Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaolong Wang, Temple University, United States

REVIEWED BY Martin C. Michel, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany Cheng Yuan, Wuhan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Renee E. Vickman Mrvickman@northshore.org

[†]PRESENT ADDRESS

Gregory M. Cresswell, George Washington University (GW) Cancer Center, The George Washington University, Washington, DC, United States

RECEIVED 10 September 2024 ACCEPTED 11 December 2024 PUBLISHED 10 January 2025

CITATION

Lanman NA, Meco E, Fitchev P, Kolliegbo AK, Broman MM, Filipovich Y, Kothandaraman H, Cresswell GM, Talaty P, Antoniak M, Brumer S, Glaser AP, Higgins AM, Helfand BT, Franco OE, Wang C-H, Crawford SE, Ratliff TL, Hayward SW and Vickman RE (2025) Infiltrating lipid-rich macrophage subpopulations identified as a regulator of increasing prostate size in human benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1494476. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1494476

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Lanman, Meco, Fitchev, Kolliegbo, Broman, Filipovich, Kothandaraman, Cresswell, Talaty, Antoniak, Brumer, Glaser, Higgins, Helfand, Franco, Wang, Crawford, Ratliff, Hayward and Vickman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Infiltrating lipid-rich macrophage subpopulations identified as a regulator of increasing prostate size in human benign prostatic hyperplasia

Nadia Atallah Lanman (D^{1,2}, Era Meco (D³, Philip Fitchev³, Andree K. Kolliegbo (D^{2,4}, Meaghan M. Broman¹, Yana Filipovich³, Harish Kothandaraman (D², Gregory M. Cresswell^{1†}, Pooja Talaty³, Malgorzata Antoniak³, Svetlana Brumer³, Alexander P. Glaser (D^{3,5}, Andrew M. Higgins^{3,5}, Brian T. Helfand^{3,5}, Omar E. Franco⁶, Chi-Hsiung Wang^{7,8}, Susan E. Crawford^{3,5}, Timothy L. Ratliff (D^{1,2}, Simon W. Hayward (D^{3,5}) and Renee E. Vickman (D^{3,5*})

¹Department of Comparative Pathobiology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States, ²Purdue University Institute for Cancer Research, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States, ³Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Endeavor Health (formerly NorthShore University HealthSystem), Evanston, IL, United States, ⁴Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States, ⁵Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, United States, ⁶Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Feist-Weiller Cancer Center, Louisiana State University Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, United States, ⁷Biostatistics and Research Informatics, Endeavor Health, Evanston, IL, United States, ⁸Department of Medicine, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, United States

Introduction: Macrophages exhibit marked phenotypic heterogeneity within and across disease states, with lipid metabolic reprogramming contributing to macrophage activation and heterogeneity. Chronic inflammation has been observed in human benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) tissues, however macrophage activation states and their contributions to this hyperplastic disease have not been defined. We postulated that a shift in macrophage phenotypes with increasing prostate size could involve metabolic alterations resulting in prostatic epithelial or stromal hyperplasia.

Methods: Single-cell RNA-seq of CD45⁺ transition zone leukocytes from 10 large (>90 grams) and 10 small (<40 grams) human prostates was conducted. Macrophage subpopulations were defined using marker genes and evaluated by flow cytometry.

Results: BPH macrophages do not distinctly categorize into M1 and M2 phenotypes. Instead, macrophages with neither polarization signature preferentially accumulate in large versus small prostates. Specifically, macrophage subpopulations with altered lipid metabolism pathways, demarcated by *TREM2* and *MARCO* expression, accumulate with increased prostate volume. *TREM2*^{high} and *MARCO*^{high} macrophage abundance positively

correlates with patient body mass index and urinary symptom scores. TREM2^{high} macrophages have a statistically significant increase in neutral lipid compared to TREM2^{low} macrophages from BPH tissues. Lipid-rich macrophages were observed to localize within the stroma in BPH tissues. *In vitro* studies indicate that lipid-loaded macrophages increase prostate epithelial and stromal cell proliferation compared to control macrophages.

