Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wei Wang, Wenzhou University, China

REVIEWED BY Dai Jun, Guangxi University, China Liang Cao, Jilin University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hua-Ji Qiu I qiuhuaji@caas.cn Su Li I isu@caas.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 25 May 2023 ACCEPTED 07 July 2023 PUBLISHED 25 July 2023

CITATION

Li J, Wang Y, Deng H, Li S and Qiu H-J (2023) Cellular metabolism hijacked by viruses for immunoevasion: potential antiviral targets. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1228811. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1228811

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li, Wang, Deng, Li and Qiu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Cellular metabolism hijacked by viruses for immunoevasion: potential antiviral targets

Jiaqi Li⁺, Yanjin Wang⁺, Hao Deng, Su Li^{*} and Hua-Ji Qiu^{*}

State Key Laboratory for Animal Disease Control and Prevention, National African Swine Fever Parareference Laboratory, National High Containment Facilities for Animal Diseases Control and Prevention, Harbin Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, China

Cellular metabolism plays a central role in the regulation of both innate and adaptive immunity. Immune cells utilize metabolic pathways to modulate the cellular differentiation or death. The intricate interplay between metabolism and immune response is critical for maintaining homeostasis and effective antiviral activities. In recent years, immunometabolism induced by viral infections has been extensively investigated, and accumulating evidence has indicated that cellular metabolism can be hijacked to facilitate viral replication. Generally, virusinduced changes in cellular metabolism lead to the reprogramming of metabolites and metabolic enzymes in different pathways (glucose, lipid, and amino acid metabolism). Metabolic reprogramming affects the function of immune cells, regulates the expression of immune molecules and determines cell fate. Therefore, it is important to explore the effector molecules with immunomodulatory properties, including metabolites, metabolic enzymes, and other immunometabolism-related molecules as the antivirals. This review summarizes the relevant advances in the field of metabolic reprogramming induced by viral infections, providing novel insights for the development of antivirals.

KEYWORDS

immunometabolism, innate immunity, adaptive immunity, immunoevasion, metabolic reprogramming

1 Introduction

Immunometabolism is involved in various disorders, including viral infections, cancers, and autoimmune diseases (1-4). Changes in the cellular microenvironment (e.g., hypoxia or pathogen invasion) result in the differences of energy requirements for cell proliferation and survival (5). Notably, viral infections usually induce the changes of cellular metabolism, which provide essential materials for the virus life cycle, including viral replication and progeny virus production (6–8). Additionally, cellular metabolic changes can alter the cellular immune responses to modulate viral replication (6).

Cellular metabolism is also indispensable for the functions of immune cells, including lymphocytes, macrophages and neutrophils. For example, glutamine is an essential nutrient for the proliferation of lymphocytes, and is also required for the immunoregulatory activities of cells (9). Interestingly, immune cells exhibit different patterns of energy metabolism in the activated and resting states (Table 1). Metabolic pathways are usually associated with signal transduction and the differentiation of immune cells, and different immune cell subpopulations utilize different metabolic programs depending on their state and environment. It is generally accepted that metabolic signaling determines the cell fate (21). Reportedly, inhibition of phosphoglyceraldehyde dehydrogenase (PHGDH)-mediated serine metabolism can enhance the antiviral activities of macrophages, which is due to the activation of the TBK1-IRF3 signaling pathway by the downregulation of the PHGDH-mediated ATP6V0d2 (22), indicating that PHGDH could act as a potential antiviral target in macrophages. Altogether, the interplay between viral infections and immune cell metabolism is a complex and rapidly evolving field of research.

Viruses depend entirely on cellular metabolism for the energy and nutrients for replication. In contrast, cellular metabolites, metabolic regulators, and metabolic enzymes involved in cellular metabolism including glucose, lipids, amino acids and nucleotide metabolism, exert antiviral activities by regulating the host immune responses (6, 23). However, these metabolic processes can be hijacked by viruses to maintain the energetic and synthetic requirements of viral progeny. Some viruses mainly activate core catabolic processes (e.g., glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle) to maintain the energy, while others mainly modulate anabolic and biosynthetic processes (e.g., nucleotide, fatty acid and amino acid synthesis) to maintain the synthetic requirements (24). More specifically, different viruses lead to multiple alterations in cellular metabolites, metabolic regulators, and metabolic enzymes in different ways, which directly or indirectly affect cellular immune responses and regulate viral infections. Previous studies have shown that infection with coronavirus (25), herpes virus (26), or African 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1228811

swine fever virus (27) can induce alternations in immune cell metabolism. Therefore, elucidation of the effects of viruses on cellular metabolites, metabolism-related molecules and metabolic enzymes will facilitate the development of novel antiviral strategies (28).

2 Interactions between glucose metabolism signaling and viral immunoevasion

Glucose is the most important energy source and the main nutrient involved in cellular metabolism (29). Cellular respiration is divided into three steps: the Embden-Meyerhof pathway (EMP), the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). The intermediate product of EMP, 6-phosphofructose, is involved in the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway (HBP), providing uridine 5'-diphosphate *N*-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc) for target protein modifications, and is also involved in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)mediated glucose and glutamate metabolism (30).

Several viruses can modulate the signaling processes involved in glucose metabolism in immune cells by hijacking cellular metabolites, metabolic regulators, and metabolic enzymes, such as glucose transporter protein (GLUT1), hexokinase 2 (HK2), and lactate (Figure 1). This modulation leads to a shift in energy metabolism from OXPHOS to glycolysis, which provides a substrate for the production of several biomolecules, including TCA cycle intermediates required for fatty acid anabolism and ribose phosphate pyrophosphate (PRPP) or NADPH for a base material of nucleotide anabolism to facilitate viral replication (31). Reportedly, human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) infection can promote the biosynthesis of glycolysis, metabolic enzymes, and pyrimidine nucleotides, which facilitates viral replication (32). The changes in metabolic signaling induced by viral infections require further investigation, which may guide the development of antiviral strategies.

FABLE 1 The regulat	on of different	t cellular metabolism	pathways.
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------

Cell types	Major energy metabolic pathways	Mediators	References
M1 macrophages	Glycolysis	HIF-1 <i>α</i> , IRF3, and IRF5	(10)
Neutrophils	Glycolysis and glutaminolysis	HIF-1 α	(11)
M2 macrophages	Oxidative phosphorylation and fatty acid oxidation	ΡΡΑRγ	(12)
Näive T cells	Oxidative phosphorylation	HIF-1 α	(13)
Memory T cells	Oxidative phosphorylation and fatty acid oxidation	АМРК	(14–16)
Effector T cells	Oxidative phosphorylation, glycolysis, and amino acid metabolism (arginine, tryptophan, serine, leucine, glutamine, and cysteine)	c-Myc and PI3K/Akt/ mTORC	(17)
Effector B cells	Oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis	HIF-1α	(18)
NK cells	Glycolysis	SREBP and c-Myc	(19, 20)



FIGURE 1

The crosstalk between glucose metabolism and innate immunity pathways. Glucose enters the cell and produces pyruvate, which is converted to lactate under hypoxic conditions. When high concentrations of fructose 6-phosphate are produced, the remaining fructose 6-phosphate enters the HBP pathway to produce UDP-GlcNAC. Acetyl-CoA enters the tricarboxylic acid cycle in the presence of sufficient oxygen, and is oxidized to produce citrate, eventually generating carbon dioxide and water, and releasing a large amount of energy. Glucose metabolism-related GLUT1, HK, LADH, OGT, HIF-1 α , lactate, citrate, and succinate play important roles in inflammation, viral infection, and immunity (red lines indicate activation, blue lines indicate inhibition). 3-PG, 3-phosphoglycerate; 1,3-BPG, 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate; 2-PG, 2-phosphoglogreate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; pYR, pyruvate; G3P, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate; HK, hexokinase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; HBP, hexosamine pathway; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway. The red circle represents increased glucose intake. The figure was created by the BioRender software.

2.1 The involvement of the metabolic reprogramming of enzymes in the glucose metabolism pathway and viral immunoevasion

Upregulation of glycolysis-associated GLUT and enzyme expression drives the proliferation and activation of T cells and the secretion of cytokines such as IL-2. GLUT and HK2 are vital enzymes in the glycolytic process (33). Glycolytic reprogramming involves increased expression of glucose transporter proteins, particularly GLUT1, which increases glucose uptake and allows immune cells to compete for glucose in a nutrient-restricted environment, and increased glucose uptake usually promotes viral replication (34, 35). The CD4⁺ T cells infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) show increased glucose and glutamine metabolism due to the high-level GLUT1, which enhances HIV-1 infection (36, 37). Importantly, blockade of GLUT1 signaling or siRNA-mediated GLUT1 downregulation significantly impairs the HIV-1 infection in human T cells (38).

Dengue virus (DENV) also induces the expression of GLUT1 and HK2 to promote glucose uptake and the downstream glycolytic processes to favor viral replication (39). In the rhinovirus (RV)-infected cells, viral replication is dependent on the availability of glucose and glutamine, which is affected by increasing glycogenolysis and upregulating GLUT1 expression (17). The envelope protein of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) hijacks GLUT1 to promote viral infection (40). Furthermore, the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) LMP1 protein can enhance transcription of GLUT1, which promotes aerobic glycolysis and the tumorigenic growth of NPC cells through the mTORC1/NF- κ B signaling (41). The elucidation of interplay between GLUT1 and viruses will provide a direction for the development of effective antiviral strategies.

HK2 is an important enzyme in the glycolytic pathway and a kinase that modifies the phosphorylation of proteins to regulate immune signaling pathways. HK2 can activate the NF- κ B signaling pathway by phosphorylating and degrading I κ B. It has been demonstrated that aberrantly activated aerobic glycolysis in tumor

cells promotes the segregation and binding of HK2 from mitochondria to cytoplasmic IkB, where HK2 acts as a protein kinase to phosphorylate IKB, leading to IKB degradation and NF-KB activation-dependent PD-L1 expression to escape tumor immunity (42) (Figure 1). Notably, the NF- κ B p65 plays a key role in the HBxinduced spontaneous hepatocellular carcinoma. The hepatitis B virus (HBV) x protein can activate the NF- κ B signaling via the p65 phosphorylation by HK2 to promote immunoevasion of the virus and enhance glycolysis, further activating PI3K/Akt signaling to increase hepatocyte proliferation (43). HK2 and lactate can suppress the activation of the retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS) pathway by inhibiting MAVS. HK2 and glycolysis-derived lactate also play important roles in the immunoevasion of HBV and the regulation of energy metabolism in innate immunity during HBV infection. A previous study showed that HBV isolates MAVS from RIG-I by forming a ternary complex including hexokinase (HK), which inhibits the RLR signaling pathway through LDHA-dependent lactate production (44). Influenza A virus (H1N1) utilizes HK2 and the pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) to enhance glycolysis and further promote viral replication (45). These studies highlight the importance of the HK enzyme in regulating viral infection through the regulation of innate immune signals, revealing the possibility of a potential antiviral target.

