



## Blocking of EphA2 on Endometrial Tumor Cells Reduces Susceptibility to Vδ1 Gamma-Delta T-Cell-Mediated Killing

Robert Hudecek<sup>1\*‡</sup>, Barbora Kohlova<sup>2‡</sup>, Ingrid Siskova<sup>1</sup>, Martin Piskacek<sup>2\*</sup> and Andrea Knight<sup>2\*†</sup>

### Edited by:

Guangchao Cao, Jinan University, China

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Reviewed by:

C. David Pauza, American Gene Technologies International, Inc., United States Indrani Dutta, University of Alberta, Canada

#### \*Correspondence:

Andrea Knight knight@med.muni.cz Martin Piskacek piskacek@med.muni.cz Robert Hudecek Hudecek.Robert@fnbrno.cz

#### <sup>†</sup>ORCID:

Andrea Knight orcid.org/0000-0001-7291-7975

<sup>‡</sup>These authors share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 03 August 2021 Accepted: 14 September 2021 Published: 07 October 2021

#### Citation:

Hudecek R, Kohlova B, Siskova I, Piskacek M and Knight A (2021) Blocking of EphA2 on Endometrial Tumor Cells Reduces Susceptibility to Vδ1 Gamma-Delta T-Cell-Mediated Killing. Front. Immunol. 12:752646. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.752646 <sup>1</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Brno and Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia, <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pathological Physiology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czechia

**Background:** Endometriosis is a common gynecological disease characterized by the presence of endometrial tissue outside the uterus causing chronic inflammation, severe pain, and infertility. However, the innate immunity of gamma-delta ( $\gamma \delta$ ) T lymphocytes in endometriosis has not been characterized. Women with endometriosis present numerous endocrine and immune dysfunctions and elevated risk for endometrial, ovarian, and breast cancers. The tyrosine kinase EphA2 is often overexpressed in cancer including endometrial carcinoma.

**Methods:** We analyzed V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in peripheral blood and paired peritoneal fluid samples in endometriosis patients (n = 19) and compared the counts with that of ageand sex-matched healthy donors (n = 33) using flow cytometry. V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2 T cells isolated from healthy donors were used against KLE, RL-95, and Ishikawa endometrial tumor cells in 4 h flow cytometric cytotoxicity assays. The EphA2 blocking studies were performed using antibody, small-molecule inhibitor ALW-II-41-27, and the CRISPR/Cas9.

**Results:** We determined V $\delta$ 1 T cells substantially reduced in patients' peripheral blood (p < 0.01) and peritoneal fluid (p < 0.001). No differences were found for circulating V $\delta$ 2 T cells compared with peritoneal fluid samples. We observed inherent cytotoxic reactivity of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T lymphocytes against endometrial tumor cells. Importantly, we found reduced specific lysis of EphA2-positive cell lines KLE and RL-95 by V $\delta$ 1 T cells in the EphA2 antibody blocking studies and by the EphA2 inhibitor. Furthermore, V $\delta$ 1 T-cell-mediated killing was significantly decreased in RL-95 cell EPHA2 knockout. Finally, potent cytolytic activity exerted by V $\delta$ 1 T cells was significantly reduced in EPHA2 knockouts in renal A-498 and colon HT-29 carcinoma cell lines.

**Conclusions:** We determined variable levels of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in endometriosis patients. We observed inherent cytotoxic reactivity of  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subsets against endometrial cell lines. Specifically, we found that blocking of EphA2 expression resulted in significant inhibition of endometrial tumor killing mediated by V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.

1

These results suggest that EphA2 is involved in tumor cell lysis and contributes to susceptibility to V $\delta$ 1 y $\delta$ T cells cytotoxic reactivity.

Keywords: gamma-delta T cells, endometriosis, peritoneal fluid, tyrosine kinase EphA2, cytotoxicity, innate immunity

### INTRODUCTION

Endometriosis is a hormone-dependent gynecological disease characterized by the presence of endometrial tissue outside the uterine cavity. The disease affects around 10% of reproductiveaged women (1). Retrograde menstruation is accepted for the pathogenesis when menstrual endometrial tissue fragments and viable cells escape apoptosis, evade normal immune surveillance, enter into peritoneal cavity where adhered, develop a blood supply, and grow into endometriosis lesions (1, 2). Hormonal treatments are believed to reduce proliferation of endometrial lesions by reducing estrogen activity. Increased concentrations of prostaglandins have been reported in peritoneal fluid of endometriosis patients and may be involved in the progression of the disease (3). It is well established that women with endometriosis exhibit numerous endocrine and immune dysfunctions. Specifically, they display aberrant numbers of immune cells and cytokines present in the plasma and peritoneal fluid (PF), which has been shown to contribute to chronic pain and infertility described by endometriosis women (4–7). The immune cells including macrophages, natural killer (NK) cells, cytotoxic T cells, and dendritic cells that lost the ability to effectively detect and destroy autologous endometrial menstrual tissue contribute significantly to the development of acute and chronic inflammation. In addition to decreased NK cell cytotoxicity (8-12) enhanced activation of monocytes and peritoneal macrophages (13, 14) have been well documented. It is still uncertain whether the aberrant activity of these immune cells causes endometriosis or whether they act as secondary enhancers of the disease. Recent evidence suggests that biology of endometriosis significantly overlaps those considered to be hallmarks of cancer and essential alterations in cell physiology including sustained proliferative signaling, evasion of growth suppressors, activation of invasion and metastasis, induction of angiogenesis, resistance to cell death, compromised immune detection, tumor promoting inflammation, and genome instability (15). It is understood that women with endometriosis present elevated risk for cancer by 90% for ovarian cancer, 40% for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and 30% for breast cancer. Many women with endometriosis are also diagnosed with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS).

