



# Bombardier Enables Delivery of Short-Form Bomanins in the *Drosophila* Toll Response

Samuel J. H. Lin, Amit Fulzele, Lianne B. Cohen, Eric J. Bennett and Steven A. Wasserman\*

Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA, United States

Toll mediates a robust and effective innate immune response across vertebrates and invertebrates. In Drosophila melanogaster, activation of Toll by systemic infection drives the accumulation of a rich repertoire of immune effectors in hemolymph, including the recently characterized Bomanins, as well as the classical antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Here we report the functional characterization of a Toll-induced hemolymph protein encoded by the bombardier (CG18067) gene. Using the CRISPR/Cas9 system to generate a precise deletion of the bombardier transcriptional unit, we found that Bombardier is required for Toll-mediated defense against fungi and Grampositive bacteria. Assaying cell-free hemolymph, we found that the Bomanin-dependent candidacidal activity is also dependent on Bombardier, but is independent of the antifungal AMPs Drosomycin and Metchnikowin. Using mass spectrometry, we demonstrated that deletion of *bombardier* results in the specific absence of short-form Bomanins from hemolymph. In addition, flies lacking Bombardier exhibited a defect in pathogen tolerance that we trace to an aberrant condition triggered by Toll activation. These results lead us to a model in which the presence of Bombardier in wild-type flies enables the proper folding, secretion, or intermolecular associations of short-form Bomanins, and the absence of Bombardier disrupts one or more of these steps, resulting in defects in both immune resistance and tolerance.

Keywords: Drosophila melanogaster, immunity, Toll, Bomanins, humoral

# **INTRODUCTION**

Innate immune pathways are found in plants, fungi, and animals and provide a rapid defense against a broad range of pathogens (1-3). In the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, the two major innate immune pathways are Toll and Imd (4–6). The Toll pathway is activated by Gram-positive bacteria with Lys-type peptidoglycan and by fungi, and is required for defense against these microbes (7–10). Conversely, the Imd pathway is activated by and plays a major role in survival against Gram-negative bacteria and Gram-positive bacteria with DAP-type peptidoglycan (11, 12). These pathways, which are both mediated by NF- $\kappa$ B transcription factors, are broadly conserved as initiators of innate immune responses. Activation of either pathway induces robust production of an array of immune molecules, including antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) (13–17).

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

Edited by:

Susanna Valanne, Tampere University, Finland

### Reviewed by:

Anni Kleino, University of Helsinki, Finland Brian P. Lazzaro, Pennsylvania State University (PSU), United States

> \*Correspondence: Steven A. Wasserman stevenw@ucsd.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Comparative Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 18 October 2019 Accepted: 11 December 2019 Published: 10 January 2020

#### Citation:

Lin SJH, Fulzele A, Cohen LB, Bennett EJ and Wasserman SA (2020) Bombardier Enables Delivery of Short-Form Bomanins in the Drosophila Toll Response. Front. Immunol. 10:3040. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.03040

1

AMPs are found in all kingdoms of life (18–22). These peptides have long been thought to play the principal effector role in innate immune defense due to their demonstrated *in vitro* antimicrobial activity and their marked upregulation after infection. However, recent research in *D. melanogaster* suggests that AMPs play a major role in Imd-mediated defense, but a relatively minor role in Toll-mediated immunity (23).

In contrast to the AMPs, the *Drosophila*-specific Bomanin peptides (Boms), which are highly induced after infection, are indispensable for resistance against pathogens controlled by the Toll pathway (24).  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies, which lack 10 of the 12 *Bom* genes, succumb to fungal and Gram-positive bacterial infections at rates indistinguishable from Toll-deficient flies (23, 24), suggesting that Boms rather than AMPs are the primary Toll effectors.

Bom peptides, like AMPs, are secreted from the fat body, the Drosophila immune organ, into the hemolymph, the Drosophila circulatory fluid. The family is comprised of three groups. The short-form peptides are 16-17 residues long and contain only the Bom motif. The tailed forms contain the Bom motif followed by a C-terminal tail. Finally, the bicipital forms consist of two Bom motifs connected by a linker region (24).  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies lack all six of the short-form Boms, two of the three tailed Boms, and two of the three bicipital Boms. High-level expression of short-form Boms is sufficient to rescue the sensitivity of  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies to C. glabrata infection (25). Furthermore, the absence of Toll-induced candidacidal activity in  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  hemolymph can be rescued by high-level expression of a short-form Bom (25). However, no in vitro antimicrobial activity has been observed with Bom peptides alone (25), suggesting that the Bomanins act in coordination with additional humoral effectors.

In this study, we demonstrate an essential role in Tollmediated humoral defense for a previously uncharacterized hemolymph protein, Bombardier (one that deploys Boms).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS CRISPR/Cas9 Deletion of *bombardier* Locus

The *bombardier* gene (CG18067) was deleted using CRISPR/Cas9 technology according to established protocols (26). Briefly, a pair of gRNAs designed to delete the region 2R: 20,534,248–20,536,154 were cloned into pU6-BbsI-chiRNA (Addgene plasmid #45946). Homology arms (1,017 bp left and 1,022 bp right) were cloned into pDsRed-attP (Addgene plasmid #51019). The plasmid pBS-Hsp70-Cas9 (Addgene plasmid #46294) was used as the Cas9 source. Constructs were injected into  $w^{1118}$  embryos. F1 progeny were screened for DsRed eyes and homozygous lines were established. See **Supplemental Table 1** for gRNA and homology arm primer sequences.