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is a crucial enzyme in glycolysis in inflammatory macrophages (M1 phenotype). Lactate dehydrogenase B (LDHB) can regulate the NF-KB signaling pathway through the mitochondrial autophagy pathway to facilitate viral replication. LDHB negatively regulates classical swine fever virus (CSFV) growth, whereas CSFV infection inhibits LDHB production and reconstructs the glycolytic metabolic pathway in immune cells by the NS3 protein (46, 47). Interestingly, LDHA expression restricts viral protein synthesis in the ARV-infected cells, whereas the avian reovirus (ARV) σA protein inhibits LDHA expression and upregulates HIF-1 α and glycolytic enzymes to promote glycolysis level, thereby favoring viral replication (48). Furthermore, LDH can increase interferon gamma (IFN- γ) expression by promoting histone acetylation (49). Reportedly, glucose consumption is restricted by the inhibition of LDH in CD4⁺ T cells, thus reducing the production of acetyl-CoA, which leads to insufficient histone acetylation modification and reduced IFN- γ production (49). However, the effect of LDH on histone acetylation during virus replication remains largely unknown, and requires further investigation.

Hexosamine, a key metabolite linking glucose metabolism and immunity, activates inflammasomes, innate immune signaling pathways and autophagy. *N*-acetylglucosamine transferase (OGT) is a critical enzyme in the hexosamine pathway that mediates the glycosylation of target proteins with UDP-GlcNAc to regulate host antiviral immunity and influence viral infections. Hexosamine can induce activation of the inflammatory response and inflammatory cytokine expression through crosstalk between the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway and *O*-GlcNAc protein modification (50). OGT overexpression promotes *O*-GlcNAc modification of thioredoxin interacting protein (TxNIP) to facilitate the interaction between TxNIP and NLRP3, which promotes IL-1 β

production in HEK293T or INS1 832/13 cells (51). OGT is important for NLRP3 activation; however, its activation during viral infections remain largely unknown and requires further investigation. Alternatively, innate immunity can be activated by O-GlcNAc protein modification to regulate viral infection, such as influenza A virus (IAV) and Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (52). A previous study showed that supplementation with D-glucosamine increases O-GlcNAc modification of MAVS to inhibit viral replication, which protects mice against IAV or vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) challenge (52). During IAV infection, OGT mediates the O-GlcNAcylation of IFN regulatory factor 5 (IRF5) on serine-430, and IAV utilizes the hexosamine pathway to increase the expression of proinflammatory cytokines by stimulating IRF5 leading to in tissue injury (50). VSV infection can enhance HBP activity and O-GlcNAcylation of the downstream protein MAVS at S366, which leads to ubiquitination of the K63 link of MAVS to activate downstream antiviral signals in macrophages (53). Hexosamine can also activate the autophagy pathway to regulate viral infections, a catabolic process that is essential for maintaining cellular homeostasis. It has been shown that low-levels glucose cause decreases in UDP-GlcNAc levels and induce autophagy via the AMPK-Akt/mTOR signaling in the HBVinfected cells (54). Low-level hexosamine can promote HBV replication by inducing autophagosome formation and inhibiting autophagic degradation in vitro and in vivo (54, 55). In addition, low-dose glucosamine treatment promotes the replication of IAV, enterovirus 71 (EV 71), and VSV in vitro (55). O-GlcNAc modification can regulate iron death through iron autophagy and mitochondrial autophagy (56). SNAP-29 is one of the components of the soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE) complex that promotes autophagic vesicle maturation. A recent study revealed that OGT-mediated SNAP-29 O-GlcNAc modification in nematode-infected mammalian cells facilities the activation of autophagy, suggesting that OGT could indirectly promote the maturation of autophagic vesicles in cells (57) (Table 2).

2.2 The interplay of activation of metabolism-related molecules in the glucose metabolic pathway and viral immunoevasion

HIF-1 α regulates the gene transcription of key enzymes (GLUT1, PKM2, LDHA etc.) (Figure 1) in the glycolytic pathway, which is involved in the modulation of immune responses. Due to its major role in the regulation of glycolysis, HIF-1 α can be targeted and hijacked by viruses for viral infections. A recent study has shown that Newcastle disease virus (NDV) infection results in the inhibition of TCA cycle flux and an increase in EMP flux through stable expression of HIF-1 α , indicating a shift in energy metabolism from OXPHOS to EMP, which promotes the viral replication, indicating that NDV infection induces mitochondrial damage and hijacks cellular metabolism to promote a shift toward glycolysis to favor viral replication (58). Additionally, under hypoxic conditions, the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) vGPCR

Pathways involving OGT	Regulated proteins	Mechanisms	Responses to O-GlcNAcylation	Pathogens	References
Innate immune signaling pathways	IRF5	OGT mediates the O-GlcNAcylation of IRF5 on serine 430 to promote IRF5 activation	Promoting anti-viral response	IAV	(50, 52)
	MAVS	OGT-mediated O-GlcNAcylation of MAVS on S366 promotes its K63-linked ubiquitination to activate antiviral signals	Promoting anti-viral response	VSV	(52, 53)
Inflammatory response	NLRP3, TxNIP	TxNIP O-GlcNAcylation in INS1832/13 cells is modulated by OGT or OGA activity to activate NLRP3	Promoting IL-1 β production	Unknown	(51)
Autophagy	SNAP-29	OGT-mediated O-GlcNAcylation of SNAP-29 is on Ser 2, Ser 61, Thr 130, and Ser 153	Autophagy inhibition	C. elegans	(57)
	Akt and mTOR	Akt and mTOR undergo O-GlcNAcylation	antagonizing autophagosome- lysosome fusion and inhibiting viral replication	HBV	(55)

TABLE 2 The relationship between OGT and immunometabolish	The relationship between OGT and imm	unometabolisn
---	--------------------------------------	---------------

protein induces stable expression of the HIF-1 α protein, which leads to metabolic Warburg phenotypic changes associated with KSHV and promotes viral replication in the KSHV-infected PBMCs (18). SARS-CoV-2 infection triggers mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, which stabilizes HIF-1 α and promotes glycolysis, thereby contributing to SARS-CoV-2 infection and cytokine production in SARS-CoV-2-infected monocytes and macrophages (59, 60). Therefore, this broad-spectrum effect of HIF-1 α on viruses reveals its importance. Moreover, HIF-1 α regulates Th9 cell differentiation and exerts antitumor functions. TGF- β 1 and IL-4 promote naive CD4⁺ T cells to differentiate into immunoregulatory IL-9-producing helper T (Th9) cells and induce EGFR expression in the EGFR-HIF-1 α pathway. EGFR is activated upon binding to the ligand double-regulated protein (AREG), which triggers downstream signaling via HIF-1 α and activates the IL-9 and NOS2 promoters, facilitating IL-9 production (61). Notably, HIF-1 α is a transcriptional repressor of IRF5 and IRF3 (62), which inhibits the production of type I IFNs. For example, SARS-CoV-2 infection triggers an inflammatory cascade, leading to elevated HMGB1 levels that inhibit IRF5-mediated type I IFN production under hypoxic conditions, only through the NF- κ B signaling pathway to trigger monocytes to produce inflammatory cytokines. High mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) can activate NFκB, IRF3 and IRF5 to release proinflammatory cytokines and I-IFN under normoxia, but SARS-CoV-2 infection can enhance HIF-1 α expression, which inhibits IRF3 and IRF5 activity, leading to severe disease in COVID-19 patients (62). HIF-1 α is a key activator of inflammatory responses in the SARS-CoV-2-infected PBMCs. HIF- 1α expression is correlated with immune-inflammatory cytokine production. SARS-CoV-2-encoded ORF3a induces mitochondrial damage and mitochondrial-ROS production, thus promoting the stable expression of HIF-1 α and resulting in cytokine production to enhance the inflammatory response, which is also observed in the VSV or SV-1 infection (58). In particular, HIF-1 α is regulated by glycolytic metabolites and further stabilized by succinate and nitric oxide (NO) (61). α -Ketoglutarate (α -KG), a TCA cycle metabolite, is regulated by HIF-1 α in Th9 cells. α -KG negatively regulates the stability of HIF-1 α . Succinate increases the stability of HIF-1 α by impairing prolyl hydroxylase 2 (PHD2) activity, thereby enhancing the antitumor activities of Th9 cells and macrophages (61, 63). HIF-1 α can also promote programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression (64) to inhibit T-cell activation and promote immunoevasion in tumor cells. The high-risk human papilloma virus (HR-HPV) E1/E6 increases HIF-1 α levels in cervical cell lines, thereby enhancing the Warburg effect (65–67).

2.3 The crosstalk of the metabolic reprogramming of metabolites in the glucose metabolic pathway and viral immunoevasion

Lactate is an end product of the glycolytic pathway. Lactate can promote the conversion of macrophages to M2 macrophages by modifying histones and regulating polarization-related genes (68), lactate promotes the formation of immunosuppressive M2 macrophages via the induction of arginase differentiation after lactate uptake by macrophages. In addition to modifying histones, lactate regulates viral immunoevasion through innate immune signaling pathways. MAVS is the protein downstream of RIG-I and is involved in crosstalk between the antiviral immune signaling pathways and glycolytic metabolic pathways. Basically, MAVS links energy metabolism and innate immunity through the recognition of lactate (69). Inactivation of glycolysis is essential for the promotion of RLR-mediated type I IFN production. Upon activation of RLR signaling, activated RIG-I "traps" MAVS through the CARD domain to activate downstream molecules and produce IFNs. Then, the oligomerized MAVS dissociates from HK2, which results in a reduction of HK activity, which in turn leads to the inhibition of glycolysis (33). Remarkably, viral infections promote

glycolysis, and excess lactate inhibits MAVS and type I IFN production by binding to the transmembrane (TM) domain of MAVS directly and preventing its aggregation. The increased lactate levels in the ASFV-infected porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs) can inhibit IFN- β production via the RIG-I-MAVS signaling pathway, which can contribute to viral immunoevasion (70). HBV inhibits RLR signaling via the LDHA-dependent lactate production (44). Similarly, LDHA-dependent lactate promotes IAV replication by inhibiting the MAVS-dependent type I IFN response (71). However, LDHA-dependent lactate inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication (71). There exists interplay between lactate and innate immune responses, indicating that lactate could act as an antiviral target.

Itaconate, a derivative of the TCA cycle citrate, exhibits antiinflammatory effects and is closely associated with the cGAS-STING signaling pathway (9). A recent study showed that the cell-permeable derivative of itaconate (4-octyl-itaconate, 4-OI) inhibits IL-1 β transcription by activating the transcription factor Nrf2, which acts as a transcriptional repressor of IL-1 β (72). Inhibition of STING expression is observed during metabolic reprogramming of TLR signaling, and it can also be induced by the TCA cycle via the activation of Nrf2. 4-OI, a cell-permeable derivative of the metabolite oxalic acid, can activate Nrf2 to induce an IFN-independent antiviral program, thereby inhibiting viral replication and suppressing inflammatory responses induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection (72). Furthermore, it has been reported that treatment with Nrf2-inducing agents, such as 4-OI, can sufficiently reduce the STING-dependent type I IFN release in the SAVIderived fibroblasts, reducing the STING-associated inflammatory disease (9).