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common malignancy of the female reproductive system (16). It tends to develop after menopause in women with a median age at onset of 63 years. Several risk factors have been identified, such as obesity (17), diabetes, PCOS, and infertility. Endometrial carcinoma arises from the lining of the uterus and can be broadly divided into two types: endometrioid carcinomas, affecting approximately 80% of patients, which can be graded according to the relative proportion of solid tumor and the nonendometrial carcinomas, which have a hormone-independent pathogenesis and unknown precursor lesion (16). An early stage EC patients' prognosis is generally favorable.

Human gamma-delta ( $\gamma\delta$ ) T lymphocytes play critical roles in immune surveillance mediating potent inflammatory response and contributing to prominent tumor killing (18, 19).  $\gamma\delta$  T cells account for 1%-10% of T cells in the peripheral blood in adults and are often enriched as resident cells within the solid organs and mucosal tissues. They are considered the first line of innate immune defense, but they also have the possibility to create immunological memory and therefore also belong to adaptive immunity (20, 21). In contrast to conventional  $\alpha\beta$  T cells,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells display a non-MHC-restricted antigen recognition. Human  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can be divided according to their T-cell receptor (TCR) delta chain usage into two major populations, namely V $\delta 1$  and V $\delta$ 2 T cells (22). Recent study highlighted the role of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in cancer as the most significant favorable prognostic immune subset associated with overall survival outcomes across 39 malignancies (23). However, to our knowledge,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in endometriosis patients have not been characterized.

The Eph receptors represent the largest family of receptor tyrosine kinases. Together with their respective ligands, they have been extensively studied for the roles they play during embryonic development, particularly within the central nervous system (24). As a unique feature, bidirectional signaling in Eph/ ephrin ligands between cells is fundamentally involved in developmental processes, such as axonal guidance, remodeling of blood vessels or correct formation of crypt and villi in the intestinal epithelium (24, 25). Some Eph receptors, especially EphA2 is often overexpressed and functionally altered in many cancers including breast (26), ovarian (27), and endometrial (28, 29) carcinomas, which correlated with, e.g., increased invasiveness, increased metastatic potential, prominent vascularization, and consequently with poor patient outcome. Most recently, EphA2 has been identified as a stress antigen recognized by a V $\delta$ 1 TCR (30).

We conducted the present study to determine the numbers of  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subsets in endometriosis patients. We demonstrate for the first time the prominent cytotoxicity of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells against endometrial tumor cell lines. Next, we show that the EphA2 receptor is highly important in tumor recognition and killing by V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T cells.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Patient Characteristics**

Patients (n = 19) have been enrolled from the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Faculty Hospital Brno. The study was approved by the local institutional ethics committee of the

Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consents were obtained from all patients. Endometriosis was assessed according to the revised American Fertility Society (r-AFS) classification during laparoscopy. Patients received no hormonal therapy for a minimum of 3 months prior to laparoscopic surgery.

The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1.

### Sample Collection and Preparation

Peripheral blood (PB) and peritoneal fluid (PF) samples were obtained from endometriosis patients and were processed within 2 h of collection. PF samples were taken during the planned surgery from lower pelvis cavity by fine needle suction from cavum Douglasi at the opening phase of diagnostic laparoscopy prior the surgical procedure as less invasive technique for more patients than tissue biopsy. At the same time, it allows to obtain sufficient volumes of biological material for subsequent analysis. Buffy coats from age- and sex-matched healthy volunteers (n = 33) were collected at the Transfusion and Tissue Bank, Faculty Hospital Brno. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from blood by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep (Stem Cell Technologies) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Plasma samples were collected and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

### **Cell Culture**

Endometrial carcinoma cell lines KLE (ATCC<sup>®</sup> CRL1622<sup>TM</sup>) and RL95-2 (ATCC<sup>®</sup> CRL1671<sup>TM</sup>) were purchased from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, VA, USA). Ishikawa cell line was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). KLE and RL95-2 cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM/F12) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2% penicillin/streptomycin (all Thermo Fisher Scientific); RL95-2 cells with the addition of 5ug/ml of insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA). The Ishikawa cell line was maintained in MEM supplemented with 5% FBS and 2% penicillin/streptomycin.

TABLE 1 | Study subjects.

Parameters	Endometriosis patients	Controls
Number (n)	19	33
Age (years)		
Median	33	29
Range	24–48	18–48
Disease stage*		
I	3	
II	4	
III	5	
IV	7	
Menstrual cycle		
EPP	8	
LPP	3	
ESP	5	
LSP	3	

\*Classification according to r-AFS.

EPP, early proliferation phase; LPP, late proliferation phase; ESP, early secretory phase; LSP, late secretory phase.

In addition, human tumor cell lines including myeloma (U266, EJM) and chronic myeloid leukemia (LAMA-84, KYO-1) were purchased from DSMZ (German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH, Germany). Renal (A-498), prostate (DU-145), breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7) and histocytic lymphoma (U937), chronic myeloid leukemia (K562), acute monocytic leukemia (THP-1) and glioblastoma (U87 MG) cells were purchased from ATCC. Glioblastoma cells (U-373 MG and U251 MG) were purchased from The European Collection of Authenticated Cell Culture (ECACC). Myeloma cell lines (OPM-2, LP-1, KMS-11) were a kind gift from Dr. Krejci (Institute of Biology, Masaryk University Brno). Cells lines (U266, LAMA-84, KYO-1, K562, U-937, THP-1, EJM) were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, and 2% penicillin/streptomycin. Cell lines (A-498, DU-145, HT-29, U-87 MG, MCF-7) were cultured in modified Eagle's medium (MEM) (Sigma Aldrich) with 10% FBS, 2 mM Lglutamine, and 2% penicillin/streptomycin. The MCF-7 cells were supplemented with nonessential amino acids (NEAA, Sigma Aldrich). Cell lines (U251 MG, U-373 MG) were cultured in DMEM/F12 with 10% FBS. All cells were grown at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere up to 70%-80% confluence; adherent cells were harvested by using gentle dissociation solution TrypLE (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and counted by using Trypan blue exclusion.