# Toll Activation, *Drosophila* Infection, and Survival Analysis

Flies were raised at  $25^{\circ}$ C on cornmeal molasses agar media<sup>1</sup>. The  $w^{1118}$  strain was used as the wild type. Microbial isolates, culture conditions, and conditions for infection for *Enterococcus* 

faecalis, Enterobacter cloacae, Fusarium oxysporum, and Candida glabrata were as described previously (24), except that *C. glabrata* was concentrated to  $OD_{600} = 100$ . Flies were incubated at 25°C after live bacterial infection and at 29°C after fungal infection. For heat-killed challenge, bacterial cultures were autoclaved and resuspended in 20% glycerol to  $OD_{600} = 10$  for *E. faecalis* and  $OD_{600} = 300$  for *M. luteus*. For both survival assays and hemolymph preparation, flies challenged with heat-killed bacteria were incubated at 29°C.

### Hemolymph Antimicrobial Assays

Candidacidal activity of hemolymph was assayed as described previously (25), except that hemolymph was prepared from groups of 30 flies and all activity assays were carried out for 1 h at room temperature. The number of colonies representing zero percent killing was set as the value obtained by assaying uninduced  $w^{1118}$  hemolymph.

### MALDI-TOF Analysis of Hemolymph

The Toll pathway was activated in flies using heat-killed M. luteus, then incubated at 29°C for 24 h. Hemolymph was extracted as in Lindsay et al. (25), with slight modifications. Hemolymph extracted with glass capillaries from five male flies was pooled and transferred into 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/50% acetonitrile (ACN). One µl of each mixture was spotted on a Bruker MSP 96 ground steel plate, mixed 1:1 with a saturated solution of Universal MALDI matrix (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1% TFA/78% ACN, and air-dried. MALDI-TOF spectra were acquired using a Bruker Autoflex mass spectrometer. Data were collected from 1,500 to 10,000 m/z in positive linear mode, and 1,000-5,000 m/z in positive reflectron mode. Peptide calibration standard II (Bruker) was mixed with Universal MALDI matrix and used as an external calibration standard. At least ten independent samples were collected for each genotype. For peptide identification, peaks were matched to those of corresponding peaks in prior studies (13, 25). Representative spectra were visualized using R 3.3.2 and ggplot2 2.2.1 (27, 28).

### Gene Expression Quantitation

The Toll pathway was activated with heat-killed *M. luteus.* Using TRIzol (Ambion), total RNA was extracted 18 h after Toll activation from four to six adult flies (2–5 days old). Next, cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng total RNA using the SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on an iQ5 cycler (BioRad) using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad). Quantification of mRNA levels was calculated relative to levels of the ribosomal protein gene *rp49* using the Pfaffl method (29). Three independent replicates were completed. See **Supplemental Table 1** for qPCR primer sequences.

### Hemolymph LC-MS

Flies were challenged with heat-killed *M. luteus* to activate the Toll pathway. Hemolymph was extracted from 100 to 110 each of  $w^{1118}$ ,  $\Delta bbd$ , and  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies using the same method as in the hemolymph antimicrobial assays, with 50–60 flies processed per Zymo-Spin IC column (Zymo Research)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://blogs.cornell.edu/drosophila/dssc-cornmeal-recipe/

Frontiers in Immunology | www.frontiersin.org

and yielding a total of  $\sim 10 \ \mu l$  hemolymph per genotype. Three independent biological replicates were processed for  $\Delta bbd$  and  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$ , and two independent biological replicates were processed for  $w^{1118}$ . Extracted hemolymph was mixed 1:1 (vol/vol) with denaturing buffer (8 M Urea, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, protease and phosphatase inhibitors) and protein concentration was determined using a BCA assay. For each sample, 40 µg of hemolymph was diluted to 1 M urea using 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and digested overnight with trypsin (Promega, V511A) at a 1:100 (trypsin:protein) ratio. After digestion, peptides were reduced with 1 mM dithiothreitol at room temperature for 30 min and then alkylated with 5 mM iodoacetamide at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Formic acid was added to a 0.1% final concentration and peptides were desalted using the C18-Stage-Tip method and then vacuum dried. The dried peptides were reconstituted in 5% formic acid/5% acetonitrile and 1  $\mu$ g of total peptide for each sample was loaded for MS analysis. Samples were run in technical triplicates on a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer with instrument and chromatography settings as described previously (30), except for the following modifications: the RAW files were analyzed using Andromeda/MaxQuant (version 1.6.7.0) (31) with default settings (32) except the match between the run and LFQ quantitation settings was enabled for label free quantification. Data were searched against a concatenated targetdecoy database comprised of forward and reversed sequences from the unreviewed UniprotKB/Swiss-Prot FASTA Drosophila database (2019). A mass accuracy of 20 ppm was assigned for the first search and 4.5 ppm for the main search. The statistical analysis was calculated using the DEP analysis R-package (33).

# **Bacterial Load Quantification**

Bacterial load upon death (BLUD) was obtained as in Duneau et al. (34), with slight modifications. Briefly, flies were infected with *E. faecalis* and vials were monitored every 30 min for newly dead flies. These flies were then individually homogenized with a pestle in 400  $\mu$ l LB media. Homogenates were also prepared from individual live  $w^{1118}$  flies 120 h post-infection (hpi). Homogenates were diluted serially in LB and spread on LB agar plates for incubation at 37°C overnight. Colonies were counted manually and the number of viable bacteria per fly was calculated. Data were obtained from three independent experiments.

