#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Noha Mousaad Elemam, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

## REVIEWED BY

Nathaniel Richard West, Genentech Inc., United States Narjes Saheb Sharif-Askari, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE Albert D. Donnenberg

RECEIVED 20 March 2024 ACCEPTED 04 July 2024 PUBLISHED 24 July 2024

#### CITATION

Donnenberg VS, Luketich JD, Popov B, Bartlett DL and Donnenberg AD (2024) A common secretomic signature across epithelial cancers metastatic to the pleura supports IL-6 axis therapeutic targeting. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1404373. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1404373

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Donnenberg, Luketich, Popov, Bartlett and Donnenberg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A common secretomic signature across epithelial cancers metastatic to the pleura supports IL-6 axis therapeutic targeting

Vera S. Donnenberg<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, James D. Luketich<sup>1,2</sup>, Bosko Popov<sup>2</sup>, David L. Bartlett<sup>4,5,6</sup> and Albert D. Donnenberg<sup>3,4,5,7\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>2</sup>UPMC Hillman Cancer Centers, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>3</sup>McGowan Institute for Regenerative Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>4</sup>Allegheny Health Network Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, United States, <sup>5</sup>College of Medicine, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>6</sup>Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, United States

**Background:** Many cancers metastasize to the pleura, resulting in effusions that cause dyspnea and discomfort. Regardless of the tissue of origin, pleural malignancies are aggressive and uniformly fatal, with no treatment shown to prolong life. The pleural mesothelial monolayer is joined by tight junctions forming a contained bioreactor-like space, concentrating cytokines and chemokines secreted by the mesothelium, tumor, and infiltrating immune cells. This space represents a unique environment that profoundly influences tumor and immune cell behavior. Defining the pleural secretome is an important step in the rational development localized intrapleural immunotherapy.

**Method:** We measured cytokine/chemokine content of 252 malignant pleural effusion (MPE) samples across multiple cancers using a 40-analyte panel and Luminex multiplexing technology.

**Results:** Eleven analytes were consistently present in concentrations  $\geq 10.0$  pM: CXCL10/IP10 (geometric mean = 672.3 pM), CCL2/MCP1 (562.9 pM), sIL-6R $\alpha$  (403.1 pM), IL-6 (137.6 pM), CXCL1/GRO (80.3 pM), TGF $\beta$ 1 (76.8 pM), CCL22/MDC (54.8 pM), CXCL8/IL-8 (29.2 pM), CCL11/Eotaxin (12.6 pM), IL-10 (11.3 pM), and G-CSF (11.0 pM). All are capable of mediating chemotaxis, promotion of epithelial to mesenchymal transition, or immunosuppression, and many of are reportedly downstream of a pro-inflammatory cytokine cascade mediated by cytokine IL-6 and its soluble receptor.

**Conclusion:** The data indicate high concentrations of several cytokines and chemokines across epithelial cancers metastatic to the pleura and support the contention that the pleural environment is the major factor responsible for the

clinical course of MPE across cancer types. A sIL-6R $\alpha$  to IL-6 molar ratio of 2.7 ensures that virtually all epithelial, immune and vascular endothelial cells in the pleural environment are affected by IL-6 signaling. The central role likely played by IL-6 in the pathogenesis of MPE argues in favor of a therapeutic approach targeting the IL-6/IL-6R axis.

#### KEYWORDS

malignant pleural effusion, secretomics, tumor environment, epithelial to mesenchymal transition, IL-6 trans-signaling

# 1 Introduction

The most common adenocarcinomas to metastasize to the pleura are cancers of the lung, breast, pancreas, esophagus, and stomach (1). They manifest with pleural effusion and/or pleural thickening, nodules, or masses. Regardless of the site of origin, cancers metastatic to the pleura carry a dire prognosis compared to other metastatic sites. For example, median overall survival in metastatic hormone receptor positive (HR+) breast cancer (all sites) is 37 months (2). However, when HR+ breast cancer metastasizes to the pleura, it is uniformly fatal with a median survival of only 6 months (3). The prognosis is similar for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (median OS 6.3 months (4)) and other adenocarcinomas metastatic to the pleura.

We have argued that the principal reason for this uniformly poor prognosis across cancer types is that mutational profiles (5) and organ specific tropisms (6) are no longer the major factors determining outcome, being overshadowed by the potent effects of the pleural environment, which both suppresses anti-tumor immunity and promotes the epithelial to mesenchymal transition and aggressive tumor behavior (7, 8). In this report we extend our findings in nonsmall cell lung cancer and mesothelioma (9) and compare the secretomes of pleural effusions across a large dataset (n = 254malignant pleural effusions) including multiple cancer types in order to understand their commonalities and differences, with the goal of identifying therapeutic targets to condition the maladaptive pleural environment and increase the efficacy of other therapeutic modalities (*e.g.*, immune checkpoint blockade, adoptive cellular therapy).