Succinate is a TCA cycle intermediate that contributes to the inflammatory response or apoptosis through stabilization of HIF- 1α expression or succinvlation of proteins (73). It has been shown that succinate can stabilize HIF-1 α expression and then induce the expression of IL-1 β (Figure 1). Succinate is an endogenous danger signal that stabilizes HIF-1 α , which specifically regulates the expression of IL-1 β and other HIF-1 α -dependent genes, leading to protein succinvlation and playing an important role in cell apoptosis or tumor proliferation (63, 74). Succinate promotes HIF-1 α stabilization by inhibiting PHD2 activity in macrophages. Succinate can induce the expression of IL-9, HIF-1 α and Th9related genes in Th9 cells, and the induction of Th9 cell differentiation by succinate may occur via inhibiting PHD2 and promoting HIF-1 α activity; therefore, succinate treatment enhances the antitumor activity of Th9 cells (61). Succinate is oxidized by succinate dehydrogenase to produce fumarate. Fumarate and its derivatives monomethyl fumarate (MMF) and dimethyl fumarate (DMF) are potent immunomodulators and antioxidants that can activate Nrf2 and modulate oxidative stress in cells, which can suppress HIV replication (75). The Nrf2 agonist DMF significantly inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication and the expression of inflammatory genes (72). This inhibitory effect has also been observed in infection with viruses, such as herpes simplex virus 1 and 2 (HSV-1 and -2), vaccinia virus (VACV), or Zika virus

(ZIKV), indicating that Nrf2 exhibits the extensive antiviral activities (72).

3 Interactions between lipid metabolism signaling and viral immunoevasion

Lipid is the general term for fats and lipids, such as triacylglycerols or triglycerides, but lipids also include phospholipids, glycolipids, cholesterol and its esters.

Fatty acid biosynthesis provides sufficient substrates for viral replication. There are two key metabolic enzymes involved in fatty acid biosynthesis, acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and fatty acid synthase (FASN). Notably, the expression of both FASN and ACC, is regulated by the sterol regulatory element binding protein 1 (SREBP1) family members 1a and 1c, which can regulate fatty acid synthesis (76, 77) (Figure 2).

Cholesterol is mainly localized in the cell membrane and also involved in the immunoevasion of viruses. In metabolically reprogrammed cells, cholesterol is thought to be a substance with several different biological functions, such as immunosuppression, viral replication (78), attachment (79), and entry (80).

The metabolism of cholesterol and fatty acids can be reprogrammed during viral infections, which regulates the host immune responses as well as viral replication. Therefore, understanding the potential mechanisms underlying metabolic reprogramming of cellular metabolites, metabolic regulators, and metabolic enzymes in the cholesterol and fatty acid metabolic pathways during viral infections can provide new insights into the development of therapeutic strategies for combating viral infections, such as ACC and SREBP.

3.1 The association of the fatty acid metabolic pathway and viral immunoevasion

The enzymes associated with the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway not only meet the requirements of the viral life cycle but also participate in the antiviral response. Reportedly, deletion of the fatty acid synthesis-related genes *SCD2* and *ACC* results in reduced production of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), leading to the activation of the cGAS-STING pathway-mediated interferonstimulated gene (ISG) expression due to the spontaneous production of IFN- α by CD4⁺ T cells, thereby increasing the antiviral activities of IAV (81). Furthermore, a negative feedback regulatory pathway between STING and FADS2/SCD1 fine-tunes the generation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) associated with inflammatory response, which in turn suppresses the STING-related inflammatory response (82).

The members of the SREBP family modulate the T-cell metabolism and the gene transcription of immune-associated molecules. CD8⁺ T cells with deficient SREBP activity are unable



to undergo metabolic reprogramming and blastogenesis, which results in the inability to generate a functional T-cell response (83). Furthermore, the SREBP1c isoform is involved in Th17 cell differentiation and binds directly to the IL-17 promoter to suppress the AhR-induced IL-17 expression in CD4⁺ T cells (84). In addition, the SREBP1a isoform is required for myeloid cells to exert proinflammatory effects, including the secretion of IL-1 β , which promotes the expression of NLRP1, a key component of the inflammasome (85). Notably, SREBP1a is required for macrophages to exert proinflammatory effects, including secretion of IL-1 β and the expression of NLRP1 (a key component of the inflammasome) (85) (Figure 2).

NF- κ B inhibition induced by the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma (PPAR- γ) activation is the major reason for virus hijacking of fatty acid metabolism to trigger immunoevasion (86). PPAR- γ activation inhibits HIV genomic LTR promoter activity and suppresses the NF- κ B response, thereby reducing NF- κ B occupancy of the LTR promoter in the infected cells and ultimately impairing HIV replication in macrophages (87). Although both the HIV and HCMV genomes contain elements responsive to NF- κ B, the major immediate early promoter (MIEP) contains two PPAR response elements (PPRE) in the HCMV-infected cells. In fact, PPAR- γ promotes viral replication through transactivation of the HCMV promoter MIEP by two PPREs in the HCMV-infected cells (88). The increased activity of PPAR- γ may promote both viral replication and host cell survival. Overexpression of PPAR- γ promotes IAV replication by inhibiting IFN signaling in alveolar macrophages (89).

3.2 The correlation of the cholesterol metabolic pathway and viral immunoevasion

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is linked to a variety of immune responses, including the suppression of T-cell proliferation, the modulation of macrophage function, lipid antigen transport to natural killer T cells, and the regulation of inflammation or oxidation. Proinflammatory cytokines induce the downregulation of ApoE in monocytes. However, TGF- β promotes the expression of ApoE. In addition, ApoE is required for lipoprotein transport. ApoE is known to promote the entry, assembly, and transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) (90–92). ApoE has been shown to modulate IAV infection *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and ApoE knockout

markedly increases the susceptibility of mice to IAV. Notably, when cells are unable to synthesize ApoE, cell cholesterol homeostasis is disrupted to promote IAV attachment (93).

Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase (CH25H) is the key enzyme that regulates cholesterol metabolism and catalyzes the conversion of cholesterol to a soluble, broad-spectrum antiviral effector (25hydroxycholesterol (25HC)) by adding a second hydroxyl group at position 25 (94). Interestingly, CH25H as an antiviral ISG, forms a part of the sterol metabolic network through interferon signaling (95, 96). It has been shown that CH25H activity is induced by the STAT1-dependent proinflammatory factor IL-1 β /TNF- α /IL-6 in the ZIKV-infected cells (96). In addition, 25HC can inhibit virusinduced intercellular membrane fusion and viral infections. ZIKV infection can markedly increase the expression levels of CH25H in cells, thus augmenting the production of 25HC to prevent the virus entry by blocking virus-mediated membrane fusion between cells (97). Interestingly, 25HC can activate an acyl coenzyme Acholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT) in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of cells, which subsequently eliminates accessible cholesterol from the cell membrane, thereby inhibiting viral entry. SARS-CoV-2 initially binds to human lung cells via the ACE2 receptor, and cholesterol in the cellular membrane is required for the membrane fusion of the virus (94). CSFV infection same as well (98) Therefore, 25HC can inhibit the virus entry. Notably, 25HC and 27HC not only inhibit viral entry but also induce the production of proinflammatory cytokines and suppress viral immune evasion. It has been demonstrated that the stimulation of oxysterols enhances HSV-1-induced IL-6 production, indicating that IL-6 exerts antiviral effects during HSV-1 infection, which is an additional antiviral mechanism of action for 25HC and 27HC (99).

Cholesterol is involved in the immunoevasion of viruses. Highlevels cholesterol can weaken the host's antiviral immunity. The PRRSV Nsp4 protein upregulates protein phosphatase 2 (PP2) activity, which activates the rate-limiting enzyme 3-hydroxy-3methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase HMGCR in the cholesterol synthesis pathway and increases cellular cholesterol levels, thereby inhibiting virus-induced IFN- β production and promoting PRRSV replication (100). CSFV infection modulates the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway to favor the virus entry and disrupt the type I IFN response (101, 102). On the contrary, low-levels cholesterol may enhance antiviral immunity in host cells. However, a reduction in cholesterol biosynthesis also enhances host antiviral immunity. When cholesterol synthesis is decreased, STING, a critical antiviral signaling protein, is stimulated to generate antiviral responses. STING appears to require a cholesterol-deficient ER membrane to promote type I IFN production (103) (Figure 2). In summary, CH25H is a molecule with broad spectrum antiviral activity.

SREBP2 is involved in cholesterol metabolism. The maturation of SREBP2, a master transcription factor for cholesterol metabolism, regulates NLRP3 inflammasome activation through the translocation of the SCAP-SREBP2 complex from the ER into the Golgi, thus promoting the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. NLRP3 has been reported to form ternary complexes with the SREBP cleavage-activating proteins SCAP and SREBP2, which in turn translocate proximally to the Golgi apparatus to form mitochondrial clusters for efficient assembly of the inflammasome (104).

4 Interactions between amino acid metabolism signaling and viral immunoevasion

Amino acid metabolism also known as one carbon metabolism, plays important roles in viral replication and immune regulation (105).

Generally, viral infections can affect the host's immune response by inducing changes in host cell glutamine metabolism and tryptophan metabolism. Therefore, metabolic reprogramming of glutamine and tryptophan plays a crucial role in viral immunoevasion, and exploring the mechanisms will help provide an in-depth understanding of viral infections and immunoevasion (Figure 3).

4.1 Metabolic reprogramming of glutamine regulates the viral immunoevasion

Glutamine is critical for viral replication, and viral infection regulates the metabolism of glutamine depending on glucose levels. Several viruses transfer carbon to biosynthetic reactions via aerobic glycolysis, using glutamine to replenish intermediates of the TCA cycle, and then reprogramming cellular metabolism to provide the energy required for viral replication and the molecular material for the production of progeny virus. For example, in the VACVinfected HeLa or 2FTGH cells, HIF-1 α mediates the shift from glucose to glutamine metabolism via the viral C16 protein, and stimulation of the viral protein contributes to glutamine metabolism to produce α -ketoglutarate and some of the macromolecular precursors of TCA cycle metabolism, which is dependent on glutamine, and asparagine, in the absence of glutamine to promote the production of VACV proteins (39, 106). In the HIV-infected CD4⁺ T cells, the carbon generated from glutamine catabolism enters the TCA cycle to facilitate HIV infection, which is also necessary for maintaining the balance between the TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (107). It has also been shown that glutaminase: kidney-type glutaminase (KGA), glutaminase C (GAC), phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate aminotransferase (PPAT) (key enzymes for de novo purine nucleotide synthesis) and glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate transaminase 1 (a key enzyme in the hexosamine pathway) are significantly differentially expressed after glucose deprivation in the HIV-infected CD4⁺ T cells (35), indicating that the utilization of the glutamine metabolic pathway significantly promotes HIV replication. Glutamine is essential for RGNNV replication as it is converted to α -KG by the enzyme glutaminase (GLS), which is involved in the TCA cycle, and the process promotes RGNNV replication through the TCA cycle (108). HCMV infection increases the glutamine catabolism, which produces α -KG to replenish the TCA cycle and promote HCMV replication (109). In addition,



FIGURE 3

The involvement of amino acid metabolism in innate immunity. Glutamine is transformed into glutamate after entering the cell to facilitate the TCA cycle repair. Glutamate is transformed to α -KG, which is integrated into the TCA cycle and oxidized to generate ATP for energy. Glutamine can produce a variety of amino acids. Serine is linked to several glycolytic enzymes. Serine aids aerobic glycolysis and lactate production by activating PKM2. Amino acid metabolism-related ASCT2, citrate, NH3, glutamine, and α -KG play important roles in viral replication. After viral infection, some transcription factors can induce a reprogramming of glutamine metabolism, leading to increased expression of the genes involved in glutamine uptake and metabolism, which promotes viral replication (red lines indicate activation, blue lines indicate inhibition). KGA, kidney-type glutaminase; GAC, glutaminase C. The figure was created by the BioRender software.

