Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Susetta Finotto, Universitätsklinikum Erlangen, Germany

REVIEWED BY Chris Tibbitt, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden Stephen A. Schworer, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Leandro J. Carreño Ieandrocarreno@uchile.cl

RECEIVED 03 January 2024 ACCEPTED 06 March 2024 PUBLISHED 02 April 2024

CITATION

Gutiérrez-Vera C, García-Betancourt R, Palacios PA, Müller M, Montero DA, Verdugo C, Ortiz F, Simon F, Kalergis AM, González PA, Saavedra-Avila NA, Porcelli SA and Carreño LJ (2024) Natural killer T cells in allergic asthma: implications for the development of novel immunotherapeutical strategies. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1364774. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1364774

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Gutiérrez-Vera, García-Betancourt, Palacios, Müller, Montero, Verdugo, Ortiz, Simon, Kalergis, González, Saavedra-Avila, Porcelli and Carreño. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other

forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Natural killer T cells in allergic asthma: implications for the development of novel immunotherapeutical strategies

Cristián Gutiérrez-Vera^{1,2,3}, Richard García-Betancourt^{1,2}, Pablo A. Palacios^{1,2}, Marioly Müller³, David A. Montero^{1,2}, Carlos Verdugo^{1,2}, Francisca Ortiz^{1,2}, Felipe Simon^{1,4}, Alexis M. Kalergis^{1,5}, Pablo A. González^{1,5}, Noemi A. Saavedra-Avila⁶, Steven A. Porcelli⁶ and Leandro J. Carreño^{1,2*}

¹Millennium Institute on Immunology and Immunotherapy, Santiago, Chile, ²Programa de Inmunología, Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ³Departamento de Tecnología Médica, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁴Facultad de Ciencias de la Vida, Universidad Andrés Bello, Santiago, Chile, ⁵Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁶Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, United States

Allergic asthma has emerged as a prevalent allergic disease worldwide, affecting most prominently both young individuals and lower-income populations in developing and developed countries. To devise effective and curative immunotherapy, it is crucial to comprehend the intricate nature of this condition, characterized by an immune response imbalance that favors a proinflammatory profile orchestrated by diverse subsets of immune cells. Although the involvement of Natural Killer T (NKT) cells in asthma pathology is frequently implied, their specific contributions to disease onset and progression remain incompletely understood. Given their remarkable ability to modulate the immune response through the rapid secretion of various cytokines, NKT cells represent a promising target for the development of effective immunotherapy against allergic asthma. This review provides a comprehensive summary of the current understanding of NKT cells in the context of allergic asthma, along with novel therapeutic approaches that leverage the functional response of these cells.

KEYWORDS

natural killer T cells, allergic diseases, asthma, immunomodulation, immunotherapy

1 Introduction

Asthma is one of the most common respiratory disorders, affecting more than 300 million children and adults and causing approximately 250,000 deaths each year (1, 2). This inflammatory disease is often a life-long chronic pulmonary disorder characterized by airway hyperresponsiveness and airflow obstruction, severely impacting patients' quality of life. The prevalence of allergic diseases has increased worldwide over the last several decades. Currently, the annual economic burden of asthma in the United States is approximately 56 billion USD (3), while the estimated economic costs per patient range from 1,900 to 3,200 USD per year (4). Notwithstanding the aforementioned, different phenotypes of asthma have been defined, such as occupational, cigarette smoke-induced, air pollution-induced, and exercise-induced asthma, which lack the allergic response, mainly given by the functional response associated with immunoglobulin E (IgE) (5, 6).

Currently, the most common treatment for asthmatic disease is inhaled corticosteroids. However, this could eventually lead to steroid-refractory airway inflammation since airway remodeling effects due to asthma are not avoided (7). Additionally, uncontrolled comorbidities can increase the severity of asthma (8). Other pharmacological therapies that have emerged as promising curative treatments include systemic corticosteroids and novel immunotherapeutic-based strategies (9). Nonetheless, failure of patients to adhere to asthma treatments ranges from 30 to 70% (10). This adds to the fact that the palliative effect of some medications disappears when the drug is discontinued, and the airway remodeling changes are irreversible (11, 12). Thus, there is currently no cure for asthma, and its treatment focuses on improving its symptoms (8).

Within this context, understanding the cellular and molecular interactions that occur during the genesis and development of asthma, especially the pathological and protective roles played by different immune cells, is imperative to improve the effectiveness of the current immunotherapies. The first step is determining the presence, function, and interplay of the different immune cells involved in asthmatic disease.

Natural killer T (NKT) cells have been associated with a protective role in cancer and the development of autoimmune diseases (13). Importantly, different studies have shown contradictory results about the involvement of NKT cells in asthma (14, 15). In particular, it is still unclear whether these unconventional T cells have a pathological or protective role in the onset and development of asthma. Nevertheless, recent investigations in other pathologies, such as cancer, malaria, and HIV infection, have shown that stimulating NKT cells with different glycolipid antigens can modulate the immune response outcome to specific antigens with promising results (16). Hence, the modulation of the NKT cell functions to improve asthmatargeting immunotherapies could decrease airway obstruction by downregulating the inflammatory process and avoiding further damage to the pulmonary tissue, resulting in a novel therapeutic strategy.

In this review, we discuss the hallmarks of asthma, the current knowledge of NKT cell biology, and their role in allergic asthma. Additionally, we review recent findings in the function of NKT cells that might translate into their potential clinical applications sooner rather later.

2 Allergic asthma: general characteristics

2.1 Current definition of asthma and risk factors

Asthma is a long-term respiratory disease characterized by chronic airway inflammation and symptoms such as wheezing, dyspnea, chest tightness, and cough; being considered a clinically heterogeneous disease with complex pathophysiology, and different factors may influence the development of asthma in susceptible individuals (Figure 1). Some asthma triggers include allergens, irritant substances, exercise, weather variation, and respiratory viruses (17). Airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) is a crucial feature of this disease, and it is a consequence of a highly reactive response to innocuous foreign substances in asthmatic patients compared to healthy individuals (18). Additionally, airway inflammation leads to pulmonary dysfunction by releasing proinflammatory mediators that cause airway remodeling (19).

Asthma is clinically diagnosed by assessing different symptoms. These include recurrent wheezing, difficulty in breathing, chest tightness, occurring or worsening of the above symptoms at night, and occurrence of symptoms in the presence of exercise, viral infections, animal hair or fur, mold, and pollen, among other allergens (20). Other pathologies with similar symptoms, such as bronchiolitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cystic fibrosis, and chronic eosinophilic bronchitis, need to be excluded, and most symptoms should be reversed using a bronchodilator (21).

Asthmatic disease can develop at any age, although it is most common during childhood, and boys are more affected than girls, reversing in adulthood (22, 23). Geographical location also impacts asthma prevalence: countries such as Brazil, the Netherlands, United Kingdom, Sweden, and Australia, have the highest prevalence, ranging from 13% to 21.5% (24). On the other hand, on a global scale, low- and lower-middle-income countries present higher mortality rates in comparison to upper-middle and highincome countries (25) (Figure 2).

2.2 Origin, development and consequences of allergic asthma

Allergic asthma onset requires exposure of the subject to allergens, which are defined as environmental substances [mites, molds, grass, trees, and weed pollens (Table 1)] that are innocuous for the majority of the population, but upon inhalation, ingestion or injection can lead to immediate IgE-mediated hypersensitivity in atopic subjects (41). After exposure, allergens trigger a T_H2 -cell



response, characterized by interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13 secretion, mediating B cell differentiation into immunoglobulin E (IgE)producing cells (42). Later, in the elicitation phase, repeated exposure of the subject to the given allergen enhances allergenspecific IgE production and triggers the secretion of inflammatory cytokines by IgE-coated mast cells and basophils, which initiate airway remodeling (43, 44). These processes are depicted in Figure 3 and discussed in detail below.



colinmathers.files.wordpress.com/2022/05/ghe_dthwbinc_proj_2016-2060.xlsx), categorizing countries according to World Bank income groups.

03

TABLE 1 Characterization of main allergens involved in allergic asthma.

Main source	Allergen	Biological function	Reference
Animals and arthropods			
House dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus)	Der p 1	Cysteine and serine protease	(26)
	Der p 2	Lipid binding protein	(27)
	Der p 3	Trypsin-like serine protease	(28)
	Der p 5	Possible ligand- binding protein	(29)
Cat (Felis domesticus)	Fel d 1	Secretory globins	(30)
Dog (Canis familiaris)	Can f 1	Lipocalin	(31)
Mouse (Mus musculus)	Mus m 1	Lipocalin	(32)
Rat (<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>)	Rat n 1	Lipocalin	(33)
Cockroach (Blattella germanica)	Bla g 2	Inactive aspartic protease	(34)
Grasses			
Rye (Lolium perenne)	Lol p 1	Expansins	_
Timothy (Phleum pratense)	Phl p 5	Nucleases	(35)
Bermuda (<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>)	Cyn d 1	Expansins	_
Weeds			
Ragweed (Artemisia artemisiifolia)	Amb a 1	Pectate lyase	(36)
Trees			
Birch (Betula verrucosa)	Bet v 1	Pathogenesis- related protein	(37)
	Bet v 2	Profilin	(38)
Fungi			
Aspergillus fumigatus	Asp f 1	Cytotoxin	(39)
Alternaria alternata	Alt a 1	Possible role in plant pathogenesis	(40)

Initially, allergens reach the epithelium and penetrate different organs by disrupting their physical barrier (45) using protease activity (46) or by induction of immunological activity, mainly given by the secretion of thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) (47, 48). Allergens are captured and processed by dendritic cells (DCs) underneath the airway epithelium, which migrate to local lymph nodes and interact with naïve T cells (49). This event triggers naïve T cells proliferation and differentiation, which initiates sensitization and further allergenspecific responses (49). Since the subject is exposed to a complex

mixture of molecules, including the given allergen, naïve CD4⁺ T cells differentiate into T-helper 2 (T_H2)-lymphocytes (50). The respiratory epithelium also becomes actively involved in this process by secreting different cytokines, such as TSLP, TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-33, collectively referred to as alarmins (51). Particularly, TSLP, an IL-7-like cytokine, induces DCs maturation and skewing of the immune response toward a T_H2 profile (52).

Upon activation, T_H^2 cells produce and secrete a wide range of cytokines that cause mucosal mastocytosis (IL-9), eosinophilia (IL-5) (53), airway hyperreactivity and mucus hyperproduction (IL-13) (54), as well as isotype switching in IgG-producing B cells to allergen-specific IgE-producing cells (IL-4 and IL-13) (55). Moreover, the cytokine milieu stimulates eosinophils, mast cell maturation, and basophil recruitment (56).

Innate lymphoid cells type 2 (ILC2s) are also involved in the development of the pathology, as effector cells of airway inflammation in asthma (57). ILC2s express the GATA-3 transcription factor and produce IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, and IL-13 (58). The release of alarmins or lipid mediators such as PGD2 and cysteinyl leukotrienes (CysLTs), stimulates ILC2s to produce T_{H2} cytokines, such as IL-5 and IL-13, leading to increased recruitment of eosinophils in mucosal sites and exacerbating the inflammatory process (59, 60), thus contributing to the development of allergic asthma.

In the elicitation phase, re-exposure to the allergen will lead to its recognition and binding to mast cell-bound IgE. This interaction will induce the approximation of adjacent FccR1-IgE complexes, causing the activation of mast cells, leading to an early-phase reaction and causing an early-type bronchoconstrictor response (EAR) that lasts for 5–90 minutes (44). Upon activation, mast cells release a wide range of preformed inflammatory substances, such as histamine, neutral proteases, cytokines, and proteoglycans (61). Such substances will cause local or systemic symptoms, such as urticarial, flushing, vomiting, diarrhea, bronchospasm, rhinorrhea, and hypotension (62).

Hours after allergen-induced activation, mast cells *de novo* synthesize and release a wide range of proinflammatory and chemoattractant cytokines and inflammatory lipids, initiating the late-phase reaction (63). Cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-5, and IL-10, can induce activation of DCs, T cells, and B cells (64). A consequence of this proinflammatory response, mainly mediated by TNF- α , is the margination and extravasation of T_H2 cells, basophils, and eosinophils to the affected tissues (65). In addition, mast cells within the smooth muscle can be activated by allergen-IgE-FccR1 receptor interaction and release proinflammatory mediators that may facilitate AHR (66).

