Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Jason C. O'Connor, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, United States

REVIEWED BY

Milica Milovan Borovcanin, University of Kragujevac, Serbia Jennifer Donegan, The University of Texas at Austin, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yuhui Wan 2004500039@ahmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Inflammation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 11 October 2022 ACCEPTED 19 January 2023 PUBLISHED 31 January 2023

CITATION

Jin Z, Li S, Li R, Song X, Zhang S, Sun Y, Tao F and Wan Y (2023) Gender- and agespecific associations of childhood maltreatment with peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines in middle school students. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1067291. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1067291

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Jin, Li, Li, Song, Zhang, Sun, Tao and Wan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Gender- and age-specific associations of childhood maltreatment with peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines in middle school students

Zhengge Jin^{1,2†}, Shuqin Li^{1,2†}, Ruoyu Li^{1,2†}, Xianbing Song³, Shichen Zhang^{1,2}, Ying Sun^{1,2}, Fangbiao Tao^{1,2} and Yuhui Wan^{1,2*}

¹Department of Maternal, Child & Adolescent Health, School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, China, ²Anhui Provincial Key Laboratory of Population Health & Aristogenics, Hefei, Anhui, China, ³Department of Human Anatomy, Histology & Embryology, Anhui Medical College, Hefei, Anhui, China

Background: The impact of childhood maltreatment on multiple inflammatory cytokines among middle school students remains to be elucidated. This study aimed to examine the associations of different types of childhood maltreatment with peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines (interleukin-10, interleukin-1 β , interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor- α) in middle school students, and to explore the differences in these associations between boys and girls and between late (>15 and<20 years) and early (>11 and <15 years) adolescence.

Methods: A total of 1122 students were recruited from a boarding middle school. Each participant was asked to respond to a detailed questionnaire on childhood maltreatment, from whom one blood sample was drawn *via* venous blood.

Results: In the overall sample there was no association between childhood maltreatment and peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines; (2) emotional abuse was significantly correlated with IL-1 β only in girls (B = -0.16; 95% CI, $-0.28 \sim -0.03$; p = 0.06); (3) in late adolescence, emotional abuse, emotional neglect, and childhood maltreatment had marked link with IL-8 (B = 0.39; 95%CI, 0.16~0.63; p = 0.01; B = 0.20; 95% CI, 0.04~0.37; p = 0.08; B = 0.50; 95% CI, 0.18~0.82; p = 0.01, respectively).

Conclusion: These findings also strengthened an inference regarding the effects of childhood maltreatment on inflammation of students in late adolescence.

KEYWORDS

childhood maltreatment, inflammatory cytokines, gender, age, middle school students

Introduction

Inflammation, the response of body tissues to injury, is protective against various bacterial and viral infections (1). However, low-grade, chronic inflammation can persist for a long time, contributing to the pathogenesis of other human diseases. For example, inflammatory processes have also been prospectively linked to the pathogenesis of depression (2-4). Moreover, evidence suggests that chronic inflammation is a significant risk predictor of cardiovascular diseases (5) as well as a biological marker for development and progression of cancer (6). In addition, chronic inflammation is the leading underlying cause of death (7, 8). Some studies have indicated that the elevated levels of inflammation are linked to experiences of childhood adversities, stress, and trauma (9–11).

Childhood maltreatment has been shown to result in a host of harmful outcomes immediately and throughout the lifespan (12-14), and systemic inflammation is considered a potential biological mechanism mediating this association (15). Based on the situation, some cytokines are involved in both pro-inflammatory and antiinflammatory activities. Cytokines that promote the inflammatory cascade are called pro-inflammatory mediators, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, IL-1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), etc. The production of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4, IL-10, IL-11, and IL-13 blocks this process by regulating the pro-inflammatory cytokine response (16). In recent years, relevant studies have shown that IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α and IL-10, as parameters reflecting the level of inflammation in the body, are good indicators of immune dysfunction and changes in the level of inflammatory factors (10, 17-19). Many studies have elucidated the relationship between a single type of childhood maltreatment and inflammation, and it is likely that different types of childhood maltreatment manifest different associations with inflammation. Based on previous research, adults with a documented history of childhood maltreatment, especially physical abuse, were prone to increased risk of higher levels of inflammatory cytokines (C-reactive protein [CRP]) than nonmaltreated individuals were (10). Some systematic reviews and meta-analyses also demonstrated that adults exposed to childhood trauma (sexual, physical, or emotional abuse) may have apparently elevated baseline peripheral levels of CRP, IL-6, and TNF- α (17, 20). Childhood trauma (emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse and emotional neglect before the age of 16) was associated with increased levels of lipopolysaccharide-stimulated cytokines, such as IL-10, IL-6, and IL-8, with evidence for a dose-response relationship (21). According to a study with the application of longitudinal data from the Multidimensional Assessment of Preschoolers Study, substance abuse was correlated to higher levels of pro-inflammatory markers such as IL-1 β and IL-6 (18). Focusing on the single adversity of childhood maltreatment may help to sort out the life-course mechanisms involved (19), yet the impact of such adversity may be confounded by the experience of other adversities excluded in the analysis. Therefore, the associations of different types of childhood maltreatment with inflammatory markers call for further exploration.

Furthermore, the potential gender difference remains an interesting focus in the link between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory biomarkers. As indicated in some research, women have greater inflammatory responses to childhood stressors (22, 23), while others document no gender difference in the effect of childhood

maltreatment on inflammatory dysregulation (17). Apparently, whether the impacts vary by gender remains unclear. On the other hand, for a better implementation of interventions in schools, it is necessary to determine whether gender difference exists in the relationship between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory cytokines among middle school students under the influence of school settings. Links between child maltreatment and low-grade inflammation in adulthood seemed to be well documented (24, 25); however, only a handful of studies dwelt on the correlation between child maltreatment and low-grade inflammation in adolescents (11, 26). As the immune system advances rapidly in adolescence, it is crucial to characterize the progression of the inflammatory process in adolescents concerning child maltreatment.

Despite the clarification of the relationship between childhood abuse and inflammatory factor levels in former studies (10, 15–21), similar evidence is still lacking in China. Worse still, inconsistency could be observed in the previous evidence found in the association affected by different gender and age groups (11, 17, 22–26). To address this gap in the roles of gender and age in the relationship between childhood maltreatment and inflammation, we made a preliminary investigation on the relationship between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory (IL-10)] in middle school students, with an examination of the discrepancy in the patterns between boys and girls and between students in early and late adolescence.