Discussion: These data define two new BPH immune subpopulations, TREM2^{high} and MARCO^{high} macrophages, and suggest that lipid-rich macrophages may exacerbate lower urinary tract symptoms in patients with large prostates. Further investigation is needed to evaluate the therapeutic benefit of targeting these cells in BPH.

KEYWORDS

benign prostatic hyperplasia, ScRNA-seq, inflammation, macrophages, lipids, urinary symptoms

Introduction

Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) are common in aging men, with prevalence increasing progressively with age. LUTS include a range of storage, voiding, and post-micturition issues, evaluated most commonly by the self-reported International Prostatic Symptom Score (IPSS) questionnaire (1). Increasing prostate size does not directly correlate with IPSS due to the multifactorial causes of LUTS, the variability of overall prostate shape (e.g. presence or absence of a median lobe), varying degrees of bother reported by patients, as well as periurethral fibrosis in some patients (2–4). However, clinical assessment of prostate volume is important when pursuing medical or surgical management of LUTS/BPH (5), and translational studies focused on histologic prostate hyperplasia need to include a measurement of prostate weight or volume rather than rely solely on symptom score.

Severe prostatic inflammation has been shown to decrease the therapeutic efficacy of 5α -reductase inhibitors and α -adrenergic blockers in BPH patients (6). Our recent work indicates that CD45⁺ inflammatory cells accumulate within prostates of increased size (7), but whether specific immune subpopulations are over-represented in these tissues is unknown. Macrophages and T cells are the dominant leukocytes accumulating in BPH tissues (7, 8). We have also reported that targeting systemic inflammation with tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-antagonists decreases BPH incidence in autoimmune disease patients and decreases macrophage accumulation in prostate tissues (7). A greater understanding of the inflammatory cell states present in BPH tissues is necessary to elucidate immune-targeted therapies with long-term efficacy in this aged patient population.

In addition to autoimmune diseases, BPH/LUTS is associated with obesity, which is also characterized by systemic inflammation.

This is perhaps due to both hormonal and inflammatory mechanisms (9). No specific molecular mechanisms that link these diseases have been defined, but characterizing the inflammatory infiltrate of BPH tissues could lead to novel cellular and molecular insights on how environmental context promotes this disease. The exploratory scRNA-seq studies presented here were designed to define specific inflammatory cell states that change in the prostatic transition zone with increasing prostate volume and worsening urinary symptoms. Macrophages were of particular interest due to their plasticity and abundance in BPH tissues (7).

Macrophage diversity and plasticity have been observed in numerous diseases (10, 11). Macrophage polarization, or the transition to specific phenotypic states, has previously been categorized into two broad phenotypes: pro-inflammatory M1 or anti-inflammatory M2 (12, 13). Since M1 and M2 macrophages are the extremes of a functional cell state continuum, it is not surprising that these classifications do not reflect the broad range of macrophage phenotypic diversity observed in vivo. Although the interest in defining more specific macrophage cell states in various diseases began before the studies presented here were initiated, a variety of macrophage polarization states have recently been described, including, but not limited to, interferon-inducible cell (IFNIC) (14), metallothionein (Mac-MT) (15), and lipid metabolism-dysregulated macrophages identified with marker genes such as triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) and macrophage receptor with collagenous structure (MARCO) (16-18).

The studies herein utilized scRNA-seq to define infiltrating leukocytes in the progressive inflammatory process that has been observed in larger prostate volumes. Macrophage subclustering analysis identified, for the first time, stromal lipid-rich TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages in BPH tissues, and showed accumulation of these cells in large versus small prostates. These

studies correlated these macrophage subpopulations with clinical parameters and evaluated the effect of lipid-loaded macrophages on epithelial and stromal proliferation *in vitro*. Together, our data suggest a role for lipid-rich macrophages in BPH progression and identify a novel inflammatory target for BPH treatment.

