

Function of CTLGA9 Amino Acid Residue Leucine-6 in Modulating Cry Toxicity

Intikhab Alam^{1,2,3†}, Khadija Batool^{1,2†}, Aisha Lawan Idris^{1,2}, Weilong Tan⁴, Xiong Guan^{1,2} and Lingling Zhang^{1,2*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Ecological Pest Control for Fujian and Taiwan Crops, College of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, ² Key Lab of Biopesticides and Chemical Biology, MOE, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, ³ College of Life Sciences, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, ⁴ Nanjing Bioengineering (Gene) Technology Center for Medicines, Nanjing, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Fengliang Jin, South China Agricultural University, China

Reviewed by:

Yingjun Cui, Yale University, United States Qiu-Ning Liu, Yancheng Teachers University, China

*Correspondence:

Lingling Zhang lingling00264@163.com

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Comparative Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 28 March 2022 Accepted: 06 June 2022 Published: 05 July 2022

Citation:

Alam I, Batool K, Idris AL, Tan W, Guan X and Zhang L (2022) Function of CTLGA9 Amino Acid Residue Leucine-6 in Modulating Cry Toxicity. Front. Immunol. 13:906259. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.906259 Aedes aegypti is a crucial vector for many arboviral diseases that cause millions of deaths worldwide and thus is of major public health concern. Crystal (Cry) proteins, which are toxins produced by Bacillus thuringiensis, are structurally organized into three-domains, of which domain II is the most variable in terms of binding towards various toxin receptors. The binding of Cry11Aa to putative receptor such as aminopeptidase-N (APN) is explicitly inhibited by midgut C-type lectins (CTLs). The similarity between the domain II fold of Cry11Aa toxin and the carbohydrate recognition domain in the CTLs is a possible structural basis for the involvement of Cry domain II in the recognition of carbohydrates on toxin receptors. In this study, a site-directed point mutation was introduced into the A. aegypti CTLGA9 gene on the basis of molecular docking findings, leading to substitution of the Leucine-6 (Leu-6) residue in the protein with alanine. Subsequently, functional monitoring of the mutated protein was carried out. Unlike the amino acid residues of wildtype CTLGA9, none of the residues of mutant (m) CTLGA9 were competed with Cry11Aa for binding to the APN receptor interface. Additionally, ligand blot analysis showed that both wild-type and mutant CTLGA9 had similar abilities to bind to APN and Cry11Aa. Furthermore, in the competitive ELISA in which labeled mutant CTLGA9 (10 nM) was mixed with increasing concentrations of unlabeled Cry11Aa (0-500 nM), the mutant showed no competition with Cry11Aa for binding to APN., By contrast, in the positive control sample of labeled wild type CTLGA9 mixed with same concentrations of Cry11Aa competition between the two ligands for binding to the APN was evident. These results suggest that Leucine-6 may be the key site involved in the competitive receptor binding between CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa. Moreover, according to the bioassay results, mutant CTLGA9 could in fact enhance the toxicity of Cry11Aa. Our novel findings provide further insights into the mechanism of Cry toxicity as well as a theoretical basis for enhancing the mosquitocidal activity of these toxin through molecular modification strategies.

Keywords: Aedes aegypti, lectins, receptor, mutation, Cry11Aa, toxicity

INTRODUCTION

The mosquito Aedes aegypti is a crucial vector for many arboviral diseases that cause millions of deaths worldwide and thus of major public health concern (1, 2). Mosquito control is thus critical to halting the spread of these diseases (3), there is as yet no effective strategy in this regard. Traditionally, mosquito control is implemented through the use of chemical pesticides, but such compounds may lead to environmental pollution and the emergence of resistant insect species (4, 5). Recently, microbiological pesticides have been widely applied and promoted being environmentally safe and highly specific, exerting little influence on non-target organisms while resulting in lower resistance in the target species (3, 6, 7). Thus, microbial pesticides have proven to be the ideal way to control mosquitoes. Bacillus thuringiensis is a gram-positive, soil bacterium that, produces crystal (Cry) proteins, which are toxins that have been widely applied in agriculture to control insect pests (8). In particular, B. thuringiensis subspecies israelensis that, produces different Cry proteins capable of killing mosquitoes, including Cry4Aa, Cry4Ba, Cry10Aa, Cry11Aa, and Cyt1Aa (9, 10).

