Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Diego Francisco Catalán, University of Chile, Chile

REVIEWED BY Ricardo Pujol Borrell, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain Katina Schinnerling, University of Chile, Chile

*CORRESPONDENCE Shu Man Fu sf2e@virginia.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Autoimmune and Autoinflammatory Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 25 April 2022 ACCEPTED 14 October 2022 PUBLISHED 31 October 2022

CITATION

Zhao Z, Anderson AN, Kannapell CC, Kwok WW, Gaskin F and Fu SM (2022) HLA-DR3 restricted environmental epitopes from the bacterium *Clostridium tetani* have T cell cross-reactivity to the SLE-related autoantigen SmD. *Front. Immunol.* 13:928374. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.928374

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhao, Anderson, Kannapell, Kwok, Gaskin and Fu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

HLA-DR3 restricted environmental epitopes from the bacterium *Clostridium tetani* have T cell crossreactivity to the SLE-related autoantigen SmD

Zhenhuan Zhao^{1,2}, Ashley N. Anderson², Carol C. Kannapell^{1,2}, William W. Kwok³, Felicia Gaskin⁴ and Shu Man Fu^{1,2*}

¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, United States, ²Center for Immunity, Inflammation and Regenerative Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, United States, ³Benaroya Research Institute, Virginia Mason, Seattle, WA, United States, ⁴Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, United States

HLA-DR3 (DR3) is one of the dominant HLA-DR alleles associated with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) susceptibility. Our previous studies showed multiple intramolecular DR3 restricted T cell epitopes in the Smith D (SmD) protein, from which we generated a non-homologous, bacterial epitope mimics library. From this library we identified ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic as one new DR3 restricted bacterial T cell epitope from the ABC transporter ATP-binding protein in Clostridium tetani. It activated and induced autoreactive SmD₆₆₋ ₈₀-specific T cells and induced autoantibodies to lupus-related autoantigens in vivo. Compared to healthy donors, SLE patients have a greater percentage of cross-reactive T cells to $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic and SmD_{66-80} . In addition, we analyzed the ability of single DR3 restricted Tetanus toxoid (TT) T cell epitopes to induce autoimmune T cells. We found that the immunodominant TT epitope TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ stimulated SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cells but failed to induce persistent anti-SmD autoantibodies compared to the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic. Thus, exposure to the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic epitope may contribute to autoimmunity in susceptible DR3 individuals.

KEYWORDS

T cell epitope, HLA-DR3, environmental bacteria, molecular mimicry, autoantigen, Smith D (SmD), cross-reactive T cells, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a prototypical, systemic autoimmune disease that occurs when the body's immune system begins to attack its own healthy tissues (loss of self-tolerance) (1). Autoantibodies are one of the clinical markers of lupus and can be detected prior to symptoms and diagnosis (2). There is no cure for SLE and the exact mechanisms underlying disease development have yet to be elucidated.

The HLA-DR region is the dominant lupus susceptibility locus, particularly the HLA-DR3 (DR3) allele. This highlights the importance of T cells in lupus because the genes within the HLA-DR locus are important for antigen presentation to T cells (3-5). It is known that environmental antigens that share T cell epitopes with self-antigens can initiate adaptive immune responses that continue to be propagated by self-antigens, leading to disease in genetically susceptible individuals (6). Our laboratory has shown that in DR3 transgenic mice (DR3 mice), T cells specific for SLE-related autoantigens responded to bacterial mimics of self-peptides. For example, DR3 restricted T cells specific for SmD (7), and Ro60 (8) responded to several epitopes from environmental bacteria. We also identified numerous potential bacterial mimics that were able to induce the production of SLE-related autoantibodies in DR3 mice (9). However, the existence of cross-reactive T cells able to recognize self and non-homologous bacterial epitopes in humans remains to be demonstrated. Furthermore, if these cross-reactive T cells are present in humans, it is of interest whether they are activated by bacterial epitopes to induce lupus-related autoantibodies.

In this study, we examined the epitopes from SmD and the bacterium *Clostridium tetani* for the presence of shared T cell epitopes. To do this, we utilized MHC class II DR3 tetramers carrying different epitopes. Our results show that one bacterial epitope from the ABC transporter ATP-binding protein (ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic) activated SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cells in both DR3 mice and humans. The percentages of cross-reactive T cells to ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic and SmD₆₆₋₈₀ were significantly increased in DR3 positive SLE patients (DR3 SLE patients). Additionally, ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic induced persistent anti-SmD autoantibodies in DR3 mice. Our results suggest that environmental mimics of self-epitopes may be important for activating cross-reactive T cells, thus potentially leading to the initiation of autoimmune responses and SLE development in susceptible individuals.

Materials and methods

Human subjects

Patients from the University of Virginia Hospital fulfilling the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (10) and healthy donors provided blood samples for HLA-DR typing. Patients' and donors' samples were archived and deidentified. Only HLA-DR3 (DR3) individuals were selected for this study. The three selected patients are two African American females and one Caucasian female over the age of 18. The three patients were all ANA and anti-SmD positive with leukopenia, oral mucosal and joint involvement. The patients were also anti-Tetanus toxoid (TT) positive [Mean, 0.81 ± 0.20] at 1 to 800 dilution. Seven of eight healthy donors were anti-TT positive [Mean, 0.96 ± 0.65] at 1 to 800 dilution (p=0.57).

