Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lei Huang, University of Massachusetts Medical School, United States

REVIEWED BY Yuqi Zhang, AbbVie, United States Wanjing Shang, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Meirui An, Broad Institute, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Hui-Hui Xu Mui739@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 23 April 2024 ACCEPTED 21 May 2024 PUBLISHED 11 June 2024

CITATION

Yu J-H, Yuan H-B, Yan Z-Y, Zhang X and Xu H-H (2024) The complement regulatory protein CD46 serves as a novel biomarker for cervical cancer diagnosis and prognosis evaluation. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1421778. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1421778

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yu, Yuan, Yan, Zhang and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The complement regulatory protein CD46 serves as a novel biomarker for cervical cancer diagnosis and prognosis evaluation

Jun-Hui Yu^{1†}, Hao-Bo Yuan^{2†}, Zi-Yi Yan³, Xia Zhang⁴ and Hui-Hui Xu^{3*}

¹Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Wenzhou Medical University, Linhai, Zhejiang, China, ²School of Medicine, Shaoxing University, Shaoxing, Zhejiang, China, ³Medical Research Center, Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Wenzhou Medical University, Linhai, Zhejiang, China, ⁴Biological Resource Center, Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Wenzhou Medical University, Linhai, Zhejiang, China

Background: CD46 has been revealed to be a key factor in malignant transformation and cancer treatment. However, the clinical significance of CD46 in cervical cancer remains unclear, and this study aimed to evaluate its role in cervical cancer diagnosis and prognosis evaluation.

Methods: A total of 180 patients with an initial diagnosis of cervical cancer were enrolled at Taizhou Hospital of Zhejiang Province, China. The plasma levels of soluble CD46 (sCD46) and the expression of membrane-bound CD46 (mCD46) were detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunohistochemistry (IHC), respectively.

Results: CD46 was found to be significantly upregulated in cervical cancer tissues vs. normal tissues, while no CD46 staining was detected in paired adjacent noncancerous tissues. CD46 staining was more pronounced in cancer cells than in stromal cells *in situ* (in tissues). Moreover, the plasma levels of sCD46 were able to some extent discriminate between cancer patients and healthy women (AUC=0.6847, 95% CI:0.6152–0.7541). Analysis of Kaplan–Meier survival curves revealed that patients with low CD46 expression had slightly longer overall survival (OS) than patients with high CD46 expression in the tumor microenvironment, but no significant difference. Univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that CD46 (P=0.034) is an independent risk factor for OS in cervical cancer patients.

Conclusion: The present study demonstrated that cervical cancer patients exhibit aberrant expression of CD46, which is closely associated with a poor prognosis, suggesting that CD46 plays a key role in promoting cervical carcinogenesis and that CD46 could serve as a promising potential target for precision therapy for cervical cancer.

KEYWORDS

CD46, complement regulatory protein, cervical cancer, biomarker, prognosis

1 Background

Cervical cancer is the 4th most prevalent malignancy worldwide, affecting several hundred thousand women annually. The natural history of cervical cancer is well understood, mainly due to persistent infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV), which causes the majority of all cervical cancers (99%) (1). This cancer is largely considered a preventable disease through early detection of premalignant lesions. Unfortunately, more than 70% of deaths occur in less developed countries that lack well-organized screening and prophylactic vaccination programmes (2). It is well known that cancer treatment depends on the stage at diagnosis; options include surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. Although early-stage cervical cancers can be cured, cases with late metastasis, recurrence and drug resistance remain difficult to treat. In addition, the majority of recurrent or metastatic cervical cancers not amenable to locoregional treatments are considered incurable tumors with a poor prognosis (3). Strikingly, recent advances in immunotherapy have shown promise for these patients, as demonstrated by PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors (pembrolizumab, cemiplimab, atezolizumab, avelumab, etc) (4). More importantly, the expression of PD-L1 can be used as a biomarker to predict its therapeutic effect (5). However, the objective response rate (ORR) of patients with PD-L1 positive tumors was only 14.6% (95% CI, 7.8% to 24.2%), indicating that the clinical outcomes of most advanced cancer patients are poor (5). Therefore, there is still an urgent need for more effective biomarkers to accurately determine which patients would receive more benefit from these immunotherapies.