Structurally, insecticidal Cry proteins are made up of three conserved domains, each of which holds a specific function (11). Domain I, which is involved in pore formation, comprises a bundle of 7-8 alpha helices. Domain II, which carries out receptor binding activity, composed of beta-prism folds with exposed loop regions. Domain III, made up of beta-sandwich folds, is involved in receptor binding, insect specificity, and ion channel formation (12-15). The sequential binding mechanism of the Cry protein is activated when mosquito larvae are exposed to the toxin. After their solubilization and activation by proteases in the insect midgut, the Cry protein bind to specific receptors such as alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aminopeptidase-N (APN), and cadherin (CAD) which are located on brush border membrane vesicles in the insect midgut. This toxin-receptor interaction causes pore formation in the epithelial cells resulting in osmotic imbalance and cell death (11, 16, 17). Cry toxins and lectins exhibit structural similarity, in that they both have a β -prism fold structure. Therefore, lectins could interfere with the binding of Cry toxins to their receptors by binding competitively to the glycosylated receptor (18, 19).

Lectins a class of carbohydrate binding proteins, are ubiquitously expressed in plants, animals, bacteria, and viruses (20). They play a major role in the self and non-self-recognition processes of the humoral immune system. Interestingly, lectin proteins can recognize and bind to specific carbohydrate structures on the surface of the cells causing cell agglutination. s. Because some insect lectins can recognize polysaccharide chains on the surface of pathogens, they are assumed to be involved in self-defense.

Molecular simulation and molecular docking analyses can provide strong support for the study of protein-protein interactions (21). In this study, a site-directed point mutation was introduced into the *A. aegypti* CTLGA9 gene on the basis of previous protein-protein interaction studies and the prediction of hot spot residues in the ligand-receptor complex. Subsequently, the role of the predicted residue in the interaction between Cry11Aa and the receptor APN was verified using the ligand blot assay and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The findings of this study will lay a foundation for further research on the interaction between the toxin Cry11Aa and other receptors, as well as on the enhancement of the insecticidal activity of Cry toxin through their molecular modification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

A Haikou strain of A. aegypti, obtained from the Fujian International Travel Health Care Center, was reared in the laboratory under controlled conditions of 28°C, 75-80% relative humidity, and 14:10 h light: dark photoperiod. B. thuringiensis Cry11Aa and APN receptor strains were provided by Dr. Sarjeet Gill, University of California Riverside, CA, U.S.A. Escherichia coli strain DH5α and E. coli BL21 (DE3) competent cells, and plasmid pMD18-T were purchased from TaKaRa (Dalian, China). The expression vector pET32 α used in this study was among our laboratory stock. EZ-Link-NHS-Biotin was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA),. Streptavidin horse-radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated antibody was purchased from Beyotime Biotech (Shanghai, China), biotin specific antibody streptavidin/AP from BIOSS Antibodies (Beijing, China), rabbit polyclonal antibodies and anti- $6 \times$ His antibody was bought from BBI Life Science (Shanghai, China). ProteinIso® Ni-NTA resins were purchased from TransGen Biotech (Beijing, China).

Modeling and Protein Docking Analyses of CTLGA9, Cry11Aa and APN

The three-dimensional protein structures of CTLGA9 (PDB: 5EAL), Cry11Aa (PDB: 1DLC), and APN (PDB: 4WZ9) were obtained via a modeling approach using Phyre-2 software, as reported in our previously published study (19). Protein docking simulations were conducted with the Discovery Studio 2.5. software. The docking complexes were obtained using the GRAMM-X Protein-Protein Docking Web Server v.1.2.0 (http://vakser.compbio.ku.edu/resources/gramm/grammx) and analyzed through the root mean square deviation of the receptor and binding interface. Hot spot residues were predicted based on the comparisons of docking complexes. An alanine substitution mutation was introduced in the CTLGA9 protein and the binding interaction of the mutant was further confirmed through molecular docking analysis (22). The amino acid sequence of mutant CTLGA9 was modeled using the Phyre-2 server. From the top 10 model templates obtained from the program, the first one was selected according to best coverage efficiency and confidence level. The structure was assessed geometrically using different programs, such as the Ramachandran plot in Discovery Studio and the Z-score and energy plot from the ProSAweb server (https://prosa.services. came.sbg.ac.at/prosa.php). Subsequently, docking complexes of *m* CTLGA9 with the toxin and receptor were generated and analyzed using Discovery Studio software.

