Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maria Pini, Alira Health, United States

REVIEWED BY

Joselin Hernandez Ruiz, The University of Utah, United States Saidou Balam, University Medical Center Regensburg, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhijian Xiao Zjxiao@ihcams.ac.cn

RECEIVED 26 February 2024 ACCEPTED 29 April 2024 PUBLISHED 10 May 2024

CITATION

Chen S, Xiao J, Cai W, Lu X, Liu C, Dong Y, Zheng Y, Song G, Sun Q, Wang H and Xiao Z (2024) Association of the systemic immuneinflammation index with anemia: a population-based study. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1391573. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1391573

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Xiao, Cai, Lu, Liu, Dong, Zheng, Song, Sun, Wang and Xiao. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of the systemic immune-inflammation index with anemia: a populationbased study

Shuying Chen¹, Jigang Xiao¹, Wenyu Cai¹, Xulin Lu¹, Chenxi Liu¹, Yan Dong¹, Yingchun Zheng¹, Ge Song¹, Qi Sun¹, Huijun Wang¹ and Zhijian Xiao^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, National Clinical Research Center for Blood Diseases, Haihe Laboratory of Cell Ecosystem, Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin, China, ²StateTianjin Institutes of Health Science, Tianjin, China

Background: Inflammation has been reported to be related to anemia. As a novel inflammatory marker, Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) has not been studied with Anemia. The aim of this study was to investigate the possible relationship between SII and anemia.

Methods: This retrospective cross-sectional survey was conducted using data from the 2005–2018 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) population. In total, 19851 American adults aged \geq 18 years were included. SII was calculated as the platelet count×neutrophil count/lymphocyte count. Anemia was defined as hemoglobin (Hgb) levels of < 13 g/dL in males and < 12 g/dL in females. Logistic regression analyses, subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses were performed to investigate the relationship between SII and anemia.

Results: Our study included a total of 19851 patients, of which 1501 (7.6%) had anemia. After adjusting for all covariates, the multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that a higher SII (In-transform) level was associated with increased likelihood of anemia (OR=1.51, 95% CI: 1.36–1.68, P<0.001). The association between SII and anemia exhibited a nonlinear manner. The positive correlation between SII and anemia was related to the severity of anemia. Subgroup analysis showed that there was no significant dependence on age, family income, body mass index, hypertension, kidney disease and cancer except gender on this positive association. Furthermore, sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of our results.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated that SII was positively associated with anemia especially among female participants. And this positive correlation was related to the severity of anemia. Further large-scale prospective studies are still needed to analyze the role of SII in anemia.

KEYWORDS

systemic immune-inflammation index, anemia, NHANES, cross-sectional study, inflammatory factors

1 Introduction

Anemia is the most common blood disorder and remains the major global public health problem, which affects more than 30% of the world's population (1). It is a condition characterized by a lower-thannormal level of red blood cells or hemoglobin in the blood, leading to reduced oxygen-carrying capacity. This can lead to symptoms such as fatigue, weakness, dizziness, and shortness of breath, which can significantly impact an individual's daily activities, work performance, and overall well-being. It is more common among females and the older population (2). In the United States, anemia is a common condition with multifactorial and complex causes, including nutritional deficiencies, chronic diseases, immune factors and certain medications. Anemia has been associated with an increased risk of a range of health conditions, including cardiovascular diseases, cognitive impairment, and complications during pregnancy. Numerous studies have demonstrated that anemia is associated with an increased risk of mortality, particularly in older adults and individuals with underlying chronic conditions (3). Early identification of anemia provides an opportunity to delay or prevent disease onset and improve treatment outcomes. Jeong-Yeol Park et al. found that the diagnosis and early intervention of anemia in gynecologic cancer patients can decrease the blood transfusion rate within 3 weeks after the operation (4). Therefore, to find a cheap and easy-to-obtain index which is closely related to anemia is very valuable.

Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) as a stable and accurate indicator of the local or overall immune response and systemic inflammation in the human body, has been confirmed to be related to the prognostic value for tumors and chronic diseases induced by chronic inflammation or immune dysfunction (5–8). This indicator integrated three inflammatory factors, including platelets, neutrophils and lymphocytes (9). In recent years, the application field of SII has been expanding continuously, and more studies have shown that SII can also be used to predict the risk of certain diseases and monitor the effect of treatment.