Materials and methods

All research studies were conducted in accordance with applicable local, state, and national regulations. Human studies were conducted under the approval of the Endeavor Health (formerly known as NorthShore University HealthSystem) Institutional Review Board (IRB). No patients received compensation for participation in these studies.

Isolation of CD45⁺ cells from human tissues

Human prostate transition zone tissues were ethically procured with the IRB-approved NorthShore Urologic Disease Biorepository and Database with informed consent and de-identified clinical annotation. Small prostate tissues were obtained from 10 male patients undergoing robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy (RALP) for prostate cancer, International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) of <15, Gleason 6-7, and estimated prostate volume of <40 grams by imaging with transrectal ultrasound (TRUS), CT scan, or MRI. Patients with a small prostate and severe urinary symptoms were not included in this study. Tissues were also obtained from 10 male patients with large volume prostates (estimated prostate size of >90 grams) who were undergoing either RALP for prostate cancer (Gleason 6-7) or simple prostatectomy for BPH. A subset of these data, including CD45+ cells from 10 small and 4 large prostate tissues, were summarized in a previous study (7). Clinical information for all 20 patients is included in Supplementary Data Sheet 1. The transition zone (TZ) was dissected similarly for both small and large prostate tissues and were pathologically verified to have no (or minimal) cancer burden. Tissue pieces were separated for formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) histology or digested and prepared for fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). Tissues were minced, then digested while shaking at 37°C for 2 hours in 200 U/mL Collagenase I (Gibco) + 1 mg/mL DNase I (Roche) + 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution. Digestion solution was replaced with TrypLE Express dissociation reagent (Gibco) and allowed to shake at 37°C for 5-10 minutes. Digested samples were neutralized in RPMI + 10% FBS, then mechanically disrupted by pipetting repeatedly. Samples were passed through a 100µm cell strainer, then washed. Red blood cells were lysed in a hypotonic buffer before the cell suspension was stained with Zombie Violet (Biolegend Cat# 423114)and blocked with Human TruStain FcX blocking antibody (BioLegend Cat# 422302, RRID: AB_2818986). CD45-PE [clone HI30] (Biolegend Cat# 304058, RRID: AB_2564156), EpCAM-APC [clone 9C4] (Biolegend Cat# 324208), and CD200-PE/Cy7 [clone OX-104] (Biolegend Cat# 329212, RRID: AB_2563247) antibodies were added to stain samples in preparation for FACS on a BD FACSAria II. Approximately 100,000 viable CD45⁺CD200⁻EpCAM⁻ cells were sorted for downstream analysis.

A separate tube of the digested cell suspension was labeled for flow cytometry analysis of immune cells and stained with Zombie Violet (Biolegend Cat# 423114)as well as CD45-FITC [clone HI30] (Biolegend Cat# 304006, RRID: AB_314394), CD11b-PE/Cy7 [clone ICRF44] (BioLegend Cat# 301322, RRID: AB_830644), CD19-APC/ Cy7 [clone HIB19] (BioLegend Cat# 302218, RRID: AB_314248), CD3-APC [clone UCHT1] (BioLegend Cat# 300412, RRID: AB_314066), CD4-PE [clone RPA-T4] (BioLegend Cat# 300508, RRID: AB_314076), and CD8-BV510 [clone RPA-T8] (BioLegend Cat# 301048, RRID: AB_2561942) antibodies. Information for all antibodies can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

