPA (up to 240 mg or 2,230,000 IU) (18, 21, 25, 32–35), three for plasmin (0.5 mg or 100,000 IU) (37–39), and two in gene therapeutic mice by either over expressing uPA (36) or tPA (31). The geological distributions of these studies are: 10 from USA (48%) (15, 16, 25, 27–30, 32, 36, 37), 4 from Netherlands (17%) (19, 20, 24, 31), 4 from China (17%) (22, 33–35), 2 from Japan (9%) (38, 39), 1 from Germany (4%) (18), and 1 from Denmark (21). Fifteen papers were published in small animals. Twelve studies were conducted in rodents: 2 in mice (31, 36) and 10 in rats (19, 20, 22, 24, 27-30, 38, 39). In addition, 3 studies used rabbits (18, 34, 35). Seven studies used large animals: 5 in pigs (15, 21, 25, 32, 33), 1 in dogs (37), and 1 in sheep (16). Five routes used in the studies included intravenous injection/infusion (i.v., n = 12), nebulization (Neb, n = 5), intratracheal inhalation (i.t., n = 2), intraperitoneal injection (i.p., n = 1), and gene delivery (Tg, n = 2). To evaluate the strength of the included 22 studies, we checked the adherence to the 20 checklists of the ARRIVE guidelines (Table 2). In general, no papers fully reported 20 checklists, most of studies partially reported these requests except title, objectives, and experimental outcomes. In particular, none of 22 studies performed sample size calculation and provided detailed adverse events. Thus, we examined the quality of the included studies for mortality (Table 3). In total, 200 animals (112 for control and 88 for treated group) from 10 studies were



included for meta-analyzing mortality. There were no animals excluded by the original authors due to incident death or severe side-effects. Average mortality was 87 and 17%, respectively, for controls and treated animals. Correspondingly, 7 animals (power = 80%, alpha = 0.05) or 10 animals (power = 95%, alpha = 0.05) are requested. Definitely, the animals for both groups are sufficient for performing meta-analysis. On the other hand, because only 6 of 22 studies simply mentioned paucity of hemorrhage descriptively instead of quantitative measurements, we could not have high quality primary data to analyze this adverse effect.

Mortality Within Defined Follow-Up Periods

The mortality associated with fibrinolytic therapy was evaluated (**Figure 2**). Compared with controls, fibrinolytics significantly reduced the deaths of treated animals, as shown by the overall risk ratio (RR) (0.21, 95% CI: 0.08 to 0.52, P = 0.0008). Furthermore, we analyzed mortality of small and large animals separately (**Table 4**). The mortality was reduced significantly in both large and small animals, as shown by RR

values of 0.24 (P = 0.02) and 0.17 (P = 0.01), respectively. The mortality associated with three fibrinolytics was evaluated individually for tPA (38 controls, 38 treated from 5 studies), uPA (40 controls, 37 treated from 5 studies), and plasmin (34 controls, 13 treated from 1 study). The mortality was reduced in a treatment-dependent manner; the RR values were 0.13 for tPA (P = 0.01), 0.18 for uPA (P = 0.13), and 0.42 for plasmin (P = 0.02). The mortality was further analyzed by three routes: i.v. (73 controls, 44 treated from 6 studies), i.t. (17 controls, 21 treated from 2 studies), and gene delivery (22 controls, 23 treated from 2 studies). The RR values were 0.24 for i.v. (95% CI: 0.07 to 0.82, P = 0.02), 0.05 for i.t. (95% CI: 0.01 to 0.32, P = 0.02), and 0.38 for gene delivery (95% CI: 0.17 to 0.85, P = 0.02). The defined follow-up periods for counting mortality of 10 studies are included in **Table 3**.

Levels of PaO₂ and PaCO₂

Eighteen studies examined the effects of fibrinolytic therapy on blood oxygen concentration. Compared with controls, an increment of 15 mmHg (95% CI: 8 to 23 mmHg, P < 0.0001) in arterial oxygen tension (PaO₂) was observed (**Figure 3A**).

TABLE 1 | General characteristics of included studies.

Author (year), country	Species, gender control/treated	Insult	Total dose	Route	Medicine, vehicle	Time
Enkhbaatar et al., (16), USA	Sheep, F (6/6)	Burn and smoke inhalation	22 mg (2 mg every 4 h, beginning 4 h after injury)	Neb	rhtPA, saline	48 h
Choi et al., (19, 20) ^{a,b} , Netherlands	Rat, M (11/8 or 12/8)	LPS	0.281 mg, 0.84 mg (1.25 mg/kg, 30 min before injection of LPS; at 6 and 12 h for group b)	i.v.	rtPA, saline	4 or 16 h
Choi et al., $(19, 20)^{*,a,b}$, Netherlands	Rat, M (8/8)	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	0.31 mg, 0.94 mg (1.25 mg/kg, 30 min before induction of pneumonia; at 6 and 12 h for group b)		rtPA, saline	6 or 16 h
Huang et al., (22), China	Rat, M (7/7)	Ventilation	0.34 mg (1.25 mg/kg in 0.5 ml saline, 15 min before ventilation)		tPA, saline	2 h
Stringer et al., (27), USA	Rat, M (10/6)	IL-1	4.2 mg (6 mg/kg, 10 min before IL-1, 6 mg/kg after 2.5 h)	i.p.	tPA, saline	5 h
Hofstra et al., (24) ^{a,b} , Netherlands	Rat, M (7/7)	P. aeruginosa or LPS	0.84 mg (1.25 mg/kg, 30 min before induction of pneumonia or injection of LPS; at 6 and 12 h)	Neb	rtPA, saline	16 h
Conhaim et al., (28), USA	Rat, M (6/6)	Acute blood loss	0.136 mg (320 μg/kg × 0.325 kg × 1)	Neb	tPA, ipratropium bromide	24 h
Veress et al., (29) ^{a,b,c,d} , USA	Rat, M (4/12)	Sulfur mustard Inhalation	0.098, 0.195, 0.325, or 0.455 mg (0.15, 0.30, 0.50, or 0.70 mg/kg × 0.325 kg × 2, at 5.5 and 6.5 h)	i.t.	tPA, PBS	48 h
Veress et al., (30), USA	Rat, M (13/9)		2.06 mg (0.70 mg/kg × 0.245 kg × 12, every 4 h)		tPA, PBS	
Renckens et al., (31), Netherlands	Mice, F (12/12)	K. pneumoniae	NR	Тg	htPA DNA, control Ad.	30 days
Hardaway et al., (15) ^{b,a} , USA	Pig, NR (9/5)	Trauma	250 mg (initially 50 mg, then 200 mg, beginning 4 h after injury)	i.v.	tPA, NT	48 h
			250,000 IU (250,000 IU in 500 ml 5% glucose solution and administered at 15 drops/min, beginning 4 h after injury)		uPA, NT	
Hardaway et al., (25), USA	Pig, NR (8/8)	E. coli	250,000 IU (250,000 IU in 20 ml saline and inject over a 20-min period)		uPA, saline	24 h
Vasquez et al., (32) ^{a,b} , USA	Pig, NR (6/6 or 7/7)	E. coli	2,230,000 IU (initially 250,000 IU, then 2,000 IU/pound/h for12 h) 250,000 IU (250,000 IU in 20 ml saline over a 20-min period 1 h after <i>E. coli</i> infusion)		uPA, NT	
Munster et al., (21), Denmark	Pig, NR (14/14)	Trauma	240 mg (5 mg/ml \times 4 ml \times 12, 5 mg/ml as 12 consecutive nebulizations of 4 ml)	Neb	scuPA, saline	
Chen et al., (33), China	Pig, NR (6/6)	LPS	74,184 IU (initially 4,400 IU/kg in 10 min, then 4,400 IU/kg/h for 2 h)	i.v.	uPA, NT	6 h
Gunther et al., (18) ^{a,b} , Germany	Rabbit, NR (9/5 or 9/7)	Bleomycin	6,319 ± 26.5 IU (6,319 ± 26.5 IU × 1) or 6,889 ± 12.3 IU (6,889 ± 12.3 IU × 1)	Neb	rhuPA, NT	28 days
Yu et al., (34), China	Rabbit, M (18/18)	Embolism	45,000 IU (20,000 U/kg × 2.25 kg)	i.v.	uPA, saline	14 days
Chen et al., (35), China	Rabbit, NR (6/6)	Embolism	55,000 IU (18,333 IU in 3 ml saline, 36,667 IU in 5 ml saline)		uPA, saline	12 h
Sisson et al., (36), USA	Mice, NR (10/11)	Bleomycin	NR	Tg	muPA DNA, plasmid	28 days
Hardaway et al., (37), USA Motoyama et al., (38), Japan Motoyama et al., (39), Japan	Dog, NR (34/13) Rat, M (7/7) Rat, M (7/7)	Hemorrhagic shock Hypoxia reperfusion	100,000 IU 0.5 mg	i.v.	Plasmin, NT	48 h 1 h 3.5 h

tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator; uPA, urokinase plasminogen activator; rhtPA/rhuPA, recombinant human tPA/uPA; scuPA, single-chain uPA; i.v., intravenous injection/infusion; i.p., intraperitoneal injection; i.t., intratracheal inhalation; Neb, nebulization; Tg, transgenic; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; E. coli, Escherichia coli; IL-1, interleukin 1; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; F/M, female/male; Ad., adenoviral vector; NT, not treated; NR, not reported.

