



# The Inhibitory Receptor Siglec-8 Interacts With FccRI and Globally Inhibits Intracellular Signaling in Primary Mast Cells Upon Activation

Wouter Korver<sup>1</sup>, Alan Wong<sup>1</sup>, Simon Gebremeskel<sup>1</sup>, Gian Luca Negri<sup>2</sup>, Julia Schanin<sup>1</sup>, Katherine Chang<sup>1</sup>, John Leung<sup>1</sup>, Zachary Benet<sup>1</sup>, Thuy Luu<sup>1</sup>, Emily C. Brock<sup>1</sup>, Kenneth Luehrsen<sup>1</sup>, Alan Xu<sup>1</sup> and Bradford A. Youngblood<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Allakos Inc., Redwood City, CA, United States, <sup>2</sup> LM Biostat Consulting Inc., Victoria, BC, Canada

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Frans J. Van Overveld, University College Roosevelt, Netherlands

#### Reviewed by:

Zane Orinska, Leibniz-Zentrum für Medizin und Biowissenschaften, Forschungszentrum Borstel, Germany Jesse Goyette, University of New South Wales, Australia

\*Correspondence:

Bradford A. Youngblood byoungblood@allakos.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Inflammation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 12 December 2021 Accepted: 11 January 2022 Published: 28 January 2022

#### Citation:

Korver W, Wong A, Gebremeskel S, Negri GL, Schanin J, Chang K, Leung J, Benet Z, Luu T, Brock EC, Luehrsen K, Xu A and Youngblood BA (2022) The Inhibitory Receptor Siglec-8 Interacts With Fc&RI and Globally Inhibits Intracellular Signaling in Primary Mast Cells Upon Activation. Front. Immunol. 13:833728. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.833728 Immunomodulation of mast cell (MC) activity is warranted in allergic and inflammatory diseases where MCs have a central role in pathogenesis. Targeting Siglec-8, an inhibitory receptor on MCs and eosinophils, has shown promising activity in preclinical and clinical studies. While the intracellular pathways that regulate Siglec-8 activity in eosinophils have been well studied, the signaling mechanisms that lead to MC inhibition have not been fully elucidated. Here, we evaluate the intracellular signaling pathways of Siglec-8-mediated inhibition in primary MCs using an anti-Siglec-8 monoclonal antibody (mAb). Phosphoproteomic profiling of FccRI-activated MCs revealed Siglec-8 mAb-treatment globally inhibited proximal and downstream kinases, leading to attenuated MC activation and degranulation. In fact, Siglec-8 was found to directly interact with FcERI signaling molecules. Siglec-8 inhibition was dependent on both cytoplasmic immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs (ITIMs) that interact with the SH2 containing protein phosphatase Shp-2 upon Siglec-8 phosphorylation. Taken together, these data support a model in which Siglec-8 regulates proximal FcERI-induced phosphorylation events through phosphatase recruitment and interaction with FcεRlγ, resulting in global inhibition of MCs upon Siglec-8 mAb engagement.

Keywords: mast cells, IgE receptor, Siglec-8, intracellular signaling, proteomics

## INTRODUCTION

Mast cells (MCs) are found at the internal and external interfaces of the body, in particular at mucosal surfaces, surrounding blood vessels, and directly interacting with peripheral nerves. They respond to a broad array of both IgE-dependent and -independent activating signals, including allergens, cytokines, complement proteins, Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands, and neuropeptides (1). Upon stimulation, MCs secrete a broad range of inflammatory mediators that can cause anaphylactic responses and drive acute and chronic inflammation (2). Because of the myriad inflammatory mediators they release, and their ability to recruit and activate other immune cells and respond to their environment, MCs are considered key drivers of pathology in gastrointestinal, ophthalmic, dermatologic, respiratory, and proliferative diseases (3).

Sialic-acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin (Siglec)-8 is an inhibitory receptor selectively expressed on human MCs and eosinophils (4, 5). Since Siglec-8 does not have a true functional orthologue in non-primates, studies on receptor function in MCs rely on transgenic mice or ex vivo assessments of human cells (6). Targeting Siglec-8 with a monoclonal antibody (mAb) has shown promising inhibitory activity in both pre-clinical and clinical studies (6). Multiple studies have evaluated the intracellular pathways of Siglec-8-mediated cell death in IL-5-primed eosinophils and have demonstrated a role for CD11b/CD18 integrin-mediated adhesion, Src family kinases, Syk, and SHIP1 (7,8). However, unlike the activity seen in eosinophils, Siglec-8 does not induce death of MCs, suggesting the intracellular pathways of Siglec-8 signaling in eosinophils and MCs are different (9). Like many inhibitory receptors, Siglec-8 contains two immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs (ITIMs) in its cytoplasmic domain that are thought to participate in negative regulation of MC activation (10, 11). Indeed, Siglec-8 mAbs have been shown to inhibit both IgE-dependent and -independent MC activation in vivo, including systemic anaphylaxis in humanized mice and IL-33and Substance P-mediated MC activation in Siglec-8 transgenic mice (12-14). Similarly, nanoparticles displaying allergen and Siglec-8 ligands have been shown to suppress phosphorylation of kinases activated by high affinity IgE receptor (FcERI) signaling and reduce IgE-mediated murine anaphylaxis (15). Consistent with Siglec-8 functioning as an inhibitory receptor, the membrane proximal ITIM was shown to be required for Siglec-8 mAbmediated inhibition of FcERI-dependent calcium flux and secretory responses in MCs (9). Despite these studies identifying specific components of Siglec-8 signaling in MCs, the intracellular mechanisms that contribute to Siglec-8-mediated inhibition of MCs have not been comprehensively evaluated.

