#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Dedee Murrell, University of New South Wales, Australia

REVIEWED BY Yusuf Tutar, University of Health Sciences, Turkey

\*CORRESPONDENCE Michael Kasperkiewicz Michael.Kasperkiewicz@ med.usc.edu

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Autoimmune and Autoinflammatory Disorders : Autoimmune Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 26 October 2022 ACCEPTED 02 December 2022 PUBLISHED 15 December 2022

#### CITATION

Kasperkiewicz M and Tukaj S (2022) Targeting heat shock proteins 90 and 70: A promising remedy for both autoimmune bullous diseases and COVID-19. *Front. Immunol.* 13:1080786. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1080786

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Kasperkiewicz and Tukaj. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Targeting heat shock proteins 90 and 70: A promising remedy for both autoimmune bullous diseases and COVID-19

#### Michael Kasperkiewicz<sup>1\*</sup> and Stefan Tukaj<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

Heat shock proteins (Hsps), including Hsp90 and Hsp70, are intra- and extracellular molecules implicated in cellular homeostasis and immune processes and are induced by cell stress such as inflammation and infection. Autoimmune bullous disorders (AIBDs) and COVID-19 represent potentially life-threatening inflammatory and infectious diseases, respectively. A significant portion of AIBDs remain refractory to currently available immunosuppressive therapies, which may represent a risk factor for COVID-19, and suffer from treatment side-effects. Despite advances in vaccination, there is still a need to develop new therapeutic approaches targeting SARS-CoV-2, especially considering vaccine hesitancy, logistical distribution challenges, and breakthrough infections. In this mini review, we briefly summarize the role of targeting Hsp90/70 as a promising double-edged sword in the therapy of AIBDs and COVID-19.

#### KEYWORDS

autoimmune bullous disorders (AIBDs), heat shock proteins (HSPs), Hsp90, Hsp70, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2

### Introduction

The expression of heat shock proteins (Hsps), including Hsp90 and Hsp70, can be up-regulated by various stress factors including inflammation and infection. As chaperones, they classically interact with protein substrates and (co-)chaperones to maintain cellular homeostasis by participating in correct protein folding or stability, as well as cell differentiation, survival, and death. In addition, both intra- and extracellular Hsps have an integral role in inflammatory responses through active involvement in a wide range of immune processes (1).

Autoimmune bullous diseases (AIBDs), comprising pemphigus and pemphigoid, are potentially life-threatening blistering disorders of the skin and/or mucosa mediated by autoantibodies against desmosomal and hemidesmosomal structures, respectively. Patients usually require long-term potent immunosuppressive treatments including systemic corticosteroids and adjuvant immunomodulators. A significant portion of cases remain refractory to currently available therapies and suffer from treatment side-effects (2, 3).

COVID-19 is a disease caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 which has led to a global devastating pandemic since March 2020. The clinical presentation ranges from mild or even asymptomatic courses to critical symptoms such as respiratory failure and multi-organ dysfunction. Despite advances in vaccination, there is still a need to develop new therapeutic approaches targeting SARS-CoV-2, especially considering vaccine hesitancy, logistical distribution challenges, and breakthrough infections (4).

Patients with AIBDs have faced considerable challenges during the COVID-19 outbreak, taking into account that their immunosuppressive treatments, particularly the B-cell depleting agent rituximab (a first-line drug approved for pemphigus), may predispose them to more severe COVID-19 and compromise vaccine immunogenicity (5, 6). In addition, there have been some reports on SARS-CoV-2 vaccine-induced/exacerbated AIBD cases (7). Therefore, an ideal treatment during this pandemic would be a new medication that covers both AIBDs and COVID-19.

In this mini review, which is dedicated to the memory of our honourable mentor Professor Detlef Zillikens, we briefly summarize the role of targeting Hsp90/70 as a promising double-edged sword in the therapy of AIBDs and COVID-19.

### Hsp90/70 and AIBDs

Several lines of evidence suggest that Hsp90 is involved as a notable pathophysiological factor in AIBDs including epidermolysis bullosa acquisita (EBA) and bullous pemphigoid. The antiinflammatory clinical and molecular effects of anti-Hsp90 treatment were mostly demonstrated in several EBA studies using corresponding in vivo mouse models, ex vivo human dermalepidermal separation cryosection experiments, and in vitro rodent and human cell culture assays (8, 9). Hsp90 inhibitors, including 17-DMAG, 17-AAG, and TCBL-145, exhibited activity by potently affecting inflammatory disease pathways (e.g., suppression of effector T-cells, B-cells, and neutrophils; down-regulation of NF-κB activity; blunting of autoantibody, pro-inflammatory cytokine, and reactive oxygen species production; inhibition of matrix metalloproteinases; promotion of regulatory B cells) (10-13). With regards to bullous pemphigoid, human skin biopsy analyses as well as human cell culture and serology assays revealed that Hsp90 is aberrantly expressed and secreted in these patients and that its blockade modulates autoantibody-induced IL-8 production by cultured keratinocytes (14, 15).