20 A	RRIVE checklists	Fully reported <i>n/N</i> (% reported)	Partially reported <i>n/N</i> (% reported)	Not reported <i>n/N</i> (% reported)
1	Title	22/22 (100)	0/22 (0)	0/22 (0)
2	Abstract	12/22 (54.5)	10/22 (45.5)	0/22 (0)
3	Background	0/22 (0)	21/22 (95.5)	1/22 (4.5)
4	Objectives	21/22 (95.5)	0/22 (0)	1/22 (4.5)
5	Ethical statement	16/22 (72.7)	0/22 (0)	6/22 (27.3)
6	Study design	1/22 (4.5)	20/22 (91)	1/22 (4.5)
7	Experimental procedure	0/22 (0)	22/22 (100)	0/22 (0)
8	Experimental animals	2/22 (9.1)	19/22 (86.4)	1/22 (4.5)
9	Housing and husbandry	0/22 (0)	4/22 (18.2)	18/22 (81.8)
10	Sample size	0/22 (0)	0/22 (0)	22/22 (100)
11	Allocating animals	0/22 (0)	10/22 (45.5)	12/22 (54.5)
12	Experimental outcomes	22/22 (100)	0/22 (0)	0/22 (0)
13	Statistical methods	0/22 (0)	20/22 (90.9)	2/22 (9.1)
14	Baseline data	10/22 (45.5)	0/22 (0)	12/22 (54.5)
15	Numbers analyzed	2/22 (9.1)	1/22 (4.5)	19/22 (86.4)
16	Outcomes and estimation	7/22 (31.8)	14/22 (63.7)	1/22 (4.5)
17	Adverse events	6/22 (27.3)	0/22 (0)	16/22 (72.7)
17a	Details of adverse events	0/22 (0)	0/22 (0)	22/22 (100)
18	Interpretation/ scientific implications	0/22 (0)	22/22 (100)	0/22 (0)
19	Generalizability/ translation	0/22 (0)	0/22 (0)	22/22 (100)
20	Funding	11/22 (50)	0/22 (0)	11/22 (50)

TABLE 2 | ARRIVE checklists of included studies.

N, total number of papers where the item was applicable; n, total number of papers reporting the item.

TABLE 3 | Data quality included for analyzing mortality.

Study	Total number (control/ treated)	Included number (control/ treated)	Death incidence (control/ treated)	Follow-up time (hour or day)
Vasquez et al., (32)ª	6/6	6/6	6/5	6–8 h
Vasquez et al., (32) ^b	7/7	7/7	4/0	23 h
Hardaway et al., (37)	34/13	34/13	31/5	48 h
Renckens et al., (31)	12/12	12/12	9/4	30 days
Sisson et al., (36)	10/11	10/11	5/1	21 days
Hardaway et al., (25)	8/8	8/8	7/0	24 h
Veress et al., (30)	13/9	13/9	13/0	41.5 h
Hardaway et al., (15)ª	9/5	9/5	9/0	44 h
Hardaway et al., (15) ^b	9/5	9/5	9/0	44 h
Veress et al., (29)	4/12	4/12	4/0	41.5 h
Total	112/88	112/88	97/15	6 h–30 days

None of the animals were excluded during the experiments due to severe adverse events. Sample size for both control and treated groups was computed by the online server, the Clincalc (www.clincalc.com/stats/samplesize.aspx) with the settings for two independent study groups for "Study Group Design," dichotomous for "Primary Endpoint," 87% mortality for control (group 1), 17% mortality for treated group (group 2), alpha = 0.05, and meta (power) = 80 or 95%. Calculated sample size for both groups is 7 animals (power = 80%) or 10 animals (power = 95%).

Because the heterogeneity between these studies was significant $(I^2 = 87\%)$, we, therefore, analyzed the beneficial effects of each individual fibrinolysin. As a result, tPA, uPA, and plasmin elevated PaO₂ with a net value of 23 mmHg (95% CI: 6 to 41 mmHg, P = 0.008), 8 mmHg (95% CI: 2 to 13 mmHg, P = 0.005), and 23 mmHg (95% CI: -6 to 51 mmHg, P = 0.12), respectively (Table 4). Next, the contribution of three routes to the efficacy of fibrinolytics on PaO₂ was assessed. 5 of 10 studies delivered intravenously showed an increase of 15 mmHg in PaO₂ (95% CI: 4 to 27 mmHg, P = 0.01) in treated animals. Intratracheal administration showed a beneficial effect (30 mmHg, 95% CI: 24 to 37 mmHg, P < 0.00001), whereas nebulization did not (3 mmHg, 95% CI: -2.0 to 8 mmHg, P = 0.18) (Table 4). Finally, we analyzed this benefit in small and large animals separately. Twelve small animal studies (85 animals for controls, 77 animals for treated group) were analyzed. Six studies favored fibrinolytic therapy, while another half did not. Ultimately, there was an improvement of 14 mmHg in PaO₂ in small animals compared with controls (95% CI: 7 to 23 mmHg, P = 0.0007). In contrast, the improvement in large animals was statistically insignificant due to a large variance (21 mmHg, 95% CI: -26 to 68 mmHg, P = 0.38) (Table 4).

Overall arterial carbon dioxide pressure (PaCO₂), another physiological parameter for gas exchange capacity of the lung, showed a significant reduction of 12 mmHg (95% CI: -18 to -5 mmHg, P = 0.0001) in treated animals (Figure 3B). Moreover, five of seven tPA studies (42 controls, 36 treated animals) favored fibrinolytic therapy with a decrease of 21 mmHg (95% CI: -34 to -8 mmHg, P = 0.002) in PaCO₂, whereas the other two studies did not. In contrast, uPA did not significantly alter PaCO₂ (0.3 mmHg, 95% CI: -2 to 2 mmHg, P = 0.79) in three studies (Table 4). Regarding routes of administration, all of the five studies investigating i.t. treatment (29 controls, 23 treated animals) demonstrated a favor, as there was a 31 mmHg reduction in PaCO₂ (95% CI: -45 to -18 mmHg, P < 0.00001). In contrast, i.v. (3 studies) and nebulization (2 studies) did not exhibit significant effects on PaCO₂ with a change of 1 mmHg (Table 4). Nine studies in small animals (66 controls, 60 treated animals) were evaluated for the efficacy of fibrinolytic therapy in the reduction of PaCO₂. Five studies favored fibrinolytic therapy, and four studies did not. Taken together, the overall PaCO₂ showed a reduction of 15 mmHg in small animals (95% CI: -23 to -7 mmHg, P = 0.0005). However, fibrinolytics did not reduce PaCO₂ significantly in large animals (Table 4). In addition, the overall benefit of fibrinolytics on PO2 and PaCO2 levels at the same time point were confirmed by analyzing data at the endpoint of each study (Table 4, data with *).

Fibrinolytic Activity

Fibrinolytic therapy significantly increased the fibrinolytic activity both in the plasma and BALF, as measured by the alterations in plasminogen activator activity (PAA), PAI-1, and FDP. Overall, fibrinolytics significantly increased 39% (95% CI: 25 to 52%, P < 0.00001) for PAA in the plasma, 51% (95% CI: 45 to 56%, P < 0.00001) for PAA in BALF, and 408 ng/ml (95% CI: 352 to

		ated		ntrol		Risk ratio		isk ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Ν	Events	N	Weight	M-H, Random, 95%	CI MI-H, Ra	ndom, 95% Cl
Vasquez et al. 1998 a	5	6	6	6	17.1%	0.85 [0.55, 1.31]	•
Hardaway et al. 1964	5	13	31	34	16.1%	0.42 [0.21, 0.85	5] —	-
Renckens et al. 2008	4	12	9	12	15.3%	0.44 [0.19, 1.05	5] —	
Sisson et al. 2002	1	11	5	10	9.7%	0.18 [0.03, 1.30)]	+
Hardaway et al. 1990 a	0	5	9	9	7.1%	0.09 [0.01, 1.25	j] 	+
Hardaway et al. 1990 b	0	5	9	9	7.1%	0.09 [0.01, 1.25	j 	+
Veress et al. 2015	0	9	13	13	6.9%	0.05 [0.00, 0.77	'] 	-
Hardaway et al. 1996	0	8	7	8	6.9%	0.07 [0.00, 1.00)]	
Veress et al. 2013 a	0	12	4	4	6.9%	0.04 [0.00, 0.66	[j]	-
Vasquez et al. 1998 b	0	7	4	7	6.8%	0.11 [0.01, 1.74	.]	
Total (95% CI)		88		112	100.0%	0.21 [0.08, 0.52]		
Total events	15		97					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2	1.22; Chi ²	² = 38	.23, df = 9) (P <	0.0001);	l² = 76%		
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.35 (P = 0	.0008)			I	0.005 0.1 Favours [Treated]	1 10 200 Favours [Control]

FIGURE 2 | Forest plot summarizing the effect of fibrinolytic therapy on overall mortality of acute lung injury animals. Squares and their sizes represent the risk ratio (RR) and corresponding contributions to overall effect (diamond), respectively. Horizontal lines through each square represent 95% confidence intervals (95% Cl). I² depicts heterogeneity.