In the current study, we investigate the inhibitory effects of Siglec-8 on Fc $\epsilon$ RI intracellular signaling in primary MCs using a Siglec-8 mAb. Through phospho-proteome profiling of Fc $\epsilon$ RI-activated MCs, we find that Siglec-8 mAb treatment globally inhibits intracellular phosphorylation events, including inhibition of the kinases involved in initiating the Fc $\epsilon$ RI signaling cascade. We demonstrate that both cytoplasmic ITIMs confer Siglec-8 mediated MC inhibition and are required for Shp-2 recruitment. Consistent with broad Fc $\epsilon$ RI-mediated inhibition, we demonstrate that Siglec-8 directly interacts with Fc $\epsilon$ RI machinery. Collectively, these data provide additional mechanistic insight into the intracellular signaling pathways that contribute to Siglec-8-mediated MC inhibition.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Bone Marrow Mast Cell Generation**

Bone marrow from the femurs and tibias of wild-type C57BL/6 mice or Siglec-8 transgenic mice (16) was cultured in complete medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Cytiva), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Gibco), 50  $\mu$ M  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (Gibco) in the presence of 10ng/mL mIL-3, Stemcell Technologies). After 2 days of culture, cells in

suspension were transferred to a new flask and fresh culture medium was added two times per week with the cell count maintained between  $0.5-1 \times 10^6$ /mL for 6-8 weeks prior to use. MC maturity was monitored using flow cytometry staining with antibodies against CD45 (clone 30-F11, Biolegend), and MC markers CD117 (clone 2B8, eBiosciences) and FccRI $\alpha$  (clone MAR-1, Biolegend). Siglec-8 expression was monitored using PE conjugated antibody from R&D Systems (clone 837535). Bone marrow mast cells are referred to as BMMC (from C57BL/6 wild-type mice) and S8-BMMC (from Siglec-8 transgenic mice).

Methods for human MC generation and activation can be found in the **Supplementary Material**.

# FccRI-Mediated Bone Marrow Mast Cell Activation and Siglec-8 mAb Treatment

S8-BMMC were plated in 96-well round bottom tissue culture plates at 5x10<sup>4</sup> per well and centrifuged for 2 min at 400g prior to resuspending with biotinylated anti-FcERI (clone MAR-1, Biolegend) at 250ng/mL plus biotinylated isotype-control mouse antibody (MOPC21, mouse IgG1, Allakos) or biotinylated Siglec-8 mAb (2E2, mouse IgG1, Allakos) at 5µg/mL at 4°C for 2 min. Cells were washed in PBS and then incubated in PBS with 10µg/mL neutravidin (Thermo) for 2 min. After an additional PBS wash, cells were resuspended in 200µl 37°C complete medium and incubated for 20 min at 37°C for flow analysis or for 1 or 6 hours for analysis of histamine or cytokine levels in the supernatant. For flow cytometry, cells were resuspended in 100µl cold FACS buffer (PBS/1%BSA) containing 100ng anti-CD63-PE/Cy7 antibody (clone NVG-2, Biolegend), 100ng anti-CD107a-PE (clone 1D4B, Biolegend), 3µl 7-AAD (Becton Dickinson) as viability marker and 0.2µl mouse Fc block (BD). The percent of CD63 and CD107a expressing cells was determined by flow cytometry on a Novocyte Quanteon (Agilent). For cytokine quantification, 25µl of supernatant was analyzed using Meso Scale Discovery's U-plex kit (customized for the quantification of the indicated cytokines). Histamine levels were determined using an enzyme immunoassay kit (Beckman Coulter).

# Transfections, Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting

BMMCs  $(2x10^6)$  were transfected with 10µg plasmid expressing wild-type or mutant full-length Siglec-8 fused with an N-terminal FLAG tag. In addition to the wild-type sequence, plasmids containing ITIM mutants of Siglec-8 were generated by changing the tyrosine residue at position 447 of the proximal motif (wildtype=LHYATL) to phenylalanine (Y447F=LHFATL) and the tyrosine at position 470 of the distal motif (wild-type=SEYSEIK) to phenylalanine (Y470F= SEFSEIK). The double mutant contains both mutations (Y447F+Y470F). For all transfections, plasmid DNA (10  $\mu$ g) was added to 2x10<sup>6</sup> cells and transfected using the 4D-Nucleofector (Lonza) with P3 nucleofector solution and Supplement 1 and program DS-130. Transfection efficiency of BMMC was consistently between 80-95% under these conditions. Cells were incubated at 37°C in complete medium for 6 hours for lysis for IP/WB or 16 hours for functional assays (FcERI crosslinking). A fresh 30mM stock solution of pervanadate was prepared by adding 150µl of 200mM sodium orthovanadate (FivePhoton/

Fisher) to 844 $\mu$ l PBS, plus 6.1 $\mu$ l 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Sigma) and incubated for 20 min at room temperature prior to addition to cells at the indicated final concentrations to inhibit phosphatases.

