Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Takaji Matsutani, Maruho, Japan

REVIEWED BY Silvia D'Amico, National Research Council (CNR), Italy Xiufei Chen, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Haigang Jin in jhgwzxshp@163.com Zhenyu Wang wzyxshp@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 14 July 2023 ACCEPTED 04 January 2024 PUBLISHED 26 January 2024

CITATION

Li P, Wang S, Wan H, Huang Y, Yin K, Sun K, Jin H and Wang Z (2024) Construction of disulfidptosis-based immune response prediction model with artificial intelligence and validation of the pivotal grouping oncogene c-MET in regulating T cell exhaustion. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1258475. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1258475

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Wang, Wan, Huang, Yin, Sun, Jin and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Construction of disulfidptosisbased immune response prediction model with artificial intelligence and validation of the pivotal grouping oncogene c-MET in regulating T cell exhaustion

Pengping Li^{1†}, Shaowen Wang^{2†}, Hong Wan^{3†}, Yuqing Huang¹, Kexin Yin¹, Ke Sun¹, Haigang Jin^{1*} and Zhenyu Wang^{1*}

¹Department of Thyroid and Breast Surgery, The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Xiaoshan Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ²Neuromedicine Center, The University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China, ³Department of General Surgery, Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China

Background: Given the lack of research on disulfidptosis, our study aimed to dissect its role in pan-cancer and explore the crosstalk between disulfidptosis and cancer immunity.

Methods: Based on TCGA, ICGC, CGGA, GSE30219, GSE31210, GSE37745, GSE50081, GSE22138, GSE41613, univariate Cox regression, LASSO regression, and multivariate Cox regression were used to construct the rough gene signature based on disulfidptosis for each type of cancer. SsGSEA and Cibersort, followed by correlation analysis, were harnessed to explore the linkage between disulfidptosis and cancer immunity. Weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) and Machine learning were utilized to make a refined prognosis model for pan-cancer. In particular, a customized, enhanced prognosis model was made for glioma. The siRNA transfection, FACS, ELISA, etc., were employed to validate the function of c-MET.

Results: The expression comparison of the disulfidptosis-related genes (DRGs) between tumor and nontumor tissues implied a significant difference in most cancers. The correlation between disulfidptosis and immune cell infiltration, including T cell exhaustion (Tex), was evident, especially in glioma. The 7-gene signature was constructed as the rough model for the glioma prognosis. A pancancer suitable DSP clustering was made and validated to predict the prognosis. Furthermore, two DSP groups were defined by machine learning to predict the survival and immune therapy response in glioma, which was validated in CGGA. PD-L1 and other immune pathways were highly enriched in the core blue gene module from WGCNA. Among them, c-MET was validated as a tumor driver gene and JAK3-STAT3-PD-L1/PD1 regulator in glioma and T cells. Specifically, the down-regulation of c-MET decreased the proportion of PD1+ CD8+ T cells.

Conclusion: To summarize, we dissected the roles of DRGs in the prognosis and their relationship with immunity in pan-cancer. A general prognosis model based on machine learning was constructed for pan-cancer and validated by external datasets with a consistent result. In particular, a survival-predicting model was made specifically for patients with glioma to predict its survival and immune response to ICIs. C-MET was screened and validated for its tumor driver gene and immune regulation function (inducing t-cell exhaustion) in glioma.

KEYWORDS

disulfidptosis, tumor immunity, prognosis prediction, artificial intelligence (AI), glioma

1 Background

Regulated cell death (RCD) refers to a controlled and orderly type of cellular death (1, 2). The subtypes of these death modalities have been enriched with more and more RCDs uncovered, for instance, apoptosis (3–5), autophagy (6–8), necroptosis (9), ferroptosis (10), pyroptosis (11), cuproptosis (12), disulfidptosis (13), etc. Disulfidptosis is the latest type of RCD proposed in 2023 by Gan et al. (13). What distinguishes it from other forms of cell death is the feature that the aberrant accumulation of disulfides without enough nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) supply from glucose can induce this specific cell death (13–17). Disulfidptosis holds potential as an alternative therapeutic tactic for patients resistant to existing therapies.

Cancer is a notoriously formidable disease that is characterized by abnormal growth and division. Many types of cancer can metastasize to surrounding tissues or even distant organs. Until now, 14 hallmarks of cancer have been discovered, which have been summarized well by Douglas Hanahan (18). Resisting cell death, as one of the classical hallmarks, is always the fundamental and final objective for all other hallmarks. With each discovery of an innovative modality of cell death from apoptosis to cuproptosis, our understanding of cancer will be expanded further in that perspective. Numerous RCD-related prognostic signatures have been made and validated by different researchers. In the recent decade, ferroptosis (19, 20), pyroptosis (21-23), cuproptosis (24-27) have been well-explored in many types of cancer based on the cancer genome atlas (TCGA), gene expression omnibus (GEO), international cancer genome consortium (ICGC), etc. These studies give us a deeper understanding of RCD in the context of cancer.

Machine learning (ML), a subdomain of artificial intelligence (AI), can be divided into supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning. In the era of big data, it can be applied everywhere (28, 29). And in oncology, ML techniques have also been employed to gain insights into the complex interactions between tumors and the immune system. For instance, in

lymphoma, artificial neural networks were taken advantage of to construct an immune-oncology panel to differentiate molecular subtypes and predict prognosis (30). In solid tumors, ML-assisted analysis based on genomics or radiomics also gives us better models to identify treatment success rates (31–34).

However, to our knowledge, there are only limited studies on disulfidptosis. Given the lack of research on this phenomenon, our study aimed to delve into the role of disulfidptosis in pan-cancer relying on well-recognized databases by constructing a prognostic signature related to disulfidptosis. We mainly focused on investigating the crosstalk between disulfidptosis and tumor immune responses.

2 Methods

2.1 Data collection

Clinical features and gene expression of TCGA, ICGC, and PCAWG patients were obtained in UCSC Xena (http:// xena.ucsc.edu). The validated transcriptomic data and clinical characteristics from glioma were fetched from CGGA (http:// www.cgga.org.cn). The external gene expression and prognosis datasets of LUAD, UVM, and HNSC (GSE30219, GSE31210, GSE37745, GSE50081, GSE22138, GSE41613) were downloaded from GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). DRGs (ACTB, TLN1, CAPZB, STN, FLNB, IQGAP1, ACTN4, MYL6, FLNA, MYH9, MYH10, PDLIM1, CD2AP, and INF2) were extracted from Gan et al.' disulfidptosis paper (13). Different immune cell infiltration markers were obtained from the cancer immunome atlas (TCIA) (35), Genecard (https://www.genecards.org/), GEPIA (http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn/#index), Cibersot (https:// cibersortx.stanford.edu/). The prognosis of different c-MET level glioblastoma patients treated with anti-PD1 therapy was obtained from Kaplan Meier-plotteR (http://kmplot.com/analysis/ index.php?p=background).

2.2 Bioinformatic analysis

2.2.1 Pathway score calculation and immune cell infiltration

ssGSEA was used to assess immune activity, function, and programmed cell death pathways in each sample. Immune cell marker genes were used for analysis. ESTIMATE calculated immune, stromal, estimate scores, and tumor purity based on immune and stromal cell proportions. TIMER and CIBERSORT predicted infiltrating immune cell composition. Immune checkpoint inhibitors were compared across clusters and risk groups. By analyzing ssGSEA, ESTIMATE, immune cell infiltration, and immune checkpoints, we gained a comprehensive understanding of the tumor immune landscape. Infiltration immune cell fractions were calculated in CIBERSORT in R4.2.0, and the estimate package in R4.2.0 predicted the immune score.

