Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christiane E. Wobus, University of Michigan, United States

REVIEWED BY

John Thomas Patton, Indiana University, United States Guido Papa, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom Ulrich Desselberger, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Siyuan Ding, siyuan.ding@wustl.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Viral Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 01 April 2022 ACCEPTED 11 July 2022 PUBLISHED 29 July 2022

CITATION

Zhu Y, Sánchez-Tacuba L, Hou G, Kawagishi T, Feng N, Greenberg HB and Ding S (2022) A recombinant murine-like rotavirus with Nano-Luciferase expression reveals tissue tropism, replication dynamics, and virus transmission. *Front. Immunol.* 13:911024. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.911024

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhu, Sánchez-Tacuba, Hou, Kawagishi, Feng, Greenberg and Ding. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A recombinant murine-like rotavirus with Nano-Luciferase expression reveals tissue tropism, replication dynamics, and virus transmission

Yinxing Zhu^{1†}, Liliana Sánchez-Tacuba^{2,3,4†}, Gaopeng Hou^{1†}, Takahiro Kawagishi^{2,3,4}, Ningguo Feng^{2,3,4}, Harry B. Greenberg^{2,3,4} and Siyuan Ding^{1*}

¹Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, United States, ²Veterans Affairs (VA) Palo Alto Health Care System, Department of Veterans Affairs, Palo Alto, CA, United States, ³Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Stanford School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States, ⁴Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States

Rotaviruses (RVs) are one of the main causes of severe gastroenteritis, diarrhea, and death in children and young animals. While suckling mice prove to be highly useful small animal models of RV infection and pathogenesis, direct visualization tools are lacking to track the temporal dynamics of RV replication and transmissibility in vivo. Here, we report the generation of the first recombinant murine-like RV that encodes a Nano-Luciferase reporter (NLuc) using a newly optimized RV reverse genetics system. The NLuc-expressing RV was replication-competent in cell culture and both infectious and virulent in neonatal mice in vivo. Strong luciferase signals were detected in the proximal and distal small intestines, colon, and mesenteric lymph nodes. We showed, via a noninvasive in vivo imaging system, that RV intestinal replication peaked at days 2 to 5 post infection. Moreover, we successfully tracked RV transmission to uninoculated littermates as early as 3 days post infection, 1 day prior to clinically apparent diarrhea and 3 days prior to detectable fecal RV shedding in the uninoculated littermates. We also observed significantly increased viral replication in Stat1 knockout mice that lack the host interferon signaling. Our results suggest that the NLuc murine-like RV represents a non-lethal powerful tool for the studies of tissue tropism and host and viral factors that regulate RV replication and spread, as well as provides a new tool to facilitate the testing of prophylactic and therapeutic interventions in the future.

KEYWORDS

rotavirus, in vivo imaging system, transmission, Nano-luciferase, tissue tropism

Introduction

Rotavirus (RV) is one of the leading causes of severe diarrhea in infants and young children. Although there are multiple safe and effective RV vaccines currently available, RV infection still results in the death of more than 128, 500 children per year (1). Suckling mice provide a pathologically relevant small animal model for studying infection, protection, and immune responses because homologous murine RVs are a natural mouse pathogen and cause similar diarrheal diseases as seen in human infants and many other mammalian species (2, 3). Using this model, we and others have previously reported an important role of the type I and type III interferon (IFN) responses as well as local and systemic antibody responses in controlling RV replication in the host intestine (4–7).

RV predominantly infects the host gastrointestinal tract, in particular the small intestine. However, whether RV replicates in extra-intestinal tissues such as the central nervous system, liver, and respiratory tract remains controversial (8-15). In addition, although fecal-oral transmission is clearly the primary means of RV spread, it is technically challenging and labor intensive to follow the events of virus transmitted to naïve animals prior to the appearance of diarrheal diseases. Bioluminescent reporter systems provide extreme convenience and sensitivity to visualize intra- and inter-host viral dynamics in real time. Although fluorescent proteins and luciferase enzymes have been widely used in the studies of a variety of viral infections, including influenza virus, vaccinia virus, herpes simplex virus type 1, dengue virus, Sindbis virus, Sendai virus, and adenovirus (16-25), most recombinant viruses tend to be attenuated, genetically unstable, and only a few are fully applicable for in vivo imaging.

A plasmid-based RV reverse genetics system has recently been established and optimized by our labs and others, thereby enabling the recovery of low-titer recombinant reporter viruses and hard-to-rescue RV strains (26-29). Intragenic sequence duplications in NSP1, NSP3 and NSP5/6 gene segments have been observed in natural RV variants, leading to the production of viral proteins of unusual length and making them ideal targets to accommodate foreign gene expression (30-32). NSP5 and NSP6 are encoded from the same gene segment, thereby introducing complications for genetic manipulation. NSP3 is expressed at higher levels than NSP1 in infected cells, rendering NSP3-based fluorescent proteins brighter and easier to detect (28). Nano-luciferase (NLuc) is a novel bioluminescent protein and offers several advantages over the existing platforms (Firefly, Gaussia, Renilla, etc.), including enhanced stability, smaller size, and increased luminescence (33). Hence, we take advantage of a highly efficient RV reverse genetics system that we recently developed (29) to generate a recombinant murine-like RV D6/ 2-2g strain that encodes NLuc from an RV NSP3 gene construct (rD6/2-2g-NLuc). This virus genome consists of 9 murine RV

genes and 2 simian RV genes (29) and is not attenuated compared to the parental D6/2 strain (data not shown). The NLuc RV is genetically stable, replication-competent, pathogenic, and transmissible *in vivo*. Using this powerful virological tool and a well-established neonatal model of RV infection, we have begun to investigate several fundamental and important questions of RV biology including tissue tropism, replication dynamics, and virus transmission.

