Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonios Chatzigeorgiou, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

REVIEWED BY Matthias J. Bahr, Brandenburg Medical School, Germany Anetta Härtlova, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

*CORRESPONDENCE Li Zhang zhangli.hl@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Molecular Innate Immunity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 22 September 2022 ACCEPTED 28 November 2022 PUBLISHED 15 December 2022

CITATION

Sheng W, Ji G and Zhang L (2022) Role of macrophage scavenger receptor MSR1 in the progression of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1050984. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1050984

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Sheng, Ji and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Role of macrophage scavenger receptor MSR1 in the progression of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

Wei Sheng, Guang Ji and Li Zhang*

Institute of Digestive Diseases, Longhua Hospital, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China

Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is the progressive form of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), and the dysregulation of lipid metabolism and oxidative stress are the typical features. Subsequent dyslipidemia and oxygen radical production may render the formation of modified lipids. Macrophage scavenger receptor 1 (MSR1) is responsible for the uptake of modified lipoprotein and is one of the key molecules in atherosclerosis. However, the unrestricted uptake of modified lipoproteins by MSR1 and the formation of cholesterol-rich foamy macrophages also can be observed in NASH patients and mouse models. In this review, we highlight the dysregulation of lipid metabolism and oxidative stress in NASH, the alteration of MSR1 expression in physiological and pathological conditions, the formation of modified lipoproteins, and the role of MSR1 on macrophage foaming and NASH development and progression.

KEYWORDS

NAFLD, MSR1, macrophage, lipid uptake, foam cell formation

1 Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is characterized by lipid accumulation in the liver, and the disease spectrum ranges from simple steatosis (NAFL) to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and even cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (1). Due to the strong association between NAFLD and metabolic syndrome, the definition of metabolicassociated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) has been recently proposed (2). It is estimated that about 20% of patients with NAFLD will progress to NASH, and approximately 20-30% of NASH patients will develop liver fibrosis or even cirrhosis (3, 4). The continuous accumulation of lipids is a typical feature of NAFLD, and also an important risk factor for disease progression. In addition, dysregulated lipid metabolism correlates NAFLD to cardiovascular disease, a common cause of death in patients with NAFLD (5, 6). NAFLD is usually associated with dyslipidemia and oxidative stress, producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) might contribute to the modification of various lipids. Modified lipids are specifically transported by macrophage scavenger receptors (SRs), while the accumulation of modified lipids is the inducer of foam cells, as often observed in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and the liver of NASH (7, 8). Thus, SRs might be potential drug targets for NAFLD.

Liver is the major organ for lipid metabolism and immune surveillance, and contains a large number of immune cells including macrophages (9). Liver macrophages are divided into two distinct populations, liver resident macrophages from the fetal yolk sac called Kupffer cells (KCs) and monocytederived macrophages (MDMs) from the blood. These macrophages can mediate the clearance of apoptotic cells and foreign pathogens through phagocytosis and cytokine production (10). Notably, they are also the primary regulators of the inflammatory response. Depending on the microenvironment to which they are exposed, macrophage populations can transdifferentiate to different phenotypes, a process known as macrophage polarization. Both the plasticity and heterogeneity of macrophages determine their different phenotypes and functions (11-13). Macrophage is roughly divided into classically activated macrophages (M1 polarization) and alternatively activated macrophages (M2 polarization). M1-like macrophages obtain strong bactericidal and tumor-killing activity, whereas M2-like macrophages have efficient phagocytic activity, and are actively involved in tissue remodeling and repair as well as tumor progression (14, 15). Moreover, recent studies revealed that M2-like macrophages produce complex cytokines and may have various functions. Depending on different stimuli, M2-like macrophages can be further divided into M2a (induced by IL-4/13), M2b (induced by immune complex), M2c (induced by IL-10, transforming growth factor $-\beta$ or glucocorticoids), and M2d (induced by agonists of toll-like receptors and adenosine A2 receptor) subtypes (16, 17).

KCs express specific surface markers such as F4/80^{high}, CD11b^{int}, and a high density of SRs, TLRs, Fc receptors, complement receptors, Nod-like receptor and mannose receptors. Monocytes or MDMs, on the other hand, express specific surface markers such as F4/80^{int} and CD11b^{high}. In normal liver, KCs are the predominant liver macrophages, however, when NAFLD occurs, especially in the NASH state, lipotoxic hepatocytes stimulate KCs to release chemokines, such as CCL2, CCL5 and CSCL10, and render the hepatic recruitment of MDMs. The increase of macrophages that infiltrate around damaged hepatocytes is a typical feature of NASH (18, 19). Recent studies have identified different expression profiles of KCs versus MDMs during NASH. Ccr2 is enriched in MDMs, whereas Clec4f and Vsig4 are specifically expressed by KCs. In classifying subsets of KCs and MDMs, Su et al. found that the proportion of KC3 subsets with the chimeric expression of endothelial cells and KCs markers decreased from 11.78% in healthy livers to 3.39% in NASH livers, and these KCs tends to accumulate around injured hepatocytes, forming a distinctive coronal structure (18). Generally, KCs exhibit a stronger ability in regulating immune response, amino acid/carbon metabolism, and complement/coagulation cascade pathways, whereas MDMs show leukocyte chemotaxis and cell proliferation capacity.

SRs are defined as a class of cell surface receptors that can bind to multiple ligands and facilitate the clearance of non-self or altered self-components. In 2017, experts classified SRs in metazoan into 12 categories at a discussion forum organized by the United States National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (20). Among them, the class A macrophage scavenger receptor (SR-A) is considered to be an innate immune pattern recognition receptor (PRR) that recognizes both damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMP) and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) (21). Macrophage scavenger receptor 1 (MSR1) (also known as SR-AI, CD204 and SCARA1) is a typical class of SR-A molecules and the first SR to be cloned, originally isolated from bovine lung mRNA, and subsequently found in other species, including mouse and human (22). MSR1 is mainly expressed on macrophages and dendritic cells, and recent studies have found that MSR1 is also present on the surface of lymphocytes and may be involved in the pathogenesis of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (23). Since macrophages mediate pathogen elimination through phagocytosis and cytokine production, MSR1 expression is important for the elimination of foreign pathogens (10). LPS is an important endogenous deleterious agent, and TLR4 is the main ligand of LPS, MSR1 and TLR4 cooperation can activate phagocytosis of the Gramnegative bacterium Escherichia coli, while MSR1 interacts with TLR2 to promote phagocytosis of the Gram-negative bacterium Staphylococcus aureus (24). Furthermore, MSR1 interacts with TLR4 and can signal through activation of NF-KB, which enters the nucleus and binds to DNA, leading to the synthesis of inflammatory cytokines (25) (Figure 1).

There are three isoforms of MSR1, with variable splice variants of the same identified gene, defined as SR-AI, SR-AII and SR-AIII (26-28). Other members of SR-A family include macrophage receptor with a collagen structure (MARCO), scavenger receptor A5 (SCARA5) and SR with a C-type lectin structural domain (SRCL-I/II), also known as the collector from the placental receptor (20). MSR1 recognizes a variety of ligands, including natural proteins, such as apolipoprotein (Apo) E; modified lipoproteins but not unmodified low-density lipoprotein (LDL), such as oxidized LDL (ox-LDL), acetylated LDL (ac-LDL) and modified type III collagen (20); polysaccharides, such as fucoidan; lipids, such as cholesterol; and other ligands, such as apoptotic cells, gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria (29). Depending on the recognized receptors, MSR1 can trigger different signaling pathways and mediate different or even opposite biological functions (30). In hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, MSR1 binds to extracellular dsRNA, mediates endocytosis and translocation to endosomes through TLR3, and triggers a local antiviral response to limit



MSR1 in recognizing PAMP. MSR1 recognizes a large number of PAMP and plays an important role in host defense. MSR1 can also form coreceptor complexes with other receptors and mediate different or even opposite signaling pathways. For example, MSR1 and TLR4 complex activates phagocytosis of the Gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli, while MSR1 interacts with TLR2 to promote phagocytosis of the Gramnegative bacterium Staphylococcus aureus. More interestingly, MSR1 promotes HBV infection and suppresses HCV infection. PAMP, pathogenassociated molecular patterns; TLR, Toll-like receptor; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

HCV replication (31). While in hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, MSR1 can directly interact with TNF receptor-associated factor 3 (TRAF3) and inhibit its ubiquitination associated with K63, and negatively regulate TRAF3 protein stability and attenuate the innate immune response of type I IFN to HBV infection by promoting the recruitment of OTUB1 to TRAF3 (32) (Figure 1). Compared with other SR-A family members, MSR1 is more efficient in promoting the degradation of modified LDL, which may affect the subsequent cholesterol deposition in cells (33). In the liver, MSR1 is enriched in KCs at a physiological state (33-35). In addition, MSR1 mediates the uptake of lipids in KCs and triggers liver inflammation in the development of NASH (8). Therefore, MSR1 integrates lipid metabolism and immune regulation in the liver, and understanding its functions may contribute to NASH prevention and therapy.

