



CD8⁺ T Cells Directed Against a Peptide Epitope Derived From Peptidoglycan-Associated Lipoprotein of *Legionella pneumophila* Confer Disease Protection

Sun Jin Kim¹, Jeong-Im Sin² and Min Ja Kim^{1,3,4*}

¹ Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea, ² Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Gangwon-do, South Korea, ³ Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea, ⁴ Institute of Emerging Infectious Diseases, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesco Borriello, Harvard Medical School, United States

Reviewed by:

Markus Philipp Radsak Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany Sylvie Fournel, Université de Strasbourg, France

*Correspondence:

Min Ja Kim macropha@korea.ac.kr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

> Received: 09 September 2020 Accepted: 06 November 2020 Published: 08 December 2020

Citation:

Kim SJ, Sin JI and Kim MJ (2020) CD8⁺ T Cells Directed Against a Peptide Epitope Derived From Peptidoglycan-Associated Lipoprotein of Legionella pneumophila Confer Disease Protection. Front. Immunol. 11:604413. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.604413 Legionella pneumophila, an intracellular bacterium, may cause life-threatening pneumonia in immunocompromised individuals. Mononuclear cells and antibodies have been reported to be associated with the host defense response against L. pneumophila. This study is to determine whether Legionella peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL)specific CD8⁺ T cells are directly associated with protection against L. pneumophila, with a focus on potential epitopes. Synthetic peptides derived from PAL of L. pneumophila were obtained and tested through in vitro and in vivo cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) assays for immunogenicity. PAL DNA vaccines or a peptide epitope with or without CpGoligodeoxynucleotides (ODN) was evaluated for protection against L. pneumophila infection in animal models. When mice were immunized with DNA vaccines expressing the PAL of L. pneumophila, they were significantly protected against a lethal challenge with L. pneumophila through induction of antigen-specific CD8⁺ CTLs. Of the 13 PAL peptides tested, PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ (EYLKTHPGA) was the most immunogenic and induced the strongest CTL responses. When mice were immunized with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN, they were protected against the lethal challenge, while control mice died within 3-6 days after the challenge. Consistent with lung tissue histological data, bacterial counts in the lungs of immunized mice were significantly lower than those in control mice. Also, the amino acid sequence of PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptides is conserved among various Legionella species. To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate that PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀-specific CD8⁺ T cells play a central role in the host defense response against *L. pneumophila*.

Keywords: *Legionella pneumophila*, peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein, peptide epitope, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte, adaptive immunity

INTRODUCTION

Legionella pneumophila is the causative pathogen of a severe form of pneumonia, Legionnaires' disease, with high mortality and morbidity. The *L. pneumophila* bacterium is a Gramnegative facultative intracellular pathogen, which is commonly found in the natural environment and in immunocompromised individuals (1–4). Whether sporadic, epidemic, nosocomial, or community-acquired, Legionnaires' disease can be deadly, especially among patients with reduced immune competence. *L. pneumophila* enters the human respiratory tract as a result of inhalation of aerosols from a contaminated water source, and thereafter infects human alveolar macrophage and lung epithelial cells (5–8).

Cell-mediated immunity, but not humoral immunity, appears to play an important role in the host defense response against *L. pneumophila* (9–11). In human studies, activated mononuclear cells inhibited the intracellular multiplication of *L. pneumophila* (9, 11). Moreover, alveolar macrophages were suggested to be an effector cell acting to inhibit bacterial multiplication (11). In animal models, antibodies were also associated with protection during early stages of airway infection (12). Similarly, immunization with *L. pneumophila* membranes resulted in induction of strong cellular immune responses and protective immunity against a lethal challenge with *L. pneumophila* (13). In addition, the major secretory and outer membrane proteins of *L. pneumophila* were reported to be effective at inducing protective immunity against *L. pneumophila* (14, 15).

The 19-kDa peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (PAL) is an outer membrane lipoprotein that is conserved among various Legionella species; in 1991, PAL was sequenced and characterized as the most prominent Legionella surface antigen (16). As PAL has been found in the urine of infected patients, it has also been used as a diagnostic antigen for legionellosis (17, 18). PAL activates murine macrophages through Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2mediated signaling, which stimulates the released of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNF- α (19). Immunization with a full-length 528-bp pal gene vaccine induced IFN- γ and IL-2 production from spleen cells, as well as potent cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses (20). Recombinant PAL (rPAL) also induced protective immunity against L. pneumophila infection (21). Together, the results of these studies suggest that PAL may be a potential vaccine target for prevention of L. pneumophila infection. In our animal study, PAL DNA and rPAL vaccines induced antigen-specific antibody and CTL responses (20). However, it is still unclear whether PALspecific antibody or the CD8⁺ CTL response is mainly responsible for protecting animals from Legionella infection.

In this study, we demonstrated that PAL-specific CD8⁺ CTLs were responsible for protection from infection with *L. pneumophila*. Among 13 peptide candidates derived from the *L. pneumophila* PAL, one peptide (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) was recognized by PAL-specific CD8⁺ T cells. Immunization with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide resulted in the induction of antigen-specific CD8⁺ CTL responses, improved survival, and reduced lung bacterial burden after *L. pneumophila* infection. Thus, this study clearly demonstrates that PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀-specific CD8⁺ CTLs mediate anti-

Legionella protective immunity, and that peptides containing a well-conserved PAL epitope may be effective vaccines against various *Legionella* species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prediction of Class I MHC Binding Epitopes

Peptides derived from the PAL of *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 were designed using three Class I MHC binding molecule prediction programs, RANKPEP (http://bio.dfci.harvard.edu/RANKPEP), BIMAS (http://bimas.cit.nih.gov), and SYFPEITHI (http://syfpeithi.de). The programs were used to predict the binding activity of each peptide to Class I MHC haplotypes from BALB/c mice. The following selection criteria were used. First, 9-mer sequences with a high Class I MHC binding score were pre-selected from the full-length *Legionella* PAL sequence. Next, the peptides with the best Class I MHC binding scores were selected from within the entire sequence and were ranked according to the Class I MHC binding score for each online algorithm. Finally, the results from all algorithms were combined (consensus prediction).

