Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY James E. Ussher, University of Otago, New Zealand

REVIEWED BY Olivier Gasser, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand Carolina Otero, Universidad Andrés Bello, Chile Elham Karamooz, Oregon Health and Science University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Barry Slobedman Sobarry.slobedman@sydney.edu.au

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 25 November 2022 ACCEPTED 25 January 2023 PUBLISHED 10 February 2023

#### CITATION

Ashley CL, McSharry BP, McWilliam HEG, Stanton RJ, Fielding CA, Mathias RA, Fairlie DP, McCluskey J, Villadangos JA, Rossjohn J, Abendroth A and Slobedman B (2023) Suppression of MR1 by human cytomegalovirus inhibits MAIT cell activation. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1107497. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1107497

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ashley, McSharry, McWilliam, Stanton, Fielding, Mathias, Fairlie, McCluskey, Villadangos, Rossjohn, Abendroth and Slobedman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Suppression of MR1 by human cytomegalovirus inhibits MAIT cell activation

Caroline L. Ashley<sup>1†</sup>, Brian P. McSharry<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hamish E. G. McWilliam<sup>3,4</sup>, Richard J. Stanton<sup>5</sup>, Ceri A. Fielding<sup>5</sup>, Rommel A. Mathias<sup>6,7</sup>, David P. Fairlie<sup>8</sup>, James McCluskey<sup>3</sup>, Jose A. Villadangos<sup>3,4</sup>, Jamie Rossjohn<sup>5,7</sup>, Allison Abendroth<sup>1‡</sup> and Barry Slobedman<sup>1\*‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, and the Charles Perkins Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, <sup>2</sup>School of Dentistry and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Health, Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, NSW, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The Peter Doherty Institute of Infection and Immunity, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology (Bio21), The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology (Bio21), The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>5</sup>Division of Infection & Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom, <sup>6</sup>Infection and Immunity Program, Department of Microbiology, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>7</sup>Infection and Immunity Program, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>8</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Innovations in Peptide and Protein Science, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

**Introduction:** The antigen presentation molecule MHC class I related protein-1 (MR1) is best characterized by its ability to present bacterially derived metabolites of vitamin B2 biosynthesis to mucosal-associated invariant T-cells (MAIT cells).

**Methods:** Through in vitro human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infection in the presence of MR1 ligand we investigate the modulation of MR1 expression. Using coimmunoprecipitation, mass spectrometry, expression by recombinant adenovirus and HCMV deletion mutants we investigate HCMV gpUS9 and its family members as potential regulators of MR1 expression. The functional consequences of MR1 modulation by HCMV infection are explored in coculture activation assays with either Jurkat cells engineered to express the MAIT cell TCR or primary MAIT cells. MR1 dependence in these activation assays is established by addition of MR1 neutralizing antibody and CRISPR/Cas-9 mediated MR1 knockout.

**Results:** Here we demonstrate that HCMV infection efficiently suppresses MR1 surface expression and reduces total MR1 protein levels. Expression of the viral glycoprotein gpUS9 in isolation could reduce both cell surface and total MR1 levels, with analysis of a specific US9 HCMV deletion mutant suggesting that the virus can target MR1 using multiple mechanisms. Functional assays with primary MAIT cells demonstrated the ability of HCMV infection to inhibit bacterially driven, MR1-dependent activation using both neutralizing antibodies and engineered MR1 knockout cells.

**Discussion:** This study identifies a strategy encoded by HCMV to disrupt the MR1:MAIT cell axis. This immune axis is less well characterized in the context of viral infection. HCMV encodes hundreds of proteins, some of which regulate the expression of antigen presentation molecules. However the ability of this virus to regulate the MR1: MAIT TCR axis has not been studied in detail.

#### KEYWORDS

human cytomegalovirus, herpesvirus, MHC class I related protein-1, MR1, mucosalassociated invariant T cells, MAIT cells, immune modulation

## Introduction

Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a betaherpesvirus that causes lifelong infection with latency and is endemic in both developed and developing countries (1, 2). Infected individuals often harbor multiple strains of HCMV, either as a result of concurrent infection or superinfection (3, 4) and seroprevalence generally increases with age (5, 6). HCMV carriage results in a dramatic reconfiguration of the immune system which, combined with its prevalence, makes it one of the leading non-heritable causes of immune variation (7, 8). Despite this, public awareness of HCMV and the risks associated with infection is low (9). In immunocompetent individuals HCMV infection is generally asymptomatic in healthy individuals but it can cause serious complications in the immunonaïve (neonates) (10, 11) and immunocompromised people (for example, immunosuppressed transplant recipients (12–14)).

Mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells are a subset of predominantly CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells involved in the innate response to bacterial and viral pathogens. MAIT cells were initially labeled invariant due to their consistent expression of the T cell receptor (TCR) alpha chain V $\alpha$ 7.2-J $\alpha$ 33 (15–18), however it is now accepted that MAIT cell TCRs can contain V $\alpha$ 7.2 paired with either J $\alpha$ 33, J $\alpha$ 12 or J $\alpha$ 20 and they are therefore considered to be semi-invariant (17, 19). MAIT cells are involved in the surveillance of mucosal surfaces including the oral musoca (20), the female genital tract (21) and breast tissue (22). MAIT cells can be activated by ligands presented on MR1 (23) or by combinations of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-12 and IL-18 (24). MAIT cells were first characterized in MR1-dependent control of bacterial infections (16, 21, 25–34) and more recently have been implicated in MR1-independent antiviral responses (24, 35–38).

MR1 is a non-classical MHC I-like molecule whose function is known to include presentation of vitamin B-derived metabolites to activate MAIT cells (23, 39). During HCMV infection, other nonclassical MHC I-like molecules have been reported to be regulated by this virus. For example, in HCMV infected cells, human leukocyte antigen-E (HLA-E), an inhibitor of NK cell activation, binds and is stabilized by the HCMV gpUL40 leader peptide (40, 41). Conversely HLA-G, a non-classical MHC I-like molecule that functions as an NK activation inhibitor, is targeted for proteasomal degradation by HCMV gpUS10 in infected HeLa cells (42) and trophoblasts (43). MHC I chain-related proteins A and B (MICA and MICB) which are highly polymorphic stress-induced NKG2D ligands that are similar to the MHC I heavy chain but do not associate with  $\beta$ 2M, are both targeted for downregulation by HCMV (44–48). Our previous demonstration of MR1 downregulation by herpesviruses, with a focus on HSV-1 and VZV (49, 50), also indicated that both HCMV and murine cytomegalovirus were capable of inhibiting MR1 surface expression, however the functional outcome and the role of specific gene functions in such regulation was not studied.

Here we report modulation of surface and total MR1 protein by HCMV infection, and implicate the HCMV US9 gene product as contributing to this phenotype. We also demonstrate the ability of HCMV infected cells to inhibit bacteria-induced activation of primary MAIT cells in a MR1-dependent manner.

#### **Methods**

#### Cell culture, viruses and E.coli

Primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFs) (ATCC), 293-TREX cells (invitrogen), HEK293Ts (ATCC), human telomerase immortalised (hTERT) HFs (51, 52) (and CRISPR/Cas-9 derivatives) as well as HF and ARPE-19 cells overexpressing MR1 and MR1-GFP (49) were grown in DMEM media supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS) and penicillin streptomycin (100 units/ mL). TCR  $\alpha/\beta$  deficient Jurkat-76 engineered to express the MAIT TCR: Jurkat.MAIT cells (18, 39, 53) were propagated in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% FCS and penicillin streptomycin (100 units/mL). Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by density gradient centrifugation with Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare) from donor buffy coats obtained through the Australian Red Cross Blood Service. For use in MAIT activation experiments PBMCs were cultured in supplemented RPMI 1640 (10% FCS, 100 units/mL penicillin streptomycin). Before PBMCs were cocultured with HFs they were washed in PBS and transferred to folate free RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% human serum and 100 units/mL penicillin streptomycin.

The bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) derived viruses based on the low passage HCMV strain Merlin, RCMV1111 (Merlin) and GFP expressing RCMV1158 (Merlin-GFP), were described previously (54). AD169-UK strain was described previously (55). Stocks of HCMV were generated by harvesting virus from the supernatant of infected HFs. Supernatant was collected when all infected HFs displayed cytopathic effect (CPE) then spun at 845 xg for 10 min to pellet cell debris, and a second centrifugation for 2h at 21875 xg to pellet the virus. Virus pellets were resuspended in fresh supplemented DMEM and stored at -80°C.

Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation of virus was performed by applying 720mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> of UV light using a CL-1000 Ultraviolet Crosslinker (Analytik Jena). Successful inactivation of virus was determined by a lack of development of CPE after incubation of UV-irradiated virus with fresh monolayers of HFs. For infection experiments, viable or UV-irradiated virus was applied to cultures for 90 minutes before being washed off; this point immediately after removal of the virus was taken as time zero.

DH5α *E.coli* for use in Jurkat.MAIT and PBMC MAIT cell activation assays were grown by shaking at 37° overnight in LB broth then fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 3 mins, vortexing for the first 60s and last 30s (28, 56). Fresh *E.coli* cultures were grown and fixed for each assay.

#### Generation of viral recombinants

The US9 HCMV deletion mutant was genrated by recombineering of Merlin BAC as described previously (54) using the following primers;

US9 SacB For: GCCGGCGTGAGCCAGCGTTACCCAACA GCAGCCCAGGCCGACGAGGAGGCGCAGCCACCGCCTCA TGGCGGCTTCGCCAGCCTGTGACGGAAGATCACTTCG

US9 SacB Rev:

GGTGGATACGTCCCTGGGTCCGAGGTCGGCACCGCG CCACCGGAAGGACTTCACGGGAAGAAGAGGCTAAAGACGAT TGACTGAGGTTCTTATGGCTCTTG

Delete US9 open reading frame (ORF):

GCCCAGGCCGACGAGGAGGCGCAGCCACCGCCTC ATGGCGGCTTCGCCAGAGCCGCCGGCACCGCGGCCGG CCGCAGGAAGCCGCCCGGCGCGCGTCGTCTG

Recombinant adenoviruses (RAds) expressing C-terminally V5tagged gpUS7 and gpUS9 were generated as described previously using recombineering technology (57). The codon optimised US9 ORF was synthesised by Genscript and the US7 ORF was amplified from the Merlin genome using gene specific primers (US7 FOR: AAGACACCGGGACCGATCCAGCCTGGATCCttgacatacggta ataccatgcgg, US7 REV: GAGCGGGTTAGGGATTGGCTTACCAGC GCT gcccttgacaggataggtcaaa.RAds were generated from transfected BACs in 293-TREX cells. RAds were amplified and titered in 293-TREX cells as described previously (57).

#### Jurkat.MAIT activation assays

HFs were infected with HCMV in supplemented DMEM at MOI 10. 72 hours post infection (hpi) HFs were washed in PBS and partially fixed *E.coli* (1000 CFU/HF) was added in folate free RPMI 1640 supplemented with FCS and penicillin streptomycin. 4 hours later *E.coli* was removed by washing with PBS and LEAF-purified anti-MR1 neutralizing antibody (clone 26.5, BioLegend) or appropriate isotype control was added for 1 hour (5  $\mu$ g/mL) in folate free RPMI supplemented with FCS and penicillin

streptomycin. Jurkat.MAIT cells were added at a Jurkat.MAIT : HF ratio of 2:1 in folate free RPMI supplemented with FCS and penicillin streptomycin. 20 hours later Jurkat.MAITs activation was assessed by flow cytometry.

#### PBMC MAIT cell activation assays

HFs were infected with HCMV for 48 hours. Partially fixed *E.coli* (1000 CFU/HF) was added to the infected HFs overnight. HFs were washed extensively in PBS to remove *E.coli* and if applicable LEAF-purified anti-MR1 neutralising antibody (clone 26.5, BioLegend) or appropriate isotype control was added for 1 hour in folate free RPMI 1640 supplemented with human serum and penicillin streptomycin. PBMCs resuscitated the day before were added at a PBMC : HF ratio of 2:1 in folate free RMPI 1640 supplemented with human serum and penicillin streptomycin. After 5 hours of coculture PBMCs were stained for analysis by flow cytometry.

#### CRISPR/Cas-9

Genome editing using CRISPR/Cas-9 was performed with the dual-vector lentivirus GeCKO system as described previously (58). Briefly, Cas-9 expressing lentivirus was harvested from the supernatant (filtered, 0.45  $\mu m$  pore size) of HEK293T cells transfected with the packaging plasmid pCMV8.91, expression plasmid lentiCas-9-Blast and envelope plasmid pMD2G; 50% confluent hTERT-HFs, chosen for their longevity, were transduced with this lentivirus in the presence of 5 µg/mL polybrene. Successfully transduced hTERTs were selected with 5µg/mL blasticidin. Next these Cas-9 hTERT HFs were transduced with a lentivirus expressing guide RNA (gRNA) specific for the desired target gene, in this case MR1. To generate a gRNA specific for MR1 the following pair of DNA oligomers were annealed and ligated into the lentiguide-Puro expression plasmid following Esp3I (BsmBI) digestion: 5'-CACCGGGATGGGATCCGAAACGCCC -3' and 5'-AAACGGGCGTTTCGGATCCCATCCC -3'. The resulting gRNA (in bold) was designed to target MR1 (59). This expression plasmid was transfected into HEK293T cells with the same packaging and envelope plasmids as the Cas-9 expressing lentivirus (pCMV8.91 and pMD2G, respectively). The filtered (0.45 µm pore size) supernatant of these cells and applied to 50% confluent Cas-9 hTERT HFs in the presence of 5 µg/mL polybrene. Cells successfully transduced with the gRNA lentivirus were then selected for with 1  $\mu$ g/mL puromycin. In order to create clones, selected cells were seeded into 96 well plates at the approximate concentration of 0.5 cells/well, minimizing the chance of two cells ending up in the same well. Plates were monitored for growth over a 3-week period.

#### Immunoblotting

Cell lysates from MR1 overexpressing cells were harvested in cell lysis buffer (50 mM NaCl, 50 mM TRIS pH8, 1% IGEPAL, 1% Triton X-100) as described previously (49). Lysates were resolved by precast polyacrylamide gels (Biorad) before immunoblotting onto PVDF membranes. Membranes were probed with the designated primary antibodies in 3% BSA in PBST, followed by incubation with an appropriate horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (all Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The following primary antibodies were utilized: anti-MR1 and anti-HLA-A, B, C (Abcam), anti-GFP, anti-V5 and anti-GAPDH (all Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

#### Flow cytometry

Cells collected for analysis by flow cytometry were washed with PBS and stained for viability with Zombie NIR fixable dye (BioLegend). Surface staining followed with cells resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS supplemented with 1% FCS and 10 mM EDTA) and relevant antibodies added for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were acquired on a BD LSR II cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA). Single stained UltraComp eBeads<sup>TM</sup> were washed in FACS buffer and fixed in the same way as surface stained cells, were used as compensation controls. FCS files were exported and analysed in FlowJo Software (BD Biosciences, USA).

The following antibodies were used to assess activation by flow cytometry: MR1 (clone 26.5, conjugated to PE, BioLegend), MHC I (clone G46-2.6, conjugated to APC, BD Biosciences), MICA (clone 159227, conjugated to APC, R&D Systems), CD3 (clone SK7, conjugated to BUV395, BD), CD161 (clone HP-3G10, conjugated to PE/Dazzle<sup>TM</sup> 594, BioLegend), TCR V $\alpha$ 7.2 (clone 3C10, conjugated to AlexaFluor<sup>®</sup> 700), CD69 (clone FN50, conjugated to BV421). Matched isotype control antibodies were used where appropriate. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism; when using the two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisions, Sidak's correction was

employed when the variance of both data sets needed to be taken into account e.g. neutralizing antibody and isotype control or knockout and parental cells. Tukey's correction was used when comparisions were made within one set e.g. mock vs. mock + *E.coli* vs. HCMV vs. HCMV + *E. coli* within the neutralizing antibody treated cells only.