# **Data Analysis**

GraphPad Prism 5 was used for statistical tests. Survival data were plotted as Kaplan-Meier curves and were analyzed using the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test to determine statistical significance. Statistical differences in candidacidal activity were calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. Multiple Mann-Whitney U tests were used to calculate differences between BLUD samples (p = 0.0085 after Šidák correction for multiple comparisons,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , k = 6). Spearman rank correlation was used to assess the relationship between BLUD and time of death.

# RESULTS

# The *bombardier* Gene Is Specifically Required for Toll-Mediated Defense

The *bombardier* (*bbd*) gene contains a consensus Toll-responsive NF- $\kappa$ B binding site within its promoter region and is strongly expressed upon Toll activation by Gram-positive bacterial infection or other inducers (14, 17, 35, 36). The encoded protein is predicted to be secreted and to generate a mature protein of 222 amino acids with a coiled coil near its C-terminus (37, 38). Orthologs of Bombardier are found across the *Drosophila* genus, but in no other genera (39).

We began our analysis of the *bombardier* gene by generating a null mutant, using CRISPR/Cas9 to delete 1,906 bp encompassing the annotated transcriptional unit. Flies homozygous for this deletion (hereafter  $\Delta bbd$ ) were viable and morphologically wild-type. Given that *bombardier* is Tollinducible, we assayed  $\Delta bbd$  flies for a potential loss-of-function phenotype in Toll-mediated immunity. Specifically, we infected adult  $\Delta bbd$  flies with various pathogens and then monitored survival. Two additional genotypes were used as controls:  $w^{1118}$ flies, which served as the wild type, and  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies, which lack Toll-mediated humoral defenses due to deletion of the 10 of the 12 *Bom* genes (24).

As shown in **Figure 1**, we observed a marked immunodeficiency when  $\Delta bbd$  flies were challenged with representative species for the three classes of microbes against which Toll provides defense. With the yeast *Candida glabrata*, more than 90% of  $w^{1118}$ , but no  $\Delta bbd$  flies, survived 5 days after infection (**Figure 1A**). In the case of the filamentous fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*, 70% of  $w^{1118}$  adults, but fewer than 20% of  $\Delta bbd$  adults, were alive 5 days post-infection (**Figure 1B**). Finally, with *Enterococcus faecalis*, a Gram-positive bacterium, 50% of wild-type flies, but no  $\Delta bbd$  flies, were alive 5 days after infection (**Figure 1C**).

The impairment of Toll-mediated defenses by deletion of *bombardier* was significant for all three pathogens (p < 0.0001). In the case of *C. glabrata*, the immunodeficiency of  $\Delta bbd$  phenocopied that observed for  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies (n.s., p > 0.05). In contrast, with either *F. oxysporum* or *E. faecalis*, the rate of death was greater for  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  than for  $\Delta bbd$  (\*\*\*p < 0.0001 for both infections). The  $\Delta bbd$  mutant thus displays a substantial, but not complete, loss of Toll-mediated defense.

The expression of *bombardier* is strongly induced by Toll, but not Imd activation (14). We therefore hypothesized that Imdmediated defenses would not require *bombardier* function. To test this prediction, we infected  $\Delta bbd$  flies with *Enterobacter cloacae*, a Gram-negative bacterium. In this experiment,  $\Delta bbd$ flies were as immunocompetent as  $w^{1118}$  flies: more than 90% of both genotypes survived at least 5 days post-infection (**Figure 1D**). In contrast, 100% of  $Rel^{E20}$  flies, which are deficient in Imd signaling (40), succumbed to infection within 1 day. Thus, *bombardier* functions in defense against a range of pathogens for which Toll mediates defense—yeast, filamentous fungi, and Lys-type Gram-positive bacteria—but not against Gram-negative bacteria, against which the Imd pathway is active.



**FIGURE 1** The *bombardier* gene is specifically required for Toll-mediated defense. (A–D) Survival curves of flies infected as indicated. The  $w^{1118}$  strain was the wild-type control;  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  and  $Rel^{E20}$  were the susceptible controls (24, 40). Experiments were completed in triplicate with at least 25 flies per genotype in each replicate. Statistical significance was determined using the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test and  $\Delta bbd$  is shown relative to  $w^{1118}$  in black, relative to  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  in orange, and relative to  $Rel^{E20}$  in red (\*\*p < 0.0001; n.s., not significant, p > 0.05).

# The Candidacidal Activity of Hemolymph Requires Bombardier, but Neither Drosomycin Nor Metchnikowin

Next, we investigated the potential humoral role of Bombardier by preparing and assaying cell-free hemolymph. We have previously shown that hemolymph from wild-type flies exhibits a Toll-dependent and Bomanin-dependent candidacidal activity (25). However, we were also curious as to the identity of the active antifungal component. In particular, we considered the potential role of Metchnikowin (Mtk) and Drosomycin (Drs), two antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) that have documented antifungal activity in vitro and are strongly Toll-induced in vivo (14, 41, 42). We therefore took advantage of the recently described  $\triangle AMPs$  strain, which is deficient for Mtk and Drs, as well as all other induced AMPs other than the Cecropins (23). Extracting and assaying Toll-induced hemolymph, we found that hemolymph from  $\triangle AMPs$  flies had a killing activity against C. glabrata comparable to that of wild-type hemolymph (Figure 2). In contrast, we failed to detect any killing of C. glabrata by  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph. We conclude that Boms and Bombardier, but neither Mtk nor Drs, are required for humoral defense against C. glabrata.

