Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pei-Hui Wang, Shandong University, China

REVIEWED BY Ricardo Da Silva Antunes,

La Jolla Institute for Immunology (LJI), United States Shetty Ravi Dyavar, Adicet Bio, Inc., United States Andrew R. Lloyd, University of New South Wales, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Julia C. Berentschot Øj.berentschot@erasmusmc.nl

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

RECEIVED 07 July 2023 ACCEPTED 14 September 2023 PUBLISHED 10 October 2023

CITATION

Berentschot JC, Drexhage HA, Aynekulu Mersha DG, Wijkhuijs AJM, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Koopmans MPG, Voermans JJC, Hendriks RW, Nagtzaam NMA, de Bie M, Heijenbrok-Kal MH, Bek LM, Ribbers GM, van den Berg-Emons RJG, Aerts JGJV, Dik WA and Hellemons ME (2023) Immunological profiling in long COVID: overall low grade inflammation and Tlymphocyte senescence and increased monocyte activation correlating with increasing fatigue severity. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1254899. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1254899

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Berentschot, Drexhage, Aynekulu Mersha, Wijkhuijs, GeurtsvanKessel, Koopmans, Voermans, Hendriks, Nagtzaam, de Bie, Heijenbrok-Kal, Bek, Ribbers, van den Berg-Emons, Aerts, Dik and Hellemons. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Immunological profiling in long COVID: overall low grade inflammation and T-lymphocyte senescence and increased monocyte activation correlating with increasing fatigue severity

Julia C. Berentschot^{1*}, Hemmo A. Drexhage², Daniel G. Aynekulu Mersha², Annemarie J. M. Wijkhuijs², Corine H. GeurtsvanKessel³, Marion P. G. Koopmans³, Jolanda J. C. Voermans³, Rudi W. Hendriks¹, Nicole M. A. Nagtzaam⁴, Maaike de Bie⁴, Majanka H. Heijenbrok-Kal^{5,6}, L. Martine Bek⁵, Gerard M. Ribbers^{5,6}, Rita J. G. van den Berg-Emons⁵, Joachim G. J. V. Aerts¹, Willem A. Dik^{4†} and Merel E. Hellemons^{1†}

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ²Department of Immunology, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ³Department of Viroscience, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ⁴Laboratory Medical Immunology, Department of Immunology, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ⁵Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ⁶Rijndam Rehabilitation, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Background: Many patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection develop long COVID with fatigue as one of the most disabling symptoms. We performed clinical and immune profiling of fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients and ageand sex-matched healthy controls (HCs).

Methods: Long COVID symptoms were assessed using patient-reported outcome measures, including the fatigue assessment scale (FAS, scores ≥22 denote fatigue), and followed up to one year after hospital discharge. We assessed inflammation-related genes in circulating monocytes, serum levels of inflammation-regulating cytokines, and leukocyte and lymphocyte subsets, including major monocyte subsets and senescent T-lymphocytes, at 3-6 months post-discharge.

Results: We included 37 fatigued and 36 non-fatigued long COVID patients and 42 HCs. Fatigued long COVID patients represented a more severe clinical profile than non-fatigued patients, with many concurrent symptoms (median 9 [IQR 5.0-10.0] vs 3 [1.0-5.0] symptoms, p<0.001), and signs of cognitive failure (41%) and depression (>24%). Immune abnormalities that were found in the entire group of long COVID patients were low grade inflammation (increased

inflammatory gene expression in monocytes, increased serum pro-inflammatory cytokines) and signs of T-lymphocyte senescence (increased exhausted CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocytes). Immune profiles did not significantly differ between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups. However, the severity of fatigue (total FAS score) significantly correlated with increases of intermediate and non-classical monocytes, upregulated gene levels of CCL2, CCL7, and SERPINB2 in monocytes, increases in serum Galectin-9, and higher CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte counts.

Conclusion: Long COVID with fatigue is associated with many concurrent and persistent symptoms lasting up to one year after hospitalization. Increased fatigue severity associated with stronger signs of monocyte activation in long COVID patients and potentially point in the direction of monocyte-endothelial interaction. These abnormalities were present against a background of immune abnormalities common to the entire group of long COVID patients.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, long COVID, fatigue, inflammation, monocytes, T-lymphocytes

Introduction

A significant proportion of patients develops long-lasting symptoms after coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Different terms have been used to describe this condition, such as long COVID, post-acute COVID-19 syndrome, post-acute sequelae of COVID-19, long-haulers, or post COVID-19 condition (1, 2). In the current report, we will use the term long COVID, consistent with most literature and the most commonly used terminology amongst patients. Long COVID represents a broad spectrum of - often disabling symptoms. Frequently reported symptoms of long COVID are fatigue, impaired fitness, dyspnea, and neuropsychiatric complaints (3-6). Numerous studies showed the presence of these symptoms beyond 3 months after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, with evidence of persistence even two years after the infection (7). As patients with long COVID differ substantially regarding symptoms, severity, and recovery profile, attempts have been made to discern different clinical phenotypes of long COVID without reaching consensus to date (8-10).

Disabling fatigue is one of the most prominent and debilitating symptoms of long COVID. Studies have reported that up to 41% to 60% of patients who had been hospitalized for COVID-19 still suffer from fatigue one year post-discharge, without evident improvement beyond 6 months and negatively impacting quality of life (5, 11–13). Fatigue may coexist with other symptoms; studies showed that fatigue is associated with neuropsychiatric symptoms, such as depression, in patients one year after hospitalization for COVID-19 (14). We have extensively analyzed the underlying immunopathogenic mechanisms of mood disorders in previous studies (15–18) and similar mechanisms might (partially) underlie the prolonged fatigue in long COVID. This problem thus requires in-depth evaluation regarding its pathogenesis, facilitating future interventions.

The prolonged fatigue state after acute COVID-19 shows clinical similarities with other post-infectious fatigue syndromes, such as that after Coxiella burnetii (Q fever) and Epstein-Barr virus (infectious mononucleosis) infection, and also shows similarities with Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (ME/ CFS) (19-21). The latter is characterized by a range of debilitating symptoms, including fatigue, post-exertional malaise (a worsening of symptoms after minimal physical or mental exertion), sleep disturbances, and neurocognitive impairments (22). Ongoing immune activation, reflected by for instance increased serum cytokine levels and increased circulating CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte numbers, has been described in both post-infectious fatigue conditions and ME/CFS and is thought to play a role in the pathophysiology of these conditions (23, 24). Given the clinical similarities with post-infectious fatigue syndromes and ME/CFS, a similar immune activation may be involved in long COVID.

To date, several studies have identified persistent inflammatory monocyte and T- and B-lymphocyte abnormalities among patients in the convalescent phase of COVID-19 (25–31). Few studies assessed the association between specific symptoms of long COVID with immunological characteristics. However, a comprehensive and in-depth clinical and immunologic assessment focusing on fatigue, one of the most frequently reported symptom of long COVID, is lacking.

This study aimed to compare clinical and immune profiles of long COVID patients with and without fatigue, as well as with ageand sex-matched healthy individuals. We hypothesized that 1. long COVID patients have a distinct immune profile compared to healthy controls and 2. Long COVID with fatigue would exhibit a more severe and/or different clinical and immune profile than those without fatigue, and would show an immune profile comparable to patients with ME/CFS and mood disorders. We performed an immune assessment between 3-6 months after hospital discharge

10.3389/fimmu.2023.1254899

while clinical symptoms, evaluated with patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), were longitudinally assessed for up to one-year post-discharge. We determined the expression of various sets of inflammation-related genes in circulating monocytes, serum levels of inflammation-regulating cytokines, and leukocyte and lymphocyte subsets, including major monocyte subsets and senescent T-lymphocytes. These assays were selected because we have previously shown that these assays revealed abnormalities in immune function in patients with various mental and somatic disorders (15–18, 32–35) and ME/CFS (unpublished data).

Methods

Study participants and procedure

This cross-sectional study (IMMUNOFATIGUE) was carried out at Erasmus Medical Center (MC) Rotterdam, the Netherlands. The study was performed within a prospective cohort study (CO-FLOW) on long-term outcomes in adult patients who had been hospitalized for COVID-19 in the Netherlands (36). Patients were eligible to participate in the IMMUNOFATIGUE study if they visited the outpatient clinic at Erasmus MC between 3 and 6 months after hospital discharge for persistent COVID-19 sequelae. In the Netherlands, it is standard practice to offer post-discharge followup to COVID-19 patients by the discharging hospital. Study blood samples were taken as part of this follow-up. Demographics and clinical characteristics at hospital admission were retrospectively collected from electronic medical records and via a questionnaire. We reported comorbidity as this was either reported in the medical records or self-reported.

