Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Joshua Daniel Ooi, Monash University, Australia

REVIEWED BY

Emanuele Bizzi, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco, Italy Jayakanthan Kabeerdoss, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), India

*CORRESPONDENCE Chikashi Terao Chikashi.terao@riken.jp

RECEIVED 17 February 2024 ACCEPTED 05 April 2024 PUBLISHED 09 May 2024

CITATION

Ishikawa Y, Yoshida H, Yoshifuji H, Ohmura K, Origuchi T, Ishii T, Mimori T, Morinobu A, Shiokawa M and Terao C (2024) Anti-integrin αvβ6 antibody in Takayasu arteritis patients with or without ulcerative colitis. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1387516. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1387516

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ishikawa, Yoshida, Yoshifuji, Ohmura, Origuchi, Ishii, Mimori, Morinobu, Shiokawa and Terao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use,

distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Anti-integrin $\alpha v\beta 6$ antibody in Takayasu arteritis patients with or without ulcerative colitis

Yuki Ishikawa¹, Hiroyuki Yoshida^{2,3}, Hajime Yoshifuji⁴, Koichiro Ohmura^{4,5}, Tomoki Origuchi⁶, Tomonori Ishii⁷, Tsuneyo Mimori^{4,8}, Akio Morinobu⁴, Masahiro Shiokawa² and Chikashi Terao^{1,9,10}*

¹Laboratory for Statistical and Translational Genetics, Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, RIKEN, Yokohama, Japan, ²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan, ³Department of Gastroenterology, Kansai Electric Power Hospital, Osaka, Japan, ⁴Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, ⁵Department of Rheumatology, Kobe City Medical Center General Hospital, Kobe, Japan, ⁶Department of Immunology and Rheumatology, Unit of Advanced Preventive Medical Sciences, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan, ⁷Clinical Research, Innovation and Education Center, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan, ⁸Department of Rheumatology, Ijinkai Takeada General Hospital, Kyoto, Japan, ⁹Clinical Research Center, Shizuoka General Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan, ¹⁰The Department of Applied Genetics, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Shizuoka, Shizuoka, Japan

Background: It has been well documented that Takayasu arteritis (TAK) and ulcerative colitis (UC) coexist in the same patients. *HLA-B*52* characterizes the co-occurrence, which is one of the common genetic features between these two diseases, indicating shared underlying pathologic mechanisms. Anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ antibody (Ab) is present in sera of UC patients in a highly specific manner. We investigated if there were any associations between anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab and TAK, considering the risk HLA alleles.

Methods: A total of 227 Japanese TAK patients were recruited in the current study and their serum samples were subjected to measurement of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab by ELISA. The clinical information, including the co-occurrence of UC, was collected. The HLA allele carrier status was determined by Luminex or genotype imputation.

Results: The information about the presence of UC was available for 165 patients, among which eight (4.84%) patients had UC. Anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ antibody was identified in 7 out of 8 TAK subjects with UC (87.5%) while only 5 out of 157 (3.18%) TAK subjects without UC had the antibody (OR 121, p=7.46×10⁻⁸). A total of 99 out of 218 (45.4%) patients were *HLA-B*52* carriers. There was no significant association between the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab and *HLA-B*52* carrier status in those without UC (OR 2.01, 95% CI 0.33-12.4, p = 0.189).

Conclusions: The prevalence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab was high in TAK patients with UC, but not in the absence of concomitant UC. The effect of *HLA-B*52* on anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab production would be minimal.

KEYWORDS

Takayasu's arteritis, vasculitis, anti-integrin ανβ6 antibody, ulcerative colitis, HLA-B*52

1 Introduction

Takayasu arteritis (TAK) is a large-vessel vasculitis, that affects mainly aorta and its proximal branches potentially resulting in severe complications such as aortic regurgitation (1). In addition to environmental factors, genetic variations, especially single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), have a significant role in the disease pathophysiology (2). Among genetic components, *HLA-B*52* is the most significantly associated and hence an established risk locus of TAK susceptibility among different populations (3). Also, previous genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have identified significant disease-susceptible loci in the non-HLA region including *IL12B* (rs6871626) (4, 5), of which finding led to the usage of ustekinumab, an anti-IL12/23p40 monoclonal antibody, for TAK treatment through a successful pilot clinical trial result in Japan (6).