Results

Generation of a recombinant NLucexpressing murine-like RV

To generate rD6/2-2g-NLuc, we first constructed a T7 plasmid that expresses the NLuc reporter in the RV gene segment 7 that encodes NSP3 (pT7-NSP3-NLuc). The monomeric NLuc gene was placed downstream of the NSP3 open reading frame that is followed by a P2A self-cleaving peptide to permit separate gene expression (Figure 1A). BHK-T7 cells transfected with T7-NSP3-NLuc produced the NLuc protein (Figure 1B). We further confirmed by an NLuc substrate assay that strong luciferase activity was detected in T7-NSP3-NLuc-transfected cells (Figure 1C). We successfully rescued the parental murine-like RV rD6/2-2g strain and rD6/2-2g-NLuc viruses using our optimized RV reverse genetic system (29). NLuc expression was verified in rD6/2-2g-NLuc-infected MA104 cells (Figure 1D). The identity of rD6/2-2g-NLuc was further validated by a unique electropherotype by RNA polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis (Figure 1E). The edited dsRNA of RV gene segment 7 migrated slower than the wild-type gene segment 7 due to the NLuc insertion (Figure 1E). In addition, we quantified the luciferase activity in rD6/2-2g-NLuc-infected cells and found that we were able to detect signals even at the 105 dilution factor (Figure 1F). Taken together, we successfully generated a murine-like RV NLuc reporter virus that produces robust luciferase activity in infected cells.

Characterization of rD6/2-2g-NLuc replication *in vitro*

We next sought to determine the replication kinetics of rD6/ 2-2g-NLuc as compared to the parental rD6/2-2g *in vitro*. Despite slightly lower intracellular mRNA levels and virus titers than those of rD6/2-2g at 24, 48, and 72 hours post infection (hpi) (Figures 2A, B), rD6/2-2g-NLuc replicated well in MA104 cells and produced substantial cytopathic effects (data not shown). The plaque size of rD6/2-2g-NLuc was approximately half of that of



Generation and validation of a bioluminescent rD6/2-2g-NLuc. (A) A schematic diagram (not to scale) of a genetically engineered pT7 plasmid that encodes NLuc with nucleotide positions indicated. UTR, untranslated region; P2A, self-cleaving P2A peptide gene of porcine teschovirus-1. (B) BHK-T7 cells were transfected with pT7-NSP3 and increasing amounts of pT7-NSP3-NLuc for 48 hours, and cell lysates were analyzed by western blot. (C) BHK-T7 cells were transfected with pT7 or pT7-NSP3-NLuc plasmids for 48 hours. The luciferase activity was determined by Nano-Glo[®] luciferase assay. Data are presented as the average of three experiments and error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) (Student t test; *** P < 0.001). (D) MA104 cells were infected with rD6/2-2g and rD6/2-2g-NLuc viruses (MOI=0.1) for 24 hours, and cell lysates were analyzed by western blot. (E) dsRNA profiles. Viral RNA was extracted from sucrose cushion-concentrated virus, separated on a 10% polyacylamide gel, and then stained with ethidium bromide. The dsRNA segment numbers are indicated and the position of the engineered segment 7 is marked with a yellow arrowhead. (F) Luciferase activity of rD6/2-2g and rD6/2-2g-NLuc. MA104 cells were infected with 10-fold serially diluted rD6/2-2g or rD6/2-2g or rD6/2-2g-NLuc. Cells were have at 48 hpi and the luciferase activity was determined by Nano-Glo[®] luciferase assay. Results are expressed as the mean luminescence of triplicates and error bars show the SEM (oneway ANOVA with Dunnett's test; ns, not significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, **** P < 0.001).

rD6/2-2g (Figure 2C). We performed serial passage of rD6/2-2g-NLuc in MA104 cells to assess the genetic stability. Importantly, luminescence was still highly detectable after 8 passages and we observed no loss of luciferase signals over time (Figure 2D) and the sequences of passage 4 and passage 8 viruses also did not change (Figure S1), suggesting that the NLuc gene was functionally maintained in the viral genome and that the reporter virus is infectious and stable *in vitro*.



FIGURE 2

Growth kinetics of bioluminescent rD6/2-2g-NLuc in MA104 cells. (A) MA104 cells were infected with rD6/2-2g or rD6/2-2g-NLuc (MOI=0.01) in the presence of trypsin (0.5 μ g/ml) and harvested at the indicated time points. The viral mRNA level was determined by RT-qPCR assay and normalized to that of GAPDH. Data are the average of three experiments, error bars indicate SEM (two-way ANOVA test; ns, not significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01). (B) Multi-step growth curves of rD6/2-2g-NLuc. MA104 cells were infected with rD6/2-2g or rD6/2-2g-NLuc (MOI=0.01) in the presence of trypsin (0.5 μ g/ml) and harvested at the indicated time points. The viral titers were determined by an immunoperoxidase focus-forming assay. Data are the average of three experiments, error bars indicate SEM (two-way ANOVA test; ns, not significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01). (C) Plaque formation of rD6/2-2g-NLuc. Plaques were generated on MA104 monolayers and detected by crystal violet staining at 7 dpi. The diameter of at least 25 randomly selected plaques from 2 independent plaque assays was measured by a bright-field microscope. Error bars indicate SEM (Student t test; **** P < 0.0001). (D) Functional stability of luciferase activity in rD6/2-2g-NLuc after sequential passage. rD6/2-2g-NLuc was sequentially passaged in MA104 cells. The luciferase activity for passage3 -8 was determined by Nano-Glo[®] luciferase assay as described. Results are expressed as the mean luminesce of duplicates. Error bars show SEM. Luminescence from NLuc substrate from MA104 cells infected with rD6/2-2g were plotted as a reference.

