Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sukanta Das, University of Illinois Chicago, United States

REVIEWED BY Sudrishti Chaudhary, University of Pittsburgh, United States Aijun Liu, Capital Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Haiyan Lang 20230935056@bucm.edu.cn Shana Chen Chenshana@126.com

RECEIVED 08 July 2024 ACCEPTED 11 September 2024 PUBLISHED 08 October 2024

CITATION

Ren R, Huang X, Wei D, Guo Q, Wang C, Li M, Yang L, Lang H and Chen S (2024) Microbeimmune interactions: new perspectives on coagulation deficiencies, purpura, and other hemorrhagic conditions under the regulation of the gut microbiota. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1461221. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1461221

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ren, Huang, Wei, Guo, Wang, Li, Yang, Lang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Microbe-immune interactions: new perspectives on coagulation deficiencies, purpura, and other hemorrhagic conditions under the regulation of the gut microbiota

Ruhua Ren^{1,2}, Xiaohua Huang^{1,2}, Diu Wei^{1,2}, Qing Guo³, Chong Wang^{1,2}, Mengjie Li^{1,2}, Lu Yang^{1,2}, Haiyan Lang^{1,2}* and Shana Chen³*

¹The First Clinical Medical College, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ²Department of Hematology, Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ³Department of Hematology, International Mongolian Hospital of Inner Mongolia, Hohhot, China

Background: The relationship between gut microbiota and coagulation defects, purpura, and other hemorrhagic conditions (CPH) is currently unclear, with causal links yet to be firmly established.

Objective: The causal relationships between gut microbiota and CPH, along with the potential mediating role of immune cells, were studied using Mendelian randomization analysis.

Methods: Data on 412 gut microbiota species, 731 immune cell types, and CPH were methodologically compiled from genome-wide association studies and the FinnGen database. A 2-sample Mendelian randomization approach in 2 stages was used and the causal links between gut microbiota and CPH were statistically analyzed, assessing the potential mediation by immune cells. Sensitivity and reliability were ensured through heterogeneity and pleiotropy tests.

Results: The abundance of *Alistipes putredinis* (odds ratio [OR]=0.77, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.64–0.93, P=0.006) was negatively correlated with CPH, whereas the abundance of *Bacteroides stercoris* (OR=1.25, 95%CI 1.09–1.45, P=0.002) was positively correlated with the risk of CPH. There was no evidence of reverse causality or the potential mediating effects of 731 immune cell types. The abundance of Proteobacteria (OR=0.81, 95%CI 0.71–0.92, P=0.001) and *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 (OR=0.87, 95%CI 0.80–0.96, P=0.005) was negatively associated with the risk of CPH, whereas the abundance of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae (OR=1.36, 95%CI 1.12–1.64, P=0.002) was positively correlated with the risk of CPH, with no evidence of reverse causality. Furthermore, CD38 levels on CD3-CD19 cells can serve as a mediating factor for the influence of Proteobacteria on the pathogenesis of CPH, with a mediating effect ratio of 7.26%.

Conclusions: An increase in Proteobacteria abundance leads to a decrease in CD38 expression on CD3-CD19- cells, thereby reducing the risk of developing CPH. CD3 expression on naive CD4+ in mature T cells serves as a mediating factor for the influence of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae on the pathogenesis of CPH, whereas IgD CD38br AC expression on B cells serves as a mediating factor for the influence of *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 on the pathogenesis of CPH. The mediating effect is opposite to the overall trend and has a relatively small impact. No significant heterogeneity or pleiotropy was observed.

KEYWORDS

gut microbiota, immune cells, coagulation defects, Purpura and other hemorrhagic conditions, Mendelian randomization, mediation

1 Introduction

Coagulation defects, purpura, and other hemorrhagic conditions (CPH) is a category derived from the World Health Organization's revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Edition (1). It includes disseminated intravascular coagulation, hereditary factor VIII deficiency, hereditary factor IX deficiency, and CPH. CPH is a bleeding disorder caused by immune deficiencies or abnormalities that often manifests as skin and mucosal hemorrhages. Severe cases can potentially lead to severe anemia, heart and kidney failure, sepsis, and shock (1, 2).

The gut microbiota plays a crucial role in maintaining immune system homeostasis (3). Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota can influence the occurrence of CPH by affecting immune homeostasis and tolerance (4). Immune cells act as intermediary factors between the gut microbiota and CPH; however, the specific mechanisms and effects are currently unclear. The associations are easily influenced by confounding factors such as age, environment, dietary habits, and lifestyle, which can limit causal inferences and the analysis of immune cells as mediators.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a methodological approach based on genetic variation to determine causal inference. It uses the influence of genotype on phenotype to infer the impact of exposure factors on outcomes, circumventing potential confounding factors (5). MR has been extensively used to determine causal relationships between the gut microbiota and conditions such as liver disease, metabolic disorders, autoimmune diseases, and tumors (6, 7).

In this study, 412 types of gut microbiota were selected as exposure factors, 731 immune cells as potential mediators, and CPH as the outcome. A 2-step, 2-sample MR method was used to investigate the causal relationship between gut microbiota abundance and CPH incidence and the potential mediating effects of immune cells.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Study design

A 2-step, 2-sample Mendelian randomization approach was employed, utilizing gut microbiota as the exposure, immune cells as the mediator, and chronic purpura hemorrhagic as the outcome. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) significantly associated with gut microbiota and immune cell levels were selected as instrumental variables to analyze the causal relationship between the abundance of gut microbiota and the incidence of CPH as well as the potential mediating effect of immune cells (Figure 1 Flowchart).

This mediation analysis required the following 3 conditions to be fulfilled: 1) a causal relationship between the exposure and the mediator; 2) a causal relationship between the mediator and the outcome; and 3) a positive causal relationship between the exposure and the outcome without reverse causality.

The MR analysis was based on the following 3 assumptions (8): 1) the association assumption, where the instrumental variable is strongly related to the predicted exposure; 2) the independence assumption, where the instrumental variable is independent of any confounders related to the exposure, mediator, and outcome; and 3) the exclusivity assumption, where the instrumental variable can only influence the outcome through the exposure or mediator.

2.2 Time and location

This study was conducted in the Department of Hematology at Dongzhimen Hospital of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine between March and April 2024.