ASFV infection dramatically induces the activation of intracellular glutamine metabolism and facilitates the viral replication in alveolar mononuclear macrophages (27). Furthermore, EV 71 infection can be promoted by increasing glutamine catabolism, while pharmacological inhibition of pyrimidine metabolizing enzymes can inhibit viral replication in Vero cells (110).

Glutamine produces glutamate and glutathione, an antioxidant compound that plays an important role in the prevention of oxidative stress. Similarly, HCV infection increases the utilization and dependence on glutamine, whereas inhibition of glutamine metabolism attenuates the viral infection and the cellular oxidative stress (111). The expression of Myc, two transporter proteins (SLC1A5 and SLC7A5) and two GLSs (KGA and GAC) was increased in the HCV-infected Huh7.5 cells (Figure 3). Myc is a transcription factor that induces the transcription of transporter proteins, thereby driving increased cellular glutamine utilization and thus metabolic reprogramming of cells. Inhibition of glutamine metabolism reduces viral replication and oxidative stress induced by HCV infection, suggesting that the inhibition of glutamine utilization is a novel strategy for the treatment or prevention of viral infection (111).

Glutamine metabolism plays a crucial role in regulating antiviral immunity, as evidenced by the inhibition of the viral infections through glutamine degradation. For example, the proliferation of HSV-infected T cells requires the metabolic breakdown of glutamine. Interestingly, glutamine supplementation has been shown to inhibit the reactivation of latent HSV through a mechanism involving enhancement of the IFN- γ -related immune response, which in turn inhibits the activation of the latent virus (112).

SLC1A3 (aspartic acid transporter, GLAST) is a member of the solute family and is significantly upregulated through the P53 and NF- κ B pathways in the NDV-infected DF-1 or A549 cells, and SLC1A3 hijacked by NDV promotes glutamate catabolism and viral replication in host cells (113).

Glutamine has been shown to produce glucosamine, which in turn allows the modification of key proteins through acetylation. This modification helps to regulate cytokine production downstream, which can affect the antiviral immune response in host cells. The process of producing glucosamine involves the catalysis of glutamine and fructose-6-phosphate by glutamine fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase (GFAT), generating glucosamine-6-phosphate. Finally, UDP-GlcNAc induces modification of the O-GlcNAc protein.

4.2 Tryptophan metabolism and viral immunoevasion

The kynurenine pathway is a complex network of enzymatic reactions that metabolizes tryptophan into various downstream metabolites, including kynurenine, quinolinic acid, and picolinic acid. These metabolites are involved in the modulation of immune responses, oxidative stress, and neurotransmitter synthesis. Tryptophan degradation is primarily mediated by the conversion of tryptophan to kynurenine by two different dioxygenases: indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO1) and tryptophan-2,3dioxygenase (TDO2); the rate-limiting step in the tryptophankynurenine pathway is performed by IDO1. IDO1 is a multifunctional enzyme that typically acts as a negative regulator of inflammatory and immune responses (114, 115). IDO is a critical regulator of acute pulmonary inflammation. IDO deficiency severely exacerbates lung inflammation in mice. Therefore, IFN signaling in the lung parenchyma inhibits idiopathic pneumonia syndrome by promoting IDO expression (116). It has been demonstrated that changes in tryptophan metabolism are associated with IL-6 levels. In the context of viral infection, IFNs can enhance tryptophan metabolism by inducing IDO1 production subsequently leading to alterations in metabolism and inflammation, which ultimately affect viral replication (117, 118). SARS-CoV-2 infection induces metabolic reprogramming of tryptophan toward the kynurenine pathway, which regulates host inflammation and immunity (119). Furthermore, IFN-y-induced antiviral activity against measles virus can be counteracted by the addition of excess tryptophan (120). In the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection, dysregulation of the kynurenine pathway has been associated with severe disease outcomes, including cytokine storm and respiratory failure.

5 Other potential targets of immunometabolism

Methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase 2 (MTHFD2) reductase is an important enzyme in folate metabolism. Folate plays an indirect or direct role in cell proliferation and differentiation. Reportedly, EBV infection remodels B-cell metabolism and hijacks serine metabolism by upregulating MTHFD2 to promote rapid B-cell growth, which leads to B-cell lymphoma (121). MTHFD2 is a metabolic checkpoint controlling effector and regulatory T-cell fate and function. The MTHFD2 regulates purine synthesis and signal transduction in activated Tcells to promote the cell proliferation and inflammatory cytokine production (122). NDV hijacks MTHFD2 of the nucleotide pathway to maintain nucleotide availability required for viral replication, revealing the dependence of NDV on the cellular oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) and folate-mediated one-carbon metabolism (123). Thus, MTHFD2 is a metabolic checkpoint, which is combined purine metabolism with the pathogenic effector cell signaling pathway, indicating that MTHFD2 is a potential therapeutic target in the one-carbon metabolic pathway.

Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) is a key rate-limiting enzyme in the TCA cycle and plays an important role in energy metabolism, which is a noteworthy potential therapeutic target. On one hand, IDH mutation can generate high levels of 2-hydroxyglutaric acid (2-HG), which inhibits glioma stem cell differentiation (124). On the other hand, IDH mutation can also induce high levels of HIF-1 α to promote glioma invasion (125). Reportedly, the ARV σ A protein can promote viral replication by upregulating IDH3B and glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) to promote glutaminolysis (48). Importantly, IDH has been clinically useful as a therapeutic target for acute myeloid leukemia (126).

6 The metabolic enzymes as potential antiviral targets

Metabolic reprogramming is an important characteristic of viral infections. To date, abnormal glucose metabolism has been extensively studied. In the presence of sufficient oxygen, glycolysis is the principal glucose metabolic pathway in several virus-infected cells. In addition, metabolic enzymes are attractive potential therapeutic targets. To date, few drugs targeting metabolism are available. We summarize recent progress in the virus-induced metabolic changes and the drugs (Table 3) to provide novel strategies for targeting metabolism to inhibit viral replication *in vivo* or *in vitro*. Generally, the identified antiviral targets are associated with the enzymes in cellular metabolism, it is not clear whether targeted metabolic enzymes will induce cytotoxicity, thus the future clinical use of metabolic drugs requires multifaceted evaluation.

Ongoing antiviral research will focus on the metabolic enzymes involved in abnormal processes, such as glycolysis (34, 37, 63). Although virus infections induce aerobic glycolysis, several viruses utilize cellular mitochondrial function for replication. Therefore, targeting the glycolytic pathway is not the only therapeutic approach, and alternative antimetabolic approaches, such as targeting mitochondrial metabolism, including the pentose phosphate pathway, fatty acid synthesis, and amino acid metabolism, may be potential antiviral targets in the future. In summary, a more in-depth understanding of the regulatory mechanisms of metabolic alterations and viral replication will facilitate the development of antiviral drugs.

Currently, the precise mechanisms of metabolic reprogramming induced by viral infections remain largely unknown. In-depth exploration of these questions will enhance our understanding of virus-cell interactions, increase the possibility of future drug development targeting metabolism, and expand the library of drugs available for the treatment of viral infections.

7 Conclusions and perspectives

In this review, we have outlined the impact of cellular metabolism on viral infection and immunoevasion. The relationship between cell metabolism and viruses is very intricate. Viral infections can induce the changes in cellular metabolic pathways (Table 4), thereby providing the essential nutrients and energy for viral replication. When discussing the mechanisms of viral immunoevasion, we focus on key intermediate metabolic substances involved in several metabolic pathways hijacked by viruses, including glycolysis, the hexosamine pathway, the TCA cycle, the fatty acid synthesis pathway, the cholesterol metabolic

TABLE 3 Intervention strategies targeting metabolic enzymes.

Targets of metabolism	Compounds	Pathways	References
Phosphoglucose-isomerase	2-DG	Glycolysis	(127)
PKM2	TT-232 Shikonin/alkannin	Glycolysis	(128, 129)
LDH	Oxamic acid Galloflavin	Glycolysis	(130, 131)
LDHA	FX11 Quinoline 3-sulfonamides	Glycolysis	(132, 133)
НК ІІ	3-Bromopyruvate (3BP) Combination of 3-BrOP and rapamycin Combination of MGCD265 and erlotinib	Glycolysis	(134–137)
GLUT1	Phloretin Quercetin STF31 WZB117 Oxime-based GLUT1 inhibitors	Glycolysis	(138–142)
ACC	TOFA	Fatty acid metabolism	(143, 144)
Succinate dehydrogenase	Sodium malonate	TCA cycle	(145)
Fumarase	Fumaric acid esters	TCA cycle	(146)
Aconitase	Fluoroacetate	TCA cycle	(147)
FASN	C75	Fatty acid metabolism	(148)
SREBP	AM580	Fatty acid metabolism	(149)
HMG-CoA	Statins	Cholesterol metabolism	(150)
Glutaminase	BPTES	Amino acid metabolism	(108)

TABLE 4 Cellular metabolism changes in viral infections.

Viruses	Up-regulated	Down-regulated	References
HIV-1	GLUT1, glutamine and PPAR- γ		(36, 37, 87, 107)
DENV	GLUT1 and HK2		(39)
RV	GLUT1 and glutamine		(17)
HBV	Lactate and HK2		(43, 44)
WSSV	GLUT1		(40)
EBV	GLUT1		(41)
HPV	HIF-1α		(65–67)
ARV	Glutamine and IDH3	LDHA	(48, 125)
IAV	Lactate, OGT, HK2, ACC and PPAR- γ	АроЕ	(45, 50, 71, 81, 89, 93)
VSV	OGT and HIF-1A		(52, 53, 58)
KSHV	HIF-1α		(18)
SARA-CoV-2	Succinate, HIF-1 α and IDO1		(59, 60, 62, 72, 119)
CSFV		LDHB	(46, 47)
ASFV	Lactate and glutamine		(27)
HCMV	PPAR- γ and glutamine		(88, 109)

(Continued)

TABLE 4 Continued

Viruses	Up-regulated	Down-regulated	References
HCV	АроЕ		(90–92)
HSV-1	Succinate and glutamine		(72, 112)
ZIKV	Succinate		(72)
VACV	Succinate and glutamine		(39, 72, 106)
NDV	HIF-1 <i>α</i> , SLC1A3 and MTHFD2		(58, 113, 123)
RGNNV	Glutamine		(108)
EV-71	Glutamine		(110)

pathway, the glutamine metabolic pathway, and the tryptophan metabolic pathway. These pathways not only provide nutrients and metabolites for the viral replication, but also participate in the regulation of immune responses.