It is widely recognized that inflammation plays a significant role in the development and persistence of anemia (10). Inflammatory processes can disrupt the body's normal mechanisms for producing and regulating red blood cells and can contribute to the development of various types of anemia (11). Inflammation can affect the body's ability to effectively use and regulate iron, which is essential for the production of hemoglobin and red blood cells. Additionally, inflammatory cytokines can suppress the production of erythropoietin, a hormone that stimulates red blood cell production in the bone marrow. At present, the research on the inflammatory factors related to anemia mainly focuses on neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), cytokines and innate immune cells such as phagocytes and dendritic cells (12, 13). However, it is not yet clear how the inflammatory level indicator SII and anemia are related.

Therefore, to explore the association between SII and anemia among participants in the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), we carried out a populationbased investigation and assumed that an elevated SII would be associated with a higher risk of anemia.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sources and study population

NHANES is an American cross-sectional survey in which participants are selected using a multistage, stratified, probability approach, designed to collect nationally representative data from the non-institutionalized Americans (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ nhanes/). The NHANES collects demographic and in-depth health information via home visits, screening, and laboratory testing conducted by a mobile examination center (MEC). The NHANES was authorized by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Ethics Review Committee, and all participants complete written informed consent forms before participating. The secondary analysis did not require additional Institutional Review Board approval.

Seven two-year cycles (2005–2018) of data from NHANES were used for our analysis. Participants in our study were over 18 years and had completed interviews and assessments at a MEC. We excluded individuals with missing data on hemoglobin (Hgb) values, SII values, covariates and pregnant women. Finally, this research included a total of 19851 individuals.

2.2 Anemia ascertainment

Anemia was defined according to age and sex normal Hgb values using The World Health Organization guidelines (14). For 15 years of age and above males, no anemia is 13g/dL or higher, mild is (11–12.9) g/dL, moderate is (8–10.9) g/dL and severe is lower than 8g/dL. For 15 years of age and above females (non-pregnant), no anemia is 12g/dL or higher, mild is (11–11.9) g/dL, moderate is (8–10.9) g/dL and severe is lower than 8g/dL. An automated hematology analyzing device (the UniCel DxH 800 analyzer) in the NHANES mobile examination center produces a complete blood count from blood specimens, which provided blood cell distributions for all participants. Anemia was treated as an outcome variable in our study.

2.3 Definition of SII

Lymphocyte, neutrophil, and platelet counts (expressed as $\times 10^3$ cells/µl) were measured using the UniCel DxH 800 analyzer. The SII level was determined by multiplying the platelet count by the neutrophil count/lymphocyte count. SII was designed as the exposure variable in our study.

2.4 Covariates

This study included covariates that may impact the relationship between SII and anemia, including gender, age, race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Mexican American, or other races), education level (less than 9 years, 9 to 12 years, more than 12 years), marital status (married or living with a partner, living alone), poverty-to-income ratio (PIR), body mass index (BMI), white blood cell (WBC) counts, smoking status (non-active smoker, active smoker), drinking status (light, moderate, excessive alcohol consumption), menopausal status in female and chronic medical diseases including kidney disease, diabetes, hypertension, stroke, coronary heart disease (CHD), thyroid disease and cancer. The determination of chronic medical diseases was based on the inquiry in the questionnaire of whether the doctor had been informed of the condition in the past.

2.5 Statistical analysis

All of the statistical analyses were performed by the Free Statistics software version 1.8 (15) and the statistical software packages R 4.3.2 (http://www.R-project.org, The R Foundation). Mean \pm standard deviation, and frequencies (percentages) were used to describe demographic and clinical data. Differences between the general characteristics were tested using the t-test and chi-square test.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were used to examine the association between SII and anemia. In multivariate logistic regression, SII was analyzed as a continuous variable or tertile categorical variables, with model 1 adjusting for age, gender, race and model 2 further adjusting for education, marital status, PIR, BMI, smoking status, drinking status and model 3 further adjusting for WBC counts and chronic medical diseases. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed and P-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant. It was noted that SII values were natural log-transformed (In-SII) when conducting regression analysis because they were right-skewed distributed. In addition, we performed restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression to explore the dose-response association between SII and anemia. We also analyzed the association between anemia severity and SII using multicategory logistic regression.

Subgroup analysis on the associations of SII with anemia was conducted with stratified factors including gender (male and female), age (<60 and ≥60), PIR (low and median or high), BMI (<25 and ≥25), hypertension (no and yes), kidney disease (no and yes), cancer (no and yes). The study also obtained *P* values for interaction in these groups. At the same time, RCS stratified by gender was also conducted. In female, we further did a subgroup analysis based on menopausal status (non-menopausal and menopause) and new age range (<45 and ≥45). Sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding participants with high or low platelet counts (<100 or >450×10³ cells/µl). The exclusion criteria for our study refer to two references related to thrombocytopenia (16) and thrombocytosis (17).

