Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tara Marlene Strutt, University of Central Florida, United States

REVIEWED BY Maria N. Navarro, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Jim Xiang ∑jim.xiang@usask.ca

RECEIVED 11 July 2024 ACCEPTED 04 November 2024 PUBLISHED 14 November 2024

CITATION

Xiang J, Leary SC, Wu Z and Yu M (2024) Molecular basis and pathways of the Yin-Yang theory in T cell immunity. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1463399. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1463399

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xiang, Leary, Wu and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Molecular basis and pathways of the Yin-Yang theory in T cell immunity

Jim Xiang^{1,2*}, Scot C. Leary³, Zhaojia Wu^{1,2} and Michelle Yu^{1,2}

¹Cancer Research Cluster, Saskatchewan Cancer Agency, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, ²Division of Oncology, College of Medicine, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, ³Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, College of Medicine, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

KEYWORDS

Yin-Yang theory, T cell immunity, molecular mechanisms, signaling pathways, mTORC1

1 Introduction

The Yin-Yang theory represents a fundamental principle in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), guiding its diagnosis and treatment of diseases (1). In the latter context, the Yin element refers to reserve, healing, resting or quiescence, passive or inhibitory factors and negative regulation while the Yang element speaks to consumption, working or activeness, growth or promoting factors and positive regulation. The theory posits that a balance between these two opposing forces becomes the premise of a healthy body (1). The "Qi", a special energy flowing in the body, is a driver of the defense system in TCM (1), and is analogous to the protective immune system in Western medicine (WM). However, there is a huge gap between the conceptional connection of TCM and WM.

The Yin-Yang theory has been applied historically to interpret immune system biology mostly from the perspective of the counteractive features of these two immune elements or responses. For example, the suppressive CTLA-4 and immunogenic CD28 have been described as the Yin and Yang of T cell co-stimulation (2). Moreover, $CD4^+$ Treg and $CD4^+$ Th1 cells have been considered to belong to Yin and Yang $CD4^+$ T cell subsets, where the former and latter cells contribute to tolerant and active immune responses, respectively (3). More recently, the regulators AMPK α 1 and mTORC1 have been proposed to represent Yin

and Yang energy sensors, with mTORC1 signaling the availability of nutrients and promoting immune stimuli that support cell proliferation through glycolysis and AMPKα1 signaling a lack of nutrients and inhibiting cell growth through FAO metabolism (4). However, the molecular basis and pathways underpinning the Yin-Yang theory in T cell immunity remain incompletely understood.

mTORC1 is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine kinase whose ability to sense three major immune signals (i.e. antigen, costimulation, and cytokines) and a variety of environmental cues (e.g. growth factors and nutrient status) allows it to act as a master regulator. Its activity is modulated in a phosphorylation-dependent manner by PI3K-Akt signaling. PI3K phosphorylates PIP2 at the cell surface to generate PIP3, which recruit and phosphorylate Akt at T308 through PDK1 (Figure 1A) (5). mTORC2 can also be activated by PI3K through PIP3 and phosphorylated at S₄₇₃ (Figure 1A), that confers for a full activation and substrate specificity of Akt (6). The phosphorylation of Akt at T₃₀₈ triggers mTORC1 signaling, which in turn up-regulates the downstream substrates ribosomal S6K1 and S6K2 and eIF4E to modulate protein synthesis, cell proliferation, metabolism and differentiation (Figure 1A) (7), whereas the phosphorylation of Akt at S473 represses FOXO1 activity through phosphorylation of FOXO1 at T₂₄ (Figure 1A) (8). Autophagy is a self-recycling process in which cellular constituents are degraded by lysosomes to provide essential anabolic building blocks in support of metabolism and homeostasis under stress conditions (9). Flux through autophagy as well as most branches of intermediary metabolism, including mitochondrial FAO, is regulated by the activity of the evolutionarily conserved energy sensor AMPKa1, which is in turn controlled by LKB1, CaMKK2, PKA and TAK-1 (Figure 1A) (9). As such, AMPK α 1 is central to CD8⁺ T_M cell formation (Figure 1A) (9). FOXO1 is another well known, key regulator that controls various aspects of cell development (10) and also contributes to $CD8^+ T_M$ cell differentiation (Figure 1A) (8). Both AMPKa1 and FOXO1 were also described as tumor suppressors because of their inhibitory effect on tumor growth (8, 9). Therefore, AMPKa1/FOXO1 and AKT/mTORC1 can be characterized as Yin and Yang master regulators in T cell immunity as well as cell biology (Figure 1A).