465 ng/ml, P < 0.00001) for FDP in BALF, respectively. In sharp contrast, PAI-1 in BALF was decreased significantly in treated animals (-21 ng/ml, 95% CI: -24 to -19 ng/ml, P < 0.00001) (**Figure 4**).

The effects of fibrinolytics on fibrinolytic activity were further analyzed with the data grouped by delivering routes. For PAA in the plasma, intravenous delivery was used in two studies (39 controls, 32 treated animals), and nebulization was applied in one publication (14 controls, 14 treated animals). Both systemic (i.v.) and local (nebulization) routes were effective in augmenting plasma PAA: an increase of 35% of basal level for i.v. (95% CI: 16 to 55%, *P* = 0.0003) and 44% for nebulization (95% CI: 42 to 47%, P < 0.00001), respectively, were observed (Table 4). Similarly, fibrinolytic activity in BALF was improved significantly. PAA in BALF was increased by 48% (95% CI: 43 to 53%, *P* < 0.00001) for i.v. and 58% (95% CI: 32 to 84%, *P* < 0.0001) for nebulization, respectively (Table 4); FDP in BALF were also elevated: 392 ng/ml for i.v. (95% CI: 331 to 453 ng/ml, P < 0.00001) and 549 ng/ml for nebulization (95% CI: 48 to 1,050 ng/ml, P = 0.03), respectively. With regards to individual fibrinolytic regimen, six tPA (53 controls, 46 treated animals) and two plasmin studies (14 controls, 14 treated animals) demonstrated an increment of 591 ng/ml (95% CI: 442 to 739 ng/ml, *P* < 0.00001) and 5 ng/ml (95% CI: 3 to 7 ng/ml, *P* < 0.00001) in FDP, respectively (Table 4). In contrast, PAI-1 in BALF was decreased significantly by fibrinolytics delivered via either i.v. (−21 ng/ml, 95% CI: −25 to −18 ng/ml, *P* < 0.00001) or nebulization (-22 ng/ml, 95% CI: -24 to -21 ng/ml, P < 0.00001) (Table 4).

Coagulative Activity

Coagulation was analyzed as TATc. Overall, fibrinolytics significantly increased plasma TATc (1.6 ng/ml, 95% CI: 0.8 to 2.4 ng/ml, P = 0.0001) (**Figure 5**). Plasma TATc was measured in six studies: four studies *via* i.v. (39 controls, 32 treated animals) and two

studies *via* nebulization (14 controls, 14 treated animals). Both nebulization (3.7 ng/ml, 95% CI: 1.6 to 5.9 ng/ml, P = 0.0007) and i.v. (1.2 ng/ml, 95% CI: 0.4 to 2.1 ng/ml, P = 0.005) increased plasma TATc (**Table 4**).

Neutrophil Infiltration

We evaluated potential effects of fibrinolytic administration on neutrophils in BALF for lung inflammation. First, we adopted the fixed effect model ($I^2 = 34\%$) to analyze BALF neutrophils. An overall reduction of 3×10^4 cells/ml in neutrophils was seen (95% CI: -5 to -2×10^4 cells/ml, P < 0.00001) (Figure 6A). Six tPA (50 controls, 48 treated animals) and two uPA studies (18 controls, 12 treated animals) were analyzed separately. Only one tPA study showed lesser neutrophils (8 \times 10⁴ cells/ ml, 95% CI: -16 to -0×10^4 cells/ml, P = 0.04) over that of controls. The other 5 tPA studies, however, did not exhibit significant changes in neutrophils compared with controls. UPA suppressed the infiltration of neutrophils into alveoli (-3×10^4) cells/ml, 95% CI: -5 to -2×10^4 cells/ml, P < 0.00001) in two studies. Nebulization of fibrinolytics was effective in reducing alveolar neutrophils (-4×10^4 cells/ml, 95% CI: -6 to -2×10^4 cells/ml, P = 0.0003). In contrast, neither intravenous nor intraperitoneal administration reduced alveolar neutrophils significantly: -1×10^5 cells/ml for i.v. (95% CI: -26 to 7×10^4 cells/ml, P = 0.26) and -2×10^5 cells/ml for i. P. (95% CI: -1 to 1×10^6 cells/ml, P = 0.71), respectively (**Table 4**). Apparently, fibrinolytic therapy decreased alveolar neutrophils in a routedependent manner.

Lung Edema

The random effect model was used to assess the efficacy of fibrinolytics on the resolution of edema fluid in injured lungs (**Figure 6B**). Total gravimetric lung wet/dry ratios were analyzed in two studies for plasmin (14 controls, 14 treated animals), two for tPA (16 controls, 12 treated animals), and

	Overall effect	Small animal	Large animal	tPA	uPA	Plasmin	i.v.	Nebulization	i.t.	i.p.	Transgeni
Mortality (RR)	0.21 (0.08, 0.52) 0.0008, 88/112	0.17 (0.04, 0.65) 0.01, 44/39	0.24 (0.07, 0.82) 0.02, 44/73	0.13 (0.03, 0.66) 0.01, 38/38	0.18 (0.02, 1.70) 0.13, 37/40	0.42 (0.21, 0.85) 0.02, 13/34	0.24 (0.07,0.82) 0.02, 44/73	-	0.05 (0.01,0.32) 0.002, 21/17	-	0.38 (0.17 0.85) 0.02, 23/22
PaO2, mmHg	15.16 (7.78, 22.55) <0.0001, 119/138	14.79 (6.28, 23.30) 0.0007, 77/85	21.01 (–25.54, 67.55) 0.38, 42/53	23.41 (6.06, 40.76) 0.008, 32/38	7.68 (2.23, 13.03) 0.005, 73/86	22.74 (-5.72, 51.21) 0.12, 14/14	15.18 (3.59, 26.76) 0.01, 76/84	3.17 (–1.50, 7.83) 0.18, 29/38	30.31 (23.74, 36.89) <0.00001, 14/16	-	-
PaO ₂ , mmHgª	15.03 (5.63, 24.42) 0.002, 116/104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PaCO ₂ , mmHg	-11.66 (-17.58, -5.73) 0.0001, 71/80	-14.82 (-23.11, -6.52) 0.0005, 60/66	-0.38 (-1.92, 1.16) 0.63, 11/14	-21.23 (-34.40, -8.05) 0.002, 36/42	0.29 (–1.84, 2.42) 0.79, 35/38	-	0.89 (–1.71, 3.48) 0.50, 31/31	1.36 (–2.60, 5.31) 0.50, 17/20	-31.39 (-44.66, -18.12) <0.00001, 23/29	-	-
PaCO2, mmHg ^a	-13.65 (-22.39, -4.92) 0.002, 58/49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plasma PAA,%	38.84 (25.36, 52.31) <0.00001, 46/53	-	-	-	-	-	35.80 (16.40, 55.19) 0.0003, 32/39	44.48 (41.99, 46.98) <0.00001, 14/14	-	-	-
BALF PAA,%	50.53 (45.33, 55.73) <0.00001, 46/53	-	-	-	-	-	48.04 (43.04, 53.04) <0.00001, 32/39	58.27 (32.38, 84.15) <0.0001, 14/14	-	-	-
BALF FDP, ng/ml	408.41 (351.65, 465.16) <0.00001, 60/67	-	-	590.58 (441.78, 739.38) <0.00001, 46/53	-	4.90 (3.12, 6.68) <0.00001, 14/14	392.22 (331.41, 453.02) <0.00001, 46/53	549.03 (48.10, 1049.96) 0.03, 14/14	-	-	-
BALF PAI-1, ng/ml	-21.44 (-23.78, -19.09) <0.00001, 46/53	-	-	-	-	-	-21.12 (-24.54, -17.69) <0.00001	-22.46 (-24.10, -20.82) <0.00001	-	-	-
Plasma TATc, ng/ml	1.59 (0.78, 2.40) 0.0001, 46/53	-	-	-	-	-	1.24 (0.37, 2.12) 0.005, 32/39	3.73 (1.56, 5.89) 0.0007, 14/14	-	-	-
Neutrophil, 10 ⁶ cells/ml	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.02) <0.00001, 60/68	-	-	-0.08 (-0.16, -0.00) 0.04, 48/50	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.02) <0.00001, 12/18	-	-0.10 (-0.26, 0.07) 0.26, 24/28	-0.04 (-0.06, -0.02) 0.0003, 26/32	-	-0.20 (-1.26, 0.86) 0.71, 10/8	-
Lung water content	-1.49 (-2.15, -0.83) <0.00001, 32/36	-2.09 (-2.72, -1.45) <0.00001, 20/24	-0.60 (-1.26, 0.06) 0.07, 12/12	-2.75 (-6.64, 1.14) 0.17, 12/16	-0.22 (-0.75, 0.31) 0.41, 6/6	-1.84 (-2.38, -1.30) <0.00001, 14/14	-1.35 (-2.10, -0.61) 0.0004, 20/20	-0.90 (-1.13, -0.67) <0.00001, 6/6	-	-4.88 (-6.94, -2.82) <0.00001, 6/10	-
Lung injury score	-1.83 (-2.55, -1.12) <0.00001, 64/64	-1.94 (-2.69, -1.19) <0.00001, 58/58	-1.16 (-1.96, -0.36) 0.004, 6/6	-0.64 (-2.83, 1.55) 0.55, 38/38	-1.67 (-2.48, -0.87) <0.0001, 12/12	-3.50 (-3.61, -3.39) <0.00001, 14/14	-1.92 (-2.65, -1.19) <0.00001, 55/55	-	-	-	-0.36 (-2.81, 2.09) 0.77, 9/9