scRNA-seq of CD45⁺ cells

FACS-isolated cells were spun down and washed at least twice before loading onto the 10X Chromium System (10X Genomics), with Single Cell 3' Library & Gel Bead Kit, v3.0 reagents. Cells from three small and nine large tissues were stained with TotalSeq-B Antibodies (Biolegend) for CITE-seq analysis. Antibodies for CD3 [clone UCHT1] (BioLegend Cat# 300477, RRID: AB_2800722), CD4 [clone RPA-T4] (BioLegend Cat# 300565, RRID: AB_2800724), CD8 [clone RPA-T8] (BioLegend Cat# 301069, RRID: AB_2800729), CD19 [clone HIB19] (BioLegend Cat# 302263, RRID: AB_2800740), CD11b [clone ICRF44] (BioLegend Cat# 301357, RRID: AB_2800731), and CD56 (NCAM) [clone 5.1H11] (BioLegend Cat# 362561, RRID: AB_2814309) were used following the manufacturer's instructions before loading into the Chromium System. Cells were loaded for downstream evaluation of 5,000 cells/ sample and cDNA amplification and library preparation were conducted according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were sent to the Purdue Genomics Core Facility for post-library construction quality control, quantification, and sequencing. A highsensitivity DNA chip was run on an Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent) per the recommendation of 10x Genomics. Additional quality control was performed by running a denatured DNA pico chip (Agilent) followed by an AMPure cleanup (Beckman Coulter). Final library quantification was completed using a Kapa kit (Roche KK4824) prior to sequencing. Normalized pools were sequenced using a NovaSeq S4 flow cell on a NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina) with 2x150 base-pair reads at a depth of 50,000 reads/cell. Libraries generated from cell surface protein labeling were sequenced at a depth of 5,000 reads/cell.

Initial processing and quality control of scRNA-seq data

Sequencing reads were processed using the CellRanger pipeline v3.0.0 (10x Genomics). Specifically, CellRanger mkfastq was run to generate FASTQ files using the flag "—use-bases-mask=Y26n*,I8n*,n*, Y98n", ignoring dual indices, and allowing 0 mismatches. Alignment to the ENSEMBL GrCh38 human reference genome, barcoded

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1494476

filtering, and counting unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) were performed using the program CellRanger count. R version 4.1.2 and Bioconductor version 3.8 were used in scRNA-seq statistical analyses unless explicitly mentioned. Cells with between 1,000 and 10,000 observed genes were retained, and less than 22% of all reads were mapped to mitochondrial genes. Run metrics and a summary of the data produced by the scRNA-seq analyses are shown in Supplementary Table S3. Raw and processed scRNA-seq data were uploaded to GEO and are available under accession numbers GSE269205.

Clustering and downstream analysis of combined leukocyte scRNA-seq data

Data normalization and unsupervised clustering of scRNA-seq data were performed using Seurat version 4.1.0 (19–23). The scTransform package v.0.3.3 (24) was used to normalize and scale the data, ultimately removing unwanted heterogeneity by regressing the UMI counts, cell cycle scores, and the percent of reads mapping to mitochondrial genes. Seurat's Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) (21) was utilized for batch effect correction and integration of all 20 samples.

The first 15 principal components of the scaled data were then used for downstream analyses. Unsupervised clustering was performed using graph-based approaches to construct K-nearest neighbor graphs (K=15) in Seurat. The Seurat implementation of the Louvain method for community detection was then used to identify clusters of similar cells by optimizing the modularity function (25). The R package cluster v 0.4.4 (26) was used to select the optimal resolution of 0.5, ultimately allowing the selection of a resolution that provides stable, resolved clusters. Marker genes were identified for each cluster using the Wilcoxon rank sum test (27), as implemented within Seurat. These markers were considered statistically significant at a 1% false discovery rate (FDR).

Immune cell clusters were identified using a consensus approach employing automatic as well as manual annotation methods. Protein and gene expression of specific marker genes were used to identify specific immune cell populations. Specifically, protein CD3 and gene expression of CD3D, CD3E, or CD3G were used to identify T lymphocytes, and protein expression of CD4 and CD8 (along with gene expression of CD4 and CD8A) were used to identify CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, respectively. High expression of the protein CD56 or gene NCAM1, as well as expression of CD3 (or gene expression of CD3D, CD3E, or CD3G), allowed the identification of CD3⁺ NK cells. High expression of the protein CD11b in CITE-seq data or of genes ITGAM or CD68 identified myeloid cells. High expression of the protein CD19 in CITE-seq data (and of genes CD19 or MS4A1) was used to identify B cells. Mast cells were identified through high expression of KIT, and plasma cells were identified using expression of CD27. Next, clusters of immune cells were also identified automatically using singleR (28), which uses a correlation-based approach to identify cell types.