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and is characterized by the destruction of colonic epithelial cells leading to epithelial barrier defects. Immune dysregulation has been considered as a main pathologic feature of the disease, such as aberrant Th2 response and subsequent B-cell activation. Since specific autoantigens and the corresponding autoantibodies had not been identified, the disease diagnosis relied on clinical symptoms, colonoscopic findings, and histological features, which occasionally can be challenging (7). Recently, a breakthrough discovery has been made and anti-integrin $\alpha v\beta 6$ antibody (Ab) has been identified to be present in sera of UC patients in a highly specific manner (8). Integrin av $\beta 6$ is a receptor for extracellular matrix proteins and is specifically expressed in epithelial cells, rendering integrated epithelial barrier functions. UC frequently co-exists in the same individuals with TAK (~ 6.4% in Japanese) (9), and importantly, TAK and UC share genetic components in a global manner including HLA-B*52 and rs6871626 in IL-12B, indicating the presence of shared underlying pathogenic mechanisms. We previously reported that HLA-B*52 characterizes the co-occurrence of TAK and UC with a strong effect size in an intra-case analysis of TAK (9).

In the present study, we investigated the presence of antiintegrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab in TAK patients with or without concomitant UC to address whether anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab could also play some roles in TAK pathology, which might be driven by shared genetic components between UC and TAK, especially *HLA-B*52*.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patients

A total of 227 Japanese TAK patients were recruited from the Kyoto University, Tohoku University, and Nagasaki University Hospital. TAK was diagnosed according to the criteria of the American College of Rheumatology (10, 11) or the guideline provided by the Japanese Circulation Society (12). The diagnosis of UC was based on the clinical, endoscopic, and histologic findings referring to the ECCO-ESGAR guideline (13) or the Japanese Society of Gastroenterology guideline (14, 15). Aortic regurgitation was assessed by echocardiography and/or

angiography for its presence and severity. All subjects provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kyoto University Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine and the institutional review board of RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences.

2.2 Quantification of serum anti-integrin $av\beta 6$ antibody

Anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ IgG Ab was measured using a commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (5288; MBL, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The cutoff value was based on the absorbance of negative control samples (a mean value plus 3 standard deviations) in the previous study, in which, plasma samples from UC patients, patients with non-UC, and healthy volunteers were tested for the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab (8).

2.3 Determination of HLA alleles

HLA alleles for HLA class I (-A, -B, and -C) and HLA class II (-DRB1, -DRB3, -DRB4, -DRB5, DQA1, -DQB1, and -DPB1) were determined by Luminex. For those who had not been genotyped by Luminex and whose DNA was available, DNA micro-array genotyping was conducted by Illumina Infinium Human Core Exome Array or Human Core Array in combination with Human Exome Array and genotype imputation was conducted with the use of SNP2HLA (v1.0, https://software.broadinstitute.org/mpg/snp2hla/).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Fisher's exact test was applied to comparisons of categorical variables. Logistic regression model was applied to association tests using glm (fitting generalized linear models) function of R. All the statistical analyses were performed using R software (v4.0.3).

3 Results

A total of 227 TAK patients who had been tested for serum antiintegrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab during the study period were enrolled in the study. The percentages of subjects, entire TAK patients, and TAK patients stratified by the presence of UC or of *HLA-B*52*, who had anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab referring to the healthy control samples in the previous study (8) are presented in Figure 1. Among these, 16 (7.05%) were positive for the anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab (Supplementary Table 1). The information about the presence of UC was available for 12 out of 16 Ab-positive and 153 out of 211 Ab-negative patients. As expected, UC ratio, the fraction of subjects with UC, was significantly higher (0.583, 7 out of 12) among the subjects with anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab compared to those without anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab (0.0065, 1 out of 153) (Supplementary Table 1). When stratified by the presence of UC and the profile of anti-integrin



respectively. The error bars indicate $\pm 5\%$ values.

ανβ6 Ab, 87.5% (7/8) of TAK with UC were positive for antiintegrin ανβ6 Ab, while only 3.18% (5/157) of non-UC TAK patients were positive for anti-integrin ανβ6 Ab (OR 121, 95% CI 13.3-5756.9, Fisher's exact test $p=2.99\times10^{-10}$, Supplementary Table 2). While we confirmed the specificity of anti-integrin ανβ6 Ab to UC, we noted that a small fraction of patients also had antiintegrin ανβ6 Ab without co-occurrence of UC, as previously reported in patients with other diseases (8).