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

In total, 70,190 participants completed the interview, of whom 28,047 participants were less than 18 years old. Participants without

Hgb values and SII data (n=3842) were excluded. We excluded those missing data on other covariates (n = 18,145) and pregnant women (n = 305). Ultimately, this cross-sectional study included 19,851 participants from the NHANES between 2005 and 2018 in the analysis. The detailed inclusion and exclusion process is shown in Figure 1.

Of the 19,851 participants included in the study, 10651 (53.7%) were male and 9,200 (46.3%) were female, with an average age of 47.0 \pm 17.0. The prevalence of anemia was 7.6% in all participants. Compared with the non-anemia group, those with anemia were more likely to be non-Hispanic black, living alone, lower PIR, higher BMI, non-active smoker, light alcohol consumption, a higher prevalence of chronic medical disease (kidney disease, diabetes, hypertension, stroke, CHD, thyroid disease and cancer) and higher SII (all p<0.001). The clinical and biochemical characteristics of the participants according to anemia are shown in Table 1.

3.2 Relationship between SII and anemia

The results of the multifactorial logistic regression analysis showed that SII was positively related to the risk of anemia. This association was significant both in our crude model and partially adjusted model (model 1 and model 2). After adjusting for all covariates in model 3, the positive association between SII and anemia remained stable (OR = 1.51; 95% CI, 1.36-1.68, p<0.001), indicating that each unit increase in In-SII increased the likelihood of having anemia by 51%. In a sensitivity analysis, a fully adjusted model for the SII tertile indicated a stable positive relationship between SII and anemia. Compared with the lowest SII tertile, participants in the highest SII tertile had a 68% increased risk of developing anemia (OR=1.68; 95% CI, 1.46-1.93, p<0.001). Participants in the middle SII tertile also show a higher risk of anemia compared with the lowest tertile, while this association did not meet the statistical significance. The *p* value for trend of the four models were all <0.001 (Table 2).

The restricted cubic spline regression in the fully adjusted analyses revealed that SII was related to risk of anemia in a nonlinear manner (P for nonlinearity = 0.008) (Figure 2). Moreover, a threshold effect can be observed, with an inflection point at the SII value of 455 which means a rapidly increasing risk of anemia as the SII value exceeded the cutoff value.

The results of the multicategory logistic regression analysis showed that the more severe the anemia, the stronger the positive correlation between SII and anemia. Compared with no anemia group, the OR values for SII and anemia in mild anemia group were (OR=1.42; 95% CI, 1.24–1.61, p<0.001) and in moderate or severe anemia group were (OR=2.27; 95% CI, 1.88–2.74, p<0.001) after adjusting for all covariates (Table 3).

3.3 Subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses

Subgroup analyses were performed to assess potential effect modifications on the associations between SII and anemia. A



Flowchart of the study population. NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Hgb, Hemoglobin; SII, systemic immune-inflammation index.

significant association of SII with anemia was observed in each subgroup for the subgroup stratified by age, PIR, BMI, hypertension and kidney disease (all p<0.01). As for the subgroup stratified by gender and cancer, connection with statistical significance was only observed among female and without cancer participants. Although not statistically significant, a positive association between SII and anemia was observed in male and with cancer participants. The interaction test revealed no significant differences among age, PIR, BMI, hypertension, kidney disease and cancer in the relationship between SII and anemia, demonstrating that these factors had no significant influence on this positive relationship (p for interaction >0.05). On the contrary, gender may influence the positive association between SII and anemia (p for interaction <0.05) (Figure 3).

Furthermore, we compared the gender differences on the positive correlation between SII and anemia using stratified fitting curves which showed that the positive correlation was stronger in female than in male (Supplementary Figure S1). Because the positive correlation was stronger in female, we further analyzed the effect of menopausal status and age range on this relationship. The results showed that the positive association was stronger in the non-menopausal group and in the younger group (age<45) for female (Table 4).

To support our conclusions, we conducted sensitivity analyses. After excluding the individuals with abnormal platelet counts, 890 individuals left, and the association between SII and anemia remained stable (Supplementary Table S1).