Synthetic Peptides

The PAL peptides were synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and PEPTRON (Daejeon, Korea). The purity of peptide was synthesized to over 90%. The synthetic peptide amino acid sequences were as follows: PAL₁₋₉ (MKAGSFYKL: P1), PAL₄₋₂₄ (GSFYKLGLLVASAVLVAACS: P2), PAL₃₇₋₄₇ (DGDATAQGL: P3), PAL₅₅₋₆₃ (EPGESYTTQ: P4), PAL₆₅₋₇₃ (PHNQLYLFA: P5), P₇₆₋₈₄ (DSTLASKYL: P6), PAL₈₆₋₉₄ (SVNAQAEYL: P7), PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ (EYLKTHPGA: P8), PAL₉₇₋₁₀₅ (HPGARVMIA: P9), PAL₁₁₂₋₁₁₉ (GSREYNVA: P10), PAL₁₂₄₋₁₃₂ (RADTVAEIL: P11), PAL₁₃₅₋₁₄₇ (AGVSRQQIRVVSY: P12), PAL₁₆₃₋₁₇₁ (AQNRRVEFI: P13), as shown in **Table 1**.

Bacteria

L. pneumophila strain Philadelphia-1 (ATCC 33152), an isolate from the lung tissue of a Legionnaires' disease patient from

TABLE 1 Predicted MHC class I-restricted peptides derived from	
peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein of Legionella pneumophila.	

Peptide No.	Position	Peptide Sequence	Haplotype		
P1	PAL ₁₋₉	MKAGSFYKL	H-2L ^d		
P2	PAL ₄₋₂₄	GSFYKLGLLVASAVLVAACSK	H-2L ^d		
P3	PAL37-45	DGDATAQGL	H-2D ^d		
P4	PAL ₅₅₋₆₃	EPGESYTTQ	H-2D ^d		
P5	PAL ₆₅₋₇₃	PHNQLYLFA	H-2L ^d		
P6	PAL ₇₆₋₈₄	DSTLASKYL	H-2L ^d		
P7	PAL ₈₆₋₉₄	SVNAQAEYL	H-2L ^d		
P8	PAL ₉₂₋₁₀₀	EYLKTHPGA	H-2K ^d		
P9	PAL ₉₇₋₁₀₅	HPGARVMIA	H-2L ^d		
P10	PAL112-119	GSREYNVA	H-2L ^d		
P11	PAL ₁₂₄₋₁₃₂	RADTVAEIL	H-2K ^d		
P12	PAL ₁₃₅₋₁₄₇	AGVSRQQIRVVSY	H-2K ^d		
P13	PAL ₁₆₃₋₁₇₁	AQNRRVEFI	H-2D ^d		

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (32), was tested in this study. Bacteria were cultured from frozen stock on buffered charcoal yeast extract (BCYE- α) agar plates supplemented with L-cysteine, ferric pyrophosphate, and α -ketoglutaric acid, incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 72 h. The bacteria were maintained at – 80°C before use in infection.

Experimental Animals

Female BALB/c (H-2^d) mice, 6 to 8 weeks of age, were purchased from Oriental Bio Inc. (Chungbuk, Korea).

Immunization of Mice

Mice were immunized with PAL plasmid DNAs (pcDNA3-PAL) (20) or synthetic PAL peptides. For DNA immunization, 100 μ g of pcDNA3-PAL was injected into the tibialis anterior muscle of both legs and the mice received booster injections at the same dose at 1-week intervals. For synthetic peptide immunization, mice were immunized subcutaneously (s.c.) with 20 μ g of PAL peptides plus 20 μ g of CpG-oligodeoxynucleotide (ODN) in 100 μ l of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). They mice received booster injections at the same dose at 1-week intervals. The CpG-ODN (5'-TCCATGACGTTCCTGACGTT-3') containing a phosphorothioate backbone was purchased from GenoTech, Daejeon, Korea.

In Vivo Depletion of CD8⁺ T Cells

Anti-CD8 IgGs (100 µg) were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) into mice on the indicated days. A hybridoma cell line (clone 2.43) was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA), and anti-CD8 IgGs were purified as previously described (22). Control IgGs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Anti-CD8 IgG administration resulted in more than 98% depletion of CD8⁺ T cell at 3–5 days following antibody treatment.

Measurement of Cytokine Production

Cytokine (IFN- γ and TNF- α) concentrations were measured by ELISA. The splenocytes were incubated at 37°C with/without antigens. Cytokine concentrations in the cell culture supernatants were measured using IFN- γ (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and TNF- α (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) ELISA kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. The analyses were completed in triplicate, and cytokine concentrations were calculated by regression analyses of a standard curve.