2 MSR1 accelerates NAFLD progression

The pathogenesis of NASH development and progression is complex, and the most popular hypothesis is the "parallel multihits" theory, which is based on the accumulation of lipids in the liver due to insulin resistance. Overwhelming lipid supply and fatty acid oxidation cause subsequent oxidative stress and liver injury; simultaneously, cytokines from adipose tissue, endotoxins and certain metabolites derived from the intestine all contribute to the development and progression of NASH (36, 37). Lipid accumulation and oxidative stress commonly coexist in NAFLD

(38), and liver macrophages (both KCs and recruited macrophages) respond to the altered metabolic pattern and accelerate the progression to NASH (39). Increased macrophage infiltration in the liver has been reported to be the initiator of NASH (40). Macrophages are innate immune cells that constitute the front line of host defense against pathogens. It has been shown that inflammatory activation of macrophages also induces the dysfunction of lipid metabolism (41, 42). Recent data suggest that the vast majority of macrophages in the healthy liver are KCs (43), but in NASH, MDMs outnumber KCs and become the dominant macrophage subpopulation in the liver (44). In NASH patients and mice, macrophages typically accumulate in clusters around the steatotic hepatocytes and can promote the progression of NAFL to NASH (44, 45). In addition, a high-fat/cholesterol/cholic acid diet mouse model that assembles NASH-associated fibrosis also showed that liver fibrosis is initiated around lipid-associated macrophages (46). More interestingly, Anneleen et al. found that during the progression of NAFLD, liver resident KCs are gradually lost, which might be attributed to the alteration of the transcriptome and proliferation in the niche cells during NAFLD progression. Moreover, signals that maintain the development of KCs are also destroyed along with the morphological changes in hepatocytes during NAFLD (19). Meanwhile, MDMs are recruited into the liver in response to inflammatory responses, and these recruited macrophages are confined to the portal and central vein, the same location that is observed for KCs in a healthy liver. These recruited macrophages further differentiate into different subsets, and macrophages expressing certain KC signature genes (e.g., Clec4f) are defined as monocyte-derived KCs, monocyte-derived

KCs lack expression of other KC-specific genes (e.g., Timd4), thus function differently from resident KCs (47). Liver resident KCs are previously considered to be pro-inflammatory in NAFLD (48, 49), however, some recent studies have questioned this view and demonstrated that recruited monocyte-derived KCs are the real culprit responsible for the development of liver inflammation and fibrosis (19, 50, 51). Interestingly, ultrastructure imaging revealed that the resident KCs exhibit multiple small, electron-dense liposomes, whereas the monocyte-derived KCs demonstrate a larger liposome with an electron-lucent center (50). Moreover, a subset of lipid-associated macrophages that are derived from monocytes are activated in response to stimuli and have different lipid metabolism abilities, which may be highly related to liver fibrosis (19). Because many of the existing studies have examined the function of KCs through their non-specific depletion or nonspecific markers, the roles of KCs in NAFLD are often conflicting, and the relative contributions of resident KCs and recruited MDMs remain largely unknown (14, 19). Subsets classification of KCs and recruited MDMs by more precise and stable markers would help clarify the exact roles in NAFLD.

Under physiological conditions, LDL transports and secrets cholesterol-containing particles to the circulation, whereas HDL transports cholesterol in reverse and is responsible for transporting circulating cholesterol back to the liver. LDL receptor (LDLR) is one of the endocytic receptors and a key receptor for the clearance of plasma cholesterol. LDLR distributes in the cell surface of the sinusoidal region and binds to and internalizes circulating LDL and other lipoproteins containing ApoB-100 and ApoE. LDLR is abundantly expressed in the liver, where it is a key receptor in maintaining the homeostasis of lipid metabolism in mammals (52). LDL is the most important physiological ligand of LDLR, but once LDL is modified (e.g., ox-LDL), it is taken up by MSR1, cluster of differentiation 36 (CD36) and lectin-like ox-LDL receptor-1 (LOX-1) on the surface of macrophages (53). Studies have shown that MSR1, CD36 and LOX-1 on the macrophage surface are collectively responsible for approximately 90% of ox-LDL uptake (53, 54).

In general, MSR1 is almost equally abundant on unpolarized, alternatively and classically activated macrophages, but its abundance is increased on phagosomes of activated macrophages (55). In liver samples of NAFLD patients, MSR1 is predominantly expressed on KCs and mature foamy macrophages, rather than infiltrating MDMs. MSR1 protein expression is increased with disease progression, especially when monocytes are differentiated into macrophages (8, 56). MSR1 transcript levels are significantly associated with the incidence of hepatic steatosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with NAFLD; and MSR1 protein expression also increases with disease progression (8). In addition, mRNA and protein expression levels of MSR1 and CD36 are upregulated after the artificial treatment of RAW264.7 cells with ox-LDL (53). This evidence suggests that excessive extracellular free fatty acids (FFAs) may induce the increased expression of MSR1 to handle excessive environmental lipids. Notably, soluble MSR1 can

also be identified, which has been shown to promote inflammatory responses in Th17 cells and exacerbate disease progression (57). However, current studies have not yet clarified whether soluble MSR1 is produced through cleavage from cell-associated MSR1 or through some other molecular mechanisms (29).

Collectively, macrophage infiltration in the liver of NAFLD patients as well as the elevated levels of its surface receptor MSR1 significantly promote the uptake of lipids, which may further induce the formation of foamy cells and promote the progression into NASH (Figure 2).

3 Disturbed lipid metabolism and oxidative stress up-regulate MSR1

Simple steatosis without hepatocellular injury is usually considered benign, and the presence of lobular inflammation and hepatocyte injury indicate the progression to NASH (38, 58). However, the underlying mechanisms of the disease progression are largely unknown. FAs are composed of nonesterified FAs (e.g., saturated and unsaturated FAs) and modified FAs (e.g., oxidized, halogenated, or otherwise modified FAs), and their sources include dietary-derived chylomicron and de *novo* lipogenesis in the liver (59). FAs provide energy through β oxidation in mitochondria, β-oxidation in peroxisomes and ωoxidation in microsomes, but in NAFLD, lipid overload and insulin resistance lead to an overflow of FAs to the liver, and mitochondria enhance *β*-oxidation and trigger excessive oxidative phosphorylation to eliminate these FAs. However, the process of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) generation through oxidative phosphorylation in the mitochondrial respiratory chain is also one of the main sources of ROS (60, 61). Because the oxygen molecule is an ideal terminal electron acceptor, it is easy to get transferred electrons during oxidative phosphorylation and then form ROS, which is a well-known oxidant with high chemical reactivity. The formation of ROS is mainly related to the overwhelming β -oxidation of FAs in mitochondria (62). Therefore, mitochondrial damage may increase ROS production, and excessive ROS is toxic to the mitochondria. M1-like macrophages activated by the classical pathway also produce large amounts of nitric oxide and ROS intermediates (63). ROS production and subsequent oxidative stress have been reported to play a key role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD (64). In addition, oxidative stress can exacerbate lipid accumulation in hepatocytes, further promoting ROS production (60, 61). It is reported that ROS activates NLRP3 and induces inflammatory vesicle downstream complexes through cystein-1, and initiates the release of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β and IL-18, which further contributes to hepatocyte necrosis and the development of liver fibrosis (65). These findings seem to be consistent with clinical studies, as NAFLD patients have higher levels of both ROS and lipid peroxidation products than healthy



controls, while lower levels of antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT), and lower levels of antioxidant compounds, such as glutathione (GSH) (66, 67). However, it is important to note that ROS-induced activation of the NF- κ B pathway can promote phagosome maturation, suggesting that a large amount of ROS produced during macrophage activation is essential for the elimination of microbial pathogens (68, 69).