Cells were lysed in Pierce IP lysis buffer (Thermo) with HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo). Lysates were used directly for Western Blotting or immunoprecipitation by adding 25µl Pierce anti-FLAG or anti-HA magnetic agarose beads (Thermo) for 30 min at room temperature while rotating. Siglec-8 IPs were performed using anti-Siglec-8 polyclonal rabbit antibody (Thermo, PA5-28846) at 10µg/mL for 30 min followed by addition of Magnetic Protein A/G beads (Pierce). After 4 washes with TBS-T, proteins were eluted by incubation at 70°C for 10 min in 1xLB with reducing agent added (Thermo), separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western Blot analysis. 5% milk (Bio-Rad) in TBS-0.1%Tween-20 was used for blocking. Anti-FLAG antibody was from Sigma; anti-HA, anti-Lyn (clone LYN-01) and anti-Siglec-8 from Thermo; anti-Shp-2 (clone D50F2) from Cell Signaling Technologies; 4G10 from Millipore/ Sigma. Anti-FLAG and anti-4G10 antibodies for Western blotting were directly conjugated to HRP, other primary antibodies were detected using HRP conjugated mouse anti-rabbit IgG, light chain specific (Jackson ImmunoResearch 211-032-171) at 1:2,000 dilution in TBS-T/5% milk. Western blots were developed using Immobilon Forte western HRP substrate (Millipore) and imaged using the iBright imaging system (Thermo).

### **Mass Spectrometry**

Detailed methods for MS and proteomics analysis can be found in the **Supplementary Material**.

### **Confocal Microscopy**

S8-BMMC were stained in PBS/1% BSA on ice for 30 min with anti-S8-AF647 (Allakos), anti-FccRI-PE (clone MAR-1, Biolegend) and fixed in 2% PFA. For crosslinking, S8-BMMC were treated as described under 'FccRI-Mediated Bone Marrow Mast Cell Activation and Siglec-8 mAb Treatment' and subsequently fixed in 2% PFA. Fixed cells were spun onto poly-D-lysine ( $50\mu$ g/mL for 1 hour, GIBCO) coated 96-well glass plates (Cellvis) at 2,000g for 5 min and covered in 200ul PBS with 1µg/mL DAPI for nuclear staining. Cells were imaged on a Leica Stellaris 5 confocal microscope using a 63x objective with oil immersion. Images were analyzed using ImageJ. Briefly, cells were identified manually as ROIs. Within ROIs, bright foci greater than 0.6 µm in diameter were defined as punctae. Intensity of PE was then determined by averaging the fluorescent intensity within each punctae mask.

## RESULTS

#### Bone Marrow Mast Cells From Transgenic Mice Express Functional Siglec-8 and Respond to FccRI-Mediated Activation

To interrogate MC activation and inhibition *in vitro*, we developed a system using MCs derived from the bone marrow of Siglec-8 transgenic mice (S8-BMMCs) (16). Upon differentiation after about 6 weeks of culture, these MCs displayed a mature phenotype, including expression of MC phenotypic markers and Siglec-8 (**Figure 1A**).

Stimulation of S8-BMMCs with an agonistic anti-FcERI antibody in the presence of an isotype control antibody (ISO), induced degranulation as detected by the upregulation of degranulation markers CD63 and CD107a on mast cells (Figure 1B). In addition, FceRI-mediated activation significantly induced histamine secretion (Figure 1C, measured after 1 hour), and TNFa, IL-6 and CCL2 cytokine secretion (Figure 1D, at 6 hours) compared to unstimulated cells. In the presence of a Siglec-8 mAb, both degranulation and mediator release by activated S8-BMMCs were strongly inhibited compared to isotype control treatment (Figures 1B-D). Siglec-8 mAb-mediated inhibition of MC degranulation was concentration dependent: a statistically significant reduction in the upregulation of CD63 and CD107a compared to isotype control treatment was observed with Siglec-8 mAb concentrations of 80ng/mL and higher (Figure 1E). To determine if the presence of FcERI-bound IgE affected Siglec-8 mAb activity, we cultured S8-BMMCs in the presence of titrating concentrations of IgE. While the level of FcERI-mediated activation was lower in the presence of a high IgE concentration, the inhibition by Siglec-8 mAb was not affected (Supplementary Figure 1A). These data demonstrate that S8-BMMCs respond to FceRI-mediated activation in vitro and express functional Siglec-8. To confirm natively expressed Siglec-8 functioned similarly, we evaluated Siglec-8 mAbmediated inhibition in human primary MCs derived from peripheral blood CD34<sup>+</sup> cells activated with agonistic antihuman FceRI antibody CRA-1. Similar levels of Siglec-8 mAbinhibition were seen in human MCs compared to S8-BMMCs, demonstrating transgenic expression of Siglec-8 in BMMCs is reflective of human function (Supplementary Figures 1B, C).

# Siglec-8 mAb Treatment Globally Inhibits FccRI-Induced Intracellular Signaling

Inhibition of MCs through Siglec-8 has been described previously (9, 12, 13, 16), but the intracellular signaling pathways have not been fully elucidated. To investigate this, we profiled phospho-proteomes of S8-BMMCs that were stimulated in the absence or presence of Siglec-8 mAb by mass spectrometry. Three treatment conditions were evaluated in duplicate: 1) unstimulated S8-BMMCs, and S8-BMMCs activated by cross-linking of the FccR1 receptor for 2 min in the presence 2) or absence 3) of Siglec-8 mAb. Proteomes were labeled by Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) and enriched for phosphoserine, -threonine and -tyrosine peptides prior to analysis by mass spectrometry and subsequent quantification of phosphopeptides (**Figure 2A**).