2 Two basic cellular characteristics determine the Yin and Yang immune cell subsets

In modern T-cell biology, two basic features have been well studied; (i) cellular differentiation into a defined phenotype with respect to form and function, and (ii) metabolic fuel preference to provide the energy necessary to support function and sustain homeostasis. These features or characteristics are thus used as a standard manner of defining Yin and Yang cell subsets in immunity and may even be extended conceptually to various tissues or organs of the body. CD8⁺ T-cells play a critical role in immune responses against tumors and infectious diseases. During infection, pathogenengaged DCs use three distinct signals (antigen, co-stimulation and cytokines) to stimulate catabolic CD8⁺ Tn cells to grow and differentiate into one of two CD8⁺ T-cell subsets; anabolic IL-7R⁻CD62L⁻KLRG1⁺ T_E cells which rely on glycolysis, grow rapidly and exhibit effective killing activity, or catabolic and quiescent IL-7R⁺CD62L⁺KLRG1⁻ T_M cells which use mild FAO for economical energy supply (Figure 1B). Based on the theory, CD8⁺ T_M and T_E cells can thus be characterized as typical Yin and Yang CD8⁺ T-cells, respectively (Figures 1A–C).

3 Distinct transcriptional and metabolic pathways control Yin and Yang immune cell differentiation and metabolism

The pro-inflammatory IL-2 and pro-survival IL-7 cytokines (Figure 1C) belong to common γ -chain cytokine family. While both trigger the same PI3K-AKT-mTORC1 pathway, IL-2 induces T_E cell differentiation and IL-7 promotes T_M cell formation (11). We recently sought to elucidate the underlying molecular mechanism, and in so doing demonstrated that IL-2 stimulates strong mTORC1 signaling (Yang IL-2/mTORC1strong) due to the persistent expression of cell surface IL-2R, which results in IL-2/T_E cell formation via activation of the Yang transcriptional mTORC1-Tbet and metabolic mTORC1-HIF-1 α pathways (Figure 1D) (12). In contrast, we observed that IL-7 stimulates weak mTORC1 signaling (Yin IL-7/mTORC1^{weak}) due to the transient expression of cell surface IL-7R, which leads to IL-7/T_M cell differentiation via activation of the Yin transcriptional FOXO1-TCF1-ID3-Eomes and metabolic AMPKa1-ULK1-ATG7-PCG1a pathways (Figure 1D) (12). IL-7/mTORC1^{weak} signaling also up-regulates the expression of AQP9, CPT1a, Complex I, Bcl6, LAL and TFAM, which are some of the metabolic factors necessary for increased reliance on FAO (Figure 1C) (12). This emerging signaling model is further supported by our other recent findings that pro-survival IL-15-stimulated Yin IL-15/T_M and mTORC1 inhibitor Rapamycintreated Yin IL-2 (IL-2+Rapa)/T_M cells also rely on Yin mTORC1^{Weak} signaling and FAO while exhibiting a T_M cell phenotype and long-term survival after their adoptive transfer into C57BL/6 mice (Figure 1D) (13-15). Like IL-7, IL-15 similarly promotes CD8⁺ T_M cell differentiation by stimulating weak mTORC1 signaling (Yin IL-15/mTORC1^{weak}) (10) and activating the coupled Yin transcriptional FOXO1-ID3-Eomes and metabolic AMPKα1-ULK1-ATG7-PCG1α pathways. Importantly, these IL- $15/T_{\rm M}$ cells also showed a robust recall response upon antigen challenge (Figure 1D) (13, 16). Our data collectively provide the first evidence that distinct strengths of mTORC1 signaling are able to promote T_E and T_M cell formation via the activation of distinct transcriptional and metabolic pathways (12-15), and shed light on the molecular mechanisms underpinning the Yin-Yang theory in Tcell immunity (Figures 1D, Ea).