Oxidative stress is also one of the important mechanisms for liver injury during NAFLD, which is accompanied by mitochondrial dysfunction and endoplasmic reticulum stress, among others. The increased ROS production may target the double bonds of polyunsaturated FAs (PUFAs) and generate oxidized FAs *via* various complex enzymatic and non-enzymatic pathways (70). PUFA-containing lipids are usually the main targets of ROS attack, leading to their non-enzymatic oxidation and formation of extremely reactive aldehyde components, which induce hepatocyte damage, a process that is called lipid peroxidation (LPO). Under an oxidative stress state, PUFAscontaining phospholipids and cholesterol esters in cell membranes and lipoproteins are readily oxidized by the free radical-induced LPO process, forming a complex mixture of oxidation products (71). For example, a large number of oxygen radicals generated by mitochondria can oxidize and break PUFA double chains of LDL, which are then cross-linked to form conjugated dienes with ApoB, thereby altering the surface structure of LDL and forming ox-LDL. Ox-LDL is chemically unstable and readily reacts with surrounding tissues. The main response pathways that are involved in liver inflammation in western diet-fed LDLR-deficient mice are related to biological processes such as innate immunity and oxidative stress, and both *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments demonstrated that malondialdehyde stimulates cytokine secretion as well as leukocyte recruitment, and this malondialdehyde-induced cytokine secretion is dependent on MSR1 and CD36 (72) (Figure 2).

NASH is associated with disturbed lipid metabolism and excessive oxidative stress in the liver. Mitochondria regulate lipid metabolism, mitochondrial dysfunction can lead to disruption of lipid homeostasis and oxidative stress, which are major contributors to NASH (73-75). Elevated levels of ROS and LPO products, a vicious cycle of ROS and oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction significantly contribute to ox-LDL production and subsequent MSR1 elevation (64). In addition, oxidative stress in NAFLD has been associated with peroxisomal β-oxidation, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and xanthine oxidase (76-78). Damaged cells release DAMPs, which bind to TLR4 or TLR9 on KCs to activate the NF-KB signaling pathway, thereby generating more ROS to amplify the inflammatory response (64) (Figure 3). Accordingly, antioxidants such as silymarin or silybin, resveratrol, hexoketococine, and vitamins A, C, and E, are potential agents against NASH (79).

4 MSR1 contributes to macrophage foaminess

The intracellular cholesterol esters and free cholesterol are in dynamic equilibrium in macrophages. Under physiological conditions, only unesterified free cholesterol can be transported into macrophages, and excess intracellular cholesterol esters are stored as lipid droplets. When the intracellular free cholesterol level is too high and the cells are unable to eliminate excess cholesterol, free cholesterol will be esterified in the endoplasmic reticulum to reduce the cytotoxicity. Acyl-coenzyme A: cholesterol acyltransferase 1 (ACAT1) and neutral cholesteryl ester hydrolase (nCEH) are involved in maintaining intracellular lipid homeostasis in macrophages (80). Disturbance in intracellular lipid metabolism in macrophages is a prerequisite for the formation of foam cells. Under normal conditions, a dynamic balance is maintained between lipid uptake, synthesis, esterification, hydrolysis and efflux in macrophages, but altered extracellular lipids can indirectly lead to disruption of intracellular metabolism, with altered expression of receptors and enzymes related to lipid metabolism in macrophages (Figure 2).

Under physiological conditions, LDLR binds to LDL and takes up cholesterol esters into cells for cell proliferation, steroid hormone synthesis and bile acid salt synthesis. However, when LDL is modified (e.g., ox-LDL, ac-LDL), SRs are primarily responsible for internalizing LDL into macrophages. Studies have shown that MSR1, CD36 and SR-B1 are the main SRs that mediate ox-LDL clearance (81–83). Compared to quiescent

macrophages, macrophages activated by IFN- γ and TNF- α express less MSR1 and CD36 proteins on their surface and therefore have lower cholesterol accumulation after treatment with ox-LDL (84). In addition, the uptake of ac-LDL was reduced by approximately 80% in both human MDMs and PMA-treated THP-1 macrophages after silencing MSR1, indicating that MSR1 is also involved in the uptake of ac-LDL (85). Another study reported that perivascular adipose tissuederived exosomes can reduce macrophage-derived foam cell formation by downregulating MSR1 expression (86). These studies suggested that MSR1 mediates the uptake and degradation of modified LDL, leading to substantial intracellular cholesterol deposition, and plays a key role in macrophage foaminess (87).

Accumulation of cholesterol in cells activates the cholesterol sensor liver X receptor (LXR). Activation of LXR in macrophages induces genes involved in reverse cholesterol transport, including ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) and ATP-binding cassette transporter G1 (ABCG1). In addition, LXR activation can also promote the degradation of SREBP-1c and β-hydroxy-β-methylglutaryl CoA reductase, thereby limiting cholesterol biosynthesis (9). Thus, under normal conditions, the accumulation of intracellular cholesterol activates LXR, which promotes its clearance and limits the synthesis of cholesterol. However, ox-LDL can induce physical changes in the membrane or cytoskeleton of macrophages, leading to significant biomechanical changes that affect cellular behavior (88). Upon ox-LDL treatment, MSR1 and CD36 in macrophages are upregulated to enhance ox-LDL uptake (53). ox-LDL/SR pathway is thought to be the main mechanism of macrophage-derived foam cell formation (89). To date, MSR1 is known to be one of the major contributors to ox-LDL uptake, and its role in atherosclerosis has been extensively studied. In the early stages of atherosclerosis, cholesterol induces oxidative stress on the walls of blood vessels and promotes LDL modification, then modified LDL activates endothelial cells to release adhesion molecules, inflammatory factors, and chemotactic monocytes migrate to the subendothelial layer and differentiate into macrophages, whose surface protein MSR1 binds and internalizes modified LDL(e.g., ox-LDL and ac-LDL), resulting in lipid deposition in macrophages and eventually cholesterolrich foam cells (90). The release of inflammatory factors aggravates endothelial cell injury and increases monocyte chemotactic protein-1 expression, promoting cholesterol deposition in macrophages through upregulating MSR1 (91); at the same time, MSR1 overexpression on macrophages also promotes macrophage adhesion and subendothelial retention (92).

Similarly, elevated MSR1 levels in the liver also mediate the uptake and degradation of modified LDL, leading to the deposition of cholesterol-contained cytoplasmic droplets, resulting in the formation of foamy macrophages (87).



density lipoprotein; KCs, Kupffer cells.

Intracellular free cholesterol is normally translocated to the endoplasmic reticulum, but when cholesterol is overloaded, it will trigger endoplasmic reticulum stress and ultimately leads to cell apoptosis (93). In addition, ox-LDL can also induce a proinflammatory phenotype of macrophages in an MSR1dependent manner (94) (Figure 3). As MSR1 levels are increased in visceral adipose tissue in obesity, further *in vitro* experiments of visceral adipocytes demonstrated that MSR1mediated increase of ox-LDL uptake stimulates the proinflammatory responses (95). It has been reported that cholesterol uptake by MSR1 is generally unrestricted, and interestingly, one study found that MSR1 uptake of ox-LDL promotes the stabilization of its mRNA; the mechanism might be attributed to the fact that ox-LDL promotes the expression of the DEAD-box protein 5 (DDX5, an ATP-dependent RNA helicase) in macrophages, which interacts with Mettl3 to stabilize the mRNA of the MSR1 by reducing the m6A modification (96). More importantly, MSR1 expression was upregulated after LPS stimulation in macrophage RAW264.7, accompanied by increased foaming of macrophages and increased levels of inflammatory factor (97, 98).

Previous studies have shown that *MSR1* expression decreased in a dose-dependent manner after treatment with cranberry extract (99). Nobiletin isolated from tangerines, and Zerumbone extracted from *Zingiber zerumbet* (L.) Smith specifically can also inhibit ac-LDL uptake by macrophages *via* mediating MSR1 expression (100, 101). Formononetin extracted from *Astragalus membranaceus* can increase the expression of Krüppel-like factor 4, which acts as a transcription factor and negatively regulates MSR1 expression, thereby inhibiting foam cell formation (102).