Eosinophils are recruited and activated by IL-3, IL-5, GM-CSF, and eotaxins (67). Activated eosinophils release several inflammatory mediators (human eosinophil major essential protein (MBP), eosinophil peroxidase, leukotrienes, IL-13, and TGF- β) causing airway constriction and AHR, goblet cell metaplasia, mucus overproduction, tissue damage, and airway remodeling (68–70). Lung eosinophilia is correlated with severe asthma, which has suggested that the number of eosinophils present in the airways could be a marker of the severity of the disease (71).



FIGURE 3

Onset and development of allergic asthma. Environmental allergens reach the airway epithelium, which could present different degrees of injuries due to exposure to irritating substances. Allergens are captured and processed by DCs with further migration to regional lymph nodes to present allergen-derived peptides to naïve T cells. Influenced by the cytokine milieu, differentiation to T_{H2} cells occurs. Further proliferation and return to the pulmonary epithelium, these cells produce a wide range of inflammatory cytokines, influencing the function of innate immune cells. In parallel, activation and isotype switching of B cells leads to the production of allergen-specific IgE. On posterior encounters of the epithelium with the allergen, innate immune responses are triggered by IgE-coated mast cells and basophils, leading to massive secretion of inflammatory cytokines that initiate the inflammatory process associated with allergic asthma. Repetitive exposure to asthma-inducing allergens will lead to tissue damage due to the continuous presence of inflammatory mediators, causing different modifications in the airway architecture. CCL27, C-C motif chemokine ligand 27; TSLP, Thymic stromal lymphopoietin; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; GM-CSF, Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor; APC, antigen-presenting cell; Ig, immunoglobulin; PGD2, prostaglandin D2; T_{H2} , T helper 2; ILC2: Innate lymphoid type 2 cell.

Over time, airway remodeling is a significant factor in the irreversible airflow obstruction and reduction of lung functionality observed in severe cases of asthma (72). Eosinophils and mast cells are responsible for these effects in the context of asthmatic disease, by infiltrating into tissue in response to cytokines produced by T_{H2} cells, as mentioned previously (73). Allergen-IgE complexes stimulate mast cells to produce a large variety of *de novo* synthesized and granularly stored mediators, including histamine, proteoglycans, proteases,

prostaglandins and leukotrienes; cytokines such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-13, and TNF- α ; as well as chemokines and different growth factors (74). This also occurs in eosinophils, which release IL-3, IL-5, GM-CSF and eotaxins, among other proinflammatory mediators, inducing the thickening of airway walls, changes in the protein composition of the extracellular matrix, vascular leakage, goblet cells hyperplasia, mucus hypersecretion, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness (72). Therefore, these cells contribute significantly not only to immediate hypersensitivity and late-phase inflammation, but also to tissue remodeling in the airways.

If exposure to the allergen is repetitive over time, the proinflammatory milieu will persist and lead to a chronic allergic inflammation, characterized by a persistent type 2 immune response caused by the activation of $T_{\rm H2}$ lymphocytes, eosinophils, basophils, and macrophages (75). This chronic airway inflammation —a cardinal marker of asthma- results in parenchymal damage and a continuous process of repairing by generating connective tissue, aiming to preserve the optimal functionality of the airway, mainly through the reduction of airway hyperreactivity, given that stiffer airways may constrict less well in response to a stimulus than a thinner-walled airway (76, 77).

Despite the fact that most of the cases of allergic asthma present the aforementioned immunopathologic events, clinical immunologists have considered that such characterization is an oversimplification of the disease. This has led to a paradigm shift regarding the characteristics of the disease, on which allergic asthma is now classified into different endotypes, particularly type 2-high or ultra-high asthma, which is characterized by the increased number and function of pulmonary eosinophils, and type 2-low (also denominated non-type 2) asthma (59). Although this current review mainly focuses on type 2-high or eosinophilic allergic asthma and therapies for this particular endotype, it is worth to mention that type 2-low asthma is characterized by the absence of T_H2 cytokines, being associated with later onset of the pathology, use of elevated dose of corticosteroids, and obesity (78). Furthermore, type 2-low asthma presents an elevated number of pulmonary neutrophils, increased levels of IL-6, and activation of the inflammasome pathway. More detailed information regarding the mechanism associated with non-type 2 asthma and treatment options has been reviewed on different articles (79-81).

3 NKT cells: a multiway bridge between innate and adaptive immunity

Since different subsets of immune cells are involved in the development of asthma, novel approaches that target other groups of cells, such as NKT cells, could improve current immunotherapies and lead to the development of novel therapeutic strategies with the potential to become the first curative approximation (82).

NKT cells are a highly conserved, non-conventional T cells subpopulation that participates in innate and adaptive immune responses by rapidly secreting various cytokines, which faculty these cells to exert immunomodulatory functions in different contexts, including tumor response, infectious diseases, allograft rejection, and autoimmune diseases (83, 84). As the name implies, these cells express cell-surface molecules from conventional T cells, such as Tcell receptors (TCR)-CD3 complex, and NK cells, such as CD161 (NK1.1 in mice), NKG2D, and proteins associated with the Ly49 receptor family (85, 86). The development of NKT cells begins in the thymus, where CD4⁺CD8⁺ double-positive (DP) thymocytes are selected based on whether the TCR recognizes self- or foreign lipids in the context of CD1d molecules (87). Then, selected cells further differentiate and finally migrate to peripheral locations, such as the liver, spleen, gut, and lungs (88).

Different types of NKT cells have been identified, and the current classification of NKT cell subsets is based on their phenotype (89). Type I NKT cells, also known as invariant NKT (iNKT) cells, are defined by an invariant TCRa chain expression (V α 14J α 18 in mice and V α 24J α 18 in humans) paired with a limited TCR β chains repertoire (V β 8, V β 7, V β 2 in mice and V β 811 in humans) (90). Although more elusive, type II NKT cells, designated as diverse NKT (dNKT) cells, express a more diverse repertoire of TCR α and β chains, which enable the recognition of a wide range of self- and foreign lipid antigens also presented by CD1d (91). A distinctive property of dNKT cells is the null reactivity toward glycolipids recognized by iNKT cells, notwithstanding its capacity to become activated by compounds such as sulfatide, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol and β -GalCer (92). The complete identification and characterization of dNKT cells are still challenging due to technical limitations and the lack of specific markers (93). Because of this, most of the research has focused on studying the biology of iNKT cells, which will be the focus of this review.

In contrast to conventional T cells, iNKT cells become activated, mainly, by the recognition of glycolipid antigens bound by their tails to non-classical and non-polymorphic MHC class I-like CD1d glycoprotein (94), which is highly expressed on professional antigen-presenting cells (95, 96). Subsequent to its activation, NKT cells secrete copious amounts of various cytokines, including T_H1-like (IFN- γ , TNF- α), T_H2-like (IL-4, IL-6, IL-13), T_H17-like (IL-17A, IL-22) and regulatory cytokines (IL-10) (97– 100). This event determines the capacity of iNKT cells to stimulate and modulate the function of other immune cells, such as CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, B cells, DCs, and NK cells, via non-direct activation, also known as transactivation. Thus, iNKT cells are a functional bridge between innate and adaptive immunity, being capable of modifying the outcome of the immune response.

Interestingly, the type of cytokine secreted by iNKT cells depends on the expression of NK1.1 (101) and specific transcription factors associated with T cell differentiation, such as T-bet, GATA-3, ROR γ t, and PLZF (102). Thus, iNKT cells are classified according to the expression of these transcription factors: NKT1 (PLZF¹⁰T-bet⁺), NKT2 (PLZF^{hi}GATA-3^{hi}), NKT10 (PLZF¹⁰E4BP4⁺), and NKT17 (PLZF^{int}ROR γ t⁺) (103, 104). Further analysis of these subsets allowed the establishment of the principal cytokines that these subsets secrete: IFN- γ (NKT1), IL-4 (NKT2), IL-10 (NKT10), and IL-17A (NKT17) (103).

A breakthrough in the study of iNKT cells was the discovery that virtually all of them react to α -galactosylceramide (α GalCer), a glycolipid present in extracts of the marine sponge *Agelas mauritianus* (105, 106). Further development of fluorescent-labeled α GalCer-CD1d tetramers allowed the detection and quantitation of iNKT cells by flow cytometry (107), and their purification using fluorescent- and magnetic-activated cell sorting (FACS and MACS, respectively) methods (108). Moreover, the activation of iNKT cells *in vivo* by the administration of α GalCer leads to a rapid secretion of T_H1 (IFN- γ and TNF- α) and T_H2 (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13) cytokines

within a few hours after injection (109), allowing the transactivation of innate and adaptive immune cells (110, 111).

3.1 Outlining the role of iNKT cells in asthmatic disease on animal models

It has been proposed that iNKT cells deploy a protective role in several pathologic conditions, such as tumors and some infectious and autoimmune diseases. Notwithstanding the previous role, these cells have been associated with pathogenic roles in other diseases, such as atherosclerosis, tissue transplant rejection, certain liver diseases, airway hyperresponsiveness, and asthma (112).

The first study addressing the possible pathogenic role of NKT cells in asthma revealed that the depletion of NK1.1⁺ cells, such as NK and NKT cells, before the immunization with ovalbumin (OVA) as a model of allergic asthma led to a reduction of airway eosinophilia and T cell infiltration in the lungs together with diminished levels of allergen-specific IgE (113). However, in CD1d1 mutant mice, characterized by reduced frequencies of NKT cells, the induction of OVA-specific allergic asthma resulted in pulmonary eosinophilic inflammation similar to that observed in wild-type mice, concluding that NKT cells were dispensable for allergen-induced asthma (113).

In contrast to earlier findings, Akbari et al. (2003) used $Cd1d^{-/-}$ and Ja281^{-/-} mice (both strains lacking iNKT cells) to establish an allergic asthma model, observing a reduced airway eosinophilia and diminished OVA-specific IgE production, without development of AHR (114). These results implied that pulmonary NKT cells became activated soon after antigen encounters in the lungs and that these cells were required to induce AHR by secreting IL-4 and IL-13. Furthermore, using OVA-sensitized and challenged $J\alpha 18^{-/-}$ mice, Lisbonne et al. (2003) demonstrated that the absence of iNKT cells leads to a diminished AHR, reduced number of total cell number present in BAL fluid, and lower anti-OVA IgE titer (14). Later, it was demonstrated that activation of iNKT cells by intranasal administration of αGalCer in BALB/c mice was enough to induce asthma-related symptoms, including AHR and airway inflammation (115). Moreover, nasal administration of SP-30, a synthetic α -glucuronosylceramide derived from Sphingomonas capsulata, led to the induction of AHR, pulmonary eosinophilia, and increased serum IgE levels, being an independent response of other immune cells such as T cells, B cells, and eosinophils (115).

In 2011, Wingender et al. demonstrated that house dust extracts (HDE), considered as T_H2 -biasing mucosal adjuvants, could induce activation of DN3A4-1.2 cells, a well-characterized murine iNKT cell hybridoma, in a CD1d- and TCR-dependent manner, which suggests that HDE may contain antigens that are recognized by iNKT cells (116). HDE has also activated the human iNKT cell line, implying a similar response in both cell lines toward the same antigens. Furthermore, in order to evaluate the contribution of iNKT cells to the T_H2 -related activity of HDE, BALB/c wild-type and J α 18^{-/-} mice -which lack of iNKT cells- were immunized with OVA plus HDE, to be further airway challenged with OVA. Notably, immunization and airway challenge of wild-type mice led to the development of an eosinophilic airway inflammatory response, with elevated levels of

T_H2 cytokines and IgE responses, in opposition to the effects observed in the $J\alpha 18^{-/-}$ immunized mice, that although presented signs of allergen-induced airway inflammation -mainly due to the effects of HDE-, it was significantly lower in comparison to inflammation observed in wild-type mice. These results led to the proposition that iNKT cells were involved in the development of allergic asthma, most likely due to the secretion of cytokines derived from activated iNKT cells, given by the recognition of antigens present in HDE. Furthermore, Albaker et al. (2013) reported that the glycosphingolipid asperamide B, purified from Aspergillus fumigatus -a saprophytic fungus whose spores are highly recovered from soils and other environments- induced the activation of iNKT cells and caused AHR after treatment with a single intranasal dose (117). These results suggested that iNKT cell-induced asthma would depend on environmental exposure to air pollutants such as pollen particles, HDE, and fungal compounds. Other investigations have required the coadministration of a GalCer to induce allergic asthma, failing to induce the disease only by the administration of OVA or ragweed, suggesting that NKT cells, antigen-specific T_H2 cells, and IL-4 were needed for the development of asthma, respectively (118, 119).