4 Discussion

The role of the inflammatory response in the development and progression of anemia is an increasingly studied area in recent years. In this nationally representative cross-sectional study, we observed that participants with higher SII showed an increased likelihood of anemia. Moreover, we found a nonlinear relationship between SII levels and the risk of anemia after adjusting for all covariates. We further found that when SII is higher than 455, the risk of anemia will increase significantly. Additionally, as anemia becomes more severe, the positive correlation between SII and anemia becomes stronger. Subgroup analyses showed that there were stratification effects in female participants. Sensitivity analyses showed that our results remain robust. As far as we know, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between SII and the risk of anemia by using a representative sample of US adults.

SII was first developed in 2014 and was analyzed for its prognostic value in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (9). SII was calculated by counting three kinds of circulatory cells: neutrophils, lymphocytes, and platelets. As a novel systemic immune inflammation index, there have been no studies on the association between anemia and SII in the previous literature. However, anemia has been linked in many clinical studies with several traditional inflammatory indicators. Current research on clinical inflammatory factors affecting anemia mainly focuses on NLR, immune cells, cytokines and C-reactive protein (CRP) (10). NLR is emerging as an indicator of systemic inflammation. Yazeed et al. demonstrated that in a large number of Saudi subjects, anemia TABLE 1 Population characteristics by presence of anemia.

Characteristic	Total	Non-anemia	Anemia	P-Value
NO.	19851	18350	1501	
Age(year), Mean ± SD	47.0± 17.0	46.6 ± 16.8	52.1 ± 18.0	< 0.001
Gender,n(%)				< 0.001
Male	10651 (53.7)	10092 (55)	559 (37.2)	
Female	9200 (46.3)	8258 (45)	942 (62.8)	
Race/ethnicity,n(%)				< 0.001
Non-Hispanic white	9320 (46.9)	8856 (48.3)	464 (30.9)	
Non-Hispanic black	3920 (19.7)	3280 (17.9)	640 (42.6)	
Mexican American	2908 (14.6)	2743 (14.9)	165 (11)	
Others	3703 (18.7)	3471 (18.9)	232 (15.5)	
Education level (year), n (%)				0.128
< 9	1277 (6.4)	1172 (6.4)	105 (7)	
9–12	6873 (34.6)	6325 (34.5)	548 (36.5)	
>12	11701 (58.9)	10853 (59.1)	848 (56.5)	
Marital status, n (%)				< 0.001
Married or living with a partner	12008 (60.5)	11180 (60.9)	828 (55.2)	
Living alone	7843 (39.5)	7170 (39.1)	673 (44.8)	
PIR, Mean ± SD	2.7 ± 1.7	2.8 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 1.6	< 0.001
BMI(kg/m ²), Mean \pm SD	29.0 ± 6.9	29.0 ± 6.8	29.9 ± 8.1	< 0.001
WBC(x10 ⁹ /L), Mean \pm SD	7.2 ± 3.7	7.2 ± 2.3	7.2 ± 10.8	0.808
Smoking status, n (%)				< 0.001
Non-active smoker	10090 (50.8)	9239 (50.3)	851 (56.7)	
Active smoker	9761 (49.2)	9111 (49.7)	650 (43.3)	
Drinking status, n (%)				< 0.001
Light alcohol consumption	6983 (35.2)	6300 (34.3)	683 (45.5)	
Moderate alcohol consumption	8360 (42.1)	7744 (42.2)	616 (41)	
Excessive alcohol consumption	4508 (22.7)	4306 (23.5)	202 (13.5)	
Kidney disease, n (%)				< 0.001
No	19409 (97.8)	18007 (98.1)	1402(93.4)	
Yes	442 (2.2)	343 (1.9)	99 (6.6)	
Diabetes, n (%)				< 0.001
No	17924 (90.3)	16710 (91.1)	1214(80.9)	
Yes	1927 (9.7)	1640 (8.9)	287(19.1)	
Hypertension, n (%)				< 0.001
No	13449 (67.7)	12638 (68.9)	811 (54)	
Yes	6402 (32.3)	5712 (31.1)	690 (46)	
CHD, n (%)				< 0.001
No	19183 (96.6)	17784 (96.9)	1399(93.2)	

(Continued)

Characteristic	Total	Non-anemia	Anemia	P-Value
CHD, n (%)				< 0.001
Yes	668 (3.4)	566 (3.1)	102 (6.8)	
Stroke, n (%)				< 0.001
No	19330 (97.4)	17911 (97.6)	1419(94.5)	
Yes	521 (2.6)	439 (2.4)	82 (5.5)	
Thyroid disease, n (%)				< 0.001
No	18081 (91.1)	16757 (91.3)	1324(88.2)	
Yes	1770 (8.9)	1593 (8.7)	177 (11.8)	
Cancer, n (%)				< 0.001
No	18107 (91.2)	16804 (91.6)	1303(86.8)	
Yes	1744 (8.8)	1546 (8.4)	198 (13.2)	
$SII(x10^{9}/L)$, Mean ± SD	531.3 ± 320.6	525.9 ± 312.0	597.7 ± 405.5	< 0.001