2.3 Data

All gut microbiota and immune cell data were obtained from the summary statistics of genome-wide association studies (GWAS)



MR flowchart: Causal relationships between gut microbiomes and CPH, and the mediating role of immune cells

Flowchart of MR analysis for the causal relationship between gut microbiota and CPH, and the mediating role of immune cells. GWAS is genome wide association study; CPH is Coagulation defect, purpura, and other harmonic condition; MR is Mendelian randomization; IVW is Inverse Variance Weighted; SNPs are single nucleotide polymers.

databases (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/). Gut microbiota data, numbered "GCST90027446-GCST90027857," included 412 types of gut microbiota (9), whereas immune cell data, numbered "GCST90001391-GCST90002121," included 731 types of immune cells. CPH data were downloaded from the Finnish database R10 version (https://www. finngen.fi/en/access_results), numbered "D3_COAGDEF_PURPUR_ HAEMORRHAGIC," and included subcategories such as disseminated intravascular coagulation, hereditary factor VIII deficiency, hereditary factor IX deficiency, other coagulation defects, purpura, and other harmonic conditions. The sample size was 412,181, with 6,419 assigned to the disease group and 405,762 to the control group. Detailed data sources are provided in Table 1.

2.4 Experimental methods

2.4.1 Selection of instrumental variables

Initially, SNPs closely associated with gut microbiota and immune cells ($P < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$) were selected as instrumental variables. Subsequently, linkage disequilibrium analysis was conducted based on European genomic sample data with parameters set at $r^2 < 0.001$ and

kb=10,000 to ensure the independence of the selected SNP loci and reduce the impact of pleiotropy. Lastly, the strength of the instrumental variables was assessed by calculating the *F*-statistic, where *F*<10 indicated the presence of weak instrumental variables and *F*>10 indicated the absence of weak instrumental variable bias. Variables with *F*<10 were excluded (10).

The formula for the *F*-statistic is " $F = \frac{(N-k-1) \times R^2}{k \times (1-R^2)}$ ", where *N* is the sample size of the exposure GWAS data, *K* is the number of SNPs, and R^2 is the variance in exposure explained individually by each instrumental variable. The formula for R^2 is " $R^2 = 2 \times (1 - MAF) \times MAF \times \frac{\beta^2}{se^2}$ ", where β is the effect size of the allele, *EAF* is the minor allele frequency, and *se* is the standard deviation (11).

2.4.2 Mendelian randomization analysis

MR analysis was used in this study to investigate the causal relationship between the gut microbiome and CPH. MR uses genetic variations to infer causality, leveraging the influence of genotypes on phenotypes to deduce the impact of exposure factors on outcomes, thereby circumventing common confounding factors.

TABLE 1 Data sources.

Туре	Title	Population	Database	Sample Size	Download Link
Exposure	412 Gut Microbiota IDs		GWAS	7738	http://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gwas/ summary_statistics/
Mediator	713 Immune Cell IDs	European	GWAS	3757	
Outcome	СРН		FinnGen	412181	https://storage.googleapis.com/finngen-public-data-r10/ summary_stats/finngen_R10_D3_COAGDEF_PURPUR_ HAEMORRHAGIC.gz

The results were evaluated based on the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), using heterogeneity tests and horizontal gene pleiotropy tests to determine the stability and reliability of the results (12).

The following methods were selected to ensure the rigor of our analysis (13):

1) Inverse Variance Weighted (IVW) method: This is the most commonly used basic technique for MR analysis. It combines multiple instrumental variables (genetic variants associated with exposure) and estimates the overall effect through inverse variance weighting. The advantage of the IVW method is its ability to enhance the precision of estimates that are particularly evident when multiple independent instrumental variables are available. However, it assumes that all instrumental variables meet the following 3 fundamental assumptions of MR: association, independence, and exclusivity. IVW may yield biased estimates when horizontal pleiotropy exists (where instrumental variables influence outcomes through other pathways).

2) MR Egger Regression: This method is primarily used to detect and correct horizontal pleiotropy, not relying on the assumption that all instrumental variables satisfy the exclusivity condition. By analyzing the propensity of instrumental variables and outcomes using linear regression, MR Egger regression can assess and adjust for bias resulting from horizontal pleiotropy. Egger Regression provides a robust alternative when horizontal pleiotropy is present.

3) Weighted Median Method: This is a more robust method of estimation than IVW that can provide consistent estimates even if half of the instrumental variables are ineffective. The weighted median method combines the effect sizes of instrumental variables and estimates the overall effect by calculating the weighted median, demonstrating better stability in the face of potential horizontal pleiotropy.

4) Weighted Mode Method: Similar to the weighted median method but with a different weighting scheme, the weighted mode method offers additional robustness checks, typically when both IVW and weighted median methods show consistency.

5) Bayesian Weighted MR: This is a Bayesian statistical weighted method that allows the inclusion of prior information during the estimation process to better adjust for model uncertainty. Bayesian weighted MR provides more precise CIs and performs well with small sample sizes (14).

These methods were selected because they offer complementary advantages under different circumstances. The IVW method provides the most efficient estimates in the absence of horizontal pleiotropy, whereas the MR Egger regression and the weighted Median method are excellent in handling horizontal pleiotropy and ensure estimation robustness, respectively. By integrating these methods, we could achieve a comprehensive and robust evaluation of the results, enhancing the reliability and validity of the study. Bayesian weighted MR, as a supplementary analysis, further improves the robustness of the results, especially when the small sample size is small. Therefore, the choice of these methods ensures that our research outcomes are not only highly statistically powerful but also resistant to common analytical pitfalls, such as horizontal pleiotropy and potential reverse causality.

2.5 Main observational indicators

MR methods were used in this study to analyze the following 3 aspects: 1) the causal relationship between the abundance of gut microbiota and the incidence of CPH; 2) whether immune cell levels can serve as a mediating factor between the abundance of gut microbiota and the incidence of CPH; and 3) to what extent immune cells mediate the influence of the abundance of gut microbiota on the incidence of CPH.

2.6 Statistical analysis

R software (version 4.1.3), primarily utilizing packages such as "TwoSampleMR", "ggplot2", and "forestploter" was used for statistical analysis and plotting. The process included the following 4 steps: 1) Conducting a 2-step, 2-sample MR bidirectional batch analysis between 412 types of gut microbiota and CPH to screen for gut microbiota with statistically significant results in the forward analysis (P<0.05) and for no statistical significance in the reverse analysis (P>0.05), while calculating the total effect beta value; 2) Conducting a 2-step, 2-sample MR analysis between the screened gut microbiota and 731 types of immune cells to identify immune cells associated with the gut microbiota, and obtaining the effect value beta1; 3) Conducting batch MR analysis between the identified immune cells associated with the gut microbiota and CPH, screening for immune cells related to CPH, determining the mediating factor, and obtaining the effect value beta2; and 4) Calculating the mediating effect and direct effect: mediating effect beta = beta1 × beta2; direct effect beta = total effect beta-mediating effect beta (Figure 2).



