Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Moncef Zouali, Institut National de la Santéet de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), France

REVIEWED BY

Alexander Filatov, National Research Center Institute of Immunology, Federal Medical &Biological Agency of Russia, Russia Fabio Fiorino, University of Siena, Italy Gabiria Pastore, University of Siena, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Ana-Luisa Stefanski ana-luisa.stefanski@charite.de

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to B Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 13 May 2022 ACCEPTED 08 July 2022 PUBLISHED 10 August 2022

CITATION

Stefanski A-L, Rincon-Arevalo H, Schrezenmeier E, Karberg K, Szelinski F, Ritter J, Chen Y, Meisel C, Jahrsdörfer B, Ludwig C, Schrezenmeier H, Lino AC and Dörner T (2022) Persistent but atypical germinal center reaction among 3rd SARS-CoV-2 vaccination after rituximab exposure. *Front. Immunol.* 13:943476. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.943476

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Stefanski, Rincon-Arevalo, Schrezenmeier, Karberg, Szelinski, Ritter, Chen, Meisel, Jahrsdörfer, Ludwig, Schrezenmeier, Lino and Dörner. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Persistent but atypical germinal center reaction among 3rd SARS-CoV-2 vaccination after rituximab exposure

Ana-Luisa Stefanski^{1,2*}, Hector Rincon-Arevalo^{1,2,3,4}, Eva Schrezenmeier^{2,3,5}, Kirsten Karberg⁶, Franziska Szelinski^{1,2}, Jacob Ritter^{1,5}, Yidan Chen^{1,2}, Christian Meisel⁷, Bernd Jahrsdörfer^{8,9}, Carolin Ludwig^{8,9}, Hubert Schrezenmeier^{8,9}, Andreia C. Lino² and Thomas Dörner^{1,2}

¹Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ²Deutsches Rheumaforschungszentrum (DRFZ), Berlin, Germany, ³Department of Nephrology and Medical Intensive Care, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁴Grupo de Inmunología Celular e Inmunogenética, Facultad de Medicina, Instituto de Investigaciones Médicas, Universidad de Antioquia (UdeA), Medellín, Colombia, ⁵Berlin Institute of Health Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin Institute of Health (BIH) Academy, Berlin, Germany, ⁶Rheumatology Outpatient Office RheumaPraxis Steglitz, Berlin, Germany, ⁷Department of Medical Immunology, Charité University Medicine and Labor Berlin-Charité Vivantes, Berlin, Germany, ⁸Institute of Transfusion Medicine, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany, ⁹Institute for Clinical Transfusion Medicine and Immunogenetics, German Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service Baden-Württemberg – Hessen and University Hospital Ulm, Ulm, Germany

Background: Durable vaccine-mediated immunity relies on the generation of long-lived plasma cells and memory B cells (MBCs), differentiating upon germinal center (GC) reactions. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination induces a strong GC response in healthy volunteers (HC), but limited data is available about response longevity upon rituximab treatment.

Methods: We evaluated humoral and cellular responses upon 3rd vaccination in seven patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) who initially mounted anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies after primary 2x vaccination and got re-exposed to rituximab (RTX) 1-2 months after the second vaccination. Ten patients with RA on other therapies and ten HC represented the control groups. As control for known long-lived induced immunity, we analyzed humoral and cellular tetanus toxoid (TT) immune responses in steady-state.

Results: After 3rd vaccination, 5/7 seroconverted RTX patients revealed lower anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG levels but similar neutralizing capacity compared with HC. Antibody levels after 3rd vaccination correlated with values after 2nd vaccination. Despite significant reduction of circulating total and antigen-specific B cells in RTX re-exposed patients, we observed the induction of IgG+ MBCs upon 3rd vaccination. Notably, only RTX treated patients revealed a high amount of IgA+ MBCs before and IgA+ plasmablasts after 3rd vaccination. IgA+ B cells were not part of the steady state TT+ B cell pool. TNF-secretion

and generation of effector memory CD4 spike-specific T cells were significantly boosted upon 3^{rd} vaccination.

Summary: On the basis of pre-existing affinity matured MBCs within primary immunisation, RTX re-exposed patients revealed a persistent but atypical GC immune response accompanied by boosted spike-specific memory CD4 T cells upon SARS-CoV-2 recall vaccination.

KEYWORDS

rituximab (RTX), SARS-CoV-2, vaccination, memory B cell (MBC), germinal center (GC)

Introduction

Durable humoral immune responses to vaccination require generation of long-lived memory B cells (MBCs) and bone marrow plasma cells (BMPCs), commonly differentiating upon germinal center (GC) reactions (1). If circulating antibodies fail to confer protection to exposure, MBCs drive the recall response by forming new antibody secreting plasma cells or reentering germinal centers for additional rounds of somatic hypermutation (SHM) (2). SARS-CoV-2 mRNA 2x vaccination induces a strong GC response in healthy volunteers (3), but circulating antibodies are waning over time (4), emphasizing the role of persisting long-lived MBCs in combating breakthrough infections and preventing severe courses of the disease (5).