A group of age- and sex-matched healthy controls (HCs) without a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (self-reported) was recruited among hospital visitors. HCs were asked whether they had been vaccinated against COVID-19 and were screened for fatigue and depression using the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) questionnaires. HCs who showed indications of chronic depression or fatigue, based on established cut-off scores that are described below, were excluded. All other HCs were included in the study, as they were appropriately age- and sex-matched to the fatigued long COVID group (no further selection necessary). Information on the sample size calculation is provided in the Supplementary Methods. All patients and HCs provided written informed consent before the start of study measurements. The Medical Ethics Committee of Erasmus MC approved the study (MEC-2020-1893).

PROMs

PROMs were collected in all patients as part of the CO-FLOW study at 3, 6, and 12 months post-hospital discharge (36). For the current IMMUNOFATIGUE study, the Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI-21) was added.

Fatigue was assessed with the FAS questionnaire, assessing physical and mental fatigue, which was initially validated in

patients with sarcoidosis but also used in other diseases (37, 38). The FAS consists of 10-items rated on a 5-points Likert scale. The total FAS score ranges from 10 to 50, with higher scores indicating increased severity of fatigue. We used a \geq 22 cut-off value to indicate substantial fatigue, categorizing patients into fatigued and nonfatigued groups. This value is derived from studies examining sarcoidosis-related fatigue and is also used to indicate fatigue in patients with other conditions, including long COVID (4, 39, 40). Patients filled out a symptom questionnaire (Corona Symptom Checklist [CSC], Supplementary Methods) to assess the presence of new or worsened symptoms following acute SARS-CoV-2 infection on a binary scale (yes or no). In this study, we included a selection of 12 typical long COVID symptoms. Several symptoms (such as chest pain, sensory overload, and headache) could not be taken into account as they were added to this questionnaire in a later stage and contain incomplete data (5). Dyspnea was assessed with the Modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnea Scale (41), the questionnaire scales the severity of dyspnea from 0 (no dyspnea) to 4 (severe dyspnea); scores ≥ 2 were considered representative for the presence of dyspnea symptom. Anxiety and depression were assessed with the HADS and BDI-21. The HADS consists of the subscales anxiety and depression, a subscale score (range 0-21) ≥ 11 is considered clinically significant (42). Depressive symptoms were also assessed with the BDI-21, scores (range 0-63) 0-13 denote no/ minimal signs of depression, 14-19 mild depression, 20-28 moderate depression, and 29-63 severe depression (43, 44). Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) was measured with the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) (45), with scores (range 0-88) ≥33 representing clinically significant PTSD. Cognitive failures in everyday life were assessed with the Cognitive Failure Questionnaire, with scores (range 0-100) >43 indicating cognitive failure (46, 47). Health-Related Quality of Life (HR-QoL) was assessed with the 36-item Short Form survey (SF-36) (48). The SF-36 measures general health status and consists of eight domains: physical functioning, social functioning, physical role functioning, emotional role functioning, mental health, vitality, bodily pain, and general health perception. Each domain score ranges 0-100, with lower scores indicating more disability.

Blood collection and preparation

Serum and sodium heparinized peripheral blood samples were collected from patients during post-discharge follow-up (March to October 2021) and from HCs between July and November 2021. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by lowdensity gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-density separation shortly after blood draw to avoid erythrophagy-related activation of the monocytes. Isolated PBMC were frozen in 10%-dimetylsulfoxide and stored in liquid nitrogen.

Lymphocyte immunophenotyping

Staining A, the absolute counts of total leukocytes (CD45⁺), Natural Killer (NK) cells (CD3⁻CD16⁺CD56⁺), B-lymphocytes (CD19⁺), T-lymphocytes (CD3⁺), CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes, and CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes were determined with a clinical laboratory ISO 15189 accredited method. Staining was performed on whole blood using multitest 6-color T-B-NK reagent (BD Biosciences). Flowcytometric analyses were performed using a FACS Canto II instrument (BD Biosciences).

Staining B, the percentages of CD4⁺ T helper lymphocyte subsets were determined using a 8-color (membrane and intracellular) staining. PBMC were thawed and the recovery and viability of cells were 68% and 97% respectively, as assessed by Trypan blue staining. A total of $1 \ge 10^6$ of defrosted PBMCs were stimulated for 4 h at 37 °C in RPMI-1640 culture medium with 50 ng/ml phorbol 12-myristrate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 1.0 µg/ml ionomycin (Sigma) in the presence of Golgistop (BD Biosciences). CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets were identified by their secreting cytokines: T helper (Th)1 (CD3⁺CD4⁺IFNγ⁺), Th2 (CD3⁺CD4⁺IL4⁺), Th17 (CD3⁺CD4⁺IL17A⁺). Regulatory T-lymphocytes (T_{reg}) were identified by their transcription factor FOXP3 (CD3⁺CD4⁺CD25highFOXP3⁺). The percentages of these CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets are expressed as percentage of total lymphocytes.

Staining C, a more in-depth analysis of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ Tlymphocyte subsets was performed using a second vial of PBMCs that had been thawed. After thawing, the recovery and viability of cells were 69% and 97% respectively, as assessed by Trypan blue staining. A total of 1,5 - 2 x 10⁶ PBMCs were stained with a cocktail of CD45-V500, CD45RA-BB515, CD3-Alexa Fluor700, CD4-BUV805, CD8-BUV395, CD197-BV421, CD279-RB780 (BD Biosciences), CD28-BV711, CD27-APC and CD57-PE (BioLegend) for 15 minutes at room temperature, washed twice with PBS, pH 7.8 and subsequently stained with viability dye Zombie NIR (BioLegend). 500.000 events in a live/CD45 stopping gate were collected on an Aurora-5 laser instrument. The analysis was performed using FlowJo software. Quadrant gating strategy on CD45RA and CD197 (CCR7) was used to define the CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets: naïve-like (CD45RA⁺CD197⁺), central memory (T_{CM}, CD45RA⁻CD197⁺), effector memory (T_{EM}, CD45RA⁻CD197⁻) and effector memory RA (T_{EMRA}, CD45RA⁺CD197⁻) (Supplementary Figure S1). Within each indicated T-lymphocyte subset, the expressions of CD27 and CD28 as well as CD279 and CD57 were assessed. The percentages of the T-lymphocyte subsets in staining C are presented as percentages of total T-lymphocytes. The monoclonal antibodies used in each staining are in more detail described in Supplementary Table S1.

Monocyte subsets

Staining D, the percentages of classical monocytes, intermediate monocytes, and non-classical monocytes were determined using a 5-color membrane staining. Thawed PBMC used for staining C (see above) were also used for further monocyte subset analysis. A total of 1 x 10^6 PBMCs were stained with a cocktail of CD45-PO (Life Technologies), CD64-APC (BD Pharmingen), CD66b-BV421 (BD

Horizon), CD14-APC-H7 (BD Biosciences), CD16-PE-Cy7 (Invitrogen) for 30 minutes at RT, lysed with BD Lysing solution (BD Biosciences) for 10 min at RT and washed with PBS pH 7.8. Monocytes were measured using a BD FACS Lyric flowcytometer. The analysis was performed using BD FACSSuite software. Monocytes (CD45⁺CD64⁺CD66⁻) were defined as: classical monocytes (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻), intermediate monocytes (CD14⁺ ⁺CD16⁺), and non-classical monocytes (CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺) (49). For the monoclonal antibodies used in staining D, see Supplementary Table S1.

Monocyte gene expression

We assessed 34 genes of a previously established inflammationrelated gene signature found in mood-disorder patients (15, 16, 18, 32, 33) and patients with ME/CFS (unpublished data). Expression of inflammation-related genes in monocytes were assessed using procedures that have been described in previous publications (18). Briefly, CD14⁺ monocytes were isolated from thawed PBMCs by magnetic cell sorting (Automacs Pro Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and RNA was isolated (Qiagen RNeasy mini kit). The average viability and the purity of monocytes were both 95% as determined by Trypan blue staining and flow cytometry. Subsequently, RNA (0,5 µg) was reverse transcribed (High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit; Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific) to obtain cDNA for quantitative-polymerase chain reaction (q-PCR). qPCR was performed using TaqMan Gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems). The expression levels of genes were determined using the comparative cycle (CT) method. All values were normalized to the housekeeping gene ABL1 (Δ CT values). Gene expression values of patients were also expressed relative to the average ΔCT value of HCs ($\Delta \Delta CT$ values). The following genes were evaluated: ABCA1, ABCG1, ADM, BAX, BCL10, BCL2A1, CCL2, CCL20, CCL7, CXCL2, DUSP2, EGR1, EGR2, EMP1, HMOX1, IFI44, IFI44L, IFIT1, IFIT3, IL1A, IL1B, IL1R1, IL6, MAFF, MAPK6, MRC1, MVK, MX1, MXD1, NR1H3, PTX3, SERPINB2, TNF, and TNFAIP3 (Supplementary Table S2).

Cytokine and soluble cell surface molecule measurement

The following cytokines and soluble cell surface molecules were measured in serum with a Luminex multiplex bead-based assay (R&D Systems Europe, Abingdon, United Kingdom): brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL)2, CCL7, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL)9 and CXCL10, cluster of differentiation 163 (CD163), Galectin-9, granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interferon (IFN)- α , IFN- β , IFN- γ , interleukin (IL)-6, IL-7, IL-10, IL-12, P-selectin, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 1 (TIM-1), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α). IL-6 levels were determined by a high sensitivity ELISA (apDia, Turnhout, Belgium) and serine protease inhibitor B2 (SERPINB2) levels by ELISA (R&D Systems Europe). All assays were performed following the manufacturer's protocol.

Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) and cytomegalovirus (CMV) assessment

Active EBV and CMV were determined in randomly selected patients from the fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups to assess whether symptoms could be attributed to viral reactivation, as suggested in the literature (50). EBV and CMV DNA load was measured using internally controlled quantitative real-time Taqman PCR based on assays performed as published previously (51, 52). For EBV a value over the lower limit of quantification of >100 IU/mL indicated the presence of active virus, and for CMV this was >50 IU/mL.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as the median and interquartile range (IQR) and categorical variables as a number and percentage. Differences in demographics and clinical characteristics at hospital admission between groups of fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and a Fisher's Exact test for dichotomous categorical variables. The number of clinical symptoms was calculated using 14 typical long COVID symptoms, 12 symptoms from the CSC and the symptoms fatigue (FAS) and dyspnea (mMRC Dyspnea Scale).

For gene expression levels in monocytes, we first identified clusters of mutually correlating genes. Hierarchical cluster analysis of gene expression levels in monocytes was performed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient matrix. Missing gene values (0.7% of COVID-19 patients and 1.4% of HCs) were imputed with the median of patient or HC value. For single gene expressions we used $\Delta\Delta$ CT values and p-values were calculated with Wilcoxon signed rank test using the Benjamini-Hochberg-method for multiple testing. In the serum analysis, cytokines and soluble cell surface molecules positive in >20% of patients were used in the analysis (Supplementary Table S3). A chi-square test was performed to assess differences in the number of patients with and without detectable cytokine and soluble cell surface molecule levels across groups of fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients and HCs (Supplementary Table S3). Values below the lower limit of detection (LOD) were imputed by half of the lowest value observed of a given cytokine and extreme outliers (TNF- α n=1, IFN- β n=1, IFN- γ n=1, CXCL9 n=2, SERPINB2 n=2) were removed due to potential erratic measurements. We performed a Mann-Whitney U test to compare immune characteristics between the groups of long COVID patients and HCs. To compare immune features of fatigued and nonfatigued long COVID patients and HCs, we performed a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a post-hoc test using Bonferroni correction for multiple group comparisons. In addition, for cytokines with

imputed data, data were also categorized (<LOD vs. \geq LOD) and compared across groups using a chi-square test.

We also analyzed the severity of fatigue (total FAS score) as a continuous outcome in relation to immune characteristics. In preliminary analyses, we considered age, sex, BMI, pre-existing diabetes, the number of days in the hospital, and the number of days between SARS-CoV-2 infection and follow-up as factors potentially associated with fatigue severity. The association between continuous variables and fatigue severity was assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, while differences in fatigue severity for categorical variables were assessed with the Mann-Whitney U test; none of these variables were significantly associated with the total FAS score and were therefore not included in further analyses as potential confounders. The associations between fatigue severity and immune parameters were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics version 28 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R software version 1.4.2.

Results

Participants

We included 37 long COVID patients who experienced substantial fatigue (total FAS score \geq 22) at the time of collecting blood samples, from here on referred to as fatigued long COVID patients. As a contrast group, we included 36 long COVID patients who did not experience substantial fatigue (total FAS score <22, non-fatigued long COVID), representing patients with mild or no symptoms of fatigue. We included a group of 42 age- and sexmatched HCs; the characteristics of HCs' are shown in Supplementary Table S4. FAS items scores in fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups and HCs are presented in Supplementary Table S5. All patients had been discharged from hospital between October 2020 and May 2021, representing patients with SARS-CoV-2 alpha variant. The median follow-up time (day of blood sampling) since hospital discharge was 107.0 (IQR 92.5-138.5) days.

The patient's demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table S6. Among the fatigued long COVID patients, the median age was 58.0 (55.0-66.0) years, 24 (64.9%) were male, 18 (48.6%) had been treated in the intensive care unit (ICU) for COVID-19, and the length of stay (LOS) in hospital was 17.0 (9.0-26.0) days. In non-fatigued long COVID patients, the median age was 61.0 (52.3-67.0) years, 26 (72.2%) were male, 22 (61.1%) had been treated in the ICU for COVID-19, and the LOS in hospital was 15.0 (10.0-26.8) days. Demographic and clinical characteristics at hospital admission did not differ significantly between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients (Supplementary Table S6). The median age of HCs was 62.0 (51.8-68.3) years (p=0.95, comparison with fatigued long COVID group) and 26 (61.9%) were male (p=0.82).

Clinical characteristics

The PROMs in the fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients at the time of collecting blood samples are presented in Table 1. In the fatigued long COVID group, low energy levels throughout the day and difficulties with concentration were prominent features of the fatigue (Supplementary Table S5). Regarding the main symptoms, collectively, all fatigued long COVID patients reported \geq 3 symptoms. Other PROMs showed that 40.5% of these patients experienced cognitive failure and signs of depression were found via HADS-D score in 24.3% and via BDI-21 score in 37.2% of the patients. The fatigued long COVID patients experienced significantly more symptoms and reduced HRQoL outcomes as compared to non-fatigued long COVID patients (Table 1). In the non-fatigued long COVID group, 21 (65.6%) patients reported \geq 3 symptoms and 3 (9.1%) patients experienced cognitive failure, signs of depression were not found.

Figure 1 presents the recovery profile of the main symptoms during the first year after hospital discharge. The fatigued patients showed hardly any clinical improvement over time, as 95.0% of the patients reported \geq 3 symptoms at one year follow-up. Symptoms were overall less prevalent in non-fatigued patients as compared to the fatigued patients. However, still 55.6% of non-fatigued patients reported \geq 3 symptoms at one year follow-up.

Circulating leukocyte and lymphocyte subsets

We performed enumerations of circulating leukocytes, NK cells, B-lymphocytes, T-lymphocytes, and CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ Tlymphocytes; Supplementary Table S7 shows the outcomes per group. Counts of these sets of cells did not show significant differences between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups, only in comparison to healthy controls (HCs). When analyzed as a single group, the group of long COVID patients showed significantly increased counts of leukocytes and total Tlymphocytes compared to HCs; these increases were due to increases in CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte counts (Figure 2A). Considering fatigue as a graded outcome, we found that increased counts of CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes significantly correlated with increased fatigue severity (total FAS score, r=0.24, p=0.043) (Figure 2A).

In a more in-depth analysis of the CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ Tlymphocyte subsets (see Supplementary Table S8 for the outcomes per group), the percentages of these subsets did not differ significantly between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups. We found a significant increase in the percentage of CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocytes and the subsets of late stage/ exhausted CD279⁺CD57⁺ CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocytes and CD27⁻ CD28⁻ CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocytes in the entire group of long COVID patients compared to HCs (Figure 2B). Percentages of naïve CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes (CD45RA⁺CCR7⁻) and CD4⁺ T_{reg}⁻ lymphocytes (CD25⁺FOXP3⁺) were significantly decreased in long COVID patients compared to HCs, while the percentage of exhausted/senescent CD4⁺ T_{EM}-lymphocytes (CD279⁺CD57⁺) were increased (Figure 2C). There were no significant correlations found between the percentages of the various CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets and the fatigue severity in long COVID patients.

Monocyte subsets

Figure 3A presents the percentages of classical (CD14⁺CD16⁻), intermediate (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺), and non-classical (CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺) monocytes across groups. The percentages of these populations did not differ significantly between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups (Supplementary Table S9). The entire group of long COVID patients showed a significantly reduced percentage of classical monocytes and an increased percentage of non-classical monocytes compared to HCs (Figure 3A). We found a significant negative correlation between the percentage of classical monocytes and the fatigue severity (r=-0.28, p=0.02), as well as a significant positive correlation between the percentages of intermediate (r=0.28, p=0.02) and non-classical (r=0.31, p=0.009) monocytes and the fatigue severity (Figure 3B).

Monocyte gene activation

A gene expression analysis was performed in the total population of CD14⁺ monocytes. Hierarchical clustering of gene expression levels in monocytes revealed three main gene clusters (Figure 4A), similar to the gene clusters found in previous studies in major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar disorder, and various autoimmune disorders (thyroid autoimmune disease, type 1 diabetes, Sjögren disease and SLE) (16, 18, 53, 54). These clusters represent strong mutually correlating genes within each cluster; only cluster C genes correlated weaker amongst themselves. Gene cluster A was composed of inflammation-regulation genes and genes related to adhesion, chemotaxis, apoptosis, and pyroptotic mechanisms. Cluster B consisted of type 1 IFN driven inflammation-related genes. Cluster C consisted of genes involved in mitochondrial anti-inflammatory action and cholesterol pump genes.

Figure 4B shows the gene expression pattern in monocytes for the fatigued and non-fatigued patients relatively to the expression levels of HCs; differences between fatigued and non-fatigued patients did not reach significance and are therefore not shown. Both long COVID groups were characterized by a significantly overexpression of many cluster A inflammation-regulating genes (e.g. CCL7, CCL20, IL-6) as well as some cluster C genes (BAX, HMOX1) as compared to HCs. The cholesterol pump genes (ABCA1, ABCG1, NR1H3) and the M2 macrophage marker MRC1 were significantly downregulated. Normal expression levels were found for the type 1 IFN induced genes (ISGs) in cluster B. This profile represents a strong pro-inflammatory pyrogenic state of the monocytes.

Upregulated levels of the cluster A inflammatory genes CCL2 (r=0.29, p=0.016), CCL7 (r=0.24, p=0.048), and SERPINB2 (r=0.28, p=0.022) in monocytes were significantly correlated to increased fatigue severity (Figure 4C); significant correlations were not found for the other genes.

TABLE 1 Patient-reported outcome measures in fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups.

	Fatigued long COVID (n=37)	Non-fatigued long COVID (n=36)	P value	
Number of symptoms ^a	9 (5.0-10.0)	3 (1.0-5.0)	<0.001	
≥3 symptoms	37 (100.0)	21 (65.6)	<0.001	
FAS				
Total FAS score	31.0 (28.0-36.0)	17.0 (14.0-18.0)	<0.001	
Fatigue (≥22)	37 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	<0.001	
CSC				
Impaired fitness	37 (100.0)	25 (69.4)	<0.001	
Muscle weakness	29 (78.4)	10 (27.8)	<0.001	
Concentration problems	27 (73.0)	8 (22.2)	<0.001	
Memory problems	27 (73.0)	11 (30.6)	<0.001	
Dizziness/balance difficulties	26 (70.3)	8 (22.2)	<0.001	
Tingling and/or pain in extremities	20 (54.1)	11 (30.6)	0.059	
Joint complaints	19 (51.4)	12 (34.3)	0.16	
Sleep disturbances	18 (48.6)	8 (22.2)	0.027	
Hair loss	18 (48.6)	10 (27.8)	0.093	
Dysgeusia	12 (32.4)	1 (2.8)	0.001	
Anosmia	10 (27.0)	5 (13.9)	0.25	
Cough	9 (24.3)	4 (11.1)	0.22	
mMRC Dyspnea Scale				
Dyspnea ^b	9 (25.7)	2 (6.1)	0.046	
Grade 0	12 (34.3)	15 (45.5)		
Grade 1	12 (34.3)	2 (6.1)		
Grade 2	8 (22.9)	2 (6.1)		
Grade 3	1 (2.9)	0 (0.0)		
Grade 4	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		
HADS				
Total HADS score	13.0 (9.0-18.0)	4.0 (2.0-6.8)	<0.001	
Anxiety (HADS-A ≥11)	5 (13.5)	0 (0.0)	0.054	
Depression (HADS-D ≥11)	9 (24.3)	0 (0.0)	0.002	
BDI-21				
Total BDI score	10.0 (6.0-15.0)	3.0 (0.5-6.0)	<0.001	
None/minimal depression	22 (62.9)	33 (100.0)	<0.001	
Mild depression	8 (22.9)	0 (0.0)	0.005	
Moderate depression	5 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	0.054	
Severe depression	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	n.a.	
IES-R				
Total impact score	17.0 (9.5-25.5)	6.0 (3.0-10.0)	<0.001	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Fatigued long COVID (n=37)	Non-fatigued long COVID (n=36)	P value	
PTSD (≥33)	5 (13.5)	1 (2.9)	0.20	
CFQ				
Total CFQ score	38.0 (26.5-47.5)	15.0 (10.5-26.5)	<0.001	
Cognitive failure (>43)	15 (40.5)	3 (9.1)	0.003	
SF-36				
Physical functioning	50.0 (40.0-67.5)	85.0 (70.0-95.0)	<0.001	
Social functioning	62.5 (43.8-75.0)	100.0 (87.5-100.0)	<0.001	
Physical role functioning	0 (0.0-25.0)	100.0 (50.0-100.0)	<0.001	
Emotional role functioning	33.3 (0.0-100.0)	100.0 (100.0-100.0)	<0.001	
Mental health	64.0 (56.0-72.0)	92.0 (84.0-96.0)	<0.001	
Vitality	45.0 (35.0-50.0)	77.5 (70.0-85.0)	<0.001	
Bodily pain	57.5 (45.0-72.5)	90.0 (67.5-100.0)	<0.001	
General health perception	45.0 (32.5-57.5)	65.0 (55.0-85.0)	<0.001	

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%) for groups of fatigued (total FAS score ≥22) and non-fatigued (total FAS score <22) long COVID patients. Significant group differences between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and the Fisher Exact's test for dichotomous categorical variables. In the fatigued long COVID group, missing values for the variables mMRC Dyspnea Scale (n=2) and BDI-21 (n=2) and in the non-fatigued long COVID group for the variables ≥3 symptoms (n=3), joint complaints (n=1), mMRC Dyspnea Scale (n=3), BDI-21 (n=3), IES-R (n=1), and CFQ (n=3). BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CFQ, Cognitive Failure Questionnaire; CSC, corona symptom checklist; FAS, Fatigue Assessment Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; IES-R, Impact of Event Scale-Revised; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; SF-36, 36-Item Short Form Health Survey.

^aSymptoms (n=14) comprise all symptoms from the CSC, fatigue (total FAS score ≥22), and dyspnea (mMRC dyspnea scale grade ≥2).

^bDyspnea was indicated by grade ≥2.

Serum cytokine and soluble cell surface molecule levels

The level of the various tested inflammation regulating cytokines and soluble cell surface molecules in serum was evaluated to further investigate the inflammatory state of long COVID patients. These levels did not differ significantly between the fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID groups, both groups did show altered levels in comparison to HCs (Supplementary Table S10). The entire long COVID group showed significantly increased serum levels of Galectin-9, IL-6, TNF- α , CXCL10, CD163, and CCL2 compared to HCs (Figure 5A). Levels of CXCL9, SERPINB2, IFN- β , and IFN- γ were significantly reduced in long COVID patients compared to HCs (Figure 5A).

In terms of fatigue severity, a significant positive correlation was found between serum Galectin-9 levels and the fatigue severity (r=0.24, p=0.039) (Figure 5B), a trend toward significance was found for CD163 levels (r=0.21, p=0.078), but not for the other measured inflammatory mediators.

No signs of EBV and CMV reactivation in long COVID patients

We randomly selected 10 (27.0%) fatigued and 19 (52.8%) nonfatigued long COVID patients to test for the viral load of EBV and CMV. None of the tested patients showed viral loads that exceeded the limit of quantification, and we therefore did not perform further tests on the remaining patients.

Discussion

This study focused on long COVID patients with fatigue, one of the most disabling symptoms of long COVID, and provides insight into the clinical and immune profiles of fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients. Fatigued patients represent a more severe clinical profile of long COVID than non-fatigued patients and are characterized by many concurrent and generally persistent symptoms lasting up to one year of follow-up. On a group level, we did not find statistically significant differences between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients in immune profiles at 3-6 months after hospital discharge, with both groups showing abnormalities only in comparison with HCs. Taken the fatigued and non-fatigued groups together, long COVID patients were characterized by a state of low grade inflammation and signs of T-lymphocyte senescence. As such, our long COVID patients exhibit immune disturbances that share similarities to immune disturbances seen in convalescent COVID-19 patients and patients with ME/CFS and MDD (18, 23, 27, 30, 55).

Our study suggests thus that long COVID with fatigue is not associated with a clearly distinct immunotype but rather a part of



the broader spectrum, as based on the immune parameters assessed in our study. Nevertheless, our data show that increased severity of fatigue associate with immune parameters involved in monocyte activation, although the observed correlation coefficients were weak. Altered monocyte activation is commonly reported in convalescent COVID-19 patients, but has not yet been linked to graded fatigue (56, 57). Of the observed immune parameters correlating to fatigue severity, non-classical monocytes interact with and patrol the vessel walls (58), SERPINB2 (also known as plasminogen activator inhibitor-2; PAI-2) is a coagulation regulator (59), and important in the interaction of monocytes with endothelium, while CCL2 and CCL7 are chemokines raised in monocytes passing through the vessel wall. Endotheliopathy and microvascular thrombosis have been proposed as the basis for long COVID symptoms (60). It is known that endothelial damage and coagulation/thrombus formation do occur in the interaction of inflammatory monocytes with endothelial cells (61). Moreover, the generally raised proinflammatory cytokines in serum can also induce processes that affect coagulation (62).

Additionally, serum levels of Galectin-9 were also positively associated with fatigue severity in long COVID patients. Galectin-9 is considered a marker of severity of a variety of immune diseases and acute and chronic infectious diseases, including COVID-19 disease (63, 64). In a recent study, Du et al. suggested that Galectin-9 may potentially be involved in enhancing SARS-CoV-2 replication (65). However, further studies are needed to better understand this potential mechanism. Patterson et al. found that the levels of intermediate and non-classical monocyte were significantly elevated



CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte and CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets in fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients. Fatigue was defined as a total score of \geq 22 on the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) questionnaire. Data of CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte and CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets did not differ significantly between groups of fatigued (n=37) and non-fatigued (n=33) long COVID patients. Significant group differences are presented for the entire group of long COVID patients as compared to healthy controls (n=42) using the Mann-Whitney U test, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001. (A) CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte counts across groups. The correlation between CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte counts and the fatigue severity (total FAS score) in long COVID patients was assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. (B) The assessment of CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte subsets showed an increased percentages of CD8⁺ T_{EMRA} lymphocytes, particularly CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocyte expressing CD27⁺CD57⁺, and CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocyte expressing CD27⁺CD57⁺, and CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocytes, regulatory CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes (CD4⁺CD25highFOXP3⁺), and CD4⁺ T_{EM} lymphocytes expressing CD279⁺CD57⁺ in long COVID patients as compared to healthy controls.

in long COVID patients up to 15 months post-infection, with a significant number of non-classical monocytes containing SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (57). Individually, the observed immune parameters showed only a weak association with increased fatigue severity in our long COVID patients. However, collectively, they potentially point towards stronger monocyte-endothelial interaction, possibly viral-induced, in the more severe forms of long COVID characterized by considerable fatigue.

Few studies have explored the association between immune abnormalities and the fatigue symptom of long COVID, often evaluating fatigue as a dichotomous outcome rather than a graded outcome (26, 31, 66–68). We have evaluated the published dataset of Su et al. to validate our findings on classical and nonclassical monocyte subsets (31). Similar to our findings, the percentage of these monocyte subsets did not differ significantly between their groups of fatigued and non-fatigued COVID-19 patients around 3 months after hospitalization. Sommen et al. found raised blood levels of MCP-1/CCL2 and IP-10/CXCL10 in non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients at 6 months follow-up, which levels did not correlate with fatigue severity (66), similar to our findings in hospitalized patients. Consistent with a study conducted around a similar follow-up time (68), we observed increased serum TNF- α levels in long COVID patients but we did not find an association between TNF- α levels and fatigue severity. Our findings in patients with increased fatigue severity were present against a background of various immune abnormalities common to the



FIGURE 3

Percentages of classical, intermediate, and non-classical monocytes in fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients. Fatigue was defined as a total score of \geq 22 on the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) questionnaire. (A) The percentages of classical monocytes (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻), intermediate monocytes (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺⁺), and non-classical monocytes (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺⁺) in groups of fatigued (n=35) and non-fatigued (n=34) long COVID patients and healthy controls (n=40). The percentage of monocyte subsets did not differ significantly between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients. Significant group differences are presented for the entire group of long COVID patients as compared to healthy controls using the Mann-Whitney U test, *p<0.05. (B) The correlation between the percentage of monocyte subsets and the fatigue severity (total FAS score) in long COVID patients was assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

entire group of long COVID patients, in line with previous studies (27, 30, 55, 66).

The entire long COVID group also showed signs of T-lymphocyte senescence, characterized by decreased frequencies of naïve CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes and CD4⁺FOXP3⁺ T_{reg}-lymphocytes. Moreover, the long COVID group displayed increased total blood numbers of CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-lymphocytes and an increased frequency of (late stage differentiated) CD8⁺ T_{EMRA}-lymphocytes, many of which showed signs of exhaustion/senescence (CD279⁺CD57⁺). Signs of T-lymphocyte exhaustion/senescence have been reported before in convalescent COVID-19 patients (26, 69). Phetsouphanh et al. also found that a proportion of their long COVID patients lacked naïve T-lymphocytes (27). Wiech and colleagues reported an immuno-senescent profile of particularly the CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte population in patients 6 months after severe COVID-19, but the authors did not to find an association with long COVID symptoms, including fatigue (26).

Premature aging of the immune system is known to be induced by chronic viral infections, such as chronic CMV infection (26, 70). This type of immune activation may play a role in long COVID, since studies have shown re-activation of herpesviruses in long COVID patients (50, 71). However, we did not observe signs of EBV and CMV activation in our long COVID patients, similar to the study of Su et al. (31). It is tempting to speculate that the here described T-lymphocyte abnormalities in long COVID patients are caused by an ongoing "hidden" SARS-CoV-2 infection, while also inducing low grade inflammation. This is in line with a current hypothesis on viral persistence as a potential causal factor in long COVID (72).

The here found reduced levels of type I and type II IFNs in serum and the non-activation of the type I IFN gene cluster in monocytes is thus intriguing and we assume that this might be a sign of poor innate immunity to viral infection in long COVID patients.

The clinical and immune profile of our long COVID patients showed similarities to that of ME/CFS and MDD patients (14, 17, 18, 23, 35, 73, 74). Therefore, we can learn from what is known in these conditions, which could potentially have implications for long COVID. Other associations found in ME/CFS and MDD between inflammation and abnormality in the central regulation of energy metabolism in the brain stem and mood regulation in the limbic system may also be of interest to long COVID research (75–79). However, future studies directly comparing clinical and immunologic profiles of long COVID with ME/CFS and MDD patients are needed to assess which characteristics, if any, are uniquely associated to long COVID.

The findings from our study may support potential pharmacological interventions in long COVID. Antiinflammatory agents, such as minocycline, dexamethasone, and anti-IL6, might be instrumental in dampening the excessive inflammatory processes. Low dose IL-2 might be instrumental in correcting the reduced CD4⁺ T_{reg} lymphocytes and reduced naïve CD4⁺ T-lymphocytes (80, 81). Agents stimulating type 1 IFN production, such as TLR-7 and TLR-9 stimulators (e.g.



FIGURE 4

Gene expression levels in monocytes in fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients. The expression level of genes were normalized to the housekeeping gene ABL1 (Δ CT values) and expressed relative to the average Δ CT value of healthy controls ($\Delta\Delta$ CT values). (A) Three main clusters of mutually correlating monocyte genes can be identified. Cluster A comprises inflammation-regulation genes and genes related to adhesion, chemotaxis, apoptosis, and pyroptotic mechanisms of the cells. Cluster B comprises genes related to type 1 interferon driven inflammation. Cluster C comprises mainly genes related to mitochondrial anti-inflammatory action and cholesterol pump genes. Correlations between genes were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. (B) Fatigue was defined as a total score of \geq 22 on the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) questionnaire. Single gene expression levels in fatigued (n=35) and non-fatigued (n=34) long COVID patients, data are presented as mean values and are expressed relatively to the expression level of healthy controls (n=42); the intensity of red reflects higher expression levels in monocytes between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients (data not shown). Significant differences were found in the gene expression levels in monocytes between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients (data not shown). Significant differences in single gene expression levels in long COVID groups as compared to healthy controls were assessed with a Wilcoxon signed rank test using Benjamini-Hochberg-method for multiple testing, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001. (C) The correlation between gene expression levels in monocytes and the fatigue severity (total FAS score) in long COVID patients.

rintatolimod), might be instrumental in inducing IFN production (82, 83). Rintatolimod has been used with some success in ME/CFS and will be tested for long COVID (84, 85). The described immune correcting agents may have to be combined with an antiviral agent to combat putative hidden viral reservoirs. Studies should be undertaken to further confirm the role of this putative reservoirs.

This study focused on long COVID patients with fatigue, one of the most common, disabling, and persistent symptoms in long COVID. Other strengths of our study include the comprehensive assessment of both clinical and immune characteristics in long COVID patients with graded fatigue severity. Given the high prevalence of overlapping symptoms in fatigued long COVID patients, the fatigue symptom may be a proxy for severe long COVID, indicating that our immunologic findings may be the consequence of severe long COVID. As diverse symptoms coexist in long COVID patients, it is possible that the immune alterations found in our long COVID patients may also associate with symptoms other than fatigue, which we did not analyze in this study. However, other studies have described associations between immune alterations and symptoms other than fatigue in long COVID (31, 67, 68). Our study is limited by the absence of an in-depth analysis of NK and B cells. The immunological assessment was performed at one point in time whereas other longitudinal studies reported interesting immune dynamics during convalescence of COVID-19 (27, 30, 31). Our study lacks a group of convalescent COVID-19 patients without signs of long COVID. We, therefore, cannot confirm that our findings can solely be attributed to the disease condition of long COVID rather than being a recovery sign of COVID-19 3-6 months after hospitalization. It is encouraging that other studies



using the Mann-Whitney U test, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001. (B) The correlation between Galectin-9 levels and the fatigue severity (total FAS score) in long COVID patients was assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. CCL, C-C motif chemokine ligand; CXCL, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand; CD163, cluster of differentiation 163; IL, interleukin; IFN, interferon,; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

have found that excessive signs of low grade inflammation and high CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte activity typify long COVID patients amongst the convalescent COVID-19 patients (25, 27, 30). We conducted multiple tests to assess group differences in immune parameters using the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Bonferroni corrected *post-hoc* testing for multiple group comparisons. Since we did not correct the overall α threshold for the number of tested immune parameters across assay systems, this may increase the chances of false positive findings in our study. Notwithstanding, our findings are in line with previous studies on the immunological abnormalities in long COVID patients (27, 30, 55). Long COVID patients should be followed for a longer period of time evaluating both clinical and immune profiles.

In conclusion, this study shows that long COVID patients with fatigue represent a more severe clinical profile of long COVID than non-fatigued patients, showing many concurrent and generally persistent symptoms lasting up to one year of follow-up. Our findings suggest that fatigue is not associated with a clearly distinct immunotype of long COVID, but rather a part of the broader spectrum. On a group level, we observed no statistically significant differences in immune profiles between fatigued and non-fatigued long COVID patients. However, long COVID patients with increased fatigue severity correlated with stronger signs of monocyte activation and potentially point towards monocyteendothelial interaction. As one group, patients with long COVID were characterized by a definite state of low grade inflammation and signs of T-lymphocyte senescence. The diversity of immune abnormalities indicates that personalized therapies combatting the diverse immune abnormalities may be required to alleviate the persisting disabling complaints of the patients.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Medical Ethics Committee of Erasmus MC. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

WD and MH shared senior authorship and contributed equally to this paper. All authors contributed to the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. JB, MH performed the statistical analysis. DAM, AW, CGvK, MK, JV, NN, MB, WD performed the laboratory assessments. JB, HD, WD, MH drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised and approved the final version of the manuscript. HD, WD, MH provided supervision.

Funding

This work is part of the CO-FLOW study which is funded by the COVID-19 Program Care and Prevention of The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw, grant number 10430022010026), and Rijndam Rehabilitation and Laurens (both in Rotterdam, The Netherlands). This work was also funded by the H2020 EU MOODSTRATIFICATION project (grant number H2020-SC1-2016-2017/H2020SC1-2017-Two-Stage-RTD). The funding sources had no role in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, writing of the report, and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

References

1. Yelin D, Moschopoulos CD, Margalit I, Gkrania-Klotsas E, Landi F, Stahl J-P, et al. Escmid rapid guidelines for assessment and management of long covid. *Clin Microbiol Infection* (2022) 28(7):955–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.02.018

2. Munblit D, Nicholson T, Akrami A, Apfelbacher C, Chen J, De Groote W, et al. A core outcome set for post-covid-19 condition in adults for use in clinical practice and research: an international delphi consensus study. *Lancet Respir Med* (2022) 10(7):715–24. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(22)00169-2

3. Soriano JB, Murthy S, Marshall JC, Relan P, Diaz JVCondition WHOCCDWGoP-C-. A clinical case definition of post-covid-19 condition by a delphi consensus. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2022) 22(4):e102–e7. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00703-9

4. Davis HE, Assaf GS, McCorkell L, Wei H, Low RJ, Re'em Y, et al. Characterizing long covid in an international cohort: 7 months of symptoms and their impact. *EClinicalMedicine* (2021) 38:101019. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101019

5. Bek LM, Berentschot JC, Heijenbrok-Kal MH, Huijts S, van Genderen ME, Vlake JH, et al. Symptoms persisting after hospitalization for covid-19: 12 months interim results of the coflow study. *ERJ Open Res* (2022) 8(4):00355-2022. doi: 10.1183/23120541.00355-2022

 Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of covid-19: A retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. *Lancet Psychiatry* (2021) 8(5):416–27. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00084-5

7. Huang L, Li X, Gu X, Zhang H, Ren L, Guo L, et al. Health outcomes in people 2 years after surviving hospitalisation with covid-19: A longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* (2022) 10(9):863–76. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(22)00126-6

8. Deer RR, Rock MA, Vasilevsky N, Carmody L, Rando H, Anzalone AJ, et al. Characterizing long covid: deep phenotype of a complex condition. *EBioMedicine* (2021) 74:103722. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103722

9. Kenny G, McCann K, O'Brien C, Savinelli S, Tinago W, Yousif O, et al. Identification of distinct long covid clinical phenotypes through cluster analysis of self-reported symptoms. *Open Forum Infect Dis* (2022) 9(4):ofac060. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofac060

10. Evans RA, McAuley H, Harrison EM, Shikotra A, Singapuri A, Sereno M, et al. Physical, cognitive, and mental health impacts of covid-19 after hospitalisation (Phosp-covid): A uk multicentre, prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* (2021) 9 (11):1275–87. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00383-0

11. Verveen A, Wynberg E, van Willigen HDG, Boyd A, de Jong MD, de Bree G, et al. Severe fatigue in the first year following sars-cov-2 infection: A prospective cohort study. *Open Forum Infect Dis* (2022) 9(5):ofac127. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofac127

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1254899/full#supplementary-material

12. Lorent N, Vande Weygaerde Y, Claeys E, Guler Caamano Fajardo I, De Vos N, De Wever W, et al. Prospective longitudinal evaluation of hospitalised covid-19 survivors 3 and 12 months after discharge. *ERJ Open Res* (2022) 8(2):00004–2022. doi: 10.1183/23120541.00004-2022

13. Hellemons ME, Huijts S, Bek LM, Berentschot JC, Nakshbandi G, Schurink CAM, et al. Persistent Health Problems Beyond Pulmonary Recovery up to 6 Months after Hospitalization for Covid-19: A Longitudinal Study of Respiratory, Physical, and Psychological Outcomes. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* (2022) 19(4):551–61. doi: 10.1513/ AnnalsATS.202103-340OC

14. Mazza MG, Palladini M, De Lorenzo R, Bravi B, Poletti S, Furlan R, et al. Oneyear mental health outcomes in a cohort of covid-19 survivors. *J Psychiatr Res* (2021) 145:118–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.11.031

15. Padmos RC, Hillegers MH, Knijff EM, Vonk R, Bouvy A, Staal FJ, et al. A discriminating messenger rna signature for bipolar disorder formed by an aberrant expression of inflammatory genes in monocytes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (2008) 65 (4):395–407. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.65.4.395

16. Drexhage RC, van der Heul-Nieuwenhuijsen L, Padmos RC, van Beveren N, Cohen D, Versnel MA, et al. Inflammatory gene expression in monocytes of patients with schizophrenia: overlap and difference with bipolar disorder. A study in naturalistically treated patients. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* (2010) 13(10):1369–81. doi: 10.1017/S1461145710000799

17. Simon MS, Ioannou M, Arteaga-Henríquez G, Wijkhuijs A, Berghmans R, Musil R, et al. Premature T cell aging in major depression: A double hit by the state of disease and cytomegalovirus infection. *Brain Behav Immun Health* (2023) 29:100608. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2023.100608

18. Simon MS, Schiweck C, Arteaga-Henríquez G, Poletti S, Haarman BCM, Dik WA, et al. Monocyte mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammaging, and inflammatory pyroptosis in major depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* (2021) 111:110391. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2021.110391

19. Sandler CX, Wyller VBB, Moss-Morris R, Buchwald D, Crawley E, Hautvast J, et al. Long covid and post-infective fatigue syndrome: A review. *Open Forum Infect Dis* (2021) 8(10):ofab440. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofab440

20. Komaroff AL, Lipkin WI. Insights from myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome may help unravel the pathogenesis of postacute covid-19 syndrome. *Trends Mol Med* (2021) 27(9):895–906. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2021.06.002

21. Hickie I, Davenport T, Wakefield D, Vollmer-Conna U, Cameron B, Vernon SD, et al. Post-infective and chronic fatigue syndromes precipitated by viral and non-viral pathogens: prospective cohort study. *Bmj* (2006) 333(7568):575. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38933.585764.AE

22. Carruthers BM, van de Sande MI, De Meirleir KL, Klimas NG, Broderick G, Mitchell T, et al. Myalgic encephalomyelitis: international consensus criteria. *J Intern Med* (2011) 270(4):327–38. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2011.02428.x

23. Komaroff AL. Advances in understanding the pathophysiology of chronic fatigue syndrome. *Jama* (2019) 322(6):499–500. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.8312

24. Maes M, Twisk FN, Kubera M, Ringel K. Evidence for inflammation and activation of cell-mediated immunity in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (Me/cfs): increased interleukin-1, tumor necrosis factor-A, pmn-elastase, lysozyme and neopterin. *J Affect Disord* (2012) 136(3):933–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.09.004

25. Shuwa HA, Shaw TN, Knight SB, Wemyss K, McClure FA, Pearmain L, et al. Alterations in T and B cell function persist in convalescent covid-19 patients. *Med (N Y)* (2021) 2(6):720–35 e4. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3720301

26. Wiech M, Chroscicki P, Swatler J, Stepnik D, De Biasi S, Hampel M, et al. Remodeling of T cell dynamics during long covid is dependent on severity of sars-cov-2 infection. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:886431. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.886431

27. Phetsouphanh C, Darley DR, Wilson DB, Howe A, Munier CML, Patel SK, et al. Immunological dysfunction persists for 8 months following initial mild-to-moderate sars-cov-2 infection. *Nat Immunol* (2022) 23(2):210–6. doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-01113-x

28. Klein J, Wood J, Jaycox J, Lu P, Dhodapkar RM, Gehlhausen JR, et al. Distinguishing features of long covid identified through immune profiling. *medRxiv* (2022). doi: 10.1101/2022.08.09.22278592

29. Townsend L, Dyer AH, Naughton A, Kiersey R, Holden D, Gardiner M, et al. Longitudinal analysis of covid-19 patients shows age-associated T cell changes independent of ongoing ill-health. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:676932. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.676932

30. Peluso MJ, Lu S, Tang AF, Durstenfeld MS, Ho HE, Goldberg SA, et al. Markers of immune activation and inflammation in individuals with postacute sequelae of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. *J Infect Dis* (2021) 224 (11):1839–48. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiab490

31. Su Y, Yuan D, Chen DG, Ng RH, Wang K, Choi J, et al. Multiple early factors anticipate post-acute covid-19 sequelae. *Cell* (2022) 185(5):881–95 e20. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.014

32. Beyan H, Drexhage RC, van der Heul Nieuwenhuijsen L, de Wit H, Padmos RC, Schloot NC, et al. Monocyte gene-expression profiles associated with childhood-onset type 1 diabetes and disease risk: A study of identical twins. *Diabetes* (2010) 59(7):1751–5. doi: 10.2337/db09-1433

33. Carvalho LA, Bergink V, Sumaski L, Wijkhuijs J, Hoogendijk WJ, Birkenhager TK, et al. Inflammatory activation is associated with a reduced glucocorticoid receptor alpha/beta expression ratio in monocytes of inpatients with melancholic major depressive disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* (2014) 4(1):e344. doi: 10.1038/tp.2013.118

34. Osborne LM, Gilden J, Kamperman AM, Hoogendijk WJG, Spicer J, Drexhage HA, et al. T-cell defects and postpartum depression. *Brain Behav Immun* (2020) 87:397–403. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.01.007

35. Schiweck C, Valles-Colomer M, Arolt V, Müller N, Raes J, Wijkhuijs A, et al. Depression and suicidality: A link to premature T helper cell aging and increased th17 cells. *Brain Behav Immun* (2020) 87:603–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.02.005

36. Bek LM, Berentschot JC, Hellemons ME, Huijts SM, Aerts JGJV, van Bommel J, et al. Co-Flow: Covid-19 Follow-up Care Paths and Long-Term Outcomes within the Dutch Health Care System: Study Protocol of a Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study Following Patients 2 Years after Hospital Discharge. *BMC Health Serv Res* (2021) 21 (1):1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-06813-6

37. de Kleijn WP, De Vries J, Wijnen PA, Drent M. Minimal (Clinically) important differences for the fatigue assessment scale in sarcoidosis. *Respir Med* (2011) 105 (9):1388–95. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2011.05.004

38. Hendriks C, Drent M, Elfferich M, De Vries J. The fatigue assessment scale: quality and availability in sarcoidosis and other diseases. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* (2018) 24(5):495–503. doi: 10.1097/MCP.000000000000496

39. Hussain N, Samuelsson CM, Drummond A, Persson CU. Prevalence of Fatigue at One-Year follow-up from the Gothenburg Recovery and Rehabilitation after Covid-19 and Intensive Care Unit Study. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12(1):11501. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-14787-6

40. Schmidbauer L, Kirchberger I, Goßlau Y, Warm TD, Hyhlik-Dürr A, Linseisen J, et al. The association between the number of symptoms and the severity of post-covid-fatigue after sars-cov-2 infection treated in an outpatient setting. *J Neurol* (2023) 270 (7):3294–302. doi: 10.1007/s00415-023-11752-9

41. Mahler DA, Wells CK. Evaluation of clinical methods for rating dyspnea. *Chest* (1988) 93(3):580-6. doi: 10.1378/chest.93.3.580

42. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand (1983) 67(6):361-70. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x

43. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (1961) 4:561-71. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1961.01710120031004

44. Bouman TK LF, Albersnagel FA, van der Ploeg FAE. Enige Ervaringen Met De Beck Depression Inventory (Bdi. Gedrag: Tijdschrift voor psychologie. (1985). pp. 13–24.

45. Zilberg NJ, Weiss DS, Horowitz MJ. Impact of event scale: A cross-validation study and some empirical evidence supporting a conceptual model of stress response

syndromes. J Consult Clin Psychol (1982) 50(3):407-14. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.50.3.407

46. Broadbent DE, Cooper PF, FitzGerald P, Parkes KR. The cognitive failures questionnaire (Cfq) and its correlates. *Br J Clin Psychol* (1982) 21(1):1–16. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8260.1982.tb01421.x

47. Ponds R, Boxtel M, Jolles J. De 'Cognitive failure questionnaire' Als maat voor subjectief cognitief functioneren. *Tijdschrift voor Neuropsychologie* (2006) 1:37–45.

48. Ware JE Jr., Sherbourne CD. The mos 36-item short-form health survey (Sf-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* (1992) 30(6):473–83.

49. Edomskis PP, Dik WA, Sparreboom CL, Nagtzaam NMA, Van Oudenaren A, Lambrichts DPV, et al. Monocyte response after colorectal surgery: A prospective cohort study. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:6350. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1031216

50. Gold JE, Okyay RA, Licht WE, Hurley DJ. Investigation of long covid prevalence and its relationship to epstein-barr virus reactivation. *Pathogens* (2021) 10(6):763. doi: 10.3390/pathogens10060763

51. Hijlkema SH, van Kampen JJA, Voermans JJC, den Oudsten MYE, Doorduijn J, van Lugtenburg PJ, et al. A longitudinal and cross-sectional study ofepstein-barr virus DNA load: A possible predictor of aids-related lymphoma in hiv-infected patients. *Infect Dis (Lond)* (2018) 50(11-12):847–52. doi: 10.1080/23744235.2018.1508884

52. Klimczak-Tomaniak D, Roest S, Brugts JJ, Caliskan K, Kardys I, Zijlstra F, et al. The association between cytomegalovirus infection and cardiac allograft vasculopathy in the era of antiviral valganciclovir prophylaxis. *Transplantation* (2020) 104(7):1508–18. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000003015

53. van der Heul-Nieuwenhuijsen L, Padmos RC, Drexhage RC, de Wit H, Berghout A, Drexhage HA. An inflammatory gene-expression fingerprint in monocytes of autoimmune thyroid disease patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2010) 95(4):1962–71. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-1455

54. Padmos RC, Schloot NC, Beyan H, Ruwhof C, Staal FJ, de Ridder D, et al. Distinct monocyte gene-expression profiles in autoimmune diabetes. *Diabetes* (2008) 57(10):2768–73. doi: 10.2337/db08-0496

55. Schultheiß C, Willscher E, Paschold I, Gottschick C, Klee B, Henkes SS, et al. The il-1 β , il-6, and tnf cytokine triad is associated with post-acute sequelae of covid-19. *Cell Rep Med* (2022) 3(6):100663. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100663

56. Islam MS, Wang Z, Abdel-Mohsen M, Chen X, Montaner LJ. Tissue injury and leukocyte changes in post-acute sequelae of sars-cov-2: review of 2833 post-acute patient outcomes per immune dysregulation and microbial translocation in long covid. *J Leukocyte Biol* (2023) 113(3):236–54. doi: 10.1093/jleuko/qiac001

57. Patterson BK, Francisco EB, Yogendra R, Long E, Pise A, Rodrigues H, et al. Persistence of sars cov-2 S1 protein in cd16+ Monocytes in post-acute sequelae of covid-19 (Pasc) up to 15 months post-infection. *Front Immunol* (2022) 12:5526. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.746021

58. Thomas G, Tacke R, Hedrick CC, Hanna RN. Nonclassical patrolling monocyte function in the vasculature. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* (2015) 35(6):1306–16. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.304650

59. Napolitano F, Montuori N. The role of the plasminogen activation system in angioedema: novel insights on the pathogenesis. *J Clin Med* (2021) 10(3):518. doi: 10.3390/jcm10030518

60. Xu S-W, Ilyas I, Weng J-P. Endothelial dysfunction in covid-19: an overview of evidence, biomarkers, mechanisms and potential therapies. *Acta Pharmacologica Sin* (2023) 44(4):695–709. doi: 10.1038/s41401-022-00998-0

61. Vallet B, Wiel E. Endothelial cell dysfunction and coagulation. Crit Care Med (2001) 29(7):S36-41. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200107001-00015

62. Lazzaroni MG, Piantoni S, Masneri S, Garrafa E, Martini G, Tincani A, et al. Coagulation dysfunction in covid-19: the interplay between inflammation, viral infection and the coagulation system. *Blood Rev* (2021) 46:100745. doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2020.100745

63. Moar P, Tandon R. Galectin-9 as a biomarker of disease severity. *Cell Immunol* (2021) 361:104287. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2021.104287

64. Iwasaki-Hozumi H, Chagan-Yasutan H, Ashino Y, Hattori T. Blood levels of galectin-9, an immuno-regulating molecule, reflect the severity for the acute and chronic infectious diseases. *Biomolecules* (2021) 11(3):430. doi: 10.3390/biom11030430

65. Du L, Bouzidi MS, Gala A, Deiter F, Billaud JN, Yeung ST, et al. Human galectin-9 potently enhances sars-cov-2 replication and inflammation in airway epithelial cells. *J Mol Cell Biol* (2023). doi: 10.1093/jmcb/mjad030

66. Sommen SL, Havdal LB, Selvakumar J, Einvik G, Leegaard TM, Lund-Johansen F, et al. Inflammatory markers and pulmonary function in adolescents and young adults 6 months after mild covid-19. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:1081718. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1081718

67. Scott NA, Pearmain L, Knight SB, Brand O, Morgan DJ, Jagger C, et al. Monocyte migration profiles define disease severity in acute covid-19 and unique features of long covid. *Eur Respir J* (2023) 61(5):2202226. doi: 10.1183/13993003.02226-2022

68. Son K, Jamil R, Chowdhury A, Mukherjee M, Venegas C, Miyasaki K, et al. Circulating anti-nuclear autoantibodies in covid-19 survivors predict long covid symptoms. *Eur Respir J* (2023) 61(1). doi: 10.1183/13993003.00970-2022

69. Govender M, Hopkins FR, Göransson R, Svanberg C, Shankar EM, Hjorth M, et al. T cell perturbations persist for at least 6 months following hospitalization for covid-19. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:931039. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.931039

70. Weltevrede M, Eilers R, de Melker HE, van Baarle D. Cytomegalovirus persistence and T-cell immunosenescence in people aged fifty and older: A systematic review. *Exp Gerontol* (2016) 77:87–95. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2016.02.005

71. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long covid: major findings, mechanisms and recommendations. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2023) 21(3):133-46. doi: 10.1038/s41579-022-00846-2

72. Merad M, Blish CA, Sallusto F, Iwasaki A. The immunology and immunopathology of covid-19. *Science* (2022) 375(6585):1122-7. doi: 10.1126/science.abm8108

73. Maes M, Twisk FN, Ringel K. Inflammatory and cell-mediated immune biomarkers in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome and depression: inflammatory markers are higher in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome than in depression. *Psychother Psychosom* (2012) 81(5):286–95. doi: 10.1159/000336803

74. Ford BN, Teague TK, Bayouth M, Yolken RH, Bodurka J, Irwin MR, et al. Diagnosis-independent loss of T-cell costimulatory molecules in individuals with cytomegalovirus infection. *Brain Behav Immun* (2020) 87:795–803. doi: 10.1016/ j.bbi.2020.03.013

75. Beumer W, Gibney SM, Drexhage RC, Pont-Lezica L, Doorduin J, Klein HC, et al. The immune theory of psychiatric diseases: A key role for activated microglia and circulating monocytes. *J Leukoc Biol* (2012) 92(5):959–75. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0212100

76. Dantzer R. Neuroimmune interactions: from the brain to the immune system and vice versa. *Physiol Rev* (2018) 98(1):477–504. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00039.2016

77. Gibney SM, Drexhage HA. Evidence for a dysregulated immune system in the etiology of psychiatric disorders. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* (2013) 8(4):900–20. doi: 10.1007/s11481-013-9462-8

78. Haarman BC, Riemersma-Van der Lek RF, de Groot JC, Ruhé HG, Klein HC, Zandstra TE, et al. Neuroinflammation in bipolar disorder - a [(11)C]-(R)-pk11195

positron emission tomography study. Brain Behav Immun (2014) 40:219–25. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2014.03.016

79. Tirelli U, Chierichetti F, Tavio M, Simonelli C, Bianchin G, Zanco P, et al. Brain positron emission tomography (Pet) in chronic fatigue syndrome: preliminary data. *Am J Med* (1998) 105(3A):54S–8S. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9343(98)00179-X

80. Rosenzwajg M, Lorenzon R, Cacoub P, Pham HP, Pitoiset F, El Soufi K, et al. Immunological and clinical effects of low-dose interleukin-2 across 11 autoimmune diseases in a single, open clinical trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2019) 78(2):209–17. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214229

81. Graßhoff H, Comdühr S, Monne LR, Müller A, Lamprecht P, Riemekasten G, et al. Low-dose il-2 therapy in autoimmune and rheumatic diseases. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:648408. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.648408

82. Dyavar SR, Singh R, Emani R, Pawar GP, Chaudhari VD, Podany AT, et al. Role of toll-like receptor 7/8 pathways in regulation of interferon response and inflammatory mediators during sars-cov2 infection and potential therapeutic options. *BioMed Pharmacother* (2021) 141:111794. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111794

83. Bortolotti D, Gentili V, Rizzo S, Schiuma G, Beltrami S, Strazzabosco G, et al. Tlr3 and tlr7 rna sensor activation during sars-cov-2 infection. *Microorganisms* (2021) 9(9):1820. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9091820

84. Mitchell WM. Efficacy of rintatolimod in the treatment of chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (Cfs/me). *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* (2016) 9 (6):755–70. doi: 10.1586/17512433.2016.1172960

85. Strayer DR, Young D, Mitchell WM. Effect of disease duration in a randomized phase iii trial of rintatolimod, an immune modulator for myalgic encephalomyelitis/ chronic fatigue syndrome. *PloS One* (2020) 15(10):e0240403. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0240403