Tissue tropism of rD6/2-2g-NLuc in vivo

To leverage the high sensitivity of NLuc and investigate RV tissue tropism, we orally inoculated five-day-old 129sv pups with 1.3×10^6 foci forming units (FFUs) of rD6/2-2g-NLuc. We observed 100% diarrheal development in infected pups at 1 day post infection (dpi) (Figure 3A). The diarrhea occurrence remained more than 50% from 2 to 5 dpi (Figure 3A). We euthanized one mouse on each day and harvested different organs to measure luciferase activities. As expected, we found strong luciferase signals throughout the lower gastrointestinal tract. We detected more robust activity in the distal small intestine (SI) than proximal SI (Figures 3B, C). We also detected high NLuc activity in the colon and the mesenteric lymph nodes (Figures 3D, E), suggestive of RV replication at

these sites. On the other hand, the pancreas and the liver had weak to non-detectable signals (Figures 3G). These results suggest that murine-like RV primarily targets the lower gastrointestinal tract (SI and colon) and does not actively replicate in extra-intestinal organs such as the liver.

Infectivity and pathogenicity of rD6/2-2g-NLuc *in vivo*

To investigate whether we can use rD6/2-2g-NLuc for studies of intestinal RV infection, we inoculated five-day-old 129sv mice with a low inoculum $(3.5 \times 10^3 \text{ FFUs})$ of rD6/2-2g-NLuc *via* oral gavage. We observed that 50% of mice developed diarrhea at 1 dpi and about 80% developed diarrhea by 2 dpi



(Figure 4A). We found high levels of fecal shedding of infectious RVs from 4 to 10 dpi (Figure 4B). Importantly, we recorded the bioluminescence signals from day 0 to day 12 post infection and observed strong luciferase in the abdominal cavity as early as 1 dpi using the *in vivo* imaging system (IVIS) (Figure 4C). The luminescence intensity was up to 10⁶ p/sec/cm2/sr and remained high until 7 dpi (Figure 4D). To evaluate the stability *in vivo*, we sequenced the shed virus in the collected feces at 8 dpi. No mutations were seen in the gene segment 7 (Figure S2). These results demonstrate that the reporter virus provides extreme sensitivity and temporal resolution of intra-intestinal RV infection several days prior to the detection of RV shedding in the fecal specimens.

Characterization of RV transmission by IVIS

To further quantitatively track RV transmission, an important but under-studied aspect of RV biology, we co-housed 6 infected and 6 uninfected littermates in the same cage. Compared to the RVinoculated mice (Figure 4A), diarrhea was first observed in the naïve animals at 4 dpi and reached over 80% at 7 dpi (Figure 5A). We also quantified RV fecal shedding by an FFU assay. The originally uninoculated mice had detectable virus shedding briefly between 6 to 8 dpi (Figure 5B), albeit at a similar level as the infected mice (Figure 4B). Remarkably, we observed strong luminescence as early as 3 dpi (Figures 5C, D), preceding the first appearance of clinical symptoms at 4 dpi and fecal shedding at 6 dpi. These data indicate



that RV transmission readily occurred 3 days after co-housing and that rD6/2-2g-NLuc is a highly sensitive and convenient tool for following RV infection and spread in real time *in vivo*.

RV infection of Stat1 knockout mice

To determine whether IVIS enables to study the role of host factors in RV intestinal replication, which is enhanced in immunodeficient mice, we orally infected five-day-old *Stat1*

knockout (KO) mice with 3.5×10^3 FFUs of rD6/2-2g-NLuc, at the same dose as in wild-type 129sv mice (Figure 4). We observed that about 30% of mice developed diarrhea at 1 dpi and 100% developed diarrhea from 2 until 6 dpi (Figure 6A). As expected, *Stat1* KO pups had high levels of fecal shedding of infectious virus particles at 1 to 3 dpi (Figure 6B), much earlier than that observed in the wild-type animals (Figure 4B). Moreover, IVIS revealed that the luminescence intensity was significantly increased (approximately 10-fold higher, up to 10^7 p/sec/cm2/sr) with the lack of host interferon signaling



(Figures 6C–E). Collectively, these results demonstrate the utility and effectiveness of rD6/2-2g-NLuc in objectively reflecting RV replication and studying host immunity *in vivo*.

Discussion

Reporter viruses prove to be important tools for visualizing and monitoring viral replication dynamics *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Although the plasmid-based RV reverse genetics system was reported in 2017 and several fluorescent and luminescent protein-encoding RVs (primarily in the backbone of simian RV SA11 strain) have been described (26–28), murine viruses are difficult to rescue, precluding further manipulation and heterologous expression of foreign genes. In this study, we take advantage of a more efficient RV reverse genetics system that we recently developed (29) and generate a murine-like rD6/2-2g-NLuc strain. This virus was genetically and functionally stable even after 8 passages (Figures 2D, S1, and S2). The combinatorial use of rD6/2-2g-NLuc reporter virus and IVIS enabled the detection of RV