# Short-Form Bom Peptides Are Specifically Absent From $\Delta bbd$ Hemolymph

MALDI-TOF provides a robust tool for characterizing small (<5,000 MW) peptides present in hemolymph after Toll activation. As shown in **Figures 3A,B**, such a readout includes the aforementioned AMPs (Mtk and Drs), several short-form Boms (BomS1, S2, S3, and S6; see **Supplemental Table 2** for updated Bomanin nomenclature), and other induced peptides (e.g., IM4). We have previously shown that deleting the 55C Bom gene cluster removes the peaks attributable to the short-form Boms, while leaving the remaining signals unaffected (25). Remarkably, analysis of  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph yielded a similar pattern. As shown in **Figures 3C,D**, the short-form Boms that were readily detectable in the wild type—S1, S2, S3, and S6—were absent in  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph, whereas the remaining peptides, including Mtk, Drs, and IM4, displayed a wild-type profile.

Although  $\Delta bbd$  disrupts the accumulation of short-form Bom peptides in hemolymph, this effect does not reflect a disruption in transcription or stability of the corresponding *Bom* mRNAs: robust induction of Toll-regulated genes, including genes of short-form Boms, was readily detectable with qRT-PCR (**Supplemental Figure 1**).



**FIGURE 2** [The Toll-induced candidacidal activity of hemolymph requires Bombardier, but neither Drosomycin nor Metchnikowin. Heat-killed *M. luteus* was used to activate the Toll pathway in flies. Hemolymph was extracted from flies 24 h after Toll induction, mixed with *C. glabrata* and incubated for 1 h to allow for killing. The surviving yeast cells were plated, and colonies were counted to determine the level of candidacidal activity in the extracted hemolymph. Colony counts from uninduced w<sup>1118</sup> hemolymph were used as the control for no (0%) killing. Experiments were completed four times, with each point representing one replicate. One-way ANOVA was calculated followed by Tukey's test. Significance is shown relative to the null hypothesis of 0% killing (\*\*\*p < 0.0001; n.s., not significant, p > 0.05). Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.

Because proteins such as Bombardier and bicipital Boms are too large to be detected by our MALDI-TOF protocol, we used LC-MS to further characterize the relationship between Bombardier and the Boms in hemolymph. For these studies, we prepared Toll-induced hemolymph from three genotypes:  $w^{1118}$ ,  $\Delta bbd$ , and  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$ . In wild-type hemolymph, we readily detected Bombardier protein (Figure 4), consistent with the presence of a canonical secretion signal sequence in the Bombardier coding sequence. Bombardier, like the Boms, is thus secreted into hemolymph upon Toll induction. We also detected all three bicipital Boms-BomBc1, BomBc2, and BomBc3. The LC-MS studies thus complemented the MALDI-TOF studies, with bicipital Boms detected by the former and short-form Boms by the latter (tailed Boms are not detected by either protocol). Next, we assayed  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph. As expected, Bombardier was not detected. However, the three bicipital Boms were present at comparable levels in wild-type and  $\triangle bbd$  hemolymph (see Figure 4). Combined with the MALDI-TOF studies, these results demonstrate that  $\triangle bbd$  blocks accumulation in hemolymph of short-form, but not bicipital, Boms. Lastly, we analyzed hemolymph from  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies, which lack 10 of the 12 Bom genes. As expected, the products of the two deleted bicipital genes (BomBc1 and BomBc2) were absent, whereas the product of the remaining bicipital gene (BomBc3) was present at wild-type levels (see Figure 4). Turning our attention to Bombardier, we observed no effect of the 55C Bom deletion. Thus, Bombardier is required for the presence of short-form Boms in hemolymph, but the 55C Boms are not required for the presence of Bombardier.

# Bombardier Mediates Both Infection Resistance and Tolerance

The  $\Delta bbd$  survival phenotype could be due to an inability to control pathogen growth—a defect in resistance—or an inability to endure infection-a defect in tolerance. Because flies lacking Bombardier demonstrate an increased susceptibility to infection and decreased levels of known resistance factors, the shortform Boms, it seemed likely that  $\Delta bbd$  flies, like  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$ flies, have a defect in infection resistance. In exploring this hypothesis, we found that the model recently developed by Duneau et al. provided a useful framework (34). Following infection of an individual fly, there are two stereotypic outcomes: either the pathogen replicates, reaches a lethal burden, and the fly dies; or the pathogen is controlled at a level below the lethal burden and the fly survives with a persistent infection. Variation in survival curves for different pathogens and fly genotypes reflects variation in both the time required to reach lethal burden and in the fraction of flies that are able to control the infection before it reaches such a threshold. In cases where a fraction of flies control infection, group survival typically drops after infection and then reaches a plateau (23).

The survival curve for  $\Delta bbd$  flies infected with *E. faecalis* does not plateau (see **Figure 1C**). Instead, it exhibits a profile that we hypothesize reflects two phases of death. In the first phase, extending roughly 2 days post-infection, some  $\Delta bbd$  flies reach a lethal burden of *E. faecalis* and die, as reflected in a sharp decline in survival; the remainder control the infection. In the second phase, from 2.5 days onward, those flies with a persistent infection die at a reduced but steady rate, due to a defect in tolerance. If this hypothesis is correct, flies dying in the first phase should have a bacterial load upon death (BLUD) comparable to that of wild-type flies dying from infection. Furthermore, those dying in the second phase should have a much lower pathogen burden, comparable to that of wild-type survivors with a persistent infection.