The EphA2 inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 was purchased from MedChem Express (Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA). It was dissolved in sterile DMSO at 10 mM stock concentration and solution stored in aliquots at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

### **Flow Cytometric Phenotyping**

The cell phenotype was assessed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) by using staining with the monoclonal antibodies MICA, MICB, CD112, CD155, B7-H6 (R&D Systems, clone 875001), and EphA2 (R&D Systems, clone 371805). The tumor cell lines were harvested, washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Sigma) containing 2% FBS, and incubated for 30 min on ice with fluorescently labelled monoclonal antibodies. Gammadelta T cells were identified in freshly isolated PBMCs labelled with CD3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, clone SK7), V&1 TCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific, clone TS8.2), V&2 TCR (BD Pharmingen, clone B6) or V82 TCR (Sony, clone B6). CD27 (BD Pharmingen, clone M-T271), and CD45RA (Exbio, clone MEM-56) were used for immunophenotyping. Samples were washed and acquired using FACSCanto<sup>®</sup> (BD Biosciences) and data analyzed using FlowJo<sup>®</sup> software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR, USA). Forward and side scatter gating were used to discriminate live cells from dead cells and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were derived from SSC vs. FSC-gated bulk PBMCs with doublet exclusion (FSC-A vs. FCS-H). To determine the placement of the gates, appropriate fluorescence minus one (FMO) and unstained controls were used.

## Isolation of Polyclonal V $\delta 1$ and V $\delta 2~\gamma \delta$ T Lymphocytes

Fresh  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell populations were sorted by positive selection using anti-TCR V $\delta$ 1 (Beckman Coulter, clone R9.12) or anti-TCR V $\delta$ 2 (BD Pharmingen, clone B6) monoclonal antibodies and magnetic microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) according to manufacturer's instruction. The cell purity was routinely greater at 97%.

### **Cytotoxicity Assay**

Freshly sorted V $\delta$ 1 or V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T lymphocytes were incubated with tumor target cells at indicated effector:target (E:T) 5:1 and 10:1 ratios in duplicates for 4 h co-culture at 37°C as described previously (31). Briefly, tumor target cells were washed in Hank's buffered saline solution (HBSS, Invitrogen Life Technologies) to remove FBS and culture media. Cells were resuspended in diluent C (Sigma) and labeled with PKH67 fluorescent dye (Sigma). To-Pro-3 iodide (1 µM in PBS) (Invitrogen Life Technologies) was added immediately prior to the acquisition on the flow cytometer. At least 10,000 target cells were acquired after gating out the green fluorescence of PKH67 dye and the proportion of To-Pro-3 iodide positive cells. Background target cell death was determined from the cells incubated in the absence of effector cells. In the blocking experiments, the EphA2 antibody (R&D Systems, clone 371805) and ALW-II-41-27 EphA2 inhibitor (10 µM, 1 µM) or DMSO as a control were added to tumor cultures prior the cytotoxicity assays.

# RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis, Real-Time PCR

Total RNA has been extracted from tumor cell lines using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instruction. RNA was eluted in RNAse-free water and stored in -80°C. Complementary DNA (cDNA) has been synthesized using 20 ng/µl total RNA that has been reverse transcribed using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). The glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) housekeeping gene has been used as an internal control by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (real-time qPCR). cDNAs were amplified using TaqMan<sup>®</sup> Gene Expression Assay (ID : Hs01072272\_ml, Applied Biosystems). Samples were analyzed on StepOne<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time PCR Systems (Applied Biosystems).

# Generation of EPHA2 Knockout by the CRISPR/Cas9 method

The EPHA2 gene knockout was performed with the EPHA2 CRISPR gRNA + Cas9 in Lenti-particles (supplied from antibodies-online GmbH) and used closely following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, vector pLenti-U6-sgRNA-SFFV-Cas9-2A-Puro (product number ABIN5252263) was used to generate EPHA2 knockouts in human endometrial cancer line RL95-2, renal carcinoma cell line A-498, and colon carcinoma cell line HT-29. After infection, positive clones were selected by 3.5  $\mu$ g/ml puromycin, and the single clones were transferred separately into 48-well plates and further passaged. The EPHA2 knockouts were confirmed by flow cytometry after antibody staining (anti-EPHA2, R&D Systems, clone 371805).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism5 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). The Student's *t*-test was

used to determine significant differences between groups. Differences between sample groups were evaluated with the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. p < 0.05 values were considered to be significant. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