2.2.2 Prognosis model construction

Univariate Cox regression, LASSO regression, and multivariate Cox regression were used to construct the gene signature. The previous survival and ROC analyses were made using survival and survivalROC packages in R4.2.0.

2.2.3 DRGs-based subgroups identification

ConsensusClusterPlus package in R4.2.0 was used to perform consensus clustering analysis based on the DRGs (parameter: maxK=10, reps=50). AI modeling for DRGs-based prognosis model was developed by six AI functions, including extreme gradient boosting (XGboost, xgboost package in R4.2.0), support vector machine (SVM, e1071 packages in R4.2.0), multi-logistic (nnet packages in R4.2.0), random forest (RF, randomForest package in R4.2.0), deep learning (DL, h2o package in R4.2.0) and K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN, kknn package in R4.2.0). During the model construction, randomly select 75% as the training cohort and randomly select 25% as the testing cohort. Gene expression value was standardized to range "0~1" with preProcess function (caret and tidyverse packages).

2.2.4 Tumor mutation analysis

We analyzed somatic mutations in TCGA data using "maftools" and calculated TMB for each group. Furthermore, we visualized somatic mutations of selected genes in the signature using cBioPortal. This analysis helped understand mutations and their potential role in disulfidptosis.

2.2.5 Drug sensitivity prediction

Drug sensitivity prediction was performed by the oncoPredict package in R4.2.0. This package leverages machine learning algorithms trained on large datasets of cancer cell lines to estimate the response of individual patient tumors to a wide range of therapeutic agents. By analyzing the gene expression profiles of the tumor samples, oncoPredict can identify potential therapeutic targets and guide personalized treatment strategies.

2.3 Biological experiments

2.3.1 Cell culture and reagents

Ln299 and Jurkat cell lines were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Science cell bank with STR matching analysis. Cells were cultured in recommended conditions. Co-culture was done by placing the transwell containing Jurkat cells (2.5×10^5) or alive PBMC (2.5×10^5) in the 6-well plate seeded with ln299 cells (20×10^4) . Cabozantinib (BMS-907351) was purchased from Selleck.

2.3.2 SiRNA transfection

Ln299 cells were transfected with c-MET small interfering RNA (siRNA) (5'-AAG GAC CGG UUC AUC AAC UUC-3') or nontargeting negative control siRNA (RiboBio, China) using LipofectamineTM 3000 (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

2.3.3 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine and live/ dead staining

The live/dead staining kit was purchased from YEASEN Biotech, the Edu staining kit was purchased from APExBIO (K1077), and OPTI-MEM was purchased from (ThermoFisher, Gibco). 1×10^5 ln299 cells were seeded into 24-well plates. The treated cells were stained according to the kits' instructions and then observed under an inverted microscope.

2.3.4 Western blotting

Total cellular proteins were extracted using lysis buffer (5 mM EDTA, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 0.5 mM NaF, 0.5 mM Na3VO4, 0.5 mM PMSF, and 10 μ g/mL each of aprotinin, pepstatin, and leupeptin; Sigma-Aldrich). 30–50 μ g protein was separated using 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Then immunoblotting was performed using antibodies against c-MET (25869-1-AP, Proteintech), PD-L1 (28076-1-AP, Proteintech), p-JAK3 (29101-1-AP, Proteintech), JAK3 (80331-1-RR, Proteintech), p-STAT3 (#9145, Cell Signaling Technology), STAT3 (#9139, Cell Signaling Technology), GAPDH (AF7021, Affinity Biosciences), IL-2 (16806-1-AP, Proteintech), beta-tubulin (10068-1-AP, Proteintech). The immunoblots were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden).

2.3.5 PBMCs extraction

Simply, PBMCs were isolated via Ficoll-Paque density gradient centrifugation: 5 mL of peripheral blood was collected from healthy female volunteers, diluted with PBS at a 1:1 ratio, followed by gentle mixing. Add 10 mL of the diluted blood to 2 mL of Ficoll liquid (density 1.077). The clear stratification of blood and Ficoll liquid confirmed success. Carefully transferred the sample to the centrifuge and spin at 500 g for 15 minutes. Removed the centrifuge tube with care, aspirate the white thin film layer in the middle, representing individual nucleated cells. Wash the isolated nucleated cells with 10 mL of PBS, centrifuge at 250 g for 10 minutes, and discarded the supernatant. Repeat the washing step once and the suspended cells were frozen in vials at 100 million cells/mL in HI FBS with 5% DMSO after washing. Stored in liquid nitrogen, they were revived gradually and washed in pre-warmed RPMI with FBS and pen/strep. Following a 4-5 hour incubation at 37°C, viability was assessed using Trypan blue (0.1%).

2.3.6 Flow cytometry

The co-cultured PBMC were stained with Fixable Viability Stain (Thermo, L34965) and Fc receptor blocking reagent [Ultra-LEAFTM Purified anti-mouse CD16/32 (101320, BioLegend)]. Next, they were stained with CD-3 (BD 557943), PD-1 (BD 561273), and CD8 antibody (thermo, A15448). The prepared single-cell suspensions were filtered through 40- μ m nylon meshes (352340, Corning). Results were then acquired using BD Calibur, BD Fortessa, or Miltenyi MACSQuant systems. Data were analyzed with FlowJo_V10 software (TreeStar).

2.3.7 ELISA

Supernatants from PBMC co-cultured with glioma cell line were collected and analyzed using ELISA kits for IL2(Proteintech, KE0017), IFN- γ (Proteintech, KE00146), CXCR9 (Proteintech, KE00165). The levels of each cytokine were compared between the c-MET knockdown group and control groups.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with R (4.2.0) and GraphPad Prism (version 8.0.1). Discontinuous data were expressed as numbers/percentages, and continuous data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). P < 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference.

3 Results

3.1 The expression landscape and prognosis significance of DRGs in pan-cancer

In TCGA, the 14 validated disulfidptosis-related genes (DRGs) -ACTB, TLN1, CAPZB, STN, FLNB, IQGAP1, ACTN4, MYL6, FLNA, MYH9, MYH10, PDLIM1, CD2AP, and INF2 - were generally expressed in all 33 types of cancer (Figure 1A). The correlation analysis between the DRGs indicated that MYH9 and ACTN4 were the most positively related gene pair, while MYH10 and PDL1M1 were the most negatively related (Figure 1B). And the DRGs' expression comparison between tumor and nontumor tissues implied a significant difference in most types of them (Figure 1C). MYH10 showed the highest 2.34-fold change between glioma and normal brain tissues among all the DRGs (Figure 1D). Moreover, the univariate Cox regression of the DRGs showed that almost all 14 DRGs could predict prognosis well in patients with glioma, kidney carcinoma (KCA), kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), etc. (Figure 1E). Interestingly, DRGs were the completely hazardous factors in glioma (Figure 1F).