To test our predictions regarding pathogen burden, we measured the BLUD of individual flies after infection with live *E. faecalis* and divided the data into two time intervals (**Figure 5**). For the earlier interval (dead flies obtained between 17 and 51.5 hpi), both  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  and  $\Delta bbd$  bacterial loads upon death were not significantly different from  $w^{1118}$  (**Figure 5**, red, p > 0.05). For the later time interval (flies obtained between 68 and 120.5 hpi),  $\Delta bbd$  flies perished at significantly lower bacterial loads compared to that of  $\Delta bbd$  late, p < 0.0001), indicating that these two groups die from distinct causes. Importantly, late-death  $\Delta bbd$  flies perished at significantly lower bacterial loads than those of  $w^{1118}$  suffering early deaths (p < 0.0001), demonstrating that  $\Delta bbd$  flies have a defect in tolerance.

Together, the survival curve and BLUD data offer strong support for our two-phase-model:  $\Delta bbd$  flies died early in infection with high bacterial loads, due to a defect in resistance, and died later with lower bacterial loads, reflecting a deficiency in tolerance. However, we note that the bacterial loads of



**FIGURE 3** | Short-form Bom peptides are specifically absent in hemolymph from  $\Delta bbd$  flies. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of  $w^{1118}$  (**A**,**B**) and  $\Delta bbd$  (**C**,**D**) hemolymph samples were collected in linear (**A**,**C**) and reflectron mode (**B**,**D**). For peptide identification, peaks were matched to those of corresponding peaks in prior studies (13, 25). Spectra were obtained from at least ten independent biological replicates and representative spectra are shown (a.u., arbitrary units; m/z, mass/charge).



 $\Delta bbd$  flies dying in the later phase were still significantly greater than those of  $w^{1118}$  flies alive 120 hpi (**Figure 5**,  $\Delta bbd$  late compared to live  $w^{1118}$ , p < 0.0001). This indicates that the later-death  $\Delta bbd$  group has not completely controlled infection compared to the live  $w^{1118}$  flies, and suggests that both resistance and tolerance contribute to the later  $\Delta bbd$  fly deaths. Although we cannot rule out a minor resurgence in bacterial proliferation preceding late death of *bbd* flies, we note that BLUD and time of death were not significantly correlated for

these flies (**Supplemental Figure 2**, Spearman correlation test, r = -0.2654, p = 0.1564).

# Immune Activation, Specifically *Bom* Expression, Is Deleterious in the Absence of Bombardier

What is the nature of the tolerance defect we observed in  $\Delta bbd$  flies? More specifically, is their health impaired by an excessive or toxic immune response, or is death due to another class of impaired tolerance (43)? To distinguish between these explanations, we assayed the effect of activating the immune response in  $\Delta bbd$  flies in the absence of infection.

When  $\Delta bbd$  flies were challenged with heat-killed *E. faecalis*, we observed a decrease in survival that first was apparent 3 days post-challenge followed by a steady decline in the number of live flies in the following days (**Figure 6A**), consistent with the timing of the late-phase deaths (see **Figure 5**). Overall, the death rate was slower than that of live infection, but the extent of killing was similar between heat-killed and live *E. faecalis*: fewer than 20% of flies survived (compare **Figures 1C**, **6A**). In contrast, no effect on survival was observed upon challenge of either  $w^{1118}$  or  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies with heat-killed *E. faecalis*: >95% flies survived seven or more days post-challenge.

The effect of immune stimulation on  $\triangle bbd$  survival was not specific to *E. faecalis*. When we repeated the challenge experiments with heat-killed *Micrococcus luteus*, which activates the Toll response [see **Supplemental Figure 1**, as well as (25, 44)], the effect on  $\triangle bbd$  survival was again marked: 5 days after challenge, fewer than 5% of  $\triangle bbd$  flies were alive, compared to



by early (17–51.5 hpi, red) or late (68–120.5 hpi, orange) time of death post-infection, as well as bacterial load of live  $w^{1118}$  flies 120 hpi (blue). Data was obtained and combined from three independent experiments totaling n = 26 for  $w^{1118}$ , n = 30 for  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$ , n = 33 for  $\Delta bbd$  red, n = 30 for  $\Delta bbd$ orange, and n = 29 for live  $w^{1118}$ . Black bars indicate median values. Statistics were calculated using multiple Mann-Whitney *U*-tests. For significance, p = 0.0085 after Šidák correction for multiple comparisons ( $\alpha = 0.05$ , k = 6). The pathogen loads of early deaths for  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  and  $\Delta bbd$  were not significantly different from  $w^{1118}$  (p > 0.05). The pathogen load of late  $\Delta bbd$  fly deaths is significantly different from that of the early-death  $\Delta bbd$  and  $w^{1118}$ flies 120 hpi (\*\*\*p < 0.0001). Finally, the early-death  $w^{1118}$  pathogen load was significantly different from that of live  $w^{1118}$  flies 120 hpi (\*\*\*p < 0.0001). Finally, the early-death  $w^{1118}$  pathogen load was significantly different from that of live  $w^{1118}$  flies 120 hpi (\*\*\*p < 0.0001) (hpi, hours post-infection).

survival of >95% of  $w^{1118}$  and 85% of  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies over the same period of time (**Figure 6B**).

