



# Commentary: Outcome Predictors of Biopsy-Proven Myeloperoxidase-Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Glomerulonephritis

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Carmelo Carmona-Rivera, National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS), United States

#### Reviewed by:

Alvise Berti, Santa Chiara Hospital, Italy Luis Felipe Flores-Suárez, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias-México (INER), Mexico

#### \*Correspondence:

Jean-François Augusto jfaugusto@chu-angers.fr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Autoimmune and Autoinflammatory Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

**Received:** 05 April 2021 **Accepted:** 17 May 2021 **Published:** 02 June 2021

#### Citation:

Wacrenier S, Boud'hors C, Piccoli G, Augusto J-F and Brilland B (2021) Commentary: Outcome Predictors of Biopsy-Proven Myeloperoxidase-Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Glomerulonephritis. Front. Immunol. 12:691179. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.691179 Samuel Wacrenier<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Charlotte Boud'hors<sup>1</sup>, Giorgina Piccoli<sup>2</sup>, Jean-François Augusto<sup>1,3\*</sup> and Benoit Brilland<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Service de Néphrologie-Dialyse-Transplantation, Université d'Angers, CHU Angers, Angers, France, <sup>2</sup> Service de Néphrologie, Centre Hospitalier du Mans, Le Mans, France, <sup>3</sup> Université d'Angers, INSERM, CRCINA, Angers, France

Keywords: ANCA-associated vasculitis, glomerulonephritis, predictor, death, end stage kidney disease

#### A Commentary on

Outcome Predictors of Biopsy-Proven Myeloperoxidase-Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Glomerulonephritis

*By Ge* Y, Yang G, Yu X, Sun B, Zhang B, Yuan Y, Zeng M, Wang N, Mao H and Xing C (2021). Front. *Immunol.* 11:607261. *doi:* 10.3389/*fimmu.2020.607261* 

## INTRODUCTION

Diagnosis of Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) associated vasculitis (AAV) with glomerulonephritis (ANCA-GN) enjoins the start of an immunosuppressive treatment as soon as possible. Its intensity is guided by clinical presentation and histopathologic lesions on kidney biopsy. Individualized and personalized treatment is a key issue for improving outcomes. In this view, markers of AAV severity, renal involvement, and overall prognosis may be useful, not only for a better understanding of the disease pathophysiology, but above all, for guiding therapies and improving patients' outcomes, especially when histology is not available. Moreover, if chronic lesions in kidney biopsy at diagnosis remain a major determinant of renal outcomes, whether assessed by the international histopathologic classification (1), the Renal Risk Score (2) or the global chronicity score proposed by the Mayo Clinic (3), some intermediate prognostic classes (*e.g.* 

1

crescentic and mixed classes in Berden's classification) actually seem to have similar renal outcomes (4). Thus, biomarkers are needed to refine the prognosis assessment of these patients.

We therefore read we much interest the publication by Ge et al. regarding the role of several biological markers associated with death and end stage kidney disease (ESKD) in MPO-ANCA vasculitis patients with pauci-immune glomerulonephritis (MPO-ANCA-GN), especially anemia and hypoalbuminemia (5). In their cohort of 112 patients, albuminemia < 30 g/L and hemoglobin < 9g/dL were associated with a greater risk of ESKD occurrence. Moreover, hypoalbuminemia (but not anemia) was associated with patient survival.