Fibrinolytics Improve ALI

RR, risk ratio; tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator; uPA, urokinase-type plasminogen activator; i.v., intravenous injection/infusion; i.t., intratracheal inhalation; i.p., intraperitoneal injection; PaO₂, arterial oxygen tension; PaCO₂, arterial oxygen tension; PaCO₂, arterial carbon dioxide pressure; PAA, plasminogen activator activity; PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; BALF; bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; FDP, fibrin degradation products; TATc, thrombin–antithrombin complexes. Weighted mean differences, 95% Cl in brackets, and p values and animal numbers for treated/control groups are listed on three rows for each parameter. Analyzed results from grouped data are filled with blue, orange, and green for animal size, fibrinolytics, and routes, respectively. *Analyzed with endpoint data.



FIGURE 3 | Effect of fibrinolytic therapy on PaO₂ (A) and PaCO₂ (B). Weighted mean difference (WMD, square) and overall effect (diamond) are depicted.

one for uPA (6 controls, 6 treated animals). Our results showed that plasmin reduced lung water content (wet/dry ratio) significantly (−1.8, 95% CI: −2.4 to −1.3, *P* < 0.00001). However, the effects of tPA and uPA on edema resolution were insignificant statistically, albeit a greater extent than plasmin was reduced by tPA (-2.8, 95% CI: -6.6 to 1.1, P = 0.17) but not uPA (-0.2, 95% CI: -0.8 to 0.3, P = 0.41). Fibrinolytic effects on the resolution of edema fluid were examined by routes in five studies: three via i.v., one via nebulization, and one via i.p. A significant improvement in edema fluid clearance was seen when delivered via i.v. (-1.4, 95% CI: -2.1 to)-0.6, P = 0.0004), nebulization (-0.9, 95% CI: -1.1 to -0.7, P < 0.00001), and i.p. (-5, 95% CI: -7 to -3, P < 0.00001). The effects of fibrinolytics on lung water content were analyzed by grouping data per animal sizes: three studies in small (20 controls, 24 treated animals) and two in large animals (12 controls, 12 treated animals). Lung water content was reduced to a greater extent by fibrinolytics in small animals (-2.1, 95%)CI: -2.7 to -1.5, P < 0.00001) than that in large animals (-0.6, 95% CI: -1.3 to 0.1, P = 0.07) (Table 4).

Histologic Lung Injury Score

The random effect model was utilized to examine the efficacy of fibrinolytics on histological lung injury score (Figure 6C) for the substantial heterogeneity existed among nine studies: five for tPA (38 controls, 38 treated animals), two for uPA (12 controls, 12 treated animals), and two for plasmin (14 controls, 14 treated animals). Both uPA and plasmin improved lung injury score, respectively, by a decrease of 1.7 for uPA (95% CI: -2.5 to -0.9, P < 0.0001) and 3.5 for plasmin (95% CI: -3.6 to -3.4, P < 0.00001). By comparison, tPA reduced lung injury score to a lesser extent (-0.6, 95% CI: -2.8 to 1.6, P = 0.55) insignificantly. Further, we analyzed potential differences between two routes, eight for i.v. (55 animals for both control and treated groups) and one for gene therapy (9 animals for both control and treated groups). Fibrinolytics delivered via i.v. reduced lung injury score significantly (−1.9 *via* i.v., 95% CI: −2.7 to −1.2, *P* < 0.00001), but not *via* gene therapy (-0.4, 95% CI: -2.8 to 2.1, P = 0.77). Finally, we assessed the data from nine studies grouped per animal sizes: eight in small (58 controls, 58 treated animals) and one in large animal (6 controls, 6 treated animals). A significant reduction in