## **Results**

# HCMV infection downregulates both cell surface and total MR1 protein expression

To determine any impact on cell surface and total MR1 during HCMV infection, we utilized a BAC derived virus based on the low passage Merlin HCMV strain engineered to express GFP driven by an internal ribosome entry site (IRES) downstream of the HCMV IE2 (Merlin-GFP) (10). GFP expression from this virus allows for differentiation between infected and bystander cells. This concept was of particular interest when examining regulation of MR1 during HCMV infection because the closely related molecule MHC I is downregulated on HCMV antigen positive cells but upregulated on bystander cells that do not stain for HCMV antigens (60, 61).

Flow cytometry gates were established to separate GFP- bystander cells from GFP+ infected cells in cultures exposed to Merlin-GFP (Figures 1A, B). HFs were then assayed by flow cytometry for surface MR1 at 24 hpi and 48 hpi timepoints with cells treated with the MR1 ligand Ac-6-FP for the last 18h of the assay. Ac-6-FP binds MR1 in the ER, triggering a conformational change that facilitates association with  $\beta$ 2m and trafficking to the cell surface (62). This analysis demonstrated that cell surface MR1 was significantly suppressed on the GFP+ infected cells at both 24 hpi and 48 hpi (Figure 1C). Levels



#### FIGURE 1

Downregulation of cell surface MR1 by HCMV. Primary HFS were infected with GFP expressing HCMV strain Merlin-GFP at an MOI of 3. 18 h prior to harvesting cells were treated with Ac-6-FP ( $2.5\mu$ M). At **(A)** 24 hpi and **(B)** 48 h.p.i. the expression of GFP and levels of cell surface MRI were assessed by flow cytometry. Using GFP expression as a measure of HCMV infection, the HFs were classified as GFP+ infected cells **(C)** or GFP- uninfected bystander cells **(D)**. Expression of cell surface MRI was analysed in each of these subsets. n = 3, error bars represent SEM, Students' paired two-tailed t-test, p-value\* <0.05, \*\*<0.01, p \*\*\*<0.001.

of surface MR1 on the GFP- bystander cells were unchanged at 24 hpi but significantly elevated by 48 hpi (Figure 1D). The marked reduction of surface MR1 on HCMV infected cells suggests that, like MHC I, viral gene product(s) interfere with ligand-bound MR1 trafficking and/or stability, or targets it for degradation. The enhancement of MR1 surface expression on GFP- bystander cells at 48 hpi indicates that soluble factor(s) or defective virus particles that can bind to but not productively infect cells may mediate such an effect.

To determine if HCMV downregulated total cellular MR1 protein levels, HFs engineered to overexpress MR1 (49) were mock infected or infected with RCMV111 (Merlin) or lab-adapted HCMV strain AD169-UK. Cells were left untreated or treated with Ac-6-FP (5  $\mu$ M) before immunoblotting for MR1 and GAPDH. Infection with either the Merlin or AD169 strains led to a potent reduction of total MR1 levels (Figure 2), consistent with the inhibition of cell surface levels as described here and previously (49). These data demonstrate a function conserved across HCMV strains downregulates MR1 expression levels and also show that the impact of HCMV infection on total MR1 expression is independent of MR1 ligand availability.

# The HCMV US9 gene product plays a role in suppressing cell surface MR1

To identify potential HCMV proteins regulating MR1 protein expression, interacting proteins were investigated using a coimmunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry-based approach (63). HF cells expressing MR1-GFP or control GFP were infected with HCMV for 48 h, before proteins were immuno-affinity isolated using the GFP tag, and interacting partners identified. A subset of HCMV proteins were specifically enriched within MR1-GFP isolations, with a top ranked HCMV candidate protein being gpUS9. This HCMV protein is a member of the US6 gene family. Members of this family are known to bind and regulate expression of other MHC and MHClike molecules, with US9 itself recently identified to target surface expression of a specific allele of the NKG2D activating ligand MICA (MICA\*008) (48, 64). For these reasons, we focused on the role of US9 in the context of potential modulation of MR1.



FIGURE 2

Downregulation of total cellular MR1 protein by multiple strains of HCMV. HFF-MR1 cells were mock infected, or infected with the HCMV Merlin or AD169 strains for 48 h before immunoblotting for MRI and GAPDH. The ligand Ac-6-FP (5  $\mu$ M) was added at 16 h prior to harvesting for cell lysates for the indicated samples.

The ability of US9 to regulate MR1 surface expression was assayed using a replication deficient adenovirus (RAd) expressing US9. HFs transduced with RAd US9 or control Rad were treated with Ac-6-FP then stained for surface MR1 or MHC I (Figure 3A). There was a limited but consistent reduction in MR1 surface levels but not MHC I in cells expressing US9. This effect of gpUS9 on MR1 surface expression was recapitulated in HeLa cells which are homozygous for the MICA\*008 allele where gpUS9 expression could also limit MICA surface expression as previously described (48), confirming functional expression of US9 (Figure 3B).

To determine if the capacity to target MR1 was a common feature of US6 family members, the ability of gpUS7 to regulate MR1 was also assayed. Initially we confirmed expression of gpUS9 and gpUS7 from the RAds by immunoblotting (Figure 3C) before testing their effect in MR1 overexpressing cells (ARPE-19 MR1). These cells express MR1 and GFP from the same expression cassette via an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) upstream of the GFP open reading frame (49). MR1 surface expression was also significantly reduced in ARPE-19 MR1 cells by gpUS9, however the related protein gpUS7 was not capable of modulating MR1 surface expression (Figures 3D, E). Levels of MR1 expression were also reduced in gpUS9 expressing cells as measured by immunoblotting, mirroring the effect on cell surface expression, with MHC I and GFP levels unchanged with gpUS9 expression (Figure 3F). gpUS9 expression also significantly reduced MR1 surface levels in MR1-GFP fusion protein expressing cells with GFP fluorescence (a readout of total MR1 levels in this setting) also reduced (Supplementary Figure 1) consistent with MR1 immunoblotting detailed in Figure 3F.

To test the ability of gpUS9 to regulate MR1 in the context of HCMV infection we assayed a US9 deletion mutant, based on the Merlin strain. However loss of US9 expression failed to rescue MR1 expression (Figure 3G), suggesting that additional functions reside in the HCMV genome to potentially target MR1. This is unsurprising given that HCMV often encodes multiple gene functions to target the same pathway e.g. the downregulation of MHC I on infected cells can be attributed to the actions of multiple HCMV genes that either target it for degradation (e.g. US2, US11 (65-67)), interfere with peptide loading (e.g. US6 (68-70)) or disrupt trafficking from the ER to cell surface (e.g. US3 (66)) (reviewed in (71)). Indeed, although US9 is capable of reducing MICA\*008 surface expression in isolation, a HCMV deletion mutant lacking US9 is still capable of reducing MICA\*008 surface levels (48) consistent with the recent definition of pUL147A as an additional HCMV encoded immunevasin targeting MICA\*008 (47).

#### Productive HCMV infection inhibits expression of cell surface MR1 in the presence of *E.coli* derived MAIT cell activating MR1 ligand

While the synthetic ligand Ac-6-FP is useful to study the modulation of surface MR1 by HCMV infection because it stably upregulates MR1 (31, 72–74), it does not activate MAIT cells (39, 74). To assess the functional consequences of ligand bound MR1 regulation by HCMV infection the source of MR1 ligand was changed to partially fixed *E.coli* (a known driver of MAIT cell



HCMV gpUS9 regulates MRI expression. (A) HFS or (B) HeLa cells were intected at an MOI of 100 with RAD US9 or RAd Ctl, with 5 Ac-6-FP (AC) added to h prior to harvesting before staining for surface MRI, MHC I, MICA or isotype control at 48 h p.i. (C) Lysates harvested from Rad US9 or Rad US7 infected cells were immunoblotted with anti-V5 Ab (D) ARPE-19 MR1 cells were transduced with Rad US9 or Rad US7 as indicated, treated with 5  $\mu$  M Ac-6-FP 16 h prior to harvesting, before staining for surface MRI or MHC I Rad Ctl (blue) or RADUS9/US7 (red) or isotype staining (grey) are indicated. (E) The fold change in MRI, MHC I and GFP MFI +/- SEM compared to RAD Ctl are graphed (n = 3). \*\*p<0.01. (F) Lysates harvested from ARPE-19 MRI cells transduced with RAd Ctl or RAD US9 were immunoblotted for MR1, GFP, MHC I and GAPDH. (G) HFS were infected with HCMV or HCMV AUS9 at an MOI of 10, treated with 5  $\mu$ M Ac-6-FP 16 h prior to harvesting before staining for surface MRI, MHC I at 48 h.p.i., infected cells gated on MHC low cells.

activation), described previously (75). Primary HFs were infected with Merlin or AD169 strains at an MOI of 10 and harvested for analysis of surface MR1 expression by flow cytometry at 24 hpi and 48 hpi with the partially fixed *E.coli* added for the last 18 hours of the assay. Cells were also treated with UV-irradiated HCMV. UV irradiation damages viral DNA, generating virus particles capable of binding and entry but unable to initiate *de novo* gene expression or replicate allowing determination of the requirement for *de novo* viral gene expression in the regulation of MR1.