TABLE 1 Continued

PIR, poverty-to-income ratio; BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; SII, systemic immune-inflammation index.

group exhibited a significant increase in NLR compared to the nonanemic group (18). Another study also showed NLR was significantly higher in anemics (19). A multicenter prospective cohort study of 4955 elderly Chinese cancer patients (aged ≥65 years) suggested that a high NLR was an independent risk factor for anemia in older patients with cancer (20). Inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-1 (IL-1), and interferons are signaling molecules that play a key role in the inflammatory response and have been implicated in the development of anemia (21). Macciò et al. reported that IL-6 negatively correlated with Hgb level and was an independent factor for anemia in ovarian cancer (22). CRP is an acute phase protein produced in response to inflammation, and elevated levels of CRP have been associated with anemia and can serve as a marker for inflammation related anemia (23, 24). These anemia-associated inflammatory factors are produced by activated immune cells or other cells stimulated by inflammation and serve as important mediators of the immune and inflammatory processes. Meanwhile, cytokines in the inflammatory response activate immune cells such as lymphocytes, granulocytes and macrophages to participate in the immune response (25). Platelets, while primarily known for their role in blood clotting, also have immune-modulatory functions and can release inflammatory mediators in response to various stimuli (26).

Most study findings about the relationship between above inflammatory parameters and anemia are consistent with our findings. Compared to traditional inflammatory factors, the SII selected in this study included more clinical information than one or two kinds of peripheral blood markers could truly reflect the burden of inflammation. Several studies showed that SII has been confirmed the better prognostic value in many diseases compared with other inflammatory factors (7–9, 27). Ling et al. found that SII had better predictive performance compared with other

TABLE 2 Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for the association between SII and anemia in different models.

		OR(95% CI), P-Value			
	Crude model	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
Continuous	1.28 (1.16~1.42), <0.001	1.54 (1.39~1.70), <0.001	1.55 (1.4~1.72), <0.001	1.51 (1.36~1.68), <0.001	
Categories					
Tertile 1	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Tertile 2	0.91 (0.79~1.04), 0.153	1.06 (0.92~1.23), 0.384	1.07 (0.93~1.24), 0.330	1.07 (0.92~1.23), 0.378	
Tertile 3	1.38 (1.21~1.56), <0.001	1.7 (1.49~1.94), <0.001	1.72 (1.5~1.96), <0.001	1.68 (1.46~1.93), <0.001	
P for Trend	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	

In sensitivity analysis, SII was converted from a continuous variable to a categorical variable (tertiles).

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Crude model: unadjusted.

Model 1: adjusted for gender, age, race.

Model 2: adjusted for gender, age, race, education, marital status, income, BMI, smoking status, drinking status.

Model 3: adjusted for gender, age, race, education, marital status, income, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, WBC, Chronic medical diseases (include hypertension, coronary heart disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, kidney disease, thyroid disease and cancer).



inflammatory factors in the early prediction of acute kidney injury in severe acute pancreatitis patients (8). Another study reported that SII was more accurate and effective in predicting the outcomes of patients with cervical cancer compared with NLR, platelet/ lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and monocyte/lymphocyte ratio (MLR) (7). Similar results were confirmed in some other studies such as esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (27), hepatocellular carcinoma (9). Additionally, SII had been shown to be associated with a wide range of diseases and medical conditions including kidney stones (28), diabetic depression (29), coronary heart disease (30), heart failure (31), asthma (32), rheumatoid arthritis (33), hepatic steatosis (34). For example, Xingpeng et al. showed SII was positively associated with a high risk of kidney stones in US adults aged less than 50 (28). Therefore, as a reliable and minimally invasive biomarker, SII has promising prospects for clinical application.

The exact mechanisms underlying the positive association between inflammation and anemia still remain unclear (35).