FIGURE 1

Molecular basis and pathways of the Yin-Yang theory in T cell differentiation and metabolism. (A) Schematic diagram illustrating the major, upstream molecular pathways that regulate the activity of the master regulators Yang AKT and mTORC1 and Yin AMPK and FOXO1, which collectively control CD8⁺ T cell immunity. Three immune signals, growth factors or nutrients trigger the Yang molecular PI3K-AKT-mTORC1 pathway to control gene expression, protein systhesis and CD8⁺ T_E cell differentiation. AMPK modulates the necessary changes in autophagic flux and FAO metabolism to support CD8⁺ T_M cell differentiation. Yin FOXO1 works in concert with Yin AMPK to regulate development of the CD8⁺ T_M cell phenotype and desired functional effect. Yin AMPK@1/FOXO1 and Yang AKT/mTORC1 exhibit a negative interaction. The Yin AMPK activator metformin activates AMPK (solid line), but inhibits the Yang mTORC1 (dotted line) while the Yang mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin inhibits mTORC1 (solid line), but activates the Yin FOXO1 (dotted line). (B) Metabolic transitions of CD8⁺ T cells at different stages of the immune response. Post-infection, quiescent naïve CD8⁺ T cells enter a developmental program including T cell expansion followed by a contraction phase. During this latter phase, the majority of IL- $7R^{CD62L}$ KLRG⁺ T_E cells die of apoptosis while the remaining T cells differentiate into long-lived IL- $7R^{+}CD62L^{+}$ KLRG⁻ T_M cells. To meet the bioenergetic demand of T_E cells during T cell expansion and contration phases, naïve T cells switch from mitochondrial respiration with mitochondrial fusion to glycolysis of T_E cells with mitochondrial fission. During the memory phase, the metabolic program reverts to catabolic FAO as T_E cells gradually transition to T_M cells with mitochondrial fusion. (C) Basic Yin and Yang immune elements (cells, cytokines, costimulations, growth factors, nutrients, suppressive reagents, activators or inhibitors) and genes in T cell immunity. (D) Schematic diagram of how strong or weak strengths of mTORC1 signaling give rise to distinct T cell subtypes. The Yang IL-2 cytokine elicits strong mTORC1 signaling to promote the differentiation of naïve T cells into glycolytic Yang IL-7⁻CD62L⁻KLRG⁺ T_E cells by activating the Yang transcriptional T-bet and Yang metabolic HIF-1α pathways. In contrast, the Yin IL-7/IL and 15/IL-2+Rapa cytokines promote the differentiation of naïve T cells into Yin T_M cells that rely on FAO metabolism via activation of the Yin transcriptional FOXO1-TCF1-ID3-Eomes and Yin metabolic AMPK-ULK1-ATG7-PCG1a pathways. (E) Some basic principles of the Yin-Yang theory in T cell immunity are summarized. All graphs, diagrams and characters in blue and red color represent Yin and Yang, respectively.

4 Some other basic principles of the Yin-Yang relationship relevant to T-cell immunity

Some other, basic principles of the Yin-Yang relationship as it pertains to T cell immunity can also be recognized. For example, the Yang master regulator mTORC1 controls the activity of various transcriptional (T-bet, Blimp-1 and ID2) and metabolic (HIF-1 α and cMyc) factors. The Yin master regulators FOXO1 (16) and AMPK α 1 similarly exert control over various transcriptional (TCF1, ID3 and Eomes) and metabolic (SIRT1, PGC1 α , CPT1 α , AQP9, OPA-1, LAL, Complex-I, Bcl6 and TFAM) factors (Figure 1C) (8, 12–15). These data indicate that mTORC1 alone or FOXO1 and AMPK α 1 in concert act as master regulators of various downstream targets crucial to the transcriptional and metabolic programs required for T_E and T_M cell formation (Figure 1Eb).

Various Yin and Yang stimuli including cytokines, costimulatory molecules, transcriptional and metabolic regulators, repressors, oncogenes and adjuvants among others trigger the maturation of various immune cell subsets and lead to immunotolerant and immunogenic responses, respectively (Figures 1C, Ec). For example, the Yin cytokines IL-10 and TGF- β promote the differentiation of CD4⁺ Treg, T_M and CD8⁺ T_M or M2 cells while the Yang cytokines IL-2, IFN- γ and IL-12 stimulate immunogenic CD4⁺ Th1 and CD8⁺ T_E cell responses (Figure 1C) (17–20). The Yin co-stimulatory molecules PD-1, CTLA-4, LAG3, TIM3, BTAL and TIGIT or agonists TLR1, 2, 6 promote suppressive immune responses, while the Yang molecules CD28, CD40, CD80 and CD86 or agonists TLR3, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9 stimulate active immune responses (Figure 1C) (21, 22).

The theory argues for negative interplay between the two elements. For example, the Yang regulators mTORC1 and AKT interact with the Yin regulators AMPKa1 and FOXO1 via negative feedback loops (10). Our recent data showed that mTORC1^{Strong} signaling inhibits Yin AMPK α 1 and FOXO1 expression in IL-2/T_E cells, while mTORC1^{Weak}-induced expression of AMPKa1 and FOXO1 promotes T cell memory in IL-15/T_M cells (13). mTORC1-induced phosphorylation of AMPKa1 at S485 blocks its phosphorylation at T₁₇₂ in IL-2/T_E cells, whereas AMPKα1mediated phosphorylation of its downstream target TSC2 at S1387 weakens mTORC1 signaling in IL-15/ T_M cells (Figure 1A) (13, 23). In addition, mTORC2-dependent phosphorylation of AKT at S473 phosphorylates FOXO1 at T24, which inhibits FOXO1 by reducing its nuclear localization (Figure 1A) (24). FOXO1 in turn is able to down-regulate mTORC1 signaling via its activation of sestrin, a suppressor of mTORC1 (Figure 1A) (25). Collectively, these reports confirm the negative interplay between the master regulators Yin FOXO1 or AMPKα1 and Yang AKT/mTORC1 (Figures 1A, Ed). In support of this principle, the AMPK activator metformin inhibits mTORC1 (26), while the mTORC1 inhibitor Rapa promotes AMPKα1 and FOXO1 activity (Figures 1A, Ee) (7, 14).

The theory also advances that the Yin response contains some Yang elements and *vice versa*, which facilitates their interconversion under certain conditions (Figure 1Ef). For example, a basic feature of T_M cells is their ability to rapidly proliferate and switch to functional T_E cells in a recall response (Figure 1B). This interconversion is facilitated by the maintenance of an AKT-dependent, "imprinted" glycolytic potential (27) or a demethylated, epigenetic mark at the IFN- γ promotor (8, 28) inherited from the Yang CD8⁺ T_E precursor, either of which leads to an efficient immediate-early recall response of Yin CD8⁺ T_M cells upon cognate antigen re-encounter.

Differentiation of distinct immune cell subsets is accompanied by complementary changes in fuel preference. To date, the Yin and Yang metabolic pathways in all immune cell subsets are regulated by the Yin AMPK α 1 for FAO and the Yang HIF-1 α for glycolytic metabolism. In contrast, the Yin and Yang transcriptional factors controlling differentiation into a defined form and function may differ across immune cell subsets. For example, Yin GATA3 is stimulated in CD4⁺ Th2 which use FAO while Yang T-bet is triggered in CD4⁺ Th1 cells which rely on glycolysis (17, 29). Similarly, Yin Foxp3, Smad3 and FOXO1 are activated in FAO reliant CD4⁺ Treg cells while Yang ROR-yt is stimulated in glycolytic CD4⁺ Th17 cells (Figure 1C) (17, 30). Finally, Yin STAT6 and PPAR-y are up-regulated in FAO utilizing tolerant M2 cells while Yang STAT1 and NF-KB are stimulated in immunogenic M1 cells relying on glycolysis (Figure 1C) (18). These data illustrate that the above principle can be used to interpret various cell subsets of the immune system and may perhaps be extended to cell subsets in various tissues and organs of the body (Figure 1Eg).

The dynamic balance of Yin and Yang keeps the body healthy, and illness may result when there is an upset within or between these two systems (1, 31, 32). A deficiency in CD4⁺ Treg cells or inhibitory co-stimulation and a more active Yang T_E cell response derived from some strong vaccinations can induce detrimental autoimmune and lymphoproliferative diseases, respectively (33–35), while deficiencies in T-cell immunity may underlie infectious and cancerous diseases (Figure 1Eh) (36).

5 Conclusion

Our current knowledge of various immune elements and genes (Figure 1C) emphasizes the importance of the interplay between Yin and Yang molecular pathways that control immune responses or underlie various immune disorders (31, 32). Yet additional, integrative studies of large datasets using artificial intelligence or other technological approaches to analyze and interpret various genes and cell subsets are required to further improve our understanding of the molecular bases and pathways of the Yin-Yang theory in T-cell immunity in the context of human health and disease (37, 38). Nevertheless, this nascent model provides a new and simple guide with which to categorize genes into Yin and Yang groups, to facilitate the analysis of complex genetic networks and interactions between genetic elements and molecular pathways. Therefore, this model may act as a novel platform for drug innovation to combat various diseases (37, 38). Future studies in this direction should further strengthen the conceptional connection between TCM and WM, that will be greatly beneficial to human health.

Author contributions

JX: Writing – original draft. SL: Writing – review & editing. ZW: Writing – review & editing. MY: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The work was financially supported by a research grant (#PJT153314) from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) to JX.

References

1. Hu Z, Lu SH, Lowrie DB, Fan XY. Trained immunity: A Yin-Yang balance. MedComm (2020). (2022) 3:e121. doi: 10.1002/mco2.121

2. Allison JP, Krummel MF. The Yin and Yang of T cell costimulation. *Science*. (1995) 270:932–3. doi: 10.1126/science.270.5238.932

3. Cao X. Immunology in China: the past, present and future. *Nat Immunol.* (2008) 9:339–42. doi: 10.1038/ni0408-339

4. Gonzalez A, Hall MN, Lin SC, Hardie DG. AMPK and TOR: the yin and yang of cellular nutrient sensing and growth control. *Cell Metab.* (2020) 31:472–92. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.01.015

5. Blondi RM, Cheung PC, Casayor A, Deak M, Currie RA and Alessi D. Identification of a pocket in the PDK1 kinase domain that interacts with PIF and the C-terminal residues of PKA. *EMBO J.* (2000) 19:979. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.5.979

6. Liu P, Gan W, Chin R, Ogura K, Ogura K, Guo J, et al. Ptdlns(3,4,5)P3-dependent activation of the mTORC2 kinase complex. *Cancer Disc.* (2015) 5:1194–209. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0460

7. Araki K, Turner AP, Shaffer VO, Gangappa S, Keller SA, Bachmann MF, et al. mTOR regulates memory CD8 T-cell differentiation. *Nature*. (2009) 460:108–12. doi: 10.1038/nature08155

8. Zhang L, Tschumi BO, Lopez-Mefia IC, Oberie SG, Meyer M, Samson G, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 2 controls CD8 T cell memory differentiation in a foxo1-dependent mannaer. *Cell Rep.* (2016) 14:1206–17. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.095

9. Steinberg GR, Hardie DG. New insights into activation and function of the AMPK. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2023) 24:255-72. doi: 10.1038/s41580-022-00547-x

10. Jiang Y, Yan F, Feng Z, Lazarovici P, Zheng W. Signaling network of forkhead family of transcription factors (FOXO) in dietary restriction. *Cells.* (2019) 9:100. doi: 10.3390/cells9010100

11. Leonard WJ, Lin JX, O'Shea JJ. The gamma(c) family of cytokines: basic biology to therapeutic ramifications. *Immunity*. (2019) 50:832–50. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.028

12. Xu A, Leary SC, Islam MF, Wu Z, Bhanumathy KK, Ara A, et al. Prosurvival IL-7-Stimulated Weak Strength of mTORC1-S6K Controls T Cell Memory via Transcriptional FOXO1-TCF1-Id3 and Metabolic AMPKalpha1-ULK1-ATG7 Pathways. J Immunol. (2022) 208:155–68. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100452