Treatment of HFs with E. coli for 18 hours resulted in upregulation of surface MR1 as expected (Figure 4A) (75). This expression of surface MR1 in response to E.coli was significantly inhibited in primary HFs infected with either Merlin or AD169 strains (Figure 4B). Interestingly, MR1 surface expression was enhanced on cells treated with UV-Merlin or UV-AD169 compared to mock treated cells (Figure 4B) consistent with the upregulation of MR1 seen in bystander cells (Figure 1). This demonstration of MR1 downregulation during productive HCMV in the presence of a biologically relevant source of MR1 ligand suggests that HCMVmediated MR1 downregulation may have functional consequences for microbe driven MAIT cell activation.

#### HCMV infection inhibits MAIT TCR activation

To determine whether a functional consequence of HCMV mediated suppression of MR1 was impaired activation of the MAIT TCR, HFs were mock infected or infected with HCMV Merlin or AD169 for 48 hours. These cells were then exposed to partially fixed E.coli for 4 hours, and then cocultured with Jurkat.MAIT cells. These cells are immortalized Jurkat T cells, which naturally lack the  $\alpha\beta$  TCR, that have been transduced to express a MAIT TCR (18, 39, 53). Jurkat.MAIT cells have been used previously to assess MR1dependent activation (18, 39, 49, 53). The activation profile of Jurkat.MAIT cells was then determined by flow cytometry using the activation marker CD69.

As determined by CD69 cell surface expression, exposure of Jurkat.MAIT cells to E. coli treated HFs resulted in significant activation (Figures 5A, B). However, when the HFs were infected with HCMV Merlin or AD169 strains prior to treatment with E.coli, they were significantly less able to activate Jurkat.MAIT cells than their mock infected, E. coli treated counterparts (Figures 5A, B). These data indicate that E. coli driven activation of Jurkat.MAIT cells is significantly reduced by both Merlin and AD169 strains of HCMV.

#### HCMV infection inhibits MR1-dependent activation of primary human blood-derived MAIT cells

To extend analyses of HCMV-mediated MR1 downregulation to primary cell activation, the ability of HCMV infection to impair activation of primary human PBMC-derived MAIT cells was assessed. HFs were mock infected or infected with HCMV (Merlin) for 48 hours before being exposed to partially fixed E. coli for 18 hours and then cocultured with PBMC for 5 hours. MAIT cells were identified by flow cytometry as being positive for cell-surface CD3, CD8, CD161 and the invariant TCR chain V $\alpha$ 7.2 (15, 76, 77), and their activation state was determined by co-staining for CD69. Figure 6A depicts this experimental approach. As MAIT cells can also be activated by multiple viral infections in an MR1-independent manner (predominantly by IL12 and IL18) (37, 78, 79), MR1 neutralising antibody or its isotype control were included in this assay to determine the degree of MR1-dependence.

When using median fluorescence intensity (MFI) to measure the degree of MAIT cell activation, there was no significant difference in the MAIT activating capacity of mock infected or HCMV infected primary HFs in the absence of E. coli (Figure 6B). However, E.coli treated, mock infected cells significantly activated primary MAIT cells



#### FIGURE 4

HCMV infection significantly inhibits E. coli-induced MR1 upregulation while UV-HCMV enhances it. (A) Primary HFs were treated with 1000 CFU/cell of partially fixed E. coli or left untreated for 18 h before being stained for analysis of cell surface MRI by flow cytometry. (B) Primary HFS were infected with HCMV (either Merlin or AD169) or treated with UV-HCMV (either Merlin or AD169) at an MOI of 10. 30 h.p.i cells were treated with 1000 CFU/cell of partially fixed E. coli then 18 later stained for analysis of cell surface MRI by flow cytometry. Infected cells are gated for as MHC I low. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA, n = 3 error bars represent SEM, p-value\* <0.05, \*\*< 0.01



#### FIGURE 5

HCMV inhibits E.coli-induced Jurkat.MAIT cell activation. (A) Primary HFs were mock infected or infected with HCMV strain MERI111 or HCMV strain AD169 at an MOI of 10. 48 h.p.i. cells were treated with 1000 CFU/cell of partially fixed *E.coli*. After 4 h the ligand source was washed off. After 1 h Jurkat.MAIT cells were added to the HFS in folate-free RPMI medium at a ratio of 2:1. Cells were cocultured overnight before the Jurkat.MAIT cells were harvested for analysis by flow cytometry with their activation assessed by surface CD69 expression. Error bars represent SEM, n = 3, \*p<0.05, two-way ANOVA, Dunnett's multiple comparisons to mock + *E.coli*. (B) Representative dot plots showing % Jurkat.MAIT CD69+. \*<0.01, p \*\*\*<0.001



#### FIGURE 6

HCMV infection inhibits *E. coli*-induced MRI-dependent primary MAIT cell activation. (A) Primary HFS were infected with HCMV strain Merlin at an MOI of 20, and treated with partially fixed *E. coli* before the addition of MRI neutralising antibody and coculture with whole PBMCs in folate free RPMI medium as depicted (A). Mock infected HFS were subjected to the same treatment and mock or infected HFS that had not been treated with partially fixed *E. coli* were analysed in parallel. Levels of surface CD69 on CD3+CD8+CD161+V $\alpha$ 7.2 MAIT cells were assessed by flow cytometry using MFI (B) and % CD69+ (C), representative dot plots shown in (D). Two-way ANOVA, n 3, error bars represent SEM. Sidak's multiple comparisons for MRI neutralising antibody vs. isotype, Tukey's multiple comparisons for mock vs HCMV vs mock + *E. coli* vs. HCMV + *E. coli* \* p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001.

and this activation was inhibited by MR1 neutralizing antibody (Figure 6B). HCMV infection also inhibited *E.coli* driven MAIT cell activation consistent with efficient targeting of MR1 surface expression by viral infection (Figure 6B). When % CD69+ was used to assess MAIT cell activation all significance was lost (Figures 6C, D), suggesting that in this assay set up both HCMV infection and the MR1 neutralizing antibody were affecting the degree of activation more significantly than the proportion of activated MAIT cells. Together, these results demonstrate impairment of bacterial-driven, MR1-dependent MAIT cell activation by HCMV infection.

#### Inhibition of primary MAIT cell activation by HCMV infection is comparable to knockout of MR1 expression

To further examine the ability of HCMV to inhibit MR1dependent MAIT cell activation, MR1 knockout fibroblasts were engineered using CRISPR/Cas-9 technology. The dual-vector lentivirus GeCKO system (58) was used to transduce human telomerase immortalized (hTERT) HFs first to express Cas-9 and then with a MR1 specific gRNA. A MR1 knockout Cas-9 hTERT HF clone was identified by screening for surface MR1 expression following treatment with MR1 ligand Ac-6-FP and loss of ability to activate Jurkat.MAIT cells following treatment with 5-OP-RU (Supplementary Figure 2).

