



PEGylated Domain I of Beta-2-Glycoprotein I Inhibits the Binding, Coagulopathic, and Thrombogenic Properties of IgG From Patients With the Antiphospholipid Syndrome

Thomas C. R. McDonnell¹, Rohan Willis², Charis Pericleous¹, Vera M. Ripoll¹, Ian P. Giles¹, David. A. Isenberg^{1,3}, Allan R. Brasier², Emilio B. Gonzalez², Elizabeth Papalardo², Zurina Romay-Penabad², Mohammad Jamaluddin², Yiannis Ioannou^{1,3} and Anisur Rahman^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Antonio Serrano, Hospital Universitario 12 De Octubre, Spain

Reviewed by:

Jason S. Knight, University of Michigan, United States Marie-Agnes Dragon-Durey, Université Paris Descartes, France

*Correspondence:

Anisur Rahman anisur.rahman@ucl.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Autoimmune and Autoinflammatory Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 28 June 2018 Accepted: 28 September 2018 Published: 22 October 2018

Citation:

McDonnell TCR, Willis R, Pericleous C, Ripoll VM, Giles IP, Isenberg DA, Brasier AR, Gonzalez EB, Papalardo E, Romay-Penabad Z, Jamaluddin M, Ioannou Y and Rahman A (2018) PEGylated Domain I of Beta-2-Glycoprotein I Inhibits the Binding, Coagulopathic, and Thrombogenic Properties of IgG From Patients With the Antiphospholipid Syndrome. Front. Immunol. 9:2413. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02413 ¹ Division of Medicine, Centre for Rheumatology Research, University College London, London, United Kingdom, ² Internal Medicine, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, United States, ³ Arthritis Research UK Centre for Adolescent Rheumatology, UCL/UCLH/Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, United Kingdom

APS is an autoimmune disease in which antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) cause vascular thrombosis and pregnancy morbidity. In patients with APS, aPL exert pathogenic actions by binding serum beta-2-glycoprotein I (B2GPI) via its N-terminal domain I (DI). We previously showed that bacterially-expressed recombinant DI inhibits biological actions of IgG derived from serum of patients with APS (APS-IgG). DI is too small (7 kDa) to be a viable therapeutic agent. Addition of polyethylene glycol (PEGylation) to small molecules enhances the serum half-life, reduces proteolytic targeting and can decrease immunogenicity. It is a common method of tailoring pharmacokinetic parameters and has been used in the production of many therapies in the clinic. However, PEGylation of molecules may reduce their biological activity, and the size of the PEG group can alter the balance between activity and half-life extension. Here we achieve production of site-specific PEGylation of recombinant DI (PEG-DI) and describe the activities in vitro and in vivo of three variants with different size PEG groups. All variants were able to inhibit APS-IgG from: binding to whole β2GPI in ELISA, altering the clotting properties of human plasma and promoting thrombosis and tissue factor expression in mice. These findings provide an important step on the path to developing DI into a first-in-class therapeutic in APS.

Keywords: antiphospholipid syndrome, PEGylation, domain I, therapeutics, biologics

INTRODUCTION

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is an autoimmune disease in which antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) interact with phospholipid/protein complexes in the body to cause vascular thrombosis and/or pregnancy morbidity (1). The exact population prevalence of APS is unknown but it has been estimated that aPL may contribute to 6.1% of cases of pregnancy morbidity, 13.5% of

1

strokes, 11.5% of myocardial infarctions, and 9.5% of deep vein thromboses (DVT) (2). The only evidence-based treatment to prevent recurrent thrombosis in patients with APS is longterm anti-coagulation, generally with warfarin (3) though more recently new oral anticoagulants such as rivaroxaban have been used (4). Utility of these new oral anticoagulants however, is not yet fully established in treatment of thrombotic APS. In APS pregnancies, the standard of care remains daily treatment with oral aspirin plus subcutaneous heparin (5). Unfortunately recent data from the TRAPS trial has been disappointing with thrombosis rates in patients on Rivaroxaban being significantly higher (19 vs. 3%) (6). There is currently no therapeutic agent that targets the biologic actions of aPL specifically.

The key autoantigen targeted by pathogenic aPL is beta-2-glycoprotein I (\u03b2GPI) (7), which consists of five domains [Domain I to Domain V (DI to DV)]. The N-terminal DI carries the key epitope recognized by aPL (8, 9). It is believed that the aPL-β2GPI complex interacts with the surface of target cells by means of binding between DV and negatively charged phospholipids on the cell membrane (10). This interaction leads to activation of cell surface receptors and intracellular signaling cascades causing changes in cellular behavior that promote thrombosis and/or pregnancy morbidity (10). Activation of target endothelial cells (ECs), monocytes and platelets by aPL induces the upregulation of several proinflammatory cytokines, key among them being tissue factor (TF) (11, 12). In our mouse thrombosis model utilizing knockout mice, we have previously shown that aPL-mediated increases in inflammatory cytokines, particularly TF levels, correlate with increased thrombus formation in vivo (13).

Our hypothesis is that an agent containing DI alone could inhibit binding of the pathogenic aPL to whole β 2GPI (14). We have developed a method of expressing recombinant human DI in bacteria and have optimized this method to obtain high yield and purity of DI (15). We and others previously showed that recombinant DI inhibits binding of polyclonal IgG from patients with APS (APS-IgG) to whole human β 2GPI (8, 9). We have also shown that recombinant DI inhibits thrombosis induced by human APS-IgG in a murine model (16). In these experiments, we tested wild-type DI as well as two variants created by sitedirected mutation. One variant, with mutations of aspartic acid to serine at position 8 and glycine at position 9 (D8S,D9G) retained the ability to block both binding and thrombogenic properties of APS-IgG (16). We therefore decided to pursue the development of both wild-type DI and DI (D8S,D9G) as potential therapeutic agents for APS.