3 Results

3.1 Causal relationship and sensitivity analysis between gut microbiota and CPH

The causal relationships between 412 types of gut microbiota and CPH were examined using the 2-step, 2-sample MR analysis. Nineteen types of gut microbiota associated with the disease (P<0.05, see Appendix 1 for details) were identified and further narrowed down to 6 significant types (P<0.01; GWAS IDs and corresponding scientific names listed in Table 2). Heterogeneity and pleiotropy tests showed no significant heterogeneity among the instrumental variables (P>0.05, Figure 3). Reverse MR analysis indicated no causal effect of CPH on these 6 types of gut microbiota (Figure 4). The MR effect forest plots, SNP scatter plots, and leave-one-out analysis plots are provided in Appendix 2.

3.1.1 Abundance of Proteobacteria, *Coprococcus* sp.ART55/1 and *Alistipes putredinis* is negatively associated with CPH risk

The results indicate that the abundance of Proteobacteria (OR=0.81, 95%CI 0.71–0.92, P=0.001), *Coprococcus* sp.ART55/1 (OR=0.87, 95%CI 0.80–0.96, P=0.005), and *Alistipes putredinis* (OR=0.77, 95%CI 0.64–0.93, P=0.006) is negatively associated with the risk of CPH.

3.1.2 Abundance of Enterobacteriaceae/ Enterobacteriales and *Bacteroides stercoris* is positively associated with CPH risk

The results show that the abundance of Enterobacteriaceae/ Enterobacteriales (OR=1.36, 95%CI 1.12–1.64, P=0.002) and *Bacteroides stercoris* (OR=1.25, 95%CI 1.09–1.45, P=0.002) is positively associated with the risk of CPH.

3.2 Bayesian validation confirms the causal relationship between specific gut microbiota and CPH

Bayesian weighting was used to validate the results from Figure 3 (see Figure 5). Statistical analysis revealed significant *P*-values for Proteobacteria (GCST90027751, *P*=0.003), Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae (GCST90027684/ GCST90027745, *P*=0.004), *Bacteroides stercoris* (GCST90027835, *P*=0.020), *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 (GCST90027845, *P*=0.011), and *Alistipes putredinis* (GCST90027778, *P*=0.004). All *P*-values were <0.05, confirming the causal relationship between these 6 types of gut microbiota and CPH and supporting the reliability of the results in Figure 1.

3.3 Causal relationship between gut microbiota and immune cells

Subsequent MR analysis identified immune cells causally related to the 6 types of gut microbiota (Appendix 3), including 26 immune cells related to Proteobacteria (ID GCST90027751, P<0.05); 63 immune cells related to Enterobacteriales/ Enterobacteriaceae (IDs GCST90027684 and GCST90027745, P<0.05); 25 immune cells related to *B. stercoris* (ID GCST90027835, P<0.05); 27 immune cells related to *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 (ID GCST90027845, P<0.05); and 25 immune cells related to *A. putredinis* (ID GCST90027778, P<0.05). The MR effect forest plots, SNP scatter plots, and leave-one-out analysis plots are provided in Appendix 2.

TABLE 2 GWAS ID information and scientific names of 6 significant gut microbiota (P<0.01).

Gut Microbiota ID	corresponding scientific name
GCST90027751	k_Bacteria.p_Proteobacteria
GCST90027684	$k_Bacteria.p_Proteobacteria.c_Gamma proteobacteria.o_Enterobacteriales.f_Enterobacteriaceae$
GCST90027745	$eq:k_bacteria.p_Proteobacteria.c_Gamma proteobacteria.o_Enterobacteriales$
GCST90027835	$Bacteroidaes. g_Bacteroidaes. c_Bacteroida. o_Bacteroidales. f_Bacteroidaeeae. g_Bacteroides. s_Bacteroides_stercorises and s_Bacteroidaes and s$
GCST90027845	$k_Bacteria.p_Firmicutes.c_Clostridia.o_Clostridiales.f_Lachnospiraceae.g_Coprococcus.s_Coprococcus_sp_ART55_1$
GCST90027778	$k_Bacteria.p_Bacteroidetes.c_Bacteroidia.o_Bacteroidales.f_Rikenellaceae.g_Alistipes.s_Alistipes_put redinistication and the statement of th$

