Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Betty Diamond, Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Nora Möhn Mohn.nora@mh-hannover.de

RECEIVED 18 December 2023 ACCEPTED 19 December 2023 PUBLISHED 05 January 2024

CITATION

Möhn N, Renovanz M, Hagin D and Skripuletz T (2024) Editorial: Autoimmune complications of modern cancer therapies. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1357825. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1357825

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Möhn, Renovanz, Hagin and Skripuletz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Autoimmune complications of modern cancer therapies

Nora Möhn^{1,2*}, Mirjam Renovanz^{3,4}, David Hagin⁵ and Thomas Skripuletz^{1,2}

¹Department of Neurology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, ²Immune Cooperative Oncology Group, Comprehensive Cancer Center Hannover (ICOG-CCCH), Hannover, Germany, ³Department of Neurology & Interdisciplinary Neuro-Oncology, University Hospital Tuebingen, Hertie Institute for Clinical Brain Research, Tuebingen, Germany, ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany, ⁵Department of Immunology, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel

KEYWORDS

irAE, biomarkers, immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI), immunotherapy, JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitors

Editorial on the Research Topic

Autoimmune complications of modern cancer therapies

Modern immune therapies and especially immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have revolutionized the treatment of different tumor entities within the last ten years (1).

Since the approval of the first ICI, Ipilimumab, in 2011, the indications are steadily increasing, and the prognosis of several previously lethal tumor entities has been improved (2). ICI are monoclonal antibodies, that inhibit particular immune-checkpoint receptors like cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4), programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) or its ligand (PD-L1) leading to enhanced cytotoxic T cell activity, reversal of T-cell exhaustion and thus an augmented antitumor response (3). Consecutively, immune evasion of cancer cell populations can be prevented (4). However, this kind of nonspecific immune activation could also be directed against endogenous tissue and consequently trigger so called immune-related adverse events (irAE). IrAE are potentially serious autoimmune side effects that can affect almost every organ (5, 6). Regardless of which organ is affected, rapid and effective initiation of immunosuppressive therapy is critical in higher grade irAE. Corticosteroids are used as standard therapy to suppress the inflammatory reaction (6, 7). Concurrently, ICI therapy is usually either paused or stopped completely with a potentially negative impact on tumor outcome (4). With the increasing indications of ICI-therapy, the number of irAE is rising as well leading to the development of guidelines (8). An intensive monitoring of patients under ICI-therapy is necessary to detect and treat irAE as early as possible. Nevertheless, a solely clinical monitoring is often not sufficient to detect serious side effects in a timely manner or, in the best case, to prevent their occurrence (9).

In order to better understand and predict irAE, a precise clinical characterization is of great importance. The case reports published in this topic can be particularly helpful in this regard. At the same time, clinical colleagues can be guided by the therapeutic approach in the cases presented. The most common irAE are gastrointestinal or dermatological, so the published case reports are also representative in this respect. Fang et al. described a rare

case of ICI-induced pancreatitis with type 1 diabetes mellitus, a combination that has only been reported three times before in the literature (10–12). An important finding of the authors is that male gender and the use of PD-1 antibodies may be risk factors for the development of ICI-induced pancreatitis and that, compared to other irAE, the use of steroids should be more restrictive in concomitant type 1 diabetes. Hepatitis is much more common than immunotherapy-induced pancreatitis. In this condition, therapy-refractory cases are repeatedly described. Zarrabi et al. gave an example of this in their case report. Following prolonged unsuccessful treatment with various immunosuppressants the described patient was treated with the anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody basiliximab which resulted in sustained resolution of her hepatitis without significant side effects. Basiliximab could therefore also be used as an individualized treatment attempt for other steroid-refractory irAE. In addition to gastrointestinal irAE, dermatological adverse events are particularly frequent under ICI therapy (13). However, there are also some impressive and rare examples among these. Nakamura et al. presented the case of a 73year-old patient with metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma who developed pemphigus vulgaris during combination therapy with ipilimumab and nivolumab. After cessation of ipilimumab and continuation of nivolumab monotherapy, the findings regressed under topical steroids, leading the authors to speculate that the CTLA-4 antibody may have been the trigger for the blistering disease in this case. In principle, early detection and treatment often improves the outcome in every case of immunotherapy-associated side effects. To achieve this, clinical and laboratory biomarkers as well as patient-specific markers are required. A Chinese research group under Liu et al. was able to show that the HLA profile of patients with ICI-induced diabetes mellitus differs from that of patients with non-therapy-associated type 1 diabetes, while the clinical features are rather similar. The fact that patients with ICI-associated diabetes mellitus exhibit low frequencies of type 1 diabetes susceptibility and high frequencies of protective HLA haplotypes indicates that this specific irAE represents a new model distinct from classical type 1 diabetes. Neurological adverse events (nAE) like encephalitis or peripheral neuropathy are of special interest, as they are relatively rare but often associated with increased morbidity and also mortality (14). In addition, they are rather difficult to diagnose and are therefore often detected relatively late (15). The search for new serum biomarkers is therefore of great importance. In their original work, Leonie Müller-Jensen et al. were able to show that the presence of neuromuscular autoantibodies has a high sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of ICI-induced myositis, myocarditis or myasthenia, and may also predict these. In a comprehensive review article within this research area, Williams et al. summarized the most important findings on tissue alterations in patients with irAE. An increased infiltration of CXCR3+ effector T cells in the tissue was identified as a common feature of

dermatological, gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal irAE. However, the authors also note that the mechanisms underlying the individualized irAE-related tissue changes from person to person are still largely unknown and that genetic variants, the host microbiome, pre-existing immune disorders and stromal factors could play a role.

In the last article in the topic, Chen et al. provide a detailed description of the pharmacokinetic properties of golidocitinib, a selective JAK1 inhibitor. The results of the presented phase I studies "JACKPOT2 and JACKPOT3" indicate that there are no clinically relevant inter-ethnic differences with regard to the pharmacokinetics of the substance. Diet also had no significant influence. Importantly, there were no major side effects of golidocitinib in either study.

In summary, it is evident that the use of oncological immunotherapies and, in particular, their side effects are a highly topical issue. In addition to educational individual case reports, new potential biomarkers were introduced. These mark an important step towards patient-specific therapy and risk stratification and can serve as a starting point for further promising work.

Author contributions

NM: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. MR: Writing – review & editing. DH: Writing – review & editing. TS: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Ruggiero R, Di Napoli R, Balzano N, Ruggiero D, Riccardi C, Anatriello A, et al. Immune-related adverse events and immune checkpoint inhibitors: a focus on neurotoxicity and clinical management. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* (2023) 16:423–34. doi: 10.1080/17512433.2023.2211262

2. Khoja L, Atenafu EG, Ye Q, Gedye C, Chappell M, Hogg D, et al. Real-world efficacy, toxicity and clinical management of ipilimumab treatment in metastatic melanoma. *Oncol Lett* (2016) 11:1581–5. doi: 10.3892/ol.2015.4069

3. Johnson DB, Nebhan CA, Moslehi JJ, Balko JM. Immune-checkpoint inhibitors: long-term implications of toxicity. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2022) 19:254–67. doi: 10.1038/ s41571-022-00600-w

4. Song Y, Straker RJ3rd, Xu X, Elder DE, Gimotty PA, Huang AC, et al. Neoadjuvant versus adjuvant immune checkpoint blockade in the treatment of clinical stage III melanoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2020) 27:2915–26. doi: 10.1245/s10434-019-08174-1

5. Mohn N, Suhs KW, Gingele S, Angela Y, Stangel M, Gutzmer R, et al. Acute progressive neuropathy-myositis-myasthenia-like syndrome associated with immune-checkpoint inhibitor therapy in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Melanoma Res* (2019) 29:435–40. doi: 10.1097/CMR.00000000000598

6. Postow MA, Hellmann MD. Adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade. N Engl J Med (2018) 378:1165. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1801663

7. Verheijden RJ, van Eijs MJM, May AM, van Wijk F, Suijkerbuijk KPM. Immunosuppression for immune-related adverse events during checkpoint inhibition: an intricate balance. NPJ Precis Oncol (2023) 7:41. doi: 10.1038/s41698-023-00380-1

8. Thompson JA, Schneider BJ, Brahmer J, Achufusi A, Armand P, Berkenstock MK, et al. Management of immunotherapy-related toxicities, version 1.2022, NCCN clinical

practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2022) 20:387-405. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2022.0020

9. Nakamura Y. Biomarkers for immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated tumor response and adverse events. *Front Med (Lausanne)* (2019) 6:119. doi: 10.3389/ fmed.2019.00119

10. Dehghani L, Mikail N, Kramkimel N, Soyer P, Lebtahi R, Mallone R, et al. Autoimmune pancreatitis after nivolumab anti-programmed death receptor-1 treatment. *Eur J Cancer* (2018) 104:243–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.09.014

11. Yilmaz M, Baran A. Two different immune related adverse events occured at pancreas after nivolumab in an advanced RCC patient. *J Oncol Pharm Pract* (2022) 28:255–8. doi: 10.1177/10781552211028636

12. Munakata W, Ohashi K, Yamauchi N, Tobinai K. Fulminant type I diabetes mellitus associated with nivolumab in a patient with relapsed classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Int J Hematol* (2017) 105:383–6. doi: 10.1007/s12185-016-2101-4

13. Zubiri L, Allen IM, Taylor MS, Guidon AC, Chen ST, Schoenfeld SR, et al. Immune-related adverse events in the setting of PD-1/L1 inhibitor combination therapy. *Oncologist* (2020) 25:e398–404. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0883

14. Mohn N, Mahjoub S, Duzzi L, Narten E, Grote-Levi L, Körner G, et al. Monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 as a potential biomarker for immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated neurotoxicity. *Cancer Med* (2023) 12:9373–83. doi: 10.1002/cam4.5695

15. Mohn N, Mahjoub S, Gutzmer R, Satzger I, Beutel G, Ivanyi P, et al. Diagnosis and differential diagnosis of neurological adverse events during immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. J Oncol (2020) 2020:8865054. doi: 10.1155/2020/8865054