## PREDICTORS OF DEATH AND ESKD IN THE MAINE-ANJOU REGISTRY

We aimed to evaluate the predictive value of these biological markers within our cohort of AAV patients with biopsy-proven kidney involvement, included in the Maine-Anjou (France) registry. This population has previously been described in various settings (6-9). Among the 180 patients within the registry, 111 patients presented with MPO-ANCA of whom 98 had ANCA-GN histological confirmation with kidney biopsy. To meet the same inclusion criteria used by Ge et al., we analyzed these 98 patients. Median age was 68 years old [61-75], 61% were male and more than half had hypertension. Median BVAS was 14 [12-18], and, after kidneys, most involved organs were lungs (33%), ear, nose and throat (22%) and skin (13%). At baseline and before any therapeutic intervention, median eGFR was 17 ml/min [12, 33], median hemoglobin was 10 g/dL [8.75 - 10.95] of whom 31% had a hemoglobin level < 9g/dL and median albuminemia was 30 g/L [28 - 34] of whom 45% had an albumin level < 30 g/L. A fifth (21%) required kidney replacement therapy within 30 days from diagnosis. According to Berden's classification, the proportion of patients within the focal class, the crescentic class, the mixed class and the sclerotic class was 19%, 43%, 20% and 18%, respectively. In addition to oral glucocorticoids, most patients received intravenous methylprednisolone pulses (81%) associated with cyclophosphamide (84%) or rituximab (16%) as induction therapy. Maintenance therapy mostly consisted in azathioprine or rituximab regimens (57% and 41%, respectively). These 98 patients were followed with a median follow-up of 46 months [16-96] during which 25 patients (26%) experienced a relapse (60% with renal involvement), 28 patients (29%) reached ESKD and 24 patients (24%) died.

In our cohort, among clinical or biological parameters at diagnosis, albuminemia (either considered as a continuous variable or as a dichotomized one) was neither associated with death nor with ESKD (5-years censured Multivariable Cox Analysis). Among the different models used, age and proteinuria were the best predictors for death occurrence. The predictive value of anemia appeared to be inconsistent (**Table 1**). The best predictor for ESKD occurrence was the severity of renal involvement at admission (amount of proteinuria and the need for kidney replacement therapy within 30 days from diagnosis).

Similarly to albuminemia, hemoglobin (either considered as a continuous variable or dichotomized at anemia threshold) was not associated with ESKD (**Table 1**).

# DISCUSSION

Our results underline the inconsistent predictive value of these biological markers (hemoglobin and albumin) when applied to our cohort. Indeed, as stated by Ge et al., literature is very heterogeneous on this subject. Hemoglobin has been found irregularly as a predictor of death (10-12) or not (13) and as a predictor of ESKD (11) or not (14, 15). In the same way, albumin has been found as a predictor of death (16) or not (17, 18) and as a predictor of ESKD (19) or not (20, 21). These inconsistent results may be explained by various collinear variables that can unspecifically and falsely bring out biological predictors. For example, the amount and duration of inflammatory syndrome, the nutritional status before ANCA-GN onset, and the severity (and chronicity) of kidney failure can all influence these parameters. Moreover, these studies vary in term of number of patients, ANCA type, severity at presentation and management. While we included a similar number of patients with biopsyproven MPO-ANCA-GN, approximatively followed for the same duration as compared to Ge et al. study, the discrepancy between the two cohorts may be explained by additional factors. First, the Asian population experienced more severe outcomes (death and ESKD rate reached 41% and 39%, respectively) possibly related to a more severe initial clinical presentation (median BVAS 18 and need for KRT 42%). Moreover, remission induction regimen was based on glucocorticoids and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) for a non-negligible proportion of patients (20.5%). This is not surprising as MMF-based induction regimen was associated with better outcomes in Chinese patients when compared with conventional European immunosuppressant induction therapy such as cyclophosphamide (22). Maintenance regimen was also different as MMF and cyclophosphamide was predominantly used in Ge et al. cohort, whereas we mostly used azathioprine and rituximab. Thus, ethnicity, a more severe initial presentation and different therapeutic management may explain these differences.

To conclude, in the quest of the perfect biological marker, we would like to bring out that hemoglobin and albumin may not be the eagerly awaited ones. Beyond the classical aphorism "the worse it is, the worse it gets", various biomarkers are currently under careful examination to reach this goal (23). Some may seem duller than others but nevertheless just as promising. Indeed, we recently reported lymphopenia as a strong independent predictor of ESKD (9) and think that exploring abnormalities in lymphocytes phenotype, whether considering kidney infiltrating cells or circulating lymphocytes, would be enlightening in this setting. Indeed, lymphopenia by itself (9) but also the underlying mechanisms (*e.g.* loss of regulatory cells, regulatory/inflammatory cells imbalance, and, activated T cells infiltration) (24–27) could be of great value to help predict bad outcomes in ANCA-GN.