Materials and methods

Sample and procedures

From October to December, 2018, a survey was conducted on a sample of 1312 students selected from a boarding school in Shenyang City, Liaoning Province, China, where all juniors and seniors were recruited. An anonymous questionnaire was required to be completed, and a blood sample of each participant was provided through a venous blood draw in cooperation with doctors. Besides, the survey was conducted in classrooms by investigators during extracurricular hours. Teachers, though excluded from the survey, were invited to be in charge of maintaining classroom discipline. The objective of the survey was introduced, the questionnaires offered, and the principles of anonymity and confidentiality of participation were emphasized. Investigators were on the spot in case questions from students arose during the survey. The ID number of students was used for the only identification of their questionnaires and blood samples. The sober venous blood draw was performed within two to three days before and after the completion of the questionnaires.

Due to an unwillingness to respond to the questionnaire, absence from school, high levels of missing data (questionnaires with missing values >5% were eliminated), or obviously fictitious responses, some students failed to contribute, and eventually, 1203 questionnaires were recovered out of 1312 students. A total of 1182 blood samples were obtained because of the following reasons (1): some students had anemia, cough, or fever (n = 7); (2) some students who were interviewed declined to have blood drawn (n = 115); and (3) when the inflammatory factor level of a sample exceeded the mean value ± 3 times the standard deviation range, the inflammatory factor level was treated as a missing value (n = 8).

The study design and data collection procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of Anhui Medical University (20170290). Informed consent was obtained from both the students and their parents or guardians.

Measures

Childhood maltreatment

Childhood maltreatment was evaluated *via* using the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) (27), a widely used 28-item tool to assess five forms of childhood trauma (physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, physical neglect, and emotional neglect). The CTQ was translated and validated in Chinese (28). The participants were interviewed about their abusive childhood experiences before entering middle school. Response scores ranged from 1 to 5 (never true, rarely true, sometimes true, often true, and very often true, respectively). The higher the scores, the more severe childhood maltreatment one received. Cronbach's α coefficient of the emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect, physical neglect, and childhood maltreatment scale in this study was 0.692, 0.731, 0.767, 0.804, 0.637, and 0.768, respectively.

Inflammation

Pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) were collected from the fasting serum from 7:00 to 9:00 in the morning. The detailed procedure was conducted as follows. The venous blood samples of 1182 participants were placed into tubes. The blood was allowed to clot for at least 30 minutes before centrifugation for 10 minutes at $1000 \times g$. The serum was immediately removed, and the samples were stored at \leq -20°C. Serum cytokine levels were measured using the Human High Sensitivity T Cell Magnetic Bead Panel Protocol from the Milliplex[®] MapKit (cat. no. HSTCMAG-28SK, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, assay plates were washed with wash buffer, sealed and mixed on a plate shaker for 10 min at room temperature. The wash buffer was decanted, and 50 μ L of the diluted standards, quality controls, and serum samples were added to the appropriate wells. After the addition of samples or controls, the plates were incubated overnight at 4°C on a plate shaker with fluorescently labeled capture antibody-coated beads. After overnight incubation with capture antibodies to detect IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α , and IL-10, the well content was removed and washed using a handheld magnet. Followed by the addition of 150 µL drive fluid to all wells, the sample was run on MAGPIX[®] with xPONENT[®] software (Luminex Corporation, Austin, Texas, USA). Analysis of the cytokine median fluorescence intensity was performed using Milliplex Analyst version 5.1. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for all tested cytokines were <5% and <15-20%, respectively.

Covariates

Based on the previous investigation of the research group (29, 30), the covariates in the multivariate analyses were sociodemographic characteristics, including age, gender, urban/rural residence, parental education level (middle school and below, high school and above), and perceived economic status of the family (poor, moderate, or good). Body mass index was also adjusted, which was calculated according to objectively measured height and weight.

Statistical analyses

Sociodemographic data and childhood maltreatment were described in the total population. Five forms of childhood abuse and serum cytokine values (TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β) were natural log transformed to better approximate the normality of the residuals. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (mean ± SD), and two independent samples t-tests were used to compare the log-transformed inflammatory cytokine levels in different genders and ages. Linear regression analyses were performed to examine the association between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory cytokine levels. We also examined the associations of specific maltreatment subtypes (emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect, and physical neglect). Given the literature on gender and age differences, separate regression analyses were conducted, with the sample split first by gender (boys vs. girls) and then by age [late adolescence (≥15 and<20 years) vs. early adolescence (≥11 and <15 years)] (31). Moderation analyses were used to assess the moderating role of gender and age in the relationships between child maltreatment and inflammatory markers. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 23.0. The threshold for statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. To reduce the falsepositive rate, we used the false discovery rate (FDR) approach with a cut-off of 0.10.

Results

Descriptive characteristics of samples

Table 1 showed the descriptive data on the distribution of age, gender, urban/rural residence, parental education level, perceived economic status of the family, BMI, and log-transformed child maltreatment.

The differences in peripheral serum inflammatory cytokine levels by gender and age

Table 2 showed that the serum levels of IL-10 and IL-1 β in boys were higher than in girls on average, and serum IL-8 and TNF- α in girls were higher than those in boys with statistical differences (p<0.05). Meanwhile, the serum levels of IL-1 β , IL-6 and TNF- α in students in late adolescence were higher than those in early adolescence on average, with statistical differences (p<0.05). The statistical differences were enhanced after FDR adjustment (adjusted p<0.001, Supplementary Table A1). Further analysis of the logtransformed inflammatory cytokine distributions by gender and age was presented in Supplementary Figure 1.