The small size (7 kDa) of DI would lead to a short half-life *in-vivo*, making it unsuitable as a therapeutic agent. There are a number of different ways to modify small biological molecules to improve half-life. These include addition of an immunoglobulin Fc region, as with the TNF blocking drug etanercept, and the chemical addition of polyethylene glycol groups (PEGylation). PEGylation has been used to develop a number of drugs used in rheumatic diseases notably pegloticase for gout and certolizumab pegol for rheumatoid arthritis [reviewed in (17)]. The advantages of PEGylation include improved half-life and reduced immunogenicity but potential disadvantages include loss

of biological efficacy due to the large PEG group(s) blocking interaction of the protein with its physiological ligand (18–21). Larger PEG groups enhance half-life but reduce activity (19, 20, 22, 23) thus it is important first to assess activity of different PEGylated variants of a protein before going on to select a specific PEG size as successful development of a PEGylated therapeutic relies on efficiently balancing these two opposing conditions.

Site-directed PEGylation on disulfide bonds enables control of both the number and location of the PEG groups added to a protein, so that the properties of the PEGylated product are predictable and reproducible (24). DI has two disulfide bonds making it suitable for this method. The ideal product is monoPEGylated DI because two separate PEG groups attached to the same DI molecule would probably interfere with the ability of aPL to bind to their epitope (25–27).

In this paper we describe the PEGylation of both recombinant wild-type DI (WT-PEG-DI) and the D8S,D9G variant [PEG-DI (D8S,D9G)], and the characterization of their chemical and biological properties. We include a comparison of variants carrying PEG molecules of different sizes to facilitate future selection of a lead product to take forward to pharmacokinetic testing.

METHODS

A full description of the methods, including extended details of pH, media, buffers, and mouse experiments is included in **Supplementary Material**.

Expression of Recombinant DI and DI(D8S,D9G) in Bacteria

Production was carried out as described previously (15). E. coli BL21* cells were transfected with the recombinant DI plasmid and expression of DI was achieved by adding 1 mM IPTG followed by incubation with shaking overnight at 20°C. The bacteria were dissolved in lysis buffer, sonicated, and centrifuged to collect inclusion bodies containing the protein of interest. Inclusion bodies were dissolved and ground using a pestle and mortar into a chaotropic buffer before sonication (50% intensity, 50% cycles, 8 min) to increase solubilization. The expression plasmids are designed such that a nickel-binding hexahistidine tag is present at the N-terminal end of expressed DI, separated from it by a site for the protease Factor Xa (FXa) (15). The expressed protein from the solubilized inclusion bodies was therefore purified on a nickel column, re-folded in 0.6 M arginine buffer with a cysteine redox buffer (pH 8.5) and dialysed against 20 mM Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 8. Protein was again purified postfolding using a nickel column and dialysed against phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

PEGylation

Protein was reduced at a concentration of 0.4 mg/ml in 2 M arginine, 20 mM sodium phosphate (NaPO4, 0.1 M NaCl), 40 mM EDTA at pH 8.0 with 0.1 M DTT for 1 h at 20°C. This process was followed by removal of the reductant and buffer exchange on a PD-10 column to an identical buffer with 25 mM arginine rather than 2 M. PEGylation reagent was added (1:0.8

PEG-DI as a New Therapy for APS

molar ratio) and incubated for 4 h at 4°C. This solution was then buffer exchanged to 20 mM sodium acetate with 0.05% Tween at pH 6.0 for cation exchange purification on a 5 ml SP-HP column (GE Healthcare) with a linear gradient from 20% buffer containing 1 M NaCl to 100% of the same buffer at 2 ml/min for 1 h. Fractions containing protein of the expected size of PEG-DI were identified by peaks on a chromatogram at 280 nm and then pooled. The hexahistidine tag was cleaved using FXa as in McDonnell et al. (15). Quantification from this point onwards was by BCA for both PEGylated and non-PEGylated form, thus 20 μ g of WT-DI contains the same amount of DI as 20 μ g WT-PEG-DI.

Quantification

All concentrations of constructs were quantified using the BCA method. This method measures only the protein component of the construct (i.e., DI) and is unaffected by PEG. The concentrations expressed are based on protein concentration of the PEGylated constructs. This method was chosen as the form of quantification as previous work showed UV quantification was biased by the presence of a ring group in the PEGylation reagent. Thus, when we refer to $20 \,\mu g \, PEG$ -DI, we mean $20 \,\mu g \, of$ DI within the PEG-DI construct. Therefore, the PEGylated and non-PEGylated constructs contain the same amount of antigenic sites.

Chemical Characterization

Proteins were characterized for purity by reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) using a C8 column with a linear gradient between 2% Acetonitrile (AcN), 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and 100% AcN 0.065% TFA. Proteins were also characterized by SDS PAGE for size.

Production of APS-IgG Samples From Serum of Patients

The clinical and serological data for the patients are shown in **Table 1**. IgG was purified from serum of these patients by passing these samples down a protein G column (Pierce). Eluted IgG was neutralized with 500 μ l of 1 M Tris solution. Samples were then dialysed against PBS and total IgG content was quantified using a BCA assay.

Competitive Inhibition Anti-β2GPI ELISA

This ELISA was carried out as described previously (15). In brief, serum was diluted 1:50 in PBS/1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and tested for binding in an anti- β 2GPI ELISA. For each serum sample, the dilution level giving 50% of maximum binding in this assay was selected for use in the inhibition assay. Samples at this dilution were incubated with varying concentrations of a DI construct for 2 h at room temperature then tested again in the anti- β 2GPI ELISA. The results were plotted as "% Binding remaining" on the y-axis against concentration of inhibitor on the x-axis where "% Binding remaining" = (Binding in presence of inhibitor)/(Binding in absence of inhibitor) × 100.