Compared to Hsp90, the role of Hsp70 in AIBDs has been overall less studied (9). Two recent studies indicated that both

extracellular Hsp70 and autoantibodies to Hsp70 display proinflammatory activities in the context of EBA development (16, 17). In the first study, the in vivo pathophysiological relevance of extracellular Hsp70 was demonstrated in mice with experimental EBA in which elevated blood levels of this chaperone were recorded. Hsp70-treated animals had a more pronounced clinical disease severity compared to controls which was paralleled by increased levels of cutaneous matrix metalloproteinase 9 and plasma hydrogen peroxide, with the latter observation being confirmed in an independent EBAspecific reactive oxygen species release assay. In addition, cell culture experiments using human naive peripheral blood mononuclear cells revealed that extracellular Hsp70 stimulated the secretion of the T-cell-derived pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8 (16). In the second study, it was demonstrated that autoantibodies to Hsp70 may contribute to EBA development via enhanced neutrophil infiltration to the skin and activation of the NF-κB signalling pathway in an IFN-γ-associated manner (17).

### Hsp90/70 and COVID-19

To initiate infection, SARS-CoV-2 enters the human host cell *via* binding of its spike protein to cell surface receptors including angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, followed by endocytosis or fusion with the surface membrane, viral gene transcription, translation, and replication (4). Of note, SARS-CoV-2 is dependent on host molecular chaperones, mainly Hsp90/70, to accomplish these entries and/or replication steps (18, 19).

Hsp90 has been shown to be over-expressed in the damaged lungs of COVID-19 subjects (20), and Hsp90 inhibitors (AT13387 and AUY-922) were able to prevent and repair SARS-CoV-2 spike protein-induced pulmonary microvascular endothelial dysfunction (21). Wyler et al. demonstrated that inhibition of Hsp90 activity by onalespib, ganetespib, or 17-AAG resulted in a reduction of both SARS-CoV-2 replication and expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in primary human airway epithelial cells (22). Similar results were obtained by Goswami et al. using the Hsp90 inhibitor SNX-5422 (23). In addition, *in vitro* experiments revealed inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication by the Hsp90 inhibitors 17-AAG and tanespimycin, respectively (24, 25). Lowering of SARS-CoV-2 propagation by pharmacological Hsp90 inhibition was confirmed by a computational study of patient RNA sequencing data (26).

Two members of the Hsp70 family, HSPA1L and GRP78, have been implicated in the modulation of SARS-CoV-2 (27–30). It has been suggested that SARS-CoV-2-infected cells epigenetically up-regulate HSPA1L, leading to the over-production of Hsp70 to facilitate SARS-CoV-2 replication in host cells (27). In addition, computer modelling predictions and experimental studies have shown that GRP78 assists in the host cell recognition of SARS-CoV-2 spikes and viral entry (28–30).



### Role of molecular mimicry

A link between COVID-19 and the development of autoimmunity has been suggested, which is based on the assumption that molecular mimicry exists between immunogenic proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and human molecules including Hsps and autoantigens of AIBDs (31). This hypothesis has been recently disproven by two studies showing that autoantibodies to Hsp90/70/60 are not altered in anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG-seropositive humans and that these circulating anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies do not cross-react with pemphigus or pemphigoid autoantigens (32, 33).

### Conclusions

Hsps90/70 are involved in AIBDs and COVID-19 in many different ways and, thus, can be important therapeutic targets for both conditions, an ideal scenario during this pandemic (Figure 1). However, several questions remain open. Independent reports on the role of Hsps90/70 in either AIBDs or COVID-19 do not ultimately imply a direct correlation between these stress proteins and both diseases, which is hampered by the fact that all information is limited to preclinical studies so far (9, 18, 19). In addition, the family of Hsps is large, and the interaction between each other and their client proteins is complex (1). Thus, targeting one of the Hsps may lead to a ripple effect. As an example, inhibition of Hsp90 classically results in the activation of heat shock factor 1 and, consecutively, the over-

expression of intracellular Hsp70. In contrast to extracellular Hsp70, intracellular Hsp70 has mostly anti-inflammatory properties by blocking NF- $\kappa$ B activation, a desirable effect for both AIBDs and COVID-19 (i.e., cytokine storm) (34). On the other hand, over-production of intracellular Hsp70 could potentially facilitate SARS-CoV-2 replication. Therefore, further exploration of the net effects of especially clinically available Hsp90 blockers in basic studies and clinical trials is needed.