In the FccRI stimulated MCs, 348 unique phosphosites were identified as differentially phosphorylated by more than 2-fold, of which 41 were upregulated more than 4-fold relative to unstimulated control MCs (adjusted p-value < 0.05; **Figure 2B**). Strikingly, in the presence of a Siglec-8 mAb the number of differential phosphosites decreased to 71 (2-fold) and 7 (4-fold),



**FIGURE 1** | BMMC from transgenic mice respond to FccRI activation and express functional Siglec-8. (A) BMMC-S8 flow cytometry gating strategy. Right panel represents Siglec-8 staining (blue) and FMO stain (grey) (B) Levels of surrogate degranulation markers CD63 and CD107a on the cell surface of unstimulated MC (NS; black bars), when cross-linked with the anti-FccRI antibody MAR-1 for 15 min (ISO + anti-FccRI, grey bars) or co-cross-linked with MAR-1 and Siglec-8 mAb (S8 + anti-FccRI, blue bars). (C) Histamine concentrations in the culture medium of MC collected 1 hour after stimulation as in (B). (D) Cytokine concentrations in the culture medium of MC collected 1 hour after stimulation with anti-FccRI-mediated (MAR-1) activation. Left panel: % of CD63 positive MC. Right panel: % of CD107a positive MC. Data are plotted as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=5) and are representative of 5 experiments. \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA test (B–D) or unpaired t test (E).

respectively. A heatmap representing differential phosphorylation events further confirmed that the majority of the FccRI-induced phosphorylation events were reduced in the presence of Siglec-8 mAb (**Figure 2C**). Many of the abundantly phosphorylated peptides that were decreased represented key proteins known to be immediately downstream of FccRI signaling (17–19), including the kinase Syk, adaptor molecules Gab2 and Dapp1, and the more downstream kinases protein kinase C and Akt (**Figure 2C**). In addition, Siglec-8 mAb-treatment inhibited signaling molecules phosphorylated upon activation such as Erk, the phosphatase Ship1, scaffold proteins Wipf1, Ahnak and Lat2 and the gamma subunit of FccRI itself (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure 2). The induction and inhibition of global p-Tyr phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure 3A) and specific phosphorylation events for p-Syk and p-Erk were confirmed by Western blot and intracellular flow cytometry analysis (Supplementary Figures 3B-D).



FIGURE 2 | Phospho-proteome of FccRI-stimulated and Siglec-8-inhibited S8-BMMCs. (A) Schematic of the process for determining phospho-proteomes of MCs. (B) Volcano plots of Log2 fold change against statistical significance of the abundance of phospho-peptides 2 min after FccRI crosslinking in the presence of isotype control antibody (top panel) or Siglec-8 mAb (bottom panel). Highlighted are the peptides upregulated more than 4-fold. (C) Heatmap of quantified phospho-peptides. Each line represents a unique phospho-peptide with the first two columns representing the unstimulated MCs in two independent experiments, the next two columns the phospho-proteome from MCs activated through FccRI and the last two columns represent activation in the presence of a Siglec-8 mAb. The heatmap is ranked from top to bottom by fold induction upon activation averaged over the two experiments. Right panel: zoom in on the top sections of the heatmap in (C). (D) Bar graph representing the number of phosphosites predicted to be phosphorylated by the indicated kinase in the FccRI stimulated conditions. (E) Kinase tree highlighting the kinases that are known to modify sites that showed differential phosphorylation between the isotype and Siglec-8 mAb conditions upon FccRI activation.

### Siglec-8 Inhibits Proximal Kinase Activity Upon FccRI-Mediated Mast Cell Activation

Using the integrative PhosphoSitePlus database (20), we mapped phosphorylation sites to annotated kinases to identify differences in kinase activity between Siglec-8 mAb vs isotype conditions. We identified proximal kinases known to be associated with FcERI activation (Lyn, Syk, Fyn) as well as kinases involved in downstream pathways (e.g., MAPK family members) (Figure 2D). FceRI-activated MCs treated with a Siglec-8 mAb had fewer phosphorylated sites for all predicted kinases compared to activated MCs treated with an isotype control antibody (Figure 2D). The kinase tree in Figure 2E highlights the kinases known to modify the sites that showed differential phosphorylation (1.2 fold) between isotype and Siglec-8 mAb conditions, including the tyrosine kinases that function at the top of the FcERI signaling cascade (Syk, Lyn) as well as those downstream (Ikkb). These data demonstrate that Siglec-8 mediates global inhibition of FcERI signaling in primary MCs by regulating FceRI-mediated kinase activity upon mAb engagement.