TABLE 1 | Clinical and serological data for patients with APS whose samples were used in these experiments.

| | APS patients |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Number | 18 |
| Age | 49.5 (±12) |
| Female | 13 |
| Male | 5 |
| Caucasian | 17 |
| Asian | 1 |
| SLE/APS | 8 |
| VT | 11 |
| VT PM | 6 |
| CAPS | 1 |
| LA | 17 |
| Mean aCL (GPLU) | 64 (<i>SD</i> 36) |
| Mean aB2GPI (GBU) | 54 (SD 44) |
| Mean aDI (GDIU) | 45 (SD 41) |
| aDI positive | 10 |
| aβ2GPI positive | 12 |
| aCL positive | 13 |
| | |

Patient data for those used to test for activity for D8S,D9G and WT protein. Note that cut-off for positivity in the aCL assay is >17GPLU, in the β 2GPI assay it is >8GBU, and for DI positivity it is >10 GDIU. All cut off values were derived from 200 healthy patients.

Modified Direct Russell Viper Venom Test (dRVVT)

Although APS is characterized by increased clotting caused by aPL, one of the tests used in clinical practice to detect aPL in serum is called the lupus anticoagulant (LA) test. The rationale of this test is that when clotting is triggered *in-vitro* using a reagent containing dilute Russell Viper Venom, the effect of adding aPL from a patient with APS is to inhibit clotting, prolonging the clotting time. This effect is reversed by adding an excess of phospholipids. The result is therefore expressed as the ratio of dRVVT-stimulated clotting time of the patient's plasma in the absence of phospholipid (LA-sensitive reagent or LS) to the clotting time in the presence of phospholipid (LA-resistant reagent or LR). A ratio above 1.1 suggests the presence of a circulating inhibitor of coagulation. This ratio was devised based on healthy control data, previously published studies and is suggested in the manufacturer kit insert.

We modified the dRVVT to test whether our PEG-DI products inhibits prolongation of the dRVVT clotting time caused by APS-IgG. APS-IgG samples were added to commercially available healthy human plasma at a concentration of 500 μ g/ml for 15 min at 37°C before testing in the modified dRVVT assay. Those APS-IgG that gave LS/LR ratios >1.1 were used for inhibition assays. APS-IgG was incubated with inhibitor (DI or PEG-DI) at a 1:1 molar ratio with 50 μ l of plasma for 15 min at 37°C. This mixture was then added to 350 μ l of plasma and re-incubated for 15 min before testing for an LA-like effect. The outcome measure was ratio of clotting times seen in the presence of LS and LR reagents (LS/LR ratio). Reduction in this LS/LR ratio in the presence of DI or PEG-DI signified an inhibitory effect on the prolongation of the modified dRVVT caused by addition of APS-IgG. In control experiments, we used octreotide (a kind gift from Dr. Kozakowska of PolyTherics) or albumin (Sigma Aldrich) instead of DI or PEG-DI to exclude a non-specific effect of adding extraneous proteins to this assay.

Passive Transfer Mouse Model

The method was as described in previous papers (16). Male CD-1 mice (n = 5 per group) (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) between 6 and 8 weeks in age were injected intraperitoneally (IP) with 500 µg in 1 ml APS-IgG in (all injections from a single preparation of IgG) and then 30-60 min later with either DI, PEG-DI conjugate or PBS control. IgG was isolated from a Caucasian 37 year old female APS/SLE patient with a history of pregnancy morbidity and venous thrombosis, serum levels of aCL, aß2GPI, and aDI were 114 GPLU, 361 GBIU, and GDIU, respectively. IgG aß2GPI levels were measured in the purified IgG as 132 SGU by INOVA Quantalite ELISA assay, aDI levels previously measured were 60 GDIU (at 100µg/ml). Negative control mice were injected IP with 500 μ g in 1 ml normal healthy serum (NHS)-IgG. All materials had endotoxin levels below 1.5 EU/ml. These injections were repeated after 48 h and the thrombogenicity of aPL was assessed at 72 h after the first injection. At this time, mice were anesthetized and one of the femoral veins was exposed for observation with an approximate 0.5 mm segment transilluminated using a microscope equipped with a closed-circuit video system. The isolated vein segment was pinched to introduce a standardized injury and thrombus formation and dissolution was visualized and recorded. The treatment groups were as follows: APS-IgG + PBS control, NHS-IgG Alone, APS-IgG + 40 µg non-PEGylated WT-DI, APS-IgG + 40 µg non-PEGylated DI (D8S,D9G), APS-IgG + 40 µg 20 kDa PEGylated DI, APS-IgG + 40 µg 20 kDa PEGylated DI (D8S,D9G), APS-IgG + 20 μg 20 kDa PEGylated DI, PEG alone, and APS-IgG + PEG.

Three outcome measures were assessed, as fully described in previous papers and in Supplemental Data (16). These were:

- a) Induced thrombus size: A total of three thrombi were generated in each mouse and the largest cross-sectional area of each thrombus during the formation-dissolution cycle was measured five times and a mean value calculated (in μ m²).
- b) Activity of tissue factor (TF) in peritoneal macrophages by a chromogenic assay. Results were standardized with reference to the protein concentration of lysates and expressed in pM/mg/ml.
- c) Tissue Factor expression in mouse carotid homogenates [measured as described in (b) above].

All animals were housed in the viral antibody-free barrier facility at the University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB). Animal use and care were in accordance with the UTMB Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines.

Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as means plus or minus standard deviation as appropriate. A one way analysis of variance by

ANOVA followed by the Tukey-multiple comparison test was used to compare differences among mouse groups. These analyses were performed using the xlStat. Statistics were also carried out in prism using ANOVA and *T*-Tests. Statistical analysis primarily compared PEGylated DI variants with their non-modified equivalent.

RESULTS

WT-PEG-DI Was Produced at Over 95% Purity and monoPEGylated Variants Containing 20, 30, and 40 kDa PEG Were Obtained

As can be seen in **Figures 1A,B**, 20 kDa WT-PEG-DI, 30 kDa WT-PEG-DI, and 40 kDa WT-PEG-DI were generated and the purity of this product exceeded 95%. Since DI contains two disulfide bonds, the potential products of the reaction were diPEGylated DI, monoPEGylated DI, and residual non-PEGylated DI. The chromatogram in **Figure 1C** shows that monoPEGylated DI was the dominant product and could be easily separated in different fractions from the other two products. The fractions shown in the chromatogram were run on an SDS-PAGE gel (**Figure 1D**), which shows that monoPEGylated, diPEGylated, and non-PEGylated DI were all obtained separately.