In Vitro CTL Assay

Splenocytes were collected 1 week after the final immunization and mixed with 2×10^6 naive splenocytes that had been previously treated with mitomycin C and cultured in the presence of P8 peptides (5 µg/ml) in a 24-well plate for 5 days at 37°C. The cells were washed twice with complete RPMI 1640 and then used as effector cells. Syngeneic naive splenocytes were prepared by adsorption of P8 peptides (5 µg/ml) and rPAL (5 µg/ ml) for 3 days at 37°C, washed three times with complete RPMI 1640, and resuspended at a concentration of 5×10^6 cells per ml for use as target cells. The pulsed target (T) cells (1×10^4 cells/ well) were added to a 96-well plate, and effector cells (E) were then added a E:T ratios of 50:1, 30:1, or 10:1. After incubation for 4 h, antigen-specific lysis was measured using the CytoTox 96[®] Non-Radioactive Cytotoxic Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The percent specific lysis was calculated as follows: % specific lysis = $100 \times (\text{experimental} - \text{spontaneous}) / (\text{maximal} - \text{spontaneous}).$

In Vivo CTL Assay

Splenocytes from naïve mice were incubated with 5 µg/ml of P8 peptides at 37°C for 90 min. They were prepared by being divided into two tubes containing 2×10^7 cells/ml in RPMI-1640 with 2.5% FBS, and the fluorescent carboxylfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE) dye (BD Bioscience) added at 2.5 µM (CFSE^{low}) or 20 µM (CFSE^{high}), then the cells were resuspended and incubated at 37°C for 40 min. The stained cells were washed two times with PBS. Each mouse received an intravenous injection of a mixture of 1×10^7 CFSE^{low} and 1×10^7 CFSE^{high} cells in a total volume of 200 µl of RPMI 1640 without serum. After 18 h, mice were sacrificed in a CO₂ chamber, and the spleens were removed and processed for flow cytometry. The percent lysis was calculated as $[100 \times (1 - [\gamma_{unprimed}/\gamma_{primed}])]$. The γ (ratio) was calculated as %CFSE^{low}/%CFSE^{high}.

Intravenous and Intranasal Challenges With *L. pneumophila*

Mice were challenged intravenously (i.v.) with 100 μ l of a bacterial suspension containing 2 × 10⁷ CFU of *L. pneumophila*. Mice were also challenged intranasally (i.n.) with 40 μ l of bacterial suspension containing 1 × 10⁹ CFU of *L. pneumophila*. In this case, mice were administered cyclophosphamide (75 mg/kg or 150 mg/kg) every day for 3 days prior to intranasal challenge. The approximate number of bacteria was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm (1 OD value at 600 nm was assumed as 1 × 10⁹ CFU/ml). Survival of infected mice was assessed daily for 10–14 days following the bacterial challenge. Percent survival was calculated as [the number of dead mice/the number of all tested mice × 100].

Bacterial Burden Assay

Mice were challenged i.n. with *L. pneumophila*. Forty-eight hours after the challenge, the mice were sacrificed and the lungs removed and homogenized in sterile PBS using a tissue homogenizer (Pyrex Corning, Greencastle, PA, USA). Ten-fold serial dilutions of the lung homogenates were plated on BCYE- α agar containing cefamandole, polymyxin B, and vancomycin. The bacteria were cultured for 72 to 96 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for determination of the number of viable *L. pneumophila*.

Histological Analyses

After an intranasal challenge with *L. pneumophila*, mice were sacrificed and the lungs were harvested for histopathologic measurements. Mouse lungs were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 48 h, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. The sections (3 μ m) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to visualize inflammatory cells infiltrating the lungs.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with *post-hoc* Dunnett's test and chi-square test (Fisher's exact test) using the SPSS13.0 program. Unless noted, ANOVA was used. The values of the experimental groups were compared with the values of the control group. Any *p* values <0.05 were considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Major Roles of CD8⁺ T Cells in Protection From *L. pneumophila* Infection and Identification of the Class I MHC Epitopes

We previously reported that both PAL DNA and rPAL vaccines induce antigen-specific antibody and CTL responses (20). It was also reported that rPAL confers protective immunity against a lethal dose of L. pneumophila challenge (21). In this study, we used the PAL DNA vaccine model to determine whether CD8⁺ T cells were responsible for protection from a lethal challenge with L. pneumophila. For this test, animals were immunized with PAL DNA vaccines and challenged i.v. with L. pneumophila, in the presence of CD8⁺ T cell depletion (Figure 1A). After the lethal challenge, 50% of control mice immunized with PAL DNA vaccines survived, however, survival rates of mice depleted of CD8⁺ T cells were 0%, similar to naïve control mice (Figure 1B). This result suggests that CD8⁺ T cells are indeed responsible for protection against L. pneumophila. Next, we determined which peptides of PAL proteins might be recognized by PAL-specific CD8⁺ T cells. For these experiments, we predicted CD8⁺ T cellspecific epitopes from 176 amino acid residues of the full-length pal gene of L. pneumophila using three Class I MHC binding molecule prediction programs (RANKPEP, BIMAS, and SYFPEITHI software). The peptides were selected based upon their binding affinity for the Class I MHC haplotypes (H-2L^{d,} H-2D^d, H-2K^d) of BALB/c mice and their amino acid length (a 9mer). To this end, we obtained 13 peptides with a high Class I MHC binding scores, among which 11 peptides were 9-mers while two others, PAL₄₋₂₄ (P2) and PAL₁₃₅₋₁₄₇ (P12), had more than nine amino acids (Table 1). To determine which peptides might be recognized by PAL-specific CD8⁺ T cells, we used each of the 13 peptides to stimulate spleen cells (containing PALspecific CD8⁺ T cells) from mice immunized with PAL plasmid DNAs. The IFN- γ concentrations in the cell culture supernatants were assessed. As seen in Figure 2A, P8 peptides induced the greatest IFN-γ production among the peptides tested. The other 12 peptides showed some or little induction of IFN-γ production. To confirm this result, we again stimulated the immune cells with an increasing dose of P8 peptides in parallel with P10 peptides as a control. As shown in Figure 2B, P8 peptides increased IFN- γ production in a concentration-dependent fashion, as opposed to P10 peptides which induced little IFN-γ production. Therefore, these results reveal that PAL-specific $CD8^+$ T cells can recognize the P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptide in conjunction with Class I MHC molecules expressed on the cells from BALB/c mice.