Multivariable analysis of death predictors								Multivariable analysis of ESKD predictors				
Nodel 1: including albumin and hemoglobin as continuous variables												
Full model		Simplified model **					Full model		Simplified model **			
HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% Cl	p-value		HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% Cl	p-value
2.34	1.02, 5.37	0.045	2.13	1.03, 4.40	0.041	Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)	1.01	0.90, 1.12	>0.9			
8.47	0.80, 90.0	0.076	10.8	1.08, 107	0.043	Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)	1.06	0.94, 1.20	0.3	1.08	1.02, 1.14	0.014
0.99	0.87, 1.11	0.8				Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL increment)	0.92	0.72, 1.17	0.5			
1.19	1.02, 1.38	0.026	1.17	1.06, 1.29	0.001	Albumin (per 1 g/L increment) *	1.01	0.94, 1.09	0.8			
0.65	0.43, 0.97	0.034	0.63	0.44, 0.90	0.011	KRT within 30 days from diagnosis	8.06	2.33, 27.9	<0.001	8.09	3.43, 19.1	<0.001
1.01	0.91, 1.11	>0.9										
bin as	dichotomous \	/ariables: th	resholds	from Ge et al								
	Full model		Simplified model **					Full model		Simplified mo		
HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value		HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value
2.60	1.12, 6.01	0.026	3.60	1.86, 6.96	<0.001	Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)	1.01	0.91, 1.13	0.8			
6.06	0.66, 55.3	0.11				Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)	1.05	0.94, 1.18	0.4	1.08	1.02, 1.14	0.014
0.93	0.79, 1.09	0.4				Hemoglobin (< 9 g/dL) *	1.17	0.44, 3.08	0.8			
1.27	1.05, 1.54	0.012	1.21	1.09, 1.34	<0.001	Albumin (< 30 g/L) *	0.72	0.28, 1.83	0.5			
1.72	0.51, 5.82	0.4				KRT within 30 days from diagnosis	8.86	2.56, 30.6	<0.001	8.09	3.43, 19.1	<0.001
0.67	0.19, 2.38	0.5										
	HR 2.34 3.47 ).99 1.19 0.65 1.01 bin as HR 2.60 5.06 ).93 1.27 1.72	Full model           HR         95% Cl           2.34         1.02, 5.37           3.47         0.80, 90.0           0.99         0.87, 1.11           1.19         1.02, 1.38           0.65         0.43, 0.97           1.01         0.91, 1.11           pin as dichotomous full         Full model           HR         95% Cl           2.60         1.12, 6.01           6.06         0.66, 55.3           0.93         0.79, 1.09           1.27         1.05, 1.54           1.72         0.51, 5.82	Full model           HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9           pin as dichotomous variables: the Full model         HR           HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026           6.06         0.66, 55.3         0.11           0.93         0.79, 1.09         0.4           1.27         1.05, 1.54         0.012           1.72         0.51, 5.82         0.4	Full model         S           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         1.19           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         >           pin as dichotomous variables: thresholds         Full model         S           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           2.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026         3.60           6.06         55.3         0.11         0.93         0.79, 1.09         0.4           1.27         1.05, 1.54         0.012         1.21         1.21	Full model         Simplified model           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         1.17         1.06, 1.29           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         >         >           pin as dichotomous variables: thresholds from Ge et al         Simplified model         Simplified model           Full model         Simplified model         Simplified model         Simplified model           4HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl           2.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026         3.60         1.86, 6.96           8.06         0.66, 55.3         0.11         0.93         0.79, 1.09         0.4           1.27         1.05, 1.54         0.012         1.21         1.09, 1.34           1.72         0.51, 5.82         0.4         0.4         0.4	Full model         Simplified model **           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         >         >         >         >           0.101         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         >         >         >         >         >           0.101         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         >         >         >         >         >           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         >         >         >         >         >           1.03         1.05, 1.5.4         0.90         >         >         >         >         >           0.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026         3.60         1.86, 6.96         <	Din as continuous variables         Simplified model **           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         0         Simplified model **         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis           HR         95% Cl         p-value         Full model         Simplified model **           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026         3.60         1.86, 6.96         0.001         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment) <td>Din as continuous variables         Simplified model **           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         0.92           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         0.92           1.01         0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06           1.01         0.91         1.11         &gt;0.9         -         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           2.