Isolation of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells from whole blood

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by standard Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) gradient centrifugation, resuspended in freezing media (10% DMSO in FCS), and stored in liquid nitrogen.

HLA-DR typing

DNA was extracted from blood clots and HLA-DR typing was done by the PCR method described by Bunce (11).

Stimulation and DR3 tetramer staining of mouse spleen cells and human PBMCs

This procedure was performed using modified versions of protocols described previously (12, 13). Briefly, human PBMCs were stimulated with 17.7 µg/ml of TT lysate (MassBiologics, University of Massachusetts Medical School, MA) for 5-7 days. Spleen cells from DR3 mice immunized with protein or peptide were harvested 10-14 days post immunization. Human PBMCs were stained with 1 µg of DR3 tetramer for 3-4 hours at 37°C; and mouse spleen cells were stained for 2 hours. After tetramer staining, the cells were washed in PBS containing 2% FCS (FACS buffer) and surface markers were detected by anti-CD4-FITC (Biolegend, San Diego, CA) and anti-CD3-APCeFluorTM780 (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) for human cells and anti-CD4-FITC and anti-CD3-APC/FireTM750 (Biolegend, San Diego, CA) for mouse cells on ice for 30 minutes after Fc blocking. Cells were analyzed by the BD LSR FortessaTM Cell Analyzer (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ). When determining the percentage of single epitope reactive T cells from dual tetramer staining as seen in Figure 6, for SmD₆₆₋₈₀, the percentage of single SmD₆₆₋₈₀ tetramer positive T cells was the sum of cells in Q1 and Q2; for the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic and TT peptides, the percentage of single Clostridium tetani epitope tetramer positive T cells was the sum

of cells in Q2 and Q3. Cross-reactive T cells between SmD_{66-80} and *Clostridium* tetani epitopes were detected in Q2.

Peptides and recombinant proteins

DR3 restricted TT peptides TT_{92-111} , $TT_{279-296}$, $TT_{826-845}$, $TT_{986-1005}$, $TT_{1058-1077}$, $TT_{1114-1133}$, and $TT_{1272-1284}$, as well as the bacterial ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic peptide and peptides TT_{31-45} and $TT_{506-525}$ were HPLC purified with \geq 95% purity and obtained from GenScript (Piscataway, New Jersey). The overlapping, 30 amino acid length SmD peptides spanning the entire protein (119 amino acids), as well as 15mers SmD₁₋₁₅ and SmD₆₆₋₈₀ were also HPLC purified with \geq 95% purity and obtained from ChinaPeptides (Shanghai, China). The recombinant SLE-related autoantigens used were described previously (14, 15). TT lysate was obtained from MassBiologics at the University of Massachusetts Medical School (UMMS). It was prepared from inactivated bacterial cultures followed by filtration and does not contain adjuvant.

Mouse strains and immunizations

The HLA-DRB1*0301, HLA-DRA1*0101 (DR3.A^{0/0}E^{0/0}) transgenic mice lacking endogenous class II molecules have been previously described (16). The HLA class II transgenic mice used in the present work were backcrossed to B10 mice for 10 generations, and thus carry B10 genetic backgrounds. All mice used in this study were bred and housed in specific pathogen-free conditions at the University of Virginia vivarium. Mouse experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of Virginia. For experiments analyzing T cell IFN-y responses, two mice were immunized in the left footpad and base of tail with 100 μg of peptide or protein in IFA. For experiments analyzing antibody production, 4-5 mice were immunized in the left footpad and base of tail with 100 μg of peptide in CFA. On days 14 and 28 post immunization, mice received injections of 50 µg of peptide in IFA intraperitoneally. Sera were collected monthly and stored at -20°C.

T cell peptide-specific IFN- γ responses

For determining T cell specific IFN- γ responses to SmD₆₆₋₈₀, ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic, and other TT peptides, two mice were immunized with each single peptide in the footpad and base of the tail, respectively and 10-14 days later spleen cells were pooled and analyzed. Cells were plated in 96-well round bottom plates at 2 x 10⁶ cells per well with 20 µg/ml peptide at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 2 days. Culture supernatants were used to determine IFN- γ

concentrations by ELISA (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ), following the manufacturer's instructions.