FIGURE 1 | PAL DNA vaccination, CD8+ T cell depletion, and survival of mice after lethal challenge with *L. pneumophila*. (A) Schematic diagram showing PAL DNA vaccination, CD8+ T cell depletion, and bacterial challenge. Each group of mice (n = 6/group) was immunized intramuscularly with 100 µg of pcDNA3-PAL at 0, 1, and 2 weeks. At 3 weeks, the mice were challenged intravenously (i.v.) with *L. pneumophila* at 2 × 10⁷ CFU per mouse. For depletion of CD8+ T cell subsets, the animals were injected with 100 µg of anti-CD8 antibody and control IgGs on days –3 and 0 of bacterial challenge. (B) Percent survival. Surviving animals from bacterial challenge were counted at the indicated time points.

In Vitro and *In Vivo* CTL Responses to P8 Peptides

To investigate whether P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptides might increase PAL-specific CTL populations, we immunized mice with PAL DNA vaccines and obtained the spleen cells, which were stimulated in vitro with P8 peptides. These cells were used as effector cells against target cells primed with either P8 peptides or rPAL in an in vitro CTL assay. As shown in Figure 2C, a significantly greater degree of CTL activity was directed toward target cells that had been primed with P8 and rPAL, as compared to unprimed control target cells. In particular, CTL activity toward target cells that had been primed with P8 was 11% greater than for target cells primed with rPAL at an effector to target cell ratio of 50:1 (51% for P8 vs. 40% for rPAL). This result suggests that as an antigen, P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptide can stimulate PAL-specific CD8⁺ CTL cell populations, thereby enhancing their target cell killing activity in vitro. Next, we evaluated whether P8 peptides could induce antigen-specific CTL responses in vivo. As seen in Figure 2D, the groups of mice immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN had dramatically greater CTL lytic activity than those immunized with either the P8



In vitro lytic activity was measured using the splenocytes as effector cells and syngeneic targets (primed with P8 or rPAL) in the LDH release cytotoxicity assay, as described in the *Methods and Materials*. *p < 0.05 compared to control. (D) A CFSE-based cytotoxicity assay was performed to measure *in vivo* lytic activity, as described in the *Methods and Materials*. Cells with low and high density CFSE staining were gated and the CFSE intensity, as assessed by flow cytometry, was plotted. One representative result (% lysis) is shown. The values and bars represent mean IFN- γ concentrations and percent lysis and the SDs, respectively. *p < 0.05 compared to naïve mouse, **p < 0.05 compared to CpG-ODN or P8.

peptide or CpG-ODN alone. For example, P8-plus-CpG-ODNimmunized animals displayed 98% lytic activity. However, control mice and the groups immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN alone had similar lytic activity (**Figure 2D**). In this study, a TLR9 agonist CpG-ODN was used as a peptide vaccine adjuvant. It has been reported that CpG-ODN elicits antigenspecific CTL responses when co-injected with proteins or peptides (as an immunogen) (23, 24). Collectively, these data indicate that the P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptide can induce and stimulate antigen-specific CD8⁺ CTL responses *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Survival of Mice Immunized With P8 Plus CpG-ODN After Lethal Intravenous or Intranasal Challenge With *L. pneumophila*

To investigate whether P8 (PAL_{92-100}) peptides improve survival after *Legionella* infection, we immunized mice with P8 plus CpG-ODN, followed by a lethal intravenous challenge with 2 × 10⁷ CFU of *L. pneumophila*. As seen in **Figure 3A**, the mouse groups immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN had 100% survival after the lethal challenge, while the mouse groups immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN alone, as well as naïve control groups, died within 3 days after the challenge. *L. pneumophila* infects humans through the respiratory tract and most



FIGURE 3 | Survival of mice immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN prior to lethal intravenous or intranasal challenge with *L. pneumophila*. (A) Each group of mice (n = 4/group) was immunized s.c. with P8 plus CpG-ODN at 0, 1, and 2 weeks. At 3 weeks, the mice were challenged i.v. with *L. pneumophila* at 2 × 10⁷ CFU per mouse. Surviving mice were counted at the indicated time points. (B, C) Each group of mice (n = 7 per group) was immunized as above. At 3 weeks, the mice were treated i.p. with 75 mg/kg (B) or 150 mg/ kg (C) of cyclophosphamide every day for 3 days. The next day, the mice were challenged i.n. with *L. pneumophila* at 1 × 10⁹ CFU per mouse. Surviving mice were counted at the indicated time points. *p < 0.05 using Chi-square test compared to non-immunization.