As both *M. luteus* and *E. faecalis* induce the Toll pathway, Toll activation could be the key factor in  $\Delta bbd$  mortality. To address this hypothesis,  $\Delta bbd$  flies were crossed with  $MyD88^{kra1}$  (Toll-deficient) flies to generate the  $MyD88^{kra1}$   $\Delta bbd$  double mutant, and the resulting flies were challenged with heat-killed *E. faecalis* and *M. luteus*. Unlike  $\Delta bbd$  flies,  $MyD88^{kra1}$   $\Delta bbd$  flies survived challenge with Toll activators (**Figures 7A,B**). Because blocking the Toll pathway with  $MyD88^{kra1}$  rescues the  $\Delta bbd$  phenotype triggered by heat-killed bacteria (p < 0.0001 compared to  $\Delta bbd$ , p > 0.05 compared to  $MyD88^{kra1}$  for both heat-killed bacteria), we conclude that Toll activation underlies the death of  $\Delta bbd$  flies in the absence of infection.

As described above, *Bom* genes are transcribed in  $\Delta bbd$  flies (**Supplemental Figure 1**), but short-form Bom peptides do not appear in hemolymph (**Figure 3**). This suggests a mislocalization of these peptides, perhaps in an unprocessed or misfolded state. Given that short-form *Bom* genes are among the most abundantly transcribed genes after infection (17, 36), such mislocalized or misfolded Boms could rapidly accumulate to high levels in  $\Delta bbd$  flies. Could this explain the death of  $\Delta bbd$  flies upon immune stimulation? To address this question, we generated  $Bom^{\Delta 55C} \Delta bbd$  double mutants and assayed the effect of immune induction alongside both  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  and  $\Delta bbd$ flies (**Figures 7A,B**). The result was unequivocal: introducing  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$ , which deletes all of the short-form Boms, eliminated the effect of  $\Delta bbd$  on survival following immune stimulation (p < 0.0001 compared to  $\Delta bbd$ , p > 0.05 compared to  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  for both heat-killed bacteria). The fact that  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  is epistatic to  $\Delta bbd$  demonstrates that Toll-driven expression of *Bom* genes is specifically responsible for the death of immune-stimulated  $\Delta bbd$  flies.

#### DISCUSSION

The results presented in this study identify a key factor that regulates humoral and Bom-mediated defense in *Drosophila*. We demonstrate that  $\Delta bbd$  flies are defective in resistance to pathogens controlled by the Toll pathway. The results support the hypothesis that this defect results from the absence of shortform Boms in  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph. Absence of Boms is sufficient to cause a defect in resistance (24) and  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph appears to be lacking the short-form Boms but no other component, save Bombardier itself. Furthermore,  $\Delta bbd$  phenocopies  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  with regard to survival after *C. glabrata* infection, and resistance to *C. glabrata* can be restored in  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies by expression of short-form Boms (25). Finally,  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph lacks candidacidal activity, which is dependent on short-form Bom peptides (25) and which we show here does not require Drs or Mtk.

For pathogens other than *C. glabrata*, the effect of deleting Bombardier is less severe than that of deleting the ten *Bom* genes clustered at 55C. Our mass spectrometry data suggest an explanation. Whereas, short-form Boms are absent from  $\Delta bbd$  hemolymph, bicipital Boms are present. (Tailed Boms were not detected with either mass spectrometry method.) Therefore, we postulate that the bicipital Boms, which are not required for resistance to *C. glabrata* (25), are functional against other pathogens. This would explain why  $\Delta bbd$  flies are more resistant than  $Bom^{\Delta 55C}$  flies upon infection with *E. faecalis* or *F. oxysporum* (Figure 1). In this regard, we note that Bombardier and all three forms of Bom proteins—short, tailed, and bicipital are found across the *Drosophila* genus, supporting the notion that all three classes of Boms are immunoprotective and therefore maintained across the *Drosophila* genus.

It might appear that our discovery of Bombardier was serendipitous, given our role in defining the *Bomanin* gene family (24, 25). In hindsight, however, the link was forged in our approach. We selected *CG18067* from the most strongly inducible Toll-regulated loci, a group that also includes eight of the *Bomanin* genes. Next, we engineered a CRISPR/Cas9 deletion of *CG18067* and assayed this knockout with the identical set of pathogens that we had used for the *Bom*<sup> $\Delta$ 55C</sup> deletion, screening for loss of survival upon infection. Having examined a gene that is as strongly induced as the Bomanins, present in the same range of species as the Bomanins, and with a spectrum of loss-of-function phenotypes similar to that of the Bomanins, it is not particularly surprising that we would find ourselves studying a gene that affects the Bomanins.