### Author contributions

MK drafted and ST edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Polish National Science Centre (NCN), grant no. 2020/39/B/NZ6/00357.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

#### References

1. Hu C, Yang J, Qi Z, Wu H, Wang B, Zou F, et al. Heat shock proteins: Biological functions, pathological roles, and therapeutic opportunities. *MedComm* (2020) (2022):e161. doi: 10.1002/mco2.161

2. Schmidt E, Zillikens D. Pemphigoid diseases. Lancet (2013) 381:320-32. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61140-4

3. Schmidt E, Kasperkiewicz M, Joly P. Pemphigus. Lancet (2019) 394:882–94. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31778-7

4. Cascella M, Rajnik M, Aleem A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, evaluation, and treatment of coronavirus (COVID-19). *StatPearls Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing* (2022).

5. Kasperkiewicz M, Woodley DT. Rituximab in immunobullous disorders during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review of observational studies. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol (2022). doi: 10.1111/jdv.18538

 Kroon FPB, Najm A, Alunno A, Schoones JW, Landewé RBM, Machado PM, et al. Risk and prognosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a systematic literature review to inform EULAR recommendations. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2022) 81:422–32. doi: 10.1136/ annrheumdis-2021-221575

7. Kasperkiewicz M, Woodley DT. Association between vaccination and immunobullous disorders: a brief, updated systematic review with focus on COVID-19. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol (2022) 36:e498–500. doi: 10.1111/ jdv.18030

8. Tukaj S, Zillikens D, Kasperkiewicz M. Heat shock protein 90: a pathophysiological factor and novel treatment target in autoimmune bullous skin diseases. *Exp Dermatol* (2015) 24:567–71. doi: 10.1111/exd.12760

9. Tukaj S, Sitko K. Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) and Hsp70 as potential therapeutic targets in autoimmune skin diseases. *Biomolecules* (2022) 12:1153. doi: 10.3390/biom12081153

10. Kasperkiewicz M, Müller R, Manz R, Magens M, Hammers CM, Somlai C, et al. Heat-shock protein 90 inhibition in autoimmunity to type VII collagen: evidence that nonmalignant plasma cells are not therapeutic targets. *Blood* (2011) 117:6135–42. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-10-314609

11. Tukaj S, Tiburzy B, Manz R, de Castro Marques A, Orosz A, Ludwig RJ, et al. Immunomodulatory effects of heat shock protein 90 inhibition on humoral immune responses. *Exp Dermatol* (2014) 23:585–90. doi: 10.1111/exd.12476

12. Tukaj S, Hellberg L, Ueck C, Hänsel M, Samavedam U, Zillikens D, et al. Heat shock protein 90 is required for ex vivo neutrophil-driven autoantibodyinduced tissue damage in experimental epidermolysis bullosa acquisita. *Exp Dermatol* (2015) 24:471–3. doi: 10.1111/exd.12680

13. Tukaj S, Bieber K, Kleszczyński K, Witte M, Cames R, Kalies K, et al. Topically applied Hsp90 blocker 17AAG inhibits autoantibody-mediated blisterinducing cutaneous inflammation. *J Invest Dermatol* (2017) 137:341–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2016.08.032

14. Tukaj S, Kleszczyński K, Vafia K, Groth S, Meyersburg D, Trzonkowski P, et al. Aberrant expression and secretion of heat shock protein 90 in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *PloS One* (2013) 8:e70496. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070496

15. Tukaj S, Grüner D, Zillikens D, Kasperkiewicz M. Hsp90 blockade modulates bullous pemphigoid IgG-induced IL-8 production by keratinocytes. *Cell Stress Chaperones.* (2014) 19:887–94. doi: 10.1007/s12192-014-0513-8

16. Tukaj S, Mantej J, Sitko K, Bednarek M, Zillikens D, Ludwig RJ, et al. Evidence for a role of extracellular heat shock protein 70 in epidermolysis bullosa acquisita. *Exp Dermatol* (2022) 31:528–34. doi: 10.1111/exd.14495