Rheumatologists are faced with several questions regarding the effectiveness and durability of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination responses particularly in patients receiving anti-CD20 therapy with rituximab (RTX). These patients are at higher risk for poor COVID-19 associated outcomes (6, 7) as well as substantially diminished SARS-CoV-2 vaccination responses (8–10) and a higher incidence of severe breakthrough infections after vaccination (11, 12). It is known that waning immunogenicity upon primary vaccination can be successfully boosted by a 3rd homologous or heterologous mRNA vaccination associated with better COVID-19 outcomes in patients with autoimmune diseases (13, 14). However, longevity of immune responses in the context of RTX re-exposure still need to be delineated.

In this study we addressed the question about durability of vaccination-induced SARS-CoV-2 immune responses and induction of a secondary immune response in the context of restricted B cell availability upon rituximab re-exposure. Therefore, we assessed humoral as well as B and T cellular vaccination responses upon 3rd vaccination in RTX treated patients who initially mounted anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies upon primary 2x vaccination (15) and got re-

exposed to RTX 1-2 months after the second vaccination. As control for known long-lived induced immunity, we analyzed steady-state humoral and cellular tetanus toxoid (TT) immune responses.

Materials and methods

Study participants

RTX treated outpatients, who participated at our initial vaccination study (15) were screened for RTX treatment after the second vaccination. We identified 7 patients with rheumatoid arthritis [RA, according 2010 ACR Rheumatoid Arthritis Classification Criteria (16)], who received another course of RTX (1-2mg) treatment 1-2 months after the second SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. The patients were scheduled for a 3rd vaccination with BNT162b2, 6 months after 2nd vaccination according to federal state recommendations. We collected peripheral blood samples (EDTA anti-coagulated or serumtubes, BD Vacutainersystem, BD Diagnostics, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) at 6 months (before 3rd vaccination) and at d21 boost (3-4 weeks after the 3rd vaccination). Ten RA patients receiving other therapies (RA group) and ten healthy controls (HC group) served as controls. TT antibody titers and antigen-specific B and T cells served as steady state control (last TT vaccination occurred 2-10 years before blood drawing). All participants gave written informed consent according to the approval of the ethics committee at the Charité University Hospital Berlin (EA2/010/21, EA4/188/20). Humoral vaccine response at d28 and d42 (Figures 2A, C), cellular data at d0 and d28 for total B cells, (Figure 3A), antigen specific (RBD+) B cells (Figures 3D, E) and at d28 for antigen specific T cells Figures 5A, C, D) have been partially previously published (15, 17).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for SARS-CoV-2 and TT as well as surrogate SARS-CoV-2 neutralization test (GenScript)

The Euroimmun anti-SARS-CoV-2 assay is a classical enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of IgG to the S1 domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein and IgG to the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (NCP) protein. The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions, as described (15). Briefly, serum samples were diluted at 1:100 in sample buffer and pipetted onto single wells of a 96-well microtiter plate, precoated with recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike or nucleocapsid proteins. A calibrator, a positive control and a negative control were carried out on each plate. After incubation for 60 minutes at 37°C, wells were washed 3 times and the peroxidase-labelled anti-IgG antibody solution was added, followed by a second incubation step of 30 min. After three additional washing steps, substrate solution was added and the samples were incubated for 15 - 30 minutes in the dark. After adding the stop solution, optical density (OD) values were measured on a POLARstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) at 450 nm and at 620 nm. Finally, OD ratios were calculated based on the sample and calibrator OD values. An OD-ratio of \geq 1.1 was considered to be positive for all analytes. IgG OD-ratio of ≥ 1.1 defines humoral seroconversion. Dilutions of 1:10 were prepared when values were close to the saturation point of the respective ELISA.

The Immunoassay for determination of TT (VaccZyme Tetanus-Toxoid IgG kit; The Binding Site) vaccine titer in serum was performed according to the manufacturers' instructions. A level > 0.1 IU/ml is considered protective.

The blocking ELISA GenScript qualitatively detects anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies suppressing the interaction between the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the viral spike glycoprotein (S) and the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) protein on the surface of cells, as described (17). Scores < 30% were considered negative, scores \geq 30% were considered positive (linear quantitative range).

Isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells and staining

PBMCs were prepared by density gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA). PBMCs before and after 3rd vaccination were cryopreserved at -80°. Cells were thawed, washed twice in prewarmed RPMI1640 medium [containing 0.3 mg/ml glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, 10% FCS and 25 U/ml DNase I (Roche International)] and stained as described (15). To identify RBD-specific and TT-specific B cells, respectively, recombinant purified RBD (DAGC149, Creative Diagnostics, New York, USA) and TT (peptides & elephants GmbH, Hennigsdorf, Germany) were labeled with either AF647 or AF488. Double positive cells were considered antigen-specific as reported. A blocking experiment using unlabeled RBD or TT respectively in 100-fold concentration was used to ensure specificity of detection as reported (15, 18). For intracellular staining after T cell stimulation cells were first stained for 30 min with 1:1000 BUV395 Life/Dead (Invitrogen) in PBS, followed by 5 min 2.5 μ I Fc Block (Milteny Biotech) in 50 μ I resuspended cells. Cells were fixed in LyseFix (Becton Dickinson), permeabilized with FACS Perm II Solution (Becton Dickinson) and intracellularly stained.