Isolation, Expression, and Purification of Mutant CTLGA9

The nucleotide sequence of A. aegypti wild-type CTLGA9 (wt CTLGA9) was retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Genbank Accession No: AAEL014385) and submitted to the SMART database (http:// smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) for domain and protein analysis. The target fragment was amplified using wt CTLGA9 plasmid as the template and the mutant primer pair (Forward-primer: 5' CATGCCATGGAAGCATGGAGAgcGTG3'; Reverse-primer: 5'CCCAAGCTTATCCAAGATGCAACTCCTA3'). TransStart FastPfu Fly DNA polymerase (TransGen Biotech), was used for amplification of the sequences. The purified polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product was ligated into the pMD18T vector following enzyme digestion with NcoI and Hind III was carried out. Then the fragments were further ligated to the predigested vector pET32a. The constructed recombinant plasmids (pET32α-CTLGA9) were transferred into E. coli BL21 (DE3) competent cells. The correct insertion of the *m* CTLGA9 segment was confirmed through enzyme digestion and verified by sequencing. A single colony of freshly transformed E.coli (BL21) cells carrying the recombinant plasmids was then cultured overnight in ampicillin containing 2YT medium, with constant agitation of 200 rpm and 37°C. Thereafter, 1% of the total volume of the overnight culture was inoculated into fresh 2YT medium until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6-0.8. To induce the expression of CTLGA9, 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-1thiogalactopyranoside was added to the cells, and the culture was incubated for 20 h at 16°C with agitation at 200 rpm. Thereafter, the cells were collected by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C), washed, and resuspended in binding buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 10 mM imidazole, pH: 7.4), and then lysed by sonication for 30 min to disrupt the cell membranes and release the cellular contents. Then, the lysate solution was centrifuged (11000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C). Supernatants were passed through a Ni-NTA affinity column that had been pre-equilibrated with binding buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 10 mM imidazole, pH: 7.4). Subsequently, the protein molecules bound to Ni-NTA resins, were eluted with an elution buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM sodium phosphate, and 400 mM imidazole, pH: 7.4). Fractions containing the target protein were checked using 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and the protein concentrations were measured with the Bradford method (23). The receptor protein APN was also purified using His-tagged Ni-NTA chromatography.

Immunoblotting and ELISA Based Competitive Binding Assay

Quality checking of the recombinant protein was performed using the western blot assay (24). In brief, biotinylated mCTLGA9 and wt CTLGA9 proteins were first separated using 10% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Detection of the proteinswas carried out using the biotin-specific antibodies (1:3000), following which a 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitro blue tetrazolium (BCIP/NBT) kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was used to confirm the results. The binding activity of mCTLGA9 to Cry11Aa and APN receptor was analyzed with the ligand blot assay (21). In brief, 10% SDS-PAGE separated m CTLGA9 protein was transferred to a PVDF membrane which was then incubated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 5% skim milk, and subsequently washed three times with PBS containing Tween-20 (PBST). Thereafter, the mutant protein-containing membrane was incubated with Cry11Aa (10 μ g/mL) or APN (10 μ g/mL) proteins for 2 h and washed with PBST. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with specific anti-Cry11Aa and anti-APN polyclonal antibodies (1:3000) for 1.5 h. Next, the membranes was washed with PBST and further incubated with secondary antibodies (1:3000) for 1.5 h. After three washes with PBST and PBS, the immune complexes were detected using the BCIP/NBT color development kit. Thioredoxin (Trx) and wt CTLGA9 were used as controls. The competitive ELISA was performed to confirm the binding interaction between the m CTLGA9 proteins and APN proteins (24). To determine whether m CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa competed with each other for binding to APN, a competitive ELISA was conducted following previously reported methods (19, 25). In brief, the wells of a 96-well plate were coated with APN proteins (4 µg/well), and the plate was stored at 4°C overnight. After blocking with 5% skim milk mixed with PBS buffer, 0-500 nM of unlabeled Cry11Aa proteins mixed with 10 nM labeled m CTLGA9 were added to the respective wells for incubation at room temperature for 1 h. Additionally, 10 nM biotin labeled Cry11Aa was mixed with increasing concentrations of unlabeled m CTLGA9 (0-500 nM) was added to each well of a pre-coated ELISA plate. The labeled CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa proteins were detected using the streptavidin HRP-conjugated antibody (1:3000). Then, 100 µL of the chromogenic agent 3,3'5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was added to each well, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 2M H₂SO₄, and absorbance of the solution in each well was recorded at 450 nm. Each treatment was replicated three times. Trx and wt CTLGA9 proteins mixed with Cry11Aa were used as controls. GraphPad Prism software was used to analyze the data.