Inflammation can disrupt the normal processes of red blood cell production, iron metabolism, and erythropoiesis, leading to anemia (11). Inflammation, particularly chronic inflammation, can lead to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-a). These cytokines can directly inhibit the production and maturation of red blood cells in the bone marrow, disrupting erythropoiesis and leading to reduced red blood cell counts (13). Furthermore, inflammation can alter iron metabolism by promoting the sequestration of iron within cells and reducing its availability for erythropoiesis. This can lead to functional iron deficiency, where iron levels appear normal in the body, but are not effectively utilized for red blood cell production (36). Additionally, inflammatory processes can lead to the dysregulation of erythropoietin, a hormone critical for the production of red blood cells. This can further impair the body's ability to respond to anemia by producing adequate numbers of red blood cells (37).

In this study, stratified analysis showed that the positive association between SII and anemia was statistically significant only for females and not for males. The observed gender differences could potentially be attributed to the differential immune responses mounted by inflammatory cells in males and females (38). It is well-documented that females generally exhibit stronger and faster innate and adaptive immune responses compared to males. Sex hormones, particularly estrogen, contribute to the development and activity of the immune system. Both innate and adaptive immune systems have receptors for sex hormones and respond to hormonal cues accounting for differences in gender-related immune responses (39). Additionally, females of reproductive age are more susceptible to anemia due to factors such as blood loss during menstruation, childbirth, and hemodilution during pregnancy (40, 41). Compared to postmenopause, females in non-menopausal had lower iron stores (42). Inflammation is associated with anemia by affecting iron metabolism, as described in the above mechanism description. Our study also found a higher likelihood of anemia and a stronger positive association between SII and anemia in non-menopausal and younger female. These genderspecific factors may contribute to the observed disparity in the relationship between SII and anemia.

This research explored the association between SII and anemia and provided several advantages. Firstly, we used a nationally

	OR(95% CI), P-Value			
	Crude model	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
NO anemia	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Mild anemia	1.10 (0.98~1.24), 0.089	1.32 (1.18~1.48), <0.001	1.33 (1.19~1.49), <0.001	1.42 (1.24~1.61), <0.001
Moderate or severe anemia	1.95 (1.62~2.35), <0.001	2.35 (1.94~ 2.84), <0.001	2.38 (1.96~ 2.88), <0.001	2.27 (1.88~ 2.74), <0.001

TABLE 3 Association between SII and anemia of varying severity.

Anemia was divided into no anemia group, mild anemia group, moderate or severe anemia group according to the Hgb values.

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Crude model: unadjusted.

Model 1: adjusted for gender, age, race.

Model 2: adjusted for gender, age, race, education, marital status, income, BMI, smoking status, drinking status.

Model 3: adjusted for gender, age, race, education, marital status, income, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, WBC, Chronic medical diseases (include hypertension, coronary heart disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, kidney disease, thyroid disease and cancer).



TABLE 4 Subgroup analysis of the association between SII and anemia according menopausal status and age range in female. .

Subgroup	n.event_%	OR(95%CI)	P value	P for interaction
Stratified by menopausal status				0.546
Non-menopausal	636(12.9)	2.05 (1.67~2.52)	<0.001	
Menopause	219(6.8)	1.87 (1.39~2.51)	<0.001	
Stratified by age				0.077
<45	519 (11.6)	2.03 (1.62~2.55)	<0.001	
≥45	423 (8.9)	1.75 (1.45~2.12)	<0.001	

Subgroup analysis based on menopausal status (non-menopausal and menopause) and age range (<45 and ≥45).

OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

representative and large enough sample of adults in the US. Secondly, we adjusted for known and potential variables, such as WBC counts and chronic medical diseases to produce more reliable results. Additionally, we used restrictive cubic spline and smooth curve fitting to explore their non-linear relationship. Finally, to confirm the robustness of our results, we conducted sensitivity analyses on platelet which was a parameter of SII and had a definite effect on anemia (43).

However, this research also has limitations that should be considered. First, due to the cross-sectional study design, we could not obtain causal inferences and prospective studies are required to elucidate the causality. Second, Hgb values and the cell counts were obtained from one blood test only. Serial testing may be more reliable. Third, although some potential covariates were adjusted, the influence of other possible confounding factors could not be completely excluded.