Viruses cause reprogramming of glucose metabolism, which affects their immune evasion ability (151). More specifically, SARS-CoV-2 reprograms glucose metabolism and glycolysis can be hijacked by various viruses for favoring the viral infections (36, 37, 44, 45, 56, 152). The reprogramming promotes metabolic pathways and stabilizes genes involved in glucose transport and glycolysis, such as GLUT, LDH-A, and LDHB. HIF-1 α activates the expression of a variety of glycolytic enzymes, including GLUT1, GLUT3, HK1, HK2, GAPDH, PGK1, PKM2, LDHA, and PDK1 (153–156). Importantly, HIF-1 α not only increases glucose uptake and lactate production, but also blocks the TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria (156). Moreover, glycolytic flux and TCA cycle activity increase significantly with glucose uptake and lactate release (58). LDHA can enhance IFN- γ expression by upregulating histone acetylation (49, 157). Aerobic glycolysis can also promote IFN-pproduction by binding GAPDH to the 3'UTR of IFN- γ mRNA (158). In addition, many intermediate metabolites, such as succinate, fumarate, itaconate, and α -ketoglutarate, are involved in immune activation or regulation. UDP-GlcNAc, the end product of the HBP, plays an important role in the process of viral inflammation through OGT (159). OGT mediates the transfer of UDP-GlcNAc to serine or threonine residues of target proteins to modify immune-related proteins (160) and coordinates glucose and glutamine metabolism induced by growth factors (32).

Viruses can utilize lipid-related factors for immunoevasion. For example, the deletion of *ACC* and *SCD2* can indirectly activate the cGAS-STING signaling pathway and enhance the host's antiviral activities (81). Moreover, some viruses can antagonize the NF- κ B or IFN- β signaling through regulating the lipid metabolism (87, 100). Amino acids are the key nutrients that can be converted into α ketoglutaric acid to participate in the TCA cycle (108). Amino acid metabolism also plays an important role in the immunoevasion of viruses. Previous studies have shown that viruses can hijack glutamine and SLC1A3 (the amino acid transporter) to facilitate the viral latency and infection (112, 113). In summary, there is a potential role for metabolism-related molecules in the development of antiviral drugs and diagnostic reagents by inducing host metabolic reprogramming to regulate viral replication (161). However, the application of immunotherapy in the treatment of viruses has not yet been extensively developed. Therefore, targeting immune cell function through metabolic modulation is a promising avenue for immunotherapy in the future (9, 72, 162).

Author contributions

JL wrote the manuscript. YW, HD, SL and H-JQ revised this manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Heilongjiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (JQ2020C002) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32072866).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Makowski L, Chaib M, Rathmell JC. Immunometabolism: From basic mechanisms to translation. *Immunol Rev* (2020) 295(1):5–14. doi: 10.1111/imr.12858

2. Mirzaei R, Sholeh M, Jalalifar S, Zafari E, Kazemi S, Rasouli-Saravani A, et al. Immunometabolism in human brucellosis: An emerging field of investigation. *Microb Pathog* (2021) 158:105–15. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105115

3. Mirzaei R, Zamani F, Hajibaba M, Rasouli-Saravani A, Noroozbeygi M, Gorgani M, et al. The pathogenic, therapeutic, and diagnostic role of exosomal microRNA in the autoimmune diseases. *J Neuroimmunol* (2021) 358:577640. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2021.577640

4. Rodríguez C, Puente-Moncada N, Reiter RJ, Sánchez-Sánchez AM, Herrera F, Rodríguez-Blanco J, et al. Regulation of cancer cell glucose metabolism is determinant for cancer cell fate after melatonin administration. *J Cell Physiol* (2021) 236(1):27–40. doi: 10.1002/jcp.29886

5. Pei J, Yuan Y, Tian D, Huang F, Zhang C, Wang C, et al. Comprehensive analysis of protein acetylation and glucose metabolism in mouse brains infected with rabies virus. *J Virol* (2022) 96(4):194–221. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01942-21

 Moreno-Altamirano MB, Kolstoe SE, Sánchez-García FJ. Virus control of cell metabolism for replication and evasion of host immune responses. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2019) 9:95. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00095

7. Sanchez EL, Lagunoff M. Viral activation of cellular metabolism. Virology (2015) 479-480:609-18. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.038

8. Mayer KA, Stöckl J, Zlabinger GJ, Gualdoni GA. Hijacking the supplies: Metabolism as a novel facet of virus-host interaction. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:1533. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01533

9. Cruzat V, Macedo Rogero M, Noel Keane K, Curi R, Newsholme P. Glutamine: metabolism and immune function, supplementation and clinical translation. *Nutrients* (2018) 10:1564. doi: 10.3390/nu10111564

10. Peyssonnaux C, Datta V, Cramer T, Doedens A, Theodorakis EA, Gallo RL. HIF-1alpha expression regulates the bactericidal capacity of phagocytes. *J Clin Invest* (2005) 115:1806–15. doi: 10.1172/JCI23865

11. Cramer T, Yamanishi Y, Clausen B, Förster I, Pawlinski R, Mackman N, et al. HIF-1alpha is essential for myeloid cell-mediated inflammation. *Cell* (2003) 112:645–57. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00154-5

12. Michelet X, Dyck L, Hogan A, Loftus RM, Duquette D, Wei K, et al. Metabolic reprogramming of natural killer cells in obesity limits antitumor responses. *Nat Immunol* (2018) 19:1330–40. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0251-7

13. Blagih J, Coulombe F, Vincent E, Dupuy F, Galicia-Vázquez G, Yurchenko E, et al. The energy sensor AMPK regulates T cell metabolic adaptation and effector responses in *vivo. Immunity* (2015) 42:41–54. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.12.030

14. Assmann JC, Farthing DE, Saito K, Maglakelidze N, Oliver B, Warrick KA, et al. Glycolytic metabolism of pathogenic T cells enables early detection of GvHD by 13C-MRI. *Blood* (2021) 137(1):126–37. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020005770

15. Balmer ML, Ma EH, Thompson AJ, Epple R, Unterstab G, Lötscher J, et al. Memory CD8⁺ T cells balance pro- and anti-inflammatory activity by reprogramming cellular acetate handling at sites of infection. *Cell Metab* (2020) 32(3):457–467.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.07.004

16. Pearce E, Walsh M, Cejas P, Harms GM, Shen H, Wang LS, et al. Enhancing CD8 T-cell memory by modulating fatty acid metabolism. *Nature* (2009) 460:103–7. doi: 10.1038/nature08097

17. Gualdoni GA, Mayer KA, Kapsch AM, Kreuzberg K, Puck A, Kienzl P, et al. Rhinovirus induces an anabolic reprogramming in host cell metabolism essential for viral replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2018) 115:E7158–65. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1800525115

18. Singh RK, Lang F, Pei Y, Jha HC, Robertson ES. Metabolic reprogramming of Kaposi's sarcoma associated herpes virus infected B-cells in hypoxia. *PloS Pathog* (2018) 14(5):e1007062. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007062

19. Assmann N, O'Brien K, Donnelly R, Dyck L, Zaiatz-Bittencourt V, Loftus RM, et al. SREBP-controlled glucose metabolism is essential for NK cell functional responses. *Nat Immunol* (2017) 18:1197–206. doi: 10.1038/ni.3838

20. Dong H, Adams N, Xu Y, Cao J, Allan DSJ, Carlyle JR, et al. The IRE1 endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor activates natural killer cell immunity in part by regulating c-Myc. *Nat Immunol* (2019) 20:865–78. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0388-z

21. Ganapathy-Kanniappan S. Linking tumor glycolysis and immune evasion in cancer: Emerging concepts and therapeutic opportunities. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer* (2017) 1868(1):212–20. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.04.002

22. Shen L, Hu P, Zhang Y, Ji Z, Shan X, Ni L, et al. Serine metabolism antagonizes antiviral innate immunity by preventing ATP6V0d2-mediated YAP lysosomal degradation. *Cell Metab* (2021) 33(5):971–87. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.03.006

23. Mirzaei R, Sabokroo N, Ahmadyousefi Y, Motamedi H, Karampoor S. Immunometabolism in biofilm infection: lessons from cancer. *Mol Med* (2022) 28 (1):1-42. doi: 10.1186/s10020-022-00435-2

24. Thaker SK, Ch'ng J, Christofk HR. Viral hijacking of cellular metabolism. BMC Biol (2019) 17(1):59. doi: 10.1186/s12915-019-0678-9

25. Rudiansyah M, Jasim SA, Mohammad Pour ZG, Athar SS, Jeda AS, Doewes RI, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) update: From metabolic reprogramming to immunometabolism. *J Med Virol* (2022) 94(10):4611–27. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27929

26. Gou H, Bian Z, Li Y, Cai R, Jiang Z, Song S, et al. Metabolomics exploration of pseudorabies virus reprogramming metabolic profiles of PK-15 cells to enhance viral replication. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2021) 10:599087. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.599087

27. Xue Q, Liu H, Zhu Z, Yang F, Song Y, Li Z, et al. African swine fever virus regulates host energy and amino acid metabolism to promote viral replication. *J Virol* (2022) 96(4):e0191921. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01919-21

28. Boodhoo N, Kamble N, Sharif S, Behboudi S. Glutaminolysis and glycolysis are essential for optimal replication of Marek's disease virus. *J Virol* (2020) 94(4):e01680–19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01680-19

29. DeBerardinis RJ, Thompson CB. Cellular metabolism and disease: what do metabolic outliers teach us? *Cell* (2012) 148(6):1132–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.032

30. Wellen KE, Lu C, Mancuso A, Lemons JM, Ryczko M, Dennis JW, et al. The hexosamine biosynthetic pathway couples growth factor-induced glutamine uptake to glucose metabolism. *Genes Dev* (2010) 24(24):2784–99. doi: 10.1101/gad.1985910

31. Hui EK, Nayak DP. Role of ATP in influenza virus budding. *Virology* (2001) 290 (2):329–41. doi: 10.1006/viro.2001.1181

32. Munger J, Bajad SU, Coller HA, Shenk T, Rabinowitz JD. Dynamics of the cellular metabolome during human cytomegalovirus infection. *PloS Pathog* (2006) 2: e132. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0020132

33. Ren Z, Yu Y, Chen C, Yang D, Ding T, Zhu L, et al. The triangle relationship between long noncoding RNA, RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway, and glycolysis. *Front Microbiol* (2021) 12:807737. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.807737