Peptide stimulation for antigen specific T cells

2x10⁶ frozen PMBC from 8 HC, 8 RA control and 7 RTX were used per stimulation condition. Cells were thawed, washed twice in pre-warmed RPMI1640 medium (containing 0.3 mg/ml glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, 10% FCS and 25 U/ml DNase I (Roche International), rested for 1 h in culture medium (RPMI1640 with glutamine, antibiotics and 10% FCS) and stimulated with SARS-CoV2 spike ("PepMix" SARS-CoV-2 (S B.1.1.7), JPT, Berlin, Germany) or with TT peptide pool (peptides&elephants, Henningsdorf, Germany) or 50ng/ml PMA (SigmaAldrich) with 1µg/ml Ionomycin (SigmaAldrich) for 16 h. Brefeldin A (10 µg/ml, SigmaAldrich) was added after 2 h. Due to cell number limitations, T cell PMA/ Ionomycin stimulation was not carried out for all participants. As previously shown, CD4 T cells co-expressing CD154 and CD137 were considered antigen-specific (15, 19). Spike-specific CD8 T cells were identified based on activation-dependent coexpression of CD137 and IFNy. We defined responders as those with at least a twofold increase in frequency after stimulation compared with unstimulated controls.

Flow cytometry analysis

All flow cytometric analyses were performed using a BD FACS Fortessa (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). To ensure comparable mean fluorescence intensities (MFIs) over time of the analyses, Cytometer Setup and Tracking beads (CST beads, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and Rainbow Calibration Particles (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) were used. For flow cytometric analysis, the following fluorochrome-labeled antibodies were used: BUV737 anti-CD11c (BD, clone B-ly6), BUV395 anti-CD14 (BD, clone M5E2), BUV395 anti-CD3 (BD, clone UCHT1), BV786 anti-CD27 (BD, clone L128), BV711 anti-CD19 (BD, clone SJ25C1),

BV605 anti-CD24 (BD, clone ML5), BV510 anti-CD10 (BD, clone HI10A), BV421 anti-CXCR5 (BD, clone RF8B2), PE-CF594 anti-IgD (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA, clone IA6-2), APC-Cy7 anti-CD38 (Biolegend, clone HIT2), PE-Cy7 anti-IgG (BD, clone G18-145), anti-IgA-Biotin (BD, clone G20-359), BV650 anti-IgM (BD, clone MHM-88), FITC anti-TNFα (Biolegend, clone Mab11), BV650 anti-IFNγ (BD, clone 4S.B3), BV786 anti-CD40L (Biolegend, clone 24-31), PE-CF594 anti-CD137 (Biolegend, clone 4B4-1). Numbers of absolute B and T cells were measured with Trucount (BD) and samples were processed according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Data analysis

All samples included in the final analyses had at least 1×10^6 events with a minimum threshold for CD19+ cells of 5,000 events apart from RTX patients (minimal recorded CD19+ events in the RTX group were 24 and 30 events respectively, out of > 1 Mio total recorded events. Flow cytometric data were analyzed by FlowJo software 10.7.1 (TreeStar, Ashland, OR, USA). For UMAP analysis of antigen-specific CD19+ B cells flow cytometry data of all study participants was pre-gated on RBD+ and TT+ CD19+ B cells respectively, concatenated and clustered by CD27, IgD, CD38, CD24, IgM, IgG, IgA. As settings we selected the Euclidean distance function, nearest neighbor value of 15 and a minimum distance of 0.5.

Statistics

GraphPad Prism Version 5 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was conducted as indicated in respective figure legends. For longitudinal analysis Friedman test with Dunn's post-test was applied. For group comparison Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-test was used. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was applied for paired analysis. Correlation was evaluated using the Spearman test. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Cohorts and patient characteristics