TABLE 1	Sample	characteristics	and	descriptive	statistics.
---------	--------	-----------------	-----	-------------	-------------

VariablesMean ± SD or n (%)Age (years)15.38 ± 1.85Gender15.38 ± 1.85Gender628 (55.97)Girls494 (44.03)Otban/rural residence494 (44.03)Urban508 (45.28)Rural508 (45.28)Rural614 (54.72)Middle school and below585 (52.14)High school and below537 (47.86)Middle school and below535 (52.14)High school and below535 (52.14)High school and below535 (52.14)Poor97 (8.65)Moderate769 (68.54)Good256 (22.82)BMI (kg/m²)22.41 ± 4.70Child maltreatment0.71 ± 0.07In (Emotional abuse)0.71 ± 0.07In (Emotional neglect)0.86 ± 0.19In (Physical neglect)0.83 ± 0.15In (Child Maltreatment)1.51 ± 0.10		
Gender Boys 628 (55.97) Girls 494 (44.03) Urban/rural residence 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Variables	Mean ± SD or n (%)
Boys 628 (55.97) Girls 494 (44.03) Urban/rural residence 494 (44.03) Urban/rural residence 614 (54.28) Rural 614 (54.72) Father's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Poor 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 537 (47.86) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Age (years)	15.38 ± 1.85
Girls 494 (44.03) Urban/rural residence 508 (45.28) Rural 614 (54.72) Father's education level 537 (47.86) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 537 (47.86) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 537 (47.86) Poor 537 (47.86) Poor 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Gender	
Urban/rural residence Urban 508 (45.28) Rural 614 (54.72) Father's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 537 (47.86) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 537 (47.86) Poor 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Sexual abuse) 0.81 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19	Boys	628 (55.97)
Urban 508 (45.28) Rural 614 (54.72) Father's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 537 (47.86) Poor 537 (47.86) Poor 537 (47.86) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Girls	494 (44.03)
Rural 614 (54.72) Father's education level 585 (52.14) High school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 537 (47.86) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 585 (52.14) Poor 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Urban/rural residence	
Father's education level Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 585 (52.14) High school and below 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 537 (47.86) Poor 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Urban	508 (45.28)
Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 537 (47.86) Poor 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Rural	614 (54.72)
High school and above 537 (47.86) Mother's education level 585 (52.14) Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Father's education level	
Mother's education level Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Middle school and below	585 (52.14)
Middle school and below 585 (52.14) High school and above 537 (47.86) Perceived economic status of the family 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	High school and above	537 (47.86)
High school and above $537 (47.86)$ Perceived economic status of the family Poor $97 (8.65)$ Moderate $769 (68.54)$ Good $256 (22.82)$ BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Mother's education level	
Perceived economic status of the family Poor 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19	Middle school and below	585 (52.14)
Poor 97 (8.65) Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19	High school and above	537 (47.86)
Moderate 769 (68.54) Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Perceived economic status of the family	
Good 256 (22.82) BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Poor	97 (8.65)
BMI (kg/m²) 22.41 ± 4.70 Child maltreatment 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Emotional abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19	Moderate	769 (68.54)
Child maltreatment In (Emotional abuse) 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Good	256 (22.82)
In (Emotional abuse) 0.81 ± 0.14 In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	BMI (kg/m ²)	22.41 ± 4.70
In (Physical abuse) 0.74 ± 0.10 In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	Child maltreatment	
In (Sexual abuse) 0.71 ± 0.07 In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	In (Emotional abuse)	0.81 ± 0.14
In (Emotional neglect) 0.86 ± 0.19 In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	In (Physical abuse)	0.74 ± 0.10
In (Physical neglect) 0.83 ± 0.15	In (Sexual abuse)	0.71 ± 0.07
	In (Emotional neglect)	0.86 ± 0.19
In (Child Maltreatment) 1.51 ± 0.10	In (Physical neglect)	0.83 ± 0.15
	In (Child Maltreatment)	1.51 ± 0.10

Associations of childhood maltreatment with the levels of peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines in the total sample

Table 3 showed that in the overall sample, despite the introduction of all control variables, the association between

TABLE 2 Inflamma	ory cytokine	characteristics	by	gender and ag	je.
------------------	--------------	-----------------	----	---------------	-----

emotional abuse with elevated levels of IL-6 (B = 0.15, 95% CI, 0.01~0.28) disappeared after adjustment for FDR (Table A2).

Gender-specific associations of childhood maltreatment with the levels of peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines

In separate gender-specific regressions (Table 4), which were adjusted for age, urban/rural, parental education level, perceived family economic status, and BMI, girls with increased emotional abuse scores showed decreased IL-1 β levels (B = -0.16; 95% CI, -0.28~-0.03), but the relationship was attenuated after FDR was adjusted (adjusted *P*=0.06, Supplementary Table A3). In addition, boys with emotional abuse scores showed elevated inflammatory IL-6 (*P*=0.05), but the relationship was attenuated after FDR was adjusted (adjusted *P*=0.28, Supplementary Table A3). The findings indicated that no moderated roles were detected in the relationships between types of child maltreatment and inflammatory cytokine levels by gender.

Age-specific associations of childhood maltreatment with the levels of peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines

In separate regressions for late and early adolescence (Table 5), which were adjusted for gender, urban/rural, parental education level, perceived family economic status, and BMI, emotional abuse was associated with significantly lower odds of elevated IL-1 β levels among students in early adolescence (B = -0.14; 95% CI, -0.26~-0.01). However, for those in late adolescence, emotional abuse was positively associated with elevated IL-6 (B = 0.19; 95% CI, 0.00~0.38) and IL-8 (B = 0.39; 95%CI, 0.16~0.63), physical abuse was positively associated with increased IL-6 levels (B = 0.37; 95% CI, 0.04~0.69), emotional neglect was positively associated with uplifted IL-8 levels (B = 0.20; 95% CI, 0.04~0.37), and childhood maltreatment was linked to a significantly higher risk of raised IL-8 levels (B = 0.50; 95% CI, 0.18~0.82). But the correlation between emotional abuse and IL-1 β levels in early adolescence presented no statistical significance after FDR adjusted (adjusted P = 0.24). Besides, the relationship between emotional abuse and physical abuse and elevated IL-6 levels was attenuated after FDR adjusted (Supplementary Table A4). These

Inflammatory	Gen	nder			Ag			
Inflammatory cytokines	Boys (n=628)	Girls (n=494)	t-value	P-value	≥11 and <15 years (n=459)	≥15 and<20 years (n=663)	t-value	P-value
In (IL-10)	1.18 ± 0.17	1.22 ± 0.16	-3.851	<0.001	17.22 ± 6.81	16.65 ± 6.42	1.567	0.117
In (IL-1β)	-0.05 ± 0.23	0.00 ± 0.20	-4.649	<0.001	0.94 ± 0.40	1.11 ± 0.47	-5.148	<0.001
In (IL-6)	0.55 ± 0.33	0.56 ± 0.28	-0.785	0.433	4.32 ± 4.55	5.13 ± 5.37	-2.945	0.004
In (IL-8)	1.28 ± 0.39	1.22 ± 0.40	2.595	0.010	24.00 ± 19.79	26.42 ± 21.80	-1.253	0.210
In (TNF-α)	0.69 ± 0.18	0.62 ± 0.20	6.618	<0.001	4.69 ± 1.94	5.21 ± 2.25	-3.463	0.001

All statistically significant values were bolded.