### RESULTS

# $\gamma\delta$ T Cell Subsets in Peripheral Blood and Peritoneal Fluid Samples in Patients With Endometriosis

First, we aimed to determine the two major populations of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2 subsets, respectively) in peripheral blood (PB) samples from patients with endometriosis (n = 19) and compared the frequencies with age- and sex-matched healthy donors (HD, n = 33). Flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) where proportion of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells among leukocyte gate followed by the percentage of CD3 lymphocytes is shown in **Figure 1A**. Immunophenotyping of V $\delta$ 1 (**Figure 1B**) and V $\delta$ 2 (Figure 1C) using the CD27 and CD45RA antibodies to determine the naïve/memory/effector memory and TEMRA phenotypes was analyzed, and representative flow plots are shown. We found significantly low percentages of V $\delta$ 1 T cells in PB (p = 0.008) (median 0.5%, range 0.1%–2.4%) in endometriosis patients compared with HD (median 0.9%, range 0.1%-3.8%), as shown in Figure 2A, whereas V $\delta$ 2 T cells showed no difference between the endometriosis patients (median 1.5%, range 0.2%-7.9%) and healthy controls (median 2.4%, range 0.3%-11.9%), as shown in Figure 2B. Next, the absolute counts of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PB in patients compared with HD were determined in Figures 2C, D, respectively. We found dramatically reduced V $\delta$ 1 T-cell absolute counts in patients PB (p = 0.0002) (median 2.23) cells/µl, range 0.14–14.01) and HD controls (median 13.3 cells/µl, range 0.11-242.1). No differences in VS2 T-cell counts were observed between the patients PB (median 9.8 cells/µl, range 0.12-118.7) and HD controls (median 17.3 cells/µl, range 0.11-198).

Second, we analyzed  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell infiltration in patient' peritoneal fluid (PF) samples and compared the counts with paired PB. We found most patients with dramatically reduced V $\delta$ 1 T cells in PF (median 0.1%, range 0%–2.8%) compared with PB samples (median 0.5%, range 0.1–2.4%) (p = 0.001) in **Figure 2E**. Similarly, no significant differences were identified for circulating V $\delta$ 2 T cells (median 1.5%, range 0.2%–7.9%) compared with PF samples (median 2.0%, range 0%–11.5%), in **Figure 2F**. These results show for the first time the presence of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in peritoneal fluid in patients with endometriosis.

Third, we found most V $\delta$ 1 T cells of naïve (CD27+CD45RA+) and TEMRA (CD27-CD45RA+) phenotype in patients PB shown in **Figure 3A**. Peritoneal fluid samples showed majority of V $\delta$ 1 T cells at the memory stage of differentiation (CD27+CD45RA-) in **Figure 3B**. V $\delta$ 2 T cells in patients PB and PF samples were predominantly of memory phenotypes in **Figures 3C**, **D**.



**FIGURE 1** | Flow cytometric analysis of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$ T cells. (**A**) Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were analyzed where proportion of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$ T cells among leukocyte gate followed by the percentage of CD3 lymphocytes. Immunophenotyping of V $\delta$ 1 (**B**) and V $\delta$ 2 (**C**) T cells using the CD27 and CD45RA antibodies to determine the naïve, memory, effector and TEMRA phenotypes was analyzed and representative flow plots are shown.

# $\gamma\delta$ T-Cell-Mediated Killing of Endometrial Tumor Targets

We analyzed the cytotoxic function of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells freshly sorted from healthy donors against endometrial tumor cell lines including Ishikawa, KLE, and RL95-2. We determined the 4-h killing reactivity shown as percentages of specific lysis of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells at 5:1 and 10:1 E:T ratio. All of the tested  $\gamma\delta$  T lymphocytes efficiently killed the tumor targets. First, the summary of V $\delta$ 1 T cell-mediated killing (n = 4) at 5:1 E:T against KLE (mean 29.8%, SD 4.2%), RL95-2 (mean 28.4%, SD 12.7%) and Ishikawa (n = 3, mean 25.1%, SD 3.8%) in **Figure 4** is shown. Importantly, significant antiendometrial reactivity of V $\delta$ 1 T cells was detected at 10:1 E:T against KLE (mean 34.8%, SD 1.3%), RL95-2 (35.9%, SD 14.2%), and Ishikawa (mean 37.8%, SD 4.3%).

Second, summary of V $\delta$ 2 T-cell-mediated killing against KLE, RL95-2, and Ishikawa (**Figure 4**) is shown. At low E:T ratio of 5:1, the specific lysis was detected against KLE (n = 5, mean 44.4%, SD 10.3%), RL95-2 (n = 4, mean 26.0%, SD 8.6%), and Ishikawa (n = 4, mean 34.4%, SD 6.4%). Prominent ability of V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells to recognize and kill endometrial tumor targets was observed at 10:1 E:T against KLE (mean 49.8%, SD 11.1%), RL95-2 (mean 33.2%, SD 8.8%) and Ishikawa (mean 40.7%, SD 10%). Altogether, the endometrial tumor killing was comparable for  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subsets isolated from different donors and was reproducible between the assays for all cell lines.

# The EphA2 Expressed on Endometrial Tumor Cells Is Involved in V $\delta$ 1 T-Cell-Mediated Killing

To elucidate possible mechanisms involved in  $\gamma\delta$  T cell cytotoxicity, we evaluated several molecules typically involved in  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell killing including the MICA and MICB as ligands for the NKG2D receptor; CD112 and CD155 as ligands for the DNAM-1 receptor; and ligand B7-H6 for the NKp30 receptor. We analyzed the surface expression of MICA, MICB, CD112,

CD155, and B7-H6 on target endometrial tumor cell lines including Ishikawa, KLE and RL95-2 by flow cytometry. Variable expression of these markers is shown in **Figure 5A**. Furthermore, we analyzed the expression of the EphA2 receptor, which is known to be overexpressed in many human malignancies, including endometrial carcinoma. We showed activation and high expression of the EphA2 receptor on KLE and RL95-2 endometrial tumor cell lines but only weak expression on Ishikawa cells in **Figure 5A**. In addition, we determined the EphA2 RNA expression by the real-time qPCR in a panel of tumor cell lines as fold gene expression in **Figure 5B**. We found the highest EphA2 expression in solid tumors including prostate (DU-145), colon (HT-29), and renal (A-498) carcinoma cell lines in contrast to mostly negative hematological cell lines.