We included 7 RTX treated RA patients, who received rituximab between 2nd and 3rd vaccination (RTX group), 10 RA patients on other therapies (RA group) and 10 healthy controls (HC group) in this study. In addition to the collected data before 3rd vaccination (6 months) and 3 weeks after 3rd vaccination (d21 boost), we also show data of a prior study (15) including d0 (before 1st vaccination), d7 (7 days after 1st vaccination), d28 (7 days after 2nd vaccination) and d42 (3 weeks after 2nd vaccination). The complete study design is summarized in Figure 1. The majority of study participants (22/27, 81,5%) were 3x-vaccinated with the mRNA vaccine BNT162b2. HC were younger (median 55 years old) than the patient groups (RA median 75 years old, RTX median 67 years old) and the majority of patients were female (HC 50%, RA 70% and RTX 100%, respectively). At the time of 3rd vaccination, RTX patients had received B cell depleting therapy on average for 6.5 years and median time since the last RTX treatment was 5 months. Notably, 5/7 RTX treated patients did not have any treatment combination with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). Demographics and co-medication of all study participants are summarized in Table 1 (detailed in Supplementary Table S1). To identify previously SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals or post-vaccination breakthrough infections, we measured antibodies against the nucleocapsid protein (NCP). Here 2 RTX patients were identified with positive anti-NCP IgG before first vaccination (Supplementary Figure S1A and as indicated in red in the manuscript figures), No breakthrough infections were recorded throughout the study in all groups (Supplementary Figure S1A).



Experimental design of the vaccination study. Timeline describing the three-dose vaccination schedule of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine, blood drawing (d0, d7, d28, d42, 6 months, d21 boost), and RTX treatment between 2nd and 3rd vaccination. Anti-S1 spike IgG antibodies, antigen-specific T and B cells were measured as mentioned. Created with **BioRender.com**.

TABLE 1	Patient	characteristics.
---------	---------	------------------

	HC n = 10	RA $n = 10$	RTX $n = 7$
Age			
Median [IQR]	55 [41.25 - 61.5]	75 [65 – 79.5]	67 [63 – 73.5]
Under 40	3	1	0
Between 40-69	6	3	4
> 70	1	6	3
Gender			
Female	5	7	7
Male	5	3	0
Vaccines (n)			
3x BNT162b2	8	9	5
2x mRNA-1273, 1x BNT162b2	0	0	1
2x ChAdOx1, 1x BNT162b2	0	1	1
1x ChAdOx1, 2x BNT162b2	2	0	0
Immunosuppression (n)			
MTX		7	1
Leflunomid		1	0
Sulfasalazin		0	1
JAKI		2	0
TNFI		1	0
Abatacept		1	0
Prednisolone		1 (4mg/d)	1 (7.5mg/d)
Months since last RTX			
Median [IQR]			5 [5 - 6]
Years on RTX			
Median [IQR]			6.5 [2.75 - 6.5]

Antibody levels after 2nd vaccination predict humoral response upon 3rd vaccination

Mean trajectory values of antibody responses and their surrogate neutralizing capacity to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines were assessed in the RTX group (after excluding the two pre-infected patients) and compared with HC and RA group (Figures 2A, B). Individual values of all RTX patients (including the two preinfected patients), RA and HC groups are shown in Supplementary Figures S1B-D. All patients showed neutralizing IgG seroconversion 3 weeks after 2nd vaccination (d42). 6 months after 2nd vaccination there was a clear decline of antibody levels and surrogate neutralisation capacity in all cohorts. However, 6 months after 2nd vaccination and d21 after 3rd vaccination, RTX treated patients revealed the lowest surrogate neutralisation capacity compared with HC and RA group (Figure 2B). Other than in the RTX group, IgG levels and neutralizing capacity of HC and RA patients on other therapies were significantly boosted upon 3rd vaccination (Figures 2A, B). On individual level, 6 months after 2nd vaccination only 3/7 RTX treated patients (including the two previously SARS-CoV-2

infected patients) still revealed neutralizing IgG titres, while two more patients seroconverted upon 3rd vaccination (Supplementary Figure S2B). Upon 3rd vaccination we observed significant lower titres while no difference regarding neutralizing capacity among seroconverted RTX patients compared to HC (Figure 2C). With regard to steady state control antibodies, anti-TT antibody titers revealed no differences between the groups (Figure 2D). In all groups there was a direct correlation between the level of anti-S1 IgG antibodies after 3rd with the one after 2nd vaccination (Figure 2E), suggesting that pre-existing memory predicts subsequently humoral response after boost independently upon RTX treatment.

Diminished circulating total and antigenspecific B cells upon RTX treatment

Total B cell numbers were assessed at different time points in RTX treated patients (Figure 3A) and compared with HC and RA after 2nd and 3rd vaccination (Figure 3B). As expected, subsequent treatment with rituximab led to a significant decrease of B cell counts compared with the control groups RA and HC (Figures 3A, B). Before the 3rd vaccination, naïve B cells had still the highest frequencies of circulating B cells in RTX treated patients. However, we observed an increased frequency of CD27+IgD- switch-memory B cells compared with d0 (Figure 3C, gating strategy in Supplementary Figure S2A).

Next, we studied SARS-CoV-2 specific B cells over time using flow cytometry to quantify receptor-binding domain (RBD) and as internal control, circulating steady state TT specific B cells (gating strategy in Supplementary Figure S2A). In the RTX group the highest RBD+ B cell induction was found at d28 (7 days after 2nd vaccination) compared to lower levels upon RTX treatment and B cell depletion (Figure 3D). Other than in RTX group and similar to anti-spike IgG trajectory, RA patients showed a significant enhancement of antigen-specific B cells upon boost vaccination (Figure 3E). The number of circulating antigen-specific TT+ B cells was also significantly lower after RTX treatment compared with HC and RA at d21 after boost (Figure 3F).

Induction of IgG+ memory B cells upon 3rd vaccination despite peripheral B cell depletion

To identify subsets and specific immunoglobulin characteristics of RBD+ B cells, we implemented a highdimensional flow cytometry analysis of circulating RBD+ B cell subpopulations before and after 3rd vaccination (and as control TT+ B cells at steady state) using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP). Clusters corresponding



Trajectory of humoral response upon anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Humoral immune response against SARS-CoV-2 for spike protein S1 IgG (A) and surrogate neutralization (B) over time in HC (n=10), RA group (n=10) and RTX treated patients (n=5, the two pre-infected patients are excluded). Threshold for positive test is indicated by dotted lines. The results of the statistical tests are depicted on the right. Humoral immune response against SARS-CoV-2 for spike protein S1 IgG, surrogate neutralization (C) and anti-TT IgG titers (D) at d21 boost in HC (n=10), RA (n=10) and RTX (n=7). Correlation between anti-spike S1 IgG at d28 (HD)/d42 (RA and RTX) with anti-S1 levels at d21 boost (E). Mean with SEM (A-D). Two way ANOVA with Šidák's post-test (A, B). Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-test (C, D). Spearman test (E). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. Color code: previously infected individuals are indicated in red; control TT results are indicated by blue filled circles.



numbers of RBD+ B cells at d21 boost compared with TT+ B cells in HC, RA and RTX. Friedman test with Dunn's post-test. (A, D). Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-test (B, E, F). Mann Whitney test for each subset performed (C). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001.

Color code: previously infected individuals are indicated in red; control TT results are indicated by blue filled circles. DN, "double negative" CD27+IgD- B cells; PB, plasmablasts.

to distinct subsets of CD19+ B cells (Figure 4A) were defined as: naïve (CD27-IgD+), pre-switch memory (CD27+IgD+), switch memory (CD27+IgD-) and plasmablasts (PB, CD27+CD38+, distribution of key markers shown in Supplementary Figure 2B). Switch-memory B cells and PBs clustered according to immunoglobulin specificities IgA, IgM and IgG, respectively. Data of clusters gated in each donor group are shown in Figure 4B (individual distribution in Supplementary Figure S2C, mean values in Supplementary Figure S2D). In HC, RBD+ B cells 6 months after 2nd vaccination and TT+ B cells during steady state showed a similar subset distribution. Pre-switch and switchmemory B cells accounted for more than half of all antigenspecific B cells, followed in frequencies by naïve B cells, while PBs made up less than 2% of circulating antigen-specific B cells. Upon 3rd vaccination there was an enhanced differentiation of RBD+ B cells into IgG+ memory B cells and PBs.

Other than in HC, the majority of RBD+ B cells in RA before 3rd vaccination consisted of naïve cells and RA patients had a higher frequency of circulating TT+ PBs. After 3rd vaccination there was a relevant enhancement of IgG+ switch memory B cells and PBs in RA. However, and distinct to HC, the RA patients showed also a substantial increase in IgM+ PB and switch memory RBD+ B cells, suggesting a delayed immune response in immunosuppressed RA patients (Figure 4B).

Relevant differences were found among the RTX patients, although the number of antigen-specific B cells was limited. The predominant subset consisted of plasmablasts: TT+ and RBD+ PBs before vaccination were mostly IgG specific, followed by IgM in TT+ and IgA in RBD+ B cells. Upon 3^{rd} vaccination we observed a relevant increase in IgG+ class-switched memory B cells to the detriment of naïve B cells, but no obvious difference in the magnitude of plasmablasts compared to RBD+ before vaccination and steady state TT+ cells. Of note, only RTX treated patients revealed a high amount of IgA+ memory B cells before 3^{rd} vaccination with induction of IgA+ plasmablasts upon boost, suggesting a persistent but atypical germinal center activity (Figure 4B).

Memory formation and cytokine production of spike-specific T cells is boosted by 3rd vaccination in RTX patients

Next, we addressed the question if T cell reactivity can be boosted in B cell depleted patients upon 3^{rd} vaccination. Therefore, we assessed induction, memory formation and cytokine production of spike-specific CD4 and CD8 T cells upon 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} vaccination in RTX treated patients. As previously described, antigen-reactive CD4+ T cells were identified based on co-expression of CD154 and CD137, and antigen-reactive CD8+ T cells by co-expression of CD137 and IFN γ ((15, 19), gating strategy Supplementary Figures 3A, B). In a first step, assessment of CD4+, CD8+ and TfH-like



(CXCR5+PD1+) total cell numbers did not show any differences between the cohorts (Supplementary Figure S3C). While there was also no significant difference regarding total cell counts (Figure 5A) and proliferation (Figure 5B) of CD4+ antigen-specific T cells between 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} vaccination, we observed a significant increase in TNF α secretion (Figure 5C) accompanied by the tendency for higher IFN γ production (Figure 5D) of spike-specific CD4 T cells upon boost. Notably, spike-specific effector memory CD4 T cells (TEM, CD27-CD45RA-) were significantly increased upon 3^{rd} vaccination, followed by a concomitant decrease in naïve (CD27+CD45RA+) and terminal differentiated TEMRA (CD27-CD45RA+) CD4 T cell frequencies (Figure 5E). When we looked also into the subset distribution upon TNF α and IFN γ secreting spike-specific CD4 T cells, we saw a similar

pattern, with highest frequencies upon the TEM subpopulation (Supplementary Figure S3D). Analyzing spike-specific CD8+ T cells, we did not observe any difference regarding total numbers, proliferation and subset distribution of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells between 2nd and 3rd vaccination (Supplementary Figures 3E, F).

Regarding TT+ T cells, total numbers (Figure 5A) and proliferation (Figure 5B) of TT+ CD4 cells were significantly lower in RTX patients compared with HC, but similar when compared with RA group, suggesting rather a disease than a therapy specific effect. TNF α -secretion was significantly higher after 3rd SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in HC and RTX groups than upon TT stimulation at steady state (Figure 5C), likely related to the more recent vaccine challenge by SARS-CoV-2. Spike-specific and TT-specific CD8+ responses showed similar pattern in all groups.

Discussion

In the current study we could show that patients re-exposed to RTX after successfully seroconversion upon 2x SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, reveal a persistent but atypical germinal center activity within recall vaccination. Germinal centers are lymphoid structures in which B cells acquire affinityenhancing somatic hypermutations, differentiating into memory B cells and long-lived bone marrow plasma cells (BMPCs) and providing durable protective immunity upon infection or vaccination (1)). Extrafollicular activation does not seem to play a significant role upon SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in healthy, but may be part of the dysfunctional immune response upon vaccination in immunocompromised patients (20). With respect to primary 2x anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, we have previously shown that RTX treated patients carrying a minimum of peripheral B cell repopulation, are able to mount antigen-specific MBCs and plasmablasts comparable with HC, suggesting GC formation and possible generation of long-lived MBCs (15). In line with this, recently published data report similar durability of IgG anti-spike antibodies 6 months after primary immunization in seroconverted patients with anti-CD20 treatments compared with healthy volunteers (21). In our RTX cohort we saw persistent antibody titers for TT at steady state and in some patients also for SARS-CoV-2 at 6 months, suggesting the continued presence of long-lived antigen-specific antibody-secreting cells in the bone marrow regardless of peripheral B cell depletion (21–24).

Interestingly, despite lower SARS-CoV-2 antibody level upon 3rd vaccination, neutralization capacity was similar between seroconverted RTX patients and HC. This fine-tuning of high-affinity antibodies appears to be a direct result of somatic hypermutation (25), which seems functional also in RTX treated patients and may be effected either by still available newly



Antigen-specific T cells upon 2^{nd} compared with 3^{rd} SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in RTX treated patients. (A) Absolute counts (A), Ki67 expression (B), TNF α expression (C), IFN γ expression (D) and subset distribution (E) of spike-specific CD4+ T cells after 2^{nd} compared with 3^{rd} vaccination in RTX treated patients (n=7). Comparison of spike-specific and TT-specific responses in HC (n=8), RA (n=8) and RTX (n=7) at d21 boost. Mann Whitney test performed for comparisons between 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} vaccination data (A-E). Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's post-test for comparisons between the groups (A-D). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Color code: previously infected individuals are indicated in red; control TT results are indicated by blue filled circles. TCM, central memory T cells; TEM, effector memory T cells; TEMRA, terminally differentiated memory T cells.

recruited peripheral B cells or by preformed MBCs reentering germinal centers. Moreover, we observed a direct correlation between anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 antibody values after 2nd with the ones after 3rd vaccination, suggesting that also upon RTX reexposure, induction strength of the immune response within recall vaccination, is largely related to pre-existing memory formation. In support of this notion, the highest antibody responses occurred in the two previously infected patients, who may have also mounted stronger germinal centre activation with consecutively long-lived memory.