5 MSR1 in regulating metabolic inflammation

In chronic liver inflammation, MSR1 transcript levels are significantly correlated with the incidence of hyperlipidemia, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (8). This is because MSR1 accelerates lipid uptake in KCs and triggers hepatic inflammation (8, 103). Saturated FAs can induce hepatocyte apoptosis. It is found that MSR1 promotes the uptake of saturated FAs by macrophages, and saturated FAs induce increased transcript of $Tnf-\alpha$ and Il-6 through the JNKmediated pathway. In contrast, in the liver and white adipose tissue of $Msr1^{-/-}$ mice, the expression of $Tnf-\alpha$ and Il-6 is decreased and the serum levels of IL-6 and TNF- α are lower (8). Notably, $Msr1^{-/-}$ mice appear to be protected from high-fat and high-sugar diet-induced metabolic disturbances, the mice exhibit fewer hepatic foamy macrophages, less hepatic inflammation, improved dyslipidemia and glucose tolerance, and altered hepatic lipid metabolism. Interestingly, Zhu et al., on the other hand, found that obese Msr1-/- mice exhibit increased insulin resistance and enhanced inflammation characterized by polarization of macrophage populations toward pro-inflammatory subpopulations. The possible explanation is that the deletion of MSR1 inhibits the conversion of macrophages from M1 to M2 in adipose tissue, thus increasing insulin resistance in mice (104). Similarly, Cavallari et al. found that deletion of the Msr1 or treatment with fucoidan, a natural product antagonistic ligand of MSR1, both worsened white adipose tissue insulin resistance in HFDfeeding mice (105). And notably, insulin is reported to reduce Msr1 expression in human macrophages (106). These reports suggest that Msr1 deletion exacerbates HFD-induced insulin resistance during diet-induced obesity in mice. However, studies on the MSR1 antagonistic ligand fucoidan seem to be inconsistent, since Goldstein et al. first demonstrated that fucoidan binds to MSR1 at the LDL recognition site of macrophages (107), numerous studies have found that fucoidan can lower blood glucose and improve insulin resistance, steatosis, endoplasmic reticulum stress and inflammation in obese mice and rats (29, 108-110). This contradicts the findings that fucoidan worsens insulin resistance in HFD-fed mice, and upregulates $TNF-\alpha$ production in J774A.1 cells (105, 111). Seimon et al. found that MSR1 binds antagonistically to fucoidan, interacts with TLR4, and triggers JNK-dependent apoptosis in ER-stressed peritoneal macrophages (112). In addition to interacting with other receptors or pathways, fucoidan can inhibit LPS and polyanionic polypeptide internalization into J774 macrophages via MSR1 (29). In sepsis studies simulated with LPS, Msr1-/mice survived at a lower rate than wild type mice, and this protective effect is associated with the anti-inflammatory effect of MSR1 in dendritic cells (113). In another LPS-induced sepsis model, MSR1⁺ monocytes and/or macrophages also inhibit the acute inflammatory response by suppressing the upregulation of TNF- α and IL-6 levels (56). Paradoxically, Kobyashi et al. observed that Msr1^{-/-} mice survive at a higher rate than wildtype mice after LPS treatment because of reduced clearance of LPS and lower serum levels of IL-1β, indicating that a lack of macrophage surveillance in the absence of MSR1 (114).

MSR1 is reported to trigger the JNK-mediated proinflammatory phenotype of macrophages. IL-4- activated macrophages normally stimulate the activity of the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ), which has been shown to mediate alternative macrophage activation as well as transcriptional repression of several proinflammatory factors (84). However, triggering MSR1 in IL-4activated macrophages leads to K63 polyubiquitination of MSR1 in macrophage phagosomes, which enhances recruitment of the TAK1/MKK7/JNK complex to the phagosomes, which may facilitate the transition of macrophage from the M2 antiinflammatory state to the M1 pro-inflammatory state (94). Furthermore, triggering MSR1 in this model does not activate the NF-KB pathway; however, another study showed that in spinal cord injury, particularly in the presence of myelin debris, MSR1 promotes phagocytosis of myelin debris, foam macrophage formation, and the release of the inflammatory mediator IL-1ß through mediating the NF-KB signaling pathway (115). Conversely, some studies have shown that MSR1 also acts as an inhibitor of inflammation under certain circumstances, regulating early neutrophil recruitment by downregulating the production of chemokines from resident peritoneal macrophages (116). Zhao and his team found that the MSR1-activated PI3K/AKT/GSK3β/β-linked protein pathway targets the proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator 1- α and promotes M2-like polarization by enhancing mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (117). MSR1 is highly expressed in macrophage clusters and may be involved in regulating M2-like macrophage polarization, knockdown of Msr1 inhibits M2-like macrophage polarization, and elevated MSR1 expression promotes macrophage polarization toward the M2 type (118, 119).

Although these results are contradictory, it is undeniable that triggering MSR1 may lead to alterations of macrophage phenotype and the type of produced cytokines, probably due to different MSR1 ligands may trigger different signaling cascades. MSR1-mediated signaling depends on the binding and internalization of MSR1 to different ligands, and MSR1 binding to different ligands often leads to completely different or even opposite signals (55). In addition, MSR1 can affect signal transduction by forming different complexes with co-receptors. It should be noted that MSR1 receptors might be unable to bind higher affinity ligands due to preexisting low-affinity ligands, which are referred to as non-mutually beneficial crosscompetition. Therefore, the order in which MSR1 binds its ligand may also influence signal transduction (120). Collectively, these might be the possible reasons for the current controversial findings of MSR1 studies.

6 MSR1 in the hypoxic environment

Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 α is a major stimulator of oxygen-regulated gene expression. Earlier studies have shown that hypoxia facilitates lipid droplet accumulation and that HIF- 1α knockdown inhibits foam cell formation (121, 122). LXR expression is reported to be induced in human macrophages and RAW264.7 cells under hypoxic conditions; however, while LXR activation promotes reversal cholesterol transport, it also increases the stability of HIF-1 α protein and synergistically induces lipid accumulation in macrophages within atherosclerotic plaques (123). In patients with obesity, increased intracellular FFA stimulates adenine nucleotide translocase (ANT)2, leading to increased oxygen consumption and producing a state of relative hypoxia. This triggers the production of HIF-1α-dependent chemokines, leading to adipose tissue inflammation, insulin resistance, and metabolic dysfunction (124-126). ANT2 triggers ROS production and mitochondrial damage and leads to pro-inflammatory macrophage activation (127). In addition, it has been demonstrated that the HIF-1-PTEN/NF-KB-p65 pathway plays an important role in NAFLD progression; and in NAFLD mouse liver and HepG2 cells, HIF-1a overexpression and PTEN low expression both activate the NF-κB-p65 pathway and exacerbate the inflammatory response (128). This evidence suggests that hypoxia can promote lipid accumulation and pro-inflammatory activation of macrophages, leading to adipose tissue inflammation, insulin resistance and metabolic inflammation.

Further studies suggested that increased lipid uptake by macrophages with hypoxia is associated with the regulation of ox-LDL-related receptor expression (53). As previously described, MSR1, CD36 and Lox-1 are the receptors in responsible for transporting ox-LDL. Under normoxia, ox-LDL upregulates the expression of MSR1 and CD36 in RAW264.7 cells, but their levels decrease under hypoxic conditions. In contrast, Lox-1 expression is upregulated under hypoxic conditions (53). Thus, Margot and his colleagues suggested that Lox-1 plays a major role in hypoxia-induced foam cell formation. Furthermore, overexpression of HIF-1 α in RAW264.7 cells under hypoxic conditions also suppresses the expression of MSR1 and reduces both the transcriptional activity of the MSR1 gene and the phagocytosis of the Gram-positive bacterium Listeria monocytogenes (129). Other studies also showed a decrease of MSR1 expression in hypoxic macrophages (130, 131). However, in a study using highdensity oligonucleotide microarrays to investigate hypoxiainduced changes in human monocytes, MSR1 expression is found to be significantly elevated (132). Analysis in macroarray targeting angiogenic gene expression also revealed that hypoxia could induce an approximately a 30-fold increase of MSR1 expression in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) (133). More importantly, hypoxia increases the expression of MSR1 and inflammatory cytokines in adipose tissue of morbid-obese patients, and HIF-1a silence suppresses MSR1 expression and adipose inflammation (95).

7 Conclusion and perspectives

As an important PRR on the surface of macrophages, MSR1 plays an important role in innate and adaptive immune regulation. To date, anti-MSR1 blocking antibodies have been developed that significantly inhibit TNF-a, IL-6 and IL-8 production and are used for related diseases (134). In addition, MSR1 is also a member of the SR family, which contributes to the phagocytosis of pathogenic microorganisms and selfcomponents such as modified LDL and cellular debris. While previous studies have focused on elucidating its role in atherosclerosis, researchers have begun to focus on its possible contribution to NASH, elucidating the mechanism of unrestricted uptake of MSR1 for lipids in NASH development and progression. In addition, MSR1 is an indispensable protein, MSR1 is also involved in preventing the deposition of calcified particles due to its ability to remove calmodulin complexes in circulation (135).

Notably, the wide range of MSR1 ligands and the ability of MSR1 to cooperate with other different PRRs on the macrophage surface potentiate MSR1 in triggering different signaling pathways and performing completely different or even opposite biological functions (*e.g.*, pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory).