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Patients and samples

Pleural effusions (PE) were collected as anonymized medical waste under an IRB exemption (No. 0503126), or IRB approved protocol No. 16110093, under which patients consented to use of the sample and access to medical records. A total of 254 MPE were collected from patients with a variety of cancers (Table 1).

Secretomic data for non-small cell lung cancer and mesothelioma have been previously published in part (9).

# 2.2 Secretomics

Secretomics were performed on MPE as previously described (9). Briefly, cells were removed by centrifugation (10 min at 600 x g, 4°C), and then further clarified (10 min 1880 x g, 4°C) prior to storage at -86°C. Immediately prior to analysis, samples were thawed and clarified by high-speed centrifugation (3 min at 16,000 x g, Beckman Microfuge E, Cat No. 348720, Beckman Coulter) in a coldroom environment (4°C).

A total of 40 cytokines and chemokines were quantified on the Luminex platform, using the Curiox LT-MX plate washer, Curiox DA-96 plates, the Luminex 200 System analyzer and xPonent data acquisition and analysis software. Standard curves were run for each cytokine with each sample batch. Cytokines were measured in 5  $\mu$ L of neat, clarified effusion using the MILLIPLEX MAP Human Cytokine/

TABLE 1 Pleural effusion samples by cancer type.

Cancer Type	Ν
Breast	122
Esophageal	21
Non-small Cell Lung	61
Small Cell Lung	2
Melanoma	5
Mesothelioma	8
Ovarian	10
Renal	15
Other	20
Total	254

The category *Other* includes endometrial (1), colon (3), gastric (1), lymphoma (2), nasopharyngeal (1), pancreatic (2), plasmacytoma (2), prostate (1), urachal (1), urothelial (1) and origin unknown (5).

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1404373

Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel - Premixed 38 Plex (Cat. No. HCYTMAG-60K-PX38), MILLIPLEX MAP Human TGF $\beta$  (Cat. No. TGFBMAG-64K-01), and IL-6R $\alpha$  from the Human Angiogenesis/Growth Factor Panel 2 (Cat. No. HANG2MAG-12K-01). Determinations that were designated "Out of Range Below" (*i.e.*, below the limit of quantification) by the analytical software were arbitrarily filled with a value 1/10 the lowest valid measurement for that cytokine. Values designated "Out of Range Above" (*i.e.*, above the limit of quantification) were assigned the value of the highest valid measurement for that cytokine.

# 2.3 Statistical analysis

Because of the magnitude in size differences between cytokines and chemokines, results of the Luminex assay, reported in pg/mL, were converted to pM using molecular weights determined from the literature as reported previously in the Supplementary Material to reference (8). Molecular weights were not adjusted for glycosylation, isoforms or other variants. Secretomic analysis was performed on log10-transformed pM data. SYSTAT 13 software (San Jose, CA) was used for data analysis. Hierarchical clustering on columns was calculated using the one minus Pearson clustering metric in Morpheus (Broad Institute, https://software.broadinstitute.org/ morpheus/). Discriminant analysis and ANOVA were performed in SYSTAT 13. The parameters for discriminant analysis were: backward stepwise estimation, tolerance = 0.001, F to enter = 4.0and F to remove = 3.9. Coefficients of variation (cv) on log transformed data were calculated according to the method recommended by Canchola (10).

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Clustering between cancer types

Table 2 shows that eleven pleural cytokines and chemokines are significantly upregulated in the  $\geq 10$  pM range, and another eight in the 1 -10 pM range across epithelial cancers metastatic to the pleura. Hierarchical clustering between cancer types (Figure 1) showed breast cancer and non-small cell lung cancer, the diseases most frequently metastatic to the pleura, clustering together, and melanoma and renal cancers the farthest apart. Since the major cytokines and chemokines (Figure 1, red cells) were common across all cancer types, clustering was due to variability in analytes expressed at lower concentrations. TNF $\beta$  was most variable (cv = 176%), driven by high levels in esophageal cancer, followed by IL-1RA (high in ovarian cancer, low in melanoma and renal cancer, cv = 110%) and FLT3L (high in breast cancer, cv = 106%).

# 3.2 Clustering between individual lung cancer samples

Figure 2 shows inter-patient secretomic variability in patients with lung cancer metastatic to the pleura. We focused on lung

cancer because it is a heterogeneous disease group, and the number of samples (n = 63) is amenable to graphic analysis. There was little variability among the cytokines and chemokines expressed at the highest concentrations (Figure 1). Seven patients had samples from effusions collected on different days, all of which clustered together, except for PE174, two of which clustered and one of which did not, due to lower levels of CX3CL1 and VEGF. As in all cancers (Figure 1), CX3CL1 (higher levels in melanoma and renal cancer), TNF $\beta$ , VEGF and IL-1RA showed the greatest variability of cytokines and chemokines expressed in the < 10.0 pM range.