CD46, also known as membrane cofactor protein (MCP), is a type 1 membrane protein that not only protects autologous cells from complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) by inactivating C3b and C4b but also functions as a receptor for certain adenovirus (Ad) and measles virus (MeV) (6-8). Interestingly, it has recently been found that CD46 plays a pivotal role in tumor growth and metastasis. Emerging findings have indicated that overexpression of CD46 in solid cancers such as breast, ovarian, colorectal, and bladder cancers may protect cancer cells from destruction caused by the complement system (8-10). Downregulation of CD46 expression by small interfering RNA (siRNA) could sensitize cancer cells to complement attack in vitro (11, 12). Thus, these findings suggest that CD46 could be a favorable target for cancer treatment (8, 13). CD46 may play a key role in the immune response to cancer cells, and elucidating its role in carcinogenesis would be helpful for the treatment of cancer.

In addition, our previous findings based on bioinformatics technology indicated that CD46 might be a key predictor of overall survival in cervical cancer patients that could be used in a prognostic model (14). Consistent with our findings, Chen et al. (15) also suggested that high expression of CD46 was associated with a poor prognosis in cervical cancer patients. However, there is limited knowledge about the role of CD46 in cervical carcinogenesis. In this study, we focused on CD46 expression in plasma specimens, cervical cancer tissues and paired adjacent noncancerous tissues to evaluate the correlation between CD46 and clinical parameters. The findings of this study may provide

novel insights into the prognosis of patients with differential expression of CD46 who are receiving immunotherapy for cervical cancer.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population

From 2008 to 2020, a total of 180 patients (mean: 55.7 years; range: 33~91 years) who were initially diagnosed with cervical cancer at Taizhou Hospital were enrolled (Table 1). Among all patients, 165 (91.7%) had squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), 10 (5.6%) had adenocarcinoma (ADC), and 5 (2.8%) had adenosquamous carcinoma (ASC). According to the FIGO classification, there were 58 patients (32.2%) with FIGO stage I, 85 patients (47.2%) with FIGO stage II, 36 patients (20.0%) with FIGO stage III, and 1 patient (0.5%) with FIGO stage IV. A total of 113 patients underwent surgery for lymph node dissection, and 28 (24.8%) had lymph node metastasis. CD46 expression in the cervical microenvironment was detected in 83 cancer tissues and

TABLE 1 The clinicopathological characteristics of patients with cervical cancer.

Characteristics	No. (%)				
All patients					
	180(100)				
Age (years)					
Mean ± SD	55.7 ± 12.9				
Range	33 ~ 91				
Histological types					
Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)	165(91.7)				
Adenocarcinoma (ADC)	10(5.6)				
Adenosquamous carcinoma (ASC)	5(2.8)				
FIGO Stage					
Ι	58(32.2)				
II	85(47.2)				
III	36(20.0)				
IV	1(0.5)				
Nodal status					
Negative	85(47.2)				
Positive	28(15.6)				
Unknown	67(37.2)				
Follow-up					
alive	122(67.8)				
death	55(30.6)				
lost	3(1.7)				

46 paired adjacent noncancerous tissues. Plasma specimens from 116 patients and 120 unrelated healthy women with no personal or family history of cancer were used to measure soluble CD46 (sCD46) levels. The plasma specimens were separated and stored at -80°C until analysis.

2.2 Immunohistochemistry and staining evaluation

IHC was performed as previously described (16). Briefly, formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections (4 μ m) were dewaxed and rehydrated and then incubated overnight with a monoclonal antibody (clone D6N7H, diluted 1:800) against CD46 (Cat#13241, Cell Signalling) at 4°C. The signals were amplified using the Dako EnVision kit (Cat#GK500705, Dako) for visualization of the immunohistochemical reaction.

CD46 staining was independently evaluated by two pathologists who were unaware of the clinical information of these patients. If the percentage of cells with CD46 expression (indicated by staining), was less than 5%, expression was considered negative; positive CD46 staining was scored as follows: 1+ (6-25%), 2+ (26-50%), 3+ (51-75%), and 4+ (>75%).