Therefore, further studies are needed on MSR1, which might provide a new strategy for preventing NASH and its complications.

Author contributions

LZ conceptualized the manuscript, WS collected the literature and drafted the manuscript, LZ and GJ revised the manuscript. All authors edited, revised, and approved the final version of this review.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82274448).

References

1. Papatheodoridi M, Cholongitas E. Diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (Nafld): Current concepts. *Curr Pharm Des* (2018) 24(38):4574-86. doi: 10.2174/1381612825666190117102111

2. Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, Anstee QM, Targher G, Romero-Gomez M, et al. A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: An international expert consensus statement. *J Hepatol* (2020) 73(1):202–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.03.039

3. Sheka AC, Adeyi O, Thompson J, Hameed B, Crawford PA, Ikramuddin S. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: A review. *JAMA* (2020) 323(12):1175-83. doi: 10.1001/iama.2020.2298

4. Loomba R, Friedman SL, Shulman GI. Mechanisms and disease consequences of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell* (2021) 184(10):2537-64. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.015

5. Byrne CD, Targher G. Nafld: A multisystem disease. J Hepatol (2015) 62(1 Suppl):S47-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.12.012

6. Angulo P, Kleiner DE, Dam-Larsen S, Adams LA, Bjornsson ES, Charatcharoenwithaya P, et al. Liver fibrosis, but no other histologic features, is associated with long-term outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* (2015) 149(2):389–97 e10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.04.043

7. Deprince A, Haas JT, Staels B. Dysregulated lipid metabolism links nafld to cardiovascular disease. *Mol Metab* (2020) 42:101092. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2020.101092

8. Govaere O, Petersen SK, Martinez-Lopez N, Wouters J, Van Haele M, Mancina RM, et al. Macrophage scavenger receptor 1 mediates lipid-induced inflammation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* (2022) 76(5):1001–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.12.012

9. Jennelle LT, Magoro T, Angelucci AR, Dandekar A, Hahn YS. Hepatitis c virus alters macrophage cholesterol metabolism through interaction with scavenger receptors. *Viral Immunol* (2022) 35(3):223–35. doi: 10.1089/vim.2021.0101

10. Wang Y, Fan X, Du L, Liu B, Xiao H, Zhang Y, et al. Scavenger receptor A1 participates in uptake of leptospira interrogans serovar autumnalis strain 56606v and inflammation in mouse macrophages. *Emerg Microbes Infect* (2021) 10(1):939–53. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2021.1925160

11. Crawford RM, Finbloom DS, Ohara J, Paul WE, Meltzer MS. B cell stimulatory factor-1 (Interleukin 4) activates macrophages for increased tumoricidal activity and expression of ia antigens. *J Immunol* (1987) 139(1):135–41.

12. Mills CD, Kincaid K, Alt JM, Heilman MJ, Hill AM. M-1/M-2 macrophages and the Th1/Th2 paradigm. J Immunol (2000) 164(12):6166-73. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.164.12.6166

13. Stein M, Keshav S, Harris N, Gordon S. Interleukin 4 potently enhances murine macrophage mannose receptor activity: A marker of alternative immunologic macrophage activation. *J Exp Med* (1992) 176(1):287–92. doi: 10.1084/jem.176.1.287

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Remmerie A, Scott CL. Macrophages and lipid metabolism. *Cell Immunol* (2018) 330:27–42. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2018.01.020

15. Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: In vivo veritas. J Clin Invest (2012) 122(3):787–95. doi: 10.1172/JCI59643

16. Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M. The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. *Trends Immunol* (2004) 25(12):677–86. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2004.09.015

17. Ferrante CJ, Pinhal-Enfield G, Elson G, Cronstein BN, Hasko G, Outram S, et al. The adenosine-dependent angiogenic switch of macrophages to an M2-like phenotype is independent of interleukin-4 receptor alpha (II-4ralpha) signaling. *Inflammation* (2013) 36(4):921–31. doi: 10.1007/s10753-013-9621-3

18. Su Q, Kim SY, Adewale F, Zhou Y, Aldler C, Ni M, et al. Single-cell rna transcriptome landscape of hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells in healthy and nafld mouse liver. *iScience* (2021) 24(11):103233. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.103233

19. Remmerie A, Martens L, Thone T, Castoldi A, Seurinck R, Pavie B, et al. Osteopontin expression identifies a subset of recruited macrophages distinct from kupffer cells in the fatty liver. *Immunity* (2020) 53(3):641–57 e14. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.08.004

20. PrabhuDas MR, Baldwin CL, Bollyky PL, Bowdish DME, Drickamer K, Febbraio M, et al. A consensus definitive classification of scavenger receptors and their roles in health and disease. *J Immunol* (2017) 198(10):3775–89. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700373

21. Alquraini A, El Khoury J. Scavenger receptors. Curr Biol (2020) 30(14): R790-R5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.051

22. Kodama T, Freeman M, Rohrer L, Zabrecky J, Matsudaira P, Krieger M. Type I macrophage scavenger receptor contains alpha-helical and collagen-like coiled coils. *Nature* (1990) 343(6258):531–5. doi: 10.1038/343531a0

23. Baos S, Cremades-Jimeno L, Lopez-Ramos M, de Pedro MA, Uriarte SA, Sastre J, et al. Expression of macrophage scavenger receptor (Msr1) in peripheral blood cells from patients with different respiratory diseases: Beyond monocytes. *J Clin Med* (2022) 11(5):1439. doi: 10.3390/jcm11051439

24. Amiel E, Alonso A, Uematsu S, Akira S, Poynter ME, Berwin B. Pivotal advance: Toll-like receptor regulation of scavenger receptor-a-Mediated phagocytosis. *J Leukoc Biol* (2009) 85(4):595-605. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1008631

25. Yu H, Ha T, Liu L, Wang X, Gao M, Kelley J, et al. Scavenger receptor a (Sra) is required for lps-induced Tlr4 mediated nf-kappab activation in macrophages. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2012) 1823(7):1192–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.05.004

26. Rohrer L, Freeman M, Kodama T, Penman M, Krieger M. Coiled-coil fibrous domains mediate ligand binding by macrophage scavenger receptor type ii. *Nature* (1990) 343(6258):570–2. doi: 10.1038/343570a0

27. Gough PJ, Greaves DR, Suzuki H, Hakkinen T, Hiltunen MO, Turunen M, et al. Analysis of macrophage scavenger receptor (Sr-a) expression in human aortic atherosclerotic lesions. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* (1999) 19(3):461–71. doi: 10.1161/01.atv.19.3.461

28. Pluddemann A, Neyen C, Gordon S. Macrophage scavenger receptors and host-derived ligands. *Methods* (2007) 43(3):207-17. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2007.06.004

29. Xie Y, Jia Y, Li Z, Hu F. Scavenger receptor a in immunity and autoimmune diseases: Compelling evidence for targeted therapy. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* (2022) 26(5):461–77. doi: 10.1080/14728222.2022.2072729

30. Linares-Alcantara E, Mendlovic F. Scavenger receptor A1 signaling pathways affecting macrophage functions in innate and adaptive immunity. *Immunol Invest* (2022) 51(6):1725–55. doi: 10.1080/08820139.2021.2020812

31. Dansako H, Yamane D, Welsch C, McGivern DR, Hu F, Kato N, et al. Class a scavenger receptor 1 (Msr1) restricts hepatitis c virus replication by mediating toll-like receptor 3 recognition of viral rnas produced in neighboring cells. *PloS Pathog* (2013) 9(5):e1003345. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003345

32. Xie M, Yin Y, Chen L, Yin A, Liu Y, Liu Y, et al. Scavenger receptor a impairs interferon response to hbv infection by limiting Traf3 ubiquitination through recruiting Otub1. *FEBS J* (2020) 287(2):310–24. doi: 10.1111/febs.15035

33. Gudgeon J, Marin-Rubio JL, Trost M. The role of macrophage scavenger receptor 1 (Msr1) in inflammatory disorders and cancer. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:1012002. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1012002

34. Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, et al. Proteomics. tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* (2015) 347 (6220):1260419. doi: 10.1126/science.1260419

35. Carithers LJ, Ardlie K, Barcus M, Branton PA, Britton A, Buia SA, et al. A novel approach to high-quality postmortem tissue procurement: The gtex project. *Biopreserv Biobank* (2015) 13(5):311–9. doi: 10.1089/bio.2015.0032

36. Buzzetti E, Pinzani M, Tsochatzis EA. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (Nafld). *Metabolism* (2016) 65(8):1038–48. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2015.12.012