34. Macintyre AN, Gerriets VA, Nichols AG, Michalek RD, Rudolph MC, Deoliveira D, et al. The glucose transporter Glut1 is selectively essential for CD4 T cell activation and effector function. *Cell Metab* (2014) 20(1):61–156. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.05.004

35. Hegedus A, Kavanagh Williamson M, Khan MB, Dias Zeidler J, Da Poian AT, El-Bacha T, et al. Evidence for altered glutamine metabolism in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infected primary human CD4⁺ T cells. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* (2017) 33(12):1236–47. doi: 10.1089/AID.2017.0165

36. Kavanagh Williamson M, Coombes N, Juszczak F, Athanasopoulos M, Khan MB, Eykyn TR, et al. Upregulation of glucose uptake and hexokinase activity of primary human $CD4^+$ T cells in response to infection with HIV-1. *Viruses* (2018) 10(3):114. doi: 10.3390/v10030114

37. Palmer CS, Duette GA, Wagner MC, Henstridge DC, Saleh S, Pereira C, et al. Metabolically active $CD4^+$ T cells expressing Glut1 and OX40 preferentially harbor HIV during in *vitro* infection. *FEBS Lett* (2017) 591:3319–32. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12843

38. Loisel-Meyer S, Swainson L, Craveiro M, Oburoglu L, Mongellaz C, Costa C, et al. Glut1-mediated glucose transport regulates HIV infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2012) 109(7):2549–54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1121427109

39. Fontaine KA, Sanchez EL, Camarda R, Lagunoff M. Dengue virus induces and requires glycolysis for optimal replication. *J Virol* (2015) 89(4):2358–66. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02309-14

40. Huang HT, Chan HL, Shih TY, Chen LL. A study of the role of glucose transporter 1 (Glut1) in white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) infection. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* (2015) 46(2):305–14. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.06.034

41. Zhang J, Jia L, Lin W, Yip YL, Lo KW, Lau VMY, et al. Epstein-Barr Virus-Encoded latent membrane protein 1 upregulates Glucose Transporter 1 Transcription via the mTORC1/NF- κ B signaling pathways. *J Virol* (2017) 91(6):e02168-16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02168-16

42. Guo D, Tong Y, Jiang X, Meng Y, Jiang H, Du L, et al. Aerobic glycolysis promotes tumor immune evasion by hexokinase2-mediated phosphorylation of $I\kappa B\alpha$. Cell Metab (2022) 34(9):1312–1324.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2022.08.002

43. Chen L, Lin X, Lei Y, Xu X, Zhou Q, Chen Y, et al. Aerobic glycolysis enhances HBx-initiated hepatocellular carcinogenesis via NF-κBp65/HK2 signalling. J Exp Clin Cancer Res (2022) 41(1):329. doi: 10.1186/s13046-022-02531-x

44. Zhou L, He R, Fang P, Li M, Yu H, Wang Q, et al. Hepatitis B virus rigs the cellular metabolome to avoid innate immune recognition. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12 (1):98. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20316-8

45. Ren L, Zhang W, Zhang J, Zhang J, Zhang H, Zhu Y, et al. Influenza A virus (H1N1) infection induces glycolysis to facilitate viral replication. *Virol Sin* (2021) 36 (6):1532–42. doi: 10.1007/s12250-021-00433-4

46. Fan S, Wu K, Zhao M, Yuan J, Ma S, Zhu E, et al. LDHB inhibition induces mitophagy and facilitates the progression of CSFV infection. *Autophagy* (2021) 17 (9):2305–24. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2020.1823123

47. Gou H, Zhao M, Xu H, Yuan J, He W, Zhu M, et al. CSFV induced mitochondrial fission and mitophagy to inhibit apoptosis. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8 (24):39382-400. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.17030

48. Chi PI, Huang WR, Chiu HC, Li JY, Nielsen BL, Liu HJ. Avian reovirus σ A-modulated suppression of lactate dehydrogenase and upregulation of glutaminolysis and the mTOC1/eIF4E/HIF-1 α pathway to enhance glycolysis and the TCA cycle for virus replication. *Cell Microbiol* (2018) 20(12):e12946. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12946

49. Peng M, Yin N, Chhangawala S, Xu K, Leslie CS, Li MO. Aerobic glycolysis promotes T helper 1 cell differentiation through an epigenetic mechanism. *Science* (2016) 354(6311):481-4. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf6284

50. Wang Q, Fang P, He R, Li M, Yu H, Zhou L, et al. O-GlcNAc transferase promotes influenza A virus-induced cytokine storm by targeting interferon regulatory factor-5. Sci Adv (2020) 6(16):70–86. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaz7086

51. Filhoulaud G, Benhamed F, Pagesy P, Bonner C, Fardini Y, Ilias A, et al. O-GlcNacylation links TxNIP to inflammasome activation in pancreatic β cells. Front Endocrinol (2019) 10:291. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00291

52. Song N, Qi Q, Cao R, Qin B, Wang B, Wang Y, et al. MAVS O-GlcNAcylation is essential for host antiviral immunity against lethal RNA viruses. *Cell Rep* (2019) 28:2386. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.085

53. Li T, Li X, Attri KS, Liu C, Li L, Herring LE, et al. O-GlcNAc transferase links glucose metabolism to MAVS-mediated antiviral innate immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* (2018) 24(6):791–803.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.11.001

54. Wang X, Lin Y, Liu S, Zhu Y, Lu K, Broering R, et al. O-GlcNAcylation modulates HBV replication through regulating cellular autophagy at multiple levels. *FASEB J* (2020) 34(11):14473–89. doi: 10.1096/fj.202001168RR

55. Lin Y, Wu C, Wang X, Liu S, Zhao K, Kemper T, et al. Glucosamine promotes hepatitis B virus replication through its dual effects in suppressing autophagic degradation and inhibiting MTORC1 signaling. *Autophagy* (2020) 16(3):548–61. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2019.1632104

56. Yu F, Zhang Q, Liu H, Liu J, Yang S, Luo X, et al. Dynamic O-GlcNAcylation coordinates ferritinophagy and mitophagy to activate ferroptosis. *Cell Discovery* (2022) 8(1):40. doi: 10.1038/s41421-022-00390-6

57. Guo B, Liang Q, Li L, Hu Z, Wu F, Zhang P, et al. O-GlcNAc-modification of SNAP-29 regulates autophagosome maturation. *Nat Cell Biol* (2014) 16:1215–26. doi: 10.1038/ncb3066

58. Tian M, Liu W, Li X, Zhao P, Shereen MA, Zhu C, et al. HIF-1α promotes SARS-CoV-2 infection and aggravates inflammatory responses to COVID-19. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* (2021) 6(1):308. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00726-w

59. Codo AC, Davanzo GG, Monteiro LB, de Souza GF, Muraro SP, Virgilio-da-Silva JV, et al. Elevated glucose levels favor SARS-CoV-2 infection and monocyte response through a HIF-1 α /glycolysis-dependent axis. *Cell Metab* (2020) 32(3):437– 446.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.07.007

60. Gong Y, Tang N, Liu P, Sun Y, Lu S, Liu W, et al. Newcastle disease virus degrades SIRT3 via PINK1-PRKN-dependent mitophagy to reprogram energy metabolism in infected cells. *Autophagy* (2022) 18(7):1503–21. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2021.1990515

61. Tong Y R, Guo D, Lin SH, Liang J, Yang D, Ma C, et al. SUCLA2-coupled regulation of GLS succinvlation and activity counteracts oxidative stress in tumor cells. *Mol Cell* (2021) 81(11):2303–16. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.04.002

62. Cross S, Cook DR, Chi AW, Vance PJ, Kolson LL, Wong BJ, et al. Dimethyl fumarate, an immune modulator and inducer of the antioxidant response, suppresses HIV replication and macrophage-mediated neurotoxicity: a novel candidate for HIV neuroprotection. *J Immunol* (2011) 187:5015–25. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101868

63. Roy S, Rizvi ZA, Clarke AJ, Macdonald F, Pandey A, Zaiss DMW, et al. EGFR-HIF-1 α signaling positively regulates the differentiation of IL-9 producing T helper cells. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):3182. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-23042-x

64. Peng T, Du SY, Son M, Diamond B. HIF-1 α is a negative regulator of interferon regulatory factors: Implications for interferon production by hypoxic monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2021) 118(26):e2106017118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2106017118

65. Tannahill GM, Curtis AM, Adamik J, Palsson-McDermott EM, McGettrick AF, Goel G, et al. Succinate is an inflammatory signal that induces IL-1 β through HIF-1 α . Nature (2013) 496:238–42. doi: 10.1038/nature11986

66. Noman MZ, Desantis G, Janji B, Hasmim M, Karray S, Dessen P, et al. PD-L1 is a novel direct target of HIF-1 α , and its blockade under hypoxia enhanced MDSC-mediated T cell activation. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211(5):781–90. doi: 10.1084/jem.20131916

67. Bodily JM, Mehta KP, Laimins LA. Human papillomavirus E7 enhances hypoxia-inducible factor 1-mediated transcription by inhibiting binding of histone deacetylases. *Cancer Res* (2011) 71(3):1187–95. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2626

68. Nakamura M, Bodily JM, Beglin M, Kyo S, Inoue M, Laimins LA. Hypoxiaspecific stabilization of HIF-1alpha by human papillomaviruses. *Virology* (2009) 387 (2):442–8. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2009.02.036

69. Knuth J, Sharma SJ, Würdemann N, Holler C, Garvalov BK, Acker T, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor- 1α activation in HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(52):89681–91. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.20813

70. Zhang D, Tang Z, Huang H, Zhou G, Cui C, Weng Y, et al. Metabolic regulation of gene expression by histone lactylation. *Nature* (2019) 574(7779):575-80. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1678-1

71. Zhang W, Wang G, Xu ZG, Tu H, Hu F, Dai J, et al. Lactate is a natural suppressor of RLR signaling by targeting MAVS. *Cell* (2019) 178(1):176–89. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.003

72. Thyrsted J, Storgaard J, Blay-Cadanet J, Heinz A, Thielke AL, Crotta S, et al. Influenza A induces lactate formation to inhibit type I IFN in primary human airway epithelium. *iScience* (2021) 24(11):103300. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.103300

73. Olagnier D, Brandtoft AM, Gunderstofte C, Villadsen NL, Krapp C, Thielke AL, et al. Nrf2 negatively regulates STING indicating a link between antiviral sensing and metabolic reprogramming. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):3506. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05861-7

74. Olagnier D, Farahani E, Thyrsted J, Blay-Cadanet J, Herengt A, Idorn M, et al. SARS-CoV2-mediated suppression of NRF2-signaling reveals potent antiviral and antiinflammatory activity of 4-octyl-itaconate and dimethyl fumarate. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11(1):4938. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18764-3

75. Elia I, Rowe JH, Johnson S, Joshi S, Notarangelo G, Kurmi K, et al. Tumor cells dictate anti-tumor immune responses by altering pyruvate utilization and succinate signaling in CD8⁺ T cells. *Cell Metab* (2022) 34(8):1137-50. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2022.06.008

76. Horton JD. Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins: transcriptional activators of lipid synthesis. *Biochem Soc Trans* (2002) 30(6):1091–5. doi: 10.1042/bst0301091

77. Xue L, Qi H, Zhang H, Ding L, Huang Q, Zhao D, et al. Targeting SREBP-2-Regulated mevalonate metabolism for cancer therapy. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:1510. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.01510

78. Shawli GT, Adeyemi OO, Stonehouse NJ, Herod MR. The oxysterol 25hydroxycholesterol inhibits replication of murine norovirus. *Viruses* (2019) 11(2):97. doi: 10.3390/v11020097

79. Yin J, Glende J, Schwegmann-Wessels C, Enjuanes L, Herrler G, Ren X. Cholesterol is important for a post-adsorption step in the entry process of transmissible gastroenteritis virus. *Antiviral Res* (2010) 88(3):311–6. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2010.10.002

80. Liu SY, Aliyari R, Chikere K, Li G, Marsden MD, Smith JK, et al. Interferoninducible cholesterol-25-hydroxylase broadly inhibits viral entry by production of 25hydroxycholesterol. *Immunity* (2013) 38(1):92–105. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.11.005

81. Kanno T, Nakajima T, Yokoyama S, Asou HK, Sasamoto S, Kamii Y, et al. SCD2-mediated monounsaturated fatty acid metabolism regulates cGAS-STING-dependent type I IFN responses in CD4⁺ T cells. *Commun Biol* (2021) 4(1):820. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02310-y

82. Vila IK, Chamma H, Steer A, Saccas M, Taffoni C, Turtoi E, et al. STING orchestrates the crosstalk between polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism and inflammatory responses. *Cell Metab* (2022) 34(1):125–139.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.12.007

83. Kidani Y, Elsaesser H, Hock MB, Vergnes L, Williams KJ, Argus JP, et al. Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins are essential for the metabolic programming of effector T cells and adaptive immunity. *Nat Immunol* (2013) 14(5):489–99. doi: 10.1038/ni.2570

84. Cui G, Qin X, Wu L, Zhang Y, Sheng X, Yu Q, et al. Liver X receptor (LXR) mediates negative regulation of mouse and human Th17 differentiation. *J Clin Invest* (2011) 121(2):658–70. doi: 10.1172/JCI42974

85. Im SS, Yousef L, Blaschitz C, Liu JZ, Edwards RA, Young SG, et al. Linking lipid metabolism to the innate immune response in macrophages through sterol regulatory element binding protein-1a. *Cell Metab* (2011) 13(5):540–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.04.001

86. Layrolle P, Payoux P, Chavanas S. PPAR gamma and viral infections of the brain. Int J Mol Sci (2021) 22(16):8876. doi: 10.3390/ijms22168876

87. Potula R, Ramirez SH, Knipe B, Leibhart J, Schall K, Heilman D, et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma activation suppresses HIV-1 replication in an animal model of encephalitis. *AIDS* (2008) 22(13):1539–49. doi: 10.1097/OAD.0b013e3283081e08

88. Rauwel B, Mariamé B, Martin H, Nielsen R, Allart S, Pipy B, et al. Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma by human cytomegalovirus for *de novo* replication impairs migration and invasiveness of cytotrophoblasts from early placentas. *J Virol* (2010) 84:2946–54. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01779-09

89. Huang S, Zhu B, Cheon IS, Goplen NP, Jiang L, Zhang R, et al. PPAR- γ in Macrophages limits pulmonary inflammation and promotes host recovery following respiratory viral Infection. J Virol (2019) 93(9):e00030–19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00030-19

90. Cun W, Jiang J, Luo G. The C-terminal alpha-helix domain of apolipoprotein E is required for interaction with nonstructural protein 5A and assembly of hepatitis C virus. *J Virol* (2010) 84(21):11532–41. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01021-10

91. Rosch K, Kwiatkowski M, Hofmann S, Schöbel A, Grüttner C, Wurlitzer M, et al. Quantitative lipid droplet proteome analysis identifies annexin A3 as a cofactor for HCV particle production. *Cell Rep* (2016) 16(12):3219–31. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.052

92. Wrensch F, Crouchet E, Ligat G, Zeisel MB, Keck ZY, Foung SKH, et al. Hepatitis C virus (HCV)-apolipoprotein interactions and immune evasion and their impact on HCV vaccine design. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1436. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01436

93. Gao P, Ji M, Liu X, Chen X, Liu H, Li S, et al. Apolipoprotein E mediates cell resistance to influenza virus infection. *Sci Adv* (2022) 8(38):eabm6668. doi: 10.1126/ sciadv.abm6668

94. Wang S, Li W, Hui H, Tiwari SK, Zhang Q, Croker BA, et al. Cholesterol 25-Hydroxylase inhibits SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses by depleting membrane cholesterol. *EMBO J* (2020) 39(21):e106057. doi: 10.15252/embj.2020106057 95. Blanc M, Hsieh WY, Robertson KA, Kropp KA, Forster T, Shui G, et al. The transcription factor STAT-1 couples macrophage synthesis of 25-hydroxycholesterol to the interferon antiviral response. *Immunity* (2013) 38(1):106–18. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.11.004

96. Magoro T, Dandekar A, Jennelle LT, Bajaj R, Lipkowitz G, Angelucci AR, et al. IL-1 β /TNF- α /IL-6 inflammatory cytokines promote STAT1-dependent induction of CH25H in Zika virus-infected human macrophages. *J Biol Chem* (2019) 94(40):14591–602. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.007555

97. Li C, Deng YQ, Wang S, Ma F, Aliyari R, Huang XY, et al. 25-hydroxycholesterol protects host against Zika virus infection and its associated microcephaly in a mouse model. *Immunity* (2017) 46(3):446–56. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.02.012

98. Zhang L, Yi Y, Wang T, Song M, Guo K, Zhang Y. 25-hydroxycholesterol inhibits classical swine fever virus entry into porcine alveolar macrophages by depleting plasma membrane cholesterol. *Vet Microbiol* (2023) 278:109668. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic

99. Cagno V, Civra A, Rossin D, Calfapietra S, Caccia C, Leoni V, et al. Inhibition of herpes simplex-1 virus replication by 25-hydroxycholesterol and 2-hydroxycholesterol. *Redox Biol* (2017) 12:522–7. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2017.03.016

100. Ke W, Zhou Y, Lai Y, Long S, Fang L, Xiao S. Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus nsp4 positively regulates cellular cholesterol to inhibit type I interferon production. *Redox Biol* (2022) 49:102207. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2021.102207

101. Zou X, Lin F, Yang Y, Chen J, Zhang H, Li L, et al. Cholesterol biosynthesis modulates CSFV replication. Viruses (2022) 14(7):1450. doi: 10.3390/v14071450

102. Liang XD, Zhang YN, Liu CC, Chen J, Chen XN, Sattar Baloch A, et al. U18666A inhibits classical swine fever virus replication through interference with intracellular cholesterol trafficking. *Vet Microbiol* (2019) 238:108436. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.108436

103. O'Neill LA. How low cholesterol is good for anti-viral immunity. Cell (2015) 163(7):1572–4. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.12.004

104. Guo C, Chi Z, Jiang D, Xu T, Yu W, Wang Z, et al. Cholesterol Homeostatic Regulator SCAP-SREBP2 integrates NLRP3 inflammasome activation and cholesterol biosynthetic signaling in macrophages. *Immunity* (2018) 49(5):842–56. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.08.021

105. Grohmann U, Bronte V. Control of immune response by amino acid metabolism. Immunol Rev (2010) 236:243–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2010.00915.x

106. Pant A, Cao S, Yang Z. Asparagine is a critical limiting metabolite for vaccinia virus protein synthesis during glutamine deprivation. *J Virol* (2019) 93:e01834–18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01834-18

107. Clerc I, Moussa DA, Vahlas Z, Tardito S, Oburoglu L, Hope TJ, et al. Entry of glucose- and glutamine-derived carbons into the citric acid cycle supports early steps of HIV-1 infection in CD4 T cells. *Nat Metab* (2019) 1(7):717–30. doi: 10.1038/s42255-019-0084-1

108. Asim M, Jiang S, Yi L, Chen W, Sun L, Zhao L, et al. Glutamine is required for red-spotted grouper nervous necrosis virus replication via replenishing the tricarboxylic acid cycle. *Virus Res* (2017) 227:245–8. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2016.11.007

109. Hirabara SM, Gorjao R, Levada-Pires AC, Masi LN, Hatanaka E, Cury-Boaventura MF, et al. Host cell glutamine metabolism as a potential antiviral target. *Clin Sci (Lond)* (2021) 135(2):305–25. doi: 10.1042/CS20201042

110. Cheng ML, Chien KY, Lai CH, Li GJ, Lin JF, Ho HY. Metabolic reprogramming of host cells in response to enteroviral infection. *Cells* (2020) 9(2):473. doi: 10.3390/ cells9020473

111. Lévy PL, Duponchel S, Eischeid H, Molle J, Michelet M, Diserens G, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection triggers a tumor-like glutamine metabolism. *Hepatology* (2017) 65(3):789–803. doi: 10.1002/hep.28949

112. Wang K, Hoshino Y, Dowdell K, Bosch-Marce M, Myers TG, Sarmiento M, et al. Glutamine supplementation suppresses herpes simplex virus reactivation. *J Clin Invest* (2017) 127(7):2626–30. doi: 10.1172/JCI88990

113. Liu P, Tang N, Meng C, Yin Y, Qiu X, Tan L, et al. SLC1A3 facilitates Newcastle disease virus replication by regulating glutamine catabolism. *Virulence* (2022) 13 (1):1407–22. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2022.2112821

114. Powers RK, Culp-Hill R, Ludwig MP, Smith KP, Waugh KA, Minter R, et al. Trisomy 21 activates the kynurenine pathway via increased dosage of interferon receptors. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10(1):4766. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12739-9

115. Wu H, Gong J, Liu Y. Indoleamine 2, 3-dioxygenase regulation of immune response (Review). Mol Med Rep (2018) 17(4):4867-73. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2018.8537

116. Lee SM, Park HY, Suh YS, Yoon EH, Kim J, Jang WH, et al. Inhibition of acute lethal pulmonary inflammation by the IDO-AhR pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2017) 114(29):E5881–90. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1615280114

117. Li L, Huang L, Lemos HP, Mautino M, Mellor AL. Altered tryptophan metabolism as a paradigm for good and bad aspects of immune privilege in chronic inflammatory diseases. *Front Immunol* (2012) 3:109. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00109

118. Fritsch SD, Weichhart T. Effects of interferons and viruses on metabolism. Front Immunol (2016) 7:630. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00630

119. Xiao N, Nie M, Pang H, Wang B, Hu J, Meng X, et al. Integrated cytokine and metabolite analysis reveals immunometabolic reprogramming in COVID-19 patients with therapeutic implications. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):1618. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21907-9