#### Both Siglec-8 ITIMs Are Involved in the Inhibition of FccRI-Induced Degranulation and Phosphatase Recruitment

Siglec-8 contains two ITIMs in its cytosolic tail (Figure 3A). The motif nearest to the membrane was previously demonstrated to be required for Siglec-8 mediated inhibition in rat basophilic leukemia cell activation (9), but whether a single or both tyrosines in the ITIMs of the intracellular domain of Siglec-8 are critical for inhibitory activity in primary MC is unknown. Expression constructs were generated for mutant versions of Siglec-8, in which the tyrosine residues were replaced with phenylalanine residues, either separately (Y447F and Y470F) or combined to generate a double mutant (Y447F+Y470F). BMMCs transfected with each of the wild-type or mutant Siglec-8 constructs were activated via anti-FcERI agonist antibody MAR-1 (ISO+anti-FcERI). FcERI activation was inhibited in the presence of a Siglec-8 mAb for BMMCs transfected with wild-type or single-mutant Siglec-8 (Figure 3B), although inhibitory function was partially attenuated in BMMCs transfected with the membrane proximal ITIM mutant (Y447F), but not the membrane distal ITIM mutant (Y470F). However, BMMCs expressing the double ITIM mutant lost almost all Siglec-8-mediated inhibition. The percent inhibition calculated from these data obtained for each Siglec-8 variant is plotted in Figure 3C. These data demonstrate that both functional ITIMs are required for Siglec-8 mediated inhibition in FcERI-activated primary BMMCs.

Src-homology 2 (SH2) domain containing protein tyrosine phosphatases have been shown to be critical for inhibition of immune cells through Siglec family members and other ITIM containing receptors (10, 21). We detected Shp-1 at low levels in BMMCs and did not observe an interaction with Siglec-8 (data not shown). In T cells, mechanistic and single cell imaging studies of programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) demonstrated that Shp-2 is required for inhibition (22, 23). Since the ITIMs were required for Siglec-8 mediated inhibition in BMMCs (Figure 3B), we assessed if Shp-2 physically interacted with Siglec-8 in BMMCs transfected with FLAG-tagged Siglec-8 (wild-type or doublemutant) in the presence or absence of the phosphatase inhibitor pervanadate (PVD). In the absence or in the presence of 0.1mM concentrations of PVD, Shp-2 did not co-immunoprecipitate with Siglec-8 despite the presence of Shp-2 protein in whole cell lysates under all conditions (Figure 3D, panel 1 + 2). Incubation with a high concentration of PVD (1mM) resulted in Shp-2 coimmunoprecipitating with wild-type Siglec-8, but not with the double ITIM mutant (Figure 3D, panels 2 + 3). To evaluate if the Shp-2/Siglec-8 interaction was dependent on Siglec-8 ITIM phosphorylation, we probed with a pan-tyrosine phosphorylation antibody (Figure 3D, panel 4). Indeed, wild-type but not the double ITIM mutant Siglec-8 was tyrosine phosphorylated at the high PVD concentration (Figure 3D, panel 5). These data strongly suggest that Siglec-8 phosphorylation within the ITIM motifs is required for Shp-2 interaction.

# Siglec-8 Interacts With Proximal FccRI Signaling Machinery

The inhibition of Fc $\epsilon$ RI-mediated proximal signaling events led us to hypothesize that Siglec-8 may directly interact with Fc $\epsilon$ RI signaling components. We investigated potential physical interactions of Siglec-8 with Fc $\epsilon$ RI and associated signaling molecules using BMMCs transfected with FLAG-tagged Siglec-8. Surprisingly, Siglec-8 co-immunoprecipitated with Fc $\epsilon$ RI $\gamma$ from lysates of unstimulated MC, demonstrating that these proteins can co-exist in a complex (**Figure 4A**). Since the Src kinase Lyn is immediately activated upon IgE cross-linking of Fc $\epsilon$ RI (24–26), and its predicted level of phosphorylation was decreased in Siglec-8 mAb-treated MCs (**Figures 2D, E**), we evaluated if Lyn also interacted with Siglec-8. Indeed, we detected Lyn in the immunoprecipitate of Siglec-8, demonstrating that Lyn also can interact in complex with Siglec-8 (**Figure 4B**).

Next, we investigated if Siglec-8 colocalized with FcERI in S8-BMMCs using confocal microscopy. We observed a relatively homogeneous distribution of Siglec-8 and the FcERI receptor complex on the membrane of unstimulated S8-BMMCs, suggesting they may partially co-localize, in line with the immunoprecipitation data (Figure 4C, top panels). Co-crosslinking of both FcERI and Siglec-8 resulted in large membrane associated structures (punctae) (Figure 4C, bottom panels), in which Siglec-8 and FcERI co-resided. Interestingly, co-localization of both receptors was reduced when only FcERI was engaged with mAb (Figure 4C, middle panels). Quantification of the confocal images confirmed a significant increase in the number of punctae and the presence of Siglec-8 within these punctae when FcERI and Siglec-8 were both co-cross-linked compared to unstimulated or FcERI only engagement (Figure 4D). The level of Siglec-8 present in complex with FcERI was trending lower when FcERI was crosslinked by itself compared to unstimulated cells. Taken together, these data demonstrate that Siglec-8 interacts with FcERI and its signaling components and suggest the existence of



blot analysis of Siglec-8-Shp2 interaction. Immunoblot (IB) of whole cell lysates (WCL) or FLAG immunoprecipitations (IP) from primary MC mock transfected or with expression constructs for wild-type Siglec-8-FLAG or ITIM double mutant Siglec-8-FLAG. Cells were subjected to PVD treatment for two min and WCL were analyzed for presence of Shp-2 (panel 1) and p-Tyr (panel 4). Anti-FLAG IPs were analyzed for presence of Shp-2 (panel 2), Siglec-8-FLAG (panel 3) and p-Tyr (panel 5). Experiments were performed 2-3 times for confirmation.

multi-protein complexes where activating and inhibitory receptors interact with multiple proximal signaling mediators. We propose a model whereby recruitment of sufficient levels of Siglec-8 to FccRI complexes results in increased phosphatase activity that inhibits proximal kinase activity leading to broad attenuation of intracellular signaling and subsequent degranulation in MCs (**Figure 5**).