Notwithstanding the proposition that the secretion of specific cytokines derived from iNKT cells could promote the development of allergic asthma, it has also been proposed that iNKT cells could modify the immune landscape, particularly by counteracting the tolerogenic effects of T regulatory (T_{reg}) cells, which are associated with the resolution of asthmatic inflammation and protection against experimental asthma. This was initially addressed by Thorburn and colleagues (120) on which immunoregulatory components derived from Streptococcus pneumoniae, namely, type-3-polysaccharide (T3P) and pneumolysoid (Ply), jointly reduce the number of eosinophils present in an OVA-induced allergic asthma murine model. Furthermore, the concomitant administration of T3P and Ply led to an increase in the number of pulmonary T_{reg} cells, which had the capacity to suppress the accumulation of NKT cells in the lungs and NKT cell-induced AHR, proposing that cell contact-mediated suppression was the main mechanism for this event. Later, Lu and collaborators (121) demonstrated that increased expression of Foxp3 on T_{reg} cells by the injection of lentiviral particles carrying the Foxp3 gene in an OVA-induced allergic asthma model caused the reduction of pulmonary NKT cells. Furthermore, intraperitoneal administration of α -GalCer in the same model led to increased percentage of pulmonary NKT while reducing the levels of T_{reg} present in lungs. Such results suggest a negative regulation between these cellular subsets, on which T_{reg} cells could have regulatory properties over NKT cells, blocking their activity with the concomitant reduction of allergic asthma symptoms. Furthermore, a recent study using a murine model for asthma demonstrated that enhancing the suppressive capabilities of T_{reg} cells through CD39 overexpression led to a lower number of pulmonary NKT cells, along with a reduced secretion of IL-4 and IFN- γ derived from these cells, causing a reduction in airway resistance, lower pulmonary eosinophilia, and reduced goblet cell hyperplasia (122). However, evidence presented by Chen et al. (123) has called into question the previously depicted counter-regulation

between NKT and $T_{\rm reg}$ cells in the context of asthma, mainly given by the expansion of lung T_{reg} cells in wild-type mice but not in iNKT cell-knockout mice through the intraperitoneal administration of αGalCer. In particular, the αGalCer administration enhanced the secretion of IL-2 by iNKT cells, and the neutralization of this cytokine reduced the expansion of T_{reg} cells in vivo and in vitro. Thus, the authors suggested that the release of IL-2 by α GalCeractivated iNKT cells can induce the generation of lung T_{reg} cells in mice. Additionally, the same group demonstrated that intraperitoneal administration of α -GalCer previous to OVA sensitization caused a lower infiltration of inflammatory cells in the respiratory tract, reduced number of goblet cells in the airway epithelium, and lower number of eosinophils on bronchoalveolarlavage fluid, as well as promoting the expansion and increased function of T_{reg} cells (124). Based on this evidence, the authors proposed that the production of IL-2 by aGalCer-activated iNKT cells was fundamental to promote the expansion of T_{reg} cells.

Given these facts, the exact mechanisms of the cross-regulation between NKT and T_{reg} cells are still debatable. It is important to note that further discussion about the relationship between iNKT cells and T_{reg} cells should consider the route of administration of glycolipids and the timing related to allergen sensitization, as well as evaluating other types of glycolipids that could be determinant in the interaction between NKT and T_{reg} cells and further depicting the molecular mechanisms by which such cross-regulations occurs.

The current classification of iNKT cells considers the existence of different subsets, which could explain their protective or pathological role in certain diseases (103). In the context of asthma, Kim et al. (2009), employing a T-bet^{-/-} murine model (125), suggested that even in reduced number, the remaining iNKT cells are sufficient for developing AHR, either induced by administration of aGalCer or OVA. A particular characteristic of this model is the significant reduction of IFN-y with an increased IL-4 production, which could be associated with a predominance of iNKT2 cells, leading to an enhanced susceptibility to generate a T_H2-biased response. Following this hypothesis, nasal administration of IL-25 in mice caused AHR and enhanced secretion of IL-4 and IL-13 due to higher expression of IL-17RB, a receptor of IL-25, associated with the phenotype of iNKT2 cells (126). In a recent report, Tumes et al. (2019) indicated that mice lacking the epigenetic regulatory enzyme enhancer of zest homolog 2 (Ezh2) presented an increased number of iNKT2 cells in association with higher levels of IgE, airway inflammation, and induced or spontaneous AHR, supporting the pathologic role of iNKT2 cells in the development of asthma (127). Considering these studies, iNKT cells could directly cause AHR and T_H2 inflammation, outlining iNKT cells as a multifaceted subset in asthma by either fulfilling an adjuvant function or directly inducing AHR.

Humanized mouse models have been proposed to study the complexity of the immune response subsiding allergic diseases, avoiding the ethical constraints inherent to function studies on humans (128). In the context of the plausible pathogenic role of NKT cells in allergic asthma, Ose et al. (2021) evidenced that a challenge with either birch or grass pollen allergens on NSG mice that had received CD56-depleted PBMCs obtained from highly sensitized donors led to diminished production of allergen-specific IgE and reduced lung inflammation (129). Furthermore, pathologic

airway resistance level and goblet cell hyperplasia were restored when NSG-SGM3 mice expressing human IL-3, GM-CSF, and stem cell factor received CD56-depleted PBMCs concomitantly with positively selected CD3⁺CD56⁺ iNKT cells.

3.2 Potential protective role of iNKT cells in allergic asthma models

Although all the aforementioned studies suggest that iNKT cells have a pathological role in the onset of asthma, other studies have reported that iNKT cells are unrelated to the development of allergic asthma. Matsuda et al. (2005) and Hachem et al. (2005) demonstrated that experimentally induced allergic asthma could be modulated by injection of α GalCer in OVA-sensitized mice previous a further OVA challenge, reducing the amount of pulmonary eosinophilic infiltration and AHR (130, 131). This suggests that α GalCer-induced secretion of IFN- γ could function protectively regarding the development of asthma by modulating the cytokine secretion from a T_H2- towards a T_H1-profile.

Koh et al. (2010) suggested that NKT cells are dispensable for developing chronic asthma (132). This research group also evaluated different allergic asthma hallmarks, such as airway remodeling characteristics, AHR, and eosinophilic airway inflammation. It was established that OVA-induced allergic asthma on BALB/c $Cd1d^{-/-}$ mice presented a significant increase in AHR, a higher number of total cells in BAL fluid, enhanced mucus metaplasia, subepithelial fibrosis, and smooth muscle hyperplasia with increased levels of IL-4 and IL-13 (132). These results could also imply that the pathogenesis of acute AHR –in which NKT cells have been reported to be fundamental in its onset-might differ from chronic AHR.

Applying a protocol for allergic asthma induction in response to OVA on a triple-knockout murine model that presented only NKT cells and activated CD8⁺ T cells, Das et al. (2006) reported that while wild-type mice developed eosinophilic airway inflammation in conjunction with increased levels of IL-4 and IL-5 in BAL, $H2-K^{b}$ $^{-/-}H2-D^{b-/-}C2ta^{-/-}$ triple-knockout mice did not develop airway allergic inflammation (15). This evidence allowed them to suggest that NKT cells and activated CD8⁺ T cells were not sufficient to induce symptoms associated with the onset of asthma. Furthermore, depleting NKT cells from wild-type BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice did not avoid the induction of allergic asthma, suggesting again that NKT cells are dispensable for establishing this disease.

McKnight et al. (2017) found no significant difference among different models of induced airway disease when comparing wildtype and iNKT cell-deficient mice concerning the number of lung iNKT cells present after challenge, the onset of AHR, and severity after administration of anti-CD1d monoclonal antibody (133). Based on these results, the authors proposed that the difference between the murine models employed to study the role of iNKT cells in asthma could be influenced by the microbiota the animals are exposed to.

Chang et al. (2011) showed that influenza virus A (H3N1) infection in suckling but not in adult mice could induce a protective

effect by CD4⁻CD8⁻ (DN) NKT cells in a T-bet TLR7-dependent manner (134). The authors associated the protective effect with the maturation and expansion of DN NKT cells, which might have led to the expansion of T_{reg} cells. Furthermore, administration of iNKT cells ligands such as α GalCer or antigens derived from *Helicobacter pylori* replicated the protective effect of NKT cells, as seen in influenza virus infection. This was the first study to propose a subset of NKT cells that could suppress AHR in conjunction to provide a mechanism for the hygiene hypothesis, therefore proposing a possible therapeutic approximation by using compounds derived from microorganisms in an NKT cell-based strategy. Further studies of the DN NKT cell population revealed a high expression of CD38, which could suppress CD4⁺ T cells through cytotoxic activity and prevent the development of AHR (135).

Further investigations have developed multiple α GalCerderived glycolipid analogs that can induce a biased T_H1/T_H2 cytokine response (136–138). It has been reported that the coadministration of α GalCer-modified analog α -lactosylceramide (α LacCer) -a weak activator of murine and human iNKT cellsand α GalCer led to a reduced airway hyperreactivity and neutrophil infiltration, accompanied by lower production of IL-4 and IL-13, in comparison to the basal levels induced by the administration of α GalCer alone (139).

Recent evidence has called into question the previously depicted counter-regulation between NKT and Treg cells in asthma. In 2019, Chen et al. reported that intraperitoneal administration of aGalCer promotes the expansion of lung Treg cells in WT mice but not in iNKT cell-knockout mice. In particular, the α GalCer administration enhanced the secretion of IL-2 by iNKT cells, and the neutralization of this cytokine reduced the expansion of T_{reg} cells in vivo and in vitro. Thus, the authors suggested that the release of IL-2 by a GalCer-activated iNKT cells can induce the generation of lung T_{reg} cells in mice (123). Later that year, the same research group reported the expansion and increased suppressive activity of Treg cells within pulmonary tissue on wild-type BALB/c mice that received a single dose of a GalCer previous to allergen sensitization, leading to decreased T_{H2} immune response (124). Given these facts, the exact mechanisms of the cross-regulation between NKT and T_{reg} cells are still debatable. It is important to note that further discussion about the relationship between iNKT cells and Treg cells should consider the route of administration of glycolipids and the timing related to allergen sensitization.

3.3 Conflicting evidence regarding the presence and activity of NKT cells in asthmatic patients

Murine models of asthma have been of significant importance for studying the possible role of NKT cells in this disease; however, mice do not reproduce exactly the pathological state evidenced in humans with asthma, including differences in the degree of symptoms and events associated with the chronicity of the disease, such as airway remodeling, and the use of compounds employed to induce experimental allergic asthma, which are generally innocuous to humans, particularly regarding the extended use of OVA as a model allergen in murine models.

The first approximation to determine the possible role of NKT cells in asthmatic patients evaluated the frequency of these cells in peripheral blood. Interestingly, asthmatic patients showed a lower NKT cell count than healthy controls. Besides, there was no correlation between the number of NKT cells and clinical variables, such as eosinophil count and serum IgE level, among others (140).

Conversely, other studies have reported elevated frequency of iNKT cells in BAL fluid from asthmatic patients compared to healthy controls, in addition to a reduced number of iNKT cells in peripheral blood, which might suggest a process of migration of these cells from the periphery to the airways (141–143).

Moreover, Agea et al. (2005) reported that human T cells, including iNKT cells, may recognize lipids from pollens – particularly phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamines-through a CD1-dependent pathway, requiring $CD1a^+$ and $CD1d^+$ antigen presenting cell (144).

An initial report by Akbari et al. (2006) showed that more than 60% of pulmonary CD4⁺CD3⁺ T cells present in BAL fluid from patients with moderate or severe asthma were iNKT cells (145). However, other groups have failed to replicate the same results, evidencing that the presence of iNKT cells in BAL fluid, induced sputum, and bronchial-biopsy specimens ranges from 0.07% to 3% (141, 142, 146). Furthermore, the study conducted by Vijayanand and collaborators employing different lung-derived samples was unable to observe the results reported by Akbari et al., on which less than 2% of NKT cells were detected on pulmonary samples and were unable to detect the NKT T-cell receptor genes V α 24 and VB11 on bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid and sputum of asthmatic subjects (147). In this sense, it has been suggested that the results obtained by Akbari et al. (2006) may be biased due to improper gating strategy and lack of blocking Fc receptors that could have led to nonspecifically binding of antibodies (143).