Larvae Bioassay

The *A. aegypti* larvae bioassay was performed according to the methods described in previously published report (26). In short, third instar larvae of *A. aegypti* were fed Cry11Aa protein (0.85 μ g/mL) or a mixture of Cry11Aa and *m* CTLGA9 protein (0.15, 1.5, and 15 μ g/mL). Each treatment was replicated three times. The survival rate of the mosquito larvae was recorded after 12 and 24 h. The Trx protein and *wt* CTLGA9 protein (0.15, 1.5, and 15 μ g/mL) mixed with Cry11Aa were used as controls. Data were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism software, and each bar represents the mean \pm SD of three replicates.

Growth Curve of Mutant CTLGA9

The growth rates of recombinant *E. coli* strains (those expressing *m* CTLGA9, and *wt* CTLGA9) were measured and compared to with that of the Trx-expressing control strain. All strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing ampicillin $(100\mu g/mL)$ with constant agitation at 200 rpm, 37°C until the OD₆₀₀ of the culture reached 0.6-0.8. Then, the bacterial culture was transferred into 100 mL of fresh LB medium. Samples were loaded into the sample tray of a fully automatic growth curve analyzer (Bioscreen C, Finland), and the growth rate was measured for 0-72 h at 37°C.

RESULTS

Protein Docking Analysis of CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa With APN Receptor

The docking complexes of *wt* CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa bound with the receptor APN and were analyzed using Discovery Studio, where it was found that the two ligand proteins had common APN binding sites (**Figure 1A** and **Table S1**). Further residues in *wt* CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa were analyzed involved in binding with APN (**Figures 1B, C**). The predicted hot spot residues involved in interaction between CTLGA9 and APN were L6, Q12, Y107, C109, and E110. L6 and Q12 were selected for alanine substitution mutation and the binding of the two resultant mutants with APN was checked through docking simulation analysis. Importantly, it was found that the mutation at Q12 was not associated with any change in Cry11Aa toxicity in the larvae bioassay. The effect was similar to the *wt* CTLGA9. By contrast, in the bioassay involving CTLGA9 mutated at L6, the toxicity of Cry11Aa was enhanced relative to that of the toxin mixed with wt CTLGA9. Therefore, CTLGA9 mutated at L6 was further characterized. Ramachandran analysis of m CTLGA9 predicted 95% of the residues to be in the favoured region according to phi and psi angle positions, whereas 5.4% of the residues were in the outlier region (Supplementary Figure S1A). The Z-score (-4.46) and energy value obtained from the ProSA-web server were below 0 and the interactions were energetically stable (Supplementary Figures S1B, C). The three-dimensional models of CTLGA9 (90% of sequences and 105 residues) were modeled with 99.9% confidence using the Phyre-2online server (Supplementary Figure S1D). Docking complexes of m CTLGA9 with APN receptor and Cry11Aa were obtained through GRAMM-X Protein-Protein Docking Web Server v.1.2.0 (http://vakser.compbio.ku.edu/resources/gramm/ grammx/) and analyzed using Discovery Studio 2.5 software. The yellow colour showed the binding sites of m CTLGA9 to APN and Cry11Aa (Figures 2A-a, b), Notably, analysis of the docking complexes revealed that none of the residues in mCTLGA9 were in competition with those of Cry11Aa for binding to APN interface, unlike the residues of the wt CTLGA9 (Figures 2B (a, b) and Table S2).

Expression and Purification of Mutant CTLGA9

Protein sequence analysis of *m* CTLGA9 indicated to be a 17 kDa stable protein, similar to the *wt* CTLGA9 protein. After amplification with gene-specific primers, the PCR product appeared as a band of approximately 354 bp (**Figures 3A-a**). The DNA fragment was extracted from the gel and ligated into the pMD18T cloning vector. **Figures 3A-b** shows confirmation





that the plasmids had been correctly digested at the *NcoI/HindIII* enzyme sites. After insertion of the cloning vector into the pET32 α vector, and transfer of the recombinant plasmid into *E.coli* BL21 competent cells. Subsequent gel analysis of the plasmids verified that the 354 bp fragment had been successfully inserted into pET32 α (**Figures 3A-c**). Finally, SDS-PAGE separation of *m* CTLGA9 and *wt* CTLGA9 proteins that had been extracted using Ni-NTA chromatography confirmed them to be specific protein bands of approximately 33 kDa in size (**Figures 3B-a**). Further, confirmation through western blotting with specific polyclonal antibodies of *m* CTLGA9 revealed a band of 33 kDa on the PVDF membrane, which was consistent with the size of the *wt* CTLGA9 positive control (**Figures 3B-b**).