A	Trea	ated		Con	trol			WMD of plasma PAA	(%) WMD of plasma PAA (%)
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Weight	IV, Random, 95% (CI IV, Random, 95% CI
Choi et al. 2007* b	110.38	0.5	8	92.33	3.22	8	16.8%	18.05 [15.79, 20.31]	1
Choi et al. 2007 b	119.74	1.22	8	63.16	4.54	12	16.8%	56.58 [53.88, 59.28]	
Hofstra et al. 2013 b	119.87	0.5	7	75.61	3.62	7	16.8%	44.26 [41.55, 46.97]	-
Choi et al. 2007 a	119.74	1.22	8	78.95	5.8	11	16.7%	40.79 [37.26, 44.32]	-0-
Choi et al. 2007* a	110.38	0.5	8	82.64	6.45	8	16.6%	27.74 [23.26, 32.22]	-0-
Hofstra et al. 2013 a	119.07	0.5	7	73.34	8.67	7	16.3%	45.73 [39.30, 52.16]	
Total (95% CI)			46			53	100.0%	38.84 [25.36, 52.31]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	279.44; C	Chi² = 52	7.46,	df = 5 (P < 0.000)(100	l² = 99%		-50 -25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.65 ((P < 0.00	0001)						Favours [Treated] Favours [Cont
3	Tre	atad		Con	tral				
Study or Subaroup		ated	м	Con	SD	м	Woight	WMD of BALF PAA (9	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD 4.00		Mean			Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	
Choi et al. 2007 b		1.23		68.21	4.36	12		51.79 [49.18, 54.40]	-
Choi et al. 2007* b Choi et al. 2007 a	120 120	0.5 1.23		73.71 78.32	4.85 5.57	8 11		46.29 [42.91, 49.67]	
Hofstra et al. 2007 a	120.1	0.5		74.73	4.72	7		41.68 [38.28, 45.08] 45.37 [41.85, 48.89]	•
Choi et al. 2007* a	120.1	0.5		67.43	6.46	8		52.57 [48.08, 57.06]	
Hofstra et al. 2013 a	119.12	0.5	-	47.33		7		71.79 [63.09, 80.49]	
Total (95% CI)			46			53	100.0%	50.53 [45.33, 55.73]	•
()			~~ .	(D					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	36.95: C	hi² = 56.	93. d	f = 5 (P)	< 0.0000	1): [*	= 91%		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:					< 0.0000	1); 1-	= 91%		-50 -25 0 25 50
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:					< 0.0000	1); 1-	= 91%		-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours [Treated] Favours [Con
Test for overall effect:	Z = 19.05	5 (P < 0.		1)		1); 1-	= 91%		Favours [Treated] Favours [Con
Test for overall effect:	Z = 19.05 Tre	5 (P < 0. ated	0000	1) C	ontrol			WMD of BALF FDP (i	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connig/ml] WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml)
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup	Z = 19.08 Tre Mean	5 (P < 0. ated SD	0000	1) C Mear	ontrol 1 SD		N Weigh	t IV, Random, 95%	Favours [Treated] Favours [Conng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) CI IV, Random, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578	0000 N 7	1) C Mear 3.7462	ontrol 1 SD 2 0.7993		N Weigh 7 15.2%	t IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connig/ml] ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55] Image: Connig
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368	0000 N 7 7	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.755	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 0 0.8281		N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2%	IV, Random, 95% 6 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6 6.30 [2.28, 10.3]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connected] ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55] 100 52] 100
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368	0000 N 7 7	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 0 0.8281 3 39.89		N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7%	t IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5	Favours [Treated] Favours [Conneg/ml] MMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 82] 1]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007* b	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37	5 (P < 0. ated 50 5.368 58.24	0000 N 7 8	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 54.36	1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6%	t IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 730.59 [681.67, 779.5	Favours [Treated] Favours [Conneg/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55] 32] 1] 8]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941	ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72	0000 N 7 8 8 8	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 54.36 9 90.76	1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8%	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 730.59 [681.67, 779.5] 6 668.90 [618.72, 719.0]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Conneg/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 52 11 8] 5]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84	ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61	0000 N 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.7 386.9 642.99 556.57	ontrol 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 54.36 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31	1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8%	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6 730.59 [681.67, 779.5] 6 668.90 [618.72, 719.0] 6 357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6 703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6 299.27 [206.26, 392.2]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connected] ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55] 1] 8] 4] 8]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84	ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61	0000 N 7 8 8 8 8 8 8	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.7 386.9 642.99 556.57	ontrol SD 0.7993 0.8281 3.39.89 54.36 90.76 77.5	1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8%	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6 730.59 [681.67, 779.5] 6 668.90 [618.72, 719.0] 6 357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6 703.19 [636.04, 770.3]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connected] ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55] 1] 8] 4] 8]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI)	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87	ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44	00000 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272. 386.9 542.99 556.55 554.3	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 5 54.36 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81	1 1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0%	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.6] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.300 [618.72, 719.0] 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 8.10.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.1]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connected] ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007* b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007* a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46;	ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi² = 2	00000 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 0 2157.	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.7 386.9 642.99 556.57 544.3 32, df =	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 5 54.36 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81	1 1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0%	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30.59 [681.67, 779.5] 668.90 [618.72, 719.0] 6357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 810.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6408.41 [351.65, 465.14]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Connected] ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55]
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI)	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46;	ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi² = 2	00000 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 0 2157.	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.7 386.9 642.99 556.57 544.3 32, df =	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 5 54.36 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81	1 1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0%	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.300 [618.72, 719.0] 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 8.10.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.1] 10%	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contemport ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46;	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi ² = 2 0 (P < 0.)	00000 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 0 2157.	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.7 386.9 642.99 556.57 544.3 32, df =	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 5 4.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1	1 1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 7 6.1% 7 100.0% 01); l ² = 10	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.300 [618.72, 719.0] 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 8.10.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.1] 10%	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 11 • 8] • 0] • 6] • 1000 -500 0 500 100 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction of the second of the
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi ² = 2 0 (P < 0.)	00000 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 6 0000	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Control	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1 0	1 1 6 00000	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 7 6.1% 7 100.0% 01); l ² = 10	t IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 5.00 [2.28, 10.3] 5.00 [2.28, 10.3] 5.00 [681.67, 779.5] 5.00 [689.00 [618.72, 719.0] 5.00	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi ² = 2 0 (P < 0.) ed	00000 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 6 0000	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Control	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1 SD I	1 1 6 00000 <u>N W</u>	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 7 100.0% 01); l ² = 10 Wi Veight	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.300 [618.72, 719.0] 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 6.810.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.10] 10% MD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 41 • 83 • 01 • 1000 -500 0 50 1000 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml)
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368 55.64 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi ² = 2 0 (P < 0.) ed SD	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 000000	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro Mean	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1 0 SD I .93	1 1 00000 <u>N M</u> 7 1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0% 91); l ² = 10 WI WI WI Veight 18.0% -2 17.8% -1	t IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5 5.30 [2.28, 10.3 5.30 [2.28, 10.3] 5.30 [2.28,	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Hofstra et al. 2013 a	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi ² = 2 0 (P < 0.) ed SD 1.77	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 2157 00000 00000 00000	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro <u>Mean</u> 26.7 1	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 0 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 123.81 7 (P < 0.4)	1 1 00000 <u>N M</u> 7 1	N Weigh 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0% 91); l ² = 10 WI WI WI Veight 18.0% -2 17.8% -1	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.300 [618.72, 719.0] 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 6.810.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.10] 10% MD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ IV, Random, 95% CI 22.94 [-24.88, -21.00]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Hofstra et al. 2013 a Choi et al. 2007 a	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1 0.98 1.1	$5 (P < 0.$ ated SD 2.5578 5.368 58.24 55.64 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 $Chi^{2} = 2$ 0 (P < 0.) ed SD 1.77 0.5	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 2157 00000 00000 00000	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro <u>Mean</u> 26.7 1 17.56 3	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1 0 SD 1 .93 .38 1 .23	1 1 00000 <u>N M</u> 7 1 8	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 7 6.1% 7 100.0% 1); l ² = 10 WI Veight 18.0% -2 17.8% -1 17.2% -2	t IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5 5.30 [2.28, 10.3 5.30 [2.28, 10.3] 5.30 [2.28,	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Hofstra et al. 2013 a Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1 0.98 1.1	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ated} \\ \text{SD} \\ 2.5578 \\ 5.368 \\ 58.24 \\ 55.64 \\ 55.72 \\ 58.18 \\ 106.61 \\ 206.44 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r} \text{Chi}^2 = 2 \\ 0 \ (\text{P} < 0.1 \\ \text{obs} \\ \text{SD} \\ 1.77 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \end{array}$	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 02157 00000 2157 7 8 8 2 8 8 8	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro <u>Mean</u> 26.7 1 17.56 3 23.71 3	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 0 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1)	1 1 00000 <u>N W</u> 7 1 8 2 7	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0% 91); I ² = 10 WI WI Veight 18.0% -2 17.8% -1 17.2% -2 16.7% -2 15.2% -2	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.730.59 [681.67, 779.5 6.68.90 [618.72, 719.0 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2 6.810.57 [632.24, 988.9 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.10 10% 408.41 [351.65, 465.10 10% 408.41 [351.65, 465.10 10% 41.1 [351.65, 465.10 10% 42.94 [-24.88, -21.00] 16.58 [-18.61, -14.55] 22.61 [-24.87, -20.35] 21.34 [-23.81, -18.87] 21.24 [-24.32, -18.16]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: D Study or Subgroup Hofstra et al. 2013 a Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1 0.98 1.1 0.86 1.24 0	$\begin{array}{r} \text{ated} \\ \text{SD} \\ 2.5578 \\ 5.368 \\ 58.24 \\ 55.64 \\ 55.72 \\ 58.18 \\ 106.61 \\ 206.44 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{r} \text{Chi}^2 = 2 \\ 0 \ (\text{P} < 0.1 \\ \text{obs} \\ \text{SD} \\ 1.77 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \end{array}$	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 02157 00000 2157 7 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 7 2	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro Mean 26.7 1 17.56 3 23.71 3 22.2 4	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 0 0.8281 3 39.89 1 54.36 9 90.76 5 77.5 7 66.31 3 123.81 7 (P < 0.1)	1 1 00000 <u>N W</u> 7 1 8 2 7	N Weigh 7 15.2% 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 10.8% 7 6.1% 67 100.0% 91); I ² = 10 WI WI Veight 18.0% -2 17.8% -1 17.2% -2 16.7% -2 15.2% -2	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.30 [2.28, 10.3] 6.300 [618.72, 719.0] 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6] 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3] 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2] 6.810.57 [632.24, 988.9] 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.10] 10% MD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ IV, Random, 95% CI 22.94 [-24.88, -21.00] 16.58 [-18.61, -14.55] 22.61 [-24.87, -20.35] 21.34 [-23.81, -18.87]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 a Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: D Study or Subgroup Hofstra et al. 2013 a Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Hofstra et al. 2013 b	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1 0.98 1.1 0.86 1.24 0	$5 (P < 0.$ ated SD 2.5578 5.368 5.368 5.364 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 $Chi^2 = 2$ 0 (P < 0.) ed SD 1.77 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.31 0.5	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 60 02157 00000 2157 7 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 7 2	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.759 406.78 272.2 386.5 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro Mean 26.7 1 17.56 3 23.71 3 23.71 3 22.2 4 22.48 4	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 5 54.66 9 90.76 5 77.57 66.31 123.81 7 (P < 0.0	1 1 6 00000 <u>N</u> <u>M</u> 7 1 8 2 7 8	N Weigh 7 15.2% 8 13.7% 1 13.6% 2 12.8% 8 12.6% 7 10.8% 7 10.1% 7 6.1% 67 100.0% 91); I ² = 10 WI WI Veight 18.0% -2 17.8% -1 17.2% -2 16.7% -2 15.1% -2	IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.730.59 [681.67, 779.5 6.668.90 [618.72, 719.0 6.357.40 [293.15, 421.6 6.703.19 [636.04, 770.3 6.299.27 [206.26, 392.2 6.810.57 [632.24, 988.9 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.10 10% 408.41 [351.65, 465.10 10% 408.41 [351.65, 465.10 10% 41.1 [351.65, 465.10 10% 42.94 [-24.88, -21.00] 16.58 [-18.61, -14.55] 22.61 [-24.87, -20.35] 21.34 [-23.81, -18.87] 21.24 [-24.32, -18.16]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2013 Motoyama et al. 2014 Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Choi et al. 2007 b Hofstra et al. 2013 a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Hofstra et al. 2013 a Choi et al. 2007 a Choi et al. 2007 b Hofstra et al. 2013 b Choi et al. 2007 b Hofstra et al. 2013 b Choi et al. 2007 a	Z = 19.05 Tre Mean 8.3082 8.059 1,137.37 941 744.3 1,346.14 855.84 1,354.87 5501.46; Z = 14.10 Treat Mean 3.76 1 0.98 1.1 0.86 1.24 (1.1 6.97; Chi	5 (P < 0. ated SD 2.5578 5.368 5.368 5.368 5.3.24 55.72 58.18 106.61 206.44 Chi ² = 2 0 (P < 0.0 ed SD 1.77 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	00000 N 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 7 60 0000 0000 0000	1) C Mear 3.7462 1.756 406.78 272.7 386.6 642.99 556.55 544.3 32, df = 1) Contro Mean 26.7 1 17.56 3 23.71 3 22.2 4 22.48 4 25.43 4 = 5 (P <	ontrol SD 2 0.7993 3 0.8281 3 39.89 5 54.66 9 90.76 5 77.57 66.31 123.81 7 (P < 0.1	1 1 6 00000 7 1 8 2 7 8 3 1		IV, Random, 95% 4.56 [2.58, 6.5 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 10.3 6.30 [2.28, 12.24 6.408.41 [351.65, 465.14 90% MD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ IV, Random, 95% CI 22.94 [-24.88, -21.00] 6.58 [-18.61, -14.55] 22.61 [-24.87, -20.35] 21.34 [-23.81, -18.87] 21.24 [-24.32, -18.16] 24.33 [-27.43, -21.23]	Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction ng/ml) WMD of BALF FDP (ng/ml) Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl 55 • 4] • 8] • 0] • 1000 -500 0 50 • 1000 -500 0 50 • • 1000 -500 0 500 10 Favours [Treated] Favours [Contraction Favours [Contraction ml) WMD of BALF PAI-1 (ng/ml) IV, Random, 95% Cl