The primary MAIT cell activation assay was then performed in parental Cas-9 hTERT HFs in parallel with MR1 knockout cells (MR1 KO hTERT HFs) as illustrated in Figure 7A. Flow cytometry assessment of surface CD69 revealed no significant difference in the MAIT activating capacity of mock infected or HCMV infected parental or MR1 KO cells HFs in the absence of E. coli (Figure 7B) consistent with the requirement of MR1 ligand to drive activation. However, E.coli treated, MR1-expressing parental cells, activated primary MAIT cells and this activation was significantly reduced in MR1 KO cells (Figure 7B). HCMV infection of parental cells also inhibited E.coli driven MAIT cell activation in parental cells (Figure 7B) consistent with efficient targeting of MR1 surface expression by viral infection. When % CD69+ was used to examine at the proportion of activated MAIT cells in this assay, the significant relationships observed with CD69 MFI were preserved (Figures 7C, D), suggesting that the MR1 neutralizing antibody used in Figure 6



#### FIGURE 7

The inhibition of primary MAIT cell activation during HCMV infection is comparable to that achieved by MRI knockout using CRISPR/Cas-9. (A) Parental Cas-9 hTERT HFS and a successful MR1 knockout clone 2 were infected with HCMV strain MER1111 at a MOI of 20. At 48 h.p.i cells were treated with partially fixed *E. coli* overnight. Cells were washed to remove the *E. coli* and whole PBMCs were added for 5 h in folate free RPMI medium. In parallel, cells were mock infected and/or not treated with *E. coli*. Levels of surface CD69 on CD3+CD8+CD161+Va7.2 MAIT cells were assessed by flow cytometry using MFI (B) and % CD69+ (C), representative dot plots shown in (D). n = 4. error bars represent SEM, two-way ANOVA, Sidak's multiple comparisons for MRI KO vs. parental hTERT HFS. Tukey's multiple comparisons for mock vs HCMV vs mock + *E. coli* vs. HCMV + *E. coli* \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001.

was insufficient to prevent MR1-dependent MAIT cell activation for the entire 5 h coculture. Together, these results confirm impairment of bacterial-driven, MR1-dependent MAIT cell activation by HCMV infection. Thus, HCMV infection suppresses MR1-dependent activation of primary MAIT cells.

## Discussion

This study builds upon our previous reports identifying that suppression of MR1 by viral infection is a feature of herpesvirus infection (49, 50). Here we define the regulation of MR1 expression by multiple HCMV strains. HCMV infection was capable of efficiently suppressing MR1 surface expression driven by either synthetic MR1 ligand or provided by treatment with bacteria having an intact vitamin B2 biosynthesis pathway. We identified a specific HCMV-encoded glycoprotein, gpUS9, that was capable of targeting the surface expression of MR1 and decreasing total MR1 protein. However, the generation and use of a US9 deletion mutant suggested that there are multiple MR1 targeting functions encoded by the virus, as this mutant virus still efficiently suppressed MR1 surface expression. The functional outcome of this MR1 regulation was tested using Jurkat.MAIT cells as well as primary PBMC derived MAIT cells. Using both MR1 neutralizing antibody and cells engineered to knockout MR1 expression we confirmed that HCMV could efficiently inhibit MAIT TCR dependent activation in an MR1-dependent fashion.

gpUS9 is a glycoprotein that is a member of the US6 gene family of HCMV. A number of functional activities have been previously ascribed to gpUS9 including regulation of type I interferon responses by targeting MAVS and STING (80), regulation of cell to cell spread in epithelial cells (81, 82) and most relevant to this study, targeting of a specific allele of the MHC-like protein, MICA\*008 (48, 64). Here we used a recombinant adenovirus vector to demonstrate that gpUS9 was capable of inhibiting MR1 but not MHC I surface expression. We also confirmed the targeting of MICA\*008 by gpUS9. gpUS9 expression in isolation lead to a specific reduction in total MR1, using both untagged and GFP tagged MR1 mirroring the reduction in MR1 levels demonstrated following HCMV infection. A HCMV US9 deletion mutant based on the Merlin strain was generated however this virus was still capable of efficiently inhibiting MR1 expression. This is unsurprising given that HCMV encodes a number of functional proteins to target the same pathway e.g. MICA (44, 45, 47, 48), MHC I (71), FcyR activation (83). We predict that HCMV encodes additional gene product(s) to target MR1 that will be a focus of future studies.

gpUS9 targets MICA\*008 for proteasomal degradation with the N-terminal signal peptide recently implicated as playing a key role in the specific targeting of MICA\*008 (48, 64). Although we identified a reduction in total MR1 protein levels with gpUS9 expression the specific pathway leading to such regulation was not studied. Additional studies will focus on how gpUS9 regulates MR1 levels as well as identifying the importance of individual domains of the protein in controlling MR1 expression.

The best characterized role of MAIT cells to date in responding to viral infection is through virus induced, cytokine mediated activation of MAIT cells, independent of the MR1:TCR axis (24, 78, 79). Here we

have described the specific targeting of MR1 by HCMV. Therefore, in the absence of any known virally expressed/induced MR1 ligand, we tested the capacity of HCMV to regulate bacteria-driven MR1dependent MAIT cell activation. Using partially fixed *E. coli* as an activating stimulus, HCMV was capable of efficiently inhibiting MAIT cell activation using both primary MAIT cells and reporter Jurkat.MAIT cells. To confirm that such regulation was dependent on MR1, two approaches were tested, namely genetic ablation of MR1 by CRISPR/Cas-9 technology as well as MR1 neutralization by specific antibodies. Both approaches identified that HCMV suppression of MAIT TCR dependent activation was MR1 dependent.

In the context of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), where HCMV reactivation from latency is a frequent and often life-threatening complication in HSCT recipients, increased HCMV replication has been linked to development of acute and chronic graft vs. host disease (GVHD) (84, 85), and poor MAIT cell reconstitution in HSCT transplant recipients has been linked to the development of acute and severe GVHD (86-88). These findings suggest that there could be an association between HCMV reactivation post-HSCT and poor MAIT cell reconstitution. Indeed, we have reported that MAIT cell levels at the initial detection of HCMV reactivation in HSCT recipients are significantly lower in patients who subsequently go on to develop high-level reactivation requiring antiviral intervention, compared to those who go on to develop low-level, self-limiting reactivation (89). Additionally, HCMV infection in HSCT patients can be correlated with increased incidence of bacterial and fungal infections (90, 91), which may indicate suppression of MAIT cell function. While there is still more to be done in the context of natural HCMV infection and MAIT cells, these observations raise the possibility that HCMV may impact on, or be impacted by, the MAIT cell response during clinically significant infection and/or co-infection.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

CA, BM, and RM performed experiments. CA, BM, HM, RM, AA, and BS designed experiments and/or analyzed data. CA, BM, AA, and BS wrote the manuscript. HM, RS, CF, DF, JM, JV, and JR provided reagents and/or key planning. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

CA was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. We acknowledge grant support from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia: 198704 (AA and BS), 2003192 (HM), 1100737 (RM), and 1113293 and 1154502 (JV), the US National Institutes of Health RO1 R01AI148407 (JM, JR, JV, and DF), NHMRC Leadership Investigator grants 2009551 (DF) and 2008913 (JR), Australian Research Council (ARC) CE200100012 (DF) and DP170102471 (JV), Wellcome Trust 204870/Z/16/Z (RS) and UK Medical Research Council (MRC) MR/S00971X/1 (RS).

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Jeff Mak (Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland) for ligand 5OP-RU, and members of the Herpesvirus Pathogenesis and Viral Immunology research groups (School of Medical Sciences, The University of Sydney) for helpful discussions.