Next, we aimed to determine whether the EphA2 is involved in  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell killing. In the blocking experiments, we first preincubated the target cells with the EphA2 antibody prior to 4-h cytotoxicity assays and then analyzed the specific lysis of KLE (**Figure 6A**) and RL95-2 (**Figure 6B**) target cells in the presence/absence of the EphA2. Interestingly, all V $\delta$ 1 T cells isolated from healthy donors killed efficiently both tumor cell lines at 5:1 and 10:1 E:T ratios; however, the cytotoxicity was reduced when the EphA2 receptor was blocked. The inhibitory effect of anti-EphA2 on tumor cell killing was determined for KLE cells in the range 14%–40% (median 25%) and for RL95 cells in the range of 15%–40% (median 26%). Together, these results suggested that EphA2 was recognized by cytotoxic V $\delta$ 1 T cells in the tumor killing.

To validate these findings, we then tested the *in vitro* effects of the EPHA2 small-molecule inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 on KLE endometrial tumor cells. We incubated the KLE target cells with ALW-II-41-27 inhibitor at 1 and 10  $\mu$ M concentrations and showed the specific lysis significantly reduced at both 5:1 and 10:1 E:T ratios in the range 50%–80% (median 71%) (**Figure 6C**). Importantly, the inhibition of cytotoxicity by V $\delta$ 1 T cells was



**FIGURE 2** | Summary of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in endometriosis patients. Percentage of CD3+ V $\delta$ 1 (**A**) and V $\delta$ 2 (**B**)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in endometriosis patients (ENDO, filled circles) and age- and sex-matched healthy donors (HD, empty circles) are shown. Absolute counts of V $\delta$ 1 (**C**) and V $\delta$ 2 (**D**)  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in PB in patients compared with HD were determined. Analysis of V $\delta$ 1 (**E**) and V $\delta$ 2 T cells (**F**) in patient's peripheral blood (PB) and paired peritoneal fluid (PF) samples. The median values are shown. Statistically significant differences are presented as \*\*p = 0.008; \*\*\*p = 0.0002.

shown as dose dependent. Next, we used the EphA2-negative Ishikawa cell line and determined the specific lysis at 10:1 E:T in the presence of ALW-II-41-27 (1  $\mu$ M). No significant reduction of tumor killing was observed (**Figure 6D**). Of note, the pharmacological effect of inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 on cell viability in drug treatment sample relative to a DMSO control group was determined independently prior the killing assays and no increase of the spontaneous cell lysis was detected after 4h (data not shown). In addition, the inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 showed no change in the surface expression of EphA2, B7-H6 and stress ligands in 4-h cytotoxicity incubation (data not shown).

Finally, to confirm the inhibition of the EPHA2 resulting in significantly decreased *in vitro* tumor cell death, we generated EPHA2 knockouts (KO) in endometrial cell line RL95-2 by the CRISPR/Cas9 method. The loss of EPHA2 significantly reduced specific lysis by 35%–90% (median 45%) by V $\delta$ 1 T cells in knockout *versus* wild type (WT) at 5:1 E:T ratio is shown in **Figure 7A**. Next, we observed the V $\delta$ 1 T-cell cytotoxicity inhibition of RL95-2 WT *versus* KO cells and also in the addition of ALW-II-41-27 inhibitor (1  $\mu$ M) at 10:1 E:T in **Figure 7B**.

To further verify these results, we generated EPHA2 knockouts in A-498 (renal) and HT-29 (colon) tumor cell



lines which had previously showed the highest EphA2 expression in **Figure 5B**. The summary of V $\delta$ 1 T cell cytotoxicity results is shown for A-498 in **Figure 7C** and HT-29 in **Figure 7D**. The A-498 KO cells showed significant protection from specific lysis mediated by V $\delta$ 1 T cells compared with WT cells at 10:1 ratio between 40% and 77% (median 61%). Similarly, HT-29 KO cells presented significant reduction of tumor killing than WT cells at 10:1 ratio between 42% and 75% (median 44%).

In summary, we evaluated V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell cytotoxicity against tumor cells and found consistently that EphA2 expressed on cancer cells show susceptibility to cell lysis by tumor-reactive V $\delta$ 1 T cells.

### DISCUSSION

It is well accepted that women with endometriosis exhibit numerous immune dysfunctions and that the immune system plays a central role in its etiology, infertility, increased risk of ovarian carcinoma, or poor pregnancy outcomes (32). Pathogenesis of endometriosis is poorly understood, and the incomplete phenotyping of immune cells within the endometrium and peritoneal fluid of women with the disease warrants urgent research to identify biomarkers that could be used to predict or verify the disease.

In this study, we determined for the first time the numbers of V $\delta 1$  and V $\delta 2 \gamma \delta$  T-cell subsets in peripheral blood and peritoneal fluids in patients with endometriosis. We observed dramatically reduced numbers of circulating V $\delta 1$  T cells in endometriosis women compared with healthy donors; however, no differences were found for V $\delta 2$  T cells between endometriosis patients and healthy controls. Interestingly, we described the presence of both V $\delta 1$  and V $\delta 2 \gamma \delta$  T cell subsets in the peritoneal fluid.