Binding Activity of Mutant CTLGA9 With APN and Cry11Aa

To determine the binding activity of m CTLGA9 with APN and Cry11Aa, ligand blotting and ELISA were performed. On the western blot membrane, m CTLGA9 that had been probed with either APN or Cry11Aa appeared a sharp band of approximately 33 kDa, similar to the positive control wt CTLGA9 (**Figures 4A** (a, b)), proving that the expressed m CTLGA9 could bind with APN and Cry11Aa proteins. The competitive ELISA based binding assay was performed to evaluate whether m CTLGA9 and Cry11Aa competed for binding to APN. The results clearly showed that labeled m CTLGA9 mixed with increasing concentrations of unlabeled Cry11Aa did not compete with Cry11Aa for the binding to fixed APN (**Figures 4B-a**). By contrast labeled wt CTLGA9 (as a positive control) mixed with

same concentrations of unlabeled Cry11 Aa was found to compete with Cry11Aa for binding to APN (**Figures 4B-a**). On another side labeled Cry11Aa (10 nM) mixed with increasing concentration of *m* CTLGA9 (0–500 nM) was not competing to bind with fixed APN receptor proteins as compared to labeled Cry11Aa mixed with increasing concentration of *wt* CTLGA9 (0–500 nM) (**Figures 4B-b**). Non-significant binding activity was recorded between the control Trx and APN (**Figure 4B**).

Toxicity Bioassay and Growth Curve of *m* CTLGA9

To determine whether m CTLGA9 can alter the activity of Cry toxins, third instar larvae of A. *aegypti* were fed purified recombinant m CTLGA9 protein, wt CTLGA9 protein or Trx protein (as a control), and their cumulative survival rates were recorded. The bioassay results confirmed that m CTLGA9 could enhance Cry11Aa toxicity at 12 h (Figure 5A) and 24 h postfeeding (Figure 5B), compared with the effects of to wt CTLGA9 protein. In particular, at high concentration of m CTLGA9, significantly fewer larvae survived compared with those fed the same concentrations of wt CTLGA9 protein. Taken together, these results suggest that m CTLGA9 can enhance the larvicidal activity of Cry toxins.

The growth patterns of all three strains (recombinant *E. coli*) were more or less similar. The growth of bacteria carrying *m* CTLGA9 followed a steady curve, whereas the strain carrying *wt* CTLGA9 showed quicker growth up to 10-14 h albeit. The comparative rise for the later was approximately 10%, which can be considered a transient increase. Beyond 20 h, the growth patterns were similar for all strains; although the *wt* CTLGA9



carrying recombinant showed a slightly higher overall concentrations of cells (**Supplementary Figure S2**).

DISCUSSION

B. thuringiensis subspecies *israelensis* produces different Cry and Cyt family toxins used for mosquito control and management (27). Among these, Cry11Aa has proven to be one of the most active mosquitocidal agents against *A. aegypti* (28). Although the interactions between Cry toxins and their receptors have been relatively well studied, those between the midgut proteins and Cry toxins and toxin receptors remain unexplored. Molecular docking simulation is a highly useful technique for determining such protein-protein interactions (29, 30). Cry11Aa has been found to be bound to four different proteins in the brush border membrane vesicles of *A. aegypti* (31), two of which were identified as Cry11Aa functional receptors, namely the 65 kDa glycosylphosphatidyl-inositol-anchored protein ALP and the 73 kDa receptor protein APN (25, 32). Cry toxins initially bind to

various receptor proteins on the host cell membrane and cause pore formation that leads to cell death. These toxins are made up of three domains. Among, which domain II is the most variable with regard to toxin specificity while binding toward the various receptors. Both the carbohydrate recognition domain of lectin and domain II of Cry toxins exhibit a β -prism structure (33–36), with exposed loop regions involved in receptor binding. Therefore on the basis of protein-protein interaction observations and predictions of the hot-spot residues in the receptor-ligand complex, a site-directed point mutation was introduced into the nucleotide sequence of A. aegypti CTLGA9 in this study, and the mutant protein was expressed to investigate its interaction with APN. C-type lectins belong to a large family of pattern recognition receptors that bind to galactose and mannose-type carbohydrates in the presence of calcium ions (37). Therefore, lectin proteins can recognize the structures of specific carbohydrates on cell surface and bind to them resulting in cell agglutination (38). Previously, it was reported that A. aegypti CTL-20 and galectin-14 could compete with Cry11Aa for binding to the receptor ALP1resulting in a significant increase in