## References

1. Seale H, MacIntyre CR, Gidding HF, Backhouse JL, Dwyer DE, Gilbert L. National serosurvey of cytomegalovirus in Australia. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* (2006) 13(11):1181–4. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00203-06

2. Cannon MJ, Schmid DS, Hyde TB. Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and demographic characteristics associated with infection. *Rev Med Virol* (2010) 20(4):202–13. doi: 10.1002/rmv.655

3. Gorzer I, Guelly C, Trajanoski S, Puchhammer-Stockl E. Deep sequencing reveals highly complex dynamics of human cytomegalovirus genotypes in transplant patients over time. *J Virol* (2010) 84(14):7195–203. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00475-10

4. Hage E, Wilkie GS, Linnenweber-Held S, Dhingra A, Suarez NM, Schmidt JJ, et al. Characterization of human cytomegalovirus genome diversity in immunocompromised hosts by whole-genome sequencing directly from clinical specimens. *J Infect Dis* (2017) 215(11):1673–83. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix157

5. Demmler GJ. Infectious diseases society of America and centers for disease control. summary of a workshop on surveillance for congenital cytomegalovirus disease. *Rev Infect Dis* (1991) 13(2):315–29. doi: 101093/clinids/132315

6. Tookey PA, Ades AE, Peckham CS. Cytomegalovirus prevalence in pregnant women: the influence of parity. Arch Dis Child (1992) 67(7 Spec No):779-83. doi: 10.1136/adc.67.7\_Spec\_No.779

7. Brodin P, Jojic V, Gao T, Bhattacharya S, Angel CJ, Furman D, et al. Variation in the human immune system is largely driven by non-heritable influences. *Cell* (2015) 160(1-2):37–47. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.020

8. Patin E, Hasan M, Bergstedt J, Rouilly V, Libri V, Urrutia A, et al. Natural variation in the parameters of innate immune cells is preferentially driven by genetic factors. *Nat Immunol* (2018) 19(3):302–14. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0049-7

9. Cannon MJ. Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) epidemiology and awareness. J Clin Virol (2009) 46 Suppl 4:S6-10. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2009.09.002

10. Boppana SB, Rivera LB, Fowler KB, Mach M, Britt WJ. Intrauterine transmission of cytomegalovirus to infants of women with preconceptional immunity. *N Engl J Med* (2001) 344(18):1366–71. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200105033441804

 Meier J, Lienicke U, Tschirch E, Kruger DH, Wauer RR, Prosch S. Human cytomegalovirus reactivation during lactation and mother-to-child transmission in preterm infants. J Clin Microbiol (2005) 43(3):1318–24. doi: 10.1128/JCM.43.3.1318-1324.2005

12. Stern L, Withers B, Avdic S, Gottlieb D, Abendroth A, Blyth E, et al. Human cytomegalovirus latency and reactivation in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Front Microbiol* (2019) 10(1186):1186. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01186

13. Kowalsky S, Arnon R, Posada R. Prevention of cytomegalovirus following solid organ transplantation: a literature review. *Pediatr Transplant* (2013) 17(6):499–509. doi: 10.1111/petr.12118

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1107497/full#supplementary-material

14. Ramanan P, Razonable RR. Cytomegalovirus infections in solid organ transplantation: a review. *Infect Chemother* (2013) 45(3):260-71. doi: 10.3947/ ic.2013.45.3.260

15. Tilloy F, Treiner E, Park SH, Garcia C, Lemonnier F, de la Salle H, et al. An invariant T cell receptor alpha chain defines a novel TAP-independent major histocompatibility complex class ib-restricted alpha/beta T cell subpopulation in mammals. *J Exp Med* (1999) 189(12):1907–21. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.12.1907

16. Gold MC, McLaren JE, Reistetter JA, Smyk-Pearson S, Ladell K, Swarbrick GM, et al. MR1-restricted MAIT cells display ligand discrimination and pathogen selectivity through distinct T cell receptor usage. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211(8):1601–10. doi: 10.1084/ jem.20140507

17. Lepore M, Kalinichenko A, Colone A, Paleja B, Singhal A, Tschumi A, et al. Parallel T-cell cloning and deep sequencing of human MAIT cells reveal stable oligoclonal TCRbeta repertoire. *Nat Commun* (2014) 5:3866. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4866

18. Reantragoon R, Kjer-Nielsen L, Patel O, Chen Z, Illing PT, Bhati M, et al. Structural insight into MR1-mediated recognition of the mucosal associated invariant T cell receptor. J Exp Med (2012) 209(4):761–74. doi: 10.1084/jem.20112095

19. Reantragoon R, Corbett AJ, Sakala IG, Gherardin NA, Furness JB, Chen Z, et al. Antigen-loaded MR1 tetramers define T cell receptor heterogeneity in mucosal-associated invariant T cells. *J Exp Med* (2013) 210(11):2305–20. doi: 10.1084/jem.20130958

20. Sobkowiak MJ, Davanian H, Heymann R, Gibbs A, Emgard J, Dias J, et al. Tissueresident MAIT cell populations in human oral mucosa exhibit an activated profile and produce IL-17. *Eur J Immunol* (2019) 49(1):133–43. doi: 10.1002/eji.201847759

21. Gibbs A, Leeansyah E, Introini A, Paquin-Proulx D, Hasselrot K, Andersson E, et al. MAIT cells reside in the female genital mucosa and are biased towards IL-17 and IL-22 production in response to bacterial stimulation. *Mucosal Immunol* (2017) 10(1):35–45. doi: 10.1038/mi.2016.30

22. Zumwalde NA, Haag JD, Gould MN, Gumperz JE. Mucosal associated invariant T cells from human breast ducts mediate a Th17-skewed response to bacterially exposed breast carcinoma cells. *Breast Cancer Res* (2018) 20(1):111. doi: 10.1186/s13058-018-1036-5

23. Treiner E, Duban L, Bahram S, Radosavljevic M, Wanner V, Tilloy F, et al. Selection of evolutionarily conserved mucosal-associated invariant T cells by MR1. *Nature* (2003) 422(6928):164–9. doi: 10.1038/nature01433

24. Ussher JE, Bilton M, Attwod E, Shadwell J, Richardson R, de Lara C, et al. CD161+ + CD8+ T cells, including the MAIT cell subset, are specifically activated by IL-12+IL-18 in a TCR-independent manner. *Eur J Immunol* (2014) 44(1):195–203. doi: 10.1002/ eji.201343509

25. Jahreis S, Bottcher S, Hartung S, Rachow T, Rummler S, Dietl AM, et al. Human MAIT cells are rapidly activated by aspergillus spp. *in an APC-dependent manner. Eur J Immunol* (2018) 48(10):1698–706. doi: 101002/eji201747312

26. Le Bourhis L, Martin E, Peguillet I, Guihot A, Froux N, Core M, et al. Antimicrobial activity of mucosal-associated invariant T cells. *Nat Immunol* (2010) 11 (8):701–8. doi: 10.1038/ni.1890

27. Chua WJ, Truscott SM, Eickhoff CS, Blazevic A, Hoft DF, Hansen TH. Polyclonal mucosa-associated invariant T cells have unique innate functions in bacterial infection. *Infect Immun* (2012) 80(9):3256–67. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00279-12

28. Dias J, Sobkowiak MJ, Sandberg JK, Leeansyah E. Human MAIT-cell responses to escherichia coli: activation, cytokine production, proliferation, and cytotoxicity. *J Leukoc Biol* (2016) 100(1):233–40. doi: 10.1189/jlb.4TA0815-391RR

29. Ghazarian L, Caillat-Zucman S, Houdouin V. Mucosal-associated invariant T cell interactions with commensal and pathogenic bacteria: Potential role in antimicrobial immunity in the child. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1837. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01837

30. Gold MC, Cerri S, Smyk-Pearson S, Cansler ME, Vogt TM, Delepine J, et al. Human mucosal associated invariant T cells detect bacterially infected cells. *PloS Biol* (2010) 8(6):e1000407. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000407

31. Jeffery HC, Wilgenburg van B, Kurioka A, Parekh K, Stirling K, Roberts S, et al. Biliary epithelium and liver b cells exposed to bacteria activate intrahepatic MAIT cells through MR1. *J Hepatol* (2016) 64(5):1118–27. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.12.017

32. Kurioka A, Ussher JE, Cosgrove C, Clough C, Fergusson JR, Smith K, et al. MAIT cells are licensed through granzyme exchange to kill bacterially sensitized targets. *Mucosal Immunol* (2015) 8(2):429–40. doi: 10.1038/mi.2014.81