Proteobacteria CPH MR Egger GCST90027751 Weighted me IVW Enterobacteriaceae CPH MR Egger GCST90027684 Weighted mo IVW GCST90027684 Weighted mo Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Eacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW GCST90027845 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Simple mode Weighted mo IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Allstipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	1 1 de 1 5	0 0.03 0 0.00 0 0.28	++- ++	0.801 (0.481 to 1.334) 0.820 (0.681 to 0.988) 0.806 (0.705 to 0.920)	0.31	0.98	-0.222	
IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Enterobacteriaceae CPH MR Egger GCST90027684 Weighted me IVW Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST9002785 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger ART55/1 CPH MR Egger Meighted mo Weighted mo Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger ART55/1 CPH MR Egger Meighted mo Weighted mo Weighted mo Weighted mo	1 1 de 1 5 dian 5	0 0.00 0 0.28	H	,			=0.222	0.260
Simple mode Weighted mo Enterobacteriaceae CPH MR Egger GCST90027684 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Enterobacteriales CPH KEgger GCST90027745 CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me VWeighted me VWeighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 VWeighted me VWeighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger MV Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger MV Simple mode VWeighted me VWeighted me VWeighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger MV Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger MV Simple mode VWeighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger MV Simple mode VWeighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger MV Simple mode VWeighted me VW Simple mode VWeighted me VWeighted me VW Simple mode VWeighted me VWeighted me VW Simple mode VWeig	de 1 5 dian 5	0 0.28		0.806 (0.705 to 0.920)			-0.198	0.095
Weighted mo Enterobacteriaceae CPH MR Egger GCST90027684 Weighted mo IVW Simple mode CST90027785 Weighted mo Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Weighted mo GCST90027745 Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted mo Weighted mo GCST90027835 Weighted mo Weighted mo GCST90027845 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted mo Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger	de 1 5 dian 5				0.402		-0.216	0.068
Enterobacteriaceae CPH MR Egger GCST90027684 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW	5 dian 5	0 0.16		0.852 (0.646 to 1.122)			-0.161	0.141
GCST90027684 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted me UVW GCT90027745 Veighted me UVW Simple mode Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST9002785 Veighted me UVW GCST9002785 Veighted me UVW Simple mode UVighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger UVW Simple mode UVighted me UVW Simple mode UVighted me UVW Simple mode UVighted me UVW Simple mode UVV Simple mode U	dian 5		l +• -I	0.834 (0.661 to 1.053)			-0.181	0.119
IVW IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Veighted me Weighted me GCST9002785 CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Veighted me Veighted me Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Veighted me Veighted me NVW Simple mode Veighted me Veighted me NVW Simple mode NVW Simple mode NVW Simple mode Veighted me NVW Simple mode		5 0.17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.561 (0.952 to 2.558)	0.986	0.585	0.445	0.252
Simple mode Weighted mo GCST90027745 CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me MR Egger GCST90027845 CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 CPH MR Egger	5	5 0.01	⊢ •−-	1.368 (1.069 to 1.751)			0.314	0.126
Veighted mo Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me Meighted mo		5 0.00	. ⊢ ⊷-	1.355 (1.118 to 1.641)	0.972		0.303	0.098
Enterobacteriales CPH MR Egger GCST90027745 Weighted me UW Simple mode Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me UW Simple mode Weighted me Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me UW Simple mode Weighted mo UW Simple mode UW Simple mode	5	5 0.11	i 	1.362 (1.007 to 1.844)			0.309	0.154
GCST90027745 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Allstipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	de 5	5 0.09	├── ●───┤	1.402 (1.040 to 1.889)			0.338	0.152
IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Veighted me VW Simple mode Weighted me Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Veighted me VW Simple mode VW Simple	5	5 0.17	i I I • • • • •	1.561 (0.952 to 2.559)	0.986	0.585	0.445	0.252
Simple mode Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 VWeighted me IVW Simple mode VW Simple m	dian 5	5 0.01	⊢ •−−1	1.368 (1.072 to 1.746)			0.314	0.124
Weighted mo Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode VW Simple mode VW Simple mode VW Simple mode VW Simple mode VW Simple mode Weighted me Weighted me	5	5 0.00	. ⊢ ⊷-1	1.355 (1.118 to 1.641)	0.972		0.303	0.098
Bacteroides stercoris CPH MR Egger GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	5	5 0.12	i	1.362 (0.995 to 1.866)			0.309	0.160
GCST90027835 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	de 5	5 0.09	→	1.401 (1.039 to 1.890)			0.338	0.153
IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Allistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	7	7 0.92	·	1.070 (0.269 to 4.263)	0.823	0.83	0.068	0.705
Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted mo IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted mo	dian 7	7 0.02	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.251 (1.025 to 1.525)			0.224	0.101
Weighted mo Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Allstipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	7	7 0.00	⊢ ⊷-	1.254 (1.085 to 1.448)	0.897		0.226	0.074
Coprococcus sp.ART55/1 CPH MR Egger GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	7	7 0.10		1.305 (0.998 to 1.708)			0.267	0.137
GCST90027845 Weighted me IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	de 7	7 0.09	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.305 (1.004 to 1.698)			0.267	0.134
IVW Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	4	4 0.17	i ⊢⊷- {	0.721 (0.532 to 0.977)	0.585	0.322	-0.327	0.155
Simple mode Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	dian 4	4 0.00	He-I	0.846 (0.749 to 0.955)			-0.167	0.062
Weighted mo Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	4	4 0.00	i 14	0.874 (0.797 to 0.959)	0.428		-0.134	0.047
Alistipes putredinis CPH MR Egger GCST90027778 Weighted me	4	4 0.12	i ⊢ ⊷{	0.828 (0.694 to 0.987)			-0.189	0.090
GCST90027778 Weighted me	de 4	4 0.15	I ⊢⊷	0.827 (0.681 to 1.004)			-0.190	0.099
	7	7 0.68	i	0.761 (0.220 to 2.640)	0.22	0.987	-0.273	0.634
	dian 7	7 0.01	i ⊢⊷	0.736 (0.578 to 0.937)			-0.307	0.123
IVW	7	7 0.00	. ⊢ ⊷-	0.769 (0.637 to 0.928)	0.32		-0.262	0.096
Simple mode	7	7 0.11	i	0.731 (0.524 to 1.020)			-0.313	0.170
Weighted mo	de 7	7 0.09	i ⊢ ⊷–ĺ	0.734 (0.541 to 0.995)			-0.310	0.158

FIGURE 3

Causal relationships and sensitivity analysis between gut microbiota and CPH.

Exposure	Outcome	Method	Nsnp	P.value		OR (95% CI)	Beta	Se
CPH	Proteobacteria	MR Egger	11	0.116	<mark>⊢•−−</mark> I	0.767 (0.569 to 1.034)	-0.265	0.152
	GCST90027751	Weighted median	11	0.810	⊢⊸⊣	0.983 (0.854 to 1.131)	-0.017	0.072
		IVW	11	0.966	HH	0.998 (0.895 to 1.112)	-0.002	0.056
		Simple mode	11	0.990	⊢ ⊷1	0.998 (0.787 to 1.266)	-0.002	0.121
		Weighted mode	11	0.965		0.995 (0.816 to 1.214)	-0.005	0.101
CPH	Enterobacteriaceae	MR Egger	12	0.779	⊢	1.050 (0.755 to 1.460)	0.049	0.168
	GCST90027684	Weighted median	12	0.417	H-	1.070 (0.909 to 1.260)	0.068	0.083
		IVW	12	0.759	⊢ ≱–1	1.019 (0.904 to 1.148)	0.019	0.061
		Simple mode	12	0.818	⊢	1.034 (0.785 to 1.361)	0.033	0.140
		Weighted mode	12	0.483	- 	1.081 (0.875 to 1.336)	0.078	0.108
CPH	Enterobacteriales	MR Egger	12	0.779	⊢ ¦ • — –	1.050 (0.754 to 1.461)	0.049	0.168
	GCST90027745	Weighted median	12	0.412	⊢ ¦ ∙1	1.070 (0.910 to 1.257)	0.068	0.082
		IVW	12	0.760	H-H-H	1.019 (0.904 to 1.148)	0.019	0.061
		Simple mode	12	0.818	⊢	1.034 (0.785 to 1.362)	0.033	0.141
		Weighted mode	12	0.470		1.081 (0.881 to 1.328)	0.078	0.105
CPH	Bacteroides stercoris	MR Egger	12	0.142	H	1.336 (0.936 to 1.906)	0.289	0.181
	GCST90027835	Weighted median	12	0.326	⊢ • 	1.093 (0.916 to 1.305)	0.089	0.090
		IVW	12	0.214	H	1.089 (0.952 to 1.245)	0.085	0.068
		Simple mode	12	0.601	⊢ • − − −	1.100 (0.777 to 1.558)	0.096	0.177
		Weighted mode	12	0.170	H	1.219 (0.936 to 1.588)	0.198	0.135
CPH	Coprococcus sp.ART55/1	MR Egger	12	0.508	•	 1.353 (0.570 to 3.211)	0.302	0.441
	GCST90027845	Weighted median	12	0.635	<u> +</u>	0.912 (0.624 to 1.334)	-0.092	0.194
		IVW	12	0.899	⊢	1.021 (0.742 to 1.404)	0.021	0.163
		Simple mode	12	0.554	├──◆ 	0.814 (0.421 to 1.575)	-0.206	0.337
		Weighted mode	12	0.624		0.877 (0.528 to 1.458)	-0.131	0.259
CPH	Alistipes putredinis	MR Egger	12	0.475	<u> ++</u>	0.899 (0.679 to 1.191)	-0.107	0.143
	GCST90027778	Weighted median	12	0.874	⊢∔ -1	0.989 (0.857 to 1.140)	-0.012	0.073
		IVW	12	0.836	H	0.989 (0.891 to 1.098)	-0.011	0.053
		Simple mode	12	0.908		1.013 (0.811 to 1.266)	0.013	0.113
		Weighted mode	12	0.987		0.998 (0.826 to 1.207)	-0.002	0.097