# DISCUSSION

In recent years, our understanding of the interplay between activating and inhibitory receptors in immune cells has greatly increased through large scale expression and proteomics studies. As key effector cells in acute and chronic allergic and inflammatory responses, MC numbers and activation state



have been shown to be aberrantly increased in several diseases (3, 27, 28). The inhibitory receptor Siglec-8 has emerged as a promising drug target in MC-driven diseases and the work described herein was carried out to study in detail the effects of Siglec-8 engagement on intracellular signaling upon MC activation through Fc $\epsilon$ RI.

While inhibition of  $Fc\epsilon RI$ -mediated activation of MC through Siglec-8 engagement has been demonstrated previously (9, 15, 16), the consequences of this inhibition on intracellular signaling have not been thoroughly investigated. Here we present the first comprehensive evaluation of phosphoproteomes from  $Fc\epsilon RI$ -activated and Siglec-8-engaged MCs. Our

data support the conclusion that broad inhibition of proximal anti-FceRI-induced phosphorylation events in primary MCs results in attenuated degranulation and mediator release in the presence of Siglec-8 mAb.

The inhibition by a Siglec-8 mAb is contingent on the presence of intracellular tyrosine residues within the ITIM domains, as has been described for other Siglec family members (9, 29, 30). We provide evidence that both ITIM domains in Siglec-8 participate in inhibiting FccRI-induced activation in primary S8-BMMCs. This is analogous to the observed requirement for inhibition through CD22 (Siglec-2) in B cells, which was shown to depend on all three of its ITIMs



for fully efficient inhibition (30). In contrast, data from studies with Siglec-7 and Siglec-9 demonstrated only the most proximal of two ITIMs to be critical for inhibitory activity (29). The differences between the requirements of ITIM motifs for inhibition may depend on 1) the origin of the cell type used, whether it is a primary cell or immortalized line, 2) the expression of downstream signaling molecules in these cells, particularly SH2 containing protein tyrosine phosphatases Shp-1 and Shp-2 and 3) the conformation/dimerization of the receptors. In structural studies, Shp-2 was found to require both of its SH2 domains for binding to phosphorylated ITIMs (31), which may be achieved by engaging with two motifs on the same receptor or through dimerization of receptors. While Shp-1 was detected at low levels in BMMCs, we cannot rule out a role for Shp-1 similar to Shp-2 based on our data.

In contrast to the cell death-inducing activity mediated by Siglec-8 mAb antibodies in eosinophils (12, 32), our in vitro assessments of Siglec-8 mediated MC activity revealed little evidence of inhibition by a Siglec-8 mAb in the absence of FcERI-induced activation. These data suggest that a close physical association of activating and inhibitory receptors is required for inhibition and are consistent with recent work studying CD33 (Siglec-3) and Siglec-8 (15, 33). The authors demonstrate that FcERI and Siglec-8/CD33 are not entirely colocalized in the same membrane microdomain and active recruitment of the inhibitory receptor to the lipid rafts containing the IgE-FcERI complex is required. However, Siglec-8 mAbs have also been shown to inhibit non-ITAMmediated activation of MCs, including stimulation through cytokine receptors, GPCRs, and TLRs (13, 14, 28). These data suggest that Siglec-8 could modulate intracellular signaling pathways differently depending on the mode of MC activation. In support of this, transcriptomic profiling of peritoneal MCs stimulated with IL-33 and treated with a Siglec-8 mAb showed

significantly increased expression of genes associated with TLR inhibition, MyD88 signaling, and other ITIM-containing receptors (13). Additional studies are needed to elucidate how Siglec-8 and its engagement inhibits IgE-independent MC activation.

Interaction of Siglec-8 with  $Fc \in RI\gamma$  was detected in coimmunoprecipitation and imaging experiments (**Figures 4A, C**), which suggests that at least subsets of these two proteins are in the same protein complex in resting MC. Recent high precision microscopy studies demonstrated, in accordance with our model, subtle changes in location and organization in the plasma membrane between resting and stimulated states, with lipid-based and protein-based interactions leading to suprathreshold phosphorylation by facilitating access of kinase and exclusion of phosphatase activity (34). Collectively, our data provide novel mechanistic insight into intracellular signaling pathways that contribute to Siglec-8 mediated MC inhibition and suggest that Siglec-8 can influence the complex orchestration of activating and inhibitory activities by regulating upstream kinases and phosphatases upon stimulation through  $Fc \in RI$ .