Next, we demonstrated for the first time the cytotoxicity of  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subsets against endometrial tumor cell lines including Ishikawa, KLE, and RL95-2. Both V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2  $\gamma\delta$  T cells were able to lyse tumor cell lines at low 5:1 E:T ratios with specific lysis ranging between 20% and 68% in the 4-h killing assays. We have shown earlier similar cytotoxicity of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells against solid tumor cell lines including DU145 (prostate), MCF7 (breast), and A498 (renal) carcinomas (31). Together, our results show frequencies of  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell subsets in endometriosis patients and their cytotoxicity function against endometrial tumor cell lines. Recent studies have highlighted the correlation of tumor-infiltrating  $\gamma\delta$  T lymphocytes with patient disease outcome that further confirms the role of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in cancer immune surveillance (33, 34). Importantly,  $\gamma\delta$  T lymphocytes are being



**FIGURE 4** |  $V\delta1$  and  $V\delta2 \gamma\delta$  T-cell-mediated killing of endometrial tumor cell lines KLE, RL95-2, and Ishikawa. Freshly sorted  $\gamma\delta$  T cells from three to five healthy donors (numbered anonymously) were co-cultured with tumor targets for 4 h, and specific lysis was determined at 5:1 and 10:1 E:T ratio. The results from independent experiments of V $\delta1$   $\gamma\delta$  T-cell cytotoxic reactivity against KLE, RL-95, and Ishikawa is shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD of sample duplicates. Summary data of specific lysis and prominent cytotoxicity of V $\delta2 \gamma\delta$  T cells against KLE, RL-95, and Ishikawa is shown as the mean  $\pm$  SD of independent experiments performed in duplicates.





intensively investigated towards better clinical applications and new immunotherapeutic interventions (35–37).

In order to elucidate possible mechanisms involved in  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell cytotoxicity, we chose the EphA2 as it is often overexpressed in many cancers including endometrial carcinomas (28, 29) and also ranked 25th of cancer antigens prioritized for translational research (38). We showed high expression of the EphA2 receptor on KLE

and RL-95 endometrial tumor cell lines, and these were used as targets in the EphA2 blocking studies. First, we showed reduced cytotoxicity of V $\delta$ 1 T cells after we preincubated KLE and RL-95 target cells with the EphA2 antibody prior to 4-h cytotoxicity assays. Second, we used the EPHA2 small-molecule inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 on KLE endometrial tumor cells and also showed specific lysis significantly reduced at both 5:1 and 10:1 E:T ratios. Third, to



confirm the effect of EphA2 inhibition, we generated EPHA2 knockout in endometrial cell line RL95-2 by the CRISPR/Cas9 method and showed significantly reduced specific lysis by V $\delta$ 1 T cells in knockout *versus* wild type at 5:1 and 10:1 E:T ratios. Fourth, to further validate the inhibition of cell lysis by tumor-reactive V $\delta$ 1 T cells, we used EPHA2 knockouts in renal and colon carcinoma cell lines. Both A-498 KO and HT-29 KO cells showed significant protection from specific lysis mediated by V $\delta$ 1 T cells compared with WT cells at 10:1 ratio.

In recent years, studies have been accumulating on differential expression of Eph receptors and their ligands. In particular, the EphA2 triggers cellular events that are deregulated and implicated in carcinogenesis (39). In normal adult tissue, EphA2 expression is absent or present at low levels whereas in malignant cells is overexpressed and functions as a powerful oncoprotein. Targeting Eph receptors with antibodies, peptides and small molecule inhibitors have been widely explored (40–42). Targeting EphA2 is especially attractive in ovarian cancer, in which overexpression is present in over 75% of cases. It was shown in multiple preclinical models of ovarian, breast, and pancreatic cancers that inducing EphA2 downregulation by antibody-mediated inhibition of signaling, antibody-mediated downregulation of total EphA2

expression, and siRNA-mediated inhibition of expression the tumor growth is decreased, further prolongs survival and inhibits angiogenesis (43). Similarly, the pharmacologic inhibition of EPHA2 by the small molecule inhibitor ALW-II-41-27 reduced the viability of resistant tumor cells and inhibited tumor growth *in vivo* in lung cancer models (44). Moreover, high expression of EphA2 was found in endometrial carcinoma and was significantly associated with adverse patient outcome (45).

In summary, we showed for the first time the infiltration of V $\delta$ 1 and V $\delta$ 2 in peritoneal fluid samples in patients with endometriosis. We determined inherent  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell cytotoxic reactivity of both subsets from healthy donors against endometrial tumor targets. Importantly, we found that blocking of EphA2 expression significantly inhibits cytotoxicity of tumor reactive V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T cells. Modifications of EphA2 expression may alter the susceptibility to V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell-mediated tumor recognition and killing that might be highly relevant in therapies targeting EphA2 in solid tumors and EphA2-positive leukemia (46). Most recent study has identified EphA2 as an antigen recognized by a V $\delta$ 1 TCR (30). Our functional data of blocking EphA2 on three different solid tumor cell lines by CRISPR/Cas9 had significantly modified V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell-mediated tumor lysis.