13. Ara A, Wu Z, Xu A, Ahmed KA, Leary SC, Islam MF, et al. The critical role of AMPKalpha1 in regulating autophagy and mitochondrial respiration in IL-15stimulated mTORC1(Weak) signal-induced T cell memory: an interplay between yin (AMPKalpha1) and yang (mTORC1) energy sensors in T cell differentiation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:9534. doi: 10.3390/ijms23179534

14. Ara A, Xu A, Ahmed KA, Leary SC, Islam MF, Wu Z, et al. The energy sensor AMPKalpha1 is critical in rapamycin-inhibition of mTORC1-S6K-induced T-cell memory. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 23:37. doi: 10.3390/ijms23010037

15. Huang J, Leary S, Xiang J. Distinct strengths of mTORC1 control T-cell memory via transcriptional FOXO1 and metabolic AMPKalpha1 pathways in linear cell differentiation and asymmetric cell division models. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2022) 19:1073–6. doi: 10.1038/s41423-022-00879-w

16. Hess Michelini R, Doedens AL, Goldrath AW, Hedrick SM. Differentiation of CD8 memory T cells depends on Foxo1. J Exp Med. (2013) 210:1189–200. doi: 10.1084/jem.20130392

17. Saravia J, Chapman NM, Chi H. Helper T cell differentiation. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2019) 16:634–43. doi: 10.1038/s41423-019-0220-6

18. Chen S, Saeed A, Liu Q, Jiang Q, Xu H, Xiao GG, et al. Macrophages in immunoregulation and therapeutics. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2023) 8:207. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01452-1

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

19. Dahmani A, Janelle V, Carli C, Richaud M, Lamarche C, Khalili M, et al. TGFbeta programs central memory differentiation in ex vivo-stimulated human T cells. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2019) 7:1426–39. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0691

20. Dong C. Cytokine regulation and function in T cells. Annu Rev Immunol. (2021) 39:51–76. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-061020-053702

21. Schnell A, Bod L, Madi A, Kuchroo VK. The yin and yang of co-inhibitory receptors: toward anti-tumor immunity without autoimmunity. *Cell Res.* (2020) 30:285–99. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0277-x

22. Fitzgerald KA, Kagan JC. Toll-like receptors and the control of immunity. *Cell.* (2020) 180:1044–66. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.041

23. Van Nostrand JL, Hellberg K, Luo EC, Van Nostrand EL, Dayn A, Yu J, et al. AMPK regulation of Raptor and TSC2 mediate metformin effects on transcriptional control of anabolism and inflammation. *Genes Dev.* (2020) 34:1330–44. doi: 10.1101/gad.339895.120

24. Zhang L, Tschumi BO, Lopez-Mejia IC, Oberle SG, Meyer M, Samson G, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 2 controls CD8 T cell memory differentiation in a foxo1-dependent manner. *Cell Rep.* (2016) 14:1206–17. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.095

25. Chen CC, Jeon SM, Bhaskar PT, Nogueira V, Sundararajan D, Tonic I, et al. FoxOs inhibit mTORC1 and activate Akt by inducing the expression of Sestrin3 and Rictor. *Dev Cell.* (2010) 18:592–604. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2010.03.008

26. Howell JJ, Hellberg K, Turner M, Talbott G, Kolar MJ, Ross DS, et al. Metformin Inhibits Hepatic mTORC1 Signaling via Dose-Dependent Mechanisms Involving AMPK and the TSC Complex. *Cell Metab.* (2017) 25:463–71. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.12.009

27. Gubser PM, Bantug GR, Razik L, Fischer M, Dimeloe S, Hoenger G, et al. Rapid effector function of memory CD8+ T cells requires an immediate-early glycolytic switch. *Nat Immunol.* (2013) 14:1064–72. doi: 10.1038/ni.2687

28. van der Windt GJ, O'Sullivan D, Everts B, Huang SC, Buck MD, Curtis JD, et al. CD8 memory T cells have a bioenergetic advantage that underlies their rapid recall ability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2013) 110:14336–41. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221740110