### **Bombardier Function and Structure**

What is the function of Bombardier? Deleting the gene results in the absence of short-form Boms from hemolymph, an effect





we find is at the level of protein. Other mature immune peptides are present at normal levels in the hemolymph, and there is thus no general defect in translation, secretion, or processing. Based on these findings, we propose that Bombardier normally functions either to chaperone short Boms as they are secreted from the fat body into the hemolymph or, alternatively, to protect the Boms from misfolding or aggregation while in the hemolymph. We further hypothesize that it is the ectopic localization or aberrant form of short-form Boms in  $\Delta bbd$ flies that generates morbidity upon Toll pathway activation. In support of this idea, we showed that *Bom* expression underlies the lethality observed in  $\Delta bbd$  flies (**Figure 7**). Whether the short-form Boms physically interact with Bombardier, perhaps in the context of a larger antimicrobial complex, is currently unknown. Activation of Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling is important for innate immunity, but induction of the pathway can lead to autoimmune disorders and chronic inflammatory disease (45–48). Here we report an autoimmune activity driven by Toll-induced *Bom* expression in flies lacking a downstream pathway component, Bombardier. To what extent this parallel can be exploited in the context of understanding autoimmune disorders promises to be a significant focus for future investigation.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The LC-MS datasets generated for this study can be accessed on the MassIVE data repository using the accession identifier: MSV000084509.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SL and SW: conceptualization, writing—original draft, and visualization. SL, AF, and EB: methodology. SL and AF: formal analysis. SL, AF, and LC: investigation. SL, AF, LC, EB, and SW: writing—review and editing. EB and SW: supervision and funding acquisition.

# FUNDING

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01 GM050545 (to SW), NIH grant GM119132 (to EB), and NIH predoctoral training grant T32 GM008666 (to SL). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, the decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Beutler B. Innate immunity: an overview. *Mol Immunol.* (2004) 40:845–59. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2003.10.005
- Boller T, He SY. Innate immunity in plants: an arms race between pattern recognition receptors in plants and effectors in microbial pathogens. *Science*. (2009) 324:742–3. doi: 10.1126/science.1171647
- Kombrink A, Tayyrov A, Essig A, Stöckli M, Micheller S, Hintze J, et al. Induction of antibacterial proteins and peptides in the coprophilous mushroom coprinopsis cinerea in response to bacteria. *ISME J.* (2019) 13:588– 602. doi: 10.1038/s41396-018-0293-8
- Hoffmann JA, Reichhart JM. Drosophila innate immunity: an evolutionary perspective. Nat Immunol. (2002) 3:121–6. doi: 10.1038/ni0202-121
- Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J. The host defense of drosophila melanogaster. Annu Rev Immunol. (2007) 25:697–743. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141615
- Imler JL. Overview of drosophila immunity: a historical perspective. Dev Comp Immunol. (2014) 42:3–15. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2013.08.018
- Rutschmann S, Kilinc A, Ferrandon D. Cutting edge: the toll pathway is required for resistance to gram-positive bacterial infections in drosophila. J Immunol. (2002) 168:1542–6. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.4.1542
- Gottar M, Gobert V, Matskevich AA, Reichhart J-M, Wang C, Butt TM, et al. Dual detection of fungal infections in drosophila via recognition of glucans and sensing of virulence factors. *Cell.* (2006) 127:1425–37. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.046
- 9. Valanne S, Wang J-H, Rämet M. The drosophila toll signaling pathway. J Immunol. (2011) 186:649–56. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1002302
- Lindsay SA, Wasserman SA. Conventional and non-conventional drosophila toll signaling. *Dev Comp Immunol.* (2014) 42:16–24. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2013.04.011
- Kleino A, Silverman N. The drosophila IMD pathway in the activation of the humoral immune response. *Dev Comp Immunol.* (2014) 42:25–35. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2013.05.014
- Myllymäki H, Valanne S, Rämet M. The drosophila imd signaling pathway. J Immunol. (2014) 192:3455–62. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1303309
- Uttenweiler-Joseph S, Moniatte M, Lagueux M, Van Dorsselaer A, Hoffmann JA, Bulet P. Differential display of peptides induced during the immune response of drosophila: a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-offlight mass spectrometry study. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1998) 95:11342–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.19.11342
- De Gregorio E, Spellman PT, Tzou P, Rubin GM, Lemaitre B. The toll and imd pathways are the major regulators of the immune response in drosophila. *EMBO J.* (2002) 21:2568–79. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.11.2568
- Levy F, Rabel D, Charlet M, Bulet P, Hoffmann JA, Ehret-Sabatier L. Peptidomic and proteomic analyses of the systemic immune response of drosophila. *Biochimie*. (2004) 86:607–16. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2004.07.007

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Scott Lindsay for a wealth of helpful discussions and technical assistance; Andres Mauricio Caraballo-Rodriguez and the Pieter Dorrestein Lab for guidance on and access to MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry equipment; Scott Rifkin for advice on statistical approaches; Emily Troemel, Bill McGinnis, Scott Lindsay, and Roland Liu for comments on the manuscript; and the laboratories of Bill McGinnis, Valentino Gantz, Ethan Bier, and James Posakony for access to equipment.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu. 2019.03040/full#supplementary-material