Although limited by the small number of patients, the results presented by Reynolds et al. (2009) evidence an increased presence of iNKT cells in lung biopsies of patients with mild-to-moderate asthma taken at baseline, 24 hours and seven days after allergen challenge, similar context to mouse models previously used (148). By using α GalCer-loaded CD1d tetramers, the group reported that 9.8% of CD3⁺ T cells were iNKT cells at baseline, increasing 24 hours after the allergen challenge to 15%, returning to baseline levels after seven days. By correlating the results with the measurement of AHR by spirometry, the group proposed that iNKT cells would have a crucial role in allergic asthma by increasing AHR, being the first study to recreate similar conditions as those studies employing murine models.

Furthermore, the presence of iNKT cells in other types of samples, such as induced sputum, has been determined to be increased in patients with asthma and eosinophilic bronchitis (149). The same study also found an inverse correlation between the number of iNKT cells in sputum and the degree of AHR, proposing that specific cytokines produced by iNKT cells, such as IFN- γ , could inhibit AHR. In a further study, the same research

group assessed the cytokine produced by iNKT cells present in the blood of asthmatic patients, evidencing an enhanced production of IL-4, which may contribute to the inflammatory process in the airways and the severity of the diseases (150).

Carpio-Pedroza et al. (2013) found an increased frequency of iNKT cells in peripheral blood during asthma exacerbation attacks in children (151). Even more, this study showed that iNKT cells could be influencing asthmatic exacerbations due to increased production of IL-4 and decreased levels of IFN- γ , proposing that iNKT cells could modulate these episodes by the polarization of T cells and recruitment of pro-inflammatory cells. These results align with the previous work by Yan-ming et al. (2012), where they evidence an increased production of IL-4 by iNKT cells (152). This study also demonstrated a reduction of IL-4 in sublingual immunotherapy treatment for house dust mite allergy with no further increase in IFN- γ levels. However, it enhanced the production of IL-10, suggesting a possible mechanism of immunotherapy through immune tolerance induction.

Adding to the controversy regarding the role of iNKT cells in asthmatic patients, it has been depicted that the aforementioned cells could interact either synergistically or antagonistically with T_{reg} cells, mainly through the secretion of IL-2 from iNKT cells, causing an increased proliferation of T_{reg} cells (153), or the suppression of the proliferation and cytokine secretion of NKT cells by T_{reg} cells (154), respectively. Nguyen et al. (155) explored such interaction on samples derived from allergic asthma patients, evidencing an increased expression of natural cytotoxic receptors NKp30 and NKp46 on iNKT cells from patients with allergic asthma, as well as an elevated secretion of granzyme B and perforin by these cells, which led to an increased cytotoxicity of iNKT cells against autologous Treg cells, suggesting that the reduction of T_{reg} cells caused by iNKT cells could be mediated either by the direct interaction or through the secretion of the aforementioned cytotoxic enzymes. Such results suggest that iNKT cells may also contribute to the pathogenesis of AHR by acting as counter-regulators of Treg cells.

In the context of the usage of bacterial lysate, such as OM-85 Broncho-Vaxom (OM-85 BV), as a clinical immunomodulatory therapy, Lu et al. (2015) demonstrated a significant increase in the number of peripheral blood iNKT cells in asthmatic children treated with OM-85 BV, further evidencing a decreased production of IL-4 and enhanced secretion of IL-10 from these cells. This evidence suggests that therapeutic strategies-based modulation of the immune response by iNKT cells could induce allergen-specific tolerance and a possible curative therapy in the context of asthmatic disease.

3.4 Methodological differences regarding studies of iNKT cells involvement in asthma

Given the conflicting results observed in both murine model and samples derived from asthmatic patients, measures should be taken to properly evaluate the contribution of NKT cells in the onset or severity of allergic asthma, among which should consider the following aspects:

3.4.1 Mice strain background

It is a well-established fact that the differences in genetic background of mice determine inflammatory characteristics, being critical for the development of relevant murine models of allergic asthma. It has been reported that A/J and AKR/J mice present higher levels of AHR after allergen sensitization and challenge (156). However, the mouse strain most frequently employed for allergic asthma studies are C57BL/6 and BALB/c. Comparative studies have indicated that BALB/c mice are prone to developing a T_{H2} response and developing AHR, while C57BL/6 mice are hyporesponsive to methacholine challenges although displaying a considerable allergen-induced eosinophilic inflammation (157).

3.4.2 Animal models to determine the significance of NKT cells in asthma

Difference between models that consider either the deletion of critical genes for developing NKT cells, deletion of CD1d genes, or administration of blocking antibodies should weigh whether such approaches effectively allow evaluating the participation of NKT cells on allergic asthma, taking into consideration the different subtypes of NKT cells that exist. Some models, such as $J\alpha 18^{-/-}$, still endows the development of dNKT cells, which could be further stimulated by unidentified antigens and/or mechanisms that could modulate the onset of asthma (158).

3.4.3 Microbe exposure

The current microbiota present in the subject could be conditioning the immune response in the development of asthmatic diseases. The presence or absence of different bacteria would affect the immune response and inflammation (159). Furthermore, intestinal and mucosal microbiota could affect murine models employed to evaluate the participation of NKT cells in asthma (160, 161).

3.4.4 Allergens

Particularly in protein-induced allergic asthma, endotoxin content in immunizing content could influence the development of asthma and the type of allergic response associated with the diseases (162, 163). Additionally, even though the use of OVA as a model allergen presents benefits, such as high accessibility, increased purity of the compound and the characterization of the epitopes against which immune responses are mounted, its use has been called into question, mainly due to the fact that inhalation of pure OVA induces a tolerogenic response (164), it is not an environmental allergen and does not cause airway inflammation in humans. Thus, the use of other allergens, such as ragweed, house dust mite (HDM) extracts and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (165–167) has been proposed in the development of model that resemble more closely to the allergic asthma observed in humans.

3.4.5 Routes of sensitization and challenge

Different routes are employed depending on whether the objective is to induce acute or chronic asthma. One publication reported that subcutaneous sensitization was superior to intraperitoneal administration; however, is still pending to confirm this evidence (168). Furthermore, allergic responses in the murine asthma model do not resemble the natural induction of allergic disease, mainly because allergen exposure is a continuous event through time. In addition, current protocols have suggested chronic exposure to aeroallergens as a "physiological" approximation to the induction of allergic asthma (166, 169). In line with that knowledge, it has been recommended allergen exposure should consider inhalation by nebulization, intratracheal or intranasal administration (170–172).

3.4.6 Proper identification of iNKT cells

Concise detection of iNKT cells should consider staining with fluorescent-labeled α GalCer-CD1d tetramer (173), as well as employing proper reagents to block unspecific interactions with other cells. Furthermore, iNKT cells could be activated by recognizing glycolipid content in the tetramer. This should be considered in adoptive transfer experiments, where iNKT cells could become transitorily anergic due to undesired activation (174), leading to a downregulation of the expression of its TCR. On the other hand, for human samples, as well as including tetramer staining, identification of NKT cells should also be complemented with the use of V β 11, V α 24 and V α 24-J α 18specific antibodies (147).

3.5 Reconsidering current treatment of asthma

Asthma is considered a heterogeneous disease on which different 'asthma phenotypes' have been recognized (175). According to the demographic, clinical and/or pathophysiological characteristics, the most common phenotypes of asthma include allergic asthma, non-allergic asthma, adult-onset asthma, asthma with persistent airflow limitation and asthma with obesity (175–177).

The current pharmacological therapy for asthmatic patients includes inhaled short-acting β -agonists (178), long-acting β agonist (179), inhaled corticosteroids (180), systemic corticosteroids (181), leukotriene receptor antagonist (182), and biological agents, mainly monoclonal antibodies, directed against different immunological targets involved in the occurrence and severity of allergic asthma symptoms (183-186). Among the biological agents currently available for asthma treatment, some of the most used are mepolizumab, reslizumab, and benralizumab, which interfere with the functions of IL-5 and, in the case of the latter, has cytotoxic activity against cells that express the IL-5 receptor; dupilumab, that blocks IL-4 receptor α (187–189); omalizumab, that leads to a reduce binding of IgE to its receptor as well as downregulates the FceRI expression (190); and tezepelumab, that blocks TSLP and probed useful in cases of type-2 low asthma (191).

According to the severity of the disease, different therapeutic strategies can be considered. In the case of mild asthma, defined as well controlled asthma, treatment considers low-dose of inhaled corticosteroids and, when needed, short-acting β -agonists. On the other hand, treatment for moderate asthma, also considered as a well-

controlled asthma, contemplates the use of medium-dose inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β -agonist. Finally, severe asthma, defined as asthma that remains uncontrolled despite optimized treatment, considers the use of long-acting muscarinic antagonists, leukotriene receptor antagonist therapy and the use of biological agents, such as the aforementioned monoclonal antibodies (192).

However, high failure rates (30% to 70%) to adhere to the treatment regimen in asthma patients, as well as the high cost of treatment (193) and the heterogeneity in the immunopathology of the disease, impose significant limitations that can impair the effectiveness of the treatment, leading to the disappearance of therapeutic effects (10–12).

As current management of asthma considers only the regulation of asthmatic symptomatology, allergen desensitization immunotherapy arises as the unique treatment that can revert allergic diseases since it can suppress the proinflammatory state and promote the development of allergen tolerance (194).

Allergen immunotherapy leads to the generation of regulatory cells, such as regulatory T (Treg) cells and regulatory B (Breg) cells (195, 196), which produce inhibitory cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGF- β , as well as possessing specific molecules, such as granzyme B, CD39, CD73 and CTLA-4, that promote an immunosuppressive environment in the context of allergic inflammation (197, 198). Through these mechanisms, both Treg and Breg cells suppress allergic T_H2 immune responses, as well as suppressing the production of allergen-specific IgE and inducing the secretion of IgG4 and IgA antibodies on B cells; abolish the homing of $T_{H}2$ cells on inflamed tissues; suppress the activation of epithelial cells and mucus production; reduce the activation threshold of innate immune cells, such as mast cells, basophils and eosinophils; and interfere in the differentiation of naïve $CD4^+$ T cells to T_H2 cells (197, 199). Thus, the overall result of allergen immunotherapy leads to the generation of regulatory cells that suppress both T_H1 and T_H2 responses, to later promote a pronounced T_H1 response to the administered allergen (200).

However, allergen immunotherapy has certain drawbacks that limit its use. Initially, candidates for allergen immunotherapy should present concise result of allergy testing, such as immediate hypersensitivity skin test or presence of serum specific IgE, while patients with positive test for specific IgE antibodies that do not correlate with clinical symptoms are not considered for the treatment (200). Secondly, previous to initiate allergen immunotherapy, patients should have a controlled asthma through the use of pharmacotherapy (200). Thirdly, allergen immunotherapy could induce adverse reactions, such as local allergic reactions, anaphylaxis, or near-death reactions (201). Fourthly, effectiveness of allergen immunotherapy mostly relies on the subjective assessment of the patient's report of feeling better during a season that previously caused asthmatic symptoms (200). Furthermore, the immunotherapy build-up regime could last between 3 and 6 months, while the maintenance regimen could extend from 3 to 5 years (202). Additionally, discontinuation of allergen immunotherapy could lead to a relapse of the asthmatic symptomatology, reducing its effectiveness over extended periods (200).

Given these facts, improvements on allergen immunotherapy are required, mainly to induce an early tolerogenic response and



prolonged effectiveness through time. In this way, allergen immunotherapy could benefit from multiple aGalCer-derived analogs capable of activating iNKT cells that have recently been developed in order to generate a biased T_H1/T_H2 cytokine response (203-205), and combined with different strategies designed to deliver the glycolipid analog cargo, such as the use of nanoparticles designed to induce the activation of iNKT cells (206), would allow joint delivery of both iNKT cells modulating glycolipids and allergens, allowing a possible restoring of the imbalanced cytokine production present in asthma by further induction of a tolerogenic immune response toward a specific allergen. Suzuki et al. (2019) led one distinguishable investigation that used a GalCer-loaded liposomes jointly delivered with OVA as a therapeutic strategy in a murine model of allergen-induced asthmatic disease, demonstrating a switch of immunoglobulins generated upregulation of T_H1-type cytokine secretion and reversion on nasal symptoms (207).

On the other hand, an earlier immunosuppressive milieu could be established through the induction of NKT10 cells (100), which produce and secrete IL-10, which might lead to the generation of other cellular subsets producing such immunosuppressive cytokines, and could significantly impact the immune response in the context of allergic inflammation.

Finally, it has been demonstrated that activation of NKT cells can lead to the generation of Breg cells, as evidenced by Zeng (208) and Vomhof-DeKrey (209), which could enhance the generation of such cells in the context of allergen immunotherapy and promote a stronger anti-inflammatory response.