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026         3.60         1.86, 6.96         &lt;0.001</td> <t< td=""><td>Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Full model           11         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01         0.90, 1.12           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06         0.94, 1.20           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8          Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL increment)         0.92         0.72, 1.17           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         0.92         0.72, 1.17           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         1.01         0.94, 1.09           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06         2.33, 27.9           0.10         0.91, 1.11         &gt;0.9          Full model         Full model         &lt;</td><td>Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Full model           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01         0.90, 1.12         &gt;0.9           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06         0.94, 1.20         0.3           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8          Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL increment)         0.92         0.72, 1.17         0.5           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         1.01         0.94, 1.09         0.8           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06         2.33, 27.9         &lt;0.001</td>           1.01         0.91, 1.11         &gt;0.9           Full model         Full model           Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Full model            0.63&lt;</t<>	Din as continuous variables         Simplified model **           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         0.92           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         0.92           1.01         0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06           1.01         0.91         1.11         >0.9         -         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR           2.60         1.12, 6.01         0.026         3.60         1.86, 6.96         <0.001	Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Full model           11         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01         0.90, 1.12           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06         0.94, 1.20           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8          Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL increment)         0.92         0.72, 1.17           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         0.92         0.72, 1.17           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         1.01         0.94, 1.09           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06         2.33, 27.9           0.10         0.91, 1.11         >0.9          Full model         Full model         <	Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Full model           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01         0.90, 1.12         >0.9           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06         0.94, 1.20         0.3           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8          Hemoglobin (per 1 g/dL increment)         0.92         0.72, 1.17         0.5           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         1.01         0.94, 1.09         0.8           0.65         0.43, 0.97         0.034         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06         2.33, 27.9         <0.001	Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Full model         Simplified model **           HR         95% Cl         p-value         HR         95% Cl         p-value<	Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Simplified model **           10 as continuous variables         Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Simplified model **         Full model         Simplified model           2.34         1.02, 5.37         0.045         2.13         1.03, 4.40         0.041         Creatinine (per 50 µmol/L increment)         1.01         0.90, 1.12         >0.9           3.47         0.80, 90.0         0.076         10.8         1.08, 107         0.043         Proteinuria (per 1 g/g increment)         1.06         0.94, 1.20         0.3         1.08         1.02, 1.14           0.99         0.87, 1.11         0.8         1.06         0.94, 1.09         0.3         1.02, 1.14           1.19         1.02, 1.38         0.026         1.17         1.06, 1.29         0.001         Albumin (per 1 g/L increment)         1.01         0.94, 1.09         0.8           1.01         0.91, 1.11         >0.9         0.63         0.44, 0.90         0.011         KRT within 30 days from diagnosis         8.06         2.33, 27.9         <0.001

Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to examine factors associated with the occurrence of death and ESKD. Multivariate Cox regression analysis included all parameters with p < 0.1 in the univariate analysis or parameters judged as clinically relevant (\*). Simplified models were built using manual step-by-step backward selection with a removal criterion of p > 0.1 (\*).

KRT, kidney replacement therapy; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

p-values < 0.05 are in bold.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

SW, BB, and JFA designed the study. SW, CB, and BB gathered the information included in the database. SW and BB analyzed

### REFERENCES

- Berden AE, Ferrario F, Hagen EC, Jayne DR, Jennette JC, Joh K, et al. Histopathologic Classification of ANCA-Associated Glomerulonephritis. *JASN* (2010) 21:1628–36. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2010050477
- Brix SR, Noriega M, Tennstedt P, Vettorazzi E, Busch M, Nitschke M, et al. Development and Validation of a Renal Risk Score in ANCA-associated Glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int* (2018) 94:1177–88. doi: 10.1016/j.kint. 2018.07.020
- Berti A, Cornec-Le Gall E, Cornec D, Casal Moura M, Matteson EL, Crowson CS, et al. Incidence, Prevalence, Mortality and Chronic Renal Damage of Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Glomerulonephritis in a 20-Year Population-Based Cohort. *Nephrol Dialysis Transplant* (2019) 34:1508–17. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfy250
- van Daalen EE, Wester Trejo MAC, Göçeroğlu A, Ferrario F, Joh K, Noël L-H, et al. Developments in the Histopathological Classification of ANCA-Associated Glomerulonephritis. *CJASN* (2020) 15:1103–11. doi: 10.2215/ CJN.14561119
- Ge Y, Yang G, Yu X, Sun B, Zhang B, Yuan Y, et al. Outcome Predictors of Biopsy-Proven Myeloperoxidase-Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Glomerulonephritis. *Front Immunol* (2021) 11:607261. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.607261
- Guibert F, Garnier A-S, Wacrenier S, Piccoli G, Djema A, Gansey R, et al. Patients With ANCA-Associated Glomerulonephritis and Connective Tissue Diseases: A Comparative Study From the Maine-Anjou Aav Registry. J Clin Med (2019) 8. doi: 10.3390/jcm8081218
- Henry N, Brilland B, Wacrenier S, Djema A, Garnier AS, Gansey R, et al. Incidence and Risk Factors of Venous Thromboembolic Events in Patients With ANCA-Glomerulonephritis: A Cohort Study From the Maine-Anjou Registry. JCM (2020) 9:3177. doi: 10.3390/jcm9103177
- Jourdain P, Brilland B, Medhioub O, Caron J, Samoreau C, Djema A, et al. Incidence and Temporal Trend in Risk Factors of Severe Infections in ANCA-Glomerulonephritis Patients. *Kidney Int Rep* (2021) 6(4):1161–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ekir.2020.12.037. S2468024920318714.
- Wacrenier S, Riou J, Jourdain P, Guibert F, Henry N, Djema A, et al. Lymphopenia At Diagnosis of ANCA-vasculitis With Renal Involvement is Correlated With Severity and Renal Prognosis. *Nephrol Dialysis Transplant* (2021) gfab158. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfab158
- Flossmann O, Berden A, de Groot K, Hagen C, Harper L, Heijl C, et al. Long-Term Patient Survival in ANCA-associated Vasculitis. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2011) 70:488–94. doi: 10.1136/ard.2010.137778
- 11. Crnogorac M, Horvatic I, Toric L, Galesic Ljubanovic D, Tisljar M, Galesic K. Clinical, Serological and Histological Determinants of Patient and Renal Outcome in ANCA-associated Vasculitis With Renal Involvement: An Analysis From a Referral Centre. *Int Urol Nephrol* (2017) 49:1419–31. doi: 10.1007/s11255-017-1610-2
- Solans-Laqué R, Fraile G, Rodriguez-Carballeira M, Caminal L, Castillo MJ, Martínez-Valle F, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcome of Spanish Patients With ANCA-associated Vasculitides: Impact of the Vasculitis Type, ANCA Specificity, and Treatment on Mortality and Morbidity. *Medicine* (2017) 96:e6083. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000006083
- Titeca-Beauport D, Francois A, Lobbedez T, Guerrot D, Launay D, Vrigneaud L, et al. Early Predictors of One-Year Mortality in Patients Over 65 Presenting With ANCA-associated Renal Vasculitis: A Retrospective, Multicentre Study. *BMC Nephrol* (2018) 19:317. doi: 10.1186/s12882-018-1102-3
- Ahn JK, Hwang J-W, Lee J, Jeon CH, Cha H-S, Koh E-M. Clinical Features and Outcome of Microscopic Polyangiitis Under a New Consensus Algorithm of ANCA-associated Vasculitides in Korea. *Rheumatol Int* (2012) 32:2979–86. doi: 10.1007/s00296-011-2079-4
- 15. Andreiana I, Stancu S, Avram A, Taran L, Mircescu G. ANCA Positive Crescentic Glomerulonephritis Outcome in a Central East European Cohort:

the data. SW wrote the first draft of the manuscript. BB and J-FA revised the manuscript. All authors participated in patient care. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