		0.70	0.16	0.88	0.79
		-0.38	-1.41	-0.15	0.27
TNF-α		0.04	0.06	0.08	0.03
	95%CI	-0.10~0.07	-0.19~0.32	-0.18~0.15	-0.05~0.07
		-0.02	-0.08	-0.01	0.01
		0.27	0.82	0.84	0.18
		1.10	0.23	0.21	1.36
IL-8		0.09	0.12	0.18	0.06
	95%CI	-0.08~0.27	-0.21~0.27 0.12	-0.31~0.38 0.18	-0.04~0.21 0.06
		0.10	0.03	0.04	0.09
		0.03	0.35	0.65	0.52
		2.13	0.93	0.45	0.64
9-1I		0.07	0.10	0.15	0.05
	95%CI	0.01~0.28 0.07	-0.10~0.28	-0.22~0.35	-0.07~0.13
		0.15	0.09	0.07	0.03
		0.12	0.15	0.76	0.25
		-1.56	-1.44	-0.31	1.15
IL-1β		0.05	0.07	0.10	0.03
	95%CI	-0.07 -0.16~0.02 0.05	-0.22~0.03	-0.22~0.16 0.10	-0.03~0.11
		-0.07	-0.09	-0.03	0.04
		0.82	0.24	0.63	0.33
		-0.23	-1.18	-0.48	0.98
		0.04	0.05	0.07	0.03
	95% <i>C</i> I	-0.01 -0.08~-0.06 0.04 -0.23	-0.16-0.04 0.05 -1.18 0.24 -0.09 -0.22-0.03 0.07	-0.18~0.11 0.07 -0.48	-0.03~0.08 0.03
		-0.01	-0.06	-0.04	0.03
	Childhood maltreatment	Emotional abuse	Physical abuse	Sexual abuse	Emotional neglect

findings indicated that the moderating roles of age were detected in
the association between emotional abuse, emotional neglect and child
maltreatment and IL-8 ($B = 0.39$, 0.20 and 0.50 respectively, $P < 0.1$
for all).

Discussion

The present study explored the relationship between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory cytokines. Besides, stratification by gender and age highlighted the relevance of child maltreatment and inflammatory cytokines. This study mainly identified that girls with increased emotional abuse scores showed decreased IL-1 β levels. Further, we identified that emotional abuse, emotional neglect, and childhood maltreatment was positively associated with increased IL-8 levels in late adolescence.

However, our study failed to respectively evaluate the associations of child maltreatment across dimensions with inflammatory cytokines in the whole sample, which proved to be conflicting with the findings of some previous literature (32, 33), possibly due to the fact that participants were generally healthy middle school students rather than clinical patients. The results might also have been influenced by notable methodological limitations, such as sample size and the timing of the childhood maltreatment, diet, sleep, and other confounding factors that were not addressed in this study, either. A recent systematic review showed that child trauma (sexual, physical, or emotional abuse) was associated with elevated levels of inflammatory markers like CRP, IL-6, and TNF- α (17). This finding further supported data from an earlier systematic review, in which strong evidence was detected only for associations between child maltreatment and CRP, though the authors noted that no similar association could be found in several studies on IL-6 (24). Also, a positive association was observed in some studies between early adversities and at least one measure of in vitro production of inflammatory cytokines (34, 35), many maltreated individuals avoided these outcomes, and negative associations could be found between early adversities and *in vitro* production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (36, 37). For example, research by Wright et al. demonstrated that a higher stress level in early childhood was significantly linked with increased production of TNF- α , suggesting a trend between more stress and less interferon- γ production (37). Thus, the correlation between different types of child maltreatment and diverse inflammatory cytokines deserves further exploration.

The present study also revealed a converse association between emotional abuse and lower IL-1 β levels in girls, whereas no significant relation was detected in boys. IL-1 β , produced by central and peripheral immune cells, is a key proinflammatory cytokine involved in local and systemic inflammation (38). The neural immune network hypothesis pointed out that early stress caused a low inflammatory state of the body by affecting HPA axis activity and sympathetic nervous system response, and IL 1 β could cross the blood-brain barrier to induce inflammation of small glial cells in the brain, affecting neuronal plasticity, which in turn predisposed to suicidal behavior, major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, panic disorder, bipolar disorder, and other psychological and behavioral problems (3, 25, 39–42). Our results contradicted previous data on the association between childhood trauma and IL-

statistically significant values were bolded.

Ħ

TABLE 3 Associations of childhood maltreatment with the levels of peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines in the total sample.

	IL-10						IL-1β						IL-6					IL-8			TNF-α				
Childhood maltreatment		95%CI					95%CI					95%CI					95%CI					95%CI			Р
Boys																									
Emotional abuse	0.02	-0.08~0.12	0.05	0.37	0.71	-0.01	-0.14~0.12	0.07	-0.09	0.93	0.20	0.00~0.39	0.10	2.00	0.05	0.08	-0.15~0.32	0.12	0.71	0.48	-0.07	-0.17~0.04	0.05	-1.19	0.24
Physical abuse	-0.06	-0.18~0.06	0.06	-0.96	0.34	-0.09	-0.25~0.07	0.08	-1.11	0.27	0.04	-0.20~0.28	0.12	0.32	0.75	-0.09	-0.37~0.19	0.14	-0.63	0.53	-0.08	-0.21~0.05	0.07	-1.18	0.24
Sexual abuse	-0.04	-0.19~0.12	0.08	-0.45	0.65	-0.03	-0.24~0.18	0.11	-0.29	0.78	0.07	-0.25~0.40	0.17	0.45	0.66	-0.02	-0.39~0.35	0.19	-0.09	0.93	-0.04	-0.22~0.13	0.09	-0.50	0.62
Emotional neglect	-0.01	-0.08~0.06	0.04	-0.16	0.87	0.05	-0.05~0.14	0.05	0.97	0.34	0.00	-0.14~0.14	0.07	0.03	0.98	0.07	-0.09~0.24	0.08	0.87	0.39	-0.00	-0.08~0.07	0.04	-0.08	0.94
Physical neglect	-0.08	-0.17~0.01	0.04	-1.80	0.07	0.03	-0.08~0.15	0.06	0.57	0.57	-0.01	-0.19~0.16	0.09	-0.15	0.88	0.02	-0.19~0.23	0.11	0.20	0.84	0.00	-0.09~0.10	0.05	0.03	0.98
Childhood maltreatment	-0.06	-0.19~0.07	0.06	-0.93	0.35	0.01	-0.16~0.18	0.09	0.14	0.89	0.04	-0.21~0.29	0.13	0.34	0.73	0.09	-0.21~0.38	0.15	0.57	0.57	-0.04	-0.18~0.09	0.07	-0.62	0.54
Girls																									
Emotional abuse	-0.03	-0.13~0.07	0.05	-0.57	0.57	-0.16	-0.28~-0.03	0.06	-2.48	0.01	0.08	-0.10~0.26	0.09	0.84	0.40	0.08	-0.17~0.34	0.13	0.63	0.53	0.04	-0.09~0.17	0.06	0.63	0.53
Physical abuse	-0.06	-0.24~0.12	0.09	-0.64	0.52	-0.20	-0.43~0.03	0.12	-1.69	0.09	0.18	-0.16~0.52	0.17	1.03	0.31	0.29	-0.19~0.76	0.24	1.20	0.23	-0.11	-0.34~0.12	0.12	-0.94	0.35
Sexual abuse	0.00	-0.40~0.41	0.21	0.01	0.99	-0.11	-0.63~0.40	0.26	-0.44	0.66	-0.02	-0.80~0.77	0.40	-0.04	0.97	0.33	-0.71~1.37	0.53	0.62	0.53	0.19	-0.32~0.70	0.26	0.74	0.46
Emotional neglect	0.07	-0.01~0.14	0.04	1.78	0.08	0.04	-0.06~0.13	0.05	0.80	0.43	0.08	-0.06~0.22	0.07	1.16	0.25	0.11	-0.08~0.30	0.10	1.17	0.24	0.02	-0.07~0.12	0.05	0.49	0.62
Physical neglect	0.05	-0.05~0.15	0.05	0.93	0.35	0.00	-0.12~0.13	0.07	0.05	0.96	0.07	-0.11~0.26	0.09	0.77	0.44	-0.03	-0.29~0.23	0.13	-0.22	0.83	-0.04	-0.17~0.08	0.07	-0.67	0.51
Childhood maltreatment	0.07	-0.07~0.21	0.07	0.94	0.35	-0.05	-0.23~0.13	0.09	-0.59	0.56	0.16	-0.10~0.43	0.13	1.21	0.23	0.17	-0.20~0.54	0.19	0.92	0.36	0.01	-0.18~0.19	0.09	0.05	0.96

All statistically significant values were bolded.

TABLE 5 Age-specific associations of childhood maltreatment with the levels of peripheral serum inflammatory cytokines.

	IL-10						IL-1β						IL-6					IL-8			TNF-α				
Childhood maltreatment		95%Cl					95%Cl					95%CI					95%CI					95%CI			
\geq 11 and <15 years																									
Emotional abuse	-0.01	-0.11~0.10	0.05	-0.11	0.92	-0.14	-0.26~-0.01	0.07	-2.11	0.04	0.09	-0.10~0.27	0.09	0.93	0.35	-0.18	-0.42~0.06	0.12	-1.51	0.13	-0.01	-0.12~0.10	0.06	-0.17	0.87
Physical abuse	-0.10	-0.22~0.03	0.06	-1.54	0.12	-0.08	-0.23~0.08	0.08	-0.97	0.34	-0.04	-0.25~0.18	0.11	-0.33	0.75	-0.09	-0.38~0.21	0.15	-0.58	0.56	-0.06	-0.19~0.08	0.07	-0.84	0.40
Sexual abuse	-0.02	-0.21~0.18	0.10	-0.17	0.86	-0.13	-0.38~0.12	0.13	-1.00	0.32	0.02	-0.34~0.37	0.18	0.09	0.93	-0.13	-0.60~0.34	0.24	-0.55	0.58	-0.08	-0.30~0.14	0.11	-0.74	0.46
Emotional neglect	0.03	-0.05~0.10	0.04	0.67	0.51	0.04	-0.06~0.14	0.05	0.80	0.43	0.02	-0.12~0.15	0.07	0.25	0.81	-0.04	-0.22~0.14	0.09	-0.43	0.67	0.06	-0.02~0.14	0.04	1.39	0.17
Physical neglect	-0.04	-0.14~0.06	0.05	-0.76	0.45	-0.00	-0.13~0.13	0.06	-0.02	0.99	0.02	-0.15~0.20	0.09	0.26	0.79	-0.12	-0.36~0.11	0.12	-1.01	0.31	-0.03	-0.13~0.08	0.06	-0.46	0.64
Childhood maltreatment	-0.03	-0.17~0.10	0.07	-0.46	0.65	-0.08	-0.25~0.10	0.09	-0.86	0.39	0.02	-0.23~0.26	0.12	0.12	0.90	-0.21	-0.53~0.11	0.16	-1.29	0.20	0.02	-0.13~0.17	0.08	0.25	0.80
≥15 and<20 years																									
Emotional abuse	0.00	-0.09~0.10	0.05	0.03	0.98	-0.03	-0.15~0.10	0.06	-0.41	0.68	0.19	0.00~0.38	0.10	2.00	0.05	0.39	0.16~0.63	0.12	3.26	0.00	-0.01	-0.12~0.11	0.06	-0.12	0.91
Physical abuse	0.01	-0.15~0.17	0.08	0.08	0.93	-0.11	-0.32~0.10	0.11	-1.02	0.31	0.37	0.04~0.69	0.17	2.18	0.03	0.35	-0.04~0.74	0.20	1.78	0.08	-0.08	-0.26~0.11	0.10	-0.78	0.43
Sexual abuse	-0.05	-0.25~0.16	0.11	-0.43	0.67	0.06	-0.21~0.33	0.14	0.43	0.67	0.13	-0.32~0.58	0.23	0.56	0.57	0.24	-0.27~0.74	0.26	0.92	0.36	0.06	-0.19~0.30	0.13	0.47	0.64
Emotional neglect	0.03	-0.04~0.10	0.04	0.83	0.41	0.04	-0.05~0.13	0.05	0.81	0.42	0.04	-0.09~0.18	0.07	0.60	0.55	0.20	0.04~0.37	0.09	2.37	0.02	-0.03	-0.11~0.06	0.04	-0.62	0.53
Physical neglect	-0.02	-0.11~0.07	0.05	-0.37	0.71	0.02	-0.09~0.14	0.06	0.38	0.70	0.01	-0.17~0.19	0.09	0.08	0.94	-0.04	-0.10~0.03	0.11	1.07	0.29	-0.01	-0.12~0.10	0.05	-0.16	0.87
Childhood maltreatment	0.02	-0.11~0.15	0.07	0.30	0.77	0.03	-0.14~0.20	0.09	0.32	0.75	0.16	-0.10~0.43	0.13	1.23	0.22	0.50	0.18~0.82	0.16	3.06	0.00	-0.05	-0.20~0.11	0.08	-0.57	0.57

All statistically significant values were bolded.

1ß levels (43, 44). Childhood maltreatment may exert proinflammatory effects on immune cells throughout development. This might occur due to the association between increased stress during childhood and parallel increases in activity of the HPA axis and its end-product, cortisol (45). After binding to glucocorticoid receptors in healthy immune cells, cortisol inhibited the production of inflammatory cytokines (46). Therefore, measured by circulating markers, the pro-inflammatory phenotype of children may be masked by the upregulation of the HPA axis. In fact, the HPA axis was often socially adjusted by caregivers during much of childhood, so that the youth may exhibit attenuated HPA-axis activity around supportive caregivers (47). Thus, the students exposed to higher levels of childhood maltreatment may demonstrate lower levels of circulating inflammatory markers. Gender differences in the association between child maltreatment and inflammatory cytokines have been currently controversial. For instance, Osborn and Widom reported an apparent correlation between childhood trauma and inflammation among women instead of among men (10). A recent review examining the gender-specific effects of early life stress on inflammation (22) clarified that the association between childhood maltreatment and inflammation was driven by significant links in females, not in males. The result of this study supported the above evidence. Adolescence is a period of rapid growth and development culminating in full reproductive maturation. Major anabolic regulators induce marked changes in physiology and metabolism, leading to the pubertal transition and subsequent changes in body composition, a process in which many confounding factors affect the growth of adolescents. Teenagers'own hormone levels like reproductive hormones, testosterone and estrogen, and insulin may also take effect, and thereby conceivably influencing the levels of inflammatory cytokines. For example, testosterone in androgens is involved in the negative biofeedback mechanism of HPA, inhibiting the secretion of pro-gonadotropic hormones. Androgens (i.e., testosterone) have immune-modulating properties compared with estrogens, which may suppress the expression of the proinflammatory cytokines (48). On the contrary, a prospective study failed to detect the link between interpersonal stressors and circulating levels of CRP or IL-6 in a sample of female adolescents (mean age, 17 years) (49). Similarly, some studies could not find such notable gender differences in the effect of childhood adversity on physical health outcomes (17, 50). Consequently, whether the association between adolescent childhood maltreatment with inflammation is gender specific requires more far-reaching research.

After adjusting for other covariates, we observed positive associations between emotional abuse, emotional neglect, childhood maltreatment and IL-8 in late adolescence. As a proinflammatory cytokine, IL-8, mainly produced by macrophages and microglia (51), played a regulatory role in the immune response of the central nervous system. Studies proved that IL-8 could interfere with neurobiological processes during critical periods of brain development (52). Increased serum levels of 1L-8 led to dysfunctional cytokine signaling, which could induce apparent abnormal behavioral changes and various psychiatric diseases, including the onset of depression, anxiety, and cognitive dysfunction (3). The biological embedding model suggests that adverse experiences suffered by the organism during sensitive periods of growth and development can be "programmed" into macrophages through epigenetic markers, post-translational modifications and tissue remodeling, thus rendering these cells proinflammatory (53). Notably, we identified that the association between childhood maltreatment and IL-8 was only in late adolescence. A possible explanation is that the inflammatory system remains resilient during early adolescence, adapting to the environment and maintaining homeostasis in the body (54). This also may be due to unmeasured the timing of the childhood maltreatment on the results may be masked. Research by Hung et al. (55) demonstrated that inflammation accumulated with age, even after adjusted for confounding factors such as education, BMI, physical activity, alcohol use, and smoking status. Puberty may be the time when a pro-inflammatory phenotype appears in the circulating markers of immune function due to increases in risky behaviors, such as smoking, lack of exercise, unhealthy diet, and impulsive behaviors that increase stress exposure (56-58). Thus, as children developed into young adults (e.g., college students), the immune system received more biological and behavioral inputs which induced the production and regulation of inflammation, leading to more reliable elevations in circulating inflammatory markers among at-risk populations (with early life adversity exposure) (26). This observation may remind future researchers of the significance of taking developmental processes into account in studies of inflammatory processes in children. In conclusion, our findings put forward a hint that some other factors like age may be more pertinent in affecting inflammatory cytokine levels.

Strengths and limitations

Significant as the study of childhood maltreatment in relation to inflammatory markers in middle school students was, the main contribution of this study lay in the revelation of the roles of gender and age in the relationship between childhood maltreatment and inflammation. Besides, the large sample size and high response rate of participants were noteworthy. However, several limitations could not be overlooked in the interpretation of our results. First, the study design was cross-sectional, and the temporal ordering of the variables remained unclear, although our findings pertaining to the association between childhood maltreatment and inflammatory markers were similar to those of a previous cohort study (18). Second, due to the same background of participants in one boarding school, apparently, the findings might not be applied to all adolescents. Finally, because of the complexity of the influencing factors of inflammation, many confounding variables, such as the timing of the childhood maltreatment, sleep, diet, and recent stressful life events, may also affect inflammation, which was not included in this study, however. Despite these limitations, our study added to the literature on childhood maltreatment associated with an inflammatory pathway.

Conclusions

This study reveals that girls with elevated scores of childhood maltreatment are more likely to show changes in the levels of inflammatory markers; students in late adolescence are particularly more susceptible to low-grade inflammation. These results strengthen an inference concerning the effects of childhood maltreatment on inflammation in middle school students and have implications for the ways in which childhood maltreatment can be incorporated into health programs in adolescents.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Anhui Medical University (20170290). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

ZJ, SL, and RL contributed to data acquisition, data analysis and the writing of this manuscript. XS contributed to help with sample collection and data analysis. SZ, YS, and FT assisted in revising the manuscript. The present study was conceived and designed by YW. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Funding for the project was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81773453 & 81202223) and the Natural

References

1. Hänsel A, Hong S, Cámara RJ, von Känel R. Inflammation as a psychophysiological biomarker in chronic psychosocial stress. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* (2010) 35(1):115–21. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.12.012

2. Moieni M, Irwin MR, Jevtic I, Olmstead R, Breen EC, Eisenberger NI. Sex differences in depressive and socioemotional responses to an inflammatory challenge: implications for sex differences in depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* (2015) 40 (7):1709–16. doi: 10.1038/npp.2015.17