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: ProteomeXchange, PXD030820 link: http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/ GetDataset?ID=PXD030820

### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

Ethical review and approval was not required for the animal study because the involvement of animals was solely for the

harvesting of cells for culturing and *in vitro* experiments. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical conduct in the care and use of animals and in compliance with ARRIVE guidelines.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

WK, JS, BY designed experiments. WK, AW, SG, JS, KC, JL, ZB, TL, EB, KL conducted experiments. GN analyzed proteomics data and provided bioinformatics support. WK, BY wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

#### REFERENCES

- Komi DEA, Mortaz E, Amani S, Tiotiu A, Folkerts G, Adcock IM. The Role of Mast Cells in IgE-Independent Lung Diseases. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* (2020) 58(3):377–87. doi: 10.1007/s12016-020-08779-5
- Moon TC, Befus AD, Kulka M. Mast Cell Mediators: Their Differential Release and the Secretory Pathways Involved. *Front Immunol* (2014) 5:569. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00569
- Krystel-Whittemore M, Dileepan KN, Wood JG. Mast Cell: A Multi-Functional Master Cell. Front Immunol (2015) 6:620. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2015.00620
- Kikly KK, Bochner BS, Freeman SD, Tan KB, Gallagher KT, D'Alessio KJ, et al. Identification of SAF-2, a Novel Siglec Expressed on Eosinophils, Mast Cells, and Basophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2000) 105(6 Pt 1):1093–100. doi: 10.1067/mai.2000.107127
- Floyd H, Ni J, Cornish AL, Zeng Z, Liu D, Carter KC, et al. Siglec-8. A Novel Eosinophil-Specific Member of the Immunoglobulin Superfamily. J Biol Chem (2000) 275(2):861–6. doi: 10.1074/jbc.275.2.861
- Youngblood BA, Leung J, Falahati R, Williams J, Schanin J, Brock EC, et al. Discovery, Function, and Therapeutic Targeting of Siglec-8. *Cells* (2020) 10 (1):19. doi: 10.3390/cells10010019
- Carroll DJ, O'Sullivan JA, Nix DB, Cao Y, Tiemeyer M, Bochner BS. Sialic Acid-Binding Immunoglobulin-Like Lectin 8 (Siglec-8) is an Activating Receptor Mediating Beta2-Integrin-Dependent Function in Human Eosinophils. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2018) 141(6):2196–207. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2017.08.013
- Carroll DJ, Cao Y, Bochner BS, O'Sullivan JA. Siglec-8 Signals Through a Non-Canonical Pathway to Cause Human Eosinophil Death In Vitro. Front Immunol (2021) 12:737988. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.737988
- Yokoi H, Choi OH, Hubbard W, Lee HS, Canning BJ, Lee HH, et al. Inhibition of FcepsilonRI-Dependent Mediator Release and Calcium Flux From Human Mast Cells by Sialic Acid-Binding Immunoglobulin-Like Lectin 8 Engagement. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2008) 121(2):499–505 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2007.10.004
- Crocker PR, Paulson JC, Varki A. Siglecs and Their Roles in the Immune System. Nat Rev Immunol (2007) 7(4):255–66. doi: 10.1038/nri2056
- Duan S, Paulson JC. Siglecs as Immune Cell Checkpoints in Disease. Annu Rev Immunol (2020) 38:365–95. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-102419-035900
- 12. Youngblood BA, Brock EC, Leung J, Falahati R, Bryce PJ, Bright J, et al. AK002, a Humanized Sialic Acid-Binding Immunoglobulin-Like Lectin-8 Antibody That Induces Antibody-Dependent Cell-Mediated Cytotoxicity Against Human Eosinophils and Inhibits Mast Cell-Mediated Anaphylaxis in Mice. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* (2019) 180(2):91–102. doi: 10.1159/000501637
- Schanin J, Gebremeskel S, Korver W, Falahati R, Butuci M, Haw TJ, et al. A Monoclonal Antibody to Siglec-8 Suppresses non-Allergic Airway Inflammation and Inhibits IgE-Independent Mast Cell Activation. *Mucosal Immunol* (2021) 14(2):366–76. doi: 10.1038/s41385-020-00336-9
- Gebremeskel S, Davis T, Wong A, Brock E, Leung J, Schanin J, et al. A Siglec-8 Antibody Reduces Substance P-Induced Inflammation by Inhibiting MRGPR-Mediated Mast Cell Activation. *Allergy* (2020) 75(Suppl. 109):5–99. doi: 10.1111/all.14504

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Editing support was provided by Jocelyn Hybiske, PhD, a professional medical writer, funded by Allakos. The model was created with biorender.com. We thank Drs Bruce Bochner and Bob Schleimer for critical reading of the manuscript.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022. 833728/full#supplementary-material