3.2 The correlation between immunity and disulfidptosis in pan-cancer

Following the ssGSEA analysis of different immune cell infiltration and programmed cell death, the correlation analysis indicated a strong association between disulfidptosis and most immune cells. For the most significant glioma, the R-value between disulfidptosis and exhausted T cells (TEX_Genecard), central memory CD8 T cell, effector memory CD8 T cell, gamma delta T cell, regulatory T cell, macrophage was over 0.5 (Figure 2A). Interestingly, the correlation between disulfidptosis and other modalities of cell death like ferroptosis (R-value = 0.651), necroptosis (R-value = 0.612), pyroptosis (R-value = 0.609), immunogenic cell death (ICD) (R-value = 0.559) are also very high in glioma compared with other types of cancer (Figure 2A). The univariate Cox regression indicated that T cell exhaustion (Tex), immature B cell infiltration, etc., were the dangerous factors in glioma patients. In contrast, the activated NK cells' infiltration was a beneficial factor for survival (Figure 2B). More importantly, a higher T cell exhaustion (TEX_GEPIA or TEX_Genecard) could predict a lousy prognosis in the glioma cohort from TCGA (Figure 2C).

3.3 Gene signature construction based on disulfidptosis for prognosis of patients with cancer

The univariate Cox regression, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression, and multivariate Cox regression were used to construct a gene signature for each type of cancer. Except for thyroid cancer (THCA) and uveal melanoma (UVM), the gene signatures that could predict the prognosis for patients with all other types of cancer, respectively, were successfully made (Figure 3). For the top 6 gene signatures ranked by c-index, i.e., the gene signature in adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC), pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (PCPG), lymphoid neoplasm diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBC), prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD), kidney chromophobe (KICH), and thymoma (THYM), the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves showed a very high area under the curve (AUC) for 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, 4-year, and 5-year survival (Figure 3). And in glioma that showed the most outstanding relation between disulfidptosis and immune cell infiltration (Figures 2A, B), its 7-gene signature (risk score = 1.56709174 * APOBEC3C + (-3.2556028) * GLUD1 + (-2.0800874) * KIAA1671 + 1.08729963 * KIF4A + (-7.9141641) * RPL3 + 1.83720741 * TAGLN2 + 1.89252831 * TSPAN31) (Figures 4A-C) was further validated by dividing the TCGA cohort into a training group and a testing group. And both the



cancer. (F) Univariate Cox regression analysis of DRGs in glioma, in which all DRGs were risk factors in glioma (HR>1, P<0.001).

Kaplan–Meier (KM) analysis and ROC curve (0.5-year, 1-year, 3year, 5-year, and 10-year) indicated significant results in the training group, testing group, and the whole group (Figures 4D, E). Then, the multivariant Cox analysis of the gene signature and the clinical characteristics implied that the gene signature was an independent hazard factor for the prognosis of patients with glioma (Figure 4F). The nomogram indicated the relation of age, gender, DRGs gene signature, and the survival probability (0.5-year, 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, 7-year, and 10-year) for glioma patients (Figure 4G). Furthermore, the model based on age, gender, and DRGs gene signature was validated in the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA) with AUC over 0.72 (Figure 4I). In both glioma patients from TCGA and CGGA, there was a consistency between the predictive model and survival rate in the real world (Figures 4J, K).

3.4 Unsupervised pan-cancer clustering analysis based on DRGs and tumor mutation burden comparison

The unsupervised clustering analysis based on the 14 DRGs' expression was used to categorize the TCGA cohort into

disulfidptosis (DSP)1, DSP2, and DSP3 groups (Figures 5A-E). The KM analysis suggested the DSP groups had significantly different survival in the disease-free interval (DFI), diseasespecific survival (DSS), overall survival (OS), and progression-free interval (PFI) (Figure 5F). In line with the KM analysis of pancancer, the KM analysis or univariate Cox regression in individual cancer type indicated that the 3 DSP clusters could serve as a significant survival-related factor in colon adenocarcinoma (COAD), CRCA [COAD + rectum adenocarcinoma (READ)], glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), glioma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), kidney chromophobe (KICH), kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), lung carcinoma (LCA), stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD), uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (UCEC), and uveal melanoma (UVM) (Figures 5G-I). Next, the top 10 mutated genes (TP53, TTN, MUC16, etc.) were listed and compared among DSP1, DSP2, and DSP3 groups (Figures 6A, C). Besides, the disulfidptosis, stromal score, immune score, tumor purity, Tex, and tumor mutation burden (TMB) were significantly different among the 3 DSP groups (Figure 6B). Since the previous 7gene model included APOEBC3C, the TMB between APOBECenriched and APOBEC-unenriched groups was also compared in



LGG, GBM, and pan-cancer. (C) The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of Tex_GEPIA and Tex_GeneCard in pan-cancer, a higher score of both parameters was accompanied by worse prognosis in glioma (p<0.0001) evaluated by K-M analysis or unicox regression analysis.

each DSP group (Figure 6D). Immune cell infiltration and immune molecules differed greatly among the 3 DSP groups (Figures 6E, F). Each cancer type's total T-cell infiltration ratio was also listed to give a whole landscape (Figure 6G). In particular, the glioma, in which DRGs models showed the most significant relationship with survival and immunity, implicated a significant difference in disulfidptosis, Tex_GEPIA, Tex_genecard, CD8 (+) T cell subtypes, immune score, and tumor purity between the two DSP subgroups (Figures 6H–J).

3.5 Refined DSP models construction and validation by WGCNA and machine learning in pan-cancer

The weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) was used to extract the gene module most associated with disulfidptosis, immune cell infiltration, etc. (Figures 7A–C). Next, the ten hub genes (PRSS8, CRB3, ILDR1, ELF3, TMEM184A, AP1M2, TMC4, TJP3, CLDN7, HOXB7) within this cyan module were further abstracted by the STRING database and cytoHubba (Figures 7D, E). The refined DSP models based on the ten hub genes were then constructed by employing the best method of machine learningrandomForest, in which the training and testing cohorts have the highest AUC (Figure 7F). Moreover, compared with the original DSP groups, it could better predict the prognosis in pan-cancer patients (Figure 7G). The refined DSP models could differentiate the prognosis more evidently in patients with glioma (Figure 7H). After that, the new DSP model was also validated in pan-cancer cohorts from PCAWG and ICGC, glioma from CGGA, LUAD from GEO (GSE30219, GSE31210, GSE37745, GSE50081), and UVM from GEO (GSE22138) with significant p-value (Figures 8A–F).

3.6 Enhanced refined DSP models construction in glioma

Since the refined DSP model performed exceptionally well in glioma among all the types of cancer, the unsupervised consensus clustering and non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) clustering were further utilized to categorize the DRGs into different groups (Figures 9A, C). Finally, the more practical and evident two-DSPgroup classification by the NMF method was chosen for further construction of gene signature. Compared with a lack of



and the multi-gene-based model and ROC curve. The DRGs-based gene signature for prognosis was constructed for each type of cancer (the left part), and the multi-gene-based model index was greater than 0.9 in ACC, DLBC, KICH, KIRP, PCPG, THYM, and TGCT. Multi-gene-based models for all cancer types were significantly constructed. The 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, 4-year, and 5-year ROC curve of the abovementioned gene signature was made for patients with ACC, PCPG, DLBC, PRAD, KICH, and THYM, respectively (the right part). * p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

significance between the survival of some subtypes by the consensus clustering (Figure 9B), the KM analysis indicated a significant difference (p < 0.0001) between DSP1 and DSP2 with Hazard Ratio (HR) equal to 5.47 (Figure 9D). Furthermore, the blue module, most correlated with DSP subtypes classification and immune cell infiltration, was extracted by WGCNA (Figures 9E–G). Ten hub genes (IL2RB, CD96, CD3D, HOXC9, HOXC5, SLAMF6, GZMH, CD3E, GZMK, and GZMA) from this module were screened by cytoHubba to construct an enhanced refined DSP clustering model by ML in glioma (Figure 9H). Surprisingly, the glioma-customized DSP model trained from TCGA could predict survival well in the glioma cohort from CGGA (Figures 9I, J). Moreover, The DSP1 has a 3-fold immune therapy response rate than the DSP2 group by oncoPredict package prediction (R.4.2.0).