2.3 sCD46 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The concentration of sCD46 (pg/mL) was measured using an ELISA kit (Cat# EH1452, Wuhan Fine Biotech, China), and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm. Then, according to the manufacturer's instruction, the final concentration (range: 7.812–500 pg/mL) was determined by optical density based on an eight-point calibration curve.

2.4 Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, we used SPSS 21.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA). P < 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered to indicate statistical significance. Correlations between CD46 expression and clinical parameters were assessed using a nonparametric test. The feasibility of using plasma sCD46 as a potential biomarker for distinguishing patients with cervical cancer was assessed using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. The areas under the ROC curves (AUCs) were calculated and subjected to statistical analysis. Kaplan–Meier plotter analysis and the log-rank test were performed for survival analysis. Cox regression analysis was used to evaluate the associations between survival and clinical parameters. Overall survival (OS) time was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or to the last follow-up (February 26, 2024).

3 Results

3.1 CD46 expression in cervical cancer tissues

Representative IHC images are shown in Figure 1, and positive staining was observed in both the cell membrane and cytoplasm. In the following text, the mCD46 positive staining mentioned usually involves membrane and cytoplasm. Overall, 86.7% (72/83) of the primary cervical cancer tissues were mCD46 positive. As depicted in Figure 1, heterogeneous staining of mCD46 was detected in cervical cancer tissues, and its expression in cervical lesions ranged from negative to 98%. In the tumor microenvironment (TME), mCD46 staining was more pronounced in cancer cells than in stromal cells. No mCD46 staining was detected in paired adjacent noncancerous tissues. The associations of mCD46 expression in cervical lesions with clinical parameters are summarized in Table 2. High mCD46 expression was significantly associated with lymph node metastasis (P < 0.001) and survival (P < 0.001) in patients.

3.2 Plasma sCD46 levels in patients

In cervical cancer patients, the peripheral sCD46 levels (mean: 158.4 pg/ml; range: 84.1~365.3 pg/ml) were significantly greater than those in healthy women (mean: 130.2 pg/ml; range: 59.7~215.7 pg/ml; P < 0.001) (Figure 2). However, the sCD46 level was not associated with FIGO stage, nodal metastasis status, or survival status (Table 3).

3.3 ROC analysis for sCD46 as a biomarker

To evaluate whether sCD46 could discriminate cervical cancer patients from healthy women, we performed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. As depicted in Figure 3, the ROC curve for sCD46 showed an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.6847 (95% CI: 0.6152–0.7541). The results showed that plasma levels of sCD46 were able to some extent discriminate between cancer patients and healthy women, suggesting that sCD46 could be used as a potential biomarker for the diagnosis of cervical cancer.

3.4 Survival analysis

The patients were followed for 19 years (mean: 98.2 months; range: 2~231 months) or until death. Among them, 55 patients (30.6%) died of the disease, and 3 (1.7%) were lost to follow-up. Kaplan–Meier analysis of clinical parameters for patient survival is shown in Figure 4. The results showed that the OS time of patients with high mCD46 expression was slightly shorter than that of patients with low mCD46 expression in the TME. However, we found the opposite results for sCD46 in peripheral plasma, which showed that the OS time of patients with high sCD46 levels was slightly longer than that of patients with low sCD46 levels.



FIGURE 1

Immunohistochemical analysis of CD46 expression in cervical cancer and paired adjacent noncancerous tissues. (A, B) negative CD46 staining in noncancerous cervical tissues; (C-H) cervical cancer tissues; Representative staining of negative (C, D) and positive expression (E–H) of CD46 in cervical cancer lesions. Original magnification: (A, C, E, G) (100×) and (B, D, F, H) (400×).

However, there were no significant difference (P>0.05). In addition, univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that mCD46 (P=0.034), FIGO stage (P=0.035), and lymph node metastasis (P=0.008) were found to be independent risk factors for OS.