5 Conclusion

This cross-sectional study demonstrated that SII was positively associated with the risk of anemia especially among female participants. Further large-scale prospective studies are still needed to analyze the role of SII in anemia.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Ethics Review Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SC: Data curation, Writing – original draft. JX: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. WC: Data curation, Writing – review &

References

1. Irace C, Scarinci F, Scorcia V, Bruzzichessi D, Fiorentino R, Randazzo G, et al. Association among low whole blood viscosity, hematocrit, hemoglobin and diabetic retinopathy in subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2011) 95:94–8. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2009.172601

2. McLean E, Cogswell M, Egli I, Wojdyla D, de Benoist B. Worldwide prevalence of anemia, WHO Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System, 1993–2005. *Public Health Nutr.* (2009) 12:444–54. doi: 10.1017/S1368980008002401

3. Madu AJ, Ughasoro MD. Anemia of chronic disease: An in-depth review. *Med Princ Pract.* (2017) 26:1–9. doi: 10.1159/000452104

4. Park JY, Kang OJ, Lee YY, Kim YS. A prospective randomized controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of patient blood management program in patients with gynecologic cancer (KGOG 4011/PBM). *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. (2023) 33:1140–4. doi: 10.1136/ijgc-2023-004403

5. Fu H, Zheng J, Cai J, Zeng K, Yao J, Chen L, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) is useful to predict survival outcomes in patients after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma within Hangzhou criteria. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2018) 47:293–301. doi: 10.1159/000489807

editing. XL: Writing – review & editing. CL: Writing – review & editing. YD: Writing – original draft. YZ: Writing – original draft. GS: Writing – original draft. QS: Writing – review & editing. HW: Writing – review & editing. ZX: Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study is supported in part by Medical and Healthy Science Innovative Program of Chinese Academy of Medical Science (2022-I2M-C&T-B-093) and Haihe Laboratory of Cell Ecosystem Innovation Fund (22HHXBSS00033).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1391573/ full#supplementary-material

6. Chen JH, Zhai ET, Yuan YJ, Wu KM, Xu JB, Peng JJ, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index for predicting prognosis of colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2017) 23:6261–72. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i34.6261

7. Huang H, Liu Q, Zhu L, Zhang Y, Lu X, Wu Y, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with cervical cancer. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:3284. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39150-0

8. Lu L, Feng Y, Liu YH, Tan HY, Dai GH, Liu SQ, et al. The systemic immuneinflammation index may be a novel and strong marker for the accurate early prediction of acute kidney injury in severe acute pancreatitis patients. *J Invest Surg.* (2022) 35:962– 6. doi: 10.1080/08941939.2021.1970864

9. Hu B, Yang XR, Xu Y, Sun YF, Sun C, Guo W, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index predicts prognosis of patients after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2014) 20:6212–22. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0442

10. Fraenkel PG. Anemia of inflammation: A review. Med Clin North Am. (2017) 101:285-96. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2016.09.005

11. Canny SP, Orozco SL, Thulin NK, Hamerman JA. Immune mechanisms in inflammatory anemia. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2023) 41:405–29. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-101320-125839

12. Schultze JL, Mass E, Schlitzer A. Emerging Principles in Myelopoiesis at Homeostasis and during Infection and Inflammation. *Immunity*. (2019) 50:288–301. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.01.019

13. Jelkmann W. Proinflammatory cytokines lowering erythropoietin production. J Interferon Cytokine Res. (1998) 18:555–9. doi: 10.1089/jir.1998.18.555

14. Nutritional anemias. Report of a WHO scientific group. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser. (1968) 405:5–37.

15. Yang Q, Zheng J, Chen W, Chen X, Wen D, Chen W, et al. Association between preadmission metformin use and outcomes in intensive care unit patients with sepsis and type 2 diabetes: A cohort study. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2021) 8:640785. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.640785

16. Smock KJ, Perkins SL. Thrombocytopenia: an update. Int J Lab Hematol. (2014) 36:269–78. doi: 10.1111/ijlh.12214

17. Tefferi A, Vannucchi AM, Barbui T. Essential thrombocythemia: 2024 update on diagnosis, risk stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol.* (2024) 99:697–718. doi: 10.1002/ajh.27216

18. Alshuweishi Y, Alfaifi M, Almoghrabi Y, Al-Sheikh YA, Alfhili MA. A retrospective analysis of the association of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) with anemia in the saudi population. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. (2023) 59(9):1592. doi: 10.3390/medicina59091592

19. Singh K. Leucocyte counts in anemia. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol. (2010) 54:85-8.

20. Zhang X, Huang JX, Tang M, Zhang Q, Deng L, Song CH, et al. A comprehensive analysis of the association between anemia and systemic inflammation in older patients with cancer. *Support Care Cancer*. (2023) 32:39. doi: 10.1007/s00520-023-08247-8

21. Nairz M, Weiss G. Iron in infection and immunity. *Mol Aspects Med.* (2020) 75:100864. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2020.100864