37. Tilg H, Moschen AR. Evolution of inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: The multiple parallel hits hypothesis. *Hepatology* (2010) 52(5):1836–46. doi: 10.1002/hep.24001

38. Schuppan D, Surabattula R, Wang XY. Determinants of fibrosis progression and regression in Nash. J Hepatol (2018) 68(2):238-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.11.012

39. Hoogerland JA, Staels B, Dombrowicz D. Immune-metabolic interactions in homeostasis and the progression to Nash. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* (2022) 33 (10):690–709. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2022.07.001

40. Chen S, Cai X, Liu Y, Shen Y, Guillot A, Tacke F, et al. The macrophageassociated microrna-4715-3p/Gasdermin d axis potentially indicates fibrosis progression in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Evidence from transcriptome and biological data. *Bioengineered* (2022) 13(5):11740-51. doi: 10.1080/ 21655979.2022.2072602

41. Feingold KR, Shigenaga JK, Kazemi MR, McDonald CM, Patzek SM, Cross AS, et al. Mechanisms of triglyceride accumulation in activated macrophages. J Leukoc Biol (2012) 92(4):829–39. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1111537

42. Hsieh WY, Zhou QD, York AG, Williams KJ, Scumpia PO, Kronenberger EB, et al. Toll-like receptors induce signal-specific reprogramming of the macrophage lipidome. *Cell Metab* (2020) 32(1):128–43 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.05.003

43. Gomez Perdiguero E, Klapproth K, Schulz C, Busch K, Azzoni E, Crozet L, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-Sac-Derived erythromyeloid progenitors. *Nature* (2015) 518(7540):547–51. doi: 10.1038/nature13989

44. Kazankov K, Jorgensen SMD, Thomsen KL, Moller HJ, Vilstrup H, George J, et al. The role of macrophages in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2019) 16(3):145–59. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0082-x

45. Daemen S, Gainullina A, Kalugotla G, He L, Chan MM, Beals JW, et al. Dynamic shifts in the composition of resident and recruited macrophages influence tissue remodeling in Nash. *Cell Rep* (2021) 34(2):108626. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108626

46. Ichimura-Shimizu M, Tsuchiyama Y, Morimoto Y, Matsumoto M, Kobayashi T, Sumida S, et al. A novel mouse model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis suggests that liver fibrosis initiates around lipid-laden macrophages. *Am J Pathol* (2022) 192(1):31–42. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2021.10.002

47. Bonnardel J, T'Jonck W, Gaublomme D, Browaeys R, Scott CL, Martens L, et al. Stellate cells, hepatocytes, and endothelial cells imprint the kupffer cell identity on monocytes colonizing the liver macrophage niche. *Immunity* (2019) 51 (4):638–54 e9. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.08.017

48. Reid DT, Reyes JL, McDonald BA, Vo T, Reimer RA, Eksteen B. Kupffer cells undergo fundamental changes during the development of experimental Nash and are critical in initiating liver damage and inflammation. *PloS One* (2016) 11(7): e0159524. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159524

49. Krenkel O, Tacke F. Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease. Nat Rev Immunol (2017) 17(5):306-21. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.11

50. McGettigan B, McMahan R, Orlicky D, Burchill M, Danhorn T, Francis P, et al. Dietary lipids differentially shape nonalcoholic steatohepatitis progression and the transcriptome of kupffer cells and infiltrating macrophages. *Hepatology* (2019) 70(1):67–83. doi: 10.1002/hep.30401

51. Morgantini C, Jager J, Li X, Levi L, Azzimato V, Sulen A, et al. Liver macrophages regulate systemic metabolism through non-inflammatory factors. *Nat Metab* (2019) 1(4):445–59. doi: 10.1038/s42255-019-0044-9

52. Jeon H, Blacklow SC. Structure and physiologic function of the low-density lipoprotein receptor. *Annu Rev Biochem* (2005) 74:535–62. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.biochem.74.082803.133354

53. Crucet M, Wust SJ, Spielmann P, Luscher TF, Wenger RH, Matter CM. Hypoxia enhances lipid uptake in macrophages: Role of the scavenger receptors Lox1, sra, and Cd36. *Atherosclerosis* (2013) 229(1):110–7. doi: 10.1016/ j.atherosclerosis.2013.04.034

54. Khera AV, Rader DJ. Future therapeutic directions in reverse cholesterol transport. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* (2010) 12(1):73-81. doi: 10.1007/s11883-009-0080-0

55. Kelley JL, Ozment TR, Li C, Schweitzer JB, Williams DL. Scavenger receptor-a (Cd204): A two-edged sword in health and disease. *Crit Rev Immunol* (2014) 34(3):241-61. doi: 10.1615/critrevimmunol.2014010267

56. Uchida Y, Nishitai G, Kikuchi K, Shibuya T, Asano K, Tanaka M. Cd204positive monocytes and macrophages ameliorate septic shock by suppressing proinflammatory cytokine production in mice. *Biochem Biophys Rep* (2020) 23:100791. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrep.2020.100791

57. Hu F, Jiang X, Guo C, Li Y, Chen S, Zhang W, et al. Scavenger receptor-a is a biomarker and effector of rheumatoid arthritis: A Large-scale multicenter study. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11(1):1911. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15700-3

58. Haas JT, Francque S, Staels B. Pathophysiology and mechanisms of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Annu Rev Physiol* (2016) 78:181-205. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021115-105331

59. Hu C, Wang M, Han X. Shotgun lipidomics in substantiating lipid peroxidation in redox biology: Methods and applications. *Redox Biol* (2017) 12:946–55. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2017.04.030

60. Serviddio G, Sastre J, Bellanti F, Vina J, Vendemiale G, Altomare E. Mitochondrial involvement in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Mol Aspects Med* (2008) 29(1-2):22–35. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2007.09.014

61. Fromenty B, Robin MA, Igoudjil A, Mansouri A, Pessayre D. The ins and outs of mitochondrial dysfunction in Nash. *Diabetes Metab* (2004) 30(2):121–38. doi: 10.1016/S1262-3636(07)70098-8

62. Lushchak VI. Free radicals, reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress and its classification. *Chem Biol Interact* (2014) 224:164–75. doi: 10.1016/j.cbi.2014.10.016

63. Mailloux RJ, Harper ME. Uncoupling proteins and the control of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production. *Free Radic Biol Med* (2011) 51(6):1106–15. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.06.022

64. Tang SP, Mao XL, Chen YH, Yan LL, Ye LP, Li SW. Reactive oxygen species induce fatty liver and ischemia-reperfusion injury by promoting inflammation and cell death. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:870239. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.870239

65. Yang G, Lee HE, Lee JY. A pharmacological inhibitor of Nlrp3 inflammasome prevents non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in a mouse model induced by high fat diet. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6:24399. doi: 10.1038/srep24399

66. Malaguarnera L, Madeddu R, Palio E, Arena N, Malaguarnera M. Heme oxygenase-1 levels and oxidative stress-related parameters in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients. *J Hepatol* (2005) 42(4):585-91. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2004.11.040

67. Videla LA, Rodrigo R, Orellana M, Fernandez V, Tapia G, Quinones L, et al. Oxidative stress-related parameters in the liver of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease patients. *Clin Sci (Lond)* (2004) 106(3):261–8. doi: 10.1042/CS20030285

68. Murray HW, Nathan CF. Macrophage microbicidal mechanisms in vivo: Reactive nitrogen versus oxygen intermediates in the killing of intracellular visceral leishmania donovani. J Exp Med (1999) 189(4):741–6. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.4.741

69. Lee HJ, Hong WG, Woo Y, Ahn JH, Ko HJ, Kim H, et al. Lysophosphatidylcholine enhances bactericidal activity by promoting phagosome maturation via the activation of the nf-kappab pathway during salmonella infection in mouse macrophages. *Mol Cells* (2020) 43(12):989–1001. doi: 10.14348/molcells.2020.0030

70. Massey KA, Nicolaou A. Lipidomics of oxidized polyunsaturated fatty acids. Free Radic Biol Med (2013) 59:45–55. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2012.08.565

71. Zhong S, Li L, Shen X, Li Q, Xu W, Wang X, et al. An update on lipid oxidation and inflammation in cardiovascular diseases. *Free Radic Biol Med* (2019) 144:266–78. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.03.036

72. Busch CJ, Hendrikx T, Weismann D, Jackel S, Walenbergh SM, Rendeiro AF, et al. Malondialdehyde epitopes are sterile mediators of hepatic inflammation in hypercholesterolemic mice. *Hepatology* (2017) 65(4):1181–95. doi: 10.1002/hep.28970