# 3.3 Clustering between hormone receptor positive and triple negative breast cancer samples

Of 122 breast cancer patients, 23 samples were collected from 21 patients who gave informed consent to obtain their medical records. These samples were classified by hormone receptor status (hormone receptor positive-all variants (HRP) *vs* triple negative (TN)). Even though all samples displayed high levels of the top 11 cytokines, samples tended to cluster by hormone receptor status (Figure 3). Four samples from two patients, one with TN/androgen receptor positive (ARP) disease, and one with HRP disease formed a separate cluster due to elevated TNF $\beta$ , low IL-7 and low EGF. No individual analyte was significantly different between hormone receptor groups (Student's t-test, Bonferroni corrected).

# 3.4 Discriminant analysis distinguishes between breast cancer, lung cancer and esophageal cancer effusion secretomes

Despite homogeneity in expression of the major chemokines and cytokines, the results of hierarchical clustering indicated consistent between-cancer differences (Figure 1). We performed discriminant analysis on breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and esophageal cancer samples, the three largest groups in our dataset. These cancers were well-separated in the 40-dimensional space of the measured pleural secretome, with 89% of samples classifying correctly (Figure 4). This was confirmed by jackknifed resampling (83% classifying correctly). Cytokines most influential in separating the cancer types (F to remove  $\geq 10$ ) included FLT3L (highest in BrCA), GM-CSF (lowest in Eso), TNF-B (highest in Eso), EGF (lowest in NSCLC), IL-3 (lowest in NSCLC), CXCL10/ IP10 (lowest in NSCLC), CCL22/MDC (lowest in Eso), IFNα2 (lowest in NSCLC, highest in BrCA), and VEGF (highest in BrCA), highest in BrCA). Other analytes that came up as significantly different between diagnoses in univariate statistics (ANOVA, Bonferroni corrected p-values) are: FGF-2 (highest in BrCA, p=0.01), IL-15 (highest in BrCA, p = 0.03), IL-12p40 (lowest in NSCLC, highest in BrCA, p = 0.03) and IL-2 (lowest in NSCLC, highest in BrCA, p = 0.02). The variability of these analytes may reflect disease-specific differences in immunogenicity or other tissue-specific attributes.

#### CXCL10/IP10 672.28 586.73 770.29 CCL2/MCP1 562.86 504.69 627.74 sIL6Ra 403.09 337.99 480.74 IL6 137.58 118.72 159.43 CXCL1/GRO 80.25 67.42 95.52 TGFβ1 76.84 66.23 89.15 CCL22/MDC 54.83 46.91 64.08 CXCL8/IL8 29.17 23.64 35.99 CCL11/EOTAXIN 12.63 11.00 14.51 IL10 11.31 9.75 13.12 G-CSF 11.00 8.95 13.52 CX3CL1/FRACTALKINE 9 21 7.84 10.81 VEGF 5.02 6.89 3.66 IL7 3.08 2.58 3.67 MIP1β 2.18 1.76 2.71 TNFα 2.16 1.96 2.38 IL1RA 2.12 1.53 2.94 MIP1a 1.93 1.68 2.22 IL15 1.18 1.02 1.37 GM-CSF 0.96 0.79 1.17 IL1α 0.82 0.61 1.09 CCL7/MCP3 0.70 0.48 1.02 FLT3L 0.69 0.48 0.98 FGF-2 0.69 0.52 0.91 EGF 0.63 0.48 0.82 IFNa2 0.60 0.46 0.79 TGFα 0.46 0.37 0.55 sCD40L 0.45 0.34 0.59 IFNγ 0.43 0.35 0.52 IL5 0.29 0.23 0.37 IL1β 0.27 0.23 0.33 IL12p40 0.27 0.20 0.36 IL4 0.24 0.18 0.32 TNFβ 0.24 0.15 0.39 IL2 0.08 0.06 0.09 IL13 0.05 0.06 0.09 IL9 0.05 0.04 0.07 IL17A 0.05 0.04 0.06 IL3 0.04 0.04 0.05 IL12p70 0.04 0.03 0.05

#### TABLE 2 Malignant pleural effusion geometric mean cytokine and chemokine levels across all cancers (n = 254), ordered by prevalence.

 $\mathrm{LCI}_{95},$  lower 95% confidence interval;  $\mathrm{UCI}_{95},$  upper 95% confidence interval.



# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Pleural space as a bioreactor

In malignant effusions and ascites, the cavitary space behaves much like a bioreactor. Because these cavities are lined by a mesothelial monolayer joined together with tight junctions (11), locally secreted cytokines and chemokines accumulate to high local concentrations in the absence of renal or hepatic clearance, the major mechanisms by which cytokines are eliminated from the peripheral circulation (12). Unlike solid tumors, in which the normal and malignant components interact within a tumor microenvironment, in MPE neoplastic and normal cells alike are bathed in a homogeneous macro-environment consisting of a rich mixture of cytokines and chemokines promoting and maintaining a mesenchymal tumor state (13) and rendering infiltrating immune effector cells unresponsive (7–9, 14).