Since the strong association of *HLA-B*52* with both TAK (4, 5) and UC (16, 17) has been well-established, especially in individuals that concomitantly have both etiologies (9), we investigated the carrier status of *HLA-B*52* in our study samples. Nearly half of the subjects tested for the HLA genotypes were *HLA-B*52* carriers (99 out of 218). The subjects with anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta 6$ Ab (11 out 16) were more likely to be *HLA-B*52* carriers than those without antiintegrin $\alpha\nu\beta 6$ Ab (88 out of 202) (Supplementary Table 1; Fisher's exact test OR 2.84, 95%CI 0.87-10.8, p=0.068). *HLA-B*67* (18) and *HLA-B*39* (19), both of which had also been reported for association with TAK, were not identified in our samples due to the limited sample size.

Then, we tested the association of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab in the TAK subjects with or without concomitant UC taking account of the *HLA-B*52* status among 165 TAK patients. Concordant with the previous finding, we confirmed the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab was highly specific to UC even among TAK patients; the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab was significantly associated with the presence of UC in TAK patients (OR 212.8, 95% CI 21.8-2074.2 p=3.94×10⁻⁶). Furthermore, the association was robust and independent of the carrying status of the well-established risk HLA alleles, *HLA-B*52*, and those previously reported and observed in our dataset, *HLA-DRB1*04:05*, and *HLA-DRB1*15:02*

(Supplementary Table 3). On the other hand, none of the risk HLA alleles above were independently associated with the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab (Table 1).

Since anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab was identified in the sera of 5 subjects, who had not presented UC, we further examined whether the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab in the non-UC subjects is driven by any of the above-mentioned TAK-risk HLA alleles, *HLA-B*52, HLA-DRB1*04:05,* and *HLA-DRB1*15:02.* We found that none of these HLA alleles were significantly associated with the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab in the TAK patients without UC (Supplementary Table 4). Together these results indicate that the presence of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab in TAK patients is not driven by the known risk-HLA alleles.

Finally, we investigated a potential association of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab with one of the serious complications of TAK, aortic regurgitation (AR) (Supplementary Table 5). Among subjects with anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab, neither TAK subjects with UC nor those without UC had developed AR, although the sample sizes were too small to conclude statistical significance.

4 Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the presence of antiintegrin ανβ6 Ab among Japanese TAK patients in the context of the coexistence of UC. As reported previously, anti-integrin ανβ6 Ab was identified in the subjects with UC in a highly specific manner (92.0% sensitivity and 94.8% specificity). In that study, only 1 or 2 of the subjects with non-UC diseases presented anti-integrin $\alpha v\beta 6$ Ab (n=24-27) and none of the healthy controls (n=22) presented the antibody (8). On the other hand, though a small number of subjects in the current study, anti-integrin $\alpha v\beta 6$ Ab was also identified in a substantial fraction of TAK subjects without UC (5 out of 182), which motivated us for further investigation of the underlying mechanisms considering the overlapping risks between TAK and UC (9). Then, we investigated the impact of HLA-B*52, a well-known risk allele both for TAK and UC, on the presence of anti-integrin avß6 Ab among our subjects, which revealed no significant association between anti-integrin αvβ6 Ab and HLA- $B^{*}52$. All these results highlight the specificity of anti-integrin $\alpha v\beta 6$ Ab in UC subjects regardless of the presence of its frequent comorbidity, TAK, or the carrier status of HLA-B*52.

In addition to anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab, various autoantibodies in TAK patients have been reported (20). Among them, antiendothelial protein C receptor (EPCR) Ab is one of the autoantibodies present in TAK sera and was reported to be present in 34.6% of Japanese TAK patients (21). The presence of anti-EPCR Ab was significantly associated with the co-occurrence of UC in Japanese TAK patients (37.5%) (21), and 77.2% of primary UC patients derived from mixed populations of Japanese and the US had anti-EPCR Ab (22). The corresponding antigens of antiintegrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab and anti-EPCR Ab are both expressed on the extracellular domain of the intestinal epithelial plasma membrane (8, 22). The anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab competes with fibronectin for biding to $\alpha\nu\beta6$ leading to impaired epithelial integrity and antibody levels in UC were correlated with the degree of mucosal damage (8).