4 Concluding remarks

The information provided supports the fact that, in the context of allergic asthma, iNKT cells are present; however, their precise pathological or protective functions on this pathology remains unclear (Figure 4). Differences in the reported biological role of iNKT cells may also be due to biases as a result of methodological variations. Further studies employing murine models should take into account the proper genetic background of mice, the presence of iNKT cells in different types of tissues and samples retrieved from the animals, as well as the proper identification of the different subtypes of iNKT cells and the cytokine profile secreted by these cells. Regarding the participation of iNKT cells in human subjects, studies should consider the accurate identification of these cells employing either specific antibodies or CD1d tetramers, as well as proper staining protocols. Even more, it is pending evaluation if iNKT cells could participate in asthmatic disease caused by other etiologies, such as chronic, aspirin-induced, occupational, and steroid-resistant asthma.

Considering the capacity of iNKT cells to modulate different immune cell subsets, further investigations should focus on inducing the activation of these cells on asthmatic pulmonary tissue, promoting an anti-inflammatory cytokine milieu that would lead to the reduction of the symptomatology and, ultimately, to the reversion of the pathology. Future studies need to take into consideration the possible role that iNKT cells could be playing in the context of asthma to develop efficient immunotherapies that not only lead to the reversion of T_H2 -type cytokine overproduction but also generate a strategy that could be fully accomplished by the patient in a reduced regimen and leading to a lengthy tolerogenic response, arising as a time- and cost-effective therapy.

Author contributions

CG-V: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. RG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PP: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MM: Writing – review & editing. DM: Writing – review & editing. CV: Writing – review & editing. FO: Writing – review & editing. FS: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. AK: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. NS-A: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SP: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SP: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SP: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LC: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by FONDECYT grants 1211959 (to LC), 1231851 (to AK), 1240971 (to PG) and 1201039 (to FS), FONDEF ANID grants ID21110335 (to LC) and ID17120143 (to PG), Puente UC grant PUENTE-2023-18 (to PG), NIH grants AI45889 and GM111849 (to SP and NS-A), and Instituto Milenio en Inmunología e Inmunoterapia-Millennium Institute on Immunology and Immunotherapy ICM-ANID ICN2021_045. CG-V is a Ph.D. fellow of Chilean National Agency for Research and Development (ANID)/Scholarship Program/Doctorado Nacional/2021-21211655.

Acknowledgments

All figures in this review were created with BioRender.com.

References

1. Bousquet J, Khaltaev NG, Cruz AA, Organization WH. Global surveillance, prevention and control of chronic respiratory diseases: a comprehensive approach. (Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization) (2007).

2. Pawankar R, Canonica GW, Holgate S, Lockey R. Wao White Book on Allergy: Update 2013. (Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States of America: World Allergy Organization) (2013).

3. Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America. Cost of Asthma on Society (2020). Available online at: https://www.aafa.org/cost-of-asthma-on-society/.

4. Barnett SB, Nurmagambetov TA. Costs of asthma in the United States: 2002-2007. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2011) 127:145–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2010.10.020

5. Padem N, Saltoun C. Classification of asthma. Allergy Asthma Proc. (2019) 40:385-8. doi: 10.2500/aap.2019.40.4253

6. Hekking PP, Bel EH. Developing and emerging clinical asthma phenotypes. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. (2014) 2:671–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2014.09.007

7. Carmichael J, Paterson IC, Diaz P, Crompton GK, Kay AB, Grant IW. Corticosteroid resistance in chronic asthma. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* (1981) 282:1419-22. doi: 10.1136/bmj.282.6274.1419

8. Martinez FD. New insights into the natural history of asthma: primary prevention on the horizon. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2011) 128:939–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2011.09.020

9. Rubinsztajn R, Chazan R. Monoclonal antibodies for the management of severe asthma. Adv Exp Med Biol. (2016) 935:35-42. doi: 10.1007/5584_2016_29

10. Rand CS, Wise RA. Measuring adherence to asthma medication regimens. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (1994) 149:S69-76. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm/149.2_Pt_2.S69

11. Guilbert TW, Morgan WJ, Zeiger RS, Mauger DT, Boehmer SJ, Szefler SJ, et al. Long-term inhaled corticosteroids in preschool children at high risk for asthma. *N Engl J Med.* (2006) 354:1985–97. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa051378

12. Warner SM, Knight DA. Airway modeling and remodeling in the pathogenesis of asthma. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2008) 8:44–8. doi: 10.1097/ACI.0b013e3282f3b5cb

13. Berzins SP, Ritchie DS. Natural killer T cells: drivers or passengers in preventing human disease? *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2014) 14:640–6. doi: 10.1038/nri3725

14. Lisbonne M, Diem S, de Castro Keller A, Lefort J, Araujo LM, Hachem P, et al. Cutting edge: invariant V alpha 14 nkt cells are required for allergen-induced airway inflammation and hyperreactivity in an experimental asthma model. *J Immunol.* (2003) 171:1637–41. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.4.1637

15. Das J, Eynott P, Jupp R, Bothwell A, Van Kaer L, Shi Y, et al. Natural killer T cells and Cd8+ T cells are dispensable for T cell-dependent allergic airway inflammation. *Nat Med.* (2006) 12:1345–6. doi: 10.1038/nm1206-1345

16. Carreno LJ, Kharkwal SS, Porcelli SA. Optimizing nkt cell ligands as vaccine adjuvants. *Immunotherapy*. (2014) 6:309–20. doi: 10.2217/imt.13.175

17. Bateman ED, Hurd SS, Barnes PJ, Bousquet J, Drazen JM, FitzGerald JM, et al. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: gina executive summary. *Eur Respir J.* (2008) 31:143–78. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00138707

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. O'Byrne PM, Inman MD. Airway hyperresponsiveness. *Chest.* (2003) 123:4115–65. doi: 10.1378/chest.123.3_suppl.4115

19. Halwani R, Al-Muhsen S, Hamid Q. Airway remodeling in asthma. Curr Opin Pharmacol. (2010) 10:236–45. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2010.06.004

20. Tarasidis GS, Wilson KF. Diagnosis of asthma: clinical assessment. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. (2015) 5 Suppl 1:S23–6. doi: 10.1002/alr.21518

21. Boulet L-P. 54 - diagnosis of asthma in adults. In: Adkinson NF, Bochner BS, Burks AW, Busse WW, Holgate ST, Lemanske RF, editors. *Middleton's Allergy, Eighth Edition*. W.B. Saunders, London (2014). p. 892–901.

22. Arshad SH, Kurukulaaratchy RJ, Fenn M, Matthews S. Early life risk factors for current wheeze, asthma, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness at 10 years of age. *Chest.* (2005) 127:502–8. doi: 10.1378/chest.127.2.502

23. Shah R, Newcomb DC. Sex bias in asthma prevalence and pathogenesis. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:2997. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02997

24. To T, Stanojevic S, Moores G, Gershon AS, Bateman ED, Cruz AA, et al. Global asthma prevalence in adults: findings from the cross-sectional world health survey. *BMC Public Health*. (2012) 12:204. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-204

25. Global Asthma Network. The Global Asthma Report 2018. Auckland, New Zealand: Global Asthma Network (2018).

26. Sehgal N, Ainsworth S, Dafforn T, Custovic A, Woodcock A. Protease activity of der P 1: cysteine, serine or both? *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2004) 113:S336. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2004.01.716

27. Reginald K, Chew FT. The major allergen der P 2 is a cholesterol binding protein. SciRep. (2019) 9:1556. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-38313-9

28. Calderon MA, Kleine-Tebbe J, Linneberg A, De Blay F, Hernandez Fernandez de Rojas D, Virchow JC, et al. House dust mite respiratory allergy: an overview of current therapeutic strategies. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. (2015) 3:843–55. doi: 10.1016/ j.jaip.2015.06.019

29. Pulsawat P, Soongrung T, Satitsuksanoa P, Le Mignon M, Khemili S, Gilis D, et al. The house dust mite allergen der P 5 binds lipid ligands and stimulates airway epithelial cells through a Tlr2-dependent pathway. *Clin Exp Allergy*. (2019) 49:378–90. doi: 10.1111/cea.13278

30. Bonnet B, Messaoudi K, Jacomet F, Michaud E, Fauquert JL, Caillaud D, et al. An update on molecular cat allergens: fel D 1 and what else? Chapter 1: fel D 1, the major cat allergen. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol.* (2018) 14:14. doi: 10.1186/s13223-018-0239-8

31. Konieczny A, Morgenstern JP, Bizinkauskas CB, Lilley CH, Brauer AW, Bond JF, et al. The major dog allergens, can F 1 and can F 2, are salivary lipocalin proteins: cloning and immunological characterization of the recombinant forms. *Immunology*. (1997) 92:577–86. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2567.1997.00386.x

32. Jensen-Jarolim E, Pacios LF, Bianchini R, Hofstetter G, Roth-Walter F. Structural similarities of human and mammalian lipocalins, and their function in innate immunity and allergy. *Allergy*. (2016) 71:286–94. doi: 10.1111/all.12797

33. Jeal H, Draper A, Harris J, Taylor AN, Cullinan P, Jones M. Determination of the T cell epitopes of the lipocalin allergen, rat N 1. *Clin Exp Allergy*. (2004) 34:1919–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2004.02126.x

34. Pomes A, Chapman MD, Vailes LD, Blundell TL, Dhanaraj V. Cockroach allergen bla G 2: structure, function, and implications for allergic sensitization. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2002) 165:391–7. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.165.3.2104027

35. Bufe A, Schramm G, Keown MB, Schlaak M, Becker WM. Major allergen phl P vb in timothy grass is a novel pollen rnase. *FEBS Lett.* (1995) 363:6–12. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(95)00259-C

36. Pichler U, Hauser M, Wolf M, Bernardi ML, Gadermaier G, Weiss R, et al. Pectate lyase pollen allergens: sensitization profiles and cross-reactivity pattern. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0120038. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120038

37. Schenk MF, Cordewener JH, America AH, Van't Westende WP, Smulders MJ, Gilissen LJ. Characterization of pr-10 genes from eight betula species and detection of bet V 1 isoforms in birch pollen. *BMC Plant Biol.* (2009) 9:24. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-9-24

38. Valenta R, Duchene M, Pettenburger K, Sillaber C, Valent P, Bettelheim P, et al. Identification of profilin as a novel pollen allergen; ige autoreactivity in sensitized individuals. *Science.* (1991) 253:557–60. doi: 10.1126/science.1857985

39. Arruda LK, Mann BJ, Chapman MD. Selective expression of a major allergen and cytotoxin, asp F I, in aspergillus fumigatus. Implications for the immunopathogenesis of aspergillus-related diseases. J Immunol. (1992) 149:3354–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.149.10.3354

40. De Vouge MW, Thaker AJ, Curran IH, Zhang L, Muradia G, Rode H, et al. Isolation and expression of a cdna clone encoding an alternaria alternata alt a 1 subunit. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* (1996) 111:385–95. doi: 10.1159/000237397

41. Singh M, Hays A. Indoor and outdoor allergies. Prim Care. (2016) 43:451-63. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2016.04.013

42. Kay AB. Allergy and allergic diseases. First of two parts. N Engl J Med. (2001) 344:30–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200101043440106

43. Niederberger V, Ring J, Rakoski J, Jager S, Spitzauer S, Valent P, et al. Antigens drive memory ige responses in human allergy via the nasal mucosa. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* (2007) 142:133–44. doi: 10.1159/000096439

44. Bradding P, Walls AF, Holgate ST. The role of the mast cell in the pathophysiology of asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2006) 117:1277–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2006.02.039

45. Glader P, Moller S, Lilja J, Wieslander E, Lofdahl CG, von Wachenfeldt K. Cigarette smoke extract modulates respiratory defence mechanisms through effects on T-cells and airway epithelial cells. *Respir Med.* (2006) 100:818–27. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2005.09.008

46. Post S, Nawijn MC, Hackett TL, Baranowska M, Gras R, van Oosterhout AJ, et al. The composition of house dust mite is critical for mucosal barrier dysfunction and allergic sensitisation. *Thorax*. (2012) 67:488–95. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200606

47. Atherton HC, Jones G, Danahay H. Il-13-induced changes in the goblet cell density of human bronchial epithelial cell cultures: map kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase regulation. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2003) 285:L730–9. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00089.2003

48. Gauvreau GM, Sehmi R, Ambrose CS, Griffiths JM. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin: its role and potential as a therapeutic target in asthma. *Expert Opin Ther Targets*. (2020) 24:777–92. doi: 10.1080/14728222.2020.1783242