- Verleyen P, Baggerman G, D'Hertog W, Vierstraete E, Husson SJ, Schoofs L. Identification of new immune induced molecules in the haemolymph of drosophila melanogaster by 2D-nanoLC MS/MS. J Insect Physiol. (2006) 52:379–88. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2005.12.007
- Troha K, Im JH, Revah J, Lazzaro BP, Buchon N. Comparative transcriptomics reveals CrebA as a novel regulator of infection tolerance in *D. melanogaster. PLoS Pathog.* (2018) 14:e1006847. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1006847
- Hultmark D, Steiner H, Rasmuson T, Boman HG. Insect immunity. purification and properties of three inducible bactericidal proteins from hemolymph of immunized pupae of *Hyalophora cecropia. Eur J Biochem.* (1980) 106:7–16. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1980.tb05991.x
- Imler JL, Bulet P. Antimicrobial peptides in drosophila: structures, activities and gene regulation. *Chem Immunol Allergy*. (2005) 86:1–21. doi: 10.1159/000086648
- Radek K, Gallo R. Antimicrobial peptides: natural effectors of the innate immune system. Semin Immunopathol. (2007) 29:27–43. doi: 10.1007/s00281-007-0064-5
- Maróti Gergely G, Kereszt A, Kondorosi É, Mergaert P. Natural roles of antimicrobial peptides in microbes, plants and animals. *Res Microbiol.* (2011) 162:363–74. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2011.02.005
- Bahar AA, Ren D. Antimicrobial peptides. *Pharmaceuticals*. (2013) 6:1543–75. doi: 10.3390/ph6121543
- Hanson MA, Dostálová A, Ceroni C, Poidevin M, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. Synergy and remarkable specificity of antimicrobial peptides *in vivo* using a systematic knockout approach. *Elife.* (2019) 8:e44341. doi: 10.7554/eLife.44341
- Clemmons AW, Lindsay SA, Wasserman SA. An effector peptide family required for drosophila toll-mediated immunity. *PLoS Pathog.* (2015) 11:e1004876. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004876
- Lindsay SA, Lin SJH, Wasserman SA. Short-form bomanins mediate humoral immunity in drosophila. J Innate Immun. (2018) 10:306–14. doi: 10.1159/000489831
- Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, et al. Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in drosophila. *Genetics*. (2014) 196:961–71. doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.160713
- 27. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2016).
- 28. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. (2016).
- 29. Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2001) 29:e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Markmiller S, Soltanieh S, Server KL, Mak R, Jin W, Fang MY, et al. Context-dependent and disease-specific diversity in protein interactions within stress granules. *Cell.* (2018) 172:590–604.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017. 12.032

- Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat Biotechnol. (2008) 26:1367–72. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1511
- Tyanova S, Temu T, Cox J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. *Nat Protoc.* (2016) 11:2301–19. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2016.136
- Zhang X, Smits AH, Van Tilburg GBA, Ovaa H, Huber W, Vermeulen M. Proteome-wide identification of ubiquitin interactions using UbIA-MS. *Nat Protoc.* (2018) 13:530–50. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2017.147
- 34. Duneau D, Ferdy J-B, Revah J, Kondolf H, Ortiz GA, Lazzaro BP, et al. Stochastic variation in the initial phase of bacterial infection predicts the probability of survival in *D. melanogaster. Elife.* (2017) 6:e28298. doi: 10.7554/eLife.28298
- Busse MS, Arnold CP, Towb P, Katrivesis J, Wasserman SA. A kappaB sequence code for pathway-specific innate immune responses. *EMBO J.* (2007) 26:3826–35. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601798
- Valanne S, Salminen TS, Järvelä-Stölting M, Vesala L, Rämet M. Immuneinducible non-coding RNA molecule lincRNA-IBIN connects immunity and metabolism in drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Pathog.* (2019) 15:e1007504. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007504
- Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, et al. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2019) 37:420–3. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z
- Lupas A, Van Dyke M, Stock J. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. Science. (1991) 252:1162–4. doi: 10.1126/science.252.5009.1162
- Johnson M, Zaretskaya I, Raytselis Y, Merezhuk Y, McGinnis S, Madden TL. NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2008) 36:W5–9. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn201
- Hedengren M, Åsling B, Dushay MS, Ando I, Ekengren S, Wihlborg M, et al. Relish, a central factor in the control of humoral but not cellular immunity in drosophila. *Mol Cell.* (1999) 4:827–37. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80392-5
- Fehlbaum P, Bulet P, Michaut L, Lagueux M, Broekaert WF, Hetru C, et al. Insect immunity: septic injury of drosophila induces the synthesis of a potent antifungal peptide with sequence homology to plant antifungal peptides. *J Biol Chem.* (1994) 269:33159–63.

- Levashina EA, Ohresser S, Bulet P, Reichhart J, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA. Metchnikowin, a novel immune-inducible proline-rich peptide from drosophila with antibacterial and antifungal properties. *Eur J Biochem.* (1995) 233:694–700. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1995.694\_2.x
- Ayres JS, Schneider DS. Tolerance of infections. Annu Rev Immunol. (2012) 30:271–94. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-075030
- Lemaitre B, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA. Drosophila host defense: differential induction of antimicrobial peptide genes after infection by various classes of microorganisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1997) 94:14614–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.26.14614
- Björkbacka H, Kunjathoor VV, Moore KJ, Koehn S, Ordija CM, Lee MA, et al. Reduced atherosclerosis in MyD88-null mice links elevated serum cholesterol levels to activation of innate immunity signaling pathways. *Nat Med.* (2004) 10:416–21. doi: 10.1038/nm1008
- Jiang D, Liang J, Fan J, Yu S, Chen S, Luo Y, et al. Regulation of lung injury and repair by toll-like receptors and hyaluronan. *Nat Med.* (2005) 11:1173–9. doi: 10.1038/nm1315
- Kim S, Takahashi H, Lin W-W, Descargues P, Grivennikov S, Kim Y, et al. Carcinoma-produced factors activate myeloid cells through TLR2 to stimulate metastasis. *Nature*. (2009) 457:102–6. doi: 10.1038/nature 07623
- Wu H, Ma J, Wang P, Corpuz TM, Panchapakesan U, Wyburn KR, et al. HMGB1 contributes to kidney ischemia reperfusion injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2010) 21:1878–90. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2009101048

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Lin, Fulzele, Cohen, Bennett and Wasserman. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.