Differentially expressed genes with FDR<5% were identified between small and large sample groups using the Wilcoxon rank sum test after "pseudobulking" across cells within samples using the function AggregateExpression() in Seurat. The Benjamini-Hochberg method (29) was used to correct P-values for multiple testing. A permutation test was used to identify clusters of cells that statistically significantly increase or decrease in number between large and small samples, as described in (30), and Cohen's h statistic was used to calculate the effect size. Gene ontology terms, KEGG pathways, and Reactome pathways that are enriched amongst the cluster marker genes and the differentially expressed genes were identified by the package ClusterProfiler v.4.2.2 (31, 32) using all of the detected genes from the dataset as the background and controlling FDR at 5%.

Subclustering and identification of macrophage cell states

For the macrophage clustering, clusters 2, 5, 9, 11, 12, and 13 were selected from the combined clustering, re-normalized, integrated using anchor-based clustering, and communities were detected. Next, cells expressing *CD3D*, *CD3E*, *CD3G*, *CD19*, *CD20*, and *CD79A* were removed. Cells expressing *CD3* genes were moved to the T cell subclustering analysis. Finally, cells expressing keratins (*KRT5*, *KRT8*, *KRT15*, *KRT18*, *KRT19*, *KRT81*, or *KRT86*) were removed. Subsequently, the remaining cells were re-normalized, clustered, and communities detected. The top 12 principal components were used in clustering the cells and an optimal resolution of 0.4 was used for community detection. Identification of marker genes, differentially expressed genes, and enriched pathways were performed as described above for the combined leukocyte analysis.

The BPH macrophage subsets were annotated using macrophage subsets from the synovial tissue of patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (33). Garnett (34) was used to train a classifier using default parameters and the top 20 statistically significant upregulated marker genes from each subset, ranked by fold-change as features.

Macrophage polarization signature analysis

An analysis was performed to quantify macrophage polarization, specifically identifying cells with enrichment of M1 (LPS+IFNg treatment) or M2 (IL-4, IL-13 treatment) gene signatures using the addModuleScore() function in Seurat. This function takes a list of features (a gene set) and calculates a score for the gene set in each individual cell. This score is calculated by taking the average expression on a single-cell level for the given feature list, which are then subtracted by the average expression of control features, which include 100 randomly selected features from a range of expression levels. The gene signatures from Becker, et. al (13) were used, where the M1 signature included ADAM28, AIM2, ANKRD22, APOBEC3A, APOL1, APOL3, BATF2, C1R, C1S, CCL19, CD38, CD40, CD80, CFB, CLEC4D, CXCL10, CXCL9, CYBB, DUCP10, DUSP6, ETV7, FAM49A, FAM65B, FCGR1B, FPR2, GADD45G, GBP1, GBP2, GBP4, GBP5, GCH1, GK, GPR84, GUCY1A3, HERC5, HESX1, HLA-F, IFI27, IFI35, IFI44L, IFIH1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM1, IFITM2, IL15, IL15RA, IL32, INHBA, IRF1, IRF7, ISG15, ISG20, ITGAL, ITGB7, LAG3, LAMP3, LIMK2,