frequently causes disease in immunosuppressed patients (5, 6). Therefore, we evaluated the protective efficacy of P8 peptides against bacterial infection when immunosuppressed animals were challenged i.n. with L. pneumophila. Cyclophosphamide has been used previously to render animals immunosuppressed and more susceptible to challenge with L. pneumophila (25). Cyclophosphamide is an alkylating chemotherapeutic agent, and as a cytotoxic drug has immunosuppressive effects (26, 27). In addition, lymphocyte counts were reported to reach a nadir four days after treatment with 150 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide (28). In consideration of these findings, immunized mice were administered a low (75 mg/kg of body weight) or high (150 mg/kg of body weight) dose of cyclophosphamide prior to intranasal challenge with L. pneumophila. When the groups immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN were administered 75 mg/ kg of cyclophosphamide, 85.7% of mice were alive 14 days after the intranasal challenge $(1 \times 10^9 \text{ CFU per mouse}; \text{ Figure 3B})$. However, the mouse groups immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN alone, as well as the naïve control group died within 6 days after the challenge. When the groups immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN were treated with 150 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide, 75% of mice were alive 14 days after the intranasal challenge (Figure 3C). However, the mouse groups immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN alone, as well as naïve control groups died within 4 days after the challenge. Taken together, these data suggest that P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptides can induce resistance to Legionella infection in mice, with 75-100% survival, even in immunosuppressed animals after otherwise lethal infection with L. pneumophila.

Bacterial Burdens in the Lungs of Mice Immunized With P8 Plus CpG-ODN and Cytokine Production by Spleen Cells After a Lethal Challenge With *L. pneumophila*

We next tested whether P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptides might be able to reduce the bacterial burden in the lungs after Legionella infection. For this test, animals were immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN, and challenged i.v. with L. pneumophila. As seen in Figure 4A, bacterial counts in the lung tissues of P8+CpG-ODN-immunized mice were reduced approximately 200-fold compared to control groups (naïve control and mice immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN). A similar result was obtained when mice were challenged i.n. with L. pneumophila in the presence of immune suppression due to cyclophosphamide administration (150 mg/kg; Figure 4B). These findings are consistent with survival rates we observed previously. It is likely that the reduction in bacteria counts is mediated by antigenspecific CD8⁺ CTLs that are elicited by immunization with P8 plus CpG-ODN. We also measured IFN- γ and TNF- α production of the spleen cells from immunized mice administered cyclophosphamide (150 mg/kg). As seen in Figures 4C, D, spleen cells from the mice immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN produced significantly more IFN- γ (C) and TNF- α (D) than cells from mice immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN, as well as negative control mice producing a basal level of cytokines. It is notable that this cytokine production was measured in the absence of any antigen stimulation in vitro, suggesting that the cytokines were likely released from PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀-



FIGURE 4 | Both bacterial burden and cytokine induction in spleen cells of mice after lethal intravenous or intranasal challenge with *L. pneumophila*. (A) Each group of mice (n = 6/group) was immunized s.c. with P8 plus CpG-ODN at 0, 1, and 2 weeks. At 3 weeks, the mice were challenged i.v. with *L. pneumophila* at 2 × 10⁷ CFU per mouse. The mice were sacrificed 48 h post-challenge, the lungs were harvested, and the number of viable bacteria in the lung tissues was determined. (B) Each group of mice (n = 6/group) was immunized as above. At 3 weeks, the mice were administered 150 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide i.p. every day for 3 days. The next day, the mice were challenged i.n. with 1 × 10⁹ CFU of *L. pneumophila*. The mice were sacrificed 48 h post-challenge, the lungs were removed, and viable bacteria from the lung tissue were counted. (**C**, **D**) Each group of mice (n = 3/group) was immunized and administered cyclophosphamide, as described in panel B. The mice were sacrificed 16 h following the intranasal challenge and the spleens removed. The splenocytes were stimulated *in vitro* for 48–72 h at 37°C and the cell supernatants were collected for measurement of IFN- γ (**C**) and TNF- α (**D**). *p < 0.05 compared to naïve mice.

specific spleen cells under stimulation with prior intranasal exposure to *L. pneumophila*. Therefore, these results demonstrate that P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptides can induce cytokine responses even in immunosuppressed animals.

Histological Analyses of Mouse Lung Tissues After *L. pneumophila* Infection

To compare histological changes in the lungs of mice immunized with P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) plus CpG-ODN following infection with *L. pneumophila*, the lungs were harvested and stained with H&E. Hemorrhage, destruction of alveolar tissue, hyperplasia of alveolar walls, interstitial edema, and infiltration of numerous inflammatory cells were evident in lung tissues from the control group and groups immunized with either P8 or CpG-ODN alone (**Figure 5**). However, significant reductions in inflammatory infiltration in alveolar and interstitial space were noted in the groups immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN. These data suggest that animals immunized with P8 plus CpG-ODN alone can protect against lung tissue damage resulting from infection with *L. pneumophila*.

Presence of Conserved P8 Peptide Region in the PAL of *Legionella* Species

To determine if the P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptide sequences of PAL proteins were similar among 20 Legionella species, we used the multiple alignment sequence program, CLUSTALW. As shown in Figure 6, the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide sequence was located in a conserved region in the PAL sequence of L. pneumophila and the genus Legionella, including L. pneumophila (ATCC 33152), L. sainthelensi (ATCC 33152), L. parisiensis (ATCC 35299), L. moravica (ATCC 43877), L. shakespearei (ATCC 49655), L. gratiana (ATCC 49413), L. longbeachae serogroup 1 (ATCC 33462), L. dumoffii (ATCC 33279), L. wadsworthii (ATCC 33877), L. gormanii (ATCC 33297), L. anisa (ATCC 35292), L. bozemanii serogroup 1 (ATCC 33217), L. bozemanii serogroup 2 (ATCC 35745), L. longbeachae serogroup 2 (ATCC 33484), L. maceachemii (ATCC 35300), L. jordanis (ATCC 33623), L. heckeliea serogroup 2 (ATCC 35999), L. heckeliea serogroup 1 (ATCC 35250), L. lansingesis (ATCC 49751), and L. nautarum (ATCC 49506). In this alignment, there was 100% homology in