3.7 The c-MET mechanism exploration by experiments

The pathway enrichment of the blue gene module implied that these genes might be involved in PD1 regulation (Figures 10A–C). The c-MET inspired us to explore its function further since it was one of the top 2 genes in both the blue module and tumor driver genes (TDG) (36) (Figure 10D). High expression of c-MET was associated with poor survival among glioma patients from TCGA and CGGA (Figures 10D, E). More importantly, the survival tendency in glioblastoma patients receiving anti-PD1 therapy agreed with the previous two cohorts (Figure 10F). Interestingly, its expression differed significantly between tumor and nontumor samples in over 90% of cancer types (Figure 10G). Interestingly, most immune markers in glioma had an expression difference between the high-c-MET and low-c-MET groups (Figures 10H, I). The expression of c-MET was positively linked with PD-L1, PD2, IL-10, IRF1, JAK3, and STAT3 (Figure 10J). Furthermore, the invitro experiment results indicated that the knockdown of c-MET could decrease the survival (Figure 11A) and proliferation (Figure 11B) of glioblastoma cell line ln299, which could be further enhanced by the combination treatment with cabozantinib (2µM, a c-MET inhibitor) (Figures 11A, B). In line with our previous data, the decrease of c-MET could down-regulated the p-JAK3, p-STAT3, and PD-L1 (Figure 11C). Furthermore, the Jurkat T cell co-cultured with the ln299 of c-MET knockdown obtained a higher level of IL-2, IFN- γ , and PD-1 (Figure 11D).

To further verify the regulation of c-MET on PD1/PDL1, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were extracted from healthy females. Through the co-culture of PBMC and glioma cells, our data showed that down-regulation of c-MET in Ln299 significantly



The gene signature of prognosis based on DRGs in glioma. (A) The flow chart and the LASSO regression results were listed, after which 29 genes were screened out, and (B) their effect on the prognosis of glioma was evaluated by univariate Cox, attached with HR and p-value. (C) The gene signature of glioma prognosis was made by multivariate Cox regression, in which APOBEC3C, GLUD1, KIAA1671, KIF4A, RPL3, TAGLN2, and TSPAN31 were input into the model. (D) The Kaplan–Meier curves were made in the training, testing, and all glioma cohorts from TCGA, and all displayed a similar result that a higher risk score was accompanied by a worse prognosis in glioma. (E) The ROC curves of 0.5-year, 1-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year were presented in the training, testing, and all glioma cohorts from TCGA. (F) The gene signature based on DRGs and clinical characteristics for glioma were shown with HR value, in which age, gender, and multi-gene-based risk score were input into the model. (G) The glioma nomogram of gene signature based on DRGs and clinical characteristics in TCGA (H) and CGGA (I). The glioma nomogram prediction of gene signature based on DRGs and clinical characteristics in TCGA (K). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

decreased the activation of STAT3 and the expression level of PDL1 in this cell (Figure 12A). In contrast, the expression level of IL2, IFN- γ , CD8 and CXCR9 were elevated in PBMC (Figure 12A). Furthermore, extracellular level of IL2, IFN- γ , and CXCL9 were also significantly increased in the culture media (Figure 12B). Next, FACS was applied to detect the c-MET-mediated CD8+ T cell immunity inhibition. In Figure 12C, we found that the proportion of CD8+ T cells was increased a little after co-culture with glioma cells while it could return to normal level (Figure 12C). However, this phenomenon was very marginal compared with the PD1 change in CD8+ T cells. The CD3+ CD8+ T cells with high PD-1 expression elevated from 8.8% to 16% after co-cultured with ln299 cells. In contrast, the knockdown of c-MET almost reversed the T-cell exhaustion completely (Figure 12D).

Discussion

Disulfidptosis was a new modality of programmed cell death coined by Gan et al. in 2023 (13), with very little further research on cancer immunity. Our study explored the DRGs' role in 33 types of



DRGs-based clustering and prognosis analysis in pan-cancer. (A) The unsupervised clustering of DRGs in pan-cancer based on the 14 DRGs (MYL6, CD2AP, INF2, PDLIM1, ACTN4, FLNB, ACTB, MYH9, IQGAP1, CAPZB, DSTN, MYH10, FLNA, TLN1). (B) PCA analysis shows the sample distribution amongst subgroups (DSP1, DSP2, DSP3). (C) DRGs expression profile feature in subgroups. (D) Tumor sample distribution amongst subgroups. (E) Subgroup distribution proportion in 36 kinds of cancer. (F) OS, DSS, PFI, and DFI analysis among different DSP groups in pan-cancer were all significant (p<0.001). (G) The univariate Cox regression (OS) of DSP clusters in every type of cancer from TCGA, in which significance was observed in BLCA, CESC, COAD, CRCA, Glioma, HNSC, KICH, KIRC, LCA, LUAD, LUSC, PRAD, STAD, UCEC and UVM. OS analysis (H) and DSS analysis (I) in COAD, CRCA, GBM, glioma, HNSC, LUAD, LCA, STAD, and UCEC. * p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.



FIGURE 6

Gene mutation comparison among DSP groups in pan-cancer. (A) Gene mutation landscape among DSP groups in pan-cancer. (B) Pathways score in DSP groups in pan-cancer. (C) Mutation comparison between every two DSP groups. (D) Mutation comparison between APOBEC-enriched and non-APOBEC-enriched patients in each DSP group. Immune cell infiltration (E) Immune cell infiltration in DSP group, (F) Immunocheck points expression in DSP groups. (G) Immune score status in 36 types of cancer. (H) Disulfidptosis score, TEX_GEPIA, and TEX_gencard were higher in DSP2 in glioma (p<0.001). (I) Various types of CD8+ T cells infiltration differences in DSP groups in glioma (p<0.001). (J) Immune score and tumor purity differences in DSP groups in glioma (p<0.001). **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ns, significant.