The bioluminescent signal is expressed in photons per second per square centimeter per steradian (p/sec/cm²/sr). (D) Quantification of the luminescence in (C). The dashed line indicates the upper limit of detection. (E) Statistical analysis of area under the curve (AUC) comparing data in Figure 4D and (D). Error bars show the SEM (one-way ANOVA test; * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01).

replication in different organ systems (Figure 3). It is noteworthy that we found strong luciferase signals in the colon (Figure 3D). There is controversy in the literature regarding RV infection of the large intestine including cecum and colon (34–38). With the limitation that we cannot distinguish real infection of colon epithelium from NLuc activities originating from infected shed but still alive cells, our data suggest a strong possibility that RV also replicates in the colon. It is also interesting that we transiently detected strong luciferase signals in the mesenteric lymph node

(Figure 3E), composed predominantly of hematopoietic cells. Furthermore, our system allowed us to assess RV transmission to uninoculated co-caged littermates (Figure 5) and to study the effect of host factors and signaling pathways on RV intestinal replication *in vivo* (Figure 6), which is easily extendable to the role of other host innate and adaptive antiviral signaling in RV infection, pathogenesis, and transmission.

Given the modular nature and small size of the NLuc reporter construct, this approach is broadly applicable to the

studies of other RV isolates and other enteric viruses (murine norovirus, enterovirus D68, etc.). The replication of simian RVs is severely limited in immunocompetent suckling mice. To that end, we can rescue NLuc reporter in the backbone of simian RV RRV strain, which we expect to be attenuated in 129sv mice but to cause a lethal biliary disease in Stat1 deficient or intraperitoneally inoculated newborn mice (39). We can apply traditional virological approaches (reassortment with gene swapping and/or deletion) to examine the relative contribution of individual RV gene products in intestinal replication and transmission. Another interesting aspect is that the host genetic background dictates RV pathogenicity. Compared to 129sv pups, diarrhea in C57Bl/6 pups is highly attenuated. Thus, one could use NLuc virus to desegregate RV replication from diseases and help dissect the role of RV-encoded products in this process.

Finally, reporter viruses have emerged as powerful tools in small-molecule compound screening (40, 41), antibody identification (17), and vaccine efficacy analysis (42). We envision that our NLuc reporter RV and IVIS will provide a rapid, non-lethal and real-time quantitative means to assess viral replication, spread and facilitate the rationale design and development of novel antiviral therapeutics and new-generation safe and efficacious RV vaccines, to be tested in pre-clinical small animal models.

Material and method

Cell culture and viruses

MA104 cells (ATCC CRL-2378) were cultured in Medium 199 (M199, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 I.U. penicillin/ml, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin and 0.292 mg/ml L-glutamine (complete medium). The BHK-T7 cell line (43) was provided by Dr. Ursula Buchholz (Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, NIAID, NIH, USA) and cultured in completed DMEM supplemented with 0.2 μ g/ml of G-418 (Promega). MA104 N*V cells were cultured in complete M199 in the presence of 3 μ g/ml puromycin and 3 μ g/ml of blasticidin (*In vivo*Gen, San Diego, CA).

The recombinant RV strains used in this study include rD6/ 2-2g and rD6/2-2g-NLuc and were propagated in MA104 cells. Prior to infection, all RV inocula were activated with 5 μ g/ml of trypsin (Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) for 30 min at 37°C.

Plasmid construction

The murine D6/2 rescue plasmids: pT7-D6/2-VP2, pT7-D6/ 2-VP3, pT7-D6/2-VP4, pT7-D6/2-VP6, pT7-D6/2-VP7, pT7D6/2-NSP1, pT7-D6/2-NSP2, pT7-D6/2-NSP3, and pT7-D6/2-NSP5 were prepared as described previously (29) while pT7-SA11-VP1 and pT7-SA11-NSP4 were originally made by Dr. Takeshi Kobayashi (Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Japan) (26) and obtained from Addgene. The C3P3-G1 plasmid (44) was kindly provided by Dr. Philippe H Jaïs. To generate pT7-D6/2-NSP3-Nluc (accession number: ON738554), which encodes a full-length Nluc gene (GenBank: KM359774.1) and the self-cleaving P2A peptide gene of porcine teschovires-1, the P2A-Nluc gene cassette was amplified by PCR and inserted between nucleotides in the NSP3 gene *via* Gibson assembly (NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit). Purification of all the plasmids was performed using QIAGEN Plasmid Maxiprep kit per the manufacturer's instructions.

Generation of recombinant rotaviruses

rD6/2-2g was generated using the following pT7 plasmids: pT7-SA11-VP1 and -NSP4, pT7-D6/2-VP2, -VP3, -VP4, -VP6, -VP7, -NSP1, -NSP2, -NSP3 and -NSP5 according to the optimized entirely plasmid-based RG system (29). The pT7-D6/2-NSP3 plasmid was replaced by the pT7-D6/2-NSP3-Nluc to generate rD6/2-2g-Nluc. The rescued recombinant RVs were propagated for two passages in MA104 cells in a 6-well plate, and then were plaque purified twice in MA104 cells.