FIGURE 4

Reverse causal relationships between gut microbiota and CPH.



3.4 Levels of CD38 on CD3- CD19- cell, CD3 on naive CD4+, CD40 on CD14+ CD16- monocyte and IgD- CD38br AC correlate positively with CPH risk

Statistical analysis of the 6 groups of immune cells identified in Section 2.3 using CPH data revealed 4 immune cells causally related to CPH. The results are as follows (Figure 6): CD38 expression on CD3-CD19- cells (ID GCST90001810) related to Proteobacteria (ID GCST90027751, P<0.05); CD3 expression on naive CD4+ cells (ID GCST90001842), and CD40 expression on CD14+ CD16- cells (ID GCST90001980) related to Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae (ID GCST90027684/GCST90027745, P<0.05); and IgD- CD38br AC in B cells (ID GCST90001420) related to *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 (ID GCST90027845, P<0.05). Heterogeneity and pleiotropy tests showed no significant heterogeneity among the instrumental variables (P>0.05). The MR effect forest plots, SNP scatter plots, and leaveone-out analysis plots are provided in Appendix 2.

3.5 Bayesian validation confirms the causal relationship between partial immune cells and CPH

Bayesian weighting was used to validate the causal relationship between immune cells and CPH as shown in Figure 6 (see Figure 7). Significant *P*-values were obtained for IgD- CD38br AC (GCST90001420, *P*=0.003), CD38 on CD3- CD19- (GCST90001810, *P*=0.049), and CD3 on naive CD4+ (GCST90001842, *P*=0.036). All *P*-values were <0.05, supporting the reliability of these findings. However, the *P*-value for CD40 on CD14+ CD16- monocytes (GCST90001980) was 0.052, which exceeded 0.05. Thus, this result was excluded.

3.6 Mediation role of immune cells in MR analysis

Mediation effect calculations in MR analysis are presented in Table 3. Our findings indicated that the mediation effect proportion be calculated as 7.26% only when the exposure factor is Proteobacteria (GCST90027751), the mediator is the expression of CD38 on CD3- CD19- (GCST90001810), and the outcome is CPH.

4 Discussion

CPH is a collective term for harmonic conditions caused by deficiencies or abnormalities in hemostasis. Patients often exhibit varying degrees of skin, mucosal, joint, or visceral bleeding symptoms. Rapid clinical assessment and timely treatment are crucial in improving patient outcomes (1). Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota can increase the risk of CPH (15). Providing nutritional

Exposure	Outcome	Method	Nsnp	P.value		OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity.P.Value	Pleiotropy.P.Value	Beta	Se
CD38 on CD3- CD19-	CPH	MR Egger	18	0.931	⊢−−− −	0.997 (0.922 to 1.078)	0.349	0.139	-0.003	0.040
GCST90001810		Weighted median	18	0.280		1.034 (0.973 to 1.099)			0.034	0.031
		IVW	18	0.038	} →→→↓	1.049 (1.003 to 1.098)	0.262		0.048	0.023
		Simple mode	18	0.093	⊢ • − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	1.100 (0.990 to 1.223)			0.096	0.054
		Weighted mode	18	0.471	⊢	1.029 (0.954 to 1.111)			0.029	0.039
CD3 on Naive CD4+	CPH	MR Egger	28	0.050	↓ → → ↓	1.047 (1.002 to 1.093)	0.961	0.436	0.046	0.022
GCST90001842		Weighted median	28	0.056	→ → →	1.042 (0.999 to 1.087)			0.041	0.022
		IVW	28	0.031	→ →	1.033 (1.003 to 1.065)	0.963		0.033	0.015
		Simple mode	28	0.120	⊢ − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	1.051 (0.989 to 1.116)			0.049	0.031
		Weighted mode	28	0.055		1.041 (1.001 to 1.082)			0.040	0.020
CD40 on CD14+ CD16- monocyte	CPH	MR Egger	23	0.090	I → → → I	1.055 (0.995 to 1.118)	0.883	0.523	0.053	0.030
GCST90001980		Weighted median	23	0.048	•	1.049 (1.000 to 1.100)			0.048	0.024
		IVW	23	0.036	└─ ━─┤	1.038 (1.002 to 1.076)	0.898		0.038	0.018
		Simple mode	23	0.515	⊢	1.024 (0.954 to 1.100)			0.024	0.036
		Weighted mode	23	0.106	H	1.041 (0.993 to 1.091)			0.040	0.024
IgD- CD38br AC	CPH	MR Egger	20	0.044	} →→→	1.056 (1.005 to 1.109)	0.194	0.967	0.054	0.025
GCST90001420		Weighted median	20	0.523		1.018 (0.964 to 1.074)			0.018	0.028
		IVW	20	0.006	⊢ ⊷−−1	1.055 (1.015 to 1.097)	0.242		0.054	0.020
		Simple mode	20	0.496	⊢	1.033 (0.943 to 1.130)			0.032	0.046
		Weighted mode	20	0.158	H	1.035 (0.989 to 1.084)			0.034	0.023
<0.05 was considered statist	ically signi			0.9	1 1.1 1.2	1.3				

FIGURE 6

Causal relationships and sensitivity analysis between immune cells and CPH.



support to the gut microbiota in patients with CPH can generate a defensive barrier in the intestinal mucosa, effectively enhance immune function, increase platelet levels, prevent bleeding, and improve post-treatment outcomes (16). The immune system plays a crucial role in this process; however, the causal relationship and specific mechanisms are unclear.