Then, we analyzed the RNA-seq data from the TCGA using the GEPIA platform (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.htm) to support our findings. The data suggested that cervical cancer patients with high CD46 expression had significantly shorter OS than patients with low CD46 expression (P<0.05) (Supplementary Figure S1).

4 Discussion

The complement system is an evolutionarily ancient response system that is involved in innate immune responses against invading pathogens and damaged cells, including cancer cells. Many studies have demonstrated that the complement system also participates in adaptive immunity, thrombotic disorders, autoimmune disorders, and tumor development in addition to its role in innate immunity. Complement regulatory proteins (CRPs) (i.e., CD46, CD55, and

Characteristics	No. (%)	CD46 expression					Dualar	
Characteristics		Neg. (<5%)	1+ (6-25%)	2+(26-50%)	3+(51-75%)	4+(>75%)	P value	
Histological types	Histological types							
SCC	75	11	23	9	14	18	-0.001	
ADC + ASC	8	0	3	1	2	2	<0.001	
FIGO Stage								
Ι	39	7	14	4	6	8	0.650	
II	43	4	12	6	9	12	0.659	
Nodal status								
Negative	58	8	19	7	12	12	<0.001	
Positive	20	3	6	3	1	7		
Follow-up								
alive	58	9	20	8	9	12	<0.001	
death	22	2	6	2	5	7		

TABLE 2 The association between CD46 expression and clinical parameters in tumor microenvironment.

P value calculated from Chi-square (χ^2) test.

CD59) are expressed in each cell with different expression patterns and mainly play an inhibitory role in preventing overactivation of the complement system. However, these CRPs can also overregulate the complement system, preventing it from eliminating cancer cells (13).

In this study, we showed that CD46 was significantly upregulated in cervical cancer tissues, while the soluble CD46 level was significantly greater in cervical cancer tissues than in healthy tissues. Consistent with our findings, higher expression of CD46 has been observed in ovarian cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, bladder cancer, and colon cancer tissue *vs.* adjacent normal



tissues (13, 17-21), and the levels of sCD46 have also been reported to be increased (22). In addition, we suggest that CD46 expression could serve as an independent risk factor for overall survival in cervical cancer patients, while sCD46 could be used as a potential biomarker for the diagnosis of cervical cancer. Similar findings have been reported in other studies of gynecological tumors, in which CD46 expression was related to poor prognosis in ovarian and breast cancer patients and served as an independent risk factor for survival (17, 18). Nguyen et al. (19) reported that CD46 plays a key role in promoting the migration of colon cancer cells in TME and can be used for molecular staging and diagnosis. Interestingly, Khan et al. (23) reported that CD46 was more highly expressed in HPVpositive (SiHa and HeLa) cervical cancer cell lines than in HPVnegative (C33A) cervical cancer cell lines and may serve as an early diagnostic marker for HPV-driven cervical carcinogenesis. In addition, CD46 expression may be a survival pathway for cervical cancer cells to escape from tumor-specific CDC. Therefore, our research findings strongly support the association between CD46 expression and cervical cancer development.

Notably, the functional activity of CD46 is not restricted to the cell membrane. A portion of functionally active soluble CD46 is constitutively released from the cell membrane surface into body fluids by endogenous matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (24, 25). sCD46 has been detected in body fluids such as plasma, tears, and most notably in seminal fluid (26). The expression of CD46 is stringently regulated by selective splicing, tissue-specific and malignancy-related factors. Several forms of sCD46 have been detected *in vivo*, with molecular masses of 29, 47, and 56 kDa, respectively. Another full-length CD46 (60–65 kDa) was found on vesicles in an intact form, which can be solubilized into the culture medium by metalloproteinases (24). It was found that the 29 kDa sCD46 probably released from the membrane by proteolytic cleavage of mCD46, and the 47 kDa and 56 kDa sCD46 which

TABLE 3	The association	between	the	peripheral	sCD46	levels	and
clinical parameters.							