Western blot

BHK-T7 cells were transfected with 1µg pT7 vector or 1 and 2 µg pT7-NSP3-NLuc plasmids for 48 hours and MA104 cells were infected by rD6/2-2g or rD6/2-2g-NLuc at an MOI of 0.1 for 24 h. Then, cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphatebuffered saline (PBS; Thermo Scientific) and lysed in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1.0% IGEPAL CA-630, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0) supplemented with 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific) for 30 min at 4°C. After that, cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Samples were resolved in precast SDS-PAGE gels (4 to 15%; Bio-Rad) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 µm; Bio-Rad). The membrane was incubated with blocking buffer (5% bovine serum albumin [BSA] diluted in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the membrane was incubated with anti-NLuc mouse monoclonal antibody (Promega; catalog no. N7000; 1 µg/ml) diluted in SuperBlock blocking buffer, 4 °C overnight, anti-RV VP6 mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-101363; 1:1,000), and antiglyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) rabbit monoclonal antibody (CST; catalog no. 2118; 1:1,000) diluted in 5% BSA, 4 °C overnight, followed by incubation with antimouse IgG (CST; catalog no. 7076; 1:5,000) or anti-rabbit IgG (CST; catalog no. 7074; 1:5,000) horseradish peroxidase (HRP)linked antibodies at room temperature for 1 h. The antigenantibody complex was detected using Clarity Western ECL substrate (Bio-Rad) and the ChemiDoc MP imaging system according to the manufacturer's manuals.

Assessment of genetic stability

The rD6/2-2g-NLuc was serially passaged five times after the plaque purification. To this end, MA104 cell monolayers in 6well plates were infected with recombinant rD6/2-2g-NLuc at an MOI of 0.1. After three days post-infection, infected cells were frozen and thawed twice, and then lysates were clarified by centrifugation. Cellular lysates were serially passaged four times (until passage 8) through sequential infection of MA104 cells at MOI of 0.1 for 72 h. The NLuc gene of viral stocks (P4-P8) was tested by luciferase assay and RT-PCR. For RT-PCR, the total RNA of the recombinant rD6/2-2g NLuc (P4-P8) and rD6/2-2g was extracted by TRIzol and reverse transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer instructions. NSP3 gene was amplified by the PrimeSTAR[®] HS DNA Polymerase (Takara) following the manufacturer's guides. Finally, PCR products were separated by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained by ethidium bromide, and visualized by a gel documentation system (Axygen). A separate set of purified NSP3 fragments from D6/ 2-2g NLuc P4 and P8 were sent for Sanger sequencing. The forward and reverse primers used for D6/2 NSP3 amplification were 5'-GGCATTTAATGCTTTTCAG-3' and 5'-GGTCACATAATGCCCCTATAG -3', respectively.

RT-qPCR

The total RNA of the MA104 cells infected with recombinant rD6/2-2g and rD6/2-2g-NLuc virus was extracted by TRIzol. Total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit with RNase inhibitor (Applied Biosystems) according to the user guide. Briefly, 0.8 µg of RNA, $2\,\mu$ l of 10× reverse transcription (RT) buffer, 0.8 µl of 100 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) mix, 2 µl of RT random primers, 0.1 µl of RNase inhibitor, 0.1 µl of MultiScribe reverse transcriptase, and a flexible amount of nuclease-free H2O were added to the 20 µl reaction mixture. The reverse transcription thermocycling program was set at 25°C for 10 min, 37°C for 2 h, and 85°C for 5 min. The expression level of housekeeping gene GAPDH was quantitated by 2× SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystems), and NSP5 was quantitated by 2× TaqMan Fast Advanced master mix (Applied Biosystems). The primers used in this study were as follows: human GAPDH forward primer, 5'-GGAGCGAGATCCCTCCAAAAT-3', and reverse primer, 5'-

GGCTGTTGTCATACTTCTCATGG-3'; and NSP5 forward primer, 5'-CTGCTTC AAACGATCCACTCAC-3', reverse primer, 5'-TGAATCCATAGACACGCC-3', and probe, 5'-CY5/ TCAAATGCAGTTAAGACAAATGCAGACGCT/IABRQSP-3'. The y axis stands for the percentage of NSP5 mRNA levels relative to GAPDH levels.

Plaque assay

Activated virus samples were serially diluted 10-fold and added to monolayers of MA104 cells for 1 h at 37°C. Inocula were removed and replaced with 0.1% (w/v) agarose (SeaKem[®] ME Agarose. Lonza) in FBS-free M199 supplement with 0.5 μ g/ ml of trypsin. Cultures were incubated for 7 days at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator. Random plaques were picked by pushing the 200 μ l tip through the overlay agarose, and then were propagated in MA104 cells as described above. To quantify the plaque diameter, cultures at 7 dpi were fixed with 10% formaldehyde and stained with 1% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich). The diameter of at least 25 randomly selected plaques from 2 independent plaque assays was recorded using an ECHO microscope and then, diameters were measured with the annotation tool of the microscope.

Focus-forming assay

Activated virus samples from cell culture or mouse stool specimens were serially diluted 2- or 10-fold and added to confluent monolayers of MA104 cells seeded in 96-well plates for 1 h at 37°C. Inocula were removed and replaced with M199 serum-free and then incubated for 16 to 18 h at 37°C. Cells were then fixed with 10% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 1% Tween 20. Cells were incubated with rabbit hyperimmune serum to simian RV RRV strain produced in our laboratory and previously described (45) and anti-rabbit HRP-linked secondary antibody. Viral foci were stained with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (AEC substrate kit. Vector Laboratories) per manufacturer's instructions and enumerated visually.

Luciferase assay

MA104 cells seeded in 96-well plates were infected with 50 μ L of 10-fold serial dilution of recombinant RVs at 37°C for 48 h and freeze-thawed 2 times before 50 μ L/well of Nano-Glo Luciferase Assay Reagent (Promega) was added per manufacturer's instructions. After 5 minutes incubation at room temperature, relative luminosity units were measured (p/sec/cm2/sr) using a 20/20n Luminometer (Turner Biosystems). 100 μ l of mouse tissues homogenates were mixed with 50 μ l of Nano-Glo working substrate solution and processed as described above.