The gut microbiota in healthy individuals is primarily composed of the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria, with Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes being dominant and accounting for 90% of the human gut microbiota (17). The gut microbiota can act as pathogenic bacteria causing infections or as commensal bacteria maintaining intestinal homeostasis. They can also interact directly or indirectly with immune cells through metabolic products and cell surface molecules, influencing the intensity and direction of immune responses (18, 19).

4.1 Causal relationship between gut microbiota and CPH

The overgrowth of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes in the gut suggests an imbalance in the microbial community structure (20). Compared with healthy individuals, patients with immune thrombocytopenia in CPH exhibit increased relative abundances of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, and decreased abundances of Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (21). Consequently, it has been proposed that an increase in the abundance of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes and a decrease in that of Firmicutes can serve as a marker of gut dysbiosis and as a potential diagnostic criteria for metabolic, immune, and inflammatory diseases (22). The results of this meta-analysis showed that the abundance of *B. stercoris* (OR=1.25, 95%CI 1.09–1.45, P=0.002) was positively correlated with the risk of CPH, whereas the abundance of Proteobacteria

(OR=0.81, 95%CI 0.71–0.92, P=0.001) was negatively correlated with the risk of CPH. The abundance of Enterobacteriaceae/ Enterobacteriales (OR=1.36, 95%CI 1.12–1.64, P=0.002) was positively correlated with the risk of CPH and there was no reverse causality. An increase in the abundance of Proteobacteria may be a protective factor against developing CPH, contrary to that reported previously.

4.2 The mediating role of immune cells

The "CD3-CD19-" cell phenotype typically does not correspond to typical mature B cells, potentially representing early B cell precursors, atypical B cells, or certain B cell subsets in specific activation states (23). CD38 is a multifunctional glycoprotein highly expressed in various immune cells. In B cells, CD38 interacts with the B cell receptor signaling pathway, promoting B cell activation, proliferation, and differentiation into plasma cells, which produce antibodies (24). CD38 can also influence intracellular NAD+/cADPR levels and calcium signaling through its enzymatic activity (e.g., NADase/ADPribosyl cyclase), affecting immune cell function (25). Our study shows that CD38 expression on B cells in the CD3-CD19- phenotype can act as a mediator for Proteobacteria affecting the onset of CPH, with a mediating effect accounting for 7.26%. This effect was manifested as an increase in the abundance of Proteobacteria, leading to a decrease in CD38 expression on CD3-CD19- cells, thereby reducing the risk of CPH. The possible mechanisms include (see Figure 8, ID: YUSSI95293): 1) Maintenance of immune homeostasis: An increase in Proteobacteria abundance may downregulate CD38 expression on CD3-CD19- cells by secreting anti-inflammatory mediators, promoting immune tolerance, or direct interaction, thereby inhibiting excessive inflammatory responses and autoimmune attacks, protecting vascular endothelial integrity, and preventing bleeding tendencies caused by inflammation (26); 2) Inhibition of

TABLE 3 MR analysis of the mediation role of immune cells in the relationship between gut microbiota and coagulation defects, purpura, and other hemorrhagic conditions.

Exposure	Mediator	Outeeree	Total Effect Data	Madiation Data	Proportion of Mediation Effect		
Gut Microbiota ID	Immune Cell ID	Outcome	Total Effect Beta	Mediation Beta			
GCST90027751	GCST90001810	СРН	-0.216	-0.016	7.26%		
GCST90027684	GCST90001842	СРН	0.303	-0.009	/		
GCST90027745	GCST90001842	СРН	0.303	-0.009	/		
GCST90027845	GCST90001420	СРН	-0.134	0.008	/		



autoantibody production: If CD38 on CD3-CD19- cells are involved in autoantibody production (e.g., anticoagulant factor antibodies), its downregulation may reduce the production of these antibodies, thereby lowering the risk of coagulation disorders due to autoimmune mechanisms (27); 3) Improvement of cell metabolism and calcium signaling: Proteobacteria may influence enzymatic activity in CD38 and its effects on NAD+/cADPR and calcium signaling through modulation of the host metabolic environment or other indirect pathways. A decrease in CD38 expression may help restore intracellular homeostasis, improve platelet and endothelial cell function, and reduce the risk of bleeding (28).

The study results also indicated that CD3 expression on naive CD4+ cells in mature T cells could serve as an intermediary factor for the impact of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae in CPH, albeit with an opposite effect on the overall result. Specifically, there was a negative correlation between the abundance of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae and CD3 expression on naive CD4+ cells in mature T cells, indicating that an increase in Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae abundance led to a decrease in CD3 expression in naive CD4+ cells in mature T cells. CD3 expression in naive CD4+ cells in mature T cells was positively correlated with the risk of CPH, indicating that a decrease in CD3 expression in naive CD4+ cells in mature T cells reduced the risk of CPH. Based on this finding, it was hypothesized that an increase in the abundance of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae would lower the risk of CPH. However, MR analysis revealed a positive correlation between the abundance of Enterobacteriales/ Enterobacteriaceae and the risk of CPH. Therefore, it is believed that the increase in the abundance of Enterobacteriales/ Enterobacteriaceae leading to an increased risk of CPH involves multiple mechanisms and that CD3 expression in naive CD4+ cells

in mature T cells, as an intermediary factor for the impact of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae on CPH, has an opposite and minor effect compared with the overall trend. Similarly, IgD-CD38br AC expression in B cells serves as an intermediary factor for the impact of *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 on CPH, with an opposite and minor effect compared with the overall trend.

4.3 Future directions

When discussing the potential implications of our research findings in clinical practice, we recognize that the role of the microbiome and immune regulation identified in our study in CPH can provide new perspectives for disease prevention and treatment strategies. These include precision nutrition interventions that tailor dietary plans and prebiotic supplementation to promote the abundance of beneficial gut bacteria, thereby modulating the immune system and reducing bleeding risks, which is a significant improvement over the current generic dietary recommendations. Microbial therapies such as fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) and probiotic supplementation offer a means to restore the microecological balance of the gut, enhancing immune regulation and reducing bleeding events beyond what standard treatments provide. Developing immunomodulatory drugs that target CD38 represents another step forward and offers adjunctive treatments to control inflammation and prevent vascular damage, addressing an aspect often overlooked in current therapeutic strategies. Specifically, the following points highlight the clinical translation potential of our findings:

1) Precision Nutrition Intervention: Given the association of specific gut microbes such as *A. putredinis*, Proteobacteria, and *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 with reduced CPH risk, personalized

dietary and prebiotic supplementation plans can be designed to promote the growth of these bacteria. For example, foods rich in dietary fiber can increase the abundance of Proteobacteria, which, in turn, can reduce bleeding risk by modulating CD38 expression in CD3-CD19- cells.