# 4.2 Most prevalent cytokines and chemokines

Our data support this conclusion and show that 11 analytes are consistently elevated (≥ 10 pM) in the pleural fluid across a wide variety of cancer types. Of these, 6 are chemokines, small (8 - 14 kDa) peptide molecules that bind to G-protein coupled receptors. Chemokines were originally named for their chemotactic properties (a portmanteau of chemotactic and cytokine) and are responsible for the robust immune infiltrate that accompanies pleural effusions. The chemokine family of ligands has also been shown mediate a variety of other functions, playing a role in disease processes such as inflammation, autoimmunity, and cancer (15), and particularly in EMT and metastasis (16). We have previously shown that all the major chemokines identified here in MPE are constitutively secreted by cultured MPE tumor cells (8). CXCL10/IP-10 and CCL2/MCP1, the most abundant chemokines in our series are also secreted by cultured mesothelial cells, as is CXCL1/GRO (17). CXCL10 has been shown to synergize with TNF- $\alpha$  (also present in MPE) to induce EMT in colon cancer (18). MPE tumor-secreted CCL2 not only acts in an autocrine fashion to promote EMT and trans-endothelial migration, but also recruits tumor associated macrophages (19) and drives M2 polarization (20). MPE-tumor and mesothelial cells secrete CXCL1 (21, 22), CXCL8/IL-8 (23-25) and CCL11/Eotaxin (21, 26). All have been shown to promote EMT and tumor invasion, while macrophage-derived CCL22 (27, 28) drives alternative macrophage activation and IL-8 secretion (29) and recruits immunosuppressive cells to the tumor microenvironment (30).

# 4.3 The IL-6 axis

The most prominent cytokines in our series, common to all cancers, are IL-6 and its soluble receptor IL-6Rα, TGFβ, IL-10, and G-CSF. The pleiotropic master cytokine IL-6, when bound to the soluble alpha-chain of its receptor, is capable of trans-signaling to the wide variety of cells that express gp130, the IL-6 signal transducing protein. Given the right stimuli, IL-6 secretion can be induced in immune and stromal cells, but cultured MPE tumor cells secrete it constitutively (8). The IL-6/IL-6R $\alpha$  complex initiates pathologic cytokine cascades in many pro-inflammatory disease states (31) and stimulates production of the immunosuppressive cytokines IL-1RA and IL-10 (32), both present in MPE. IL-6 and TGF $\beta$  are also potent inducers of EMT (13, 33). Further, IL-6 increases membrane trafficking of TGFB receptor, augmenting TGF $\beta$  signaling (34) and regulatory B-cell differentiation (35). TGF $\beta$  (36) and G-CSF (37), both physiologic enforcers of immunologic tolerance, are secreted by MPE tumor cells (8) and

contribute to the immunosuppressive pleural environment. TGF $\beta$  also promotes an M2 macrophage polarization (38). The relationship between IL-6 and G-CSF is complex, but costimulation with IL-6 and G-CSF is reported to induce protumor function in neutrophils (39), and IL-6/IL6R $\alpha$  trans-signaling promotes G-CSF-independent granulopoiesis upon exposure to pathogens (40). IL-10 is a pleiotropic cytokine shown to downregulate expression of Th1 cytokines (41), MHC class II antigens (42), and co-stimulatory molecules on macrophages (43). Its expression is enhanced by IL-6 (32). IL-6 trans-signaling also promotes secretion of CXLC8 and CCL2 by rheumatoid arthritis synoviocytes, a cell type related to mesothelial cells (44). In our series, the geometric mean molar ratio of sIL-6R $\alpha$  to IL-6 was 2.7 (LCI<sub>95</sub> = 2.1, UCI<sub>95</sub> = 3.3), greatly facilitating trans-signaling within the pleural environment and ensuring that gp130+ normal and malignant epithelial cells, immune cells and vascular endothelial cells in the pleural environment are responsive to IL-6 signaling. Figure 5 shows, in schematic, how the IL-6 axis may interact with multiple cytokines to promote tumor and mesothelial EMT and suppress anti-tumor immunity.



#### FIGURE 3

Hierarchical clustering of secretomic data in pleural effusions from breast cancer patients, grouped by hormone receptor status (HRP, hormone receptor positive; TN, Triple negative). In general, TN and HR+ samples tended to cluster, respectively, as did the 4 samples from *BRACA1+* patients with HR+ disease. Cytokines are ordered by prevalence in all cancers.

One mechanism that may explain the relationship between IL-6 and the pathophysiology of benign and malignant pleural effusions is the association of specific IL-6 and IL-6R polymorphisms with altered inflammatory responses (45). Subjects with SNPs associated with decreased IL-6 classical signaling have lower odds of tuberculosis disease (46). Conversely, polymorphisms resulting in elevated IL-6 levels or signaling have greater risk of allergy (47), proliferative diabetic retinopathy (48) and other immune-related pathologies (45). It remains to be determined whether specific IL-6 or IL-6R polymorphisms predispose to effusions and/or intracavitary metastasis.