Model	Ab ~ UC + single HLA allele		Ab ~ UC + multiple HLA alleles	
	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
B*52	1.72 (0.31-9.48)	0.536	3.31 (0.30-36.2)	0.326
DRB1*04:05	7.32×10 ⁻⁸ (0-Inf)	0.993	7.56×10 ⁻⁸ (0-Inf)	0.993
DRB1*15:02	1.05 (0.17-6.48)	0.957	0.38(0.03-5.07)	0.467

TABLE 1 Association between anti-integrin ανβ6 antibody and the known risk HLA alleles in TAK patients.

Ab, antibody; UC, ulcerative colitis; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Inf, infinite number.

On the other hand, EPCR plays a role in inhibiting cell adhesion molecules, chemokine production, and leukocyte adhesion, and its expression is reduced in IBD, leading to intestinal inflammation (23). Considering the distinct functional roles of the corresponding antigens, the generation of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab and anti-EPCR Ab appears to be driven by distinct mechanisms including genetic risks. Further studies in UC subjects to address biological mechanisms underlying the production of anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ Ab would be warranted.

Although GWASs for TAK (24, 25) have identified likely causal variants, their contribution to TAK pathology such as autoantibody production has yet to be well-clarified. Integrating GWAS and clinical information in future studies will enable the identification of links between genetic variations and clinical phenotypes, which will have a substantial impact on the management of TAK patients.

Data availability statement

The individual genotype data and clinical information presented in this article are not readily available due to the ethical or privacy restriction policy of the IRBs in this study. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The Ethics Committee of Kyoto University Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine and The institutional review board of RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YI: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Validation, Visualization. HiY: Resources, Writing – review & editing. HaY: Resources, Writing – review & editing. KO: Resources, Writing – review & editing. TO: Resources, Writing – review & editing. TI: Resources, Writing – review & editing. TM: Resources, Writing – review & editing. AM: Resources, Writing – review & editing. MS: Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. CT: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) grants 21ek0109555, 21tm0424220, 23ek0410114, 23tm0424225 and 21ck0106642, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI grant JP20H00462, a Sakakibara Memorial Research Grant from The Japan Research Promotion Society for Cardiovascular Diseases.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1387516/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Terao C, Yoshifuji H, Mimori T. Recent advances in Takayasu arteritis. Int J Rheum Dis. (2014) 17:238-47. doi: 10.1111/1756-185X.12309

2. Esatoglu SN, Hatemi G. Takayasu arteritis. Curr Opin Rheumatol. (2022) 34:18–24. doi: 10.1097/BOR.00000000000852

3. Yoshida M, Kimura A, Katsuragi K, Numano F, Sasazuki T. DNA typing of HLA-B gene in Takayasu's arteritis. *Tissue Antigens*. (1993) 42:87–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0039.1993.tb02172.x

4. Terao C, Yoshifuji H, Kimura A, Matsumura T, Ohmura K, Takahashi M, et al. Two susceptibility loci to Takayasu arteritis reveal a synergistic role of the IL12B and HLA-B regions in a Japanese population. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2013) 93:289–97. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.05.024

5. Saruhan-Direskeneli G, Hughes T, Aksu K, Keser G, Coit P, Aydin SZ, et al. Identification of multiple genetic susceptibility loci in Takayasu arteritis. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2013) 93:298–305. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.05.026

6. Terao C, Yoshifuji H, Nakajima T, Yukawa N, Matsuda F, Mimori T. Ustekinumab as a therapeutic option for Takayasu arteritis: from genetic findings to clinical application. *Scand J Rheumatol.* (2016) 45:80–2. doi: 10.3109/03009742.2015.1060521

7. Kourkoulis P, Kapizioni C, Michalopoulos G, Andreou NP, Papaconstantinou I, Karamanolis G, et al. Novel potential biomarkers for the diagnosis and monitoring of patients with ulcerative colitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 31:1173–83. doi: 10.1097/MEG.00000000001490

8. Kuwada T, Shiokawa M, Kodama Y, Ota S, Kakiuchi N, Nannya Y, et al. Identification of an anti-integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$ autoantibody in patients with ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology. (2021) 160:2383–94.e21. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.02.019