73. Satapati S, Kucejova B, Duarte JA, Fletcher JA, Reynolds L, Sunny NE, et al. Mitochondrial metabolism mediates oxidative stress and inflammation in fatty liver. *J Clin Invest* (2015) 125(12):4447–62. doi: 10.1172/JCI82204

74. Sumida Y, Niki E, Naito Y, Yoshikawa T. Involvement of free radicals and oxidative stress in Nafld/Nash. *Free Radic Res* (2013) 47(11):869–80. doi: 10.3109/10715762.2013.837577

75. Paradies G, Paradies V, Ruggiero FM, Petrosillo G. Oxidative stress, cardiolipin and mitochondrial dysfunction in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* (2014) 20(39):14205–18. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i39.14205

76. Gonzalez FJ, Peters JM, Cattley RC. Mechanism of action of the nongenotoxic peroxisome proliferators: Role of the peroxisome proliferatoractivator receptor alpha. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1998) 90(22):1702–9. doi: 10.1093/ jnci/90.22.1702

77. Svegliati-Baroni G, Pierantonelli I, Torquato P, Marinelli R, Ferreri C, Chatgilialoglu C, et al. Lipidomic biomarkers and mechanisms of lipotoxicity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Free Radic Biol Med* (2019) 144:293–309. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.05.029

78. Sakuma S, Negoro M, Kitamura T, Fujimoto Y. Xanthine oxidase-derived reactive oxygen species mediate 4-Oxo-2-Nonenal-Induced hepatocyte cell death. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* (2010) 249(2):127–31. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2010.08.025

79. Ezhilarasan D, Lakshmi T. A molecular insight into the role of antioxidants in nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* (2022) 2022:9233650. doi: 10.1155/2022/9233650

80. Rogers MA, Chang CCY, Maue RA, Melton EM, Peden AA, Garver WS, et al. Acat1/Soat1 knockout extends the mutant Npc1 mouse lifespan and ameliorates functional deficiencies in multiple organelles of mutant cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2022) 119(18):e2201646119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2201646119

81. Endemann G, Stanton LW, Madden KS, Bryant CM, White RT, Protter AA. Cd36 is a receptor for oxidized low density lipoprotein. *J Biol Chem* (1993) 268 (16):11811–6. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)50272-1

82. Acton SL, Scherer PE, Lodish HF, Krieger M. Expression cloning of Sr-bi, a Cd36-related class b scavenger receptor. *J Biol Chem* (1994) 269(33):21003–9. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)31921-X

83. Bieghs V, Wouters K, van Gorp PJ, Gijbels MJ, de Winther MP, Binder CJ, et al. Role of scavenger receptor a and Cd36 in diet-induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in hyperlipidemic mice. *Gastroenterology* (2010) 138(7):2477-86. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.02.051

84. Chu EM, Tai DC, Beer JL, Hill JS. Macrophage heterogeneity and cholesterol homeostasis: Classically-activated macrophages are associated with reduced cholesterol accumulation following treatment with oxidized ldl. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2013) 1831(2):378–86. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2012.10.009

85. Kiyanagi T, Iwabuchi K, Shimada K, Hirose K, Miyazaki T, Sumiyoshi K, et al. Involvement of cholesterol-enriched microdomains in class a scavenger receptor-mediated responses in human macrophages. *Atherosclerosis* (2011) 215 (1):60–9. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2010.10.019

86. Liu Y, Sun Y, Lin X, Zhang D, Hu C, Liu J, et al. Perivascular adipose-derived exosomes reduce foam cell formation by regulating expression of cholesterol transporters. *Front Cardiovasc Med* (2021) 8:697510. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.697510

87. Rana M, Kumar A, Tiwari RL, Singh V, Chandra T, Dikshit M, et al. Irak regulates macrophage foam cell formation by modulating genes involved in cholesterol uptake and efflux. *Bioessays* (2016) 38(7):591–604. doi: 10.1002/bies.201600085

88. Rezende L, Couto NFD, Fernandes-Braga W, Epshtein Y, Alvarez-Leite JI, Levitan I, et al. Oxldl induces membrane structure rearrangement leading to biomechanics alteration and migration deficiency in macrophage. *Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr* (2022) 1864(9):183951. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2022.183951

89. Takahashi S. Triglyceride rich lipoprotein -Lpl-Vldl receptor and lp(a)-vldl receptor pathways for macrophage foam cell formation. *J Atheroscler Thromb* (2017) 24(6):552–9. doi: 10.5551/jat.RV17004

90. Moore KJ, Tabas I. Macrophages in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *Cell* (2011) 145(3):341–55. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.04.005

91. Hashizume M, Mihara M. Blockade of il-6 and tnf-alpha inhibited oxldlinduced production of mcp-1 *via* scavenger receptor induction. *Eur J Pharmacol* (2012) 689(1-3):249–54. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2012.05.035

92. Bai H, Li N, Zhou X, Wang C, Zhang Y, Zhu X, et al. Grp78 inhibits macrophage adhesion via Sr-a. J BioMed Res (2014) 28(4):269–74. doi: 10.7555/JBR.28.20130054

93. Devries-Seimon T, Li Y, Yao PM, Stone E, Wang Y, Davis RJ, et al. Cholesterol-induced macrophage apoptosis requires er stress pathways and engagement of the type a scavenger receptor. *J Cell Biol* (2005) 171(1):61–73. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200502078

94. Guo M, Hartlova A, Gierlinski M, Prescott A, Castellvi J, Losa JH, et al. Triggering Msr1 promotes jnk-mediated inflammation in il-4-Activated macrophages. *EMBO J* (2019) 38(11):e100299. doi: 10.15252/embj.2018100299 95. Santiago-Fernandez C, Martin-Reyes F, Tome M, Gutierrez-Repiso C, Fernandez-Garcia D, Ocana-Wilhelmi L, et al. Oxidized ldl increase the proinflammatory profile of human visceral adipocytes produced by hypoxia. *Biomedicines* (2021) 9(11):1715. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9111715

96. Zhao W, Wang Z, Sun Z, He Y, Jian D, Hu X, et al. Rna helicase Ddx5 participates in oxldl-induced macrophage scavenger receptor 1 expression by suppressing mrna degradation. *Exp Cell Res* (2018) 366(2):114–20. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.03.003

97. Su T, Zhao L, Ruan X, Zuo G, Gong J. Synergistic effect of scavenger receptor a and low-density lipoprotein receptor on macrophage foam cell formation under inflammatory stress. *Mol Med Rep* (2013) 7(1):37–42. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2012.1170

98. Moore KJ, Freeman MW. Scavenger receptors in atherosclerosis: Beyond lipid uptake. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* (2006) 26(8):1702–11. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000229218.97976.43

99. Hannon DB, Thompson JT, Khoo C, Juturu V, Vanden Heuvel JP. Effects of cranberry extracts on gene expression in thp-1 cells. *Food Sci Nutr* (2017) 5(1):148–59. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.374

100. Whitman SC, Kurowska EM, Manthey JA, Daugherty A. Nobiletin, a citrus flavonoid isolated from tangerines, selectively inhibits class a scavenger receptormediated metabolism of acetylated ldl by mouse macrophages. *Atherosclerosis* (2005) 178(1):25–32. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2004.07.034

101. Eguchi A, Kaneko Y, Murakami A, Ohigashi H. Zerumbone suppresses phorbol ester-induced expression of multiple scavenger receptor genes in thp-1 human monocytic cells. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* (2007) 71(4):935–45. doi: 10.1271/bbb.60596

102. Ma C, Xia R, Yang S, Liu L, Zhang J, Feng K, et al. Formononetin attenuates atherosclerosis *via* regulating interaction between Klf4 and sra in apoe(-/-) mice. *Theranostics* (2020) 10(3):1090–106. doi: 10.7150/thno.38115

103. Tang Y, Li H, Li J, Liu Y, Li Y, Zhou J, et al. Macrophage scavenger receptor 1 contributes to pathogenesis of fulminant hepatitis *via* neutrophil-mediated complement activation. *J Hepatol* (2018) 68(4):733-43. doi: 10.1016/ j.jhep.2017.11.010

104. Zhu X, Zong G, Zhu L, Jiang Y, Ma K, Zhang H, et al. Deletion of class a scavenger receptor deteriorates obesity-induced insulin resistance in adipose tissue. *Diabetes* (2014) 63(2):562–77. doi: 10.2337/db13-0815