Characteristics	Median of molecules values (25-75th)	P value		
Histological types				
SCC	146.9(125.8-176.0)	0.077		
ADC + ASC	188.1(150.5-232.2)			
FIGO Stage				
I + II	149.5(128.5-175.3)	0.002		
III + IV	149.1(127.4-187.2)	0.903		
Nodal status				
Negative	161.0(132.2-188.8)	0.287		
Positive	142.9(121.8-167.0)			
Follow-up				
alive	158.1(127.6-185.8)	0.316		
death	143.7(125.1-178.2)			

P value calculated from Mann-Whitney U Test.

can be produced by intron retention of mRNA (27). A previous study shows that the 47 kDa and 56 kDa sCD46 are particularly increased in cancer patients sera (22). In this study, we found that total sCD46 plasma levels were able to discriminate between cervical cancer patients and healthy women but were not associated with FIGO stage or with lymph node metastasis. In addition, Pearson correlation coefficient analysis revealed no correlations between sCD46 and cytokines (IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IFN- γ , etc.) (Supplementary Figure S2). As is well known, malignant transformation of cells is accompanied by changes in



the surrounding stroma. The shedding of surface molecules on cancer cell membranes may promote endothelial cell migration, invasion, tumor angiogenesis, and immune escape (28). We speculate that in the early stages of cervical cancer, the secretion of sCD46 may mainly derived from the shedding of mCD46, which plays a role in promoting the inactivation of C3b and C4b in TME. However, the role of sCD46 forms in the development of cervical cancer, and its mechanism of action remains unknown.

Currently, targeting CD46 as a therapeutic strategy in cancers has attracted increasing attention to overcome the poor therapeutic efficacy of conventional cancer treatments, including the inhibition of CD46 expression (11, 29-31), neutralizing (blocking) mAbs (30-32), anti-CD46 antibody-drug conjugates (CD46-ADCs) (21, 33-36), and oncolytic virotherapy (37-42). It has been demonstrated that targeted downregulation of CD46 expression by siRNA in vitro increases the sensitivity of cancer cells to CDC (11). In a murine model of metastatic bladder cancer, targeted downregulation of Crry (the murine counterpart of CD46) induced a protective antitumor CD8+ T-cell response (29). Furthermore, after therapeutic monoclonal antibody (mAb) treatment, downregulation of CD46 expression in cancer cells could enhance the CDC effect and improve therapeutic outcomes (30, 31). Notably, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) is the major mechanism of therapeutic antibody stimulation. Do et al. (32) reported that treatment of bladder cancer cells with cetuximab inhibited CD46 expression and subsequently promoted both CDC and ADCC, which might be a beneficial mechanism of mAb immunotherapy for cancer treatment.

CD46-ADCs are novel compounds consisting of cytotoxic agents linked to the CD46 antibody that are able to specifically recognize CD46 expressed on the surface of cancer cells (33). Sherbenou et al. (34) suggested that CD46-ADC has the potential to be an effective treatment for multiple myeloma (MM), especially in patients with a gain of chromosome 1q. The curative potential of CD46-ADC has been confirmed in a patient-derived xenograft (PDX) model (35). In addition, exploratory toxicology studies of CD46-ADC in nonhuman primates have demonstrated an acceptable safety profile (21). A phase I clinical trial of CD46-ADC (FOR46, Fortis Therapeutics) has been completed in patients with relapsed or refractory MM (NCT03650491), showing singleagent activity with a partial response or better in approximately one-third of patients. This agent has also been used for the clinical treatment of metastatic prostate cancer by targeting CD46 (NCT03575819) (21, 33). In combination with these findings and our previous studies in cervical cancer, the design of the present study suggest that CD46 may be an excellent target for antibodybased therapy development in cancers.

Additionally, oncolytic virotherapy is a promising immunotherapy against cancer that can target and kill cancer cells and even stimulate immunotherapeutic effects in patients. Oncolytic MeV- and Ad5-based vectors (targeting CD46) have been exploited as popular vectors for cancer therapeutic applications, including vaccines (37, 41). In a series of studies, it was shown that targeting CD46 chimeric Ad5/35 vectors could increase antitumor activity, decrease liver toxicity, and improve the safety profile of treatment for cervical (38), colorectal (39, 42) and bladder cancers (40), especially low-risk bladder cancer. It is worth



noting that despite the increasing number of cancer therapeutic approaches using these chimeric vectors, CD46 expression in various cancer types needs to be assessed in individual patients to predict the immune response and patient outcomes.