Refined prognostic model construction in pan-cancer by WGCNA and Machine learning. (A) Gene modules correlated with DSP pathways and immune cell infiltration by WGCNA, in which (B, C) module gene cohorts were most linked with DSP grouping and disulfidptosis (Cor=0.79, p<1e-200), while deep blue module gene cohorts were most correlated with immune cell infiltration (Cor=0.77, p<1e-200). (D) Gene interaction network about top 50 DSP grouping related genes in cyan module gene cohorts (E) Hub genes of the cyan gene module. (F) Refined prognostic model construction based on pan-cancer by supervised machine learning, in which random forest algorithm displayed as the most efficient (Training AUC=0.9082). (G) K-M analysis indicated the prognosis differences amongst DSP groups in the training cohort, testing cohort (original groups), and predicted group (AI-identified group using test cohort data). (H) Refined prognostic model performance in the OS analysis of COAD, CRCA, GBM, glioma, HNSC, LUAD, LCA, STAD, and UCEC.

cancer in detail. The limma package and univariate Cox regression indicated that the 14 validated DRGs did not only manifest significantly different expressions between tumors and normal + para tumor tissues, but they could also predict differential survival in glioma, KCA, KIRC, MESO, and UVM (Figures 1C, E). In particular, each gene of the 14 DRGs could play a significant role in the prognosis of patients with glioma (Figure 1F). Although some genes in the DRGs had been reported to be involved in glioma, our



Glioma cohort from CGGA manifested significant prognosis differences amongst Al-identified DSP groups (p=0.027). (**D**), LUAD from GEO datass (GSE30219, GSE31210, GSE37745, GSE50081) presented significant prognosis differences amongst Al-identified DSP groups (p=0.0013), (**E**) UVM from GSE22138 showed significant prognosis difference amongst Al-identified DSP groups (p=0.019) (**F**) HNSC from GSE41613 (exhibited insignificant prognosis difference amongst Al-identified DSP groups (p=0.0019). ****p<0.0001.

results implicated how the disulfidptosis pathway is regulated by these genes in glioma deserves more research (37-42).

Besides other types of PCDs, the correlation analysis showed that the disulfidptosis was also closely related to immune cell infiltration, including Tex_Genecard, Tex_GEPIA, CD8 (+) T cells, regulatory T cells, and macrophages (Figure 2A). Our data even suggested that disulfidptosis-postively-related Tex by both gene cards and GEPIA was a harmful factor in the prognosis of glioma (Figure 2B). PCD of different cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) has been found to complicate cancer therapy. On the one hand, evidence suggested that cancer cells undergoing PCD in TME might render them more difficult to survive (43-46). On the other hand, other immune components undergoing RCD in the TME could alter immune attacks on tumor cells. For instance, the necroptosis induced in the TME was reported to enhance the immune surveillance from the BATF3 (+) conventional dendritic cells 1 (cDC1) and CD8 (+) T cells, leading to the release of many immunostimulatory cytokines (47-51). However, necroptosis induction in pancreatic cancer was found to protect the tumor cell from attacks by immune cells (52). While pyroptosis could induce antitumor effects by increasing the infiltration of dendritic cells (DC), CD4 (+) T cells, and CD8 (+) T cells (53, 54). For ferroptosis, it was reported to promote immunogenicity, induce DCs' phenotypic development, and elicit a vaccination-like response (55). The expression of cuproptosis-related genes was positively correlated with PD-L1 expression and negatively associated with regulatory T-cell infiltration in melanoma (56). To our knowledge, our study was the first to explore disulfidptosis and tumor immune infiltration in pan-cancer patients and gave a complete picture of disulfidptosis' role in immune regulation.

Our study even constructed a rough gene signature based on disulfidptosis genes to predict the survival of all patients of every cancer from TCGA (Figure 3). In ACC, PCPG, DLBC, PRAD, KICH, and THYM, the DRGs-based model could predict 1-year, 2-year, 3year, 4-year, and 5-year survival with over 0.9 AUC (Figure 3). The gene signature based on PCD-related genes has always been a popular research direction. However, there is still a lack of the DRGs-related prognostic gene signature (57-62). Our research is the first to make a gene signature for each type of cancer patient from TCGA. Moreover, we further analyzed the DRGs-based model in glioma in which Tex and immune cell infiltration was strongly associated with disulfidptosis (Figure 2B). In both the TCGA and CGGA glioma cohorts, the gene signature's predictive effect was significant and consistent (Figures 4D, E, H-K). To further dissect the role of disulfidptosis in pan-cancer, we clustered the 14 validated DRGs by their expression pattern in pan-cancer. The three DSP groups had significantly different OS, DSS, PFI, and DFI in pan-cancer (Figure 5F). More importantly, DSP groups also had disparate DFI and OS in COAD, CRCA, GBM, glioma, HNSC, LUAD, LCA, STAD, UCEC, and UVM (Figures 5F-I). The consistent survival significance of DSP clustering indicated that this new form of PCD was important in these types of cancer. Further tumor mutation burden (TMB) analysis suggested that the TP53, TTN, and IDH1 mutations may be



Enhanced prognostic model in glioma by WGCNA and machine learning. (A) Unsupervised consensus clustering of 14 validated DRGs (B) and its survival analysis in the glioma cohort, which displayed a significant difference in prognosis (p=6.7e-10). (C) The clustering of 14 validated DRGs by Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) divided the glioma cohort into two groups with (D) significantly different prognoses (p=5e-44). (E) WGCNA for NMF clustering DSP groups, in which blue module gene cohort was the most correlated to DSP grouping, immune cell infiltration, and immunecheckpoint expression (p<0.0001). (F) The correlation analysis of the blue gene module from WGCNA and DSP subtypes. The blue gene module (G) and its hub genes (H) network. (I) Enhanced prognostic model based on hub genes for patients with glioma by machine learning, among which the xgboost algorithm showed the best accuracy (testing AUC=0.9480). (J) The validation of the enhanced prognostic model in glioma patients from CGGA by KM analysis and immune checkpoint inhibitors response prediction (p<0.001).

involved in the disulfidptosis. Despite the regulation on nearly all previously reported PCD by TP53, no studies have explored its role in disulfidptosis until now (63). Our data provided many possible candidates to uncover more mechanisms of disulfidptosis.

Consistent with the previous immune cell infiltration analysis, our result showed that there was a higher Tex within the DSP2 than DSP1 in glioma patients (Figure 6G), which gave more evidence that disulfidptosis was closely linked with Tex (Figure 6I).



The pathway enrichment and tumor driver genes analysis from the blue gene module. Pathway enrichment of blue gene module by KEGG (A), Reactome (B), and WikiPathways (C). (D) The tumor driver genes' extraction from the blue module. (E) The c-MET survival analysis of patients with glioma from TCGA and CGGA (HR>1.25, p=1.5e-20). (F) The c-MET prognosis analysis was validated in the glioblastoma cohort receiving anti-PD1 treatment from "Kaplan-Meier Plotter" (http://kmplot.com/analysis/index). (G) The expression of c-MET in pan-cancer and non-tumor tissues(data from TCGA and GTEx). The immune markers expression was based on the c-MET expression in the glioma cohort from TCGA (H) and CGGA (I). (J) The expression correlation analysis between different immune markers (PDL1, PD2, IL10, IRF1, JAK3, STAT3) and c-MET in the glioma cohort from TCGA. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.001



FIGURE 11

C-MET was a tumor driver gene and could inhibit the JAK3-STAT3 pathway. (A) The live and dead cell staining by Calcein and PI, in which siRNA-c-MET treatment increases the dead cell proportion induced by cabozantinib treatment. (B) The Edu and DAPI staining of the ln299 cell line. (C) The protein expression alteration after c-MET knockdown in the ln299 cell line, in which PDL1, p-JAK3, JAK3, and pSTAT3 were down-regulated, while (D) the expression of IL2 and IFN- γ were up-regulated in the Jurkat cell line in co-culture system. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001.