Purification of RV particles by sucrose gradient centrifugation

RVs were concentrated by pelleting through a sucrose cushion as described (46). Briefly, MA104 grown in 12-well plate were infected at an MOI of 0.01 and harvested at 72 h post infection (hpi), the viral lysates were freeze-thawed three times, and viral particles concentrated by ultracentrifugation for 1 h at 30,000 g at 4°C. Viral pellets were resuspended in TNC buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl [pH 7.5], 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM CaCl₂), extracted with genetron and the aqueous phase pelleted through a 40% sucrose cushion by centrifugation for 1 h at 30,000 g at 4°C. The pelleted RV was resuspended with 1 mL of PBS with 100mg/L of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ and this suspension was used to perform mouse infections or to obtain genomic dsRNA profiles.

Electrophoresis of viral dsRNA genomes

Viral dsRNAs were extracted from sucrose cushionconcentrated RVs with TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol and then mixed with Gel Loading Dye, Purple (6x), no SDS (NEB). Samples were subjected to PAGE (10%) for 2h 30 min at 180V and then stained with ethidium bromide (0.1 μ g/mL) for 10 minutes and visualized by the gel documentation system (Axygen).

Mice infection and phenotypic characterization

Wild-type 129sv and *Stat1* KO mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory and Taconic Biosciences and bred locally at the Washington University in St. Louis (WUSTL) CSRB vivarium. Wild type 129sv mice were originally purchased from the Jackson Laboratory and maintained in-house in a breeding colony. 5-day-old pups were orally inoculated with rD6/2-2g-NLuc $(1.3 \times 10^6$ FFU) or PBS. Diarrhea was scored as previously described (47). On the indicated day animals were sacrificed and small intestine, colon, mesenteric lymph node, pancreas, and liver were collected, weighed, homogenized in PBS with Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ and clarified by centrifugation. Homogenized tissues were subjected to measurements of luciferase activity. Proximal and distal small intestines samples were collected: proximal samples were collected at about 2-3 cm from the pyloric sphincter while distal were collected at about 0.5 cm from the caecum.

IVIS

Wild-type 129sv and *Stat1* KO mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory and Taconic Biosciences, respectively,

and bred locally at the Washington University in St. Louis (WUSTL) CSRB vivarium. Five-day-old suckling pups were orally infected with rD6/2-2g-NLuc $(3.5 \times 10^3 \text{ FFU})$. Diarrhea was evaluated from day 1 to day 12 post infection. To perform IVIS, we firstly weighted the mice, and oral gavage Nano-GloTM substrate (1/20 dilution in PBS; to make sure 50 µL per mouse, 1/25-1/57 dilution in PBS) for 3.5 hours and then performed IVIS (exposure time: 1 second) by using the IVIS Spectrum BL.

Statistical analysis

All statistical tests were performed as described in the indicated figure legends using Prism 9.0. Statistical significance was determined using a one-way ANOVA when comparing three or more groups. When comparing two groups, a Mann-Whitney test and student's t test were performed. The number of independent experiments performed is indicated in the relevant figure legends.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by IACUC protocol 19-0797. Animal welfare assurance #D16-00245.

Author contributions

YZ, LS-T, and SD designed the experiments and analyzed data. YZ and SD wrote the paper. YZ, LS-T, GH, and TK performed the experiments and organized data. YZ, LS-T, GH, TK, NF, HG, and SD contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01 AI150796 and R56 AI167285 to SD, R01 AI125249, U19 AI116484, and a VA Merit Grant (GRH0022) awarded to HG.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Ding lab for helpful discussion of the project. We appreciate Drs. Nathan J. Meade and Kenneth H. Mellits for kindly sharing the MA104-N*V cells and Dr. Philippe H. Jais for sharing the C3P3-G1 plasmid. We thank Drs. Suzanne M. Hickerson and Stephen M. Beverley for the IVIS training.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Troeger C, Khalil IA, Rao PC, Cao SJ, Blacker BF, Ahmed T, et al. Rotavirus vaccination and the global burden of rotavirus diarrhea among children younger than 5 years. *JAMA Pediatr* (2018) 172:958-65. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.1960

2. Franco MA, Angel J, Greenberg HB. Immunity and correlates of protection for rotavirus vaccines. *Vaccine* (2006) 24:2718-31. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.12.048

3. Burns JW, Krishnaney AA, Vo PT, Rouse RV, Anderson LJ, Greenberg HB. Analyses of homologous rotavirus infection in the mouse model. *Virology* (1995) 207:143–53. doi: 10.1006/viro.1995.1060

4. Feng N, Yasukawa LL, Sen A, Greenberg HB. Permissive replication of homologous murine rotavirus in the mouse intestine is primarily regulated by VP4 and NSP1. J Virol (2013) 87:8307–16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00619-13

5. Lin JD, Feng N, Sen A, Balan M, Tseng HC, McElrath C, et al. Distinct roles of type I and type III interferons in intestinal immunity to homologous and heterologous rotavirus infections. *PloS Pathog* (2016) 12:e1005600. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005600

6. Feng NG, Jaimes MC, Lazarus NH, Monak D, Zhang CQ, Butcher EC, et al. Redundant role of chemokines CCL25/TECK and CCL28/MEC in IgA(+) plasmablast recruitment to the intestinal lamina propria after rotavirus infection. *J Immunol* (2006) 176:5749–59. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.176.10.5749