# 4.4 Within- and between-disease variation in effusion cytokine content

The within-disease variation in cytokine content for a single, but heterogeneous disease site (lung cancer, Figure 2) is similar to the variation between diseases (Figure 1), demonstrating the consistency with which the major cytokines and chemokines comprise the pleural secretome across different cancers.

Despite the major secretomic commonalities between cancers metastatic to the pleura, differences in tissue of origin contributed to subtle but consistent between-disease differences in cytokine and chemokine content. When we used discriminant analysis to compare breast, lung and esophageal cancers, the most prevalent cancers in our dataset, their secretomic profiles easily distinguished between the diseases (Figure 4). Many of the most influential cytokines were present at low concentration. Several were associated with effector responses such as IFN $\alpha$ , TNF $\alpha$ , TNF $\beta$ , and IL-9, possibly reflecting inherent disease-specific differences in immunogenicity.

# **5** Conclusions

The most salient finding in this report is that the major cytokines conditioning malignant pleural effusions and contributing to their aggressive pathobiology are the same across cancers. Even across cancers with disparate biologies and etiologies, the cavitary environment can have such a profound effect as to make them equally aggressive, equally immunosuppressive, and equally therapy resistant. Cytokines present at lower levels allowed breast cancer patients to cluster by hormone receptor status (Figure 3), and distinguish between patients with breast, lung and esophageal cancers (Figure 4).



C Classification Matrix (Cases in row categories classified into columns)

	Breast	Esophageal	NSCLC	Correct (%)
Breast	98	0	6	94
Esophageal	3	18	1	82
NSCLC	8	1	54	86
Total	109	19	61	90

#### FIGURE 4

Discriminant analysis distinguishes between breast, esophageal, and non-small cell lung cancer based on cytokine/chemokine content of pleural effusion fluid. (A) Variables most influencing the ability to discriminate between cancer types, ordered by F-statistic. (B) Canonical Scores Plot. The axes are the first two canonical variables, a linear combination of the covariates (individual cytokine measurements) that provide maximum separation among the groups in 2 dimensions. The bivariate plot shows how each MPE sample is represented in terms of canonical variables and how each covariate contributes to the canonical variables. A 95% confidence level ellipse is plotted for the mean X,Y value of each disease group. If two groups differ significantly, the confidence ellipse tend not to intersect. (C) Classification matrix demonstrates the ability to predict disease type based on cytokine/chemokine levels.



wound-healing signals provided by the tumor, synergize in a maladaptive response that promotes aggressive tumor behavior and suppression of

The present data support the contention IL-6 signaling is upstream of a maladaptive cytokine and chemokine cascade capable of suppressing anti-tumor effector responses at the level of effector T cells and macrophages and promoting EMT and aggressive tumor behavior. Since IL-6 is also elevated in both transudative and exudative benign effusions (9), there is the distinct possibility that IL-6 is the major driver of all effusions, once initiated by mechanical or inflammatory stimuli (49, 50). There is abundant precedent for targeting IL-6 or its soluble receptor to ablate pathologic cytokine release cascades associated with rheumatoid arthritis (51), adoptive immunotherapy (52), or infectious disease and sepsis (53, 54). Importantly, such therapy does not appear to interfere with anti-tumor immune effector responses (52), raising the possibility that localized therapy directed against the IL-6 axis could counter EMT while unleashing a preexisting, but silenced (8) local anti-tumor response.

anti-tumor effector responses

The risks of intra-cavitary administration of drugs targeting the IL-6 axis are presently unknown. In clinical trials evaluating intravenous administration of single agent tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, infection was the most common serious adverse event, in keeping with its anti-inflammatory effects (55). The most common adverse reactions were upper respiratory tract infections, nasopharyngitis, headache, hypertension and increased alanine transaminase. Twenty-four percent of patients required dose modification or interruption due to adverse events. We hypothesize that such toxicities can be minimized by intracavitary

administration, attaining high local drug concentration with significantly lower total doses, with minimal systemic spillover. We are currently conducting an open label Phase I dose escalation trial of intracavitary tocilizumab in patients with malignant pleural effusions and ascites (NCT06016179) (56).

The implications of the present study are threefold: 1) The prominence of IL-6 and IL-6R $\alpha$  suggest a therapeutic strategy. Antibodies directed against IL-6 or its soluble receptor may counter both EMT and immunosuppression, and may even ameliorate the effusion itself; 2) Therapeutic agents can be delivered directly to the pleural cavity to counteract the maladaptive pleural environment and facilitate natural or adoptive immune effector responses against the tumor without incurring systemic toxicity (7, 8); 3) This strategy could be employed across all cancers that are metastatic to the pleura, providing that the mutational burden is sufficient to generate immunogenic peptides against which anti-tumor responses can be directed. Between-patient differences in low level effector cytokines may provide a clue as to who will respond to localized immunotherapy.