higher in the si-c-MET group than those in the NC group. (C) The proportion of PD1+ PBMC was decreased by the down-regulation of c-MET in In299 a little. (D) PD1+ CD3+CD8+ T cells were reduced evidently in the si-c-MET group than those in the NC group. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

To further obtain a refined DSP model, WGCNA, followed by machine learning, was employed to explore the most relevant gene modules with disulfidptosis. Ten hub genes, including PRSS8, CRB3, ILDR1, ELF3, TMEM184A, AP1M2, TMC4, TJP3, CLDN7, and HOXB7, were extracted from the most related gene module (Figure 7E). Next, randomForest machine learning, dependent on the ten hub genes, produced the best prognosis model by virtue of categorizing different DSP groups in pan-cancer, which was even validated in external databases (Figures 7G, 8A–F). Our study proposed a generally effective prognosis model for pan-cancer. Interestingly, it worked exceptionally well in glioma, LUAD, and UVM. Combined with the abovementioned results, it inspired us to

continue analyzing disulfidptosis in glioma. A specific prognosis model for patients with glioma was constructed based on ten hub genes (IL2RB, CD96, CD3D, HOXC9, HOXC5, SLAMF6, GZMH, CD3E, GZMK, and GZMA) (Figures 9H, I). Glioma was divided into DSP1 and DSP2 groups, where the DSP1 group was predicted to have a much higher response rate to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) than the DSP2 group (Figure 9J).

Finally, our further mechanism exploration revealed that c-MET might play a vital role in the interaction between disulfidptosis and glioma immunity. The high expression of c-MET could even predict a poor prognosis in glioblastoma patients receiving anti-PD1 treatment (Figure 10F). This tumor driver gene also manifested a positive relation with the JAK3-STAT3-PD-L1 pathway (Figure 10J). JAK/ STAT signaling is reported to play pivotal roles in tumor immunity, including the maintenance of activated T cells (64-68). This phenomenon was further validated in *in-vitro* experiments where we co-cultured the c-MET-knockdown glioblastoma cell line with the Jurkat T cell line (Figures 11A-D, 12A-D). The promotion of cell death and inhibition of cell proliferation by c-MET knockdown indicated that it could serve as a tumor driver gene. Its regulation on JAK3-STAT3-PD1/PD-L1 in T cells indicated the crosstalk between disulfidptosis and T-cell exhaustion. Targeting c-MET by siRNA or cabozantinib might be a promising way to enhance the T cell function implicated by the decreased high-PD1 T cells proportion and the increased CXCR9, CXCL9, IL2, and INF-7 (Figures 11D, 12A-D). Although we uncovered many potential and exciting candidates for further research on disulfidptosis and cancer immunity, more efforts are needed to validate their functions.

Conclusions

To summarize, we dissected the expression of DRGs between cancerous and noncancerous tissues, their roles in the prognosis, and their relationship with immunity in pan-cancer. A general prognosis model based on machine learning was constructed for pan-cancer and validated by external datasets with a consistent result. In particular, a DSP prognosis model was made specifically for patients with glioma to predict its survival and immune response to ICIs. Many potential candidates were screened, among which c-MET was validated for its TDG and immune regulation roles (inducing t-cell exhaustion) in glioma.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Medical Ethics Committee of The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

PL: Funding acquisition, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. SW: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. HW: Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. YH: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. KY: Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. KS: Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – original draft. ZW: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. HJ: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Project administration.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by grants from the Medical and Health Science and Technology Project of Hangzhou (No. B20220666), the Hangzhou Agricultural and Social Development Scientific Research Project (20211231Y117), and the Medical and Health Science and Technology Project of Zhejiang (No. 2023KY224).

Acknowledgments

Thanks for the support from The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District and the researchers who share their data in TCGA, GEO, CGGA, and ICGC databases.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1258475/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Gating strategy for PBMC co-cultured with Ln299 cells. (A) The gating detail for PBMC only. (B) The gating detail for PBMC co-cultured with ln299 cell for 48h. (C) The gating detail for PBMC co-cultured with c-MET-knockdown ln299 cell for 48h.

References

1. Tang D, Kang R, Berghe TV, Vandenabeele P, Kroemer G. The molecular machinery of regulated cell death. *Cell Res* (2019) 29:347-64. doi: 10.1038/s41422-019-0164-5

2. Peng F, Liao M, Qin R, Zhu S, Peng C, Fu L, et al. Regulated cell death (RCD) in cancer: key pathways and targeted therapies. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* (2022) 7:286. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01110-y

3. Kerr JF, Wyllie AH, Currie AR. Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. *Br J Cancer* (1972) 26:239–57. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1972.33

4. Paweletz N. Walther Flemming: pioneer of mitosis research. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2001) 2:72–5. doi: 10.1038/35048077

5. Kerr JF. History of the events leading to the formulation of the apoptosis concept. *Toxicology* (2002) 181-182:471-4. doi: 10.1016/S0300-483X(02)00457-2

6. Klionsky DJ. Autophagy: from phenomenology to molecular understanding in less than a decade. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2007) 8:931–7. doi: 10.1038/nrm2245

7. Nakatogawa H, Suzuki K, Kamada Y, Ohsumi Y. Dynamics and diversity in autophagy mechanisms: lessons from yeast. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* (2009) 10:458–67. doi: 10.1038/nrm2708

8. Deter RL, De Duve C. Influence of glucagon, an inducer of cellular autophagy, on some physical properties of rat liver lysosomes. *J Cell Biol* (1967) 33:437–49. doi: 10.1083/jcb.33.2.437

9. Degterev A, Huang Z, Boyce M, Li Y, Jagtap P, Mizushima N, et al. Chemical inhibitor of nonapoptotic cell death with therapeutic potential for ischemic brain injury. *Nat Chem Biol* (2005) 1:112–9. doi: 10.1038/nchembio711

10. Dixon SJ, Lemberg KM, Lamprecht MR, Skouta R, Zaitsev EM, Gleason CE, et al. et al: Ferroptosis: an iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell* (2012) 149:1060–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.042

11. Cookson BT, Brennan MA. Pro-inflammatory programmed cell death. *Trends Microbiol* (2001) 9:113–4. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(00)01936-3

12. Tsvetkov P, Coy S, Petrova B, Dreishpoon M, Verma A, Abdusamad M, et al. et al: Copper induces cell death by targeting lipoylated TCA cycle proteins. *Science* (2022) 375:1254–61. doi: 10.1126/science.abf0529

13. Liu X, Nie L, Zhang Y, Yan Y, Wang C, Colic M, et al. et al: Actin cytoskeleton vulnerability to disulfide stress mediates disulfidptosis. *Nat Cell Biol* (2023) 25:404–14. doi: 10.1038/s41556-023-01091-2

14. Zhao S, Wang L, Ding W, Ye B, Cheng C, Shao J, et al. Crosstalk of disulfidptosis-related subtypes, establishment of a prognostic signature and immune infiltration characteristics in bladder cancer based on a machine learning survival framework. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2023) 14:1180404. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2023.1180404

15. Zheng P, Zhou C, Ding Y, Duan S. Disulfidptosis: a new target for metabolic cancer therapy. J Exp Clin Cancer Res (2023) 42:103. doi: 10.1186/s13046-023-02675-4