FIGURE 4 | Effects of fibrinolytic treatment on the fibrinolysis in the plasma and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF). (A) Plasma plasminogen activator activity (PAA). (B) BALF PAA. (C) BALF fibrin degradation products (FDP). (D) BALF plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1).

	Trea	ated		Cor	ntrol			WMD of plasma TA	ATc (ng/ml)	WMD of plasma	TATc (ng/ml)
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	Ν	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95	% CI	IV, Fixed,	95% CI
Choi et al. 2007* a	18.76	1.46	8	16.9	1.17	8	39.1%	1.85 [0.55, 3.15]			
Choi et al. 2007* b	15.26	1.75	8	14.2	0.87	8	35.8%	1.03 [-0.32, 2.38]		P	
Hofstra et al. 2013 a	19.23	2.02	7	15.	3.05	7	9.0%	3.53 [0.82, 6.24]		- -	_
Choi et al. 2007 a	33.92	3.66	8	34.5	3.01	11	6.9%	-0.64 [-3.74, 2.46]			
Hofstra et al. 2013 b	25.82	3.13	7	21.7	3.71	7	5.1%	4.07 [0.47, 7.67]		-	_
Choi et al. 2007 b	20.06	5.13	8	19.5	3.14	12	4.2%	0.51 [-3.46, 4.48]			
Total (95% CI)			46			53	100.0%	1.59 [0.78, 2.40]		. ♦	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	6.88, df	= 5 (P =	0.23); I ² = 2	7%				-2	-1 0	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.85	5 (P = 0.	0001)					-2 Favours [Tre		Favours [Contro

FIGURE 5 | Effect of fibrinolytic therapy on plasma thrombin-antithrombin complexes.



lung injury score was found in both small (-1.9, 95% CI: -2.7 to -1.2, P < 0.00001) and large animals (-1.2, 95% CI: -2.0 to -0.4, P = 0.004) treated with fibrinolytics (**Table 4**).

Preventive and Therapeutic Intervention on Effects of Fibrinolysis

The mortality, PaO₂, lung water content, and lung injury score of preventive and therapeutic intervention was analyzed. As to mortality, preventive strategy showed a beneficial effect (0.41, 95% CI: 0.24 to 0.68, P = 0.0007), whereas treatment strategy did not (0.11, 95% CI: 0.01 to 1.10, P = 0.06) (**Table 5**). Treatment strategy showed a beneficial effect (18.53 mmHg, 95% CI: 7.2 to 29.9 mmHg, P = 0.001) (**Table 5**) on PaO₂, but not preventive strategy (8.09 mmHg, 95% CI: -11.5 to 27.7 mmHg, P = 0.42). With regards to lung water content, there was a significant decrease of 2.1 (95% CI: -2.7 to -1.5, P < 0.00001) compared with control groups in preventive maneuvers, but this decrease was not significant in therapeutic maneuver (-0.6, 95% CI: -1.3 to 0.06, P = 0.07). Compared with control group, the lung injury score was reduced by 2.1 for preventive maneuver (95% CI: -2.8 to -1.5), 1.7 for therapeutic maneuver (95% CI: -2.5 to -0.9) (**Table 5**).

TABLE 5 | Effects of preventive strategies vs treatment strategies only.

Endpoints	Preventive strategies	Treatment strategies
Mortality	0.41 (0.24, 0.68) 0.0007	0.11 (0.01, 1.10) 0.06
PaO ₂	8.09 (-11.49, 27.67) 0.42	18.53 (7.15, 29.91) 0.001
Lung water content	-2.09 (-2.72, -1.45) <0.00001	-0.60 (-1.26, 0.06) 0.07
Lung injury score	-2.14 (-2.80, -1.48) <0.00001	-1.67 (-2.48, -0.87) <0.0001

TABLE 6 | Risk of bias assessments.

Assessment of Bias and Sensitivity Analysis

To determine if potential threats to internal validity influenced our findings, we evaluated the quality of included 22 studies in addition to the checklists of the ARRIVE guidelines (**Table 2**). Incomplete outcome data and selective outcome reporting in all studies were low risk. Randomization, blinding of personnel, allocation concealment, and blinding outcome assessment in 11 projects were low risk, whereas the risk in remaining projects was unclear (**Table 6**).

Funnel plot found no asymmetrical distribution for PaO_2 (**Figure 7A**), which was confirmed by the Egger regression (**Figure 7B**; *P* = 0.199 for PaO_2). Without imputing any missing studies for PaO_2 , the Trim and Fill analysis exhibited a symmetrical funnel plot too (data not shown).

To test the stability and dependability of the results, we omitted one study (34), which had a relative large sample size. The combined WMD of PaO_2 for remaining 17 studies was estimated by the sensitivity plot again, yielding a value of 15 (95% CI: 7 to 24) (**Figure 8**), which is same as the estimate of overall effect. These results indicated that the reliability of our meta-analysis was considerably strong.

DISCUSSION

Fibrinolytic therapy for ALI has been emerging during the last decade (41). Comparing with systematic evaluation (including systematic review and meta-analysis) of the efficacy of anticoagulants in clinical and preclinical studies of ALI (42), the potential benefit of fibrinolytics for ALI patients has not been tested by well-designed clinical trials yet. In this analysis, we

Study	Randomization	Blinding of personnel	Allocation concealment	Blinding of outcome assessment	Incomplete outcome data	Selective outcome reporting	Other bias
Hardaway et al., (37)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Hardaway et al., (15)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Hardaway et al., (25)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Stringer et al., (27)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Vasquez et al., (32)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Munster et al., (21)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Sisson et al., (36)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Gunther et al., (18)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Enkhbaatar et al., (16)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Chen et al., (33)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Choi et al., (20)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Choi et al., (19)*	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Renckens et al., (31)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Chen et al., (35)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Huang et al., (22)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Hofstra et al., (24)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Motoyama et al., (38)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Veress et al., (29)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Conhaim et al., (28)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U
Motoyama et al., (39)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Yu et al., (34)	L	L	L	L	L	L	U
Veress et al., (30)	U	U	U	U	L	L	U

L, low risk of bias; U, unclear risk of bias.

*Second publication from the same author.

have performed meta-analysis and systematic review, focusing on efficacy in 22 published preclinical studies of ALI. Our results suggest that fibrinolytic therapy significantly improves gas exchange, reduces alveolar neutrophils, increases fibrinolytic activity, reduces the quantity of pulmonary edema fluid, and suppresses the histologic severity of lung injury in a fibrinolysin-, route-, and species-dependent manners. The overall effects of fibrinolytics on death toll, oxygenation, fibrinolysis, and lung function were corroborated by analyzing subgrouped data and sensitivity. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to summarize previous preclinical studies aiming to provide evidence for further animal studies and clinical trials.

Fibrinolytic Therapy for ALI Is Feasible and Tolerant

All of three fibrinolytic reagents, tPA, uPA, and plasmin were administered for 14 animal models of ALI in the included studies. The large range of applied doses demonstrates feasibility and tolerance. In addition, tolerability of tPA was confirmed in mice by a classic study (43). Airway bleeding was observed only where treatment was given locally with a large dose of







tPA (\geq 1 mg/kg/d). Although the scarcity of gross hemorrhage and other severe adverse events applied *via* five routes were reported in 6 of 22 studies, considering the non-adherence to the ARRIVE guidelines (44) and informal systematic measurements of local and systemic hemorrhage quantitatively, the potential risk for bleeding associated with fibrinolytic therapy could not be ruled out.

Mortality Improved by Fibrinolytic Therapy

Overall mortality was improved during the defined follow-up period from 1 h to 28 days. The most effective route to reduce mortality is intratracheal administration, and plasmin is the least effective lytic to improve survival rate. It is note worthy that only two of 10 studies followed mortality 48 h to 30 days. Other eight studies determined death toll within 2 days. Given the inconsistent follow-up time that differs from clinical studies, the overall improvement of mortality by fibrinolytic therapy shall be confirmed by further studies designed per the ARRIVE guidelines (44) and with extended follow-up periods identical to that for ALI/ARDS clinical studies.