the the survival of mosquito larvae after being treated with Cry toxins (18, 26). In this study, the key amino acids involved in the binding of Cry11Aa or CTLGA9 to APN were determined, and the Leucine-6 residue was selected for alanine substitution mutation. Analysis of the docking complexes revealed that

none of the residues in m CTLGA9 were involved in competition with Cry11Aa for binding to the APN interface. Our results showed that the expressed m CTLGA9 could bind equally with Cry11Aa and APN. ELISA binding assay revealed that labeled m CTLGA9 mixed with an increasing concentration





of unlabeled Cry11Aa did not compete for binding toAPN. However, competitive binding to APN was detected in the positive control sample of labeled wt CTLGA9, mixed with variable concentrations of Cry11Aa. Furthermore, the bioassay results indicated that m CTLGA9 could enhance the toxicity of Cry proteins, as fewer m CTLGA9-fed larvae than wt CTLGA9fed larvae survived at a high concentration of protein feeding. However, other CTLs have been found to bind not only to APN but also to other important receptors such as ALP and inhibiting Cry11Aa toxicity toward A. aegypti (18, 26). The toxicity assay also demonstrated that CTLGA9 protein was resistant to the insecticidal activity of Cry11Aa against A. aegypti. In conclusion, wt CTLGA9 can block Cry11Aa from binding to APN, which might decrease the mosquitocidal activity of the toxin as compared with the non-competitive effect of m CTLGA9. This mutated CTL protein may serve as a reference for future investigations of mechanism of B. thuringiensis Cry-based toxicity and the development of new biopesticides for mosquito control.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Gabiane G, Yen PS, Failloux AB. Aedes Mosquitoes in the Emerging Threat of Urban Yellow Fever Transmission. *Rev Med Virol* (2022) 1:e2333. doi: 10.1002/rmv.2333
- Santos S, Smania-Marques R, Albino VA, Fernandes ID, Mangueira FFA, Altafim R, et al. Prevention and Control of Mosquito-Borne Arboviral Diseases: Lessons Learned From a School-Based Intervention in Brazil (Zikamob). BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1–16. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-12554-w
- Zhao L, Alto BW, Duguma D. Transcriptional Profile for Detoxification Enzymes AeaGGT1 and AaeGGT2 From *Aedes Aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Response to Larvicides. *J Med Entomol* (2017) 54:878–87. doi: 10.1093/jme/ tjw244
- Paiva MH, Lovin DD, Mori A, Melo-Santos MA, Severson DW, Ayres CF. Identification of a Major Quantitative Trait Locus Determining Resistance to the Organophosphate Temephos in the Dengue Vector Mosquito. *Aedes aegypti Genomics* (2016) 107:40–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2015.11.004
- Abbasi E, Vahedi M, Bagheri M, Gholizadeh S, Alipour H, Moemenbellah-Fard MD. Monitoring of Synthetic Insecticides Resistance and Mechanisms Among Malaria Vector Mosquitoes in Iran: A Systematic Review. *Heliyon* (2022) 8:e08830. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e08830
- Amelia-Yap ZH, Azman AS, Abubakar S, Low VL. Streptomyces Derivatives as an Insecticide: Current Perspectives, Challenges and Future Research Needs for Mosquito Control. *Acta Trop* (2022) 229:1081. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2015.01081
- Vivekanandhan P, Swathy K, Murugan AC, Krutmuang P. Insecticidal Efficacy of *Metarhizium Anisopliae* Derived Chemical Constituents Against Disease-Vector Mosquitoes. J Fungi (2022) 8:300. doi: 10.3390/jof8030300
- Melo ALDA, Soccol VT, Soccol CR. Bacillus Thuringiensis: Mechanism of Action, Resistance, and New Applications: A Review. Crit Rev Biotechnol (2016) 36:317–26. doi: 10.3109/07388551.2014.960793
- 9. Vega-Cabrera A, Cancino-Rodezno A, Porta H, Pardo-Lopez L. Aedes Aegypti Mos20 Cells Internalizes Cry Toxins by Endocytosis, and Actin has