- 15. Duan S, Arlian BM, Nycholat CM, Wei Y, Tateno H, Smith SA, et al. Nanoparticles Displaying Allergen and Siglec-8 Ligands Suppress IgE-FcepsilonRI-Mediated Anaphylaxis and Desensitize Mast Cells to Subsequent Antigen Challenge. J Immunol (2021) 206(10):2290–300. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1901212
- Youngblood BA, Brock EC, Leung J, Falahati R, Bochner BS, Rasmussen HS, et al. Siglec-8 Antibody Reduces Eosinophils and Mast Cells in a Transgenic Mouse Model of Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis. *JCI Insight* (2019) 4(19): e126219. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.126219
- Cao L, Yu K, Banh C, Nguyen V, Ritz A, Raphael BJ, et al. Quantitative Time-Resolved Phosphoproteomic Analysis of Mast Cell Signaling. J Immunol (2007) 179(9):5864–76. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.9.5864
- Kambayashi T, Koretzky GA. Proximal Signaling Events in Fc Epsilon RI-Mediated Mast Cell Activation. J Allergy Clin Immunol (2007) 119(3):544–52; quiz 553-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2007.01.017
- Oettgen HC, Burton OT. IgE and Mast Cells: The Endogenous Adjuvant. Adv Immunol (2015) 127:203–56. doi: 10.1016/bs.ai.2015.03.001
- Hornbeck PV, Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, Skrzypek E. PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: Mutations, PTMs and Recalibrations. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2015) 43(Database issue):D512–20. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1267
- Macauley MS, Crocker PR, Paulson JC. Siglec-Mediated Regulation of Immune Cell Function in Disease. Nat Rev Immunol (2014) 14(10):653–66. doi: 10.1038/nri3737
- Yokosuka T, Takamatsu M, Kobayashi-Imanishi W, Hashimoto-Tane A, Azuma M, Saito T. Programmed Cell Death 1 Forms Negative Costimulatory Microclusters That Directly Inhibit T Cell Receptor Signaling by Recruiting Phosphatase SHP2. J Exp Med (2012) 209(6):1201–17. doi: 10.1084/jem.20112741
- Patsoukis N, Duke-Cohan JS, Chaudhri A, Aksoylar HI, Wang Q, Council A, et al. Interaction of SHP-2 SH2 Domains With PD-1 ITSM Induces PD-1 Dimerization and SHP-2 Activation. *Commun Biol* (2020) 3(1):128. doi: 10.1038/s42003-020-0845-0
- Scharenberg AM, Kinet JP. Initial Events in Fc Epsilon RI Signal Transduction. J Allergy Clin Immunol (1994) 94(6 Pt 2):1142–6. doi: 10.1016/0091-6749(94)90323-9
- Nunomura S, Gon Y, Yoshimaru T, Suzuki Y, Nishimoto H, Kawakami T, et al. Role of the FcepsilonRI Beta-Chain ITAM as a Signal Regulator for Mast Cell Activation With Monomeric IgE. *Int Immunol* (2005) 17(6):685–94. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxh248
- 26. Okayama Y, Kashiwakura JI, Matsuda A, Sasaki-Sakamoto T, Nunomura S, Yokoi N, et al. The Interaction Between Lyn and FcepsilonRIbeta is Indispensable for FcepsilonRI-Mediated Human Mast Cell Activation. *Allergy* (2012) 67(10):1241–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2012.02879.x.
- Wang Q, Lepus CM, Raghu H, Reber LL, Tsai MM, Wong HH, et al. IgE-Mediated Mast Cell Activation Promotes Inflammation and Cartilage Destruction in Osteoarthritis. *Elife* (2019) 8:e39905. doi: 10.7554/eLife.39905
- Gebremeskel S, Schanin J, Coyle KM, Butuci M, Luu T, Brock EC, et al. Mast Cell and Eosinophil Activation Are Associated With COVID-19 and TLR-Mediated Viral Inflammation: Implications for an Anti-Siglec-8 Antibody. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:650331. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.650331
- 29. Avril T, Floyd H, Lopez F, Vivier E, Crocker PR. The Membrane-Proximal Immunoreceptor Tyrosine-Based Inhibitory Motif Is Critical for the

Inhibitory Signaling Mediated by Siglecs-7 and -9, CD33-Related Siglecs Expressed on Human Monocytes and NK Cells. *J Immunol* (2004) 173 (11):6841–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.11.6841

- Muller J, Obermeier I, Wohner M, Brandl C, Mrotzek S, Angermuller S, et al. CD22 Ligand-Binding and Signaling Domains Reciprocally Regulate B-Cell Ca2+ Signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2013) 110(30):12402–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1304888110
- Hof P, Pluskey S, Dhe-Paganon S, Eck MJ, Shoelson SE. Crystal Structure of the Tyrosine Phosphatase SHP-2. *Cell* (1998) 92(4):441–50. doi: 10.1016/ S0092-8674(00)80938-1
- Nutku E, Aizawa H, Hudson SA, Bochner BS. Ligation of Siglec-8: A Selective Mechanism for Induction of Human Eosinophil Apoptosis. *Blood* (2003) 101 (12):5014–20. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-10-3058
- Duan S, Koziol-White CJ, Jester WFJr., Smith SA, Nycholat CM, Macauley MS, et al. CD33 Recruitment Inhibits IgE-Mediated Anaphylaxis and Desensitizes Mast Cells to Allergen. J Clin Invest (2019) 129(3):1387–401. doi: 10.1172/JCI125456
- 34. Bag N, Wagenknecht-Wiesner A, Lee A, Shi SM, Holowka DA, Baird BA. Lipid-Based and Protein-Based Interactions Synergize Transmembrane Signaling Stimulated by Antigen Clustering of IgE Receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2021) 118(35):e2026583118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2026583118

**Conflict of Interest:** WK, AW, SG, JS, KC, JL, ZB, TL, EB, KL, AX and BY were employed by Allakos Inc. GN was employed by LM Biostat Consulting Inc.

The authors declare that this study received funding from Allakos Inc. The funder had the following involvement with the study: data collection, analysis, interpretation, study design, and writing of this article.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Korver, Wong, Gebremeskel, Negri, Schanin, Chang, Leung, Benet, Luu, Brock, Luehrsen, Xu and Youngblood. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.