WT-PEG-DI Retains the Ability to Inhibit Binding of Serum From Patients With APS to Whole β2GPI

Figures 2A,B demonstrate the results obtained in the inhibition ELISA with serum samples from six patients with APS. Binding to β 2GPI on the plate was inhibited by increasing concentrations of the following products; non-PEGylated wild-type DI (WT-DI), WT-PEG-DI, non-PEGylated DI (D8S,D9G), and PEG-DI (D8S,D9G). Greater inhibition is shown by lower curves, signifying that less of the original binding capacity was maintained in the presence of the inhibitor.

For most of the samples there is little difference between the DI and DI(D8S,D9) curves, whether PEGylated (compare Green with Purple), or non-PEGylated (compare Red with Blue) showing that introduction of the D8S,D9G mutations had little effect on inhibitory capacity of DI in this assay.

The effect of PEGylation, this can be seen by comparing the WT-DI (Red) to WT-PEG-DI (Green) and the DI(D8S,D9G) (Blue) to PEGylated DI(D8S,D9G) (Purple). The samples can be divided into two types. One type, exemplified by panel A was characterized by strong inhibition (80% or more) by the two non-PEGylated products whereas their PEGylated equivalents were still able to inhibit binding but not by as much (typically a maximum of 40–50%). The other type of patient, exemplified by panel B showed less inhibition of binding but this level of inhibition (typically a maximum of 30–50%) was similar for the non-PEGylated and PEGylated DI molecules. Of note, in direct anti-DI ELISA the type A samples had higher binding to DI (mean 87.5GDIU) than the type B samples (mean 44.4GDIU).



We then compiled all the patient data into a single graph displaying average inhibition within groups (**Figure 2C**). We utilized the 100 mcg dose as this concentration was before the plateau of inhibition; these data are shown in **Figure 2C**. It demonstrates that 20 kDa WT-PEG-DI (n = 10), 30 kDa WT-PEG-DI (n = 6), and 40-kDa WT-PEG-DI (n = 9) all inhibit binding to a similar level. When combining the results from testing multiple serum samples, there was no significant loss of inhibitory capacity with the PEGylated compared to the non-PEGylated variants.

PEGylated DI Retains the Ability to Inhibit the Effect of APS-IgG in the Modified dRVVT Assay

The outcome measure in this assay is the ratio of clotting time in the presence of the lupus anticoagulant-sensitive (LS) reagent to clotting time in the presence of the lupus anticoagulant-resistant (LR) reagent—referred to in the figure as LS:LR ratio or ratio of reagents. As described in the methods section, a reduction in this ratio in the presence of DI or PEG-DI indicates inhibition of the prolongation of the dRVVT.



Results from 9 samples can be seen in **Figure 3A**. There was significant inhibition (P < 0.05) with non-PEGylated WT-DI and both 20 kDa WT-PEG-DI and 40 kDa WT-PEG-DI. PEGylation did not reduce the ability of WT-DI to inhibit the action of APS-IgG in this assay. Furthermore, **Figure 3B** shows the results for each sample separately. For two samples, the PEGylated variants reduced LS:LR ratio more than non-PEG-DI. WT-PEG-DI showed no effect on the clotting of normal plasma in the absence of APS-IgG. PEG alone showed no inhibitory effects (data not shown).

Figure 3C shows the results of control experiments designed to show that only proteins containing DI inhibited APS-IgG in this assay. We added octreotide or two different preparations of albumin to either APS-IgG or control IgG preparations [from a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or a healthy subject]. The figure shows that neither albumin nor octreotide had any effect on the LS:LR ratio obtained with any of these samples.

Figure 3D shows the results of samples from three patients for which inhibitory effects of both WT-DI and DI(D8S,D9G)

were tested. Both PEGylated and non-PEGylated forms of both these agents inhibited the LA-like effect of APS-IgG in these experiments.

PEGylated DI Retains the Ability to Inhibit the Effect of APS-IgG on Development of Thrombosis in a Mouse Model of APS

In each panel of **Figure 4**, the two columns on the far left show the difference in outcome obtained after administering APS-IgG and NHS-IgG in the absence of any inhibitor. In **Figure 4A** the following two columns show that PEG alone does not cause enhanced thrombosis (compared to NHS-IgG) nor does it inhibit the thrombotic effect of APS-IgG. The next two columns compare the WT-DI and DI(D8S,D9G) non-PEGylated proteins for their ability to inhibit thrombosis; both significantly reduce thrombus size. The following two columns show that 20 KDa WT-PEG-DI also inhibits thrombosis, but not as well as non-PEGylated WT-DI, with little difference between the 20 and 40 μ g doses. However, the two columns on the far right



FIGURE 3 | PEGylated DI inhibits the LA effect of APS-IgG samples. In each figure, the y-axis shows the ratio of clotting times obtained in the presence of LS and LR reagents. LS:LR value >1.1 suggests an LA effect. (A) Demonstration of the effect of inhibitors on a modified dRVVT assay, this graph shows combined results from testing 9 samples. The mean LS:LR ratio was significantly lower in the presence of non-PEG-DI, 20 or 40 kDa WT-PEG-DI than in the absence of any of these agents. Significance was seen with all inhibitors compared to no inhibition (*p < 0.05) but there were no significant differences between the results obtained with non-PEG-DI, 20 and 40-kDa-PEG-DI. (B) Each separate line shows results from IgG of an individual patient inhibited with PEGylated and non-PEGylated Domain I in the modified dRVVT assay. The LS:LR ratios obtained with PEG-DI are either similar to or lower than those obtained with non-PEG-DI for all samples. (C) Control experiment showing that addition of non-DI proteins (albumin and octreotide) has no effect on LS:LR ratios in this coagulation assay. Each group of five columns shows the results from blood of a single individual (two with APS, one with SLE but not APS, and one HC) and in each group there are no significant differences between the columns. (D) comparison of WT-DI and DI(D8S,D9G) in tests on three samples. Here PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) and non-PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) have similar effects to each other but less than WT-PEG-DI.

show a marked loss of inhibitory activity of DI(D8S,D9G) after PEGylation, especially at the 20 μ g dose.