In conclusion, we showed that CD46 is generally overexpressed in cervical cancer tissues and that high CD46 expression, as determined by IHC, predicts a poor prognosis in patients with cervical cancer. These findings suggest that CD46 plays a unique role in tumorigenesis and could serve as a promising target for precision therapy for cervical cancer. The limitation of this study is that it did not further explore the mechanism of CD46 in cervical carcinogenesis, as well as the potential biological functions of soluble CD46. Therefore, further detailed research in our future studies are needed to clarify the mechanism of action of CD46, and its application in cancer treatment.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Medical Ethics Review Committee of Taizhou Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from primarily isolated as part of your previous study for which ethical approval was obtained. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

JY: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. HY: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. ZY: Investigation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. HX: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81901625), and by Science and Technology Bureau of Taizhou (No.23ywa01).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Cohen PA, Jhingran A, Oaknin A, Denny L. Cervical cancer. Lancet (London England). (2019) 393:169-82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32470-X

2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

3. Gennigens C, Jerusalem G, Lapaille L, De Cuypere M, Streel S, Kridelka F, et al. Recurrent or primary metastatic cervical cancer: current and future treatments. *ESMO Open*. (2022) 7:100579. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100579

4. Verhoeven Y, Quatannens D, Trinh XB, Wouters A, Smits ELJ, Lardon F, et al. Targeting the PD-1 axis with pembrolizumab for recurrent or metastatic cancer of the uterine cervix: A brief update. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:1807. doi: 10.3390/ijms22041807

5. Chung HC, Ros W, Delord JP, Perets R, Italiano A, Shapira-Frommer R, et al. Efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab in previously treated advanced cervical cancer: results from the phase II KEYNOTE-158 study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:1470–8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.01265

6. Gennigens C, Jerusalem G, Lapaille L, De Cuypere M, Streel S, Kridelka F, et al. CD46 targeted ²¹²Pb alpha particle radioimmunotherapy for prostate cancer treatment. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2023) 42:61. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100579

 Shao F, Gao Y, Wang W, He H, Xiao L, Geng X, et al. Silencing EGFR-upregulated expression of CD55 and CD59 activates the complement system and sensitizes lung cancer to checkpoint blockade. *Nat Cancer.* (2022) 3:1192–210. doi: 10.1038/s43018– 022-00444–4

8. Geller A, Yan J. The role of membrane bound complement regulatory proteins in tumor development and cancer immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1074. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01074

9. Ni Choileain S, Hay J, Thomas J, Williams A, Vermeren MM, Benezech C, et al. TCR-stimulated changes in cell surface CD46 expression generate type 1 regulatory T cells. *Sci Signal.* (2017) 10:eaah6163. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aah6163

10. Kemper C, Verbsky JW, Price JD, Atkinson JP. T-cell stimulation and regulation: with complements from CD46. *Immunol Res.* (2005) 32:31–43. doi: 10.1385/IR:32:1-3:031

11. Geis N, Zell S, Rutz R, Li W, Giese T, Mamidi S, et al. Inhibition of membrane complement inhibitor expression (CD46, CD55, CD59) by siRNA sensitizes tumor cells to complement attack. *vitro Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. (2010) 10:922–31. doi: 10.2174/156800910793357952

12. Zhang S, Shan C, Cui W, You X, Du Y, Kong G, et al. Hepatitis B virus X protein protects hepatoma and hepatic cells from complement-dependent cytotoxicity by up-regulation of CD46. *FEBS Lett.* (2013) 587:645–51. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2013.01.019

13. Elvington M, Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP. CD46 and oncologic interactions: friendly fire against cancer. Antibod (Basel). (2020) 9:59. doi: 10.3390/antib9040059

14. Xu HH, Wang HL, Xing TJ, Wang XQ. A novel prognostic risk model for cervical cancer based on immune checkpoint HLA-G-driven differentially expressed genes. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:851622. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.851622

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024. 1421778/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The prognostic values of CD46 expression on survival in cervical cancer patients (Kaplan-Meier Plotter). (A) overall survival; (B) disease-free survival; Survival curves for high (red) and low (blue) expression groups dichotomized at the optimal cutpoint are plotted. The X-axis represents time and the Y-axis represents survival rate.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Pearson correlation between sCD46 and cytokines in cervical cancer patients (n=116).

15. Chen H, Deng Q, Wang W, Tao H, Gao Y. Identification of an autophagy-related gene signature for survival prediction in patients with cervical cancer. *J Ovarian Res.* (2020) 13:131. doi: 10.1186/s13048-020-00730-8

16. Li XJ, Zhang X, Lin A, Ruan YY, Yan WH. Human leukocyte antigen-G (HLA-G) expression in cervical cancer lesions is associated with disease progression. *Hum Immunol.* (2012) 73:946–9. doi: 10.1016/j.humimm.2012.07.041

17. Surowiak P, Materna V, Maciejczyk A, Kaplenko I, Spaczynski M, Dietel M, et al. CD46 expression is indicative of shorter revival-free survival for ovarian cancer patients. *Anticancer Res.* (2006) 26:4943–8.

18. Maciejczyk A, Szelachowska J, Szynglarewicz B, Szulc R, Szulc A, Wysocka T, et al. CD46 Expression is an unfavorable prognostic factor in breast cancer cases. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol.* (2011) 19:540–6. doi: 10.1097/PAI.0b013e31821a0be9

19. Nguyen TT, Thanh HD, Do MH, Jung C. Complement regulatory protein CD46 manifests a unique role in promoting the migration of bladder cancer cells. *Chonnam Med J.* (2023) 59:160–6. doi: 10.4068/cmj.2023.59.3.160

20. Shang Y, Chai N, Gu Y, Ding L, Yang Y, Zhou J, et al. Systematic immunohistochemical analysis of the expression of CD46, CD55, and CD59 in colon cancer. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* (2014) 138:910–9. doi: 10.5858/arpa.2013-0064-OA

21. Su Y, Liu Y, Behrens CR, Bidlingmaier S, Lee NK, Aggarwal R, et al. Targeting CD46 for both adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine prostate cancer. *JCI Insight*. (2018) 3:e121497. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.121497

22. Seya T, Hara T, Iwata K, Kuriyama S, Hasegawa T, Nagase Y, et al. Purification and functional properties of soluble forms of membrane cofactor protein (CD46) of complement: identification of forms increased in cancer patients' sera. *Int Immunol.* (1995) 7:727–36. doi: 10.1093/intimm/7.5.727

23. Khan A, Hussain S, Iyer JK, Kaul A, Bonnewitz M, Kaul R. Human papillomavirusmediated expression of complement regulatory proteins in human cervical cancer cells. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2023) 288:222–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2023.07.014

24. Hakulinen J, Junnikkala S, Sorsa T, Meri S. Complement inhibitor membrane cofactor protein (MCP; CD46) is constitutively shed from cancer cell membranes in vesicles and converted by a metalloproteinase to a functionally active soluble form. *Eur J Immunol.* (2004) 34:2620–9. doi: 10.1002/eji.200424969

25. Ellinghaus U, Cortini A, Pinder CL, Le Friec G, Kemper C, Vyse TJ. Dysregulated CD46 shedding interferes with Th1-contraction in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Eur J Immunol.* (2017) 47:1200–10. doi: 10.1002/eji.201646822

26. Qiao P, Dang E, Cao T, Fang H, Zhang J, Qiao H, et al. Dysregulation of mCD46 and sCD46 contribute to the pathogenesis of bullous pemphigoid. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:145. doi: 10.1038/s41598–017-00235–3

27. Ni Choileain S, Astier AL. CD46 processing: a means of expression. Immunobiology. (2012) 217:169-75. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2011.06.003