LRRK2, MUC1, MX1, NAMPT, NFKBIZ, OAS1, OAS2, OAS3, OASL, OPTN, PAG1, PARP14, PCNX, PDE4B, PIM1, PRKAR2B, PSMB9, PTGS2, RARRES3, RCN1, RHBDF2, RSAD2, SAT1, SCO2, SEPT4, SERPING1, SLAMF7, SLC22A15, SLC25A28, SLC31A2, SLC6A12, SLC7A5, SNTB1, SNX10, SOCS3, SOD2, STAT1, STAT3, STX11, TAP1, TNFAIP6, TNFSF10, TRIM69, UBE2L6, USP18, VAMP5, WARS, and XRN1, while the M2 signature included ADAM19, ALOX15, ARRB1, BZW2, CARD9, CCL13, CCL17, CCL23, CD1A, CD1C, CD1E, CDR2L, CHN2, CLEC4A, CLIC2, CMTM8, CRIP1, CTSC, DUSP22, EMILIN2, ESPNL, F13A1, FOXQ1, FSCN1, FZD2, GALNTL4, GATM, GPD1L, GSTP1, ITM2C, KCNK6, MAOA, MAP4K1, MAPKAPK3, MFNG, MS4A6A, NMNAT3, OSBPL7, P2RY11, PALLD, PAQR4, PELP1, PLAU, PON2, PPP1R14A, PTGS1, RAMP1, REPS2, RGS18, RRS1, S100A4, SEC14L5, SHPK, SPINT2, TGFB1, TMEM97, VCL, SNF789. Control features were selected using binning based on average expression, and control features were randomly selected from each bin, as implemented in Seurat (25). We calculated an aggregate M1 and M2 gene module score based on the average expression levels of each gene on a single cell level, subtracting out the aggregated expression of control feature sets. We confirmed the gene-module-based classification of clusters using the M1 and M2 signature genes mentioned above to perform a gene set enrichment analysis in QuSAGE v.2.28.0 (35). Classifications to either M1-like, M2-like, Mixed M1- & M2-like, or Neither M1- nor M2-like were made based on log(fold-change) and p<0.01. The QuSAGE results are indicated in Supplementary Table S1.

Subclustering and identification of T cell subsets

T cells and CD3+ NK cells were then subset out of this combined clustering by taking clusters 0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 10, 16, and 17 and combined with cells from all other clusters that express *CD3D*, *CD3E*, or *CD3G*. Next, cells expressing *CD68*, *CD19*, *CD20*, and *CD79A* were removed. Cells expressing *CD68* were moved to the macrophage subclustering analysis. Finally, cells expressing keratins (*KRT5*, *KRT8*, *KRT15*, *KRT18*, *KRT19*, *KRT81*, or *KRT86*) were removed. Data was re-normalized, and integrated using anchorbased clustering, and communities were detected. The top 13 principal components were used in clustering the cells and a resolution of 0.5 was used for community detection. Identification of marker genes, differentially expressed genes, and enriched pathways were performed as described above for the combined leukocyte analysis.

RNA velocity analyses

RNA velocity analyses were performed on the macrophage subclusters. Loom files were created from CellRanger output using Velocyto (36) v.0.17.17. RNA velocity analyses were performed using the embeddings and clusters identified by Seurat after exporting the genes, counts, metadata, and embeddings from the macrophage and T cell subclustering results. An RNA velocity analysis was performed using scVelo (37) v. 2.4.0, employing the dynamical model used for velocity analysis. Following scVelo analysis, CellRank (38) v. 1.5.1 was run in an attempt to uncover cellular dynamics. The scVelo and CellRank analyses were applied to the integrated macrophage subclustering dataset.

Immunofluorescence

Human FFPE BPH tissue sections of 5µm thickness were mounted on slides and prepared for immunofluorescence (IF). Sections were deparaffinized in xylene, treated with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) for endogenous peroxidase removal, and rehydrated using gradient ethanol concentrations. Heat-based antigen retrieval was completed with Antigen Unmasking Solution (Vector H-3300) and blocking was conducted using 10% goat serum in 1% BSA solution. Primary antibodies targeting TREM2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 702886, RRID: AB_2762383) and CD68 (Agilent Cat# M0814, RRID: AB_2314148) were incubated overnight at 4°C, followed by secondary antibody labeling with anti-mouse AF594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-11032, RRID: AB_2534091) and antirabbit AF488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A27034) before mounting with DAPI. Analysis was not blinded since there was no allocation of groups for comparison.