Figure 4B shows TF expression in peritoneal macrophages of mice exposed to APS-IgG in the presence of various inhibitors. The columns on the left (3–5) show inhibition by both PEGylated and non-PEGylated WT-DI whereas the columns on the right (8–10) show that the inhibitory effect of DI(D8S,D9G) in this assay is dramatically reduced after PEGylation. In fact, **Figures 4A,B** show that a dose of 20 μ g PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) has no inhibitory effect at all. PEG in the absence of APS-IgG does not have any effect on TF expression in peritoneal macrophages.

As can be seen in Figure 4C both non-PEGylated WT-DI and WT-PEG-DI inhibit the expression of TF in mouse

carotid exposed to APS-IgG by similar amounts. Conversely DI (D8S,D9G) does not significantly reduce carotid TF expression, whether or not it is PEGylated.

Since the mice in this model are subjected to anesthesia and a surgical procedure, some premature deaths were expected. All but one of these deaths occurred while mice were still under anesthesia. Thus, in the control groups that were not exposed to DI, 1/5 mice died in each of the following groups; APS-IgG alone, NHS IgG alone, and PEG alone and no mice died in the group of 7 mice given APS IgG and PEG. The results were similar in the mice given APS-IgG plus non-PEGylated WT-DI (1/5 died) and APS-IgG plus non-PEGylated DI (D8S,D9G) (0/5 died). Regarding the PEGylated constructs, two different doses



of PEG-DI were tested. At high dose (40 μ g) 2/5 mice died in both the APS-IgG plus PEG-WT-DI and the APS-IgG plus PEG-DI(D8S/D9G) group. However, at low dose (20 μ g) 0/5 mice died in the APS-IgG plus PEG-WT-DI and 2/5 died in the APS-IgG plus PEG-DI(D8S/D9G) group.

We carried out analyses to investigate possible causes of toxicity in these mice. Mice treated with high dose of PEG-WT-DI and either dose of PEG-DI(D8S/D9G) displayed some signs of inflammatory damage localized to the peritoneal cavity manifesting as erythema, exudation, and in the case of some of the animals treated with the PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) agent, petechial hemorrhage. However, none of the mice exhibited any of the typical signs of a systemic inflammatory response, namely coat ruffling, impaired consciousness, activity, eye movements, respiration, or response to stimuli. Importantly, the mice exposed to low dose PEG-WT-DI had neither localized nor systemic inflammation and none of them died prematurely.

We measured the levels of the acute phase reactants interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) in mice treated with the 40 μ g dose of PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) or PEG-WT-DI and found that they were similar to those seen in mice treated with a low dose of endotoxin (0.001 mg), which does not cause systemic inflammation. These levels were ~10 to 60-fold less than those seen in mice treated with a typical endotoxin dose to induce sepsis (0.5 mg).

In summary, these mortality data showed that administration of the non-PEG-DI and low dose PEG-20kDaWT-DI did not demonstrate any excess deaths compared to control groups, whereas the PEG-20kDa DI(D8S/D9G) group had a slight excess (2/5 deaths compared to 1/5 in control groups) at either dose. Thus, the PEGylated mutant version of DI showed unfavorable properties in this *in-vivo* model compared to PEGylated WT-DI both in terms of activity (see above) and toxicity.

DISCUSSION

We previously showed that recombinant DI expressed in bacteria blocked the binding and thrombogenic actions of APS-IgG (16). Since DI alone is not a viable therapeutic agent due to its small size, we have pursued development of a PEGylated form of DI. The use of PEGylation is common in the production of therapeutics due to its positive pharmacokinetic effects, however, this is weighed against a loss of activity for the protein. For this reason it is essential to characterize protein activity to determine which size of PEG would be optimal in terms of balancing increased half-life with maximal activity, prior to testing pharmacokinetics. Equally, before proceeding to trials of efficacy, it was important to optimize the method of production of pure monoPEGylated DI. The optimization of expression of DI in E. coli has been reported in a previous paper (15) and the optimization of PEGylation and purification is reported here.

Total inhibition of binding of APS-IgG to B2GPI was not seen even at high concentrations of PEG-DI because the serum anti-B2GPI response in patients with APS is polyclonal and comprises antibodies to different domains of B2GPI. The anti-DI component of this polyclonal response is believed to play the predominant role in pathogenesis of the APS. Both a Dutch group de Laat et al. (28) and our group have shown that anti-DI levels are more closely associated with risk of thrombosis than anti-B2GPI or anti-cardiolipin antibody levels (29). Andreoli et al. tested serum from a variety of groups for antibodies to DI and to DIV/V (30). Whereas anti-DI and anti-DIV/V were equally likely to be found in subjects with no autoimmune disease, anti-DI was found four times more commonly than anti-DIV/V in autoimmune patients (30). In a mouse model, we showed that when APS-IgG was separated into anti-DIrich and anti-DI-poor fractions by affinity-purification on a column carrying recombinant DI, the ability to cause vascular thrombosis was concentrated in the anti-DI rich fraction (31). Thus, we believe that 50% inhibition of binding of APS-IgG to β 2GPI that we have demonstrated with PEG-DI is of potential clinical benefit, because the subpopulation of anti- β 2GPI being inhibited is also the group with the major thrombogenic effect.

Our description of a modified dRVVT assay to test the ability of potential therapeutic agents to block the ability of APS-IgG to prolong the modified dRVVT is itself novel and could be used to test other potential therapeutic agents in APS, however, further testing is required before the modified dRVVT could be considered a reliable marker. Testing the LA-like effect is relevant as several studies have shown that LA may be a stronger predictor of clinical outcomes than the anti-cardiolipin or anti-B2GPI tests in patients with SLE and APS (32), particularly in pregnancy (33). WT-PEG-DI was at least as effective an inhibitor in this assay as non-PEG WT-DI. However, unlike the binding assay [where we obtained similar results with WT-DI and DI (D8S,D9G)] in the modified dRVVT assay PEGylation seems to reduce the efficacy of DI (D8S,D9G). Replacing two larger negatively charged amino acids with smaller neutral ones could alter exposure of the disulfide bond to which the PEG binds and might therefore alter the orientation of the PEG group itself, thus influencing factors such as steric hindrance.

In the mouse model experiments, it was also clear that WT-PEG-DI had advantages over PEG-DI (D8S,D9G). Whereas, both 20 μ g WT-PEG-DI and 40 μ g WT-PEG-DI inhibited the biological effects of APS-IgG on thrombosis and TF expression, the PEGylated forms of DI(D8S,D9G) had reduced efficacy as inhibitors. Furthermore, significant toxicity was seen with the PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) agent but not the WT-PEG-DI agent. While the 20 and 40 μ g doses of the PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) agent produced inflammatory damage, resulting in premature deaths of 40% of the mice in each group, the WT-PEG-DI agent did not have this effect when given at a dose of 20 μ g.

It was not possible to use the mouse model to establish halflives of the DI or DI-PEG conjugates for several reasons. In this acute model, mice are sacrificed after 72 h, as such little data would be generated regarding the half-lives of protein from such a small exposure. Equally, DI or PEG-DI is bound by circulating antibody, this would alter the values detected if we attempted to use this model to characterize half-lives. The aim of the mouse model was to characterize the activity of the conjugates before establishing a lead candidate for therapeutic development, it is only through initially testing the activity of the protein conjugates that the correct PEG size can be tailored to the protein.

Although the ultimate purpose in PEGylating DI was to improve its serum half-life, we have not yet carried out pharmacokinetic experiments to prove that this has been achieved. Clearly these experiments must be done before clinical application of PEG-DI can be considered, furthermore, we also plan to test ability of PEG-DI to inhibit thrombus formation in a chronic mouse model of APS, in which mice are immunized with human β 2GPI and thus develop their own anti- β 2GPI antibodies (34) (as opposed to the passive transfer of human APS-IgG reported in this paper).

We are unsure of the exact mechanism of toxicity attributed to the PEGylated DI(D8S,D9G) but it seems clear that it is

localized to the site of inoculation and given the changes in size and charge to the DI molecule imparted by the PEG conjugate, impaired absorption of the agent across the peritoneum may be a contributing factor. Importantly, we ruled out the formation of immune complexes (CIC) in the peritoneal cavity as a possible cause by measuring levels in collected peritoneal exudates (data not shown). Future toxicity studies will focus on determining specific effects of PEGylated agents when introduced in the intraperitoneal vs. intravascular compartments.

We hypothesize that the difference in activity *in-vitro* and toxicity *in-vivo* between the two conjugates may be due to a difference in the binding site of the PEG. The PEGylation technology used requires access to surface exposed disulphide bonds. The dual mutation in the DI(D8S,D9G) protein allows access to the disulphide from two sides whilst the WT-DI protein restricts access to a single site. The mutations may therefore allow a different PEGylation which in turn may lead to masking the active site. This difference in site could also explain the increased toxicity seen with the PEG-DI(D8S,D9G) agent as the difference in PEGylation site may also effect diffusion constants and solubility. This theory is, however, speculative and would require significant modeling work to fully confirm.

Overall, the results of our programme of experiments clearly identify WT-PEG-DI as a strong potential lead for APS and a better candidate than PEG-DI(D8S,D9G), though the optimal size of PEG cannot be identified until pharmacokinetic experiments have been carried out.

Limitations of the work include the fact that we have tested samples from a relatively small number of patients with APS. Particularly for the in-vivo experiments there are practical limitations to the number of different samples that can be tested, since large numbers of mice are required for tests on each APS-IgG sample. For the modified dRVVT assay we can only use samples that have LS:LR >1.1 in the absence of inhibitor. We have mitigated the problem of limited sample numbers by using three complementary assays to test efficacy of PEG-DI as an inhibitor of APS-IgG. We should stress however, PEG-DI may not be effective in all patients; potentially it may show greatest effect in patients with high anti-DI levels. Measuring anti-DI levels could identify the sub-population of patients with APS likely to respond to PEG-DI. A study by Pericleous et al. showed \sim 40% of patients with APS had aDI positivity (29), a finding mirrored by a recent review (35).

Possible limitations of PEG-DI include potential toxicity or immunogenicity of the PEG molecule. PEG is harmless to animals at concentrations as high as 16 g per kg [1,000fold higher than the normal therapeutic dose of protein-PEG conjugates in humans (26)] but anti-PEG antibodies are found in between 8 and 25% of the healthy population (36, 37). This phenomenon is possibly due to use of PEG in everyday products such as hand creams. It is not clear whether those anti-PEG antibodies would affect efficacy of PEGylated drugs. Although anti-PEG and anti-drug antibodies adversely affected efficacy of PEGylated uricase used in gout, the same was not true in large clinical trials of certolizumab pegol, a PEGylated anti-tumor necrosis factor Fab used in rheumatoid arthritis. Though antibodies to this drug were seen in 5–6% of patients in the RAPID 1 (38) and RAPID 2 (39) clinical trials, there was no evidence that presence of these antibodies affected clinical outcomes.