105. Cavallari JF, Anhe FF, Foley KP, Denou E, Chan RW, Bowdish DME, et al. Targeting macrophage scavenger receptor 1 promotes insulin resistance in obese Male mice. *Physiol Rep* (2018) 6(22):e13930. doi: 10.14814/phy2.13930

106. Park YM, Kashyap SR, Major JA, Silverstein RL. Insulin promotes macrophage foam cell formation: Potential implications in diabetes-related atherosclerosis. *Lab Invest* (2012) 92(8):1171-80. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.2012.74

107. Goldstein JL, Ho YK, Basu SK, Brown MS. Binding site on macrophages that mediates uptake and degradation of acetylated low density lipoprotein, producing massive cholesterol deposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1979) 76 (1):333–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.76.1.333

108. Jeong YT, Kim YD, Jung YM, Park DC, Lee DS, Ku SK, et al. Low molecular weight fucoidan improves endoplasmic reticulum stress-reduced insulin sensitivity through amp-activated protein kinase activation in L6 myotubes and restores lipid homeostasis in a mouse model of type 2 diabetes. *Mol Pharmacol* (2013) 84(1):147–57. doi: 10.1124/mol.113.085100

109. Wang Y, Wang J, Zhao Y, Hu S, Shi D, Xue C. Fucoidan from Sea cucumber cucumaria frondosa exhibits anti-hyperglycemic effects in insulin resistant mice *via* activating the Pi3k/Pkb pathway and Glut4. *J Biosci Bioeng* (2016) 121(1):36–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2015.05.012

110. Wang J, Hu S, Jiang W, Song W, Cai L, Wang J. Fucoidan from Sea cucumber may improve hepatic inflammatory response and insulin resistance in mice. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2016) 31:15–23. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2015.12.009

111. Hsu HY, Chiu SL, Wen MH, Chen KY, Hua KF. Ligands of macrophage scavenger receptor induce cytokine expression *via* differential modulation of protein kinase signaling pathways. *J Biol Chem* (2001) 276(31):28719–30. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M011117200

112. Seimon TA, Obstfeld A, Moore KJ, Golenbock DT, Tabas I. Combinatorial pattern recognition receptor signaling alters the balance of life and death in macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2006) 103(52):19794–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0609671104

113. Yu X, Yi H, Guo C, Zuo D, Wang Y, Kim HL, et al. Pattern recognition scavenger receptor Cd204 attenuates toll-like receptor 4-induced nf-kappab activation by directly inhibiting ubiquitination of tumor necrosis factor (Tnf) receptor-associated factor 6. *J Biol Chem* (2011) 286(21):18795–806. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.224345

114. Kobayashi H, Sakashita N, Okuma T, Terasaki Y, Tsujita K, Suzuki H, et al. Class a scavenger receptor (Cd204) attenuates hyperoxia-induced lung injury by reducing oxidative stress. *J Pathol* (2007) 212(1):38–46. doi: 10.1002/path.2150

115. Kong FQ, Zhao SJ, Sun P, Liu H, Jie J, Xu T, et al. Macrophage Msr1 promotes the formation of foamy macrophage and neuronal apoptosis after spinal cord injury. *J Neuroinflamm* (2020) 17(1):62. doi: 10.1186/s12974-020-01735-2

116. Cotena A, Gordon S, Platt N. The class a macrophage scavenger receptor attenuates cxc chemokine production and the early infiltration of neutrophils in sterile peritonitis. *J Immunol* (2004) 173(10):6427–32. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.10.6427

117. Zhao SJ, Kong FQ, Jie J, Li Q, Liu H, Xu AD, et al. Macrophage Msr1 promotes bmsc osteogenic differentiation and M2-like polarization by activating Pi3k/Akt/Gsk3beta/Beta-catenin pathway. *Theranostics* (2020) 10(1):17–35. doi: 10.7150/thno.36930

118. Labonte AC, Sung SJ, Jennelle LT, Dandekar AP, Hahn YS. Expression of scavenger receptor-ai promotes alternative activation of murine macrophages to limit hepatic inflammation and fibrosis. *Hepatology* (2017) 65(1):32–43. doi: 10.1002/hep.28873

119. Chen X, Jiang J, Liu H, Li A, Wang W, Ni Z, et al. Msr1 characterized by chromatin accessibility mediates M2 macrophage polarization to promote gastric cancer progression. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2022) 112:109217. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.109217

120. Platt N, Gordon S. Is the class a macrophage scavenger receptor (Sr-a) multifunctional? - The mouse's tale. *J Clin Invest* (2001) 108(5):649–54. doi: 10.1172/JCI13903

121. Bostrom P, Magnusson B, Svensson PA, Wiklund O, Boren J, Carlsson LM, et al. Hypoxia converts human macrophages into triglyceride-loaded foam cells. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* (2006) 26(8):1871-6. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000229665.78997.0b

122. Jiang G, Li T, Qiu Y, Rui Y, Chen W, Lou Y. Rna interference for hif-1alpha inhibits foam cells formation *in vitro*. *Eur J Pharmacol* (2007) 562(3):183–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.01.066

123. Na TY, Lee HJ, Oh HJ, Huh S, Lee IK, Lee MO. Positive cross-talk between hypoxia inducible factor-1alpha and liver X receptor alpha induces formation of triglyceride-loaded foam cells. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* (2011) 31(12):2949–56. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.111.235788

124. Sun K, Halberg N, Khan M, Magalang UJ, Scherer PE. Selective inhibition of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha ameliorates adipose tissue dysfunction. *Mol Cell Biol* (2013) 33(5):904–17. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00951-12

125. Lee KY, Gesta S, Boucher J, Wang XL, Kahn CR. The differential role of Hif1beta/Arnt and the hypoxic response in adipose function, fibrosis, and inflammation. *Cell Metab* (2011) 14(4):491–503. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.08.006

126. Seo JB, Riopel M, Cabrales P, Huh JY, Bandyopadhyay GK, Andreyev AY, et al. Knockdown of Ant2 reduces adipocyte hypoxia and improves insulin resistance in obesity. *Nat Metab* (2019) 1(1):86–97. doi: 10.1038/s42255-018-0003-x

127. Moon JS, da Cunha FF, Huh JY, Andreyev AY, Lee J, Mahata SK, et al. Ant2 drives proinflammatory macrophage activation in obesity. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6(20):e147033. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.147033

128. Han J, He Y, Zhao H, Xu X. Hypoxia inducible factor-1 promotes liver fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease by activating Pten/P65 signaling pathway. *J Cell Biochem* (2019) 120(9):14735–44. doi: 10.1002/jcb.28734

129. Shirato K, Kizaki T, Sakurai T, Ogasawara JE, Ishibashi Y, Iijima T, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha suppresses the expression of macrophage scavenger receptor 1. *Pflugers Arch* (2009) 459(1):93–103. doi: 10.1007/s00424-009-0702-y

130. Pirillo A, Norata GD, Catapano AL. Lox-1, oxldl, and atherosclerosis. *Mediators Inflammation* (2013) 2013:152786. doi: 10.1155/2013/152786

131. Han S, Liang CP, DeVries-Seimon T, Ranalletta M, Welch CL, Collins-Fletcher K, et al. Macrophage insulin receptor deficiency increases er stress-induced apoptosis and necrotic core formation in advanced atherosclerotic lesions. *Cell Metab* (2006) 3(4):257–66. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2006.02.008

132. Bosco MC, Puppo M, Santangelo C, Anfosso L, Pfeffer U, Fardin P, et al. Hypoxia modifies the transcriptome of primary human monocytes: Modulation of novel immune-related genes and identification of cc-chemokine ligand 20 as a new hypoxia-inducible gene. *J Immunol* (2006) 177(3):1941–55. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.3.1941

133. Loboda A, Jazwa A, Jozkowicz A, Molema G, Dulak J. Angiogenic transcriptome of human microvascular endothelial cells: Effect of hypoxia, modulation by atorvastatin. *Vascul Pharmacol* (2006) 44(4):206-14. doi: 10.1016/j.vph.2005.11.007

134. Limmon GV, Arredouani M, McCann KL, Corn Minor RA, Kobzik L, Imani F. Scavenger receptor class-a is a novel cell surface receptor for double-stranded rna. *FASEB J* (2008) 22(1):159–67. doi: 10.1096/fj.07-8348com

135. Herrmann M, Schafer C, Heiss A, Graber S, Kinkeldey A, Buscher A, et al. Clearance of fetuin-a-containing calciprotein particles is mediated by scavenger receptor-a. *Circ Res* (2012) 111(5):575–84. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.261479