17. Tukaj S, Mantej J, Sitko K, Zillikens D, Ludwig RJ, Bieber K, et al. Pathological relevance of anti-Hsp70 IgG autoantibodies in epidermolysis bullosa acquisita. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:877958. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.877958

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Lubkowska A, Pluta W, Strońska A, Lalko A. Role of heat shock proteins (HSP70 and HSP90) in viral infection. Int J Mol Sci (2021) 22:9366. doi: 10.3390/ijms22179366

19. Makhoba XH, Makumire S. The capture of host cell's resources: The role of heat shock proteins and polyamines in SARS-COV-2 (COVID-19) pathway to viral infection. *Biomol Concepts* (2022) 13:220–9. doi: 10.1515/bmc-2022-0008

20. Barone R, Marino Gammazza A, Paladino L, Pitruzzella A, Spinoso G, et al. Morphological alterations and stress protein variations in lung biopsies obtained from autopsies of COVID-19 subjects. *Cells* (2021) 10:3136. doi: 10.3390/cells10113136

21. Colunga Biancatelli RML, Solopov PA, Gregory B, Khodour Y, Catravas JD. HSP90 inhibitors modulate SARS-CoV-2 spike protein subunit 1-induced human pulmonary microvascular endothelial activation and barrier dysfunction. *Front Physiol* (2022) 13:812199. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.812199

22. Wyler E, Mösbauer K, Franke V, Diag A, Gottula LT, Arsiè R, et al. Transcriptomic profiling of SARS-CoV-2 infected human cell lines identifies HSP90 as target for COVID-19 therapy. *iScience* (2021) 24:102151. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.102151

23. Goswami R, Russell VS, Tu JJ, Thomas C, Hughes P, Kelly F, et al. Oral Hsp90 inhibitor SNX-5422 attenuates SARS-CoV-2 replication and dampens inflammation in airway cells. *iScience* (2021) 24:103412. doi: doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.103412

24. Human coronavirus dependency on host heat shock protein 90 reveals an antiviral target. *Emerg Microbes Infect* (2020) 9:2663-72. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1850183

25. Selkrig J, Stanifer M, Mateus A, Mitosch K, Barrio-Hernandez I, Rettel M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection remodels the host protein thermal stability landscape. *Mol Syst Biol* (2021) 17:e10188. doi: 10.15252/msb.202010188

26. Sultan I, Howard S, Tbakhi A. Drug repositioning suggests a role for the heat shock protein 90 inhibitor geldanamycin in treating COVID-19 infection. *Res Sq* (2020). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-18714/v1

27. Muhammad JS, Saheb Sharif-Askari N, Cui ZG, Hamad M, Halwani R. SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced promoter hypomethylation as an epigenetic modulator of heat shock protein A1L (HSPA1L) gene. *Front Genet* (2021) 12:622271. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.622271

28. Ibrahim IM, Abdelmalek DH, Elshahat ME, Elfiky AA. COVID-19 spikehost cell receptor GRP78 binding site prediction. J Infect (2020) 80:554–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.026

29. Elfiky AA, Ibrahim IM, Ibrahim MN, Elshemey WM. Host-cell recognition of SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain from different variants. *J Infect* (2022) 85(6):702–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2022.10.009

30. Carlos AJ, Ha DP, Yeh DW, Van Krieken R, Tseng CC, Zhang P, et al. The chaperone GRP78 is a host auxiliary factor for SARS-CoV-2 and GRP78 depleting antibody blocks viral entry and infection. *J Biol Chem* (2021) 296:100759. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100759

31. Kasperkiewicz M. Covid-19, heat shock proteins, and autoimmune bullous diseases: a potential link deserving further attention. *Cell Stress Chaperones.* (2021) 26:1–2. doi: 10.1007/s12192-020-01180-3

32. Mantej J, Bednarek M, Sitko K, Świętoń M, Tukaj S. Autoantibodies to heat shock protein 60, 70, and 90 are not altered in the anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG-seropositive humans without or with mild symptoms. *Cell Stress Chaperones.* (2021) 26:735–40. doi: 10.1007/s12192-021-01215-3

33. Kasperkiewicz M, Bednarek M, Tukaj S. Case report: Circulating anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies do not cross-react with pemphigus or pemphigoid autoantigens. *Front Med (Lausanne)* (2021) 8:807711. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.807711

34. Tukaj S. Heat shock protein 70 as a double agent acting inside and outside the cell: insights into autoimmunity. *Int J Mol Sci* (2020) 21:5298. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21155298