the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ sequences between the *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 and other *Legionella* species analyzed, with the exception of *L. lansingesis* and *L. nautarum*.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we determined that antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells were mainly responsible for protection from *Legionella* infection in our PAL vaccine model. Our findings are somewhat consistent with those of previous published studies (9–11). In those studies, however, alveolar mononuclear cells (such as macrophages) were suggested to be effector cells against *L. pneumophila*. As we observed in this study, it is likely that cytokines (IFN- γ and TNF- α) released from PAL-specific CD8⁺ T cells may be also associated with resistance to *L. pneumophila* through activation of host's mononuclear cells. Furthermore, our findings are fully compatible with those of a previous report indicating CD8⁺ T cells exert a major effector function in protection from infection with intracellular bacteria, such as *Rickettsia* and *Listeria monocytogenes* (29). In this process, CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells kill infected cells by releasing granules (perforin and granzymes), as well as by granule-independent pathways.

In the present study, we also identified *Legionella* PALspecific CD8⁺ T cell epitopes using three Class I MHC binding prediction programs, as well as IFN- γ and CTL assays. Out of the 13 predicted peptides, the P8 peptide, PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ (EYLKTHPGA) stimulated the greatest degree of IFN- γ production from the spleen cells of mice immunized with PAL DNA vaccines. Consistent with this finding, the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide stimulated PAL-specific CD8⁺ T cells as effector cells against target cells in an *in vitro* CTL assay. Moreover, the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide induced antigen-specific CTL activity in mice receiving co-immunization with a CpG-ODN adjuvant. Therefore, our findings support the notion that the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide is indeed an H-2K^d-restricted CD8⁺ T cell epitope that can induce both INF- γ production from PAL-specific CD8⁺ T cells and CTL lytic activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Given peptide vaccines have been developed against various cancers and infectious diseases (30), we propose that Class I HLA epitopes of PAL proteins might be peptide vaccine candidates for protection from infection with *L. pneumophila* in humans.

We also demonstrated that the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide effectively induced protection against a lethal challenge with L. pneumophila. Mice immunized with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN had 100% survival after a lethal intravenous challenge with L. pneumophila, while all control animal groups died within several days after the challenge. Similarly, mice immunized with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN had 75-85.7% survival 14 days after a lethal intranasal challenge, as opposed to the control groups which had 0% survival after the challenge. The CpG-ODN adjuvant has been previously found to be an effective peptide vaccine adjuvant (24). The survival data were consistent with the bacterial burdens in the lungs of infected mice: bacterial counts were significantly lower in the lung tissues of the groups immunized with PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN than in the control groups (non-immunized mice and mice immunized with either the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide or CpG-ODN). Moreover, the IFN- γ and TNF- α concentrations produced by cultured splenocytes from cyclophosphamide-treated immunosuppressed mice were significantly greater in the groups

	65	75	85	95	105	115
4 1						
1. <i>L. pneumophila</i>		LFAYDDSTLA				
2. <i>L. sainthelensi</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFAYDDSTLA	SKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVMIAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
3. <i>L. parislensis</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFAYDDSTLA	SKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVMIAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
4. <i>L. moravica</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSTLA	SKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
5. <i>L. shakespearei</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSTLA	SKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
6. <i>L. gratiana</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
7. <i>L. lognbeachae</i> SG1	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
8. <i>L. dumoffii</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLVAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
9. <i>L. wadsworthii</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLVAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
10. <i>L. gormanii</i>	TTKAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSVNAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
11. <i>L. anisa</i>	TTKAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSINAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVL I AGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
12. <i>L. bozemanii</i> SG1	TTKAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSINAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLVAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
13. <i>L. bozemanii</i> SG2	TTKAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSINAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLVAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
14. <i>L. lognbeachae</i> SG2	TTKAPHNQLY	LFSYDDSNLA	PKYLPSINAQ	AEYLKTHPGA	RVLVAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
15. <i>L. maceachemii</i>	TTQAPHNQIY	LFSYDDASFN	PKYTASLNAQ	SEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNIAL
16. <i>L. jordanis</i>	TTQAPHNQLY	LFSYDDASFN	PKYTASLNAQ	SEYLKTHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNIAL
17. <i>L. heckeliea</i> SG2	TTQAPHNQIY	LFSYDDSSFN	PKYTASLNAQ	SEYLKTHPGA	RVL I AGHTDE	RGSREYNIAL
18. <i>L. heckeliea</i> SG1	TTQAPHNQIY	LFSYDDSSFN	PKYTASLNAQ	SEYLKTHPGA	RVL I AGHTDE	RGSREYNIAL
19. <i>L. lansingesis</i>	TTQAPHNQRY	LFSYDDASFA	PKYKPSLMAQ	ADYLQSHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
20. <i>L. nautarum</i>	TTQAPHNQRY	LFSYDDSSFA	PKYKPSLMAQ	ADYLVAHPGA	RVLLAGHTDE	RGSREYNVAL
PAL ₉₂₋₁₀₀				-EYLKTHPGA		