Fibrinolytic Therapy Improves Gas Exchange

Intriguingly, fibrinolytics increase gas exchange based on data at the same time-point, and to the most extent when delivered *via* intratracheal route. Fibrinolytics benefited gas exchange differently between small and large animals. It is probably due to divergent dose applied between species. Furthermore, the structure of the respiratory tract, lung function, inner surface of the lung for gas exchange, and oxygen consumption are body size dependent. Additionally, inconclusive results of oxygenation are seen for plasmin due to insufficient samples for meta-analysis. Hypoxia is correlated with the mortality of ALI (45). This notion is supported by the benefit of both gas exchange and survival rate by intratracheal delivery of fibrinolytic regimens.

Fibrinolytic Therapy Alleviates Lung Injury

Our meta-analysis demonstrates that fibrinolytic therapy restores the dysfunctional fibrinolysis and coagulation in ALI. This benefit does not depend on routes and fibrinolytic regimens. Alveolar fibrin deposition attracted neutrophils and fibroblasts, and decreased lung edema fluid clearance (46). We identify that fibrinolysin tPA and i.v. route are the most potent for reducing neutrophil infiltration. We also find that fibrinolytics facilitate edema fluid resolution in small animals to a greater extent than in large animals. Our previous studies proved that uPA and plasmin but not tPA could activate apically located epithelial sodium channels, a predominate pathway to remove edema fluid (47, 48). Considering that tPA competitively binds to PAI-1 with a greater affinity than uPA, delivered tPA may form complexes with elevated PAI-1 and serve as a "cage" to separate endogenous uPA from PAI-1 (49). Under this situation, freed uPA is able to activate epithelial sodium channels and resolve edema fluid. It is unclear why intraperitoneal administration eliminates lung edema to the most extent. At least, this is the only way to get rid of direct addition of extra fluid to the flooded air spaces intratracheally or indirectly leaking to the alveoli through the impaired blood-gas barrier post intravenously fluid infusion. In addition, we find that plasmin may be the best fibrinolysin to improve lung injury score. Plasmin may defragment deposited fibrin. However, this is not supported by FDP content in BALF. It is probably due to the cleavage of epithelial sodium channels by plasmin proteolytically to expedite transalveolar fluid re-absorption (unpublished data). Exogenously applied tPA displayed anti-inflammatory effects (50). Therefore, anti-inflammatory properties of three fibrinolytic agents could be a mechanism for alleviating ALI. Taken together, fibrinolytic regimens may suppress the mortality in preclinical models of ALI through multifaceted mechanisms in a route- and model-dependent manner. ALI animal models may not be representative of human ALI, because of the timing and severity of ALI induction, the dose and timing of the treatment in relation to ALI induction, the use of small/young animals without comorbid illnesses, and lack of administration of standard of care co-interventions, such as fluids and antibiotics during the study period. How well animal models of ALI mimic the pathophysiology of human ALI has also been a contentious issue. Thus, the effect of construct validity on fibrinolytic therapy of ALI remains to be determined.

Limitations of Our Analysis

Our review has some limitations. First, the duration of delivery of fibrinolytic agents and the period of observation were not consistent. More than half of the included studies do not adhere to the ARRIVE guidelines. Second, although subgroups and sensitivity analyses were conducted, we could not completely explain the substantial heterogeneity and some diverse effects in experimental ALI. Third, uncertainty of the potential benefits of fibrinolytics in ALI may be improved by future preclinical studies with larger numbers of animal studies. For example, we could not perform dose-effect analysis because of a paucity of sufficient data. Finally, the effects of fibrinolytics on ALI animal models provide basic information for further preclinical studies. Considering the difference between relative homogeneous animals (e.g., healthy young or adult animals without comorbidity and extremely heterogeneous patients), it shall be cautious to link the findings in preclinical studies to ALI patients.

CONCLUSION

Our results identify that the best route is intratracheal delivery, and the most efficacious regimen is tPA. FDA approved fibrinolytic therapies for cardiovascular and pleural diseases, including hypertensive intraventricular hemorrhage (51), loculated pleural effusions, parapneumonic effusions, pleural empyema, malignant effusions, hemothorax, and myocardial infarction (52–55), demonstrate the tolerance and feasibility of this treatment. This meta-analysis, however, did not provide data on the optimized dose of each therapy, the optimal time

to initiate therapy, and the model-specific strategy. These unsolved questions await further well-designed preclinical studies following the ARRIVE guidelines. On balance, this review provides preclinical evidence for designing clinical trials to test the benefit of the fibrinolytic therapies for ALI.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Project design: H-LJ, RZ, MM, and XLZ. Data collection: CL, ZS, MZ, YM, and H-LJ. Data analysis: CL, ZS, YM, and LZ. Manuscript preparation: CL, YM, RZ, H-LJ, H-GN, MM, XL, and PX. Revision: H-LJ, XJZ, and MM. Approval and submission: H-LJ and MM.

REFERENCES

- Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, Carlet J, Falke K, Hudson L, et al. The American-European Consensus Conference on ARDS. Definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. Am J Respir Crit Care Med (1994) 149:818–24. doi:10.1164/ ajrccm.149.3.7509706
- Maca J, Jor O, Holub M, Sklienka P, Bursa F, Burda M, et al. Past and present ARDS mortality rates: a systematic review. *Respir Care* (2017) 62:113–22. doi:10.4187/respcare.04716
- 3. Ware LB, Matthay MA. The acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* (2000) 342:1334–49. doi:10.1056/NEJM200005043421806
- Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E, Weaver J, Martin DP, Neff M, et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* (2005) 353:1685–93. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa050333
- Matthay MA, Mcauley DF, Ware LB. Clinical trials in acute respiratory distress syndrome: challenges and opportunities. *Lancet Respir Med* (2017) 5:524–34. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30188-1
- Walter J, Ware LB, Matthay MA. Mesenchymal stem cells: mechanisms of potential therapeutic benefit in ARDS and sepsis. *Lancet Respir Med* (2014) 2:1016–26. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(14)70217-6
- Wilson JG, Liu KD, Zhuo H, Caballero L, Mcmillan M, Fang X, et al. Mesenchymal stem (stromal) cells for treatment of ARDS: a phase 1 clinical trial. *Lancet Respir Med* (2015) 3:24–32. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(14)70291-7
- Enkhbaatar P, Pruitt BA Jr, Suman O, Mlcak R, Wolf SE, Sakurai H, et al. Pathophysiology, research challenges, and clinical management of smoke inhalation injury. *Lancet* (2016) 388:1437–46. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31458-1
- Idell S. Coagulation, fibrinolysis, and fibrin deposition in acute lung injury. Crit Care Med (2003) 31:S213–20. doi:10.1097/01.CCM.0000057846.21303.AB
- Bai C, Wang X. Multiple-systems dysfunction within the lung: a new angle for understanding pulmonary dysfunction. J Soc Motion Pict Eng (2006) 2:2–3. doi:10.1080/17471060500462393
- Janda S, Swiston J. Intrapleural fibrinolytic therapy for treatment of adult parapneumonic effusions and empyemas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest* (2012) 142:401–11. doi:10.1378/chest.11-3071
- O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr, Chung MK, De Lemos JA, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* (2013) 127:e362–425. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e3182742c84
- Yamaya M. [Effects of mucolytic agents and macrolides in the treatment of COPD]. Nihon Rinsho (2016) 74:833–8.
- Cazzola M, Rogliani P, Calzetta L, Hanania NA, Matera MG. Impact of mucolytic agents on COPD exacerbations: a pair-wise and network meta-analysis. COPD (2017) 14:552–63. doi:10.1080/15412555.2017.1347918
- Hardaway RM, Williams CH, Marvasti M, Farias M, Tseng A, Pinon I, et al. Prevention of adult respiratory distress syndrome with plasminogen activator in pigs. *Crit Care Med* (1990) 18:1413–8. doi:10.1097/00003246-199012000-00021
- Enkhbaatar P, Murakami K, Cox R, Westphal M, Morita N, Brantley K, et al. Aerosolized tissue plasminogen inhibitor improves pulmonary function in sheep with burn and smoke inhalation. *Shock* (2004) 22:70–5. doi:10.1097/01. shk.0000129201.38588.85

FUNDING

This work was supported by the NIH (HL134828, HL116826, Al 133465, and HL51865), American Heart Association award (16GRNT30780002), National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 81670010), and a fund from the Education Department of Henan Province (182102410009).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01898/full#supplementary-material.