29. Stark JM, Tibbitt CA, Coquet JM. The metabolic requirements of th2 cell differentiation. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:2318. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02318

 Shan J, Jin H, Xu Y. T cell metabolism: A new perspective on th17/treg cell imbalance in systemic lupus erythematosus. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:1027. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01027

31. Boutouja F, Stiehm CM, Platta HW. mTOR: A cellular regulator interface in health and disease. *Cells.* (2019) 8:18. doi: 10.3390/cells8010018

32. Jeon SM. Regulation and function of AMPK in physiology and diseases. *Exp Mol Med.* (2016) 48:e245. doi: 10.1038/emm.2016.81

33. Toussirot E, Bereau M. Vaccination and induction of autoimmune diseases. Inflammation Allergy Drug Targets. (2015) 14:94–8. doi: 10.2174/1871528114666160105113046

34. Klocke K, Sakaguchi S, Holmdahl R, Wing K. Induction of autoimmune disease by deletion of CTLA-4 in mice in adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2016) 113: E2383–92. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1603892113

35. Dejaco C, Duftner C, Grubeck-Loebenstein B, Schirmer M. Imbalance of regulatory T cells in human autoimmune diseases. *Immunology*. (2006) 117:289–300. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2005.02317.x

36. Mortaz E, Tabarsi P, Mansouri D, Khosravi A, Garssen J, Velayati A, et al. Cancers related to immunodeficiencies: update and perspectives. *Front Immunol.* (2016) 7:365. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00365

37. Gu P, Chen H. Modern bioinformatics meets traditional Chinese medicine. Brief Bioinform. (2014) 15:984–1003. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbt063

38. Liu B, He H, Luo H, Zhang T, Jiang J. Artificial intelligence and big data facilitated targeted drug discovery. *Stroke Vasc Neurol.* (2019) 4:206–13. doi: 10.1136/svn-2019-000290

Glossary

ΑΜΡΚα1	adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase- α 1	PD-1	programmed cell death protein-1
	A A A		
AQP9	aquaporin-9	PDK1	phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1
ATG7	autophagy-related gene-7	РКА	cAMP-activated protein kinase A
BTLA	B and T lymphocyte attenuator	PI3K	PI3 kinase
CaMKK2	Ca ⁺⁺ /calmodulin-activated protein kinase kinase-2	PIP2	phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate
cDC1	conventional type-1 dendritic cell	PIP3	phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate
CPT1a	carnitine palmitoyl transferase- 1α	PPAR-γ	peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ
CTLA-4	cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein-4	PTEN	phosphatase and tension homolog
DC	dendritic cell	Rapa	rapamycin
eIF4E	eukaryotic translation initiation factor-4E	S6K1	S6 kinase-1
FAO	fatty acid oxidation	S6K2	S6 kinase-2
FOXO1	forkhead box-O1	SIRT1	silent information-regulator of transcription-1
Foxp3	forkhead box-P3	STAT6	signal transducer/transcription activator-6
iDC	immature dendritic cell	TAK-1	TGF-β-activated kinase-1
GATA3	GATA-binding protein-3	TCF1	T cell factor-1
GSK3β	glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta	T_E	effector T
ID3	DNA binding-3	TFAM	mitochondrial transcription factor-A
KLRG1	killer cell lectin-like receptor G1	Th1	type-1 helper T
LAG3	lymphocyte-activation gene-3	Th2	type-2 helper T
LAL	lysosomal acid lipase	TIGIT	T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain
LKB1	liver kinase-B1	TIM3	timeless-3
M1	type-1 macrophage	TLR	Toll-like receptor
M2	type-2 macrophage	T_M	memory T
mDC	mature dendritic cell	Tn	naïve T
mTORC1	mammalian target of rapamycin complex-1	Treg	regulatory T
NF-ĸB1	nuclear-factor kappa-B subunit-1	TSC2	TSC complex subunit 2
OPA-1	optic atrophy-1	ROR-yt	retinoid-related orphan receptor-yt
PCG1a	peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ coactivator-1 α	ULK1	Unc-51-like autophagy-activating kinase-1.