BODIPY staining of macrophage subpopulations from human prostate tissue

Prostate TZ tissues were obtained through biobank (as above), minced, and digested in 200 U/mL Collagenase I (Gibco), 1 mg/mL DNAse I (Fisher), and 1% antibiotic/antimyotic solution in Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (Fisher) shaking at 37°C for 2.5 hours. TrypLE Express dissociation reagent (Gibco) was used to dissociate tissues for an additional 5-10 minutes at 37°C. The neutralized cell suspension and remaining tissue pieces were mechanically dissociated using a 16G needle and passed through 100 μm and 70 μm cell strainers. The cell suspension was washed and Ammonium-Calcium-Potassium (ACK) buffer was used to lyse red blood cells. Samples were stained with Zombie Violet (Biolegend Cat# 423114) and Human TruStain FcX Blocking Reagent (BioLegend Cat# 422302, RRID: AB_2818986)for 10 minutes. The cells were washed and stained with 2 μ M BODIPY 493/503 (ThermoFisher D3922) for 15 minutes at 37°C. After washing, the cells were stained with CD3-BV605 [clone UCHT1] (BioLegend Cat# 300459, RRID: AB_2564379), CD19-BV605 [clone HIB19] (BioLegend Cat# 302243, RRID: AB_2562014), CD56-BV605 [clone 5.1H11] (BioLegend Cat# 362537, RRID: AB_2565855), CD45-PE/Cy7 [clone HI30] (BioLegend Cat# 304016, RRID: AB_314404), HLADR-AF700 [clone L243] (BioLegend Cat# 307626, RRID: AB_493771), CD1c-BV650 [clone L161] (BioLegend Cat# 331541, RRID: AB_2800865), CD74-PE [clone LN2] (BioLegend Cat# 326807, RRID: AB_2229059) antibodies (Biolegend), and TREM2-APC [clone

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1494476

237920] (R&D Systems Cat# FAB17291A) before flow cytometry on a BD FACSAria Fusion. The gating strategy for the analysis of macrophages is in Supplementary Figure S8.

Transwell co-culture and proliferation assay

THP-1 cells were purchased and authenticated from ATCC (STRB0424) and used within 20 passages from testing. THP-1 cells were cultured precisely as indicated by ATCC. NHPrE-1 and BHPrS-1 cell lines were isolated and cultured as benign epithelial and stromal prostatic cell models (39, 40). The authentication of NHPrE-1 (STRA3441) and BHPrS-1 (STRB0418) cells was completed by ATCC, and all experiments were conducted within 20 passages from testing.

THP-1 cells were differentiated with 10 ng/mL phorbol 12myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (Sigma) in 0.4 μ m transparent PET membrane inserts (Falcon) for 48 hours. After differentiation, PMA was removed and the macrophages were treated with or without 100 μ M oleic acid (Sigma O3008) or 10 μ M cholesterol (Sigma C4951). After 48 hours, the inserts were washed and transferred into wells containing epithelial and stromal cells (NHPrE-1 and BHPrS-1) for co-culture in media containing 1% serum. The co-cultures were incubated for four days, followed by a crystal violet growth assay. Briefly, epithelial or stromal cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and then solubilized with 10% acetic acid. A spectrophotometer was used to obtain absorbance values at 590 nm.

Immunohistochemistry and Oil Red O staining

Fresh, frozen tissue sections from two simple prostatectomy patients (independent of the scRNA-seq cohort) were dried onto slides and fixed in 10% formalin. Sections were permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X-100 and treated with 1.5% H₂O₂ diluted in PBS to remove endogenous peroxidases. Sections were blocked with 5% horse serum in 1% BSA solution and incubated with the anti-CD68 primary antibody (KP1, Dako) for 60 minutes at room temperature. After washing, secondary antibody staining and ABC labeling were completed using the Vectastain Universal Elