Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yunxiang Zhou, Zhejiang University, China

REVIEWED BY Yu Xiaoting, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, China Jiatong Ding, Nanchang University, China, in collaboration with reviewer YX Yali Wang, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Lei Xie 15917917101@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 02 April 2024 ACCEPTED 13 September 2024 PUBLISHED 26 September 2024

CITATION

Chen Y, Zheng Z, Wang J, Huang X and Xie L (2024) Genetically predicted Caspase 8 levels mediates the causal association between CD4+ T cell and breast cancer. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1410994. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1410994

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Zheng, Wang, Huang and Xie. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genetically predicted Caspase 8 levels mediates the causal association between CD4+ T cell and breast cancer

Yanbin Chen^{1,2†}, Zequn Zheng^{3,4†}, Jinhong Wang^{5†}, Xifeng Huang⁵ and Lei Xie^{1,2*}

¹Department of Radiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China, ²Laboratory of Medical Molecular Imaging, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China, ³Department of Cardiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong, China, ⁴Clinical Research Center, First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong, China, ⁵Department of Ultrasound, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China

Background: Breast cancer (BC) remains a significant contributor to female mortality globally, with inflammation and the immune system implicated in its pathogenesis. To elucidate potential causal relationships, we evaluated the relationship among 731 immune cell phenotypes and BC be at risk by using Mendelian randomization (MR), while also exploring inflammatory proteins as mediators in this association.

Methods: We obtained immune cell genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary data and 91 inflammatory factors from the GWAS Catalog. BC GWAS data was obtained from the IEU Open GWAS project (ukb-b-16890 for discovery and GCST004988 for validation). We investigated the causal link between immune cells and BC risk by employing a two-sample MR method. Furthermore, we use a two-step MR to quantify the percentage of mediation of immune cell-BC causal effects mediated by inflammatory proteins. To make sure the causal findings were robust, a sensitivity analysis was done.

Results: In both discovery and validation GWAS, a critical inverse correlation between CD4+ T cells and BC risk was found using MR analysis (Discovery: OR, 0.996; P = 0.030. Validation: OR, 0.843; P = 4.09E-07) with Caspase 8 levels mediating 18.9% of the reduced BC risk associated with immune cells(Mediation proportion=axb/c, Discovery:0.151×-0.005/-0.004 = 18.9%; Validation:0.151×-0.214/-0.171 = 18.9%)

Conclusion: Our study establishes a causal connection linking CD4+ T cells and BC, with Caspase 8 levels partially mediating this relationship. These findings enhance our genetic and molecular comprehension of BC, suggesting potential pathways for future BC immunotherapy drug development.

KEYWORDS

breast cancer, CD4+T cell, Caspase 8 levels, Mendelian randomization, immune cell



Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) stands as a formidable health challenge globally, now surpassing lung cancer as the most prevalent malignancy among women (1). Despite its historical perception as having minimal immune involvement, recent advancements in tumor immunology underscore the vital function of immune cells in BC development (2). Additionally, various immune cells and cytokines participate in the BC immune microenvironment, exhibiting both anti-tumor and immunesuppressive functions, and contributing to chronic inflammation linked to BC progression. Recent research indicates that an increase in tumor-infiltrating immune cells, particularly Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes (CTLs), T cells, and B cells, is correlated with a more favorable prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) and highgrade ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) (3, 4). CTLs are particularly important as they can trigger the death of cancer cells through the Fas/ FasL pathway, which leads to apoptosis, or programmed cell death (5). In contrast, pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been shown to drive breast cancer progression by creating a tumor-promoting inflammatory environment and inhibiting apoptosis (6, 7). Understanding the immune system's functionality and associated inflammatory factors is pivotal in designing therapeutic strategies leveraging the immune microenvironment for BC treatment (8). Given the complexity of the immune microenvironment in breast cancer, harnessing the immune system for therapeutic benefit has become a major focus in cancer research (9, 10). Although traditional breast cancer treatments have not typically included immunotherapy (11, 12), emerging evidence suggests that immunotherapeutic approaches can be effective, particularly in subtypes like TNBC, where the presence of immune infiltrates is associated with better outcomes. To establish causality between immune-related factors and breast cancer outcomes, Mendelian randomization (MR) offers a powerful methodological approach (13). By using genetic variants as instrumental variables (IVs), MR can help determine the causal effects of immune-related exposures on breast cancer risk and progression, minimizing the influence of confounding variables and reverse causality that often plague observational studies (14, 15).

Previous research using MR has demonstrated a causal connection between immune cells and ER+ BC (16). However, the expanded genetic information of immune cells and improved MR evaluation models may continually challenge these conclusions. Therefore, based on the availability of a broader spectrum of genetic information on plasma immune cell phenotypes and proteomes, an updated assessment of the causal connection linking BC and immune cells and the role played by inflammatory factors is necessary. Our goal is to employ robust two-sample MR analysis to revisit the relationship of causality among immune cell phenotypes and BC. Additionally, we employ a two-step MR approach to explore the involvement of inflammatory proteins as mediators in this relationship.

Method

Study design

MR analysis aims to employ variants in genes as IVs to elucidate causal links between exposures and outcomes. It is based on three primary premises: (1) IVs and exposures are associated, (2) IVs don't depend on confounders, and (3) IVs only have an impact on the outcome by exposure pathways. Initially, to investigate the link of causality among 731 immune cell types and BC risk, we performed two-sample MR. Subsequently, we investigated whether inflammatory factors mediate the link between immune cells and BC risk with a two-step MR methodology. In addition, we used reverse MR analysis to rule out the possible reverse causality between immune cells and BC. Finally, to ensure the robustness of our findings, we employed additional BC GWAS to validate the causal relationship between immune cells and BC. A schematic summary of the study is shown in Figure 1.

GWAS summary data sources

Summary data on immune cells and BC were acquired from recently published GWAS datasets.



The immune GWAS data (Study ID: GCST0001391 to ca GCST0002121) included information on 731 immune ar phenotypes, categorized into morphological parameters (MP), re absolute cells (AC), relative cells (RC), and median fluorescence ab intensity (MFI) (17). Detailed descriptions are provided in m

Additionally, 91 inflammatory factors GWAS were sourced from genome-wide quantitative trait loci (QTL) studies cataloged in HGRI-EBI. This dataset involved 14,824 European participants (Access number: GCST90274758 to GCST90274848), with comprehensive information available in Supplementary Table S2.

BC GWAS were obtained from the IEU Open GWAS project. The first BC GWAS investigated 462,933 European individuals (Ncase=10303, Ncontrol=452630) (Study ID: ukb-b-16890). The second BC GWAS investigated 139,274 European individuals (Ncase=76192, Ncontrol= 63082) (Study ID: GCST004988).

Genetic proxies for causal analysis

We included significantly associated SNPs from genome-wide in our analysis (P < $5 \times 10-8$) to be IVs for exposure. Additionally, we define the threshold for linkage disequilibrium (LD) based on pairwise linkage disequilibrium to r2 < 0.001 for the purpose assure IVs independence. When r² > 0.001 in a 10,000 kb span, SNPs that are more associated with additional SNPs or have higher p-values are removed. Furthermore, to confirm the strength of each SNP, we calculated the F-statistic, which assesses the correlation between IVs and exposure. An F-statistic score greater than 10 suggests a strong relationship between IVs and exposure (18). Comprehensive details about SNPs that are used as exposure and outcome, exposure and mediator, as well as mediator and outcome, can be found in Supplementary Tables S3, S6.

Statistical analysis

We used R software version 4.3.2 along with the "Two-Sample MR" package for data analysis. Furthermore, we applied various methods including the Wald ratio, inverse variance weighting (IVW), MR-Egger, weighted mode, and weighted median (WM) to evaluate t the link of causality among 731 immune cells, inflammatory proteins, and BC.

Primary analysis and Sensitivity analysis

Our MR study primarily employed IVW analysis, which relies on outcome variance instead of the term for the intercept in regression, providing robust estimates when directional pleiotropy in the IVs is absent (19). Additionally, when the number of SNPs equals 1, we utilized the Wald ratio as the primary analysis strategy (Figure 1A). Furthermore, we performed sensitivity analyses on this MR study using MR-Egger, WM, and weighted mode (20).

Supplementary Table S1.

Mediation analysis

To try to determine if inflammatory variables mediate the causal pathway from immune cells to BC outcomes, we employed a two-step MR methodology for mediation analysis (Figures 1B, C). This analysis decomposes the overall effect into indirect effects (mediated through the mediator) and direct effects (without mediation) (21). Specifically, the total effect (c in Figure 1B) of immune cells on BC is dissected into the direct effect of immune cells on BC (c' in Figure 1C) and immune cells' indirect effect mediated by the mediator ($a \times b$ in Figure 1C). We computed the indirect effect's proportion of the total effect to quantify the portion of the mediated effects.

Results

Association of immune cells with BC

Initially, we examined the connection between 731 immune cell types and BC. Supplementary Table S4 provides detailed information on MR estimations for immune cells and BC. The results indicated that among the 731 immune cell types, 35 immune cell types were found to have a causal relationship with BC (Figure 2A). Subsequently, we conducted sensitivity analyses on these 35 immune cell phenotypes potentially causally related to BC through MR-Egger, WM, and weighted mode methods. Robust MR results supported a total of 26 immune cell phenotypes associated with the occurrence of BC (Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S5). The MR analysis identified 26 immune cell phenotypes associated with BC occurrence. Of these, 18 were protective, including various T cell and B cell types, while 8 were risk factors, primarily T cell groups. Among them, IgD+ B cells (OR, 0.996; P = 0.014), CD4+ T cells (OR, 0.996; P = 0.030), and HLADR+ CD4+ T cells (OR, 0.997; P = 0.006) indicated a negative association with the occurrence of BC. Conversely, CD39+ CD8+ T cells (OR, 1.002; P = 0.027) and CCR7 on naive CD8+ T cells (OR, 1.003; P = 0.030) were positively linked to the occurrence of BC.

Association of inflammatory proteins with BC

We investigated the possible causality link between 91 inflammatory proteins and BC. Detailed information on MR estimates on 91 inflammatory proteins and BC are provided in Supplementary Table S7. The results revealed that 8 out of the 91 inflammatory factors were found to have a causal relationship with BC (Figure 3). Among these, 5 inflammatory factors were identified as protective factors (Interleukin-7 levels: OR,0.994; P = 0.037; Oncostatin-M levels: OR,0.994; P=0.002; Caspase 8 levels: OR, 0.995; P = 0.026; C-X-C motif chemokine 10 levels: OR,0.995; P = 0.004; C-X-C motif chemokine 5 levels: OR, 0.999; P=0.047), while 3 were identified as risk factors(Eotaxin levels: OR, 1.002; P = 0.043; C-X-C motif chemokine 6 levels: OR, 1.002; P = 0.041; Stem cell factor levels: OR, 1.002; P = 0.041). Robust MR results for the aforementioned inflammatory factor phenotypes showed that 2 inflammatory factors Caspase 8 levels (OR, 0.995; P = 0.026, PFDR = 0.047) and Interleukin-

7 levels (OR, 0.994; P = 0.037, PFDR = 0.047 causally related to BC (Supplementary Table S8).

Mediated effect for inflammatory proteins

Using two-step MR, we examined the causal association between 26 immune cell types significantly associated with BC and Caspase 8 levels and Interleukin-7 levels. The results indicated that 4 immune cell types have a causal relationship with the inflammatory protein Caspase 8 levels (Figure 4). They are B cell (OR, 0.874; P = 0.041),IgD+ CD24+ B cell (OR, 0.858; P = 0.023), Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count (OR, 1.188; P = 0.036),CD4+ T cell Absolute Count (OR, 1.163; P = 0.036).

Reverse MR analysis

We conducted reverse MR analysis on the aforementioned four immune cell phenotypes to investigate their reverse causal relationships with BC. Detailed result information was provided in Supplementary Table S9. The results indicated that none of the four immune cells exhibit reverse causal relationships with BC. Specifically, for CD4+ T cells and BC, the P-value is 0.641.

Validation analysis

We used another BC GWAS (Study ID: GCST004988) to validate the relationship between the aforementioned four immune cells and BC. Detailed result information is shown in Supplementary Table S10. The results showed that CD4+ T cells were causally associated with BC (OR=0.843; P=4.09×10-7), whereas B cells were not causally associated with BC (OR=0.987; P=0.846). Additionally, we used this BC GWAS to validate the causal relationships between the aforementioned two inflammatory proteins and BC. Detailed information is provided in Supplementary Table S11. The results indicate that Caspase 8 levels are causally associated with BC (OR=0.807; P=4.88×10-6), while Interleukin-7 levels do not exhibit a causal relationship with BC (OR=1.074; P=0.245).

Therefore, we have identified Caspase 8 levels serve as a potential mediator within the pathway that connects immune cells to BC. Specially, we found that an increase in CD4+ T cells has a relationship with a higher degree of Caspase 8, and higher Caspase 8 levels are associated with a reduced risk of BC (Figure 5). Our study indicates that Caspase 8 levels account for 18.9% of the reduction in BC risk associated with immune cells (mediation proportion=a×b/c, Discovery:0.151×-0.005/-0.004 = 18.9%; Validation:0.151×-0.214/-0.171 = 18.9%).

Discussion

We examined the causal links among 731 immune cell characteristics, inflammatory proteomes, and BC using extensive publicly accessible genetic datasets. Additionally, we investigated

Outcome	Exposure	Method	nSNP	P.value	PFDR		,	95%CI
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.014	0.035	●		0.996(0.992 to 0.9
ukb-b-16890	IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.041	0.043	.		0.997(0.993 to 1.0
	CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001442	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.032	0.036			0.996(0.993 to 1.0
	CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534	Wald ratio	1	0.025	0.035	.	:	0.996(0.992 to 0.9
	Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537	Wald ratio	1	0.030	0.035	<u>ا</u>	i	0.996(0.992 to 1.0
	Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001553	Wald ratio	1	0.022	0.035	·····		0.994(0.988 to 0.9
	Naive CD4-CD8- T cell %T cell GCST90001568	Wald ratio	1	0.003	0.022		:	0.993(0.989 to 0.9
	CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001590	Wald ratio	1	0.000	0.022		i	0.996(0.993 to 1.0
						····•		
	Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601	Wald ratio	1	0.021	0.035			0.995(0.992 to 0.9
	T cell Absolute Count GCST90001603	Wald ratio	1	0.030	0.035		!	0.996(0.991 to 1.0
	HLA DR+ T cell%T cell GCST90001619	Inverse variance weighted	3	0.003	0.025	ŀ ● -I		0.998(0.997 to 0.9
	HLA DR+ T cell%lymphocyte GCST90001620	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.004	0.022	ŀ ● -I		0.998(0.997 to 0.9
	HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001624	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.015	0.035	ł - ł	:	0.997(0.995 to 0.9
	HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001625	Wald ratio	1	0.006	0.022	F 0 H		0.997(0.995 to 0.9
	HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.005	0.022	H		0.997(0.995 to 0.9
	B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001644	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.030	0.035		1	0.996(0.993 to 1.0
	CD39+ CD8+ T cell %CD8+ T cell GCST90001671	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.027	0.035		: 	1.002(1.000 to 1.0
			2			 -		
	CD25++ CD8+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001681	Inverse variance weighted		0.045	0.048			0.998(0.997 to 1.0
	CD25 on IgD+ CD24- B cell GCST90001779	Inverse variance weighted	4	0.023	0.042		1	0.998(0.996 to 1.0
	CD25 on IgD+ CD38+ B cell GCST90001783	Inverse variance weighted	3	0.040	0.047	F		0.998(0.996 to 1.0
	CCR7 on naive CD4+ T cell GCST90001907	Inverse variance weighted	3	0.048	0.048		} ● -	1.002(1.000 to 1.0
	CCR7 on naive CD8+ T cell GCST90001908	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.030	0.035		-	1.003(1.000 to 1.0
	CD45 on HLA DR+ T cell GCST90001918	Wald ratio	1	0.005	0.022	···•	:	0.997(0.994 to 0.9
	CD127 on CD28+ CD45RA+ CD8+ T cell GCST90001929	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.041	0.043			1.002(1.000 to 1.0
	CD127 on CD45RA+ CD4+ T cell GCST90001932	Inverse variance weighted	4	0.043	0.047		-	1.002(1.000 to 1.0
	CD64 on CD14+ CD16+ monocyte GCST90002011	Wald ratio	1	0.021	0.035			0.995(0.991 to 0.9
	CD14 on Monocytic Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells GCST90002019	Wald ratio	1	0.023	0.035			1.002(1.000 to 1.0
								
	CD4 on naive CD4+ T cell GCST90002024	Inverse variance weighted	5	0.041	0.047] 	0.998(0.997 to 1.0
	CD8 on Terminally Differentiated CD8+ T cell GCST90002057	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.047	0.047			1.002(1.000 to 1.0
	SSC-A on myeloid Dendritic Cell GCST90002071	Inverse variance weighted	3	0.043	0.047		 	1.003(1.000 to 1.0
	SSC-A on HLA DR+ T cell GCST90002083	Wald ratio	1	0.005	0.022	⊦····•		0.996(0.992 to 0.9
	HLA DR on CD33dim HLA DR+ CD11b+ GCST90002110	Wald ratio	1	0.004	0.022		1	1.003(1.001 to 1.0
	HLA DR on HLA DR+ T cell GCST90002113	Wald ratio	1	0.013	0.035		;	1.003(1.001 to 1.0
	HLA DR on HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell GCST90002114	Wald ratio	1	0.013	0.035		: p	+ 1.005(1.001 to 1.0
	HLA DR on B cell GCST90002116	Inverse variance weighted	5	0.025	0.042	I ••		0.999(0.998 to 1.0
						.988 0.992 0.996 1.0	00 1004 100	
В					Š		atio(95%CI)	
Outcome	Exposure	Method	nSNP	P.value	PFDR			95%CI
				0.014	0.035	····•		0.996(0.992 to 0.9
		Inverse variance weighted	2					
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.041	0.043			0.997(0.993 to 1.0
	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439	Inverse variance weighted	2	0.041	0.043	@		
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001442	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 2	0.032	0.036			0.996(0.993 to 1.0
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001442 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio	2 2 1	0.032 0.025	0.036 0.035	@ @		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %Jymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %Jymphocyte GCST90001442 CD45R4- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030	0.036 0.035 0.035	0 0		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.992 to 1.0
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001442 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001553	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035	@ @ @		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.9
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001442 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022	0 0 0 0		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.9 0.993(0.989 to 0.9
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001542 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001554 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001557 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001553 Naive CD4-CD6- T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Lostile Count GCST90001580	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035	@ @ @		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.5 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.989 to 0.5 0.996(0.993 to 1.0
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell %GCST90001563 CD4- CD2+ T cell %T cell %GCST90001563 Naive CD4-T cell %T cell %GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001568 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 1 1 1 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.030	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035	@ @ @ @		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.5 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.989 to 0.5 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.995(0.992 to 0.5
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST9000142 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001563 Naive CD4+ T cell %GCST90001563 Naive CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001563 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001560 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001603	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035	0 0 0 0		0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.5 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.989 to 0.5 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.995(0.992 to 0.5 0.996(0.991 to 1.0
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD4+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4- T cell %T cell GCST90001563 Naive CD4- T cell %T cell GCST90001563 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Lobolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001603 HLA DR+ T cell %mphocyte GCST90001620	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.9 0.993(0.988 to 0.9 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.995(0.992 to 0.9 0.995(0.991 to 1.0 0.998(0.997 to 0.9
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001563 CD4+ CD2+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001603 HLA DR+ T cell%ymphocyte GCST90001620 HLA PR+ CH4+ T cell %solute Count GCST90001620	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.004	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.9 0.993(0.989 to 0.9 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.995(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.995 to 0.9
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001542 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Åbaolute Count GCST90001554 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Åbaolute Count GCST90001557 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001558 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Abolute Count GCST90001560 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %l P0001601 HLA DR+ Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %L Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %L Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %L Count GCST90001625	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.015 0.006	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022			$\begin{array}{c} 0.996(0.993\ to\ 1.0\\ 0.996(0.992\ to\ 0.9\\ 0.996(0.992\ to\ 1.0\\ 0.994(0.998\ to\ 0.9\\ 0.994(0.998\ to\ 0.9\\ 0.993(0.988\ to\ 1.0\\ 0.993(0.988\ to\ 1.0\\ 0.995(0.992\ to\ 0.9\\ 0.996(0.991\ to\ 1.0\\ 0.998(0.997\ to\ 0.9\\ 0.997(0.995\ to\ 0.8\\ 0.997(0.995\ to\ 0.9\\ 0.997(0.995\ to\ 0.8\\ 0.997(0.995\ to\ 0.8\ to\ 0.8\\ 0.997(0.995\ to\ 0.8\ to\ 0.$
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD4+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4- TOell %T cell GCST90001563 Naive CD4- TOell %T cell GCST90001563 CD4+ T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 CD4+ T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001620	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.015 0.006 0.005	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.2 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.989 to 0.5 0.995(0.993 to 1.0 0.995(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.998(0.997 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001542 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Åbaolute Count GCST90001554 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Åbaolute Count GCST90001557 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001558 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Abolute Count GCST90001560 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %l P0001601 HLA DR+ Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %L Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %L Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %L Count GCST90001625	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.015 0.006	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.2 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.989 to 0.5 0.995(0.993 to 1.0 0.995(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.998(0.997 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD4+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4- TOell %T cell GCST90001563 Naive CD4- TOell %T cell GCST90001563 CD4+ T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 CD4+ T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001620	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.015 0.006 0.005	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.2 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.988 to 0.5 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.5 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.996(0.993 to 1.0)
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD44 CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001542 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001554 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001553 Naive CD4-CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+T Cell %Lostlaw Count GCST90001580 CD4+T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell%lymphocyte GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell%Lostlaw Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %L GCST90001625 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %L GCST90001625 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %L GCST90001625 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %GCST90001625 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001624 CD3# CD4+ T cell %CDb+T cell GCST90001627	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.015 0.006 0.005 0.030	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.022			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.5 0.993(0.983 to 1.0 0.995(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0) 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0) 0.996(0.993 to 1.0) 0.996(0.995 to 1.0) 0.996(0.995 to 1.0) 0.996(0.995 to 1.0)
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD44 CD27 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45 CD27 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell %Dsolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD8- T cell %T cell GCST90001563 CD4+ T cell %Dsolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001625 HLA DR+ CD4+ T cell %CT cell %GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD39+ CD8+ T cell %CD8+ T cell %l GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD39+ CD8+ T cell %l GCST90001626 CCR on naive CD8+ T cell GCST90001608	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.004 0.005 0.006 0.005 0.030 0.027	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.022 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.2 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.988 to 0.9 0.993(0.988 to 0.9 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 0.5 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.1 0.002(1.000 to 1.0)
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Lobilute Count GCST90001560 CD4+ T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell %Lobilute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CH4+ T cell %Lobilute Count GCST90001624 HLA DR+ CH4+ T cell %Simphocyte GCST90001625 HLA DR+ CH4+ T cell %Keil GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD39+ CD8+ T cell %l CD8+ T cell GCST90001626 CD39+ CD8+ T cell %CD8+ T cell GCST90001631 CCR7 on naive CD8+ T cell GCST90001918	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.015 0.006 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.005	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 0.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.1 1.002(1.000 to 1.0 1.002(1.000 to 1.0 0.997(0.994 to 0.5) 0.997(0.994 to 0.5)
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD4+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4- T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Lobolute Count GCST90001560 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %Copte GCST90001621 HLA DR+ Col+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Col+ T cell %Copte GCST90001625 HLA DR+ Col+ T cell %Copte GCST90001625 HLA DR+ Col+ T cell %Copte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD3# cD45 + cell %lobet cell GCST90001626 CD3# cD45 + cell %lobet cell GCST90001626 CD45 on HLA DR+ ce	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.021 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.005 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.2 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 0.2 0.997(0.995 to 0.2 0.997(0.995 to 0.2 0.997(0.995 to 0.2 0.997(0.995 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0)00 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 1.0)00 to 1.00
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD2+4 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+ CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD8-T cell %Loc3t D45001568 CD4+ T cell %T cell GCST90001560 CD4+ T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell%lymphocyte GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CA4+ T cell %log50001642 HLA DR+ CA4+ T cell %log1001643 CD44 T cell %log1001644 CD7 + T cell %log1001643 CD7 + T cell %log1001642 HLA DR+ CA4+ T cell %log1001643 CD7 on tabsolute Count GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001671 CCR7 on nave CD8+ T cell GCST90001671 CCR7 on nave CD8+ T cell GCST90001618 CD45 on HLA DR+ T cell GCST90001618 CD43 co HLA CH4+ T cell %GCST900016198 CD40 co HL4+ CD16+ monocyte GCST90001902 CD64 on CH4+ CD16+ monocyte GCST90001912	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.030 0.030 0.004 0.005 0.006 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035			0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 2) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.997(0.995 to 2) 0.997(0.995 to 2) 0.995(0.995
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD44 CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA- CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Lobolute Count GCST90001580 CD4+ T cell %Lobolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001603 HLA DR+ T cell%/IgCST90001603 HLA DR+ T cell%/Suphocyte GCST90001624 HLA DR+ T cell% GCST90001642 DR+ CD4+ T cell %GCST90001643 GL394 CD8+ T cell %GCST90001644 CD394 CD8+ T cell %GCST90001644 CD394 CD8+ T cell %GCST90001644 CD394 CD8+ T cell %GCST90001618 CD45 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001671 CCR5 on HLA DR+ T cell GCST90001618 CD45 T cell Absolute T cell GCST90001618 CD394 CD8+ T cell GCST90001618 CD45 on HLA DR+ T cell GCST90001918 CD127 on CD28+ CD45RA+ CD8+ T cell GCST90001929 CD44 on Condocytic Myleid-Lerived Suppressor Cells GCST9000211	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.021 0.006 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.025 0.041 0.021 0.021	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035		(0.996(0.993 to 1 (0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.997(0.995 to 0) 0.997(0.995 to 1) 0.997(0.995 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.997(0.994 to 1) 0.995(0.991
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD44 CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45 CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD8-T cell %T cell GCST90001563 Naive CD4-CD8-T cell %T cell GCST90001580 CD4+T r cell %Absolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %/mphocyte GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell + T cell %C cell GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+T cell %C cell GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+T cell %C cell GCST90001620 HLA DR+ CD4+T cell GCST90001620 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001621 CD39+ CD47 T cell GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST900016171 CCR7 on naive CD8+ T cell GCST90001918 CD127 on CD8+ CD46174 CD127 on CD28+ CD45744 CD127 on CD28+ CD45744 CD127 on CD28+ CD45744 CD127 on CD28+ CD45744 CD127 on CD28+ CD45744 <td< td=""><td>Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted</td><td>2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1</td><td>0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.021 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.005 0.041 0.023 0.047</td><td>0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.025 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.043 0.043 0.035</td><td></td><td>0 0 </td><td>0.996(0.993.0.1 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.997.to 0.95.to 0. 0.997(0.995.to 0. 0.997(0.995.to 0. 0.997(0.995.to 1. 0.097(0.995.to 1. 0.997(0.995.to 1. 0.997(0</td></td<>	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.021 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.005 0.041 0.023 0.047	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.025 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.043 0.043 0.035		0 0	0.996(0.993.0.1 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.992.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.993.to 1. 0.996(0.997.to 0.95.to 0. 0.997(0.995.to 0. 0.997(0.995.to 0. 0.997(0.995.to 1. 0.097(0.995.to 1. 0.997(0.995.to 1. 0.997(0
Breast cancer	IgD-B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+CD2+B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+CD27+B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA-CD4+T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD4-T cell %Locit Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD4-T cell %Locit Absolute Count GCST90001568 CD4+T cell %Locit GCST90001560 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %Lor90001620 HLA DR+ Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Count GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 HLA DR+ Count - T cell %Lor90001612 CD39+ CD4+T cell %LOR9001620 HLA DR+CO4+T cell %LOR9001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD39+ CD4+T cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001626 CD4 or cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001626 CD39+ CD4+T cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001626 CD4 or CD4+T cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001928 CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOR9HOCYTIG CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOR9HOCYTIG CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOR9HOCYTIG CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOC9HT cell GCST900020	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.030 0.04 0.015 0.006 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.043 0.035		0 0 0-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.996(0.993) \ ot \ 1\\ 0.996(0.992) \ ot \ 2\\ 0.996(0.992) \ vt \ 2\\ 0.996(0.992) \ vt \ 1\\ 0.996(0.992) \ vt \ 1\\ 0.998(0.993) \ vt \ 1\\ 0.997(0.995) \ to \ 2\\ 0.997(0.995) \ $
Breast cancer	IgD+ B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+ CD24+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD44 CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45 CD27+ B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001534 Contral Memory CD4+ T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD8+ T cell %T cell GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001568 CD4+ T cell %Loolute Count GCST90001580 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell%lymphocyte GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell%lymphocyte GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Cell + T cell %Loopotte 2 HLA DR+ T cell%lymphocyte GCST90001625 HLA DR+ Cell + T cell %Loopotte 2 HLA DR+ Cell + T cell %Loopotte 2 GD3# CD8+ T cell %LOST90001625 HLA DR+ Cell + T cell %LOST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001625 HLA DR+ Coll + Cell %LOST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD3# CD4F - T cell %LOST90001918 CD127 on CD28+ CD45RA+ CD8+ T cell GCST9000219 CD44 on CD14+ CD16+monocyte GCST90002057 SC-A on HLA DR+ T cell GCST9000203 <td>Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio</td> <td>2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2</td> <td>0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.021 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.021 0.023 0.047 0.005</td> <td>0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.025 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.047 0.047 0.022 0.047</td> <td></td> <td>0 0 0- }0- </td> <td>0.996(0.993 to 1 (0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.997(0.995 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.097(0.994 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 0.997(0.994 to 0) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.003(1.001 to 1)</td>	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.021 0.030 0.021 0.030 0.004 0.005 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.021 0.023 0.047 0.005	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.025 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.047 0.047 0.022 0.047		0 0 0- }0-	0.996(0.993 to 1 (0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.996(0.991 to 1) 0.997(0.995 to 1) 0.996(0.993 to 1) 0.097(0.994 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 0.997(0.994 to 0) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 1.002(1.000 to 1) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.996(0.992 to 0) 0.003(1.001 to 1)
Breast cancer	IgD-B cell %Lymphocyte GCST90001424 IgD+CD2+B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001439 CD24+CD27+B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001432 CD45RA-CD4+T cell Absolute Count GCST90001534 Central Memory CD4+T cell Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD4-T cell %Locit Absolute Count GCST90001537 Naive CD4-CD4-T cell %Locit Absolute Count GCST90001568 CD4+T cell %Locit GCST90001560 Lymphocyte Absolute Count GCST90001601 T cell %Absolute Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ T cell %Lor90001620 HLA DR+ Count GCST90001620 HLA DR+ Count GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 HLA DR+ Count - T cell %Lor90001612 CD39+ CD4+T cell %LOR9001620 HLA DR+CO4+T cell %LOR9001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 B cell %lymphocyte GCST90001626 CD39+ CD4+T cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001626 CD4 or cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001626 CD39+ CD4+T cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001626 CD4 or CD4+T cell %LOR9+T cell GCST90001928 CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOR9HOCYTIG CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOR9HOCYTIG CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOR9HOCYTIG CD4 or CD4+CD6+T cell %LOC9HT cell GCST900020	Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Wald ratio Inverse variance weighted Inverse variance weighted Wald ratio Wald ratio	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	0.032 0.025 0.030 0.022 0.003 0.030 0.030 0.04 0.015 0.006 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.027 0.030 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021	0.036 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.035 0.022 0.022 0.022 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.022 0.043 0.035		0 0 0-	0.997(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.993 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 0.9 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.996(0.992 to 1.0 0.994(0.998 to 1.9 0.994(0.998 to 1.9 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.996(0.991 to 1.0 0.997(0.995 to 0.9 0.997(0.995 to 0.9) 0.997(0.995 to 0.9) 0.997(0.905 to 0.9

FIGURE 2

Immune cells causally linked to breast cancer. (A) Forest plot depicted 35 types of immune cells causally linked to breast cancer. (B) Robust MR result exhibited 26 immune cell types associated with breast cancer.

the potential mediation role of inflammatory factors to better understand the underlying mechanisms governing the interplay between immune cells and BC. According to our study, 26 immune cell types and 2 inflammatory proteins were discovered to have essential causal interactions with BC. Notably, CD4+ T cells exhibited a negative correlation with BC through their association with Caspase 8 levels.

Our study revealed a positive association between CCR7 expression on naive CD8+ T cells and the occurrence of BC. CCR7, a chemokine receptor predominantly found on immune cells which includes T cells, B cells, and dendritic cells, is essential to regulate cell migration and positioning within lymphoid tissues. Additionally, increased expression of HLA-DR on both HLA-DR+ T cells and HLA-DR+ CD4+ T cells was observed in BC cases. Professional antigen-presenting cells have a class II major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecule called HLA-DR. This molecule is essential for presenting antigenic peptides to CD4+ helper T cells, which in turn indicates T cell activation. The upregulation of HLA-DR typically occurs within 24-48 hours post-activation, accompanied by heightened IFN- γ production (22– 26). Recent studies have shown elevated levels of HLA-DR on CD8+ T cells in various types of cancer (27, 28). These activated CD8+ T cells execute target cell apoptosis through cytolytic (cell-mediated cytotoxicity) and non-cytolytic (cytokine production) mechanisms. The former involves the release of cytotoxic molecules like perforin and granzyme B, while the latter entails the secretion of key cytokines like IL-2, TNF- α , and IFN- γ , crucial for antiviral and inflammatory responses (29)⁻ (30). Interestingly, our findings of an



association between elevated CCR7 and HLA-DR expression levels on T cells and BC occurrence contrast with previous studies. This inconsistency underscores the need for further research to unravel the underlying mechanisms driving BC development.

Lymphocytes, which include CD19+ B cells, CD3+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, CD3+CD4-CD8- T cells, and Nature Killer cells (NK cells), are essential for the immunological response in the human

body. CD3+ T cells encompass the total T lymphocyte population, including CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, pivotal in cellular immunity. CD4+ T cells, central to immune function, release anti-tumor cytokines and stimulate more immune effectors (31). Subsets such as Th1, Th2, and regulatory T cells (Treg) are formed by further differentiation of CD4+ T cells. Th2 and Treg cells have an immunosuppression impact, which promotes tumor growth, whereas Th1 cells drive responses against



FIGURE 4

Relationship between 26 immune cells associated with breast cancer and the inflammatory proteins Caspase 8 levels and Interleukin-7 levels. '*' also indicates that P. value <0.05.



tumors. Numerous immune cell subpopulations, such as B cells, T cells, eosinophils, neutrophils, dendritic cells, basophils, monocytes, mast cells, macrophages, and NK cells, are found in the normal breast ductal layer. These cells confer continuous protection to mammary epithelium against pathogens and eliminate transformed cells during breast development (32, 33). However, tumor cells employ immune evasion strategies by secreting suppressive factors that hinder immune cell differentiation and proliferation. Reduced immune cell levels and altered CD4+/CD8+ ratios have been observed in various cancers, indicating immune evasion (34, 35). Our study uncovered a negative association between CD4+ T cells and BC incidence, suggesting a potential role of decreased CD4+ T cell numbers in BC development. This finding highlights the intricate interplay between immune cells and BC pathogenesis, warranting further investigation into immunemediated mechanisms in BC.

Importantly, we identified Caspase 8 as a potential mediator in the CD4+ T cells-BC causal pathway. The family of cysteine proteases known as Caspases regulates the beginning and completion of apoptosis by activating proteins via intricate direct and feedback mechanisms (36). In the absence of apoptotic signals, caspase proteins remain inactive as pro-caspases. To date, there are 14 known mammalian caspases, that are categorized based on their functions into initiator (e.g., caspase-2, -8, -9, and -10), effector (e.g., caspase-3, -6, and -7), and cytokine maturation and inflammationrelated caspases (e.g., caspase-1, -4, and -5) (36, 37). Caspase-8, a pivotal member, is instrumental in inducing cell apoptosis triggered by death receptor activation. It is drawn to the death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) mediated by CD95 (Fas/APO-1) and ultimately causes cell apoptosis. Previous research, including that by YANG et al., has suggested that caspase downregulation or deficiency could contribute to BC development (38). Our study corroborated these findings by revealing an association between Caspase 8 levels and a reduced risk of BC occurrence.

Previous studies have shown that before the clinical diagnosis of BC, circulatory leukocyte composition has changed (39). Also, differences in blood leukocyte composition between diagnosed but untreated BC patients and women without BC have been observed (40, 41). Identifying changes that may occur in the immune system of patients with BC will help us understand which immune cells can serve as effective targets for immunotherapies. Our study suggests an association between CD4+ T cells and reduced risk of BC via Caspase 8, and that activation of CD4+ T cells is critical in coordinating and maintaining initial immune response and generating anti-tumor responses such as immune memory (42), which may be an effective potential target for BC immunotherapy.

Although we applied the latest available large-scale genetic data for the phenotypes of interest, our study has certain limitations. Primarily, the fact that our results are based on a European database may constrain their applicability to non-European ethnic groups. To address this limitation, establishing databases representing diverse populations will be crucial for comprehensive insights into immune-related phenotypes across different ethnicities. Additionally, future research endeavors should aim to elucidate the dynamic alterations in host immune status and inflammation throughout the disease continuum. Stratifying patients according to their immune profiles may emerge as a requisite step in tailoring personalized treatment regimens. Moreover, there is a pressing need for investigations focusing on refining immune subtypes and characterizing the body's inflammatory responses within the immune microenvironment. Such endeavors hold promise for facilitating more targeted and precise therapeutic interventions in the management of various diseases.

Conclusion

We observed a significant link between immune cells and BC, notably a reduced BC risk associated with CD4+ T cells potentially via Caspase 8. These findings have implications for future research, particularly in BC vaccine and immunotherapy drug development. However, further investigation is warranted to fully understand this association.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

All datasets complied with the ethical guidelines established in their original studies, so no additional ethical approval was required for this reanalysis.

Author contributions

YC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Writing – original draft. ZZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. XH: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. LX: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JW: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82004468), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M663021), Medical Scientific Research Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (No. A2024154, B2020138), Shantou Technology Bureau Science Foundation of China (No. ST2019031), The Talent Support Program of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College (No. YCTJ-2023-09).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Goff SL, Danforth DN. The role of immune cells in breast tissue and immunotherapy for the treatment of breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer*. (2021) 21: e63–73. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2020.06.011

3. Stanton SE, Disis ML. Clinical significance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer. J Immunother Cancer. (2016) 4:59. doi: 10.1186/s40425-016-0165-6

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1410994/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Detailed information on the genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of 731 immune cells.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

Detailed information on the GWAS of 91 inflammation proteins.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3 Genetic instrumental variables for immune cells

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 4

Mendelian randomization estimates of immune cells and BC.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 5

Sensitivity analyses on 35 immune cell phenotypes potentially causally related to BC.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 6

Genetic instrumental variables of inflammatory proteomes.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 7

Mendelian randomization estimates of 91 inflammatory proteins and BC.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 8

Sensitivity analyses on 6 inflammatory proteins potentially causally related to BC.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 9

Reverse MR analysis between 4 immune cell phenotypes and BC.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 10

Validation analysis of 4 immune cell phenotypes and BC.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 11

Validation analysis of 2 inflammatory proteins and BC.

4. Peng GL, Li L, Guo YW, Yu P, Yin XJ, Wang S, et al. CD8(+) cytotoxic and FoxP3 (+) regulatory T lymphocytes serve as prognostic factors in breast cancer. *Am J Transl Res.* (2019) 11:5039–53.

5. Hassin D, Garber OG, Meiraz A, Schiffenbauer YS, Berke G. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte perforin and Fas ligand working in concert even when Fas ligand lytic action is still not detectable. *Immunology.* (2011) 133:190-6. doi: 10.1111/imm.2011.133.issue-2

6. Korkaya H, Kim GI, Davis A, Malik F, Henry NL, Ithimakin S, et al. Activation of an IL6 inflammatory loop mediates trastuzumab resistance in HER2+ breast cancer by

expanding the cancer stem cell population. Mol Cell. (2012) 47:570-84. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.06.014

7. Brocke-Heidrich K, Kretzschmar AK, Pfeifer G, Henze C, Löffler D, Koczan D, et al. Interleukin-6-dependent gene expression profiles in multiple myeloma INA-6 cells reveal a Bcl-2 family-independent survival pathway closely associated with Stat3 activation. *Blood.* (2004) 103:242–51. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-04-1048

8. Amens JN, Bahçecioglu G, Zorlutuna P. Immune system effects on breast cancer. *Cell Mol Bioengineering*. (2021) 14:279–92. doi: 10.1007/s12195-021-00679-8

9. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

10. Couzin-Frankel J. Breakthrough of the year 2013. Cancer Immunother Sci. (2013) 342:1432-3. doi: 10.1126/science.342.6165.1432

11. Motzer RJ, Rini BI, McDermott DF, Redman BG, Kuzel TM, Harrison MR, et al. Nivolumab for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: results of a randomized phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol.* (2015) 33:1430–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.59.0703

12. Malas S, Harrasser M, Lacy KE, Karagiannis SN. Antibody therapies for melanoma: new and emerging opportunities to activate immunity (Review). Oncol Rep. (2014) 32:875–86. doi: 10.3892/or.2014.3275

13. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. *JAMA*. (2017) 318(19):1925–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

14. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. *Jama*. (2021) 326:1614–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.18236

15. Wu J, Yan L, Chai K. Systemic immune-inflammation index is associated with disease activity in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *J Clin Lab Anal.* (2021) 35: e23964. doi: 10.1002/jcla.23964

16. Wang X, Gao H, Zeng Y, Chen J. A Mendelian analysis of the relationships between immune cells and breast cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2024) 14. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1341292

17. Orrù V, Steri M, Sidore C, Marongiu M, Serra V, Olla S, et al. Complex genetic signatures in immune cells underlie autoimmunity and inform therapy. *Nat Genet.* (2020) 52:1036–45. doi: 10.1038/s41588-020-0684-4

18. Zhao JH, Stacey D, Eriksson N, Macdonald-Dunlop E, Hedman ÅK, Kalnapenkis A, et al. Genetics of circulating inflammatory proteins identifies drivers of immune-mediated disease risk and therapeutic targets. *Nat Immunol.* (2023) 24:1540–51. doi: 10.1038/s41590-023-01588-w

19. Burgess S, Davey Smith G, Davies NM, Dudbridge F, Gill D, Glymour MM, et al. Guidelines for performing Mendelian randomization investigations: update for summer 2023. *Wellcome Open Res.* (2019) 4:186. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres

20. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

21. Carter AR, Sanderson E, Hammerton G, Richmond RC, Davey Smith G, Heron J, et al. Mendelian randomisation for mediation analysis: current methods and challenges for implementation. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2021) 36:465–78. doi: 10.1007/s10654-021-00757-1

22. D'Ambrosio D, Trotta R, Vacca A, Frati L, Santoni A, Gulino A, et al. Transcriptional regulation of interleukin-2 gene expression by CD69-generated signals. *Eur J Immunol.* (1993) 23:2993–7. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830231140

23. Rea IM, McNerlan SE, Alexander HD. CD69, CD25, and HLA-DR activation antigen expression on CD3+ lymphocytes and relationship to serum TNF-alpha, IFN-gamma, and sIL-2R levels in aging. *Exp Gerontol.* (1999) 34:79–93. doi: 10.1016/S0531-5565(98)00058-8

24. Reddy M, Eirikis E, Davis C, Davis HM, Prabhakar U. Comparative analysis of lymphocyte activation marker expression and cytokine secretion profile in stimulated human peripheral blood mononuclear cell cultures: an *in vitro* model to monitor cellular immune function. *J Immunol Methods.* (2004) 293:127–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2004.07.006

25. Santis AG, Campanero MR, Alonso JL, Tugores A, Alonso MA, Yagüe E, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha production induced in T lymphocytes through the AIM/ CD69 activation pathway. *Eur J Immunol.* (1992) 22:1253–9. doi: 10.1002/ eji.1830220521

26. Thèze J, Alzari PM, Bertoglio J. Interleukin 2 and its receptors: recent advances and new immunological functions. *Immunol Today.* (1996) 17:481–6. doi: 10.1016/0167-5699(96)10057-C

27. Chen PY, Wu CY, Fang JH, Chen HC, Feng LY, Huang CY, et al. Functional change of effector tumor-infiltrating CCR5(+)CD38(+)HLA-DR(+)CD8(+) T cells in glioma microenvironment. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:2395. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02395

28. Predina JD, Haas AR, Martinez M, O'Brien S, Moon EK, Woodruff P, et al. Neoadjuvant gene-mediated cytotoxic immunotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer: safety and immunologic activity. *Mol Ther.* (2021) 29:658–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.11.001

29. Gonzalez SM, Taborda NA, Rugeles MT. Role of different subpopulations of CD8(+) T cells during HIV exposure and infection. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:936. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00936

30. Perdomo-Celis F, Velilla PA, Taborda NA, Rugeles MT. An altered cytotoxic program of CD8+ T-cells in HIV-infected patients despite HAART-induced viral suppression. *PloS One.* (2019) 14:e0210540. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0210540

31. Kurozumi S, Fujii T, Matsumoto H, Inoue K, Kurosumi M, Horiguchi J, et al. Significance of evaluating tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in breast cancer. *Med Mol Morphol.* (2017) 50:185– 94. doi: 10.1007/s00795-017-0170-y

32. Ruffell B, DeNardo DG, Affara NI, Coussens LM. Lymphocytes in cancer development: polarization towards pro-tumor immunity. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (2010) 21:3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2009.11.002

33. Zamarron BF, Chen W. Dual roles of immune cells and their factors in cancer development and progression. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2011) 7:651–8. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.7.651

34. Azizi E, Carr AJ, Plitas G, Cornish AE, Konopacki C, Prabhakaran S, et al. Single-cell map of diverse immune phenotypes in the breast tumor microenvironment. *Cell.* (2018) 174:1293–1308.e36. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.060

35. Degnim AC, Brahmbhatt RD, Radisky DC, Hoskin TL, Stallings-Mann M, Laudenschlager M, et al. Immune cell quantitation in normal breast tissue lobules with and without lobulitis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. (2014) 144:539–49. doi: 10.1007/s10549-014-2896-8

36. Thornberry NA, Lazebnik Y. Caspases: enemies within. Science. (1998) 281:1312-6. doi: 10.1126/science.281.5381.1312

37. Denault JB, Salvesen GS. Caspases: keys in the ignition of cell death. *Chem Rev.* (2002) 102:4489–500. doi: 10.1021/cr010183n

38. Muzio M, Chinnaiyan AM, Kischkel FC, O'Rourke K, Shevchenko A, Ni J, et al. FLICE, a novel FADD-homologous ICE/CED-3-like protease, is recruited to the CD95 (Fas/APO-1) death-inducing signaling complex. *Cell.* (1996) 85:817–27. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81266-0

39. Baniyash M. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as intruders and targets: clinical implications in cancer therapy. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2016) 65:857–67. doi: 10.1007/s00262-016-1849-y

40. Heine A, Schilling J, Grünwald B, Krüger A, Gevensleben H, Held SA, et al. The induction of human myeloid derived suppressor cells through hepatic stellate cells is dose-dependently inhibited by the tyrosine kinase inhibitors nilotinib, dasatinib and sorafenib, but not sunitinib. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* (2016) 65:273–82. doi: 10.1007/s00262-015-1790-5

41. Rodríguez PC, Ochoa AC. Arginine regulation by myeloid derived suppressor cells and tolerance in cancer: mechanisms and therapeutic perspectives. *Immunol Rev.* (2008) 222:180–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008.00608.x

42. Aran A, Lázaro G, Marco V, Molina E, Abancó F, Peg V, et al. Analysis of tumor infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ CDR3 sequences reveals shared features putatively associated to the anti-tumor immune response. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1227766. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1227766