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The listed authors in the manuscript, LLZ, IA, and KB had the idea and designed the study. LLZ supervised the study. XG and LLZ provided technical support and vigorous guidance. KB and IA did the experiments and statistical analysis. IA and KB wrote the manuscript. IA, and LLZ revised and edit manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version before submission.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the United Fujian Provincial Health and Education Project for Tackling Key Research (Grant No. 2019-WJ-29); Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2020J01550 and 2020I0031); the Special Fund for Scientific and Technological Innovation of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University (KFA20124A).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022. 906259/full#supplementary-material

a Role in the Defense Against Cry11Aa Toxin. *Toxins* (2014) 6:464–87. doi: 10.3390/toxins6020464

- Lee S-B, Chen J, Aimanova KG, Gill SS. Aedes Cadherin Mediates the *In Vivo* Toxicity of the Cry11Aa Toxin to Aedes Aegypti. *Peptides* (2015) 68:140–7. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2014.07.015
- Pardo-Lopez L, Soberon M, Bravo A. Bacillus Thuringiensis Insecticidal Three-Domain Cry Toxins: Mode of Action, Insect Resistance and Consequences for Crop Protection. FEMS Microbiol Rev (2013) 37:3–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00341.x
- Li J, Carroll J, Ellar DJ. Crystal Structure of Insecticidal δ-Endotoxin From Bacillus Thuringiensis at 2.5 Å Resolution. Nature (1991) 353:815–21. doi: 10.1038/353815a0
- Morse RJ, Yamamoto T, Stroud R. Structure of Cry2Aa Suggests an Unexpected Receptor Binding Epitope. *Structure* (2001) 9:409–17. doi: 10.1016/S0969-2126(01)00601-3
- Guo S, Ye S, Liu Y, Wei L, Xue J, Wu H, et al. Crystal Structure of *Bacillus Thuringiensis* Cry8Ea1: An Insecticidal Toxin Toxic to Underground Pests, the Larvae of *Holotrichia Parallela. J Struct Biol* (2009) 168:259–66. doi: 10.1016/ j.jsb.2009.07.004
- Hui F, Scheib U, Hu Y, Sommer RJ, Aroian RV, Ghosh P. Structure and Glycolipid Binding Properties of the Nematicidal Protein Cry5B. *Biochemistry* (2012) 51:9911–21. doi: 10.1021/bi301386q
- Bravo A, Gill SS, Soberon M. Mode of Action of *Bacillus Thuringiensis* Cry and Cyt Toxins and Their Potential for Insect Control. *Toxicon* (2007) 49:423–35. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2006.11.022
- Palma L, Muñoz D, Berry C, Murillo J, Caballero P. Bacillus Thuringiensis Toxins: An Overview of Their Biocidal Activity. Toxins (2014) 6:3296–325. doi: 10.3390/toxins6123296
- Zhang L-L, Hu X-H, Wu S-Q, Batool K, Chowdhury M, Lin Y, et al. Aedes Aegypti Galectin Competes With Cry11Aa for Binding to ALP1 To Modulate Cry Toxicity. JAFC (2018) 66:13435–43. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b04665
- Batool K, Alam I, Jin L, Xu J, Wu C, Wang J, et al. CTLGA9 Interacts With ALP1 and APN Receptors To Modulate Cry11Aa Toxicity in *Aedes Aegypti*. *JAFC* (2019) 67:8896–904. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b01840