7. Deal EM, Lahl K, Narvaez CF, Butcher EC, Greenberg HB. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells promote rotavirus-induced human and murine b cell responses. *J Clin Invest* (2013) 123:2464–74. doi: 10.1172/JCI60945

8. Santosham M, Yolken RH, Quiroz E, Dillman L, Oro G, Reeves WC, et al. Detection of rotavirus in respiratory secretions of children with pneumonia. *J Pediatr* (1983) 103:583–5. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(83)80591-5

9. Fragoso M, Kumar A, Murray DL. Rotavirus in nasopharyngeal secretions of children with upper respiratory tract infections. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* (1986) 4:87–8. doi: 10.1016/0732-8893(86)90062-3

10. Zheng BJ, Chang RX, Ma GZ, Xie JM, Liu Q, Liang XR, et al. Rotavirus infection of the oropharynx and respiratory tract in young children. *J Med Virol* (1991) 34:29–37. doi: 10.1002/jmv.1890340106

11. Nishimura S, Ushijima H, Nishimura S, Shiraishi H, Kanazawa C, Abe T, et al. Detection of rotavirus in cerebrospinal fluid and blood of patients with convulsions and gastroenteritis by means of the reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. *Brain Dev* (1993) 15:457–9. doi: 10.1016/0387-7604(93)90088-P

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fimmu.2022.911024/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The NSP3 sequences from cell culture passaged viruses. The sequences of NSP3 of parental virus, P4 and P8 from serial passages subjected to RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing. The NSP3, P2A, and NLuc junctions were shown.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

The NSP3 sequences from shed virus of infected mice. The sequences of NSP3 of parental and virus collected in the stool sample of infected mice at 8 days post infection were analyzed by RT-PCR and Sanger sequencing. The NSP3, P2A, and NLuc junctions were shown.

12. Lynch M, Lee B, Azimi P, Gentsch J, Glaser C, Gilliam S, et al. Rotavirus and central nervous system symptoms: cause or contaminant? case reports and review. *Clin Infect Dis an Off Publ Infect Dis Soc America* (2001) 33:932–8. doi: 10.1086/322650

13. Feng NG, Sen A, Wolf M, Vo P, Hoshino Y, Greenberg HB. Roles of VP4 and NSP1 in determining the distinctive replication capacities of simian rotavirus RRV and bovine rotavirus UK in the mouse biliary tract. *J Virol* (2011) 85:2686–94. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02408-10

14. Fenaux M, Cuadras AA, Feng N, Jaimes M, Greenberg HB. Extraintestinal spread and replication of a homologous EC rotavirus strain and a heterologous rhesus rotavirus in BALB/c mice. *J Virol* (2006) 80:5219–32. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02664-05

15. Iturriza-Gomara M, Auchterlonie IA, Zaw W, Molyneaux P, Desselberger U, Gray J. Rotavirus gastroenteritis and central nervous system (CNS) infection: characterization of the VP7 and VP4 genes of rotavirus strains isolated from paired fecal and cerebrospinal fluid samples from a child with CNS disease. J Clin Microbiol (2002) 40:4797–9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.12.4797-4799.2002

16. Karlsson EA, Meliopoulos VA, Savage C, Livingston B, Mehle A, Schultz-Cherry S. Visualizing real-time influenza virus infection, transmission and protection in ferrets. *Nat Commun* (2015) 6:6378. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7378

17. Heaton NS, Leyva-Grado VH, Tan GS, Eggink D, Hai R, Palese P. In vivo bioluminescent imaging of influenza a virus infection and characterization of novel cross-protective monoclonal antibodies. *J Virol* (2013) 87:8272–81. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00969-13

18. Tran V, Moser LA, Poole DS, Mehle A. Highly sensitive real-time *in vivo* imaging of an influenza reporter virus reveals dynamics of replication and spread. *J Virol* (2013) 87:13321-9. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02381-13

19. Rodriguez JF, Rodriguez D, Rodriguez JR, McGowan EB, Esteban M. Expression of the firefly luciferase gene in vaccinia virus: a highly sensitive gene marker to follow virus dissemination in tissues of infected animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America* (1988) 85:1667–71. doi: 10.1073/pnas.85.5.1667

20. Manicassamy B, Manicassamy S, Belicha-Villanueva A, Pisanelli G, Pulendran B, Garcia-Sastre A. Analysis of *in vivo* dynamics of influenza virus infection in mice using a GFP reporter virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America* (2010) 107:11531–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914994107

21. Luker GD, Bardill JP, Prior JL, Pica CM, Piwnica-Worms D, Leib DA. Noninvasive bioluminescence imaging of herpes simplex virus type 1 infection and

therapy in living mice. J Virol (2002) 76:12149-61. doi: 10.1128/JVI.76.23.12149-12161.2002

22. Cook SH, Griffin DE. Luciferase imaging of a neurotropic viral infection in intact animals. J Virol (2003) 77:5333–8. doi: 10.1128/JVI.77.9.5333-5338.2003

23. Burke CW, Mason JN, Surman SL, Jones BG, Dalloneau E, Hurwitz JL, et al. Illumination of parainfluenza virus infection and transmission in living animals reveals a tissue-specific dichotomy. *PloS Pathog* (2011) 7:e1002134. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002134

24. Gambhir SS, Barrio JR, Phelps ME, Iyer M, Namavari M, Satyamurthy N, et al. Imaging adenoviral-directed reporter gene expression in living animals with positron emission tomography. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America* (1999) 96:2333–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.5.2333