A Retrospective Study. *BMC Nephrol* (2015) 16:90. doi: 10.1186/s12882-015-0091-8

- Aasarød K, Iversen BM, Hammerstrøm J, Bostad L, Vatten L, Jørstad S. Wegener's Granulomatosis: Clinical Course in 108 Patients With Renal Involvement. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* (2000) 15:611–8. doi: 10.1093/ndt/ 15.5.611
- Itabashi M, Takei T, Yabuki Y, Suzuki H, Ando M, Akamatsu M, et al. Clinical Outcome and Prognosis of Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Vasculitis in Japan. *Nephron Clin Pract* (2010) 115:c21–7. doi: 10.1159/000286346
- Bakoush O, Segelmark M, Torffvit O, Ohlsson S, Tencer J. Urine IgM Excretion Predicts Outcome in ANCA-associated Renal Vasculitis. *Nephrol Dialysis Transplant* (2006) 21:1263–9. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfk074
- Chen Y-X, Xu J, Pan X-X, Shen P-Y, Li X, Ren H, et al. Histopathological Classification and Renal Outcome in Patients With Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibodies-associated Renal Vasculitis: A Study of 186 Patients and Metaanalysis. J Rheumatol (2017) 44:304–13. doi: 10.3899/ jrheum.160866
- Moroni G, Binda V, Leoni A, Raffiotta F, Quaglini S, Banfi G, et al. Predictors of Renal Survival in ANCA-associated Vasculitis. Validation of a Histopatological Classification Schema and Review of the Literature. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* (2015) 33:S–56-63.
- 21. Iwakiri T, Fujimoto S, Kitagawa K, Furuichi K, Yamahana J, Matsuura Y, et al. Validation of a Newly Proposed Histopathological Classification in Japanese Patients With Anti-Neutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibody-Associated Glomerulonephritis. *BMC Nephrol* (2013) 14:125. doi: 10.1186/1471-2369-14-125
- Hu W, Liu C, Xie H, Chen H, Liu Z, Li L. Mycophenolate Mofetil Versus Cyclophosphamide for Inducing Remission of ANCA Vasculitis With Moderate Renal Involvement. *Nephrol Dialysis Transplant* (2007) 23:1307– 12. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfm780
- Tedesco M, Gallieni M, Pellegata F, Cozzolino M, Alberici F. Update on ANCA-associated Vasculitis: From Biomarkers to Therapy. J Nephrol (2019) 32:871–82. doi: 10.1007/s40620-019-00628-9
- Martinez Valenzuela L, Bordignon Draibe J, Fulladosa Oliveras X, Bestard Matamoros O, Cruzado Garrit JM, Torras Ambrós J. T-Lymphocyte in ANCAassociated Vasculitis: What do We Know? A Pathophysiological and Therapeutic Approach. *Clin Kidney J* (2019) 12:503–11. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfz029
- Wilde B, Thewissen M, Damoiseaux J, Knippenberg S, Hilhorst M, van Paassen P, et al. Regulatory B Cells in ANCA-associated Vasculitis. Ann Rheum Dis (2013) 72:1416–9. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202986
- 26. von Borstel A, Sanders JS, Rutgers A, Stegeman CA, Heeringa P, Abdulahad WH. Cellular Immune Regulation in the Pathogenesis of ANCA-associated Vasculitides. *Autoimmun Rev* (2018) 17:413–21. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev. 2017.12.002
- Abdulahad WH, Stegeman CA, Limburg PC, Kallenberg CGM. CD4-Positive Effector Memory T Cells Participate in Disease Expression in ANCAassociated Vasculitis. Ann N Y Acad Sci (2007) 1107:22–31. doi: 10.1196/ annals.1381.003

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Wacrenier, Boud'hors, Piccoli, Augusto and Brilland. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.