28. Buchanan PC, Boylan KLM, Walcheck B, Heinze R, Geller MA, Argenta PA, et al. Ectodomain shedding of the cell adhesion molecule Nectin-4 in ovarian cancer is mediated by ADAM10 and ADAM17. J Biol Chem. (2017) 292:6339–51. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.746859 29. Varela JC, Imai M, Atkinson C, Ohta R, Rapisardo M, Tomlinson S. Modulation of protective T cell immunity by complement inhibitor expression on tumor cells. *Cancer Res.* (2008) 68:6734–42. doi: 10.1158/0008–5472.CAN-08–0502

30. Wang H, Koob T, Fromm JR, Gopal A, Carter D, Lieber A. CD46 and CD59 inhibitors enhance complement-dependent cytotoxicity of anti-CD38 monoclonal antibodies daratumumab and isatuximab in multiple myeloma and other B-cell Malignancy cells. *Cancer Biol Ther.* (2024) 25:2314322. doi: 10.1080/15384047.2024.2314322

31. Gelderman KA, Blok VT, Fleuren GJ, Gorter A. The inhibitory effect of CD46, CD55, and CD59 on complement activation after immunotherapeutic treatment of cervical carcinoma cells with monoclonal antibodies or bispecific monoclonal antibodies. *Lab Invest.* (2002) 82:483–93. doi: 10.1038/labinvest.3780441

32. Do MH, Thanh HD, To PK, Kim MS, Moon C, Jung C. CD46 protects the bladder cancer cells from cetuximab-mediated cytotoxicity. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:22420. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-27107-9

33. Rosellini M, Santoni M, Mollica V, Rizzo A, Cimadamore A, Scarpelli M, et al. Treating prostate cancer by antibody-drug conjugates. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:1551. doi: 10.3390/ijms22041551

34. Sherbenou DW, Aftab BT, Su Y, Behrens CR, Wiita A, Logan AC, et al. Antibody-drug conjugate targeting CD46 eliminates multiple myeloma cells. *J Clin Investig.* (2016) 126:4640–53. doi: 10.1172/JCI85856

35. VanWyngarden MJ, Walker ZJ, Su Y, Perez de Acha O, Stevens BM, Forsberg PA, et al. CD46-ADC reduces the engraftment of multiple myeloma patient-derived xenografts. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2023) 15:5335. doi: 10.3390/cancers15225335

36. Khan A, Das BC, Abiha U, Sisodiya S, Chikara A, Nazir SU, et al. Insights into the role of complement regulatory proteins in HPV mediated cervical carcinogenesis. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2022) 86:583–9. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.05.031

37. Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP. Membrane cofactor protein (MCP; CD46): deficiency states and pathogen connections. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2021) 72:126–34. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2021.04.005

38. Ni S, Gaggar A, Di Paolo N, Li ZY, Liu Y, Strauss R, et al. Evaluation of adenovirus vectors containing serotype 35 fibers for tumor targeting. *Cancer Gene Ther.* (2006) 13:1072–81. doi: 10.1038/sj.cgt.7700981

39. Cho YS, Do MH, Kwon SY, Moon C, Kim K, Lee K, et al. Efficacy of CD46targeting chimeric Ad5/35 adenoviral gene therapy for colorectal cancers. *Oncotarget*. (2016) 7:38210–23. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.9427

40. Do MH, To PK, Cho YS, Kwon SY, Hwang EC, Choi C, et al. Targeting CD46 enhances anti-tumoral activity of adenovirus type 5 for bladder cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:2694. doi: 10.3390/ijms19092694

41. Muñoz-Alía MÁ, Nace RA, Tischer A, Zhang L, Bah ES, Auton M, et al. MeV-Stealth: A CD46-specific oncolytic measles virus resistant to neutralization by measles-immune human serum. *PLoS Pathog.* (2021) 17:e1009283. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009283

42. Meng Q, Xu J, Wang J, Zhang X, Yang H, Sun H, et al. Investigation of the enhanced antitumor potency of CD46-specific chimeric antigen receptor-T cells in human colorectal cancer liver metastases after combination with nanotherapeutics. *Nano Today.* (2023) 52:101985. doi: 10.1016/j.nantod.2023.101985