



Corrigendum: Low Frequency Ultrasound With Injection of NMO-IgG and Complement Produces Lesions Different From Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis Mice

Weiwei Xiang^{1†}, Chong Xie^{1†}, Jiaying Luo^{1†}, Wei Zhang², Xinxin Zhao³, Hong Yang⁴, Yu Cai¹, Jie Ding¹, Yishu Wang¹, Yong Hao¹, Ying Zhang¹ and Yangtai Guan^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Sonja Hochmeister,

Medical University of Graz, Austria

*Correspondence:

Yangtai Guan

yangtaiguan@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to

Multiple Sclerosis

and Neuroimmunology,

a section of the journal

Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 23 December 2021

Accepted: 04 January 2022

Published: 25 January 2022

Citation:

Xiang W, Xie C, Luo J, Zhang W, Zhao X, Yang H, Cai Y, Ding J, Wang Y, Hao Y, Zhang Y and Guan Y (2022) Corrigendum: Low Frequency Ultrasound With Injection of NMO-IgG and Complement Produces Lesions Different From Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis Mice. *Front. Immunol.* 13:842300. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.842300

¹ Department of Neurology, Ren Ji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China,

² Department of Ultrasound in Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, Shanghai, China, ³ Department of Radiology, Ren Ji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ⁴ Department of Neurology, The First Rehabilitation Hospital of Shanghai, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Keywords: neuromyelitis optica, mouse, Aquaporin-4, blood-brain barrier, low-frequency ultrasound

A Corrigendum on

Low Frequency Ultrasound With Injection of NMO-IgG and Complement Produces Lesions Different From Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis Mice

By Xiang W, Xie C, Luo J, Zhang W, Zhao X, Yang H, Cai Y, Ding J, Wang Y, Hao Y, Zhang Y, Guan Y (2021). *Front. Immunol.* 12:4150. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.727750

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Figures 5** and **6** as published. The figures in their previous form do not best reflect the results. The corrected **Figures 5** and **6** appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Xiang, Xie, Luo, Zhang, Zhao, Yang, Cai, Ding, Wang, Hao, Zhang and Guan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.




FIGURE 5 | Loss of GFAP expression in the NMOSD model. **(A, B)** Loss of GFAP expression in the spinal cord and statistic results, scale bar = 50 μm ; **(C, D)** Loss of GFAP expression in the brain and statistic results, scale bar = 50 μm ; **(E, F)** Loss of GFAP expression in the optic nerve and statistic results, scale bar = 50 μm ; Scale bar = 50 μm . The experiment was repeated twice, with similar results. Data were presented as the mean \pm SEM; *P < 0.05 vs control group; n = 6 in each group. LSD-t test was used.




FIGURE 6 | Loss of AQP4 expression in the NMOSD model **(A, B)** Loss of AQP4 expression in the spinal cord and statistic results, scale bar = 50 μ m; **(C, D)** Loss of AQP4 expression in the brain and statistic results, scale bar = 50 μ m; **(E, F)** Loss of AQP4 expression in the optic nerve and statistic results, scale bar = 50 μ m; The experiment was repeated twice, with similar results. Data were presented as the mean \pm SEM; *P < 0.05 vs control group; n = 6 in each group. LSD-t test was used.