25. Chiem K, Lorenzo MM, Rangel-Moreno J, Garcia-Hernandez MDLL, Park J-G, Nogales A, et al. Bi-reporter vaccinia virus for tracking viral infections *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Microbiol Spectr* (2021) 9:e01601–21. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.01601-21

26. Kanai Y, Komoto S, Kawagishi T, Nouda R, Nagasawa N, Onishi M, et al. Entirely plasmid-based reverse genetics system for rotaviruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci United States America* (2017) 114:2349–54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1618424114

27. Komoto S, Fukuda S, Ide T, Ito N, Sugiyama M, Yoshikawa T, et al. Generation of recombinant rotaviruses expressing fluorescent proteins by using an optimized reverse genetics system. *J Virol* (2018) 92:e00588–18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00588-18

28. Philip AA, Perry JL, Eaton HE, Shmulevitz M, Hyser JM, Patton JT. Generation of recombinant rotavirus expressing NSP3-UnaG fusion protein by a simplified reverse genetics system. *J Virol* (2019) 93:e01616–19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01616-19

29. Sanchez-Tacuba L, Feng N, Meade NJ, Mellits KH, Jais PH, Yasukawa LL, et al. An optimized reverse genetics system suitable for efficient recovery of simian, human, and murine-like rotaviruses. *J Virol* (2020) 94:e01294–20. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01294-20

30. Philip AA, Patton JT. Expression of separate heterologous proteins from the rotavirus NSP3 genome segment using a translational 2A stop-restart element. *J Virol* (2020) 94:e00959-20. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00959-20

31. Gonzalez SA, Mattion NM, Bellinzoni R, Burrone OR. Structure of rearranged genome segment-11 in 2 different rotavirus strains generated by a similar mechanism. *J Gen Virol* (1989) 70:1329–36. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-70-6-1329

32. Desselberger U. Genome rearrangements of rotaviruses. Adv Virus Res (1996) 46:69–95. doi: 10.1016/S0065-3527(08)60070-6

33. England CG, Ehlerding EB, Cai W. NanoLuc: A small luciferase is brightening up the field of bioluminescence. *Bioconjugate Chem* (2016) 27:1175-87. doi: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00112

34. Mebus CA, Newman LE. Scanning electron, light, and immunofluorescent microscopy of intestine of gnotobiotic calf infected with reovirus-like agent. *Am J Vet Res* (1977) 38:553–8.

35. Snodgrass DR, Angus KW, Gray EW. Rotavirus infection in lambs pathogenesis and pathology. Arch Virol (1977) 55:263-74. doi: 10.1007/BF01315048

36. Theil KW, Bohl EH, Cross RF, Kohler EM, Agnes AG. Pathogenesis of porcine rotaviral infection in experimentally inoculated gnotobiotic pigs. *Am J Vet Res* (1978) 39:213–20.

37. Little LM, Shadduck JA. Pathogenesis of rotavirus infection in mice. *Infect Immun* (1982) 38:755–63. doi: 10.1128/iai.38.2.755-763.1982

38. Oelz O, Ritter M, Jenni R, Maggiorini M, Waber U, Vock P, et al. Nifedipine for high-altitude pulmonary-edema. *Lancet* (1989) 2:1241–4. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(89)91851-5

39. Feng N, Kim B, Fenaux M, Nguyen H, Vo P, Omary MB, et al. Role of interferon in homologous and heterologous rotavirus infection in the intestines and extraintestinal organs of suckling mice. *J Virol* (2008) 82:7578–90. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00391-08

40. Goff A, Twenhafel N, Garrison A, Mucker E, Lawler J, Paragas J. In vivo imaging of cidofovir treatment of cowpox virus infection. *Virus Res* (2007) 128:88–98. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2007.04.014

41. Yamada K, Noguchi K, Kimitsuki K, Kaimori R, Saito N, Komeno T, et al. Reevaluation of the efficacy of favipiravir against rabies virus using *in vivo* imaging analysis. *Antivir Res* (2019) 172:104641. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2019.104641

42. Pietrella D, Rachini A, Torosantucci A, Chiani P, Brown AJP, Bistoni F, et al. A beta-glucan-conjugate vaccine and anti-beta-glucan antibodies are effective against murine vaginal candidiasis as assessed by a novel *in vivo* imaging technique. *Vaccine* (2010) 28:1717–25. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.12.021

43. Buchholz UJ, Finke S, Conzelmann KK. Generation of bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV) from cDNA: BRSV NS2 is not essential for virus replication in tissue culture, and the human RSV leader region acts as a functional BRSV genome promoter. *J Virol* (1999) 73:251–9. doi: 10.1128/JVI.73.1.251-259.1999

44. Jais PH, Decroly E, Jacquet E, Le Boulch M, Jais A, Jean-Jean O, et al. C3P3-G1: first generation of a eukaryotic artificial cytoplasmic expression system. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2019) 47:2681–98. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz069

45. Feng N, Lawton JA, Gilbert J, Kuklin N, Vo P, Prasad BV, et al. Inhibition of rotavirus replication by a non-neutralizing, rotavirus VP6–specific IgA mAb. *J Clin Invest* (2002) 109:1203–13. doi: 10.1172/JCI14397

46. Sanchez-Tacuba L, Rojas M, Arias CF, Lopez S. Rotavirus controls activation of the 2'-5'-Oligoadenylate Synthetase/RNase l pathway using at least two distinct mechanisms. J Virol (2015) 89:12145–53. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01874-15

47. Greenberg H, Vo P, Jones R. Cultivation and characterization of three strains of murine rotavirus. J Virol (1986) 57:585–90. doi: 10.1128/jvi.57.2.585-590.1986