33. Le Bourhis L, Dusseaux M, Bohineust A, Bessoles S, Martin E, Premel V, et al. MAIT cells detect and efficiently lyse bacterially-infected epithelial cells. *PloS Pathog* (2013) 9(10):e1003681. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003681

34. Meierovics A, Yankelevich WJ, Cowley SC. MAIT cells are critical for optimal mucosal immune responses during in vivo pulmonary bacterial infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2013) 110(33):E3119–28. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1302799110

35. Bolte FJ, O'Keefe AC, Webb LM, Serti E, Rivera E, Liang TJ, et al. Intra-hepatic depletion of mucosal-associated invariant T cells in hepatitis c virus-induced liver inflammation. *Gastroenterology* (2017) 153(5):1392–1403 e2. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.043

36. Hengst J, Strunz B, Deterding K, Ljunggren HG, Leeansyah E, Manns MP, et al. Nonreversible MAIT cell-dysfunction in chronic hepatitis c virus infection despite successful interferon-free therapy. *Eur J Immunol* (2016) 46(9):2204–10. doi: 10.1002/eji.201646447

37. Loh L, Wang Z, Sant S, Koutsakos M, Jegaskanda S, Corbett AJ, et al. Human mucosal-associated invariant T cells contribute to antiviral influenza immunity via IL-18-dependent activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2016) 113(36):10133–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1610750113

38. Saeidi A, Tien Tien VL, Al-Batran R, Al-Darraji HA, Tan HY, Yong YK, et al. Attrition of TCR Valpha7.2+ CD161++ MAIT cells in HIV-tuberculosis co-infection is associated with elevated levels of PD-1 expression. *PloS One* (2015) 10(4):e0124659. doi: 101371/journalpone0124659

39. Kjer-Nielsen L, Patel O, Corbett AJ, Nours Le J, Meehan B, Liu L, et al. MR1 presents microbial vitamin b metabolites to MAIT cells. *Nature* (2012) 491(7426):717–23. doi: 10.1038/nature11605

40. Wang EC, McSharry B, Retiere C, Tomasec P, Williams S, Borysiewicz LK, et al. UL40-mediated NK evasion during productive infection with human cytomegalovirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2002) 99(11):7570–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.112680099

41. Tomasec P, Braud VM, Rickards C, Powell MB, McSharry BP, Gadola S, et al. Surface expression of HLA-e, an inhibitor of natural killer cells, enhanced by human cytomegalovirus gpUL40. *Science* (2000) 287(5455):1031. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5455.1031

42. Park B, Spooner E, Houser BL, Strominger JL, Ploegh HL. The HCMV membrane glycoprotein US10 selectively targets HLA-G for degradation. *J Exp Med* (2010) 207 (9):2033–41. doi: 10.1084/jem.20091793

43. Jun Y, Kim E, Jin M, Sung HC, Han H, Geraghty DE, et al. Human cytomegalovirus gene products US3 and US6 down-regulate trophoblast class I MHC molecules. J Immunol (2000) 164(2):805–11. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.164.2.805

44. Fielding CA, Aicheler R, Stanton RJ, Wang EC, Han S, Seirafian S, et al. Two novel human cytomegalovirus NK cell evasion functions target MICA for lysosomal degradation. *PloS Pathog* (2014) 10(5):e1004058. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004058

45. Dassa L, Seidel E, Oiknine-Djian E, Yamin R, Wolf DG, Le-Trilling VTK, et al. The human cytomegalovirus protein UL148A downregulates the NK cell-activating ligand MICA to avoid NK cell attack. *J Virol* (2018) 92(17):e00162–18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00162-18

46. Ashiru O, Bennett NJ, Boyle LH, Thomas M, Trowsdale J, Wills MR. NKG2D ligand MICA is retained in the cis-golgi apparatus by human cytomegalovirus protein UL142. *Journal of Virology* (2009) 83(23):12345–54. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01175-09

47. Seidel E, Dassa I, Schuler C, Oiknine-Djian E, Wolf DG, Le-Trilling VTK, et al. The human cytomegalovirus protein UL147A downregulates the most prevalent MICA allele: MICA\*008, to evade NK cell-mediated killing. *PloS Pathog* (2021) 17(5):e1008807. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008807

48. Seidel E, Le VTK, Bar-On Y, Tsukerman P, Enk J, Yamin R, et al. Dynamic Coevolution of host and pathogen: HCMV downregulates the prevalent allele MICA \*008 to escape elimination by NK cells. *Cell Rep* (2015) 10(6):968–82. doi: 10.1016/ j.celrep.2015.01.029

49. McSharry BP, Samer C, McWilliam HEG, Ashley CL, Yee MB, Steain M, et al. Virus-mediated suppression of the antigen presentation molecule MR1. *Cell Rep* (2020) 30(9):2948–2962 e4. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.017

50. Purohit SK, Samer C, McWilliam HEG, Traves R, Steain M, McSharry BP, et al. Varicella zoster virus impairs expression of the non-classical major histocompatibility complex class I-related gene protein (MR1). *J Infect Dis* (2021). doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiab526

51. McSharry BP, Burgert HG, Owen DP, Stanton RJ, Prod'homme V, Sester M, et al. Adenovirus E3/19K promotes evasion of NK cell recognition by intracellular sequestration of the NKG2D ligands major histocompatibility complex class I chainrelated proteins a and b. *J Virol* (2008) 82(9):4585–94. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02251-07

52. McSharry BP, Jones CJ, Skinner JW, Kipling D, Wilkinson GWG. Human telomerase reverse transcriptase-immortalized MRC-5 and HCA2 human fibroblasts are fully permissive for human cytomegalovirus. *J Gen Virol* (2001) 82(Pt 4):855–63. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-82-4-855

53. Gherardin NA, Keller AN, Woolley RE, Nours Le J, Ritchie DS, Neeson PJ, et al. Diversity of T cells restricted by the MHC class I-related molecule MR1 facilitates differential antigen recognition. *Immunity* (2016) 44(1):32–45. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.12.005

54. Stanton RJ, Baluchova K, Dargan DJ, Cunningham C, Sheehy O, Seirafian S, et al. Reconstruction of the complete human cytomegalovirus genome in a BAC reveals RL13 to be a potent inhibitor of replication. *J Clin Invest* (2010) 120(9):3191–208. doi: 10.1172/JCI42955

55. Bradley AJ, Lurain NS, Ghazal P, Trivedi U, Cunningham C, Baluchova K, et al. High-throughput sequence analysis of variants of human cytomegalovirus strains towne and AD169. *J Gen Virol* (2009) 90(Pt 10):2375-80. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.013250-0

56. Dias J, Leeansyah E, Sandberg JK. Multiple layers of heterogeneity and subset diversity in human MAIT cell responses to distinct microorganisms and to innate cytokines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2017) 114(27):E5434–43.

57. Stanton RJ, McSharry BP, Armstrong M, Tomasec P, Wilkinson GW. Reengineering adenovirus vector systems to enable high-throughput analyses of gene function. *Biotechniques* (2008) 45(6):659–62, 664-8. doi: 10.2144/000112993

58. Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. *Nat Methods* (2014) 11(8):783–4. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3047

59. Laugel B, Lloyd A, Meermeier EW, Crowther MD, Connor TR, Dolton G, et al. Engineering of isogenic cells deficient for MR1 with a CRISPR/Cas9 lentiviral system: Tools to study microbial antigen processing and presentation to human MR1-restricted T cells. *J Immunol* (2016) 197(3):971–82. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1501402

60. Steinmassl M, Hamprecht K. Double fluorescence analysis of human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infected human fibroblast cultures by flow cytometry: increase of class I MHC expression on uninfected cells and decrease on infected cells. *Arch Virol* (1994) 135(1-2):75–87. doi: 10.1007/BF01309766

61. Gyu Cheol L, Byung Hak S, Chan Hee L. Increase in the expression of human leukocyte antigen class I in human fibroblasts by soluble factors secreted from human cytomegalovirus-infected cells. *Mol Cells* (2001) 11(3):392–8.