FIGURE 6 | Multi-sequence alignment of the PAL sequence of *L. pneumophila* serogroup and 19 non-pneumophila *Legionella* species. Multi-sequence alignment of amino acid positions from 60 to 120 is shown. P8 (PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀) peptide sequences are shared, as indicated by the red color.

immunized with PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN than in the control groups secreting basal concentrations of cytokines. Given the immunosuppressed mice were treated with 150 mg/kg cyclophosphamide, we first speculated that little, if any, protective immunity might be induced in these mice after immunization with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN. In the immunosuppressed animals, however, immunization with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide not only increased survival rates after lethal infection with L. pneumophila, but also reduced bacterial burden in the lungs of infected mice. This result was consistent with lung pathology data indicating almost normal status after immunization with the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide plus CpG-ODN. Here it is highly likely that PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀-specific CD8+ T cells are directly associated with protection from lung tissue damage resulting from Legionella infection. This is based upon the fact that PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀specific CD8+ T cells alone were inducible by this immunization scheme. However, this needs to be demonstrated by measuring the infiltration and functional status of CD8+ T cells in the lung tissues. These results suggest that the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ epitope can induce a strong CTL response, thus leading to the eradication of intracellular L. pneumophila and normalization of lung tissues even in immunosuppressed animals. Our results underscore the possible utility of PAL vaccines for protection against L. pneumophila in elderly patients with weakened immunity. Taken together, our findings indicate it is highly likely that PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ epitopes induce antigen-specific CD8⁺ CTLs, thereby exerting protective activity against L. pneumophila. In addition, we found the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ amino acid sequences of PAL proteins were highly conserved among serogroups of L. pneumophila and other Legionella species. In an international survey, L. pneumophila accounted for about 85 to 90% of cases of Legionnaires' disease, but other Legionella species were also implicated in human infections (31). Therefore, it is plausible that the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide, as well as the native PAL protein, may be effective at inducing protective immunity against various Legionella species. On the other hand, we observed in our therapeutic study that the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptide had no therapeutic activity against L. pneumophila (data not shown). This result might be ascribed to the short-term survival (i.e., 4 days) in the mice after bacterial challenge. Within this short interval, the PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptides were unlikely to stimulate antigen-specific CTL responses which were essential for antibacterial activity. Moreover, cyclophosphamide treatment required for this mortality study might have inhibited immune induction by PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀ peptides. It is also possible that prompt administration of PAL₉₂₋₁₀₀-specific CD8⁺ CTLs (generated *ex vivo*) to *Legionella*-infected animals may engender therapeutic activity against *L. pneumophila*. However, this theory needs to be tested. Taken together, this result suggests that the appropriate timing and magnitude of induction of antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells may be a key factor in the development of protection against *L. pneumophila*.

In conclusion, to our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that PAL_{92-100} -specific $CD8^+$ CTLs play an important role as effector cells in the host defense response against *L. pneumophila* in infected mice. Furthermore, *Legionella* PAL containing a well-conserved epitope might be useful as a vaccine against infection with various *Legionella* species.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Foy HM, Broome CV, Hayes PS, Allan I, Cooney MK, Tobe R. Legionnaires' disease in a prepaid medical-care group in Seattle 1963–75. *Lancet* (1979) 1:767–70. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(79)91219-4
- Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. *Clin Microbiol Rev* (2002) 15:506–26. doi: 10.1128/ cmr.15.3.506-526.2002
- Diederen BM. Legionella spp. and Legionnaires' disease. J Infect (2008) 56:1– 12. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2007.09.010
- Vergis EN, Akbas E, Yu VL. Legionella as a cause of severe pneumonia. Semin Respir Crit Care Med (2000) 21:295–304. doi: 10.1055/s-2000-9862
- Abu Kwaik Y, Gao LY, Stone BJ, Venkataraman C, Harb OS. Invasion of protozoa by Legionella pneumophila and its role in bacterial ecology and pathogenesis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* (1998) 64:3127–33. doi: 10.1128/ aem.64.9.3127-3133.1998
- Fields BS. The molecular ecology of legionellae. *Trends Microbiol* (1996) 4:286–90. doi: 10.1016/0966-842x(96)10041-x
- Isberg RR, O'Connor TJ, Heidtman M. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nat Rev Microbiol (2009) 7:13–24. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1967
- Ham H, Sreelatha A, Orth K. Manipulation of host membranes by bacterial effectors. Nat Rev Microbiol (2011) 9:635–46. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2602
- Horwitz MA. Cell-mediated immunity in Legionnaires' disease. J Clin Invest (1983) 71:1686–97. doi: 10.1172/jci110923
- Horwitz MA, Silverstein SC. Interaction of the legionnaires' disease bacterium (Legionella pneumophila) with human phagocytes. II. Antibody promotes binding of L. pneumophila to monocytes but does not inhibit intracellular multiplication. J Exp Med (1981) 153:398–406. doi: 10.1084/jem.153.2.398
- Nash TW, Libby DM, Horwitz MA. Interaction between the legionnaires' disease bacterium (Legionella pneumophila) and human alveolar macrophages. Influence of antibody, lymphokines, and hydrocortisone. J Clin Invest (1984) 74:771–82. doi: 10.1172/jci111493
- Weber SS, Stoycheva D, Nimmerjahn F, Oxenius A. Two sequential layers of antibody-mediated control of Legionella pneumophila infection. *Eur J Immunol* (2019) 49:1415–20. doi: 10.1002/eji.201948106
- 13. Blander SJ, Horwitz MA. Vaccination with Legionella pneumophila membranes induces cell-mediated and protective immunity in a guinea pig model of Legionnaires' disease. Protective immunity independent of the