In conclusion, there is a pressing need for targeted therapies in APS given that none have yet been developed for this disease. We have developed PEG-DI, an agent designed to block binding of pathogenic aPL to β 2GPI, and have shown that it is effective at blocking the effects of APS-IgG in three different assays, two *in-vitro* and one *in-vivo*. These data support pharmacokinetic and toxicology experiments which are now underway to develop PEG-DI as a first-in-class therapeutic for APS.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of London Hampstead Research Ethics Committee Ref No 12/LO/0373 with written informed consent from all subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the London Hampstead Research Ethics Committee Ref No 12/LO/0373. All animal research was conducted in a responsible, humane manner under the guidance of the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (UTMB IACUC). UTMB IACUC is a Category I institution accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALAC) and is in full compliance with the US Federal Animal Welfare Act (1966) and Texas animal care laws.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TM carried out laboratory work, developed methodology, and co-wrote the paper. RW carried out mouse work in Texas. CP and YI developed methodology and gave intellectual guidance. VR and IG gave intellectual guidance. DI, AB, and EG gave direction and support. EP, ZR-P, and MJ carried out practical work in Texas regarding mouse models. AR gave intellectual input, co-wrote the paper and supervised the project.

FUNDING

Funding in Texas was from NIH grants: UL1TR001439 and R01AR56745. Finding at UCL included an MRC CASE studentship (G1001629), Rosetrees Grant and ARUK Programme grant numbers: 508572 and 19423. Further work was carried out under an NIHR research grant: RCF199, supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre. The authors thank PolyTherics Limited for use of their proprietary method of PEGylation.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu. 2018.02413/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, Branch DW, Brey RL, Cervera R, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost.* (2006) 4:295–306. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2006.01753.x
- Andreoli L, Chighizola CB, Banzato A, Pons-Estel GJ, Ramire de Jesus G, Erkan D. Estimated frequency of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with pregnancy morbidity, stroke, myocardial infarction, and deep vein thrombosis: a critical review of the literature. *Arthritis Care Res.* (2013) 65, 1869–73. doi: 10.1002/acr.22066
- Lim W, Crowther MA, Eikelboom JW. Management of antiphospholipid antibody syndrome: a systematic review. JAMA (2006) 295:1050–7. doi: 10.1001/jama.295.9.1050
- Cohen H, Hunt BJ, Efthymiou M, Arachchillage DR, Mackie IJ, Clawson S, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin to treat patients with thrombotic antiphospholipid syndrome, with or without systemic lupus erythematosus (RAPS): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 2/3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Haematol.* (2016) 3:e426–436. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3026(16) 30079-5
- de Jesus GR, Agmon-Levin N, Andrade CA, Andreoli L, Chighizola CB, Porter TF, et al. 14th International congress on antiphospholipid antibodies task force report on obstetric antiphospholipid syndrome. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2014) 13:795–813. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2014.02.003
- Pengo V, Denas G, Zoppellaro G, Padayattil Jose S, Hoxha A, Ruffatti A, et al. Rivaroxaban vs warfarin in high-risk patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. *Blood* (2018) 132:1365–71. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-04-848333
- McNeil HP, Simpson RJ, Chesterman CN, Krilis SA. Anti-phospholipid antibodies are directed against a complex antigen that includes a lipid-binding inhibitor of coagulation: beta 2-glycoprotein I (apolipoprotein H). *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1990) 87:4120–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.11.4120
- Iverson GM, Reddel S, Victoria EJ, Cockerill KA, Wang YX, Marti-Renom MA, et al. Use of single point mutations in domain I of beta 2-glycoprotein I to determine fine antigenic specificity of antiphospholipid autoantibodies. J Immunol. (2002) 169:7097–103. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169.12.7097
- 9. Ioannou Y, Pericleous C, Giles I, Latchman DS, Isenberg DA, Rahman A. Binding of antiphospholipid antibodies to discontinuous epitopes on domain I of human beta(2)-glycoprotein I: mutation studies including residues R39 to R43. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2007) 56:280–90. doi: 10.1002/art.22306
- Giannakopoulos B, Passam F, Rahgozar S, Krilis SA. Current concepts on the pathogenesis of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Blood* (2007) 109:422–30. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-04-001206
- Vega-Ostertag M, Casper K, Swerlick R, Ferrara D, Harris EN, Pierangeli SS. Involvement of p38 MAPK in the up-regulation of tissue factor on endothelial cells by antiphospholipid antibodies. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2005) 52:1545–54. doi: 10.1002/art.21009
- 12. Lopez-Pedrera C, Buendia P, Cuadrado MJ, Siendones E, Aguirre MA, Barbarroja N, et al. Antiphospholipid antibodies from patients with the antiphospholipid syndrome induce monocyte tissue factor expression through the simultaneous activation of NF-kappaB/Rel proteins via the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, and of the MEK-1/ERK pathway. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2006) 54:301–11. doi: 10.1002/art.21549
- Romay-Penabad Z, Carrera-Marin AL, Mackman N, Pierangeli SS. Pathogenic effects of antiphospholipid antibodies are ameliorated in tissue deficient mice. *Arthrit Rheum*. (2011) 63:S5.
- 14. Ioannou Y, Rahman A. Domain I of beta2-glycoprotein I: its role as an epitope and the potential to be developed as a specific target for the treatment of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Lupus* (2010) 19:400–5. doi: 10.1177/0961203309360544
- McDonnell T, Pericleous C, Laurine E, Tommasi R, Garza-Garcia A, Giles I, et al. Development of a high yield expression and purification system for Domain I of Beta-2-glycoprotein I for the treatment of APS. *BMC Biotechnol.* (2015) 15:104. doi: 10.1186/s12896-015-0222-0
- Ioannou Y, Romay-Penabad Z, Pericleous C, Giles I, Papalardo E, Vargas G, et al. *In vivo* inhibition of antiphospholipid antibody-induced pathogenicity utilizing the antigenic target peptide domain I of beta2glycoprotein I: proof of concept. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2009) 7:833–42. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03316.x