- Hardaway RM, Harke H, Tyroch AH, Williams CH, Vazquez Y, Krause GF. Treatment of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: a final report on a phase I study. *Am Surg* (2001) 67:377–82.
- Gunther A, Lubke N, Ermert M, Schermuly RT, Weissmann N, Breithecker A, et al. Prevention of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis by aerosolization of heparin or urokinase in rabbits. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* (2003) 168:1358–65. doi:10.1164/rccm.2201082
- Choi G, Hofstra JJ, Roelofs JJ, Florquin S, Bresser P, Levi M, et al. Recombinant human activated protein C inhibits local and systemic activation of coagulation without influencing inflammation during *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia in rats. *Crit Care Med* (2007) 35:1362–8. doi:10.1097/01. CCM.0000261888.32654.6D
- Choi G, Vlaar AP, Schouten M, Van't Veer C, Van Der Poll T, Levi M, et al. Natural anticoagulants limit lipopolysaccharide-induced pulmonary coagulation but not inflammation. *Eur Respir J* (2007) 30:423–8. doi:10.1183/09031936.00165606
- Munster AM, Rasmussen L, Sidelmann J, Ingemann Jensen J, Bech B, Gram J. Effects of inhaled plasminogen activator on the balance between coagulation and fibrinolysis in traumatized pigs. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* (2002) 13:591–601. doi:10.1097/00001721-200210000-00003
- Huang LT, Chou HC, Wang LF, Chen CM. Tissue plasminogen activator attenuates ventilator-induced lung injury in rats. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* (2012) 33:991–7. doi:10.1038/aps.2012.66
- Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Methodol* (2005) 5:13. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-5-13
- Hofstra JJ, Cornet AD, Declerck PJ, Dixon B, Aslami H, Vlaar AP, et al. Nebulized fibrinolytic agents improve pulmonary fibrinolysis but not inflammation in rat models of direct and indirect acute lung injury. *PLoS One* (2013) 8:e55262. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055262
- 25. Hardaway RM, Williams CH, Sun Y. A new approach to the treatment of experimental septic shock. J Surg Res (1996) 61:311–6. doi:10.1006/jsre.1996.0122
- Julian PT, Higgins SG. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0. (2011). [updated March 2011]. Available from: http://handbook.cochrane.org
- Stringer KA, Hybertson BM, Cho OJ, Cohen Z, Repine JE. Tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) inhibits interleukin-1 induced acute lung leak. *Free Radic Biol* Med (1998) 25:184–8. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(98)00047-1
- Conhaim RL, Watson KE, Dovi WF, Bates ML. Inhaled thrombolytics reduce lung microclot and leukocyte infiltration after acute blood loss. *Shock* (2014) 41:528–36. doi:10.1097/SHK.00000000000149
- Veress LA, Hendry-Hofer TB, Loader JE, Rioux JS, Garlick RB, White CW. Tissue plasminogen activator prevents mortality from sulfur mustard analog-induced airway obstruction. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* (2013) 48:439–47. doi:10.1165/rcmb.2012-0177OC
- Veress LA, Anderson DR, Hendry-Hofer TB, Houin PR, Rioux JS, Garlick RB, et al. Airway tissue plasminogen activator prevents acute mortality due to lethal sulfur mustard inhalation. *Toxicol Sci* (2015) 143:178–84. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfu225
- Renckens R, Roelofs JJ, Stegenga ME, Florquin S, Levi M, Carmeliet P, et al. Transgenic tissue-type plasminogen activator expression improves host defense during *Klebsiella pneumonia*. J Thromb Haemost (2008) 6:660–8. doi:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2008.02892.x

- Vasquez Y, Williams CH, Hardaway RM. Effect of urokinase on disseminated intravascular coagulation. J Appl Physiol (1985) (1998) 85:1421–8. doi:10.1152/ jappl.1998.85.4.1421
- Chen Y, Lu ZJ, Lu GP. Changes of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 activity and effects of exogenous urokinase on endotoxin-induced acute lung injury. *Chin Pediatr Emerg Med* (2006) 13:537–9. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn. 1673-4912.2006.06.017
- 34. Yu H, Wu Q, Wu J, Sun X, Du Z, Li L, et al. [The effect of low molecular heparin and urokinase on MCP-1 of acute experimental pulmonary embolism in rabbits]. *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi* (2014) 37:437–41. doi:10.3760/ cma.j.issn.1001-0939.2014.06.012
- Chen X, Huang P, Wu H, Chen Y, Zhang J. The investigate of Xuebijing's protection in acute pulmonary embolism. *Acta Univ Med Nanjing* (2009) 29:695–701.
- 36. Sisson TH, Hanson KE, Subbotina N, Patwardhan A, Hattori N, Simon RH. Inducible lung-specific urokinase expression reduces fibrosis and mortality after lung injury in mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* (2002) 283:L1023–32. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00049.2002
- Hardaway RM, Johnson DG, Elovitz MJ, Houchin DN, Jenkins EB, Burns JW, et al. Influence of trauma and hemolysis on hemorrhagic shock in dogs. *J Trauma* (1964) 4:624–41. doi:10.1097/00005373-196409000-00007
- Motoyama H, Chen F, Ohsumi A, Hijiya K, Okita K, Nakajima D, et al. Protective effect of plasmin in marginal donor lungs in an ex vivo lung perfusion model. *J Heart Lung Transplant* (2013) 32:505–10. doi:10.1016/j. healun.2013.02.007
- Motoyama H, Chen F, Hijiya K, Kondo T, Ohsumi A, Yamada T, et al. Plasmin administration during ex vivo lung perfusion ameliorates lung ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J Heart Lung Transplant* (2014) 33:1093–9. doi:10.1016/j.healun.2014.06.004
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* (2003) 327:557–60. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557
- MacLaren R, Stringer KA. Emerging role of anticoagulants and fibrinolytics in the treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Pharmacotherapy* (2007) 27:860–73. doi:10.1592/phco.27.6.860
- Tuinman PR, Dixon B, Levi M, Juffermans NP, Schultz MJ. Nebulized anticoagulants for acute lung injury – a systematic review of preclinical and clinical investigations. *Crit Care* (2012) 16:R70. doi:10.1186/cc11325
- Lackowski NP, Pitzer JE, Tobias M, Van Rheen Z, Nayar R, Mosharaff M, et al. Safety of prolonged, repeated administration of a pulmonary formulation of tissue plasminogen activator in mice. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* (2010) 23:107–14. doi:10.1016/j.pupt.2009.10.009
- 44. Leung V, Rousseau-Blass F, Beauchamp G, Pang DSJ. ARRIVE has not ARRIVEd: support for the ARRIVE (Animal Research: reporting of in vivo experiments) guidelines does not improve the reporting quality of papers in animal welfare, analgesia or anesthesia. *PLoS One* (2018) 13:e0197882. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0197882
- Alessandri F, Pugliese F, Ranieri VM. The role of rescue therapies in the treatment of severe ARDS. *Respir Care* (2018) 63:92–101. doi:10.4187/ respcare.05752

- Ware LB. Pathophysiology of acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Semin Respir Crit Care Med (2006) 27:337–49. doi:10.1055/s-2006-948288
- Chen Z, Zhao R, Zhao M, Liang X, Bhattarai D, Dhiman R, et al. Regulation of epithelial sodium channels in urokinase plasminogen activator deficiency. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* (2014) 307:L609–17. doi:10.1152/ ajplung.00126.2014
- Ji HL, Zhao R, Komissarov AA, Chang Y, Liu Y, Matthay MA. Proteolytic regulation of epithelial sodium channels by urokinase plasminogen activator: cutting edge and cleavage sites. *J Biol Chem* (2015) 290:5241–55. doi:10.1074/ jbc.M114.623496
- Florova G, Karandashova S, Declerck PJ, Idell S, Komissarov AA. Remarkable stabilization of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 in a "molecular sandwich" complex. *Biochemistry* (2013) 52:4697–709. doi:10.1021/bi400470s
- Stringer KA, Bose SK, Mccord JM. Antiinflammatory activity of tissue plasminogen activator in the carrageenan rat footpad model. *Free Radic Biol Med* (1997) 22:985–8. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(96)00484-4
- Baker AD, Rivera Perla KM, Yu Z, Dlugash R, Avadhani R, Mould WA, et al. Fibrinolytic for treatment of intraventricular hemorrhage: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Int J Stroke* (2017) 13:11–23. doi:10.1177/1747493017730745
- Ahmed AH, Yacoub TE. Intrapleural therapy in management of complicated parapneumonic effusions and empyema. *Clin Pharmacol* (2010) 2:213–21. doi:10.2147/CPAA.S14104
- Ben-Or S, Feins RH, Veeramachaneni NK, Haithcock BE. Effectiveness and risks associated with intrapleural alteplase by means of tube thoracostomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* (2011) 91:860–863; discussion 863–864. doi:10.1016/j. athoracsur.2010.10.082
- Bundhun PK, Janoo G, Chen MH. Bleeding events associated with fibrinolytic therapy and primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with STEMI: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)* (2016) 95:e3877. doi:10.1097/ MD.000000000003877
- Majid A, Ochoa S, Chatterji S, Fernandez-Bussy S, Kheir F, Rivera E, et al. Safety and efficacy of tissue plasminogen activator and DNase for complicated pleural effusions secondary to abdominal pathology. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* (2017) 14:342–6. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201608-594BC

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Liu, Ma, Su, Zhao, Zhao, Nie, Xu, Zhu, Zhang, Li, Zhang, Matthay and Ji. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.