2) Microbiome Therapy: FMT or specific probiotic supplementation aims to reconstruct a healthy gut microbial environment, particularly in patients with CPH who have a microbial imbalance in the gut due to antibiotic use or other reasons (29). By restoring the normal levels of Proteobacteria and *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1, immune regulation can be indirectly improved and bleeding episodes can be reduced.

3) Immunomodulatory Drugs: Based on the influence of Proteobacteria on CD38 expression, drugs targeting CD38 can be developed to modulate T and B cell functions, inhibit excessive immune responses, and prevent vascular damage and bleeding tendencies (30). For instance, CD38 inhibitors can be used as adjunctive therapies to control inflammation in patients with CPH.

4) Biomarkers and Early Diagnosis: The microbiome characteristics revealed in our study can serve as biomarkers for the early identification and monitoring of CPH. For example, abnormal levels of *B. stercoris*, Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae, and *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 may help predict the development of CPH, allowing for timely intervention.

5) Personalized Treatment Strategies: Personalized treatment plans including medication selection and dosage adjustments can be developed by analyzing the composition of the patient's gut microbiome (31). For instance, patients with a low abundance of Proteobacteria may require more aggressive immunosuppressant therapy to compensate for their diminished natural immuneregulation capabilities.

In summary, our findings provide novel insights into the prevention and treatment of CPH, emphasizing the importance of the interplay between the microbiome and the immune system. Future research should determine the application of these mechanisms across different populations and validate the efficacy and safety of microbiome interventions in clinical practice. It may



Simplified schematic diagram of the relationship between gut microbiota abundance, immune cell levels, and the risk of CPH onset.

be possible to achieve more precise methods of disease management and patient care by integrating microbiome analysis into routine medical practice.

4.4 Limitations

This study used a 2-step, 2-sample MR approach to determine the causal associations between 412 gut microbiota species and CPH and elucidate the potential mediating effects of 731 immune cell types. However, our study has the following limitations that warrant consideration:

1) Validity of Genetic Instruments: The reliance on genetic variants as proxies for gut microbiota and immune cell types may introduce bias, as these variants can influence CPH through alternative pathways that are not related to the proposed microbiota or immune cells. To mitigate this, future studies should consider using multiple genetic instruments and performing sensitivity analyses to ensure robustness.

2) Population Specificity: Data sources including GWAS and the FinnGen database are predominantly derived from European populations, potentially limiting the applicability of our findings to non-European demographics. Therefore, future studies that include diverse global populations are essential to expand the investigation and enhance the generalizability of our findings.

3) Temporal Relationship: Our study did not account for temporal changes in the gut microbiota or immune cell composition, which could affect the interpretation of the results.

4) Limited Mediation Analysis: While immune cell mediation was a focus of this study, future studies should also explore other potential mediators such as metabolic factors or cytokine profiles to comprehend the mechanisms linking gut microbiota to CPH.

5) Confounding Factors and Errors: Despite the use of MR, the possibilities of unmeasured confounding factors or statistical errors remain, which could have influenced outcomes.

5 Summary

Several significant findings were made in this study elucidating the causal relationship between the gut microbiota and CPH. The abundance of *A. putredinis*, Proteobacteria, and *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 was associated with a reduced risk of CPH, whereas the abundance of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae and *B. stercoris* was linked to an increased risk of CPH. These results suggested that modulating the balance of specific gut microbes may serve as an effective approach to the prevention and treatment of CPH.

Particularly noteworthy is our discovery of interactions between the microbiota and the immune system, where the impact of the microbiota on CPH may be mediated through the regulation of immune cells. Specifically, CD38 expression in CD3-CD19 cells acts as a mediator in the effect of Proteobacteria in the pathogenesis of CPH, with a mediated proportion of 7.26%. Additionally, CD3 expression in Naive CD4+ mature T cells and IgD- CD38br AC expression in B cells serve as mediators for the effects of Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae and *Coprococcus* sp. ART55/1 abundance on CPH, respectively, albeit with opposite trends and smaller effects. To facilitate the understanding of the

relationships among our proposed results, the team has created a simplified schematic diagram (See Figure 9).

Based on these findings, several future research directions can be proposed that aim at translating our results into clinical practice and providing new strategies for the prevention and treatment of CPH. First, personalized nutritional interventions can become feasible through customized dietary plans and prebiotic supplementation wherein the abundance of A. putredinis, Proteobacteria, and Coprococcus sp. ART55/1 can be increased to modulate the immune system and reduce bleeding tendencies. Second, microbial therapies such as FMT and specific probiotic supplementation can help restore the microecological balance in the gut of patients with CPH, indirectly improving immune regulation and reducing bleeding events. Third, developing immunomodulatory drugs targeting CD38 can serve as adjunctive treatments to control inflammation in patients with CPH, preventing vascular damage and bleeding tendencies. Lastly, microbial signatures can be used as biomarkers for early diagnosis, with abnormal levels of Enterobacteriales/ Enterobacteriaceae and B. stercoris potentially indicating the development of CPH and enabling timely intervention.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

RR: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Data curation. XH: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Data curation. DW: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Data curation. QG: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Data curation. CW: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Methodology. ML: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Methodology. LY: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. HL: Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition. SC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study

was supported by National Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine High level Key Discipline Construction Project (zyyzdxk —2023268), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81803904), and the Basic Experimental Study on Dominant Diseases of Blood Diseases (202169-004).

