Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Zewen Kelvin Tuong, The University of Queensland, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Rui Li Irui1@scszlyy.org.cn Yiguan Zhang yiguanzhang@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 19 July 2023 ACCEPTED 25 July 2023 PUBLISHED 01 August 2023

CITATION

Tan R, Liu M, Zhang Y and Li R (2023) Editorial: The challenge of immunity evaluation and immunotherapy in gynecologic and urologic oncology. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1261229. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1261229

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Tan, Liu, Zhang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: The challenge of immunity evaluation and immunotherapy in gynecologic and urologic oncology

Ruirong Tan^{1†}, Miao Liu^{2†}, Yiguan Zhang^{1*} and Rui Li^{3*}

¹Center for Organoids and Translational Pharmacology, Translational Chinese Medicine Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Sichuan Institute for Translational Chinese Medicine, Sichuan Academy of Chinese Medicine Sciences, Chengdu, China, ²Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Radiation Oncology Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Sichuan Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sichuan Cancer Hospital & Institute, Sichuan Cancer Center, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

KEYWORDS

immunity, immunotherapy, gynecologic oncology, protac, immune evaluation

Editorial on the Research Topic

The challenge of immunity evaluation and immunotherapy in gynecologic and urologic oncology

Introduction

In recent years, tumor immunotherapy, which modulates the body's own immune system to fight against tumors, has become one of the important approaches in the treatment of malignant tumors. Immune checkpoint inhibitors, represented by immune checkpoint blockade agents, have significantly improved the five-year survival rate of advanced cancer patients and are considered a promising treatment modality for curing cancer (1). As the field of tumor immunotherapy continues to advance rapidly and is being applied more widely in clinical practice, various types of tumors, including gynecological tumors, have benefited from it. However, cancer immunotherapy is only effective for a subset of patients and is associated with issues such as drug resistance and adverse reactions. Therefore, determining strategies to improve the efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) remains a major clinical need. Accurately identifying the population most likely to benefit from treatment has become a major challenge in tumor immunotherapy research.

Identification of immune evaluation indicators in gynecological tumors

Currently, several biomarkers related to immunotherapy in gynecological cancers are being studied and have the potential to be used for clinical screening of treatment-beneficial populations (2). However, these biomarkers also have many limitations. Novel single-cell transcriptomic technologies provide strong support for the identification of relevant

10.3389/fimmu.2023.1261229

biomarkers. In the study of rare ovarian teratoma mechanisms, singlecell analysis has provided a comprehensive overview of programmed cell death and molecular-level evidence supporting the distribution of immune cells in different types of ovarian teratoma and the prognosis (Cao et al.). This has further improved our understanding of the tumor microenvironment. Recurrent or metastatic urological and gynecological tumors typically exhibit resistance to traditional cancer treatment methods, which is highly influenced by interethnic and interpopulation differences. Immunotherapy brings hope to these patients. However, although the scoring of the tumor microenvironment is an important predictor of ICB efficacy, the lack of systematic research is one of the challenges faced in gynecological tumor immunotherapy. In this regard, similarly, data at the single-cell level can help establish a new scoring system for tumors. Using this method, three key genes, IL1B, CST7, and ITGA5, were identified for the first time in different cervical cancer cell types and their correlation with the proliferative and invasive capabilities of cervical cancer cells (Yao et al.). This established an immune microenvironment scoring system for this type of tumor, providing guidance for future immunotherapy. However, with the widespread use of ICB, the issue of acquired resistance inevitably arises.

Novel immunotherapy approaches

PD-1/PD-L1 is a promising target for inducing anti-tumor immune responses. Compared to other immune checkpoints, PD-1 has a broader expression range. PD-1 limits the activity of T cells in peripheral tissues during inflammatory responses by binding to its ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2, thereby minimizing autoimmune reactions. PD-L1 expression levels are high in ovarian cancer and cervical cancer samples. In recent years, various anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 drugs, such as pembrolizumab and atezolizumab, have been developed. Key trials such as KEYNOTE-028, KEYNOTE-158, and CheckMate358 have demonstrated significant clinical benefits of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in cervical cancer (3). Based on this data, in June 2018, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved pembrolizumab for the treatment of advanced cervical cancer that has progressed after chemotherapy and expresses PD-L1 (4). Furthermore, some small molecule PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors have also emerged and demonstrated certain effects in preclinical experiments (5). These drugs, generated by blocking the immune inhibitory checkpoint through PD1 and PD-L1, have produced unprecedented and durable responses in various cancer types. However, one of the major challenges in ICB therapy is overcoming intrinsic and acquired resistance mechanisms, for which various attempts, such as combination therapy with multiple drugs, have been made. Among them, degradation therapy may be one of the better approaches. However, it is still

challenging to develop targeted immune checkpoint degraders using the existing small molecule trimeric PROTAC (Proteolysistargeting chimera) degrader technology. Mengyuan Dai et al. have targeted PD-1 and PD-L1 using peptides, but the instability of linear peptides brings uncertainty to their future clinical translation (6). On the other hand, a modified stapled peptide PROTAC, with a simple alpha-helical structure, has shown stable and efficient degradation effects. In comparison with the PD-L1 inhibitor BMS8, it demonstrates a more potent inhibition of PD-L1 (Shi et al.). This makes immune checkpoint degraders (ICD) one of the potential new types of tumor immunotherapy in the future.

Author contributions

RT: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. ML: Writing – review & editing, Investigation. YZ: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Resources. RL: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

Funding

This research was supported by the Oncology Medical-Industrial Innovation Fund Project of University of Electronic Science and Technology, Sichuan Cancer Hospital (Grant No. ZYGX2021YGCX020), the Sichuan Cancer Hospital Youth Fund Project (Grant No. YB2021035), the Sichuan Provincial Research Institutes Basic Research Operations Fund Project (Grant No. A-2022N-Z-2), and the Sichuan Academy of Traditional Chinese Medicine Research Project (Grant No. QNCJRSC2022-9).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Ma W, Xue R, Zhu Z, Farrukh H, Song W, Li T, et al. Increasing cure rates of solid tumors by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Exp Hematol Oncol* (2023) 12(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s40164-023-00372-8

2. Ferrall L, Lin KY, Roden RBS, Hung CF, Wu TC. Cervical cancer immunotherapy: facts and hopes. *Clin Cancer Res* (2021) 27(18):4953–73. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-2833

3. Liu Y, Wu L, Tong R, Yang F, Yin L, Li M, et al. PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in cervical cancer. *Front Pharmacol* (2019) 10:65. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019. 00065

4. Cohen AC, Roane BM, Leath CA3rd. Novel therapeutics for recurrent cervical cancer: moving towards personalized therapy. *Drugs* (2020) 80(3):217–27. doi: 10.1007/s40265-019-01249-z

5. Wu Q, Jiang L, Li SC, He QJ, Yang B, Cao J. Small molecule inhibitors targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 signaling pathway. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* (2021) 42(1):1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41401-020-0366-x

6. Dai MY, Shi YY, Wang AJ, Liu XL, Liu M, Cai HB. High-potency PD-1/PD-L1 degradation induced by Peptide-PROTAC in human cancer cells. *Cell Death Dis* (2022) 13(11):924. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-05375-7