Further expression and functional studies are warranted to demonstrate the therapeutic values of inhibiting the EphA2 in different malignancies, which may however compromise the antitumor V $\delta$ 1  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell cytotoxicity.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AK designed the study, performed the experiments, analyzed and interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript. MP and BK

contributed to research and collected and analyzed the data. IS and RH are in charge of patient accrual and collected the clinical data. RH and MP critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### FUNDING

This study was supported by the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (grant number NV19-05-00410 to AK) and the Ministry of Health, Czech Republic-Conceptual Development of Research Organization (FNBr, 65269705 to RH). All rights reserved.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Pacasova and Dr. Polokova (Transfusion and Tissue Bank, Faculty Hospital Brno) for recruiting healthy donors. We thank Dr. Pavel Krejci (Department of Biology, Masaryk University) for KMS-11, OPM2, and LP-1 cell lines. We thank the patients for participating in the study and the technical support team at the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics for collecting the clinical samples. Involvement of Immune Cells in the Pathogenesis of Endometriosis. J Obstet Gynaecol Res (2018) 44:191–8. doi: 10.1111/jog.13559
Suen J-L, Chang Y, Shiu Y-S, Hsu C-Y, Sharma P, Chiu C-C, et al. IL-10 From Discussed and the College of the Colleg

(2015) 195:2591-600. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1501138

1. Herington JL, Bruner-Tran KL, Lucas JA, Osteen KG. Immune Interactions in

2. Johnson NP, Hummelshoj L, Adamson GD, Keckstein J, Taylor HS, Abrao

3. Giudice LC. Clinical Practice. Endometriosis. N Engl J Med (2010) 362:2389-

4. Ahn SH, Edwards AK, Singh SS, Young SL, Lessey BA, Tayade C. IL-17a

Contributes to the Pathogenesis of Endometriosis by Triggering

Proinflammatory Cytokines and Angiogenic Growth Factors. J Immunol

Endometriosis. Expert Rev Clin Immunol (2011) 7:611-26. doi: 10.1586/

MS, et al. World Endometriosis Society Consensus on the Classification of Endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* (2017) 32:315–24. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dew293

- Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells Promotes Angiogenesis in the Early Stage of Endometriosis. J Pathol (2019) 249:485–97. doi: 10.1002/path.5339
   Wu M-H, Hsiao K-Y, Tsai S-I. Endometriosis and Possible Inflammation
- Markers. *Gynecol Minimally Invasive Ther* (2015) 4:61–7. doi: 10.1016/ j.gmit.2015.05.001
- Guo S-W, Du Y, Liu X. Platelet-Derived TGF-β1 Mediates the Down-Modulation of NKG2D Expression and may be Responsible for Impaired Natural Killer (NK) Cytotoxicity in Women With Endometriosis. *Hum Reprod* (2016) 31:1462–74. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dew057
- Jeung I, Cheon K, Kim M-R. Decreased Cytotoxicity of Peripheral and Peritoneal Natural Killer Cell in Endometriosis. *BioMed Res Int* (2016) 2016:2916070. doi: 10.1155/2016/2916070
- Kang Y-J, Jeung IC, Park A, Park Y-J, Jung H, Kim T-D, et al. An Increased Level of IL-6 Suppresses NK Cell Activity in Peritoneal Fluid of Patients With Endometriosis via Regulation of SHP-2 Expression. *Hum Reprod* (2014) 29:2176–89. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deu172
- Thiruchelvam U, Wingfield M, O'Farrelly C. Natural Killer Cells: Key Players in Endometriosis. Am J Reprod Immunol (2015) 74:291–301. doi: 10.1111/ aji.12408
- Yu J-J, Sun H-T, Zhang Z-F, Shi R-X, Liu L-B, Shang W-Q, et al. IL15 Promotes Growth and Invasion of Endometrial Stromal Cells and Inhibits Killing Activity of NK Cells in Endometriosis. *Reproduction* (2016) 152:151– 60. doi: 10.1530/REP-16-0089
- Greaves E, Temp J, Esnal-Zufiurre A, Mechsner S, Horne AW, Saunders PTK. Estradiol Is a Critical Mediator of Macrophage-Nerve Cross Talk in Peritoneal Endometriosis. *Am J Pathol* (2015) 185:2286–97. doi: 10.1016/j. ajpath.2015.04.012
- Hogg C, Horne AW, Greaves E. Endometriosis-Associated Macrophages: Origin, Phenotype, and Function. *Front Endocrinol* (2020) 11:7. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2020.00007
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. *Cell* (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- Lu KH, Broaddus RR. Endometrial Cancer. N Engl J Med (2020) 383:2053–64. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1514010
- Shaw E, Farris M, McNeil J, Friedenreich C. Obesity and Endometrial Cancer. Recent Results Cancer Res (2016) 208:107–36. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-42542-9\_7
- Hayday AC. [Gamma][Delta] Cells: A Right Time and a Right Place for a Conserved Third Way of Protection. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2000) 18:975–1026. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.975
- Vantourout P, Hayday A. Six-Of-the-Best: Unique Contributions of γδ T Cells to Immunology. Nat Rev Immunol (2013) 13:88–100. doi: 10.1038/nri3384
- Chien Y, Meyer C, Bonneville M. γδ T Cells: First Line of Defense and Beyond. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2014) 32:121–55. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120216
- Silva-Santos B, Strid J. γδ T Cells Get Adaptive. Nat Immunol (2017) 18:370–2. doi: 10.1038/ni.3705
- 22. Fichtner AS, Ravens S, Prinz I. Human  $\gamma\delta$  TCR Repertoires in Health and Disease. Cells (2020) 9:800. doi: 10.3390/cells9040800