- McDonnell T, Ioannou Y, Rahman A. PEGylated drugs in rheumatologywhy develop them and do they work? *Rheumatology* (2014) 53:391–6. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ket278
- Harris JM, Zalipsky S. Poly(ethylene glycol) Chemistry and Biological Applications. San Francisco, CA: ACS (1997). doi: 10.1021/bk-1997-0680
- Youngster S, Wang YS, Grace M, Bausch J, Bordens R, Wyss DF. Structure, biology, and therapeutic implications of pegylated interferon alpha-2b. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2002) 8:2139–57. doi: 10.2174/1381612023393242
- Kang JS, Deluca PP, Lee KC. Emerging PEGylated drugs. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs (2009) 14:363–80. doi: 10.1517/14728210902907847
- Pasut G, Veronese FM. State of the art in PEGylation: The great versatility achieved after forty years of research. J Control Release (2011) 161:461–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.10.037
- Rajender Reddy K, Modi MW, Pedder S. Use of peginterferon alfa-2a (40 KD) (Pegasys) for the treatment of hepatitis C. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. (2002) 54:571-86. doi: 10.1016/S0169-409X(02)00028-5
- Jameel F, Hershenson S. Formulation and Process Development Strategies for Manufacturing Biopharmaceuticals. Oxford: Wiley (2010). doi: 10.1002/9780470595886
- Shaunak S, Godwin A, Choi JW, Balan S, Pedone E, Vijayarangam D, et al. Site-specific PEGylation of native disulfide bonds in therapeutic proteins. *Nat Chem Biol.* (2006) 2:312–3. doi: 10.1038/nchembio786
- Inada Y, Furukawa M, Sasaki H, Kodera Y, Hiroto M, Nishimura H, et al. Biomedical and biotechnological applications of PEGand PM-modified proteins. *Trends Biotechnol.* (1995) 13:86–91. doi: 10.1016/S0167-7799(00)88912-X
- Harris JM, Martin NE, Modi M. Pegylation: a novel process for modifying pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacok.* (2001) 40:539–51. doi: 10.2165/00003088-200140070-00005
- Roberts MJ, Bentley MD, Harris JM. Chemistry for peptide and protein PEGylation. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. (2002) 54:459–76. doi: 10.1016/S0169-409X(02)00022-4
- 28. de Laat B, Pengo V, Pabinger I, Musial J, Voskuyl AE, Bultink IE, et al. The association between circulating antibodies against domain I of beta2glycoprotein I and thrombosis: an international multicenter study. J Thromb Haemost. (2009) 7:1767–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03588.x
- 29. Pericleous C, Ferreira I, Borghi O, Pregnolato F, McDonnell T, Garza-Garcia A, et al. Measuring IgA anti-beta2-glycoprotein I and IgG/IgA anti-domain I antibodies adds value to current serological assays for the antiphospholipid syndrome. *PLoS ONE* (2016) 11:e0156407. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156407
- 30. Andreoli L, Chighizola CB, Nalli C, Gerosa M, Borghi MO, Pregnolato F, et al. Clinical characterization of antiphospholipid syndrome by detection of IgG antibodies against beta2 -glycoprotein i domain 1 and domain 4/5: ratio of anti-domain 1 to anti-domain 4/5 as a useful new biomarker for antiphospholipid syndrome. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2015) 67:2196–204. doi: 10.1002/art.39187
- Pericleous C, Ruiz-Limon P, Romay-Penabad Z, Carrera Marin A, Garza-Garcia A, Murfitt L, et al. Proof-of-concept study demonstrating the pathogenicity of affinity-purified IgG antibodies directed to domain I of β2-glycoprotein I in a mouse model of anti-phospholipid antibody-induced thrombosis. *Rheumatology* (2015) 54:722–7. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ keu360
- 32. Petri M. The lupus anticoagulant is a risk factor for myocardial infarction (but not atherosclerosis): hopkins lupus cohort. *Thromb Res.* (2004) 114:593–5. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2004.07.012
- Buyon JP, Kim MY, Guerra MM, Laskin CA, Petri M, Lockshin MD, et al. Predictors of pregnancy outcomes in patients with lupus: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* (2015) 163:153–63. doi: 10.7326/M14-2235
- 34. Pierangeli SS, Liu SW, Anderson G, Barker JH, Harris EN. Thrombogenic properties of murine anti-cardiolipin antibodies induced by beta 2 glycoprotein 1 and human immunoglobulin G antiphospholipid antibodies. *Circulation* (1996) 94:1746–51. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.94.7.1746
- Radin M, Cecchi I, Roccatello D, Meroni PL, Sciascia S. Prevalence and thrombotic risk assessment of anti-beta2 glycoprotein I domain I antibodies: a systematic review. *Semin. Thromb. Hemost.* (2017) 44:466–74. doi: 10.1055/s-0037-1603936
- Fleischmann R, Vencovsky J, van Vollenhoven RF, Borenstein D, Box J, Coteur G, et al. Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol monotherapy every 4

weeks in patients with rheumatoid arthritis failing previous disease-modifying antirheumatic therapy: the FAST4WARD study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2009) 68:805–11. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.099291

- Lyseng-Williamson KA. Pegloticase: in treatment-refractory chronic gout. Drugs (2011) 71:2179–92. doi: 10.2165/11202830-00000000-00000
- 38. Keystone E, Heijde D, Mason D Jr, Landewe R, Vollenhoven RV, Combe B, et al. Certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate is significantly more effective than placebo plus methotrexate in active rheumatoid arthritis: findings of a fifty-two-week, phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. *Arthritis Rheum*. (2008) 58:3319–29. doi: 10.1002/art.23964
- Smolen J, Landewe RB, Mease P, Brzezicki J, Mason D, Luijtens K, et al. Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate in active rheumatoid arthritis: the RAPID 2 study. A randomised controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2009) 68:797–804. doi: 10.1136/ard.2008.101659

Conflict of Interest Statement: TM, CP, YI, IG, and AR are named inventors on a Patent filed in the US for PEG-DI.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 McDonnell, Willis, Pericleous, Ripoll, Giles, Isenberg, Brasier, Gonzalez, Papalardo, Romay-Penabad, Jamaluddin, Ioannou and Rahman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.