3. Tsai SJ. Role of interleukin 8 in depression and other psychiatric disorders. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry (2021) 106:110173. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110173

4. Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2016) 16(1):22–34. doi: 10.1038/nri.2015.5

5. Soysal P, Arik F, Smith L, Jackson SE, Isik AT. Inflammation, frailty and cardiovascular disease. *Adv Exp Med Biol* (2020) 1216:55-64. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33330-0_7

6. Singh N, Baby D, Rajguru JP, Patil PB, Thakkannavar SS, Pujari VB. Inflammation and cancer. Ann Afr Med (2019) 18(3):121-6. doi: 10.4103/aam.aam_56_18

7. Writing Group Members, Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2016 update: A report from the American heart association. *Circulation* (2016) 133(4):e38–360. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000350

8. Franceschi C, Campisi J. Chronic inflammation (inflammaging) and its potential contribution to age-associated diseases. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* (2014) 69 Suppl 1: S4–9. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glu057

9. Kerr DM, McDonald J, Minnis H. The association of child maltreatment and systemic inflammation in adulthood: A systematic review. *PloS One* (2021) 16(4): e0243685. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0243685

Science Foundation in Higher Education of Anhui (KJ2019ZD72). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the staff and students from the boarding middle school.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1067291/full#supplementary-material

10. Osborn M, Widom CS. Do documented records and retrospective reports of childhood maltreatment similarly predict chronic inflammation? *Psychol Med* (2020) 50 (14):2406–15. doi: 10.1017/S0033291719002575

11. Ehrlich KB, Miller GE, Rogosch FA, Cicchetti D. Maltreatment exposure across childhood and low-grade inflammation: Considerations of exposure type, timing, and sex differences. *Dev Psychobiol* (2021) 63(3):529–37. doi: 10.1002/dev.22031

12. Metzler M, Merrick MT, Klevens J, Ports KA, Ford DC. Adverse childhood experiences and life opportunities: Shifting the narrative. *Child Youth Serv Rev* (2017) 72:141–9. doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2016.10.021

13. Ports KA, Holman DM, Guinn AS, Pampati S, Dyer KE, Merrick MT, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the presence of cancer risk factors in adulthood: A scoping review of the literature from 2005 to 2015. *J Pediatr Nurs.* (2019) 44:81–96. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2018.10.009

14. Sheikh MA. Child maltreatment, psychopathological symptoms, and onset of diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism and COPD in adulthood. *J Affect Disord* (2018) 241:80–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2018.07.085

15. Slopen N, Koenen KC, Kubzansky LD. Childhood adversity and immune and inflammatory biomarkers associated with cardiovascular risk in youth: A systematic review. *Brain Behav Immun* (2012) 26(2):239–50. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2011.11.003

16. Boshtam M, Asgary S, Kouhpayeh S, Shariati L, Khanahmad H. Aptamers against pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines: A review. *Inflammation* (2017) 40(1):340–9. doi: 10.1007/s10753-016-0477-1

17. Baumeister D, Akhtar R, Ciufolini S, Pariante CM, Mondelli V. Childhood trauma and adulthood inflammation: A meta-analysis of peripheral c-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α. *Mol Psychiatry* (2016) 21(5):642–9. doi: 10.1038/mp.2015.67

18. Heard-Garris N, Davis MM, Estabrook R, Burns J, Briggs-Gowan M, Allen N, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and biomarkers of inflammation in a diverse cohort of early school-aged children. *Brain Behav Immun* (2020) 1:100006. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2019.100006

19. Lacey RE, Kumari M, McMunn A. Parental separation in childhood and adult inflammation: The importance of material and psychosocial pathways. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2013) 38(11):2476-84. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.05.007

20. Gill H, El-Halabi S, Majeed A, Gill B, Lui LMW, Mansur RB, et al. The association between adverse childhood experiences and inflammation in patients with major depressive disorder: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord* (2020) 272:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.03.145

21. de Koning RM, Kuzminskaite E, Vinkers CH, Giltay EJ, Penninx BWJH. Childhood traumaandLPS-stimulated inflammationin adulthood: Results from the Netherlands study of depression and anxiety. *Brain Behav Immun* (2022) 106:21–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2022.07.158

22. Baldwin JR, Arseneault L, Caspi A, Fisher HL, Moffitt TE, Odgers CL, et al. Childhood victimization and inflammation in young adulthood: A genetically sensitive cohort study. *Brain Behav Immun* (2018) 67:211–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2017.08.025

23. Takizawa R, Danese A, Maughan B, Arseneault L. Bullying victimization in childhood predicts inflammation and obesity at mid-life: A five-decade birth cohort study. *Psychol Med* (2015) 45(13):2705–15. doi: 10.1017/S0033291715000653

24. Coelho R, Viola TW, Walss-Bass C, Brietzke E, Grassi-Oliveira R. Childhood maltreatment and inflammatory markers: A systematic review. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* (2014) 129(3):180–92. doi: 10.1111/acps.12217

25. Nusslock R, Miller GE. Early-life adversity and physical and emotional health across the lifespan: A neuroimmune network hypothesis. *Biol Psychiatry* (2016) 80(1):23–32. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.017

26. Kuhlman KR, Horn SR, Chiang JJ, Bower JE. Early life adversity exposure and circulating markers of inflammation in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav Immun* (2020) 86:30–42. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2019.04.028

27. Bernstein DP, Ahluvalia T, Pogge D, Handelsman L. Validity of the childhood trauma questionnaire in an adolescent psychiatric population. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry (1997) 36(3):340–8. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199703000-00012

28. Zhao XF, Zhang YL, Li LF, Zhou YF, Li HZ, Yang SC. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of childhood trauma questionnaire. *Chin J Clin Rehabil.* (2005) 20:105–7.

29. Wan Y, Chen R, Wang S, Clifford A, Zhang S, Orton S, et al. Associations of coping styles with nonsuicidal self-injury in adolescents: Do they vary with gender and adverse childhood experiences? *Child Abuse Negl* (2020) 104:104470. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104470

30. Wang S, Xu H, Li S, Jiang Z, Wan Y. Sex differences in the determinants of suicide attempt among adolescents in China. *Asian J Psychiatr* (2020) 49:101961. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.101961

31. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: A lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet* (2016) 387(10036):2423–78. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1

32. Li Y, Jinxiang T, Shu Y, Yadong P, Ying L, Meng Y, et al. Childhood trauma and the plasma levels of IL-6, TNF- α are risk factors for major depressive disorder and schizophrenia in adolescents: A cross-sectional and case-control study. *J Affect Disord* (2022) 305:227–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2022.02.020

33. Trotta A, Arseneault L, Danese A, Mondelli V, Rasmussen LJH, Fisher HL. Associations between childhood victimization, inflammatory biomarkers and psychotic phenomena in adolescence: A longitudinal cohort study. *Brain Behav Immun* (2021) 98:74–85. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2021.08.209

34. Azad MB, Lissitsyn Y, Miller GE, Becker AB, HayGlass KT, Kozyrskyj AL. Influence of socioeconomic status trajectories on innate immune responsiveness in children. *PloS One* (2012) 7(6):e38669. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038669

35. Ehrlich KB, Ross KM, Chen E, Miller GE. Testing the biological embedding hypothesis: Is early life adversity associated with a later proinflammatory phenotype? *Dev Psychopathol.* (2016) 28(4pt2):1273–83. doi: 10.1017/S0954579416000845

36. Ayaydin H, Abali O, Akdeniz NO, Kok BE, Gunes A, Yildirim A, et al. Immune system changes after sexual abuse in adolescents. *Pediatr Int* (2016) 58(2):105–12. doi: 10.1111/ped.12767

37. Wright RJ, Finn P, Contreras JP, Cohen S, Wright RO, Staudenmayer J, et al. Chronic caregiver stress and IgE expression, allergen-induced proliferation, and cytokine profiles in a birth cohort predisposed to atopy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2004) 113 (6):1051–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2004.03.032

38. Menke A, Lehrieder D, Fietz J, Leistner C, Wurst C, Stonawski S, et al. Childhood trauma dependent anxious depression sensitizes HPA axis function. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2018) 98:22–9. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.07.025

39. Ganança L, Oquendo MA, Tyrka AR, Cisneros-Trujillo S, Mann JJ, Sublette ME. The role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of suicidal behavior. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2016) 63:296–310. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.008

40. Passos IC, Vasconcelos-Moreno MP, Costa LG, Kunz M, Brietzke E, Quevedo J, et al. Inflammatory markers in post-traumatic stress disorder: A systematic review, metaanalysis, and meta-regression. *Lancet Psychiatry* (2015) 2(11):1002–12. doi: 10.1016/ S2215-0366(15)00309-0

41. Quagliato LA, Nardi AE. Cytokine alterations in panic disorder: A systematic review. J Affect Disord (2018) 228:91-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2017.11.094

42. Wiener CD, Moreira FP, Cardoso TA, Mondin TC, da Silva Magalhães PV, Kapczinski F, et al. Inflammatory cytokines and functional impairment in drug-free subjects with mood disorder. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2017) 307:33–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2017.03.003

43. Tyrka AR, Parade SH, Valentine TR, Eslinger NM, Seifer R. Adversity in preschool-aged children: Effects on salivary interleukin-1β. *Dev Psychopathol.* (2015) 27 (2):567–76. doi: 10.1017/S0954579415000164

44. Ridout KK, Parade SH, Seifer R, Price LH, Gelernter J, Feliz P, et al. Interleukin 1B gene (IL1B) variation and internalizing symptoms in maltreated preschoolers. *Dev Psychopathol.* (2014) 26(4 Pt 2):1277–87. doi: 10.1017/S0954579414001023

45. Kuhlman KR, Repetti RL, Reynolds BM, Robles TF. Change in parent-child conflict and the HPA-axis: Where should we be looking and for how long? *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2016) 68:74-81. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.02.029

46. Vandewalle J, Luypaert A, De Bosscher K, Libert C. Therapeutic mechanisms of glucocorticoids. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* (2018) 29(1):42-54. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2017.10.010

47. Gunnar MR, Donzella B. Social regulation of the cortisol levels in early human development. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* (2002) 27(1-2):199–220. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4530(01)00045-2

48. Malkin CJ, Pugh PJ, Jones RD, Kapoor D, Channer KS, Jones TH. The effect of testosterone replacement on endogenous inflammatory cytokines and lipid profiles in hypogonadal men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2004) 89(7):3313–8. doi: 10.1210/jc.2003-031069

49. Miller GE, Rohleder N, Cole SW. Chronic interpersonal stress predicts activation of pro- and anti-inflammatory signaling pathways 6 months later. *Psychosom Med* (2009) 71(1):57–62. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e318190d7de

50. Campbell JA, Farmer GC, Nguyen-Rodriguez S, Walker R, Egede L. Relationship between individual categories of adverse childhood experience and diabetes in adulthood in a sample of US adults: Does it differ by gender? *J Diabetes Complications*. (2018) 32 (2):139–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2017.11.005

51. Remick DG. Interleukin-8. Crit Care Med (2005) 33(12 Suppl):S466-7. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000186783.34908.18

52. Morimoto K, Nakajima K. Role of the immune system in the development of the central nervous system. *Front Neurosci* (2019) 13:916. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00916

53. Miller GE, Chen E, Parker KJ. Psychological stress in childhood and susceptibility to the chronic diseases of aging: Moving toward a model of behavioral and biological mechanisms. *Psychol Bull* (2011) 137(6):959–97. doi: 10.1037/a0024768

54. Miller GE, Chen E. Harsh family climate in early life presages the emergence of a proinflammatory phenotype in adolescence. *Psychol Sci* (2010) 21(6):848-56. doi: 10.1177/0956797610370161

55. Hung J, Knuiman MW, Divitini ML, Davis T, Beilby JP. Prevalence and risk factor correlates of elevated c-reactive protein in an adult Australian population. *Am J Cardiol* (2008) 101(2):193–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.07.061

56. Hanson JL, Nacewicz BM, Sutterer MJ, Cayo AA, Schaefer SM, Rudolph KD, et al. Behavioral problems after early life stress: Contributions of the hippocampus and amygdala. *Biol Psychiatry* (2015) 77(4):314–23. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.04.020

57. Lovallo WR, Farag NH, Sorocco KH, Acheson A, Cohoon AJ, Vincent AS. Early life adversity contributes to impaired cognition and impulsive behavior: studies from the Oklahoma family health patterns project. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* (2013) 37(4):616–23. doi: 10.1111/acer.12016

58. Raposa EB, Bower JE, Hammen CL, Najman JM, Brennan PA. A developmental pathway from early life stress to inflammation: The role of negative health behaviors. *Psychol Sci* (2014) 25(6):1268–74. doi: 10.1177/0956797614530570