- Mcconnell MT, Lisgarten DR, Byrne LJ, Harvey SC, Bertolo E. Winter Aconite (*Eranthis Hyemalis*) Lectin as a Cytotoxic Effector in the Lifecycle of *Caenorhabditis Elegans. Peer J* (2015) 3:e1206. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1206
- Hu X, Zhang X, Zhong J, Liu Y, Zhang C, Xie Y, et al. Expression of Cry1Ac Toxin-Binding Region in *Plutella Xyllostella* Cadherin-Like Receptor and Studying Their Interaction Mode by Molecular Docking and Site-Directed Mutagenesis. *Int J Biol Macromol* (2018) 111:822–31. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijbiomac.2017.12.135
- Berdougo E, Doranz BJ. High-Throughput Alanine Scanning: Epitope Mapping and Engineering Complex Membrane Proteins by Comprehensive Mutagenesis. *J Genet Eng Biotechnol* (2012) 32:30–1. doi: 10.1089/gen.32.12.12
- Bradford MM. A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding. Anal Biochem (1976) 72:248–54. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3
- 24. Zhang L, Zhao G, Hu X, Liu J, Li M, Batool K, et al. Cry11Aa Interacts With the ATP-Binding Protein From *Culex Quinquefasciatus* to Improve the Toxicity. *JAFC* (2017) 65:10884–90. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b04427
- 25. Chen J, Likitvivatanavong S, Aimanova KG, Gill SS. A 104 kDa Aedes Aegypti Aminopeptidase N is a Putative Receptor for the Cry11Aa Toxin From Bacillus Thuringiensis Subsp. Israelensis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol (2013) 43:1201–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.09.007
- Batool K, Alam I, Zhao G, Wang J, Xu J, Yu X, et al. C-Type Lectin-20 Interacts With ALP1 Receptor to Reduce Cry Toxicity in Aedes Aegypti. Toxins (2018) 10:390. doi: 10.3390/toxins10100390
- Ben-Dov E. Bacillus Thuringiensis Subsp. Israelensis and its Dipteran-Specific Toxins. Toxins (2014) 6:1222–43. doi: 10.3390/toxins6041222
- Chilcott CN, Ellar DJ. Comparative Toxicity of Bacillus Thuringiensis Var. Israelensis Crystal Proteins In Vivo and In Vitro. Microbiology (1988) 134:2551–8. doi: 10.1099/00221287-134-9-2551
- Ahmad A, Javed MR, Rao AQ, Khan MA, Ahad A, Shahid AA, et al. In-Silico Determination of Insecticidal Potential of Vip3Aa-Cry1Ac Fusion Protein Against Lepidopteran Targets Using Molecular Docking. *Front Plant Sci* (2015) 6:1081. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01081
- Rosenfeld L, Heyne M, Shifman JM, Papo N. Protein Engineering by Combined Computational and *In Vitro* Evolution Approaches. *Trends Biochem Sci* (2016) 41:421–33. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2016.03.002
- 31. Fernandez LE, Martinez-Anaya C, Lira E, Chen J, Evans A, Hernández-Martínez S, et al. Cloning and Epitope Mapping of Cry11Aa-Binding Sites in the Cry11Aa-Receptor Alkaline Phosphatase From Aedes Aegypti. Biochemistry (2009) 48:8899–907. doi: 10.1021/bi900979b

- 32. Chen J, Aimanova KG, Pan S, Gill SS. Identification and Characterization of Aedes Aegypti Aminopeptidase N as a Putative Receptor of Bacillus Thuringiensis Cry11A Toxin. Insect Biochem Mol Biol (2009) 39:688–96. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.08.003
- Bourne Y, Roig-Zamboni V, Barre A, Peumans WJ, Astoul CH, Van Damme EJ, et al. The Crystal Structure of the *Calystegia Sepium* Agglutinin Reveals a Novel Quaternary Arrangement of Lectin Subunits With a β-Prism Fold. *J Biol Chem* (2004) 279:527–33. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M308218200
- 34. Fernández LE, Pérez C, Segovia L, Rodríguez MH, Gill SS, Bravo A, et al. Cry11Aa Toxin From *Bacillus Thuringiensis* Binds its Receptor in *Aedes Aegypti* Mosquito Larvae Through Loop α-8 of Domain II. *FEBS Lett* (2005) 579:3508–14. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2005.05.032
- Meagher JL, Winter HC, Ezell P, Goldstein IJ, Stuckey JA. Crystal Structure of Banana Lectin Reveals a Novel Second Sugar Binding Site. *Glycobiology* (2005) 15:1033–42. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwi088
- Fernandez LE, Aimanova KG, Gill SS, Bravo A, Soberón M. A GPI-Anchored Alkaline Phosphatase is a Functional Midgut Receptor of Cry11Aa Toxin in Aedes Aegypti Larvae. Biochem J (2006) 394:77–84. doi: 10.1042/BJ20051517
- Drickamer K. Engineering Galactose-Binding Activity Into a C-Type Mannose-Binding Protein. Nature (1992) 360:183. doi: 10.1038/360183a0
- Ayaad TH, Al-Akeel RK, Olayan E. Isolation and Characterization of Midgut Lectin From Aedes Aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae). Braz Arch Biol Technol (2015) 58:905–12. doi: 10.1590/S1516-89132015060277

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Alam, Batool, Idris, Tan, Guan and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.