49. Lambrecht BN, Salomon B, Klatzmann D, Pauwels RA. Dendritic cells are required for the development of chronic eosinophilic airway inflammation in response to inhaled antigen in sensitized mice. *J Immunol.* (1998) 160:4090–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.160.8.4090

50. Ruiter B, Shreffler WG. Innate immunostimulatory properties of allergens and their relevance to food allergy. *Semin Immunopathol.* (2012) 34:617–32. doi: 10.1007/ s00281-012-0334-8

51. Duchesne M, Okoye I, Lacy P. Epithelial cell alarmin cytokines: frontline mediators of the asthma inflammatory response. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:975914. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.975914

52. Liu YJ, Soumelis V, Watanabe N, Ito T, Wang YH, Malefyt Rde W, et al. Tslp: an epithelial cell cytokine that regulates T cell differentiation by conditioning dendritic cell maturation. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2007) 25:193–219. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141718

53. Renauld JC. New insights into the role of cytokines in asthma. J Clin Pathol. (2001) 54:577–89. doi: 10.1136/jcp.54.8.577

54. Kuperman DA, Huang X, Koth LL, Chang GH, Dolganov GM, Zhu Z, et al. Direct effects of interleukin-13 on epithelial cells cause airway hyperreactivity and mucus overproduction in asthma. *Nat Med.* (2002) 8:885–9. doi: 10.1038/nm734

55. Barnes PJ. The cytokine network in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Clin Invest.* (2008) 118:3546–56. doi: 10.1172/JCI36130

56. Yoo Y, Perzanowski MS. Allergic sensitization and the environment: latest update. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep.* (2014) 14:465. doi: 10.1007/s11882-014-0465-1

57. Klein Wolterink RG, Kleinjan A, van Nimwegen M, Bergen I, de Bruijn M, Levani Y, et al. Pulmonary innate lymphoid cells are major producers of il-5 and il-13 in murine models of allergic asthma. *Eur J Immunol.* (2012) 42:1106–16. doi: 10.1002/eji.201142018

58. Wang L, Tang J, Yang X, Zanvit P, Cui K, Ku WL, et al. Tgf-beta induces St2 and programs Ilc2 development. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:35. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13734-w

59. Hammad H, Lambrecht BN. The basic immunology of asthma. Cell. (2021) 184:1469–85. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.016

60. Christianson CA, Goplen NP, Zafar I, Irvin C, Good JT Jr., Rollins DR, et al. Persistence of asthma requires multiple feedback circuits involving type 2 innate lymphoid cells and il-33. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2015) 136:59–68.e14. doi: 10.1016/ j.jaci.2014.11.037

61. Castells M, Schwartz LB. Tryptase levels in nasal-lavage fluid as an indicator of the immediate allergic response. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (1988) 82:348–55. doi: 10.1016/0091-6749(88)90005-X

62. Galli SJ, Tsai M. Mast cells in allergy and infection: versatile effector and regulatory cells in innate and adaptive immunity. *Eur J Immunol.* (2010) 40:1843–51. doi: 10.1002/eji.201040559

63. Okayama Y, Petit-Frere C, Kassel O, Semper A, Quint D, Tunon-de-Lara MJ, et al. Ige-dependent expression of mrna for Il-4 and Il-5 in human lung mast cells. *J Immunol.* (1995) 155:1796–808. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.155.4.1796

64. Galli SJ, Grimbaldeston M, Tsai M. Immunomodulatory mast cells: negative, as well as positive, regulators of immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2008) 8:478–86. doi: 10.1038/nri2327

65. Lampinen M, Carlson M, Hakansson LD, Venge P. Cytokine-regulated accumulation of eosinophils in inflammatory disease. *Allergy.* (2004) 59:793-805. doi: 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2004.00469.x

66. Brown JM, Wilson TM, Metcalfe DD. The mast cell and allergic diseases: role in pathogenesis and implications for therapy. *Clin Exp Allergy*. (2008) 38:4–18. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2007.02886.x

67. Faccioli LH, Mokwa VF, Silva CL, Rocha GM, Araujo JI, Nahori MA, et al. II-5 drives eosinophils from bone marrow to blood and tissues in a Guinea-pig model of visceral larva migrans syndrome. *Mediators Inflammation*. (1996) 5:24–31. doi: 10.1155/S096293519600004X

68. Gundel RH, Letts LG, Gleich GJ. Human eosinophil major basic protein induces airway constriction and airway hyperresponsiveness in primates. *J Clin Invest.* (1991) 87:1470–3. doi: 10.1172/JCI115155

69. Grunig G, Warnock M, Wakil AE, Venkayya R, Brombacher F, Rennick DM, et al. Requirement for il-13 independently of il-4 in experimental asthma. *Science*. (1998) 282:2261–3. doi: 10.1126/science.282.5397.2261

70. Doran E, Cai F, Holweg CTJ, Wong K, Brumm J, Arron JR. Interleukin-13 in asthma and other eosinophilic disorders. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2017) 4:139. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2017.00139

71. Miranda C, Busacker A, Balzar S, Trudeau J, Wenzel SE. Distinguishing severe asthma phenotypes: role of age at onset and eosinophilic inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2004) 113:101–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2003.10.041

72. Fehrenbach H, Wagner C, Wegmann M. Airway remodeling in asthma: what really matters. *Cell Tissue Res.* (2017) 367:551–69. doi: 10.1007/s00441-016-2566-8

73. Boonpiyathad T, Sozener ZC, Satitsuksanoa P, Akdis CA. Immunologic mechanisms in asthma. Semin Immunol. (2019) 46:101333. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2019.101333

74. Banafea GH, Bakhashab S, Alshaibi HF, Natesan Pushparaj P, Rasool M. The role of human mast cells in allergy and asthma. *Bioengineered.* (2022) 13:7049-64. doi: 10.1080/21655979.2022.2044278

75. Holgate ST. Pathogenesis of asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy*. (2008) 38:872–97. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.02971.x

76. Bosse Y, Riesenfeld EP, Pare PD, Irvin CG. It's not all smooth muscle: non-smooth-muscle elements in control of resistance to airflow. *Annu Rev Physiol.* (2010) 72:437–62. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-021909-135851

77. Niimi A, Matsumoto H, Amitani R, Nakano Y, Mishima M, Minakuchi M, et al. Airway wall thickness in asthma assessed by computed tomography. Relation to clinical indices. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2000) 162:1518–23. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.162.4.9909044

78. Tliba O, Panettieri RA Jr. Paucigranulocytic asthma: uncoupling of airway obstruction from inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2019) 143:1287-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.06.008

79. Ricciardolo FLM, Carriero V, Bertolini F. Which therapy for non-type(T)2/T2-low asthma. J Pers Med. (2021) 12. doi: 10.3390/jpm12010010

80. Hudey SN, Ledford DK, Cardet JC. Mechanisms of non-type 2 asthma. Curr Opin Immunol. (2020) 66:123–8. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2020.10.002

81. Hinks TSC, Levine SJ, Brusselle GG. Treatment options in type-2 low asthma. *Eur Respir J.* (2021) 57. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00528-2020

82. Hall S, Agrawal DK. Key mediators in the immunopathogenesis of allergic asthma. Int Immunopharmacol. (2014) 23:316-29. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2014.05.034

83. Brigl M, Bry L, Kent SC, Gumperz JE, Brenner MB. Mechanism of cd1drestricted natural killer T cell activation during microbial infection. *Nat Immunol.* (2003) 4:1230–7. doi: 10.1038/ni1002

84. Stetson DB, Mohrs M, Reinhardt RL, Baron JL, Wang ZE, Gapin L, et al. Constitutive cytokine mrnas mark natural killer (Nk) and nk T cells poised for rapid effector function. J Exp Med. (2003) 198:1069–76. doi: 10.1084/jem.20030630

85. Bendelac A, Rivera MN, Park SH, Roark JH. Mouse cd1-specific nk1 T cells: development, specificity, and function. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (1997) 15:535–62. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.15.1.535

86. Skold M, Stenstrom M, Sidobre S, Hoglund P, Kronenberg M, Cardell S. Mhc-dependent and -independent modulation of endogenous ly49 receptors on nk1.1+ T $\,$

lymphocytes directed by T-cell receptor type. Immunology. (2003) 110:313-21. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2567.2003.01741.x

87. Gapin L, Matsuda JL, Surh CD, Kronenberg M. Nkt cells derive from doublepositive thymocytes that are positively selected by cd1d. *Nat Immunol.* (2001) 2:971–8. doi: 10.1038/ni710

88. Crosby CM, Kronenberg M. Tissue-specific functions of invariant natural killer T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2018) 18:559–74. doi: 10.1038/s41577-018-0034-2

89. Brennan PJ, Brigl M, Brenner MB. Invariant natural killer T cells: an innate activation scheme linked to diverse effector functions. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2013) 13:101–17. doi: 10.1038/nri3369

90. Bricard G, Porcelli SA. Antigen presentation by cd1 molecules and the generation of lipid-specific T cell immunity. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2007) 64:1824–40. doi: 10.1007/s00018-007-7007-0

91. Makowska A, Kawano T, Taniguchi M, Cardell S. Differences in the ligand specificity between cd1d-restricted T cells with limited and diverse T-cell receptor repertoire. *Scand J Immunol.* (2000) 52:71–9. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3083.2000.00754.x

92. Dasgupta S, Kumar V. Type ii nkt cells: A distinct cd1d-restricted immune regulatory nkt cell subset. *Immunogenetics*. (2016) 68:665–76. doi: 10.1007/s00251-016-0930-1

93. Singh AK, Tripathi P, Cardell SL. Type ii nkt cells: an elusive population with immunoregulatory properties. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1969. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01969

94. Rossjohn J, Pellicci DG, Patel O, Gapin L, Godfrey DI. Recognition of cd1drestricted antigens by natural killer T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2012) 12:845–57. doi: 10.1038/nri3328

95. Brossay I, Jullien D, Cardell S, Sydora BC, Burdin N, Modlin RL, et al. Mouse cd1 is mainly expressed on hemopoietic-derived cells. *J Immunol.* (1997) 159:1216–24. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.159.3.1216

96. Roark JH, Park SH, Jayawardena J, Kavita U, Shannon M, Bendelac A. Cd1.1 expression by mouse antigen-presenting cells and marginal zone B cells. *J Immunol.* (1998) 160:3121–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.160.7.3121

97. Goto M, Murakawa M, Kadoshima-Yamaoka K, Tanaka Y, Nagahira K, Fukuda Y, et al. Murine nkt cells produce th17 cytokine interleukin-22. *Cell Immunol.* (2009) 254:81–4. doi: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2008.10.002

98. Gumperz JE, Miyake S, Yamamura T, Brenner MB. Functionally distinct subsets of cd1d-restricted natural killer T cells revealed by cd1d tetramer staining. *J Exp Med.* (2002) 195:625–36. doi: 10.1084/jem.20011786

99. Lee YJ, Wang H, Starrett GJ, Phuong V, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Tissuespecific distribution of inkt cells impacts their cytokine response. *Immunity*. (2015) 43:566–78. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.06.025

100. Sag D, Krause P, Hedrick CC, Kronenberg M, Wingender G. Il-10-producing nkt10 cells are a distinct regulatory invariant nkt cell subset. *J Clin Invest.* (2014) 124:3725–40. doi: 10.1172/JCI72308

101. Gadue P, Stein PL. Nk T cell precursors exhibit differential cytokine regulation and require itk for efficient maturation. *J Immunol.* (2002) 169:2397–406. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.169.5.2397

102. Lee YJ, Holzapfel KL, Zhu J, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA. Steady-state production of il-4 modulates immunity in mouse strains and is determined by lineage diversity of inkt cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 14:1146–54. doi: 10.1038/ni.2731

103. Krovi SH, Gapin L. Invariant natural killer T cell subsets-more than just developmental intermediates. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1393. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2018.01393

104. Lynch L, Michelet X, Zhang S, Brennan PJ, Moseman A, Lester C, et al. Regulatory inkt cells lack expression of the transcription factor plzf and control the homeostasis of T(Reg) cells and macrophages in adipose tissue. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 16:85–95. doi: 10.1038/ni.3047

105. Morita M, Motoki K, Akimoto K, Natori T, Sakai T, Sawa E, et al. Structureactivity relationship of alpha-galactosylceramides against B16-bearing mice. J Med Chem. (1995) 38:2176–87. doi: 10.1021/jm00012a018