Taken together, the data indicate a strong commonality between diverse cancer types, not only in IL-6, but in the major cytokines and chemokines comprising the pleural secretomic milieu. The results identify the IL-6/IL-6R $\alpha$ /gp130 signaling complex, which affects virtually every cell in the pleural environment, and which can provoke a pathologic cascade of cytokines, as a potential therapeutic target.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by University of Pittsburgh Human Research Protection Office. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

VD: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. JL: Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. BP: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. DB: Resources, Writing – review & editing. AD: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by BC032981, BC044784, W81XWH-12-1-0415, BC132245\_W81XWH-14-0258, W81XWH2210009\_BC210533 and W81XWH2211069\_ BC211396 from the Department of

# References

1. Shroff GS, Strange CD, Ahuja J, Sabloff BS. Chapter 31 - thoracic metastatic disease. In: Silverman PM, editor. *Oncologic Imaging : a Multidisciplinary Approach (Second Edition)*. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia (2023).

2. Weide R, Feiten S, Friesenhahn V, Heymanns J, Kleboth K, Thomalla J, et al. Metastatic breast cancer: prolongation of survival in routine care is restricted to hormone-receptor- and Her2-positive tumors. *Springerplus*. (2014) 3:535. doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-3-535

3. Zamboni MM, Da Silva CT Jr., Baretta R, Cunha ET, Cardoso GP. Important prognostic factors for survival in patients with Malignant pleural effusion. *BMC pulmonary Med.* (2015) 15:29–9. doi: 10.1186/s12890-015-0025-z

4. Epaillard N, Benitez JC, Gorria T, Fabre-Guillevin E, Ruidavets M. Pleural effusion is a negative prognostic factor for immunotherapy in non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): The PLUIE study. *Ann Oncol.* (2020) 31:S1428–40. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.10.529

5. Kuipers J, Thurnherr T, Moffa G, Suter P, Behr J, Goosen R, et al. Mutational interactions define novel cancer subgroups. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:4353. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06867-x

6. Gao Y, Bado I, Wang H, Zhang W, Rosen JM, Zhang XH. Metastasis organotropism: redefining the congenial soil. *Dev Cell*. (2019) 49:375–91. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.012

7. Donnenberg VS, Wagner PL, Luketich JD, Bartlett DL, Donnenberg AD. Localized intra-cavitary therapy to drive systemic anti-tumor immunity. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:846235. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.846235

8. Donnenberg VS, Luketich JD, Sultan I, Lister J, Bartlett DL, Ghosh S, et al. A maladaptive pleural environment suppresses preexisting anti-tumor activity of pleural infiltrating T cells. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1157697. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1157697

Defense, MetaVivor FP00002718, The Pennsylvania Breast Cancer Coalition, NIH/NCI R01/R37 CA232209, the Glimmer of Hope Foundation, and the David Downing Fund. The Hillman Cancer Center Luminex Facility is supported by Cancer Center Support Grant P30CA047904.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the technical expertise of Denise Prosser (Hillman Cancer Center Luminex Facility) and to our patients who contributed their effusions and time without expectation of personal benefit.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Donnenberg AD, Luketich JD, Donnenberg VS. Secretome of pleural effusions associated with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and Malignant mesothelioma: therapeutic implications. *Oncotarget*. (2019) 10:6456–65. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.27290

10. Canchola JA, Tang S, Hemyari P, Paxinos E, Marins E. Correct use of percent coefficient of variation (%CV) formula for log-transformed data. *MOJ Proteomics Bioinf.* (2017) 6:316–7. doi: 10.15406/mojpb.2017.06.00200

11. Amasheh S, Markov AG, Volgin GN, Voronkova MA, Yablonsky PK, Fromm M. Barrier function of human pleura mesothelium is constituted by tight junctions. *FASEB J.* (2011) 25:1036.3–3. doi: 10.1096/fasebj.25.1\_supplement.1036.3

12. Bocci V. Interleukins. Clin Pharmacokinet. (1991) 21:274-84. doi: 10.2165/00003088-199121040-00004

13. Lu H, Clauser KR, Tam WL, Frose J, Ye X, Eaton EN, et al. A breast cancer stem cell niche supported by juxtacrine signalling from monocytes and macrophages. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2014) 16:1105–17. doi: 10.1038/ncb3041

14. Donnenberg AD, Luketich JD, Dhupar R, Donnenberg VS. Treatment of Malignant pleural effusions: the case for localized immunotherapy. J ImmunoTherapy Cancer. (2019) 7:110. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0590-4

15. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O, Nomiyama H. The chemokine and chemokine receptor superfamilies and their molecular evolution. *Genome Biol.* (2006) 7:243. doi: 10.1186/gb-2006-7-12-243

16. Tian X, Wang J, Jiang L, Jiang Y, Xu J, Feng X. Chemokine/GPCR signaling-mediated EMT in cancer metastasis. J Oncol. (2022) 2022:2208176. doi: 10.1155/2022/2208176