In line with this, persistent antigen-specific MBCs 6 months after primary immunization could be recorded in the circulation despite RTX treatment, moreover, we observed also an expansion of IgG+ memory B cells upon 3rd vaccination. However, the majority of RBD+ and TT+ B cells in RTX treated patients consisted of IgG+ plasmablasts, which may have escaped depletion due to low CD20 expression or have been recently induced in lymphoid tissues without detectable precursors. Notably, only RTX treated patients revealed a high amount of IgA+ memory B cells before 3rd vaccination with induction of IgA+ plasmablasts upon boost. A subset of circulating IgA+ plasmablasts and plasma cells with mucosal characteristics has been previously described to be resistant to B cell depletion upon RTX treatment (22). Moreover, in healthy volunteers, transient IgA-dominant plasmablast response to the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine is recently reported predominantly after the first vaccination dose and is consistent with a crossreactive recall response of mucosal MBCs (26). The induction of IgA+ plasmablasts upon boost observed only in the context of limited peripheral B cell availability suggests atypical B cell mobilization from either tissue resident cross-reactive MBCs and/or within naïve mucosal lymphoid follicles/extrafollicular resident B cells. This disturbed GC reaction seems independent upon T cell functions, since in RTX patients we observed significant boosted cytokine secretion and differentiation to effector memory spike-specific CD4 T cells as well as TfH cell counts comparable with HC within 3rd vaccination.

Our data provide also interesting insights about the delayed GC immune response in RA patients on therapies other than RTX. 6 months after the second vaccination the majority of RBD+ B cells in RA consisted of naïve cells, suggesting an insufficient GC activation after the first two injections lacking long-term memory. Upon 3^{rd} vaccination, RA showed a significant boost of antibody levels and circulating RBD+ B cells in parallel with induction of IgG+ RBD+ memory B cells and plasmablast differentiation comparable with the HC group. Nevertheless, other than in HC, RBD+ memory B cells and plasmablasts of RA patients showed also a substantial increase in IgM+ expression upon boost, reflecting a delayed isotype switching in this immunosuppressed population.

The main limitation of the study is the low patient number and limited B cell counts in the RTX cohort included into the analysis. Two of the RTX patients got previously infected and may have mounted stronger long-lived immune responses, which may be a confounder in the analysis. However, we provide a comprehensive data set regarding trajectories of humoral and cellular B and T cell responses over time including steady state findings of TT. Noteworthy, 5/7 of the RTX treated patients did not have other additional immunosuppressive drugs, which excludes co-medication as confounding factor.

Herein, we describe for the first time humoral and cellular responses upon 3rd SARS-CoV-2 injection in patients reexposed to RTX after initially seroconversion upon primary vaccination. While functional aspects of spike-specific CD4 T cells are boosted upon 3rd vaccination, we report a persistent but atypical germinal center activity, possibly supported by (semiprimary and/or) additional extrafollicular responses in patients re-exposed to RTX as potential compensatory mechanisms employed in such medically induced B cell impairment.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee at the Charité University Hospital Berlin (EA2/010/21, EA4/188/20). The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

The concept of the study was developed by A-LS, ACL, and TD. Patient's samples were collected by KK, A-LS and JR. Data were obtained by A-LS, HR-A, FS, JR, YC, BJ, HS and CL. Data were analyzed by A-LS, HR-A and ACL. The theoretical framework was developed by TD, ES, A-LS and ACL. The work was supervised by ACL and TD. All authors developed, read, and approved the current manuscript.

Funding

A-LS is funded by a grant from the German Society of Rheumatology. HR-A holds a scholarship of the COLCIENCIAS scholarship No. 727, 2015. ES received a grant from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (BCOVIT, 01KI20161). ES is participant in the BIH-Charité Clinician Scientist Program funded by the Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin and the Berlin Institute of Health. JR is supported by a MD scholarship from the Berlin Institute of Health (BIH). HS received funding from the Ministry for Science, Research and Arts of Baden-Württemberg, Germany (CORE-Project) and the European Commission (HORIZON2020 Project SUPPORT-E, no. 101015756). YC is supported by a state scholarship fund organized by China Scholarship Council. TD received funding by the German Research Foundation (DFG) by projects TRR 130/project 24, Do491/7-5, Do 491/10-1.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Tarlinton D, Radbruch A, Hiepe F, Dörner T. Plasma cell differentiation and survival. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2008) 20(2):162–9. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2008.03.016

2. Mesin L, Schiepers A, Ersching J, Barbulescu A, Cavazzoni CB, Angelini A, et al. Restricted clonality and limited germinal center reentry characterize memory b cell reactivation by boosting. *Cell* (2020) 180(1):92–106.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.032

3. Kim W, Zhou JQ, Horvath SC, Schmitz AJ, Sturtz AJ, Lei T, et al. Germinal centre-driven maturation of b cell response to mRNA vaccination. *Nature* (2022) 604(7904):141–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04527-1

4. Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, Bodenheimer O, Freedman L, Haas EJ, et al. Waning immunity after the BNT162b2 vaccine in Israel. *N Engl J Med* (2021) 385(24):e85. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2114228

5. Terreri S, Piano Mortari E, Vinci MR, Russo C, Alteri C, Albano C, et al. Persistent b cell memory after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination is functional during breakthrough infections. *Cell Host Microbe* (2022) 30(3):400–8.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2022.01.003

 Strangfeld A, Schäfer M, Gianfrancesco MA, Lawson-Tovey S, Liew JW, Ljung L, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: Results from the COVID-19 global rheumatology alliance physician-reported registry. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2021) 80(7):930–42. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219498