9. Terao C, Matsumura T, Yoshifuji H, Kirino Y, Maejima Y, Nakaoka Y, et al. Takayasu arteritis and ulcerative colitis: high rate of co-occurrence and genetic overlap. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2015) 67:2226–32. doi: 10.1002/art.39157

10. Arend WP, Michel BA, Bloch DA, Hunder GG, Calabrese LH, Edworthy SM, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of Takayasu arteritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (1990) 33:1129–34. doi: 10.1002/art.1780330811

11. Grayson PC, Ponte C, Suppiah R, Robson JC, Gribbons KB, Judge A, et al. 2022 American College of Rheumatology/EULAR classification criteria for Takayasu arteritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2022) 81:1654–60. doi: 10.1136/ard-2022-223482

12. Isobe M, Amano K, Arimura Y, Ishizu A, Ito S, Kaname S, et al. JCS 2017 guideline on management of vasculitis syndrome- digest version. *Circ J*. (2020) 84:299–359. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-19-0773

13. Maaser C, Sturm A, Vavricka SR, Kucharzik T, Fiorino G, Annese V, et al. ECCO-ESGAR Guideline for Diagnostic Assessment in IBD Part 1: Initial diagnosis,

monitoring of known IBD, detection of complications. J Crohns Colitis. (2019) 13:144-64. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy113

14. Nakase H, Uchino M, Shinzaki S, Matsuura M, Matsuoka K, Kobayashi T, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for inflammatory bowel disease 2020. J Gastroenterol. (2021) 56:489–526. doi: 10.1007/s00535-021-01784-1

15. Yoshida M, Kinoshita Y, Watanabe M, Sugano K. JSGE Clinical Practice Guidelines 2014: standards, methods, and process of developing the guidelines. J Gastroenterol. (2015) 50:4–10. doi: 10.1007/s00535-014-1016-1

16. Anderson CA, Boucher G, Lees CW, Franke A, D'Amato M, Taylor KD, et al. Meta-analysis identifies 29 additional ulcerative colitis risk loci, increasing the number of confirmed associations to 47. *Nat Genet.* (2011) 43:246–52. doi: 10.1038/ng.764

17. Okada Y, Yamazaki K, Umeno J, Takahashi A, Kumasaka N, Ashikawa K, et al. HLA-Cw*1202-B*5201-DRB1*1502 haplotype increases risk for ulcerative colitis but reduces risk for Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology.* (2011) 141:864–71.e1-5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.05.048

18. Terao C, Yoshifuji H, Ohmura K, Murakami K, Kawabata D, Yurugi K, et al. Association of Takayasu arteritis with HLA-B 67:01 and two amino acids in HLA-B protein. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2013) 52:1769–74. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ket241

19. Kimura A, Kitamura H, Date Y, Numano F. Comprehensive analysis of HLA genes in Takayasu arteritis in Japan. *Int J Cardiol.* (1996) 54 Suppl:S61–9. doi: 10.1016/s0167-5273(96)88774-2

20. Shirai T. Common autoantibody among takayasu arteritis and ulcerative colitis: A possible pathophysiology that includes gut-vessel connection in vascular inflammation. *JMA J.* (2023) 6:265–73. doi: 10.31662/jmaj.2023-0038

21. Mutoh T, Shirai T, Ishii T, Shirota Y, Fujishima F, Takahashi F, et al. Identification of two major autoantigens negatively regulating endothelial activation in Takayasu arteritis. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:1253. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15088-0

22. Kakuta Y, Shirai T, McGovern DPB, Braun J, Fujii H, Masamune A. Novel diagnostic autoantibodies against endothelial protein C receptor in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2023) 21:844–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2021.12.035

23. Scaldaferri F, Sans M, Vetrano S, Graziani C, De Cristofaro R, Gerlitz B, et al. Crucial role of the protein C pathway in governing microvascular inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Clin Invest.* (2007) 117:1951–60. doi: 10.1172/JCI31027

24. Terao C, Yoshifuji H, Matsumura T, Naruse TK, Ishii T, Nakaoka Y, et al. Genetic determinants and an epistasis of. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2018) 115:13045–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1808850115

25. Ortiz-Fernández L, Saruhan-Direskeneli G, Alibaz-Oner F, Kaymaz-Tahra S, Coit P, Kong X, et al. Identification of susceptibility loci for Takayasu arteritis through a large multi-ancestral genome-wide association study. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2021) 108:84–99. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.11.014