- Gentles AJ, Newman AM, Liu CL, Bratman SV, Feng W, Kim D, et al. The Prognostic Landscape of Genes and Infiltrating Immune Cells Across Human Cancers. *Nat Med* (2015) 21:938–45. doi: 10.1038/nm.3909
- Walker-Daniels J, Hess AR, Hendrix MJC, Kinch MS. Differential Regulation of EphA2 in Normal and Malignant Cells. *Am J Pathol* (2003) 162:1037–42. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63899-0
- 25. Hafner C, Schmitz G, Meyer S, Bataille F, Hau P, Langmann T, et al. Differential Gene Expression of Eph Receptors and Ephrins in Benign Human Tissues and Cancers. *Clin Chem* (2004) 50:490–9. doi: 10.1373/ clinchem.2003.026849
- Zelinski DP, Zantek ND, Stewart JC, Irizarry AR, Kinch MS. EphA2 Overexpression Causes Tumorigenesis of Mammary Epithelial Cells. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61:2301–6.
- Herath NI, Spanevello MD, Sabesan S, Newton T, Cummings M, Duffy S, et al. Over-Expression of Eph and Ephrin Genes in Advanced Ovarian Cancer: Ephrin Gene Expression Correlates With Shortened Survival. *BMC Cancer* (2006) 6:144. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-6-144
- Lee J-W, Stone RL, Lee SJ, Nam EJ, Roh J-W, Nick AM, et al. EphA2 Targeted Chemotherapy Using an Antibody Drug Conjugate in Endometrial Carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* (2010) 16:2562–70. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0017
- Merritt WM, Kamat AA, Hwang J-Y, Bottsford-Miller J, Lu C, Lin YG, et al. Clinical and Biological Impact of EphA2 Overexpression and Angiogenesis in Endometrial Cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2010) 10:1306–14. doi: 10.4161/ cbt.10.12.13582
- 30. Harly C, Joyce SP, Domblides C, Bachelet T, Pitard V, Mannat C, et al. Human  $\gamma\delta$  T Cell Sensing of AMPK-Dependent Metabolic Tumor Reprogramming Through TCR Recognition of Epha2. *Sci Immunol* (2021) 6:eaba9010. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aba901
- Knight A, Mackinnon S, Lowdell MW. Human Vdelta1 Gamma-Delta T Cells Exert Potent Specific Cytotoxicity Against Primary Multiple Myeloma Cells. Cytotherapy (2012) 14:1110–8. doi: 10.3109/14653249.2012.700766
- Vallvé-Juanico J, Houshdaran S, Giudice LC. The Endometrial Immune Environment of Women With Endometriosis. *Hum Reprod Update* (2019) 25:564–91. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmz018
- Presti EL, Dieli F, Fourniè JJ, Meraviglia S. Deciphering Human γδ T Cell Response in Cancer: Lessons From Tumor-Infiltrating γδ T Cells. Immunol Rev (2020) 298:153–64. doi: 10.1111/imr.12904
- Silva-Santos B, Serre K, Norell H. γδ T Cells in Cancer. Nat Rev Immunol (2015) 15:683–91. doi: 10.1038/nri3904
- Deniger DC, Moyes JS, Cooper LJN. Clinical Applications of Gamma Delta T Cells With Multivalent Immunity. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:636. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2014.00636
- Lo Presti E, Corsale AM, Dieli F, Meraviglia S. γδ Cell-Based Immunotherapy for Cancer. Expert Opin Biol Ther (2019) 19:887–95. doi: 10.1080/ 14712598.2019.1634050
- Sebestyen Z, Prinz I, Déchanet-Merville J, Silva-Santos B, Kuball J. Translating Gammadelta (γδ) T Cells and Their Receptors Into Cancer Cell Therapies. Nat Rev Drug Discov (2020) 19:169–84. doi: 10.1038/s41573-019-0038-z
- Cheever MA, Allison JP, Ferris AS, Finn OJ, Hastings BM, Hecht TT, et al. The Prioritization of Cancer Antigens: A National Cancer Institute Pilot Project for the Acceleration of Translational Research. *Clin Cancer Res* (2009) 15:5323–37. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0737
- Xiao T, Xiao Y, Wang W, Tang YY, Xiao Z, Su M. Targeting EphA2 in Cancer. J Hematol Oncol (2020) 13:114. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00944-9
- Noberini R, Lamberto I, Pasquale EB. Targeting Eph Receptors With Peptides and Small Molecules: Progress and Challenges. Semin Cell Dev Biol (2012) 23:51–7. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.10.023
- Tandon M, Vemula SV, Mittal SK. Emerging Strategies for EphA2 Receptor Targeting for Cancer Therapeutics. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* (2011) 15:31–51. doi: 10.1517/14728222.2011.538682
- Wykosky J, Debinski W. The EphA2 Receptor and Ephrinal Ligand in Solid Tumors: Function and Therapeutic Targeting. *Mol Cancer Res* (2008) 6:1795– 806. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-08-0244
- Landen CN, Kinch MS, Sood AK. EphA2 as a Target for Ovarian Cancer Therapy. Expert Opin Ther Targets (2005) 9:1179–87. doi: 10.1517/14728222.9.6.1179
- 44. Amato KR, Wang S, Tan L, Hastings AK, Song W, Lovly CM, et al. EPHA2 Blockade Overcomes Acquired Resistance to EGFR Kinase Inhibitors in

October 2021 | Volume 12 | Article 752646

eci.11.53

REFERENCES

98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp1000274

Lung Cancer. Cancer Res (2016) 76:305-18. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-0717

- 45. Kamat AA, Coffey D, Merritt WM, Nugent E, Urbauer D, Lin YG, et al. EphA2 Overexpression Is Associated With Lack of Hormone Receptor Expression and Poor Outcome in Endometrial Cancer. *Cancer* (2009) 115:2684–92. doi: 10.1002/cncr.24335
- 46. Charmsaz S, Beckett K, Smith FM, Bruedigam C, Moore AS, Al-Ejeh F, et al. EphA2 Is a Therapy Target in EphA2-Positive Leukemias But Is Not Essential for Normal Hematopoiesis or Leukemia. *PLoS One* (2015) 10:e0130692. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130692

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Hudecek, Kohlova, Siskova, Piskacek and Knight. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.