17. Visser CE, Tekstra J, Brouwer-Steenbergen JJ, Tuk CW, Boorsma DM, Sampat-Sardjoepersad SC, et al. Chemokines produced by mesothelial cells: huGRO-alpha, IP- 10, MCP-1 and RANTES. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (1998) 112:270-5. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2249.1998.00592.x

18. Wang Z, Ao X, Shen Z, Ao L, Wu X, Pu C, et al. TNF- $\alpha$  augments CXCL10/ CXCR3 axis activity to induce Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in colon cancer cell. Int J Biol Sci. (2021) 17:2683–702. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.61350

19. Liu Q, Song J, Pan Y, Shi D, Yang C, Wang S, et al. Wnt5a/CaMKII/ERK/CCL2 axis is required for tumor-associated macrophages to promote colorectal cancer progression. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2020) 16:1023–34. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.40535

20. Sierra-Filardi E, Nieto C, Dominguez-Soto A, Barroso R, Sanchez-Mateos P, Puig-Kroger A, et al. CCL2 shapes macrophage polarization by GM-CSF and M-CSF: identification of CCL2/CCR2-dependent gene expression profile. *J Immunol.* (2014) 192:3858–67. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1302821

21. Katayama H, Yokoyama A, Kohno N, Sakai K, Hiwada K, Yamada H, et al. Production of eosinophilic chemokines by normal pleural mesothelial cells. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* (2002) 26:398–403. doi: 10.1165/ajrcmb.26.4.4613

22. Lu Y, Dong B, Xu F, Xu Y, Pan J, Song J, et al. CXCL1-LCN2 paracrine axis promotes progression of prostate cancer via the Src activation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cell Communication Signaling*. (2019) 17:118. doi: 10.1186/s12964-019-0434-3

23. Visser CE, Steenbergen JJ, Betjes MG, Meijer S, Arisz L, Hoefsmit EC, et al. Interleukin-8 production by human mesothelial cells after direct stimulation with staphylococci. *Infect Immun.* (1995) 63:4206–9. doi: 10.1128/iai.63.10.4206-4209.1995

24. Wen J, Zhao Z, Huang L, Wang L, Miao Y, Wu J. IL-8 promotes cell migration through regulating EMT by activating the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway in ovarian cancer. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2020) 24:1588–98. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.14848

25. Xiong X, Liao X, Qiu S, Xu H, Zhang S, Wang S, et al. CXCL8 in tumor biology and its implications for clinical translation. *Front Mol Biosci.* (2022) 9:723846. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.723846

26. Levina V, Nolen BM, Marrangoni AM, Cheng P, Marks JR, Szczepanski MJ, et al. Role of eotaxin-1 signaling in ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2009) 15:2647–56. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-2024

27. Yamashita U, Kuroda E. Regulation of macrophage-derived chemokine (MDC, CCL22) production. *Crit Rev Immunol.* (2002) 22:105-14. doi: 10.1615/CritRevImmunol.v22.i2

28. Röhrle N, Knott MML, Anz D. CCL22 signaling in the tumor environment. In: Birbrair A, editor. *Tumor Microenvironment: The Role of Chemokines – Part A*. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2020).

29. Wang D, Yang L, Yue D, Cao L, Li L, Wang D, et al. Macrophage-derived CCL22 promotes an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment via IL-8 in Malignant pleural effusion. *Cancer Lett.* (2019) 452:244–53. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2019.03.040

30. Mukaida N, Sasaki SI, Baba T. CCL4 signaling in the tumor microenvironment. Adv Exp Med Biol. (2020) 1231:23-32. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-36667-4\_3

31. Uciechowski P, Dempke WCM. Interleukin-6: A masterplayer in the cytokine network. Oncology. (2020) 98:131-7. doi: 10.1159/000505099

32. Steensberg A, Fischer CP, Keller C, Møller K, Pedersen BK. IL-6 enhances plasma IL-1ra, IL-10, and cortisol in humans. *Am J Physiology-Endocrinology Metab.* (2003) 285:E433–7. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00074.2003

33. Xu J, Lamouille S, Derynck R. TGF-beta-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *Cell Res.* (2009) 19:156–72. doi: 10.1038/cr.2009.5

34. Zhang XL, Topley N, Ito T, Phillips A. Interleukin-6 regulation of transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta receptor compartmentalization and turnover enhances TGF-beta1 signaling. *J Biol Chem.* (2005) 280:12239–45. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M413284200

35. Catalán D, Mansilla MA, Ferrier A, Soto L, Oleinika K, Aguillón JC, et al. Immunosuppressive mechanisms of regulatory B cells. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.611795

36. Batlle E, Massagué J. Transforming growth factor- $\beta$  Signaling in immunity and cancer. *Immunity*. (2019) 50:924–40. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.024

37. Franzke A. The role of G-CSF in adaptive immunity. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. (2006) 17:235–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2006.05.002