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to our mentors and colleagues in the department for their guidance and strong support during the research and collaborative writing of this paper. During the course of our research, we primarily engaged in practical operations through the study of the Mendelian Randomization research manual and video tutorials. We are therefore grateful to the bloggers who have shared their teachings on Mendelian Randomization research online. Additionally, the process of data downloading and organization was a vast and time-consuming endeavor. We extend our gratitude to everyone who assisted us in completing this project, even though they are not listed as authors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1461221/full#supplementary-material

References

^{1.} Kitchens CS, Kessler CM, Konkle BA, Streiff MB, Garcia DA. 10 - purpura and other hematovascular disorders, consultative hemostasis and thrombosis. 4th ed. Elsevier (2019) p. 167–89. . doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-46202-0.00010-8

^{2.} Nam JJ, Wong AI, Cantong D, Cook JA, Andrews Z, Levy JH. Sepsis-induced coagulopathy and disseminated intravascular coagulation: what we need to know and

how to manage for prolonged casualty care. J Spec Oper Med. (2023) 23:118–21. doi: 10.55460/60ZC-JIOV

^{3.} Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. *Cell Res.* (2020) 30:492-506. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7

4. Zhang Y, Xia G, Nie X, Zeng Y, Chen Y, Qian Y, et al. Differences in manifestations and gut microbiota composition between patients with different henoch-schonlein purpura phenotypes. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2021) 11:641997. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.641997

5. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. *JAMA*. (2021) 326:1614–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.18236

6. Xu Q, Ni JJ, Han BX, Yan SS, Wei XT, Feng GJ, et al. Causal relationship between gut microbiota and autoimmune diseases: A two-sample mendelian randomization study. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 12:746998. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.746998

7. Long Y, Tang L, Zhou Y, Zhao S, Zhu H. Causal relationship between gut microbiota and cancers: a two-sample Mendelian randomisation study. *BMC Med.* (2023) 21:66. doi: 10.1186/s12916-023-02761-6

8. Carter AR, Sanderson E, Hammerton G, Richmond RC, Davey Smith G, Heron J, et al. Mendelian randomisation for mediation analysis: current methods and challenges for implementation. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2021) 36:465–78. doi: 10.1007/s10654-021-00757-1

9. Lopera-Maya EA, Kurilshikov A, van der Graaf A, Hu S, Andreu-Sánchez S, Chen L, et al. Effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome in 7,738 participants of the Dutch Microbiome Project. *Nat Genet.* (2022) 54:143–51. doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00992-y

10. Sanderson E, Glymour MM, Holmes MV, Kang H, Morrison J, Munafo MR, et al. Mendelian randomization. Nat Rev Methods Primers. (2022) 2:6. doi: 10.1038/s43586-021-00092-5

11. Zhang L, Zhang C, Zhang J, Liu A, Wang P, Xu J. A bidirectional mendelian randomization study of sarcopenia-related traits and knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Interv Aging*. (2023) 18:1577–86. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S424633

12. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Multivariable Mendelian randomization: the use of pleiotropic genetic variants to estimate causal effects. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2015) 181:251–60. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwu283

13. Minelli C, Del Greco MF, van der Plaat DA, Bowden J, Sheehan NA, Thompson J. The use of two-sample methods for Mendelian randomization analyses on single large datasets. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2021) 50:1651–9. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab084

14. Zhao J, Ming J, Hu X, Chen G, Liu J, Yang C. Bayesian weighted Mendelian randomization for causal inference based on summary statistics. *Bioinformatics*. (2020) 36:1501–8. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz749

15. Araki S, Shirahata A. Vitamin K deficiency bleeding in infancy. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:780. doi: 10.3390/nu12030780

16. Liu C, Cheng L, Ji L, Li F, Zhan Y, Wu B, et al. Intestinal microbiota dysbiosis play a role in pathogenesis of patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res.* (2020) 190:11–9. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2020.03.012

17. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature*. (2010) 464:59–65. doi: 10.1038/nature08821

18. Wen M, Dang X, Feng S, He Q, Li X, Liu T, et al. Integrated analyses of gut microbiome and host metabolome in children with henoch-schönlein purpura. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2022) 11:796410. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.796410

19. Wang Y, Liu F, Zhang G, Su Y, Sun X, Chen Q, et al. Gut microbiome alterations and its link to corticosteroid resistance in immune thrombocytopenia. *Sci China Life Sci.* (2021) 64:766–83. doi: 10.1007/s11427-020-1788-2

20. Yang W, Cong Y. Gut microbiota-derived metabolites in the regulation of host immune responses and immune-related inflammatory diseases. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2021) 18:866–77. doi: 10.1038/s41423-021-00661-4

21. Yu X, Zheng Q, He Y, Yu D, Chang G, Chen C, et al. Associations of gut microbiota and fatty metabolism with immune thrombocytopenia. *Front Med* (*Lausanne*). (2022) 9:810612. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.810612

22. Shin NR, Whon TW, Bae JW. Proteobacteria: microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota. *Trends Biotechnol.* (2015) 33:496-503. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.06.011

23. Hung YP, Chen CL, Tseng PC, Satria RD, Chen MC, Lin CF. Measurement of lipid droplets in peripheral immune cells shows an immunomodulatory effect on monocyte polarization in experimental dyslipidaemia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2023) 650:73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2023.02.006

24. Lund FE, Yu N, Kim KM, Reth M, Howard MC. Signaling through CD38 augments B cell antigen receptor (BCR) responses and is dependent on BCR expression. *J Immunol.* (1996) 157:1455–67. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.157.4.1455

25. Piedra-Quintero ZL, Wilson Z, Nava P, Guerau-de-Arellano M. CD38: an immunomodulatory molecule in inflammation and autoimmunity. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:597959. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.597959

26. Behzad MM, Asnafi AA, Jalalifar MA, Moghtadaei M, Jaseb K, Saki N. Cellular expression of CD markers in immune thrombocytopenic purpura: implications for prognosis. *APMIS*. (2018) 126:523-32. doi: 10.1111/apm.2018.126.issue-6

27. Carrillo-Rodríguez P, Robles-Guirado JÁ, Cruz-Palomares A, Palacios-Pedrero MÁ, González-Paredes E, Más-Ciurana A, et al. Extracellular vesicles from pristanetreated CD38-deficient mice express an anti-inflammatory neutrophil protein signature, which reflects the mild lupus severity elicited in these mice. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1013236. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1013236

28. Mushtaq M, Nam TS, Kim UH. Critical role for CD38-mediated Ca2+ signaling in thrombin-induced procoagulant activity of mouse platelets and hemostasis. *J Biol Chem.* (2011) 286:12952–8. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.207100

29. Kelly CR, Yen EF, Grinspan AM, Kahn SA, Atreja A, Lewis JD, et al. Fecal microbiota transplantation is highly effective in real-world practice: initial results from the FMT national registry. *Gastroenterology*. (2021) 160:183–192.e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.09.038

30. Al-Samkari H, Neufeld EJ. Novel therapeutics and future directions for refractory immune thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol.* (2023) 203:65-78. doi: 10.1111/bjh.v203.1

31. Hou K, Wu ZX, Chen XY, Wang JQ, Zhang D, Xiao C, et al. Microbiota in health and diseases. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. (2022) 7(1):135. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-00974-4