16. Machesky LM. Deadly actin collapse by disulfidptosis. Nat Cell Biol (2023) 25:375-6. doi: 10.1038/s41556-023-01100-4

17. Zhong Z, Zhang C, Ni S, Ma M, Zhang X, Sang W, et al. NFATc1-mediated expression of SLC7A11 drives sensitivity to TXNRD1 inhibitors in osteoclast precursors. *Redox Biol* (2023) 63:102711. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2023.102711

18. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. Cancer Discovery (2022) 12:31-46. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059

19. Tang B, Zhu J, Li J, Fan K, Gao Y, Cheng S, et al. et al: The ferroptosis and ironmetabolism signature robustly predicts clinical diagnosis, prognosis and immune microenvironment for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Commun Signal* (2020) 18:174. doi: 10.1186/s12964-020-00663-1

20. Zhuo S, Chen Z, Yang Y, Zhang J, Tang J, Yang K. Clinical and biological significances of a ferroptosis-related gene signature in glioma. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:590861. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.590861

21. Ye Y, Dai Q, Qi H. A novel defined pyroptosis-related gene signature for predicting the prognosis of ovarian cancer. *Cell Death Discovery* (2021) 7:71. doi: 10.1038/s41420-021-00451-x

22. Shao W, Yang Z, Fu Y, Zheng L, Liu F, Chai L, et al. The pyroptosis-related signature predicts prognosis and indicates immune microenvironment infiltration in gastric cancer. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:676485. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.676485

23. Ju A, Tang J, Chen S, Fu Y, Luo Y. Pyroptosis-related gene signatures can robustly diagnose skin cutaneous melanoma and predict the prognosis. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:709077. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.709077

24. Zhang Z, Zeng X, Wu Y, Liu Y, Zhang X, Song Z. Cuproptosis-related risk score predicts prognosis and characterizes the tumor microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:925618. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.925618

25. Bian Z, Fan R, Xie L. A novel cuproptosis-related prognostic gene signature and validation of differential expression in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Genes (Basel)* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3390/genes13050851

26. Han J, Hu Y, Liu S, Jiang J, Wang H. A newly established cuproptosis-associated long non-coding RNA signature for predicting prognosis and indicating immune

microenvironment features in soft tissue sarcoma. J Oncol (2022) 2022:8489387. doi: 10.1155/2022/8489387

27. Zhang G, Sun J, Zhang X. A novel Cuproptosis-related LncRNA signature to predict prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12:11325. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-022-15251-1

28. Cedars MI. Artificial Intelligence in assisted reproductive technology: how best to optimize this tool of the future. *Fertil Steril* (2023). doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2023.05.150

29. Chen M, Copley SJ, Viola P, Lu H, Aboagye EO. Radiomics and artificial intelligence for precision medicine in lung cancer treatment. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2023). doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2023.05.004

30. Carreras J, Hiraiwa S, Kikuti YY, Miyaoka M, Tomita S, Ikoma H, et al. et al: artificial neural networks predicted the overall survival and molecular subtypes of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma using a pancancer immune-oncology panel. *Cancers* (*Basel*) (2021) 13.

31. Sheehy J, Rutledge H, Acharya UR, Loh HW, Gururajan R, Tao X, et al. Gynecological cancer prognosis using machine learning techniques: A systematic review of the last three decades (1990-2022). *Artif Intell Med* (2023) 139:102536. doi: 10.1016/j.artmed.2023.102536

32. Vrdoljak J, Krešo A, Kumrić M, Martinović D, Cvitković I, Grahovac M, et al. : the role of AI in breast cancer lymph node classification: A comprehensive review. *Cancers (Basel)* (2023) 15. doi: 10.3390/cancers15082400

33. Brunese MC, Fantozzi MR, Fusco R, De Muzio F, Gabelloni M, Danti G, et al. et al: update on the applications of radiomics in diagnosis, staging, and recurrence of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Diagnostics (Basel)* (2023) 13.

34. Yang X, Wu J, Chen X. Application of artificial intelligence to the diagnosis and therapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Clin Med* (2023) 12. doi: 10.3390/jcm12093077

35. Charoentong P, Finotello F, Angelova M, Mayer C, Efremova M, Rieder D, et al. Pan-cancer immunogenomic analyses reveal genotype-immunophenotype relationships and predictors of response to checkpoint blockade. *Cell Rep* (2017) 18:248–62. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.019

36. Martínez-Jiménez F, Muiños F, Sentís I, Deu-Pons J, Reyes-Salazar I, Arnedo-Pac C, et al. A compendium of mutational cancer driver genes. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2020) 20:555–72. doi: 10.1038/s41568-020-0290-x

37. Liu W, Cai T, Li L, Chen H, Chen R, Zhang M, et al. et al: miR-200a regulates nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell migration and invasion by targeting MYH10. *J Cancer* (2020) 11:3052–60. doi: 10.7150/jca.40438

38. Wang Y, Yang Q, Cheng Y, Gao M, Kuang L, Wang C. Myosin heavy chain 10 (MYH10) gene silencing reduces cell migration and invasion in the glioma cell lines U251, T98G, and SHG44 by inhibiting the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway. *Med Sci Monit* (2018) 24:9110–9. doi: 10.12659/MSM.911523

39. Li H, Lei B, Xiang W, Wang H, Feng W, Liu Y, et al. Differences in protein expression between the U251 and U87 cell lines. *Turk Neurosurg* (2017) 27:894–903.

40. Heuser VD, Kiviniemi A, Lehtinen L, Munthe S, Kristensen BW, Posti JP, et al. Multiple formin proteins participate in glioblastoma migration. *BMC Cancer* (2020) 20:710. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-07211-7

41. Buehler M, Yi X, Ge W, Blattmann P, Rushing E, Reifenberger G, et al. et al: Quantitative proteomic landscapes of primary and recurrent glioblastoma reveal a protumorigeneic role for FBXO2-dependent glioma-microenvironment interactions. *Neuro Oncol* (2023) 25:290–302. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac169

42. Liu Z, Wei Y, Zhang L, Yee PP, Johnson M, Zhang X, et al. Induction of storeoperated calcium entry (SOCE) suppresses glioblastoma growth by inhibiting the Hippo pathway transcriptional coactivators YAP/TAZ. *Oncogene* (2019) 38:120–39. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0425-7

43. Suhail Y, Cain MP, Vanaja K, Kurywchak PA, Levchenko A, Kalluri R. Systems biology of cancer metastasis. *Cell Syst* (2019) 9:109–27. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2019.07.003

44. Spill F, Reynolds DS, Kamm RD, Zaman MH. Impact of the physical microenvironment on tumor progression and metastasis. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* (2016) 40:41–8. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2016.02.007

45. Liu W, Chakraborty B, Safi R, Kazmin D, C-y C. McDonnell DP: Dysregulated cholesterol homeostasis results in resistance to ferroptosis increasing tumorigenicity and metastasis in cancer. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12:5103. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25354-4

46. Chen X, Zeh HJ, Kang R, Kroemer G, Tang D. Cell death in pancreatic cancer: from pathogenesis to therapy. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2021) 18:804–23. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00486-6

47. Lin S-Y, Hsieh S-Y, Fan Y-T, Wei W-C, Hsiao P-W, Tsai D-H, et al. Necroptosis promotes autophagy-dependent upregulation of DAMP and results in immunosurveillance. *Autophagy* (2018) 14:778-95. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2017.1386359