38. Zhang F, Wang H, Wang X, Jiang G, Liu H, Zhang G, et al. TGF- $\beta$  induces M2-like macrophage polarization via SNAIL-mediated suppression of a pro-inflammatory phenotype. *Oncotarget.* (2016) 7:52294–306. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.v7i32

39. Yan B, Wei J-J, Yuan Y, Sun R, Li D, Luo J, et al. IL-6 cooperates with G-CSF to induce protumor function of neutrophils in bone marrow by enhancing STAT3 activation. J Immunol. (2013) 190:5882–93. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1201881

40. Walker F, Zhang H-H, Matthews V, Weinstock J, Nice EC, Ernst M, et al. IL6/ sIL6R complex contributes to emergency granulopoietic responses in G-CSF– and GM-CSF–deficient mice. *Blood.* (2008) 111:3978–85. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-10-119636

41. De Waal Malefyt R, Yssel H, De Vries JE. Direct effects of IL-10 on subsets of human CD4+ T cell clones and resting T cells. Specific inhibition of IL-2 production and proliferation. *J Immunol.* (1993) 150:4754–65. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.150.11.4754

42. Salazar-Onfray F, Petersson M, Franksson L, Matsuda M, Blankenstein T, Karre K, et al. IL-10 converts mouse lymphoma cells to a CTL-resistant, NK-sensitive phenotype with low but peptide-inducible MHC class I expression. *J Immunol.* (1995) 154:6291-8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.154.12.6291

43. Couper KN, Blount DG, Riley EM. IL-10: the master regulator of immunity to infection. *J Immunol.* (2008) 180:5771–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.9.5771

44. Li F, Tang Y, Song B, Yu M, Li Q, Zhang C, et al. Nomenclature clarification: synovial fibroblasts and synovial mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2019) 10:260. doi: 10.1186/s13287-019-1359-x

45. Woo P, Humphries SE. IL-6 polymorphisms: a useful genetic tool for inflammation research? *J Clin Invest.* (2013) 123:1413–4. doi: 10.1172/JCI67221

46. Hamilton F, Schurz H, Yates TA, Gilchrist JJ, Möller M, Naranbhai V, et al. Altered IL-6 signalling and risk of tuberculosis disease: a meta-analysis and Mendelian randomisation study. *medRxiv*. (2023). doi: 10.1101/2023.02.07.23285472

47. Yang Y, Xiao J, Tang L, Wang B, Sun X, Xu Z, et al. Effects of IL-6 polymorphisms on individual susceptibility to allergic diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Genet.* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.822091

48. Ulhaq ZS, Soraya GV, Wulandari LR. The role of IL-6-174 G/C polymorphism and intraocular IL-6 levels in the pathogenesis of ocular diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:17453. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-74203-9

49. Yokoyama A, Kohno N, Fujino S, Abe M, Ishida O, Hiwada K. Soluble interleukin-6 receptor levels in pleural effusions. *Respir Med.* (1996) 90:329–32. doi: 10.1016/S0954-6111(96)90127-4

50. Doré P, Lelièvre E, Morel F, Brizard A, Fourcin M, Clemént C, et al. IL-6 and soluble IL-6 receptors (sIL-6R and sgp130) in human pleural effusions: massive IL-6 production independently of underlying diseases. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (1997) 107:182–8. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2249.1997.d01-889.x

51. Smolen JS, Beaulieu A, Rubbert-Roth A, Ramos-Remus C, Rovensky J, Alecock E, et al. Effect of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (OPTION study): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial. *Lancet.* (2008) 371:987–97. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60453-5

52. Maude SL, Barrett D, Teachey DT, Grupp SA. Managing cytokine release syndrome associated with novel T cell-engaging therapies. *Cancer J.* (2014) 20:119–22. doi: 10.1097/PPO.00000000000035

53. Moore JB, June CH. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19. *Science*. (2020) 368:473. doi: 10.1126/science.abb8925

54. Hamilton FW, Thomas M, Arnold D, Palmer T, Moran E, Mentzer AJ, et al. Therapeutic potential of IL6R blockade for the treatment of sepsis and sepsis-related death: A Mendelian randomisation study. *PLoS Med.* (2023) 20:e1004174. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1004174

55. Gabay C, Emery P, Van Vollenhoven R, Dikranian A, Alten R, Pavelka K, et al. Tocilizumab monotherapy versus adalimumab monotherapy for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (ADACTA): a randomised, double-blind, controlled phase 4 trial. *Lancet.* (2013) 381:1541-50. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60250-0

56. Park H, Lewis C, Dadgar N, Sherry C, Evans S, Ziobert S, et al. Intra-pleural and intra-peritoneal tocilizumab therapy for managing Malignant pleural effusions and ascites: The Regional Immuno-Oncology Trial (RIOT)-2 study protocol. *Surg Oncol Insight*. (2024) 1:100045. doi: 10.1016/j.soi.2024.100045