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the College of medicine, Korea University.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MJK developed the concept and the design of the study project, and directed the project. SJK carried out the experiments. J-IS encouraged SJK to undertake additional protection assays and supervised the findings of this work. SJK drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (Ministry of Science and ICT) (No. NRF-2017R1D1A1B03034363).

major secretory protein of Legionella pneumophila. J Clin Invest (1991) 87:1054–9. doi: 10.1172/jci115065

- 14. Blander SJ, Horwitz MA. Vaccination with the major secretory protein of Legionella induces humoral and cell-mediated immune responses and protective immunity across different serogroups of Legionella pneumophila and different species of Legionella. J Immunol (1991) 147:285–91.
- 15. Xu JN, Yang ZW, Chen JP, Chen DL, Wang T, Liu MJ, et al. Protective immunity against Legionnaires' disease in an A/J mouse model using a DNA vaccine composed of an outer membrane protein (29 kDa) and the pilE fusion protein. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* (2012) 73:9–15. doi: 10.1016/ j.diagmicrobio.2012.02.013
- Engleberg NC, Howe DC, Rogers JE, Arroyo J, Eisenstein BI. Characterization of a Legionella pneumophila gene encoding a lipoprotein antigen. *Mol Microbiol* (1991) 5:2021–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1991.tb00824.x
- Harrison TG, Doshi N. Evaluation of the Bartels Legionella Urinary Antigen enzyme immunoassay. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* (2001) 20:738–40. doi: 10.1007/s100960100598
- Kim MJ, Sohn JW, Park DW, Park SC, Chun BC. Characterization of a lipoprotein common to Legionella species as a urinary broad-spectrum antigen for diagnosis of Legionnaires' disease. J Clin Microbiol (2003) 41:2974–9. doi: 10.1128/jcm.41.7.2974-2979.2003
- Shim HK, Kim JY, Kim MJ, Sim HS, Park DW, Sohn JW, et al. Legionella lipoprotein activates toll-like receptor 2 and induces cytokine production and expression of costimulatory molecules in peritoneal macrophages. *Exp Mol Med* (2009) 41:687–94. doi: 10.3858/emm.2009.41.10.075
- Yoon WS, Park SH, Park YK, Park SC, Sin JI, Kim MJ. Comparison of responses elicited by immunization with a Legionella species common lipoprotein delivered as naked DNA or recombinant protein. *DNA Cell Biol* (2002) 21:99–107. doi: 10.1089/104454902753604970
- Mobarez AM, Rajabi RA, Salmanian AH, Khoramabadi N, Hosseini Doust SR. Induction of protective immunity by recombinant peptidoglycan associated lipoprotein (rPAL) protein of Legionella pneumophila in a BALB/c mouse model. *Microb Pathog* (2019) 128:100–5. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2018.12.014
- Han BS, Jang HY, Racine T, Qiu X, Sin JI. Purification and characterization of monoclonal IgG antibodies recognizing Ebola virus glycoprotein. *Clin Exp Vaccine Res* (2018) 7:119–28. doi: 10.7774/cevr.2018.7.2.119
- 23. Bae SH, Park YJ, Park JB, Choi YS, Kim MS, Sin JI. Therapeutic synergy of human papillomavirus E7 subunit vaccines plus cisplatin in an animal tumor model: causal involvement of increased sensitivity of cisplatin-treated tumors

to CTL-mediated killing in therapeutic synergy. Clin Cancer Res (2007) 13:341-9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-06-1838

- 24. Sin J-I, Park J-B, Lee IH, Park D, Choi YS, Choe J, et al. Intratumoral electroporation of IL-12 cDNA eradicates established melanomas by Trp2 180–188-specific CD8+ CTLs in a perforin/granzyme-mediated and IFN-γdependent manner: application of Trp2 180–188 peptides. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2012) 61:1671–82. doi: 10.1007/s00262-012-1214-8
- Widen R, Klein T, Friedman H. Enhanced susceptibility of cyclophosphamidetreated mice to infection with Legionella pneumophila. J Infect Dis (1984) 149:1023–4. doi: 10.1093/infdis/149.6.1023
- Lake RA, Robinson BW. Immunotherapy and chemotherapy-a practical partnership. Nat Rev Cancer (2005) 5:397–405. doi: 10.1038/nrc1613
- Sistigu A, Viaud S, Chaput N, Bracci L, Proietti E, Zitvogel L. Immunomodulatory effects of cyclophosphamide and implementations for vaccine design. *Semin Immunopathol* (2011) 33:369–83. doi: 10.1007/s00281-011-0245-0
- Huyan XH, Lin YP, Gao T, Chen RY, Fan YM. Immunosuppressive effect of cyclophosphamide on white blood cells and lymphocyte subpopulations from peripheral blood of Balb/c mice. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2011) 11:1293–7. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2011.04.011

- Harty JT, Bevan MJ. Responses of CD8(+) T cells to intracellular bacteria. Curr Opin Immunol (1999) 11:89–93. doi: 10.1016/s0952-7915(99)80016-8
- Naz RK, Dabir P. Peptide vaccines against cancer, infectious diseases, and conception. Front Biosci (2007) 12:1833–44. doi: 10.2741/2191
- 31. Yu VL, Plouffe JF, Pastoris MC, Stout JE, Schousboe M, Widmer A, et al. Distribution of Legionella species and serogroups isolated by culture in patients with sporadic community-acquired legionellosis: an international collaborative survey. J Infect Dis (2002) 86(1):127–8. doi: 10.1086/341087

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Kim, Sin and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.