T-T hybridoma production and reactivity

SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T-T hybridomas were produced from the fusion of lymph node cells from DR3.A^{0/0}E^{0/0} mice immunized with SmD in IFA with BW5147TCR^{-/-} as described by Kruisbeek (17). For each fusion, cells were suspended in ten 96-well plates. Hybrid cells surviving hypoxanthine/aminopterin/thymidine (HAT) selection were expanded in 24-well plates. 10⁵ T-T hybridoma cells were incubated with 2.5×10^5 syngeneic splenic cells in the presence of SmD₆₆₋₈₀ for 14 hours. Interleukin (IL)-2 in culture supernatants was estimated by ELISA (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) following manufacturer's instructions. Reactive hybridomas were cloned by limiting dilution. To determine the ability of the T-T hybridoma to respond to TT, hybridoma cells were incubated with irradiated syngeneic spleen cells in the presence of TT and IL-2 in culture supernatants was measured by ELISA.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The experiments were performed using a modified version of the protocol described previously (14). Immulon 4HBX microtiter plates (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) were coated with 2 μ g/ml of peptides overnight at 4°C and blocked with 1x PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 with 3% BSA (blocking buffer) for 1 hour at room temperature. Mouse sera diluted 1 to 100 in blocking buffer were added to the plate and incubated for 2 hours. Secondary anti-mouse IgG in blocking buffer was added and incubated for 1 hour. Then the substrate o-phenylenediamine (0.05%) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 0.06% hydrogen peroxide in citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 5.0 (100 μ l/well) was applied. Reaction was stopped after 15 minutes by the addition of 50 μ l/well of 2.5 N sulfuric acid. Absorbance was read at 490 nm with a reference wavelength of 560 nm.

Antibody absorption

Peptide SmD₆₆₋₈₀ was coupled to CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) following the manufacturer's instructions. The beads were incubated overnight with PBS containing 3% BSA at 4°C. Sera were diluted in 1x PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST) containing 3% BSA and incubated with the beads for 2 hours at room temperature. The absorbed sera were assayed for anti-SmD₆₆₋₈₀ activity using ELISA.

Statistical analysis

Significance was determined using the two tailed Student's t-test.

Results

TT lysate activates SmD_{66-80} crossreactive T cells

We previously identified SmD₆₆₋₈₀ as one of seven DR3 restricted SmD T cell epitopes using T-T hybridomas in our DR3 transgenic mouse model. Many of the T-T hybridomas have cross-reactivity to SmD_{66-80} and bacterial antigens (9). Experiments were designed to demonstrate cross-reactivity to SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and bacterial antigens on a single T cell by the HLA-DR3 tetramer technology. First to confirm the specificity of the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer, DR3 mice were immunized with SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cells were detected using the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer. DR3 mice immunized with SmD₉₁-119 were used as controls. SmD91-119 lacks the DR3 restricted T cell epitopes. 6.23% SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive CD4⁺ T cells were identified in the spleens of SmD₆₆₋₈₀ immunized DR3 mice. In comparison, 1.24% CD4⁺ T cells were seen in the spleens of SmD₉₁₋₁₁₉ immunized DR3 mice. The control DR3 tetramer loaded with non SmD peptides showed 0.27% and 0.11% staining in immunized mice, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). These results showed the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer specifically bound SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive CD4⁺ T cells. After establishing the specificity of the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer, it was used to stain PBMCs from one SLE patient. The PBMCs were stimulated with the autoantigen peptide SmD₆₆₋₈₀, and TT lysate (a strong T cell dependent antigen) was used as a negative control. We showed in one DR3 positive SLE patient that 0.67% of the CD4⁺ T cells were stained with the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer. Surprisingly, the TT lysate stimulation increased the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cells to 4.59% (Figure 1A). These results suggested that there are T cell epitopes in the TT lysate that are cross-reactive with SmD₆₆₋₈₀. To seek further support of this thesis, we immunized humanized DR3 mice with SmD₆₆₋₈₀ or TT, respectively. We found that the peptides from either SmD or TT were able to stimulate the splenic T cells of the other (Figure 1B). This showed that TT immunization activates SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cells and vice versa. Furthermore, a DR3 restricted SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cell hybridoma generated from SmD immunization of DR3 mice was activated by TT (Figure 1C). These results suggested one or more DR3 restricted T cell epitopes in TT lysate activated and expanded autoimmune SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive CD4⁺ T cells.

An epitope from our bacterial mimicry library shows both T and B cell cross-reactivity to the SmD_{66-80} epitope

As reported previously (9), a software program was developed to analyze bacterial protein sequences in order to create a library of mimic peptides that may stimulate SmD reactive T cells. From this library, we identified one epitope (the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic) from the bacterium *Clostridium tetani*, to have cross-reactivity with SmD. It is of note that this bacterium produces TT. We showed this epitope could activate T cells from DR3 mice primed by SmD_{66-80} (Figure 2A). At the B cell level, the DR3 mice immunized with SmD_{66-80} produced anti-SmD antibodies with cross-reactivity to $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic. We showed anti- $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic antibodies could be generated by SmD_{66-80} coated beads (Figure 2B). These results suggest at least one bacterial epitope from our mimicry library has both T and B cell cross-reactivity to the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ autoimmune response.

ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic and TT T cell epitopes induce cross-reactive T cells against SmD and TT

Seven DR3 restricted TT peptides have been described in the literatures (18-20). They are TT₉₂₋₁₁₁, TT₂₇₉₋₂₉₆, TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅, TT₉₈₆₋ 1005, TT1058-1077, TT1114-1133, and TT1272-1284 (Table 1). DR3 mice were immunized with individual TT peptides and ABC247-261 Mimic in incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA). As a negative control, IFA was used (Figure 3I). Splenic T cells were cultured with the ABC247-261 Mimic, TT peptides and SmD peptides as recall antigens. The supernatants were analyzed for IFN- γ (Figures 3A-H). The ABC₂₄₇₋ 261 Mimic, TT₂₇₉₋₂₉₆, TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅, TT₉₈₆₋₁₀₀₅, TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇, TT₁₁₁₄₋₁₁₃₃, and TT₁₂₇₂₋₁₂₈₄ epitopes efficiently activated T cells ex vivo. Among these peptides, the ABC247-261 Mimic is the most potent crossreactive T cell epitope in that it cross-reacts with all tested TT epitopes except that of TT₉₂₋₁₁₁ (Figures 3A-H). The chosen TT epitopes exhibit intramolecular cross-reactivity with one another and other TT epitopes, making TT a strong immunogen (9). Also, T cells from TT peptide-immunized DR3 mice showed modest T cell IFN-y responses to SmD peptides (Figures 3B-H). Immunization with the ABC247-261 Mimic, TT826-845, and TT1058-1077 induced cross-reactive T cells to SmD_{46-75} or SmD_{61-90} which include the SmD_{66-80} epitope (Figures 3A-C). These three epitopes were chosen for further investigation based on their T cell cross-reactivity with SmD₆₆₋₈₀.

The SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive CD4⁺ T cells induced after single epitope immunizations were assayed by SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer staining (Figure 4). The ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic, $TT_{826-845}$, and $TT_{1058-1077}$ induced SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive CD4⁺ T cells. In the





FIGURE 2

The ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic, one epitope from the bacterium Clostridium tetani, cross-reacts with SmD₆₆₋₈₀ at both the T and B cell levels. (A) Splenic cells from two SmD₆₆₋₈₀ immunized DR3 mice were stimulated with the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic and SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and TT lysate were used as positive controls. IFN- γ was assayed for T cell activation. (B) Sera from SmD₆₆₋₈₀ mimunized DR3 mice (n=4) were probed for ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic antibodies. SmD₆₆₋₈₀ coated beads were used to absorb the cross-reactive antibodies between SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic. $\gamma < 0.05$, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's two-tailed t-test. TT, Tetanus toxoid.

case of TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇, T cells from spleens of immunized mice showed staining by the control tetramer. We have no explanation for this staining. In this experiment, TT₉₂₋₁₁₁ and TT₂₇₉₋₂₉₆ induce SmD₆₆₋₈₀ reactive T cells. This result differed from that in Figure 3, where TT₉₂₋₁₁₁ and TT₂₇₉₋₂₉₆ did not respond to SmD₆₁₋₉₀ with IFN- γ secretion. This difference in

TABLE 1 List of T cell epitope peptides used in this study.

Name	Sequence	Reference
SMD ₆₆₋₈₀	RY <mark>FILPDSL</mark> PLDTLL	(9)
ABC247-261 Mimic	AK <mark>IIRPENI</mark> KYSACK	This work
TT ₃₁₋₄₅	DIYYKAFKITDRIWI	This work
TT ₉₂₋₁₁₁	VKLFNRIKNNVAGEALLDKI	(18)
TT ₂₇₉₋₂₉₆	DANLISIDIKNDLYEKTL	(20)
TT ₅₀₆₋₅₂₅	NYSLDKIIVDYNLQSKITLP	(19)
TT ₈₂₆₋₈₄₅	NILMQYIKANSKFIGITELK	(19)
TT ₉₈₆₋₁₀₀₅	SIGSGWSVSLKGNNLIWTLK	(19)
TT ₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇	ITGLGAIREDNNITLKLDRC	(19)
TT ₁₁₁₄₋₁₁₃₃	LRDFWGNPLRYDTEYYLIPV	(19)
TT ₁₂₇₂₋₁₂₈₄	NGQIGNDPNRDIL	(20)

TT, Tetanus toxoid. The amino acids (AAs) in the yellow background are the core AAs for epitope recognition determined by alanine substitution (9). The red AAs show which residues in $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic may contribute to the cross-reactivity to SMD_{66-80} .

results could be explained if the cross-reactive T cells induced by TT_{92-111} and $TT_{279-296}$ are not of the Th1 subtype or do not initiate a Th1 immune response. The remaining three peptides, $TT_{986-1005}$, $TT_{1114-1133}$, and $TT_{1272-1284}$ stimulation did not induce cross-reactive T cells to SmD_{66-80} .

To identify the cross-reactive T cells *in vivo* due to TT exposure, we immunized DR3 mice with TT and detected the cross-reactive T cells using two DR3 tetramers with different fluorochromes. One was the SmD₆₆₋₈₀ DR3 tetramer and the others were the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic, TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅, and TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇ DR3 tetramers (Supplementary Figure 2). DR3 tetramer staining revealed 0.57% ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic cross-reactive T cells to SmD₆₆₋₈₀ compared to 0.29% and 0.49% with the TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ and TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇ peptides, respectively. The results showed cross-reactive T cells to SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic are generated in DR3 mice after TT immunization as well as those cross-reactive to SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ and TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇.

Immunization of DR3 mice with the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic generates anti-SmD autoantibodies and leads to B cell epitope spreading to other lupus-related autoantigens

The ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic induced autoantibodies to SmD and other lupus-related autoantigens 42 days post immunization (Figure 5A). Compared to the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic, peptides $TT_{826-845}$ and $TT_{1058-1077}$ failed to induce anti-SmD autoantibodies and induced much less B cell epitope spreading to other lupus-related autoantigens during the same time period (Figures 5D, G). Autoantibody titers remained detectable 58 days after DR3 mice immunizations with the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic, the $TT_{826-845}$ and the $TT_{1058-1077}$ peptides, respectively (Figures 5B, E, H). The autoantibodies from the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic immunizations started to decrease, while some autoantibodies from the $TT_{826-845}$ and $TT_{1058-1077}$ immunizations increased 90 days post immunization (Figures 5C, F, I). The adjuvant control showed no autoantibody production at any time point (Figures 5J–L).

SLE patients have a greater percentage of ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic and TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ cross-reactive T cells than healthy donors

To support the findings from our mouse studies, we evaluated the presence of SmD_{66-80} , $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic, $TT_{826-845}$ and $TT_{1058-1077}$ mono- and cross-reactive T cells in DR3 SLE patients and healthy donors. The PBMCs from both groups were stimulated with TT lysate for 5-7 days and then stained with DR3 tetramers. Representative DR3 tetramer gating is shown for a single lupus patient and healthy donor (Figures 6A, B). SLE

patients had a greater percentage of the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic and the TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ cross-reactive T cells to SmD₆₆₋₈₀ compared to healthy donors (Figures 6C, D). Patients also had a greater percentage of SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic mono-reactive T cells than healthy donors (Figures 6F, G). However, there was no significant difference in the percentages of T cells cross-reactive with TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇ and SmD₆₆₋₈₀ (Figure 6E). There were no significant differences of T cells reactive only to TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ or TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇ between patients and healthy donors (Figures 6H, I).

Discussion

While analyzing T cells from a DR3 lupus patient, TT lysate was unexpectedly found to induce cross-reactive T cells against SmD₆₆₋₈₀. This finding prompted us to examine TT epitopes and their abilities to drive autoimmune T cell responses and production of lupus-related autoantibodies. The possibility that vaccines may initiate autoimmune responses and even induce disease has been considered. There are case reports of lupus diagnoses occurring after TT immunization and lupusrelated autoantibodies being generated after flu and hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccines (21-23). These studies are limited because it is unclear whether the vaccines correlated with the production of autoantibodies and development of clinical symptoms, and they were unable to describe the details of the underlying mechanisms. To understand how TT T cell epitopes could drive autoimmune responses, we immunized humanized DR3 mice with single TT T cell epitopes. Interestingly, we found multiple intramolecular, cross-reactive T cell epitopes, a characteristic of self-antigens that makes them very antigenic (9). This explains the high level of antigenicity of TT. The ABC247-261 Mimic is one epitope from the same bacterium that produces TT. ABC247-261 Mimic reactive T cells from DR3 immunized mice are activated after priming with TT epitopes such as TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅, TT₉₈₆₋₁₀₀₅, TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇, TT₁₁₁₄₋₁₁₃₃, and TT₁₂₇₂₋₁₂₈₄. TT₈₂₆₋₈₄₅ and TT₁₀₅₈₋₁₀₇₇ induced autoantibodies as early as 10 days post immunization (data not shown). However, only the ABC247-261 Mimic induced anti-SmD autoantibodies and B cell epitope spreading to other lupusrelated autoantigens months after immunization. These results suggest the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁ Mimic T cell epitope is a long-term driver of autoimmunity.

To analyze antigen-specific T cells in our studies, we used HLA tetramers: powerful tools to monitor T cells with specific epitope reactivity. Cross-reactive T cells that can be activated by both autoantigens and non-autoantigens have been detected in several autoimmune diseases in addition to lupus e.g. rheumatoid arthritis, antiphospholipid syndrome, celiac disease, autoimmune encephalomyelitis, multiple sclerosis and diabetes (24–33). In a mouse model of SLE, mouse H-2 tetramers were used to probe for U1-70₁₃₁₋₁₅₀ (another lupus-related autoantigen epitope) reactive T cells. In the autoimmune



Mimic, (B) $T_{826-845}$, (C) $T_{1058-1077}$, (D) $T_{986-1005}$, (E) $T_{1272-1284}$, (F) $T_{1114-11135}$, (G) $T_{727-296}$, and (H) T_{92-111} were used to immunize DR3 mice. DR3 mice immunized with (I) adjuvant only were used as controls. Splenic cells from two immunized mice were stimulated with a panel of both SmD and TT epitope peptides. Supernatant IFN- γ was assayed for T cell activation. This experiment was replicated twice. * means the stimulating epitope peptide significantly increased the IFN- γ present in the supernatant compared to the medium only as indicated by Student's two-tailed t-tests.



prone MRL/lpr mice, U1-70 reactive T cells increase with disease severity (34). However, the U1-70 study is limited by its use of mouse H-2 tetramers in a mouse system. In our experiments, we studied the SmD autoantigen epitope as well as the cross-reactive TT epitopes. Additionally, we employed humanized DR3 mice and human samples from DR3 lupus patients and healthy donors.

The phenotypes observed in mice are not directly attributable to humans because their immune systems are not the same (35). Thus, we also utilized human specimens in our studies to validate observations from our mouse model. Similar to our mouse studies, we detected T cells cross-reactive between SmD₆₆₋₈₀ and *Clostridium* tetani epitopes in human PBMCs. Using the DR3 tetramers, it is not possible to rule out that within the population of cross-reactive T cells there are no dual T cell

receptor (TCR) T cells present (36). However, given dual TCR T cells make up a small fraction of the entire population of T cells, it is more than likely that most of the T cells we identified are cross-reactive T cells. Further experimentation could be used to identify the specific TCRs of these cells. In addition, the percentages of cross-reactive T cells in our samples may be higher than what we detected due to competition between the two tetramers for binding to the cells (Supplementary Figure 3).

To our knowledge, there are no reports about TT epitopes that cross-react with self-antigens. In two studies of autoimmune diseases using tetramers, small percentages of cross-reactive T cells to microbial and self-epitopes were detected, similar to those detected in our study (30, 37).

Compared to healthy donors, lupus patients have greater percentages of SmD_{66-80} and $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic mono-reactive T



cells, but not single $TT_{826-845}$ and $TT_{1058-1077}$ reactive T cells. This result is congruent with clinical findings that there is no difference in anti-TT titers in SLE patients and healthy donors after TT vaccination (38–40). But the differences in the percentages of cross-reactive T cells between lupus patients and healthy donors gives us insight into the activation of autoimmune T cells in these patients. As they encounter innumerable environmental mimics of self-antigens, autoimmune, cross-reactive T cells accumulate. Following accumulation, these cells proliferate and activate autoimmune, cross-reactive B cells, leading to autoantibody production and the breaking of self-tolerance. The autoimmune SmD₆₆₋₈₀ T cells

in lupus patients are not biased toward IL-17a expression (Supplementary Figure 4), indicating that these autoimmune $CD4^+$ T cells may not only express IL-17a, but a mixture of other cytokines.

We are interested in investigating the abilities of environmental peptides to induce autoimmune T cells and autoantibody generation. Our hypothesis is that autoantibodies and autoreactive T cells are generated by the activation of T cells cross-reactive with autoantigens and environmental antigens (e.g. those present in bacteria, viruses, fungi, etc.) in an HLA-DR restricted manner. Molecular mimicry is the underlying mechanism of induction of autoantibodies by the ABC₂₄₇₋₂₆₁



Student's two-tailed t-test. ns, not significant.

Mimic, $TT_{826-845}$, and $TT_{1058-1077}$ due to cross-reactivity to SmD_{66-80} . Removing these autoimmune, cross-reactive T cell epitopes from vaccines may benefit individuals susceptible to developing lupus or other autoimmune diseases.

In this investigation, the importance of HLA-DR3 in the pathogenesis of SLE is emphasized. However, the genetics of lupus is very complex. The female mice of NZM2328.DR3.AE0 mice have more anti-SmD antibodies and more severe nephritis with early mortality (41). No anti-SmD antibodies were detected in

SJL.DR3.AE0 mice immunized with $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic (Zhao, unpublished data), although significantly increased autoantibody to SmD was detected in the presented B10.DR3.AE0 immunization (Figures 5A-C). These data highlight the importance of non-major histocompatibility complex genes which may be protective and prevent autoimmunity. Thus, although TT and the $ABC_{247-261}$ Mimic may induce autoantibodies, only in rare instances, diseases such as SLE and related disorders result.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of Virginia.

Author contributions

ZZ, WWK, and SMF designed the research. ZZ, ANA, and CCK carried out the experiments. All the authors were involved in the analysis and interpretation of the data. ZZ, FG, ANA, and SMF wrote the manuscript. ZZ and SMF had full access to all the data and are responsible for the integrity and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grant R01 139673 (to SMF) and ANA was supported by

References

1. Tsokos GC. Systemic lupus erythematosus. New Engl J Med (2011) 365 (22):2110–21. doi: 10.1056/nejmra1100359

2. Arbuckle MR, McClain MT, Rubertone MV, Scofield RH, Dennis GJ, James JA, et al. Development of autoantibodies before the clinical onset of systemic lupus erythematosus. *N Engl J Med* (2003) 349(16):1526–33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa021933

3. Murphy K, Weaver C. Chapter 15: Autoimmunity and transplantation. In: *Janeway's immunobiology, 9th ed.* New York, NY: Garland Science/Taylor & Francis Group, LLC (2016). p. 676.