120. Obojes K, Andres O, Kim, Däubener W, Schneider-Schaulies J. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase mediates cell type-specific anti-measles virus activity of gamma interferon. J Virol (2005) 79(12):7768–76. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.12

121. Wang LW, Shen H, Nobre L, Ersing I, Paulo JA, Trudeau S, et al. Epstein-Barrvirus-induced one-carbon metabolism drives B cell transformation. *Cell Metab* (2019) 30(3):539–555.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.06.003

122. Sugiura A, Andrejeva G, Voss K, Heintzman DR, Xu X, Madden MZ, et al. MTHFD2 is a metabolic checkpoint controlling effector and regulatory T cell fate and function. *Immunity* (2022) 55(1):65–81.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.10.011

123. Tang N, Chen P, Zhao C, Liu P, Tan L, Song C, et al. Newcastle disease virus manipulates mitochondrial MTHFD2-Mediated nucleotide metabolism for virus replication. *J Virol* (2023) 97(3):e0001623. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00016-23

124. Khurshed M, Molenaar RJ, Lenting K, Leenders WP, van Noorden CJF. *In silico* gene expression analysis reveals glycolysis and acetate anaplerosis in IDH1 wild-type glioma and lactate and glutamate anaplerosis in IDH1-mutated glioma. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(30):49165–77. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.17106

125. Semukunzi H, Roy D, Li H, Khan GJ, Lyu X, Yuan S, et al. IDH mutations associated impact on related cancer epidemiology and subsequent effect toward HIF-10. BioMed Pharmacother (2017) 89:805–11. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.02.083

126. Stein EM, DiNardo CD, Pollyea DA, Fathi AT, Roboz GJ, Altman JK, et al. Enasidenib in mutant IDH2 relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* (2017) 130:722–31. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-04-779405

127. Courtney RJ, Steiner SM, Benyesh-Melnick M. Effects of 2-deoxy-D-glucose on herpes simplex virus replication. *Virology* (1973) 52(2):447–55. doi: 10.1016/0042-6822 (73)90340-1

128. Yuce M, Sarica Z, Ates B, Kurkcuoglu O. Exploring species-specific inhibitors with multiple target sites on *S. aureus* pyruvate kinase using a computational workflow. *J Biomol Struct Dyn* (2023) 8):3496–510. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2022.2051743

129. Chen J, Xie J, Jiang Z, Wang B, Wang Y, Hu X. Shikonin and its analogs inhibit cancer cell glycolysis by targeting tumor pyruvate kinase-M2. *Oncogene* (2011) 30 (42):4297–306. doi: 10.1038/onc.2011.137

130. Kebriaei R, Bayer AS, Lapitan CK, Rybak MJ, Somerville GA, Mishra NN. Activity of the lactate dehydrogenase inhibitor oxamic acid against the fermentative bacterium *Streptococcus mitis/oralis*: Bactericidal effects and prevention of daptomycin resistance in vitro and in an ex vivo model. Antibiotics (Basel) (2022) 11(10):1409. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11101409

131. Farabegoli F, Vettraino M, Manerba M, Fiume L, Roberti M, Di Stefano G. Galloflavin, a new lactate dehydrogenase inhibitor, induces the death of human breast cancer cells with different glycolytic attitude by affecting distinct signaling pathways. *Eur J Pharm Sci* (2012) 47(4):729–38. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps

132. Le A, Cooper CR, Gouw AM, Dinavahi R, Maitra A, Deck LM, et al. Inhibition of lactate dehydrogenase a induces oxidative stress and inhibits tumor progression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2010) 107(5):2037–42. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914433107

133. Billiard J, Dennison JB, Briand J, Annan RS, Chai D, Colón M, et al. Quinoline 3-sulfonamides inhibit lactate dehydrogenase A and reverse aerobic glycolysis in cancer cells. *Cancer Metab* (2013) 1(1):19. doi: 10.1186/2049-3002-1-19

134. Vital PDS, Bonatelli M, Dias MP, de Salis LVV, Pinto MT, Baltazar F, et al. 3-Bromopyruvate suppresses the malignant phenotype of vemurafenib-resistant melanoma cells. *Int J Mol Sci* (2022) 23(24):15650. doi: 10.3390/ijms232415650

135. Levy AG, Zage PE, Akers LJ, Ghisoli ML, Chen Z, Fang W, et al. The combination of the novel glycolysis inhibitor 3-BrOP and rapamycin is effective against neuroblastoma. *Invest New Drugs* (2012) 30(1):191–9. doi: 10.1007/s10637-010-9551-y

136. Jiao L, Zhang HL, Li DD, Yang KL, Tang J, Li X, et al. Regulation of glycolytic metabolism by autophagy in liver cancer involves selective autophagic degradation of HK2 (hexokinase 2). *Autophagy* (2018) 14(4):671–84. doi: 10.1080/15548627

137. Claire B, Normand B, Marielle F, Helene SC, Jeffrey MB, Christiane RM. The combination of MGCD265, a Met/VEGFR inhibitor in clinical development, and erlotinib potently inhibits tumor growth by altering multiple pathways including glycolysis. *Cancer Res* (2012) 72(8):1790. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445

138. Song Y, Li W, Li W, Yang J, Song T. The inhibition of GLUT1-induced glycolysis in macrophage by phloretin participates in the protection during acute lung injury. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2022) 110:109049. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.109049

139. Jia L, Huang S, Yin X, Zan Y, Guo Y, Han L. Quercetin suppresses the mobility of breast cancer by suppressing glycolysis through Akt-mTOR pathway mediated autophagy induction. *Life Sci* (2018) 208:123–30. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2018.07.027

140. Wang L, Pavlou S, Du X, Bhuckory M, Xu H, Chen M. Glucose transporter 1 critically controls microglial activation through facilitating glycolysis. *Mol Neurodegener* (2019) 14(1):2. doi: 10.1186/s13024-019-0305-9

141. Ojelabi OA, Lloyd KP, Simon AH, De Zutter JK, Carruthers A. WZB117 [2-Fluoro-6-(m-hydroxybenzoyloxy) phenyl m-hdroxybenzoate] inhibits glut1-mediated sugar transport by binding reversibly at the exofacial sugar binding site. *J Biol Chem* (2016) 291(52):26762–72. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.759175

142. Tuccinardi T, Granchi C, Legre J, Paterni I, Bertini S, Macchia M, et al. Oximebased inhibitors of glucose transporter 1 displaying antiproliferative effects in cancer cells. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* (2013) 23(24):6923–7. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.09.037

143. Sanchez EL, Pulliam TH, Dimaio TA, Thalhofer AB, Delgado T, Lagunoff M. Glycolysis, glutaminolysis, and fatty acid synthesis are required for distinct stages of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus lytic replication. *J Virol* (2017) 91:e02237–16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02237-16

144. Delgado T, Sanchez EL, Camarda R, Lagunoff M. Global metabolic profiling of infection by an oncogenic virus: KSHV induces and requires lipogenesis for survival of latent infection. *PloS Pathog* (2012) 8:e1002866. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002866

145. Consegal M, Núñez N, Barba I, Benito B, Ruiz-Meana M, Inserte J, et al. Citric Acid cycle metabolites predict infarct size in pigs submitted to transient coronary artery occlusion and treated with succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors or remote ischemic perconditioning. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(8):4151. doi: 10.3390/ijms22084151

146. Moharregh-Khiabani D, Linker RA, Gold R, Stangel M. Fumaric acid and its esters: an emerging treatment for multiple sclerosis. *Curr Neuropharmacol* (2009) 7 (1):60–4. doi: 10.2174/157015909787602788

147. Ackermann WW. The relation of the Krebs cycle to viral synthesis. II. The effect of sodium fluoroacetate on the propagation of influenza virus in mice. *J Exp Med* (1951) 93(6):635–42. doi: 10.1084/jem.93.6.635

148. Yang W, Hood BL, Chadwick SL, Liu S, Watkins SC, Luo G, et al. Fatty acid synthase is up-regulated during hepatitis C virus infection and regulates hepatitis C virus entry and production. *Hepatology* (2008) 48(5):1396–403. doi: 10.1002/hep.22508

149. Yuan S, Chu H, Chan JF, Ye ZW, Wen L, Yan B, et al. SREBP-dependent lipidomic reprogramming as a broad-spectrum antiviral target. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10 (1):120. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-08015-x

150. Cohen JI. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) to treat Epstein-Barr virusdriven lymphoma. Br J Cancer (2005) 92(9):1593-8. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602561

151. Ganesh GV, Mohanram RK. Metabolic reprogramming and immune regulation in viral diseases. *Rev Med Virol* (2022) 32(2):e2268. doi: 10.1002/rmv.2268

152. Bojkova D, Klann K, Koch B, Widera M, Krause D, Ciesek S, et al. Proteomics of SARS-CoV-2-infected host cells reveals therapy targets. *Nature* (2020) 583 (7816):469–72. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2332-7

153. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* (2020) 395(10229):1054–62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3

154. Graven KK, Yu Q, Pan D, Roncarati JS, Farber HW. Identification of an oxygen responsive enhancer element in the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (1999) 1447(2–3):208–18. doi: 10.1016/s0167-4781(99)00118-9

155. Kress S, Stein A, Maurer P, Weber B, Reichert J, Buchmann A. Expression of hypoxia-inducible genes in tumor cells. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* (1998) 124(6):315–20. doi: 10.1007/s004320050175

156. Infantino V, Santarsiero A, Convertini P, Todisco S, Iacobazzi V. Cancer cell metabolism in hypoxia: Role of HIF-1 as key regulator and therapeutic target. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(11):5703. doi: 10.3390/ijms22115703

157. Cham CM, Gajewski TF. Glucose availability regulates IFN-gamma production and p7086 kinase activation in CD8⁺ effector T cells. *J Immunol* (2005) 174(8):4670–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.174.8.4670

158. Chang CH, Curtis JD, Maggi LBJr, Faubert B, Villarino AV, O'Sullivan D, et al. Posttranscriptional control of T cell effector function by aerobic glycolysis. *Cell* (2013) 153(6):1239–51. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.016

159. Hardivillé S, Hart GW. Nutrient regulation of signaling, transcription, and cell physiology by O-GlcNAcylation. *Cell Metab* (2014) 20(2):208–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.07.014

160. Levine ZG, Walker S. The biochemistry of O-GlcNAc transferase: Which functions make it essential in mammalian cells? *Annu Rev Biochem* (2016) 85:631–57. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060713-035344

161. Cheng K, Martin-Sancho L, Pal LR, Pu Y, Riva L, Yin X, et al. Genome-scale metabolic modeling reveals SARS-CoV-2-induced metabolic changes and antiviral targets. *Mol Syst Biol* (2021) 17(11):e10260. doi: 10.15252/msb.202110260

162. Grimes JM, Khan S, Badeaux M, Rao RM, Rowlinson SW, Carvajal RD. Arginine depletion as a therapeutic approach for patients with COVID-19. *Int J Infect Dis* (2021) 102:566–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.100