 Jones JM, Faruqi AJ, Sullivan JK, Calabrese C, Calabrese LH. COVID-19 outcomes in patients undergoing b cell depletion therapy and those with humoral immunodeficiency states: A scoping review. *Pathog Immun* (2021) 6(1):76–103. doi: 10.20411/pai.v6i1.435

8. Schietzel S, Anderegg M, Limacher A, Born A, Horn MP, Maurer B, et al. Humoral and cellular immune responses on SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in patients with anti-CD20 therapies: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 1342 patients. *RMD Open* (2022) 8(1):e002036. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2021-002036

9. Felten R, Gallais F, Schleiss C, Chatelus E, Javier RM, Pijnenburg L, et al. Cellular and humoral immunity after the third dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in patients treated with rituximab. *Lancet Rheumatol* (2022) 4(1):e13–e6. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00351-9

10. Jyssum I, Kared H, Tran TT, Tveter AT, Provan SA, Sexton J, et al. Humoral and cellular immune responses to two and three doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in rituximab-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A prospective, cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol* (2022) 4(3):e177–e87. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00394-5

11. Lawson-Tovey S, Hyrich KL, Gossec L, Strangfeld A, Carmona L, Raffeiner B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in patients with inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2022) 81(1):145–50. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221217

12. Cook C, Patel NJ, D'Silva KM, Hsu TY-T, DiJorio M, Prisco L, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 breakthrough infections among vaccinated patients with systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2022) 81(2):289–91. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221326

13. Bieber A, Sagy I, Novack L, Brikman S, Abuhasira R, Ayalon S, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine and booster in patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases: A national cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2022) 81(7):1028–35. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221824

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fimmu.2022.943476/full#supplementary-material

14. Wieske L, van Dam KPJ, Steenhuis M, Stalman EW, Kummer LYL, van Kempen ZLE, et al. Humoral responses after second and third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory disorders on immunosuppressants: A cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol* (2022) 4(5):e338–e50. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(22)00034-0

15. Stefanski AL, Rincon-Arevalo H, Schrezenmeier E, Karberg K, Szelinski F, Ritter J, et al. B cell numbers predict humoral and cellular response upon SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among patients treated with rituximab. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (2022) 74(6):934–47. doi: 10.1002/art.42060

16. Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO3rd, et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: An American college of Rheumatology/European league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Arthritis Rheum* (2010) 62(9):2569–81. doi: 10.1002/art.27584

17. Stefanski A-L, Rincon-Arevalo H, Schrezenmeier E, Karberg K, Szelinski F, Ritter J, et al. B cell characteristics at baseline predict vaccination response in RTX treated patients. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.822885

18. Rincon-Arevalo H, Choi M, Stefanski A-L, Halleck F, Weber U, Szelinski F, et al. Impaired humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients and dialysis patients. *Sci Immunol* (2021) 6(60):eabj1031. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abj1031

19. Sattler A, Angermair S, Stockmann H, Heim KM, Khadzhynov D, Treskatsch S, et al. SARS–CoV-2–specific T cell responses and correlations with COVID-19 patient predisposition. *J Clin Invest* (2020) 130(12):6477–89. doi: 10.1172/JCI140965

20. Lederer K, Bettini E, Parvathaneni K, Painter MM, Agarwal D, Lundgreen KA, et al. Germinal center responses to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines in healthy and immunocompromised individuals. *Cell* (2022) 185(6):1008–24.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.027

21. Sidler D, Born A, Schietzel S, Horn MP, Aeberli D, Amsler J, et al. Trajectories of humoral and cellular immunity and responses to a third dose of mRNA vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with a history of anti-CD20 therapy. *RMD Open* (2022) 8(1):e002166. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2021-002166

 Mei HE, Frölich D, Giesecke C, Loddenkemper C, Reiter K, Schmidt S, et al. Steadystate generation of mucosal IgA+ plasmablasts is not abrogated by b-cell depletion therapy with rituximab. *Blood* (2010) 116(24):5181–90. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-01-266536

23. Ramwadhdoebe TH, van Baarsen LGM, Boumans MJH, Bruijnen STG, Safy M, Berger FH, et al. Effect of rituximab treatment on T and b cell subsets in lymph node biopsies of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol (Oxford)* (2019) 58 (6):1075–85. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/key428

24. St Clair EW. Good and bad memories following rituximab therapy. Arthritis Rheumatol (2010) 62(1):1-5. doi: 10.1002/art.25039

25. Victora GD, Nussenzweig MC. Germinal centers. Annu Rev Immunol (2012) 30:429–57. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-075032

26. Brewer RC, Ramadoss NS, Lahey LJ, Jahanbani S, Robinson WH, Lanz TV. BNT162b2 vaccine induces divergent b cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 S1 and S2. *Nat Immunol* (2022) 23(1):33–9. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01088-9