4. Fu SM, Deshmukh US, Gaskin F. Pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus revisited 2011: end organ resistance to damage, autoantibody initiation and diversification, and HLA-DR. *J Autoimmun* (2011) 37(2):104–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2011.05.004

5. Jiang C, Deshmukh US, Gaskin F, Bagavant H, Hanson J, David CS, et al. Differential responses to smith d autoantigen by mice with HLA-DR and HLA-DQ transgenes: dominant responses by HLA-DR3 transgenic mice with diversification of autoantibodies to small nuclear ribonucleoprotein, double-stranded DNA, and nuclear antigens. *J Immunol (Baltimore Md 1950)* (2010) 184(2):1085–91. doi: 10.4049/iimmunol.0902670

 Cusick MF, Libbey JE, Fujinami RS. Molecular mimicry as a mechanism of autoimmune disease. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* (2012) 42(1):102–11. doi: 10.1007/ s12016-011-8294-7

7. Deshmukh US, Sim DL, Dai C, Kannapell CJ, Gaskin F, Rajagopalan G, et al. HLA-DR3 restricted T cell epitope mimicry in induction of autoimmune response to lupus-associated antigen SmD. *J Autoimmun* (2011) 37(3):254–62. doi: 10.1016/ j.jaut.2011.07.002

8. Szymula A, Rosenthal J, Szczerba BM, Bagavant H, Fu SM, Deshmukh US. T Cell epitope mimicry between sjögren's syndrome antigen a (SSA)/Ro60 and oral, gut, skin and vaginal bacteria. *Clin Immunol (Orlando Fla)* (2014) 152(1-2):1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2014.02.004 training grants 5T32DK 072922 (PI: Mark Okusa) and 1TL1DK 132771 (PI: Portilla Didier).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fimmu.2022.928374/full#supplementary-material

9. Zhao Z, Ren J, Dai C, Kannapell CC, Wang H, Gaskin F, et al. Nature of T cell epitopes in lupus antigens and HLA-DR determines autoantibody initiation and diversification. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2019) 78(3):380–90. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214125

10. Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, Brinks R, Mosca M, Ramsey-Goldman R, et al. 2019 European League against Rheumatism/American college of rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (2019) 71(9):1400–12. doi: 10.1002/art.40930

11. Bunce M. PCR-sequence-specific primer typing of HLA class I and class II alleles. *Methods Mol Biol* (2003) 210:143–71. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-291-0:143

12. Flynn J, Gorry P. Flow cytometry analysis to identify human CD4+ T cell subsets. New York: Springer (2019) p. 15–25.

13. Novak EJ, Liu AW, Nepom GT, Kwok WW. MHC class II tetramers identify peptide-specific human CD4+ T cells proliferating in response to influenza a antigen. *J Clin Invest* (1999) 104(12):R63–R7. doi: 10.1172/jci8476

14. Deshmukh US, Lewis JE, Gaskin F, Kannapell CC, Waters ST, Lou YH, et al. Immune responses to Ro60 and its peptides in mice. i. the nature of the immunogen and endogenous autoantigen determine the specificities of the induced autoantibodies. *J Exp Med* (1999) 189(3):531–40. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.3.531

15. Deshmukh US, Kannapell CC, Fu SM. Immune responses to small nuclear ribonucleoproteins: Antigen-dependent distinct b cell epitope spreading patterns in mice immunized with recombinant polypeptides of small nuclear ribonucleoproteins. J Immunol (2002) 168(10):5326–32. doi: 10.4049/ immunol.168.10.5326

16. Kong YC, Lomo LC, Motte RW, Giraldo AA, Baisch J, Strauss G, et al. HLA-DRB1 polymorphism determines susceptibility to autoimmune thyroiditis in transgenic mice: definitive association with HLA-DRB1*0301 (DR3) gene. *J Exp Med* (1996) 184(3):1167–72. doi: 10.1084/jem.184.3.1167 17. Kruisbeek AM. Production of mouse T cell hybridomas. Curr Protoc Immunol (2001) 24:3.14.1–11. doi: 10.1002/0471142735.im0314s24. Chapter 3:Unit 3.14.

18. Da Silva Antunes R, Paul S, Sidney J, Weiskopf D, Dan JM, Phillips E, et al. Definition of human epitopes recognized in tetanus toxoid and development of an assay strategy to detect ex vivo tetanus CD4+ T cell responses. *PloS One* (2017) 12 (1):e0169086. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169086

19. James EA, Bui J, Berger D, Huston L, Roti M, Kwok WW. Tetramer-guided epitope mapping reveals broad, individualized repertoires of tetanus toxin-specific CD4+ T cells and suggests HLA-based differences in epitope recognition. *Int Immunol* (2007) 19(11):1291–301. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxm099

20. Wienhold W, Malcherek G, Jung C, Stevanovic S, Jung G, Schild H, et al. An example of immunodominance: engagement of synonymous TCR by invariant CDR3β. *Int Immunol* (2000) 12(6):747–56. doi: 10.1093/intimm/12.6.747

21. Ruhrman-Shahar N, Torres-Ruiz J, Rotman-Pikielny P, Levy Y. Autoimmune reaction after anti-tetanus vaccination–description of four cases and review of the literature. *Immunol Res* (2017) 65(1):157–63. doi: 10.1007/s12026-016-8822-x

22. Więsik-Szewczyk E, Romanowska M, Mielnik P, Chwalińska-Sadowska H, Brydak LB, Olesińska M, et al. Anti-influenza vaccination in systemic lupus erythematosus patients: an analysis of specific humoral response and vaccination safety. *Clin Rheumatol* (2010) 29(6):605–13. doi: 10.1007/s10067-010-1373-y

23. Agmon-Levin N, Zafrir Y, Paz Z, Shilton T, Zandman-Goddard G, Shoenfeld Y. Ten cases of systemic lupus erythematosus related to hepatitis b vaccine. *Lupus* (2009) 18(13):1192-7. doi: 10.1177/0961203309345732

24. Gerstner C, Turcinov S, Hensvold AH, Chemin K, Uchtenhagen H, Ramwadhdoebe TH, et al. Multi-HLA class II tetramer analyses of citrulline-reactive T cells and early treatment response in rheumatoid arthritis. *BMC Immunol* (2020) 21(1):1–14. doi: 10.1186/s12865-020-00357-w

25. Ruff WE, Dehner C, Kim WJ, Pagovich O, Aguiar CL, Yu AT, et al. Pathogenic autoreactive T and b cells cross-react with mimotopes expressed by a common human gut commensal to trigger autoimmunity. *Cell Host Microbe* (2019) 26(1):100–13.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2019.05.003

26. Yang J, Jing L, James EA, Gebe JA, Koelle DM, Kwok WW. A novel approach of identifying immunodominant self and viral antigen cross-reactive T cells and defining the epitopes they recognize. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:2811. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02811

27. Van Heemst J, Jansen DTSL, Polydorides S, Moustakas AK, Bax M, Feitsma AL, et al. Crossreactivity to vinculin and microbes provides a molecular basis for HLA-based protection against rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Commun* (2015) 6 (1):6681. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7681

28. Reynolds CJ, Sim MJW, Quigley KJ, Altmann DM, Boyton RJ. Autoantigen cross-reactive environmental antigen can trigger multiple sclerosis-like disease. J Neuroinflamm (2015) 12(1):91. doi: 10.1186/s12974-015-0313-9

29. Han A, Newell EW, Glanville J, Fernandez-Becker N, Khosla C, Chien YH, et al. Dietary gluten triggers concomitant activation of CD4+ and CD8+ $\alpha\beta$ T cells

and $\gamma\delta$ T cells in celiac disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2013) 110(32):13073–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1311861110

30. Massilamany C, Steffen D, Reddy J. An epitope from acanthamoeba castellanii that cross-react with proteolipid protein 139-151-reactive T cells induces autoimmune encephalomyelitis in SJL mice. *J Neuroimmunol* (2010) 219 (1-2):17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2009.11.006

31. Ou D, Mitchell LA, Metzger DL, Gillam S, Tingle AJ. Cross-reactive rubella virus and glutamic acid decarboxylase (65 and 67) protein determinants recognised by T cells of patients with type I diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* (2000) 43(6):750–62. doi: 10.1007/s001250051373

32. Talbot PJ, Paquette J-SB, Ciurli C, Antel JP, Ouellet F. Myelin basic protein and human coronavirus 229E cross-reactive T cells in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* (1996) 39(2):233–40. doi: 10.1002/ana.410390213

33. Greiling TM, Dehner C, Chen X, Hughes K, Iñiguez AJ, Boccitto M, et al. Commensal orthologs of the human autoantigen Ro60 as triggers of autoimmunity in lupus. *Sci Trans Med* (2018) 10(434):eaan2306. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan2306

34. Kattah NH, Newell EW, Jarrell JA, Chu AD, Xie J, Kattah MG, et al. Tetramers reveal IL-17-secreting CD4+ T cells that are specific for U1-70 in lupus and mixed connective tissue disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2015) 112(10):3044– 9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1424796112

35. Richard ML, Gilkeson G. Mouse models of lupus: what they tell us and what they don't. *Lupus Sci Med* (2018) 5(1):e000199. doi: 10.1136/lupus-2016-000199

36. Schuldt NJ, Binstadt BA. Dual TCR T cells: Identity crisis or multitaskers? J Immunol (2019) 202(3):637-44. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1800904

37. Yang J, Danke NA, Berger D, Reichstetter S, Reijonen H, Greenbaum C, et al. Islet-specific glucose-6-Phosphatase catalytic subunit-related protein-reactive CD4+ T cells in human subjects. *J Immunol* (2006) 176(5):2781–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.176.5.2781

 Csuka D, Czirják L, Hóbor R, Illes Z, Bánáti M, Rajczy K, et al. Effective humoral immunity against diphtheria and tetanus in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus or myasthenia gravis. *Mol Immunol* (2013) 54(3-4):453–6. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2013.01.012

39. Kashef S, Ghazizadeh F, Derakhshan A, Farjadian S, Alyasin S. Antigenspecific antibody response in juvenile-onset SLE patients following routine immunization with tetanus toxoid. *Iran J Immunol* (2008) 5(3):181–4.

40. Devey ME, Bleasdale K, Isenberg DA. Antibody affinity and IgG subclass of responses to tetanus toxoid in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clin Exp Immunol* (1987) 68(3):562–9.

41. Chowdhary VR, Dai C, Tilahun AY, Hanson JA, Smart MK, Grande JP, et al. A central role for HLA-DR3 in anti-smith antibody responses and glomerulonephritis in a transgenic mouse model of spontaneous lupus. *J Immunol (Baltimore Md 1950)* (2015) 195(10):4660-7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1501073