106. Kawano T, Cui J, Koezuka Y, Toura I, Kaneko Y, Motoki K, et al. Cd1drestricted and tcr-mediated activation of valpha14 nkt cells by glycosylceramides. *Science*. (1997) 278:1626–9. doi: 10.1126/science.278.5343.1626

107. Benlagha K, Weiss A, Beavis A, Teyton L, Bendelac A. *In vivo* identification of glycolipid antigen-specific T cells using fluorescent cd1d tetramers. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 191:1895–903. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.11.1895

108. Exley MA, Balk SP, Wilson SB. Isolation and functional use of human nk T cells. *Curr Protoc Immunol.* (2003). doi: 10.1002/0471142735.im1411s52

109. Arora P, Venkataswamy MM, Baena A, Bricard G, Li Q, Veerapen N, et al. A rapid fluorescence-based assay for classification of inkt cell activating glycolipids. *J Am Chem Soc.* (2011) 133:5198–201. doi: 10.1021/ja200070u

110. Wilson MT, Singh AK, Van Kaer L. Immunotherapy with ligands of natural killer T cells. *Trends Mol Med.* (2002) 8:225–31. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4914(02)02325-0

111. Wilson MT, Van Kaer L. Natural killer T cells as targets for the rapeutic intervention in autoimmune diseases. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2003) 9:201–20. doi: 10.2174/ 1381612033392080

112. Wu L, Van Kaer L. Natural killer T cells in health and disease. Front Biosci (Schol Ed). (2011) 3:236-51. doi: 10.2741/s148

113. Korsgren M, Persson CG, Sundler F, Bjerke T, Hansson T, Chambers BJ, et al. Natural killer cells determine development of allergen-induced eosinophilic airway inflammation in mice. J Exp Med. (1999) 189:553–62. doi: 10.1084/jem.189.3.553

114. Akbari O, Stock P, Meyer E, Kronenberg M, Sidobre S, Nakayama T, et al. Essential role of nkt cells producing il-4 and il-13 in the development of allergeninduced airway hyperreactivity. *Nat Med.* (2003) 9:582–8. doi: 10.1038/nm851

115. Meyer EH, Goya S, Akbari O, Berry GJ, Savage PB, Kronenberg M, et al. Glycolipid activation of invariant T cell receptor+ Nk T cells is sufficient to induce airway hyperreactivity independent of conventional Cd4+ T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2006) 103:2782–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0510282103

116. Wingender G, Rogers P, Batzer G, Lee MS, Bai D, Pei B, et al. Invariant nkt cells are required for airway inflammation induced by environmental antigens. *J Exp Med.* (2011) 208:1151–62. doi: 10.1084/jem.20102229

117. Albacker LA, Chaudhary V, Chang YJ, Kim HY, Chuang YT, Pichavant M, et al. Invariant natural killer T cells recognize a fungal glycosphingolipid that can induce airway hyperreactivity. *Nat Med.* (2013) 19:1297–304. doi: 10.1038/nm.3321

118. Bilenki L, Yang J, Fan Y, Wang S, Yang X. Natural killer T cells contribute to airway eosinophilic inflammation induced by ragweed through enhanced il-4 and eotaxin production. *Eur J Immunol.* (2004) 34:345–54. doi: 10.1002/eji.200324303

119. Kim JO, Kim DH, Chang WS, Hong C, Park SH, Kim S, et al. Asthma is induced by intranasal coadministration of allergen and natural killer T-cell ligand in a mouse model. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2004) 114:1332–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2004.09.004

120. Thorburn AN, Foster PS, Gibson PG, Hansbro PM. Components of streptococcus pneumoniae suppress allergic airways disease and nkt cells by inducing regulatory T cells. *J Immunol.* (2012) 188:4611–20. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101299

121. Lu Y, Guo Y, Xu L, Li Y, Cao L. Foxp3 regulates ratio of treg and nkt cells in a mouse model of asthma. *Mol Cell Biochem.* (2015) 403:25–31. doi: 10.1007/s11010-015-2333-2

122. Lu Y, Li Y, Zhou W, Ding B, Yu Q. Regulatory T cells regulate the distribution of natural killer T cells through cd39 signal transduction in asthma. *Hum Cell.* (2019) 32:141–9. doi: 10.1007/s13577-018-00226-0

123. Chen Q, Guo X, Deng N, Liu L, Chen S, Wang A, et al. Alphagalactosylceramide generates lung regulatory T cells through the activated natural killer T cells in mice. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2019) 23:1072–85. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.14008

124. Chen Q, Guo X, Deng N, Liu L, Chen S, Wang A, et al. Alphagalactosylceramide treatment before allergen sensitization promotes inkt cellmediated induction of treg cells, preventing th2 cell responses in murine asthma. *J Biol Chem.* (2019) 294:5438–55. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.005418

125. Kim HY, Pichavant M, Matangkasombut P, Koh YI, Savage PB, DeKruyff RH, et al. The development of airway hyperreactivity in T-bet-deficient mice requires cd1d-restricted nkt cells. *J Immunol.* (2009) 182:3252–61. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0803339

126. Stock P, Lombardi V, Kohlrautz V, Akbari O. Induction of airway hyperreactivity by il-25 is dependent on a subset of invariant nkt cells expressing il-17rb. *J Immunol.* (2009) 182:5116–22. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0804213

127. Tumes D, Hirahara K, Papadopoulos M, Shinoda K, Onodera A, Kumagai J, et al. Ezh2 controls development of natural killer T cells, which cause spontaneous asthma-like pathology. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2019) 144(2):549–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2019.02.024

128. Koo GC, Hasan A, O'Reilly RJ. Use of humanized severe combined immunodeficient mice for human vaccine development. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. (2009) 8:113–20. doi: 10.1586/14760584.8.1.113

129. Ose R, Weigmann B, Schuppan D, Waisman A, Saloga J, Bellinghausen I. Depletion of cd56(+)Cd3(+) invariant natural killer T cells prevents allergen-induced inflammation in humanized mice. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2021) 148:1081–7.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2021.05.005

130. Hachem P, Lisbonne M, Michel ML, Diem S, Roongapinun S, Lefort J, et al. Alpha-galactosylceramide-induced inkt cells suppress experimental allergic asthma in sensitized mice: role of ifn-gamma. *Eur J Immunol.* (2005) 35:2793–802. doi: 10.1002/eji.200535268

131. Matsuda H, Suda T, Sato J, Nagata T, Koide Y, Chida K, et al. Alphagalactosylceramide, a ligand of natural killer T cells, inhibits allergic airway inflammation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2005) 33:22–31. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2004-0010OC

132. Koh YI, Shim JU, Lee JH, Chung JJ, Min JJ, Rhee JH, et al. Natural killer T cells are dispensable in the development of allergen-induced airway hyperresponsiveness, inflammation and remodelling in a mouse model of chronic asthma. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2010) 161:159–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249.2010.04151.x

133. McKnight CG, Morris SC, Perkins C, Zhu Z, Hildeman DA, Bendelac A, et al. Nkt cells contribute to basal il-4 production but are not required to induce experimental asthma. *PloS One.* (2017) 12:e0188221. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188221

134. Chang YJ, Kim HY, Albacker LA, Lee HH, Baumgarth N, Akira S, et al. Influenza infection in suckling mice expands an nkt cell subset that protects against airway hyperreactivity. *J Clin Invest*. (2011) 121:57–69. doi: 10.1172/JCI44845

135. Chuang YT, Leung K, Chang YJ, DeKruyff RH, Savage PB, Cruse R, et al. A natural killer T-cell subset that protects against airway hyperreactivity. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2019) 143:565–76 e7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.03.022

136. Tyznik AJ, Farber E, Girardi E, Birkholz A, Li Y, Chitale S, et al. Glycolipids that elicit Ifn-gamma-biased responses from natural killer T cells. *Chem Biol.* (2011) 18:1620–30. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2011.10.015

137. East JE, Kennedy AJ, Webb TJ. Raising the roof: the preferential pharmacological stimulation of th1 and th2 responses mediated by nkt cells. *Med Res Rev.* (2014) 34:45–76. doi: 10.1002/med.21276

138. Birkholz AM, Girardi E, Wingender G, Khurana A, Wang J, Zhao M, et al. A novel glycolipid antigen for nkt cells that preferentially induces ifn-gamma production. *J Immunol.* (2015) 195:924–33. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1500070

139. Lai AC, Chi PY, Thio CL, Han YC, Kao HN, Hsieh HW, et al. Alpha-Lactosylceramide Protects against Inkt-Mediated Murine Airway Hyperreactivity and Liver Injury through Competitive Inhibition of Cd1d Binding. *Front Chem.* (2019) 7:811. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00811

140. Ikegami Y, Yokoyama A, Haruta Y, Hiyama K, Kohno N. Circulating natural killer T cells in patients with asthma. *J Asthma*. (2004) 41:877–82. doi: 10.1081/JAS-200038364

141. Hamzaoui A, Cheik Rouhou S, Grairi H, Abid H, Ammar J, Chelbi H, et al. Nkt cells in the induced sputum of severe asthmatics. *Mediators Inflammation*. (2006) 2006:71214. doi: 10.1155/MI/2006/71214

142. Pham-Thi N, de Blic J, Le Bourgeois M, Dy M, Scheinmann P, Leite-de-Moraes MC. Enhanced frequency of immunoregulatory invariant natural killer T cells in the airways of children with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2006) 117:217–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2005.09.052

143. Thomas SY, Lilly CM, Luster AD. Invariant natural killer T cells in bronchial asthma. N Engl J Med. (2006) 354:2613–6. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc066189

144. Agea E, Russano A, Bistoni O, Mannucci R, Nicoletti I, Corazzi L, et al. Human cd1-restricted T cell recognition of lipids from pollens. *J Exp Med.* (2005) 202:295–308. doi: 10.1084/jem.20050773

145. Akbari O, Faul JL, Hoyte EG, Berry GJ, Wahlstrom J, Kronenberg M, et al. Cd4 + Invariant T-cell-receptor+ Natural killer T cells in bronchial asthma. *N Engl J Med.* (2006) 354:1117–29. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa053614

146. Matangkasombut P, Marigowda G, Ervine A, Idris L, Pichavant M, Kim HY, et al. Natural killer T cells in the lungs of patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2009) 123:1181–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.02.013

147. Vijayanand P, Seumois G, Pickard C, Powell RM, Angco G, Sammut D, et al. Invariant natural killer T cells in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med.* (2007) 356:1410–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa064691

148. Reynolds C, Barkans J, Clark P, Kariyawasam H, Altmann D, Kay B, et al. Natural killer T cells in bronchial biopsies from human allergen challenge model of allergic asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2009) 124:860–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2009.07.022

149. Koh YI, Shim JU. Association between sputum natural killer T cells and eosinophilic airway inflammation in human asthma. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* (2010) 153:239–48. doi: 10.1159/000314364

150. Shim JU, Koh YI. Increased th2-like invariant natural killer T cells in peripheral blood from patients with asthma. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* (2014) 6:444–8. doi: 10.4168/aair.2014.6.5.444

151. Carpio-Pedroza JC, Vaughan G, del Rio-Navarro BE, del Rio-Chivardi JM, Vergara-Castaneda A, Jimenez-Zamudio LA, et al. Participation of cd161(+) and invariant natural killer T cells in pediatric asthma exacerbations. *Allergy Asthma Proc.* (2013) 34:84–92. doi: 10.2500/aap.2013.34.3619

152. Yan-ming L, Lan-fang C, Chen L, Ya-qin L, Wei C, Wen-ming Z. The effect of specific immunotherapy on natural killer T cells in peripheral blood of house dust mitesensitized children with asthma. *Clin Dev Immunol.* (2012) 2012:148262. doi: 10.1155/ 2012/148262

153. Jiang S, Game DS, Davies D, Lombardi G, Lechler RI. Activated cd1d-restricted natural killer T cells secrete Il-2: innate help for cd4+Cd25+ Regulatory T cells? *Eur J Immunol.* (2005) 35:1193–200. doi: 10.1002/eji.200425899

154. Azuma T, Takahashi T, Kunisato A, Kitamura T, Hirai H. Human cd4+ Cd25+ Regulatory T cells suppress nkt cell functions. *Cancer Res.* (2003) 63:4516–20.

155. Nguyen KD, Vanichsarn C, Nadeau KC. Increased cytotoxicity of cd4+ Invariant nkt cells against cd4+Cd25hicd127lo/- regulatory T cells in allergic asthma. *Eur J Immunol.* (2008) 38:2034–45. doi: 10.1002/eji.200738082

156. Ewart SL, Kuperman D, SChadt E, Tankersley C, Grupe A, Shubitowski DM, et al. Quantitative trait loci controlling allergen-induced airway hyperresponsiveness in inbred mice. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2000) 23:537–45. doi: 10.1165/ajrcmb.23.4.4199

157. Gueders MM, Paulissen G, Crahay C, Quesada-Calvo F, Hacha J, Van Hove C, et al. Mouse models of asthma: A comparison between C57bl/6 and balb/C strains regarding bronchial responsiveness, inflammation, and cytokine production. *Inflammation Res.* (2009) 58:845–54. doi: 10.1007/s00011-009-0054-2

158. Zhang G, Nie H, Yang J, Ding X, Huang Y, Yu H, et al. Sulfatide-activated type ii nkt cells prevent allergic airway inflammation by inhibiting type I Nkt cell function in a mouse model of asthma. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2011) 301:L975–84. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00114.2011

159. Yanagibashi T, Hosono A, Oyama A, Tsuda M, Hachimura S, Takahashi Y, et al. Bacteroides induce higher iga production than lactobacillus by increasing activation-induced cytidine deaminase expression in B cells in Murine Peyer's patches. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem.* (2009) 73:372–7. doi: 10.1271/bbb.80612

160. Nieuwenhuis EE, Matsumoto T, Lindenbergh D, Willemsen R, Kaser A, Simons-Oosterhuis Y, et al. Cd1d-dependent regulation of bacterial colonization in the intestine of mice. *J Clin Invest.* (2009) 119:1241–50. doi: 10.1172/JCI36509

161. Hapil FZ, Wingender G. The interaction between invariant natural killer T cells and the mucosal microbiota. *Immunology*. (2018) 155:164–75. doi: 10.1111/imm.12958

162. Michel O. Role of lipopolysaccharide (Lps) in asthma and other pulmonary conditions. J Endotoxin Res. (2003) 9:293–300. doi: 10.1179/096805103225002539

163. Yu QL, Chen Z. Establishment of different experimental asthma models in mice. *Exp Ther Med.* (2018) 15:2492–8. doi: 10.3892/etm.2018.5721

164. Tsitoura DC, DeKruyff RH, Lamb JR, Umetsu DT. Intranasal exposure to protein antigen induces immunological tolerance mediated by functionally disabled cd4+ T cells. *J Immunol.* (1999) 163:2592–600. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.163.5.2592

165. Ghosh S, Hoselton SA, Schuh JM. Allergic inflammation in aspergillus fumigatus-induced fungal asthma. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep.* (2015) 15:59. doi: 10.1007/s11882-015-0561-x

166. Johnson JR, Wiley RE, Fattouh R, Swirski FK, Gajewska BU, Coyle AJ, et al. Continuous exposure to house dust mite elicits chronic airway inflammation and structural remodeling. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2004) 169:378–85. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200308-1094OC

167. Kheradmand F, Kiss A, Xu J, Lee SH, Kolattukudy PE, Corry DB. A proteaseactivated pathway underlying th cell type 2 activation and allergic lung disease. *J Immunol.* (2002) 169:5904–11. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169.10.5904

168. Aun MV, Saraiva-Romanholo BM, Almeida FM, Bruggemann TR, Kalil J, Martins Mde A, et al. Sensitization by subcutaneous route is superior to intraperitoneal route in induction of asthma by house dust mite in a murine mode. *Einstein (Sao Paulo)*. (2015) 13:560–6. doi: 10.1590/S1679-45082015AO3389

169. Cates EC, Fattouh R, Wattie J, Inman MD, Goncharova S, Coyle AJ, et al. Intranasal exposure of mice to house dust mite elicits allergic airway inflammation via a Gm-Csf-mediated mechanism. *J Immunol.* (2004) 173:6384–92. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.173.10.6384

170. Kumar RK, Herbert C, Foster PS. The "Classical" Ovalbumin challenge model of asthma in mice. Curr Drug Targets. (2008) 9:485–94. doi: 10.2174/138945008784533561

171. Wagers S, Lundblad LK, Ekman M, Irvin CG, Bates JH. The allergic mouse model of asthma: normal smooth muscle in an abnormal lung? *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. (2004) 96:2019–27. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00924.2003

172. Carvalho C, Jancar S, Mariano M, Sirois P. A rat model presenting eosinophilia in the airways, lung eosinophil activation, and pulmonary hyperreactivity. *Exp Lung Res.* (1999) 25:303–16. doi: 10.1080/019021499270213

173. Matsuda JL, Naidenko OV, Gapin L, Nakayama T, Taniguchi M, Wang CR, et al. Tracking the response of natural killer T cells to a glycolipid antigen using cd1d tetramers. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 192:741–54. doi: 10.1084/jem.192.5.741

174. MacDonald HR. Cd1d-glycolipid tetramers: A new tool to monitor natural killer T cells in health and disease. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 192:F15–20. doi: 10.1084/ jem.192.5.f15

175. Moore WC, Meyers DA, Wenzel SE, Teague WG, Li H, Li X, et al. Identification of asthma phenotypes using cluster analysis in the severe asthma research program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2010) 181:315–23. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200906-0896OC

176. Wenzel SE. Asthma phenotypes: the evolution from clinical to molecular approaches. Nat Med. (2012) 18:716–25. doi: 10.1038/nm.2678

177. Bel EH. Clinical phenotypes of asthma. Curr Opin Pulm Med. (2004) 10:44–50. doi: 10.1097/00063198-200401000-00008

178. Sears MR. Short-acting inhaled beta-agonists: to be taken regularly or as needed? Lancet. (2000) 355:1658-9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02231-5

179. Busse WW, Bateman ED, Caplan AL, Kelly HW, O'Byrne PM, Rabe KF, et al. Combined analysis of asthma safety trials of long-acting beta2-agonists. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:2497–505. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1716868

180. Barnes PJ. Inhaled corticosteroids. Pharm (Basel). (2010) 3:514–40. doi: 10.3390/ph3030514

181. Doherty S. Prescribe systemic corticosteroids in acute asthma. *BMJ*. (2009) 338: b1234. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b1234

182. D'Urzo AD, Chapman KR. Leukotriene-receptor antagonists. Role in asthma management. Can Fam Physician. (2000) 46:872–9.

183. Leckie MJ, ten Brinke A, Khan J, Diamant Z, O'Connor BJ, Walls CM, et al. Effects of an interleukin-5 blocking monoclonal antibody on eosinophils, airway hyperresponsiveness, and the late asthmatic response. *Lancet*. (2000) 356:2144–8. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(00)03496-6

184. Sarinho E, Cruz AA. Anti-ige monoclonal antibody for the treatment of the asthma and other manifestations related to allergic diseases. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. (2006) 82: S127–32. doi: 10.2223/JPED.1551

185. Corren J, Lemanske RF, Hanania NA, Korenblat PE, Parsey MV, Arron JR, et al. Lebrikizumab treatment in adults with asthma. *N Engl J Med*. (2011) 365:1088–98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1106469

186. Wenzel S, Ford L, Pearlman D, Spector S, Sher L, Skobieranda F, et al. Dupilumab in persistent asthma with elevated eosinophil levels. *N Engl J Med.* (2013) 368:2455–66. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1304048

187. Pelaia C, Calabrese C, Vatrella A, Busceti MT, Garofalo E, Lombardo N, et al. Benralizumab: from the basic mechanism of action to the potential use in the biological therapy of severe eosinophilic asthma. *BioMed Res Int.* (2018) 2018:4839230. doi: 10.1155/2018/4839230

188. Varricchi G, Senna G, Loffredo S, Bagnasco D, Ferrando M, Canonica GW. Reslizumab and eosinophilic asthma: one step closer to precision medicine? *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:242. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00242

189. Choy MS, Dixit D, Bridgeman MB. Mepolizumab (Nucala) for severe eosinophilic asthma. P T. (2016) 41:619–22.

190. Thomson NC, Chaudhuri R. Omalizumab: clinical use for the management of asthma. Clin Med Insights Circ Respir Pulm Med. (2012) 6:27-40. doi: 10.4137/CCRPM.S7793

191. Marone G, Spadaro G, Braile M, Poto R, Criscuolo G, Pahima H, et al. Tezepelumab: A novel biological therapy for the treatment of severe uncontrolled asthma. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. (2019) 28:931–40. doi: 10.1080/13543784.2019.1672657

192. Venkatesan P. Gina report for asthma. Lancet Respir Med. (2023) 11:589. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00230-8

193. Nurmagambetov T, Kuwahara R, Garbe P. The economic burden of asthma in the United States, 2008-2013. Ann Am Thorac Soc. (2018) 15:348–56. doi: 10.1513/ AnnalsATS.201703-259OC

194. Fujita H, Soyka MB, Akdis M, Akdis CA. Mechanisms of allergen-specific immunotherapy. *Clin Transl Allergy*. (2012) 2:2. doi: 10.1186/2045-7022-2-2

195. Francis JN, Till SJ, Durham SR. Induction of il-10+Cd4+Cd25+T cells by grass pollen immunotherapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2003) 111:1255–61. doi: 10.1067/mai.2003.1570

196. Akdis CA, Blesken T, Akdis M, Wuthrich B, Blaser K. Role of interleukin 10 in specific immunotherapy. J Clin Invest. (1998) 102:98–106. doi: 10.1172/JCI2250

197. Catalan D, Mansilla MA, Ferrier A, Soto L, Oleinika K, Aguillon JC, et al. Immunosuppressive mechanisms of regulatory B cells. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:611795. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.611795

198. Grossman WJ, Verbsky JW, Barchet W, Colonna M, Atkinson JP, Ley TJ. Human T regulatory cells can use the perforin pathway to cause autologous target cell death. *Immunity.* (2004) 21:589–601. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.09.002

199. Matsuoka T, Shamji MH, Durham SR. Allergen immunotherapy and tolerance. *Allergol Int.* (2013) 62:403–13. doi: 10.2332/allergolint.13-RAI-0650

200. Cox L, Nelson H, Lockey R, Calabria C, Chacko T, Finegold I, et al. Allergen immunotherapy: A practice parameter third update. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2011) 127:S1–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2010.09.034

201. Borchers AT, Keen CL, Gershwin ME. Fatalities following allergen immunotherapy. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. (2004) 27:147-58. doi: 10.1385/ CRIAI:27:2:147

202. Jutel M, Agache I, Bonini S, Burks AW, Calderon M, Canonica W, et al. International consensus on allergy immunotherapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2015) 136:556–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.04.047

203. Li H, Mao H, Chen C, Xu Y, Meng S, Sun T, et al. Efficient synthesis of alphagalactosylceramide and its C-6 modified analogs. *Front Chem.* (2022) 10:1039731. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2022.1039731

204. Saavedra-Avila NA, Keshipeddy S, Guberman-Pfeffer MJ, Perez-Gallegos A, Saini NK, Schafer C, et al. Amide-linked C4"-saccharide modification of km7000 provides potent stimulation of human invariant nkt cells and anti-tumor immunity in a humanized mouse model. *ACS Chem Biol.* (2020) 15:3176–86. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.0c00707

205. Kim H, Song H, Park JG, Lee DS, Park SB. Development of alpha-galcer analogues with an alpha-fluorocarbonyl moiety as th2-selective ligands of cd1d. ACS Med Chem Lett. (2019) 10:773–9. doi: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00026

206. Nakamura T, Yamazaki D, Yamauchi J, Harashima H. The nanoparticulation by octaarginine-modified liposome improves alpha-galactosylceramide-mediated antitumor therapy via systemic administration. *J Control Release*. (2013) 171:216–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2013.07.004

207. Suzuki S, Sakurai D, Sakurai T, Yonekura S, Iinuma T, Okuma Y, et al. Sublingual administration of liposomes enclosing alpha-galactosylceramide as an effective adjuvant of allergen immunotherapy in a murine model of allergic rhinitis. *Allergol Int.* (2019) 68:352–62. doi: 10.1016/j.alit.2019.02.003

208. Zeng SG, Ghnewa YG, O'Reilly VP, Lyons VG, Atzberger A, Hogan AE, et al. Human invariant nkt cell subsets differentially promote differentiation, antibody production, and T cell stimulation by B cells *in vitro. J Immunol.* (2013) 191:1666– 76. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1202223

209. Vomhof-DeKrey EE, Yates J, Hagglof T, Lanthier P, Amiel E, Veerapen N, et al. Cognate interaction with inkt cells expands il-10-producing B regulatory cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2015) 112:12474-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504790112