62. McWilliam HE, Eckle SB, Theodossis A, Liu L, Chen Z, Wubben JM, et al. The intracellular pathway for the presentation of vitamin b-related antigens by the antigen-presenting molecule MR1. *Nat Immunol* (2016) 17(5):531–7. doi: 10.1038/ni.3416

63. Cristea IM, Williams R, Chait BT, Rout MP. Fluorescent proteins as proteomic probes. *Mol Cell Proteomics* (2005) 4(12):1933-41. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M500227-MCP200

64. Seidel E, Dassa L, Kahlon S, Tirosh B, Halenius A, Malkinson Seidel T, et al. A slowly cleaved viral signal peptide acts as a protein-integral immune evasion domain. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):2061. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21983-x

65. Wiertz EJ, Jones TR, Sun L, Bogyo M, Geuze HJ, Ploegh HL. The human cytomegalovirus US11 gene product dislocates MHC class I heavy chains from the endoplasmic reticulum to the cytosol. *Cell* (1996) 84(5):769–79. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674 (00)81054-5

66. Jones TR, Wiertz EJ, Sun L, Fish KN, Nelson JA, Ploegh HL. Human cytomegalovirus US3 impairs transport and maturation of major histocompatibility complex class I heavy chains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1996) 93(21):11327–33. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.21.11327

67. Jones TR, Hanson LK, Sun L, Slater JS, Stenberg RM, Campbell AE. Multiple independent loci within the human cytomegalovirus unique short region down-regulate expression of major histocompatibility complex class I heavy chains. *J Virol* (1995) 69 (8):4830–41. doi: 10.1128/jvi.69.8.4830-4841.1995

68. Lehner PJ, Karttunen JT, Wilkinson GW, Cresswell P. The human cytomegalovirus US6 glycoprotein inhibits transporter associated with antigen processing-dependent peptide translocation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1997) 94 (13):6904–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.13.6904

69. Ahn K, Gruhler A, Galocha B, Jones TR, Wiertz EJ, Ploegh HL, et al. The ERluminal domain of the HCMV glycoprotein US6 inhibits peptide translocation by TAP. *Immunity* (1997) 6(5):613–21. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80349-0

70. Hengel H, Koopmann JO, Flohr T, Muranyi W, Goulmy E, Hammerling GJ, et al. A viral ER-resident glycoprotein inactivates the MHC-encoded peptide transporter. *Immunity* (1997) 6(5):623–32. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80350-7

71. Halenius A, Gerke C, Hengel H. Classical and non-classical MHC I molecule manipulation by human cytomegalovirus: so many targets-but how many arrows in the quiver? *Cell Mol Immunol* (2015) 12(2):139–53. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2014.105

72. Corbett AJ, Eckle SB, Birkinshaw RW, Liu L, Patel O, Mahony J, et al. T-Cell activation by transitory neo-antigens derived from distinct microbial pathways. *Nature* (2014) 509(7500):361–5. doi: 10.1038/nature13160

73. Mak JYW, Xu W, Reid RC, Corbett AJ, Meehan BS, Wang H, et al. Stabilizing short-lived schiff base derivatives of 5-aminouracils that activate mucosal-associated invariant T cells. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8(1):14599. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14599

74. Eckle SB, Birkinshaw RW, Kostenko L, Corbett AJ, McWilliam HE, Reantragoon R, et al. A molecular basis underpinning the T cell receptor heterogeneity of mucosalassociated invariant T cells. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211(8):1585–600. doi: 10.1084/ jem.20140484

75. Ussher JE, van Wilgenburg B, Hannaway RF, Ruustal K, Phalora P, Kurioka A, et al. TLR signaling in human antigen-presenting cells regulates MR1-dependent activation of MAIT cells. *Eur J Immunol* (2016) 46(7):1600–14. doi: 10.1002/eji.201545969

76. Dusseaux M, Martin E, Serriari N, Peguillet I, Premel V, Louis D, et al. Human MAIT cells are xenobiotic-resistant, tissue-targeted, CD161hi IL-17-secreting T cells. *Blood* (2011) 117(4):1250–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-08-303339

77. Martin E, Treiner E, Duban L, Guerri L, Laude H, Toly C, et al. Stepwise development of MAIT cells in mouse and human. *PloS Biol* (2009) 7(3):e54. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000054

78. Paquin-Proulx D, Avelino-Silva VI, Santos BAN, Silveira Barsotti N, Siroma F, Fernandes Ramos J, et al. MAIT cells are activated in acute dengue virus infection and after in vitro zika virus infection. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2018) 12(1):e0006154. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006154

79. Phetsouphanh C, Phalora P, Hackstein CP, Thornhill J, Munier CML, Meyerowitz J, et al. Human MAIT cells respond to and suppress HIV-1. *Elife* (2021) 10. doi: 107554/ eLife50324

80. Choi HJ, Park A, Kang S, Lee E, Lee TA, Ra EA, et al. Human cytomegalovirusencoded US9 targets MAVS and STING signaling to evade type I interferon immune responses. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):125. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02624-8

81. Maidji E, Tugizov S, Jones T, Zheng Z, Pereira L. Accessory human cytomegalovirus glycoprotein US9 in the unique short component of the viral genome promotes cell-to-cell transmission of virus in polarized epithelial cells. *J Virol* (1996) 70 (12):8402–10. doi: 10.1128/jvi.70.12.8402-8410.1996

82. Pereira L, Maidji E, Tugizov S, Jones T. Deletion mutants in human cytomegalovirus glycoprotein US9 are impaired in cell-cell transmission and in altering tight junctions of polarized human retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Scand J Infect Dis Suppl* (1995) 99:82–7.

83. Kolb P, Hoffmann K, Sievert A, Reinhard H, Merce-Maldonado E, Le-Trilling VTK, et al. Human cytomegalovirus antagonizes activation of fcgamma receptors by distinct and synergizing modes of IgG manipulation. *Elife* (2021) 10. doi: 10.7554/ eLife63877

84. Cantoni N, Hirsch HH, Khanna N, Gerull S, Buser A, Bucher C, et al. Evidence for a bidirectional relationship between cytomegalovirus replication and acute graft-versushost disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* (2010) 16(9):1309–14. doi: 10.1016/ j.bbmt.2010.03.020

85. Lonnqvist B, Ringden O, Wahren B, Gahrton G, Lundgren G. Cytomegalovirus infection associated with and preceding chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Transplantation* (1984) 38(5):465-8. doi: 10.1097/00007890-198411000-00004

86. Kawaguchi K, Umeda K, Hiejima E, Iwai A, Mikami M, Nodomi S, et al. Influence of post-transplant mucosal-associated invariant T cell recovery on the development of acute graft-versus-host disease in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Int J Hematol* (2018) 108(1):66–75. doi: 10.1007/s12185-018-2442-2

87. Bhattacharyya A, Hanafi LA, Sheih A, Golob JL, Srinivasan S, Boeckh MJ, et al. Graft-derived reconstitution of mucosal-associated invariant T cells after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* (2018) 24(2):242–51. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2017.10.003

88. Mengge G, Hong Y, Sun Y, Kong J, Yan C, Wang Z, et al. The low number of mucosal-associated invariant T cells in the graft was associated with occurrence of gut graft-Versus-Host disease. *Blood* (2019) 134(1):2001–1. doi: 10.1182/blood-2019-127722

89. Stern L, McGuire HM, Avdic S, Fazekas de St Groth B, Gottlieb D, Abendroth A, et al. Immunoprofiling reveals cell subsets associated with the trajectory of cytomegalovirus reactivation post stem cell transplantation. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13 (1):2603. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29943-9

90. Nichols WG, Corey L, Gooley T, Davis C, Boeckh M. High risk of death due to bacterial and fungal infection among cytomegalovirus (CMV)-seronegative recipients of stem cell transplants from seropositive donors: Evidence for indirect effects of primary CMV infection. J Infect Dis (2002) 185(3):273–82. doi: 10.1086/338624

91. Yong MK, Ananda-Rajah M, Cameron PU, Morrissey CO, Spencer A, Ritchie D, et al. Cytomegalovirus reactivation is associated with increased risk of late-onset invasive fungal disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A multicenter study in the current era of viral load monitoring. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* (2017) 23 (11):1961–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2017.07.025