22. Maccio A, Madeddu C, Massa D, Mudu MC, Lusso MR, Gramignano G, et al. Hemoglobin levels correlate with interleukin-6 levels in patients with advanced untreated epithelial ovarian cancer: role of inflammation in cancer-related anemia. *Blood.* (2005) 106:362–7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-01-0160

23. Owen WF, Lowrie EG. C-reactive protein as an outcome predictor for maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int.* (1998) 54:627–36. doi: 10.1046/ j.1523-1755.1998.00032.x

24. Ziv-Baran T, Wasserman A, Goldiner I, Stark M, Shenhar-Tsarfaty S, Shapira I, et al. The association between C-reactive protein and common blood tests in apparently healthy individuals undergoing a routine health examination. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2020) 501:33–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2019.12.002

25. O'Shea JJ, Murray PJ. Cytokine signaling modules in inflammatory responses. Immunity. (2008) 28:477-87. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.03.002

26. Mezger M, Nording H, Sauter R, Graf T, Heim C, von Bubnoff N, et al. Platelets and immune responses during thromboinflammation. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1731. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01731

27. Wang L, Wang C, Wang J, Huang X, Cheng Y. A novel systemic immuneinflammation index predicts survival and quality of life of patients after curative resection for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2017) 143:2077–86. doi: 10.1007/s00432-017-2451-1 28. Di X, Liu S, Xiang L, Jin X. Association between the systemic immuneinflammation index and kidney stone: A cross-sectional study of NHANES 2007– 2018. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1116224. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1116224

29. Wang J, Zhou D, Dai Z, Li X. Association between systemic immuneinflammation index and diabetic depression. *Clin Interv Aging.* (2021) 16:97–105. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S285000

30. Ye Z, Hu T, Wang J, Xiao R, Liao X, Liu M, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index as a potential biomarker of cardiovascular diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:933913. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.933913

31. Zheng H, Yin Z, Luo X, Zhou Y, Zhang F, Guo Z. Associations between systemic immunity-inflammation index and heart failure: Evidence from the NHANES 1999–2018. *Int J Cardiol.* (2024) 395:131400. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2023.131400

32. Cheng W, Bu X, Xu C, Wen G, Kong F, Pan H, et al. Higher systemic immuneinflammation index and systemic inflammation response index levels are associated with stroke prevalence in the asthmatic population: a cross-sectional analysis of the NHANES 1999–2018. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1191130. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2023.1191130

33. Liu B, Wang J, Li YY, Li KP, Zhang Q. The association between systemic immune-inflammation index and rheumatoid arthritis: evidence from NHANES 1999–2018. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2023) 25:34. doi: 10.1186/s13075-023-03018-6

34. Song Y, Guo W, Li Z, Guo D, Li Z, Li Y. Systemic immune-inflammation index is associated with hepatic steatosis: Evidence from NHANES 2015–2018. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1058779. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1058779

35. Sankaran VG, Weiss MJ. Anemia: progress in molecular mechanisms and therapies. *Nat Med.* (2015) 21:221–30. doi: 10.1038/nm.3814

36. Pagani A, Nai A, Silvestri L, Camaschella C. Hepcidin and anemia: A tight relationship. *Front Physiol.* (2019) 10:1294. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.01294

37. Luo XY, Yang MH, Peng P, Wu LJ, Liu QS, Chen L, et al. Anti-erythropoietin receptor antibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with anemia. *Lupus*. (2013) 22:121–7. doi: 10.1177/0961203312463980

38. Klein SL, Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2016) 16:626–38. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.90

39. Ortona E, Pierdominici M, Rider V. Editorial: Sex hormones and gender differences in immune responses. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1076. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2019.01076

40. Rahman MA, Rahman MS, Aziz Rahman M, Szymlek-Gay EA, Uddin R, Islam SMS. Prevalence of and factors associated with anemia in women of reproductive age in Bangladesh, Maldives and Nepal: Evidence from nationally-representative survey data. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16:e0245335. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245335

41. Sunuwar DR, Singh DR, Chaudhary NK, Pradhan PMS, Rai P, Tiwari K. Prevalence and factors associated with anemia among women of reproductive age in seven South and Southeast Asian countries: Evidence from nationally representative surveys. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0236449. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236449

42. Kim C, Nan B, Kong S, Harlow S. Changes in iron measures over menopause and associations with insulin resistance. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* (2012) 21:872–7. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2012.3549

43. Song AB, Kuter DJ, Al-Samkari H. Characterization of the rate, predictors, and thrombotic complications of thrombocytosis in iron deficiency anemia. *Am J Hematol.* (2020) 95:1180–6. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25925