48. Aaes TL, Kaczmarek A, Delvaeye T, De Craene B, De Koker S, Heyndrickx L, et al. Vaccination with necroptotic cancer cells induces efficient anti-tumor immunity. *Cell Rep* (2016) 15:274–87. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.037

49. Workenhe ST, Nguyen A, Bakhshinyan D, Wei J, Hare DN, MacNeill KL, et al. *De novo* necroptosis creates an inflammatory environment mediating tumor susceptibility to immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Commun Biol* (2020) 3:645. doi: 10.1038/s42003-020-01362-w

50. Park H-H, Kim H-R, Park S-Y, Hwang S-M, Hong SM, Park S, et al. RIPK3 activation induces TRIM28 derepression in cancer cells and enhances the anti-tumor microenvironment. *Mol Cancer* (2021) 20:1–20. doi: 10.1186/s12943-021-01399-3

51. Snyder AG, Hubbard NW, Messmer MN, Kofman SB, Hagan CE, Orozco SL, et al. Intratumoral activation of the necroptotic pathway components RIPK1 and RIPK3 potentiates antitumor immunity. *Sci Immunol* (2019) 4:eaaw2004. doi: 10.1126/ sciimmunol.aaw2004

52. Seifert L, Werba G, Tiwari S, Giao Ly NN, Alothman S, Alqunaibit D, et al. The necrosome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via CXCL1 and Mincle-induced immune suppression. *Nature* (2016) 532:245–9. doi: 10.1038/nature17403

53. Wang X, Lin W, Liu T, Xu Z, Wang Z, Cao Z, et al. Cross-talk of pyroptosis and tumor immune landscape in lung adenocarcinoma. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* (2021) 10:4423–44. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-21-715

54. Erkes DA, Cai W, Sanchez IM, Purwin TJ, Rogers C, Field CO, et al. Mutant BRAF and MEK inhibitors regulate the tumor immune microenvironment via pyroptosis. *Cancer Discovery* (2020) 10:254–69. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0672

55. Xu H, Ye D, Ren M, Zhang H, Bi F. Ferroptosis in the tumor microenvironment: perspectives for immunotherapy. *Trends Mol Med* (2021) 27:856–67. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2021.06.014

56. Lv H, Liu X, Zeng X, Liu Y, Zhang C, Zhang Q, et al. Comprehensive analysis of cuproptosis-related genes in immune infiltration and prognosis in melanoma. *Front Pharmacol* (2022) 13:930041. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.930041

57. Chen H, Yang W, Li Y, Ma L, Ji Z. Leveraging a disulfidptosis-based signature to improve the survival and drug sensitivity of bladder cancer patients. *Front Immunol* (2023) 14:1198878. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1198878

58. Feng Z, Zhao Q, Ding Y, Xu Y, Sun X, Chen Q, et al. Identification a unique disulfidptosis classification regarding prognosis and immune landscapes in thyroid carcinoma and providing therapeutic strategies. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* (2023). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-2910118/v1

59. Liu F, Yuan D, Liu X, Zhuo S, Liu X, Sheng H, et al. A demonstration based on multi-omics transcriptome sequencing data revealed disulfidptosis heterogeneity within the tumor microenvironment of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Discovery Oncol* (2023) 14:96. doi: 10.1007/s12672-023-00711-5

60. Qi C, Ma J, Sun J, Wu X, Ding J. The role of molecular subtypes and immune infiltration characteristics based on disulfidptosis-associated genes in lung adenocarcinoma. *Aging (Albany NY)* (2023) 15:5075–95. doi: 10.18632/aging.204782

61. Wang T, Guo K, Zhang D, Wang H, Yin J, Cui H, et al. Disulfidptosis classification of hepatocellular carcinoma reveals correlation with clinical prognosis and immune profile. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2023) 120:110368. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110368

62. Yang L, Liu J, Li S, Liu X, Zheng F, Xu S, et al. Based on disulfidptosis, revealing the prognostic and immunological characteristics of renal cell carcinoma with tumor thrombus of vena cava and identifying potential therapeutic target AJAP1. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* (2023). doi: 10.1007/s00432-023-04877-x

63. Xiong C, Ling H, Hao Q, Zhou X. Cuproptosis: p53-regulated metabolic cell death? *Cell Death Differ* (2023) 30:876–84. doi: 10.1038/s41418-023-01125-0

64. Han Y, Amin HM, Frantz C, Franko B, Lee J, Lin Q, et al. Restoration of shp1 expression by 5-AZA-2'-deoxycytidine is associated with downregulation of JAK3/ STAT3 signaling in ALK-positive anaplastic large cell lymphoma. *Leukemia* (2006) 20:1602–9. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404323

65. Johnston JA, Kawamura M, Kirken RA, Chen YQ, Blake TB, Shibuya K, et al. Phosphorylation and activation of the Jak-3 Janus kinase in response to interleukin-2. *Nature* (1994) 370:151–3. doi: 10.1038/370151a0

66. Macchi P, Villa A, Giliani S, Sacco MG, Frattini A, Porta F, et al. Mutations of Jak-3 gene in patients with autosomal severe combined immune deficiency (SCID). *Nature* (1995) 377:65–8. doi: 10.1038/377065a0

67. Witthuhn BA, Silvennoinen O, Miura O, Lai KS, Cwik C, Liu ET, et al. Involvement of the Jak-3 Janus kinase in signalling by interleukins 2 and 4 in lymphoid and myeloid cells. *Nature* (1994) 370:153–7. doi: 10.1038/370153a0

68. Yin Y, Zhang TT, Zhang DY. [Research progress of JAK-3 kinase and its inhibitors]. Yao Xue Xue Bao (2016) 51:1520-9.

Glossary

Continued

ACC	Adrenocortical carcinoma
AUC	Area under the curve
AI	Artificial intelligence
CGGA	Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas
COAD	Colon adenocarcinoma
cDC1	Conventional dendritic cells 1
CRCA	COAD + rectum adenocarcinoma
DC	Dendritic cells
DFI	Disease-free interval
DSS	Disease-specific survival
DSP	Disulfidptosis
DRGs	Disulfidptosis-related genes
Edu	5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine
GEO	Gene expression omnibus
GBM	Glioblastoma multiforme
HR	Hazard Ratio
HNSC	Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
ICIs	Immune checkpoint inhibitors
ICD	Immunogenic cell death
ICGC	International Cancer Genome Consortium
КСА	Kidney carcinoma
KICH	Kidney chromophobe
KIRC	Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma
LASSO	Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator
LUAD	Lung adenocarcinoma
LCA	Lung carcinoma
DLBC	Lymphoid neoplasm diffuse large B-cell lymphoma
ML	Machine learning
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NMF	Non-negative matrix factorization
OS	Overall survival
РВМС	peripheral blood mononuclear cells
PCPG	Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma
PFI	Progression-free interval
PRAD	Prostate adenocarcinoma
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
RCD	Regulated cell death
ssGSEA	Single-sample Gene Set Enrichment Analysis
siRNA	Small interfering RNA

STAD	Stomach adenocarcinoma
Tex	T cell exhaustion
TCGA	The Cancer Genome Atlas
TCIA	The Cancer Immunome Atlas
THCA	Thyroid cancer
ТНҮМ	Thymoma
TDG	Tumor driver genes
TME	Tumor microenvironment
ТМВ	Tumor mutation burden
UCEC	Uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma
UVM	Uveal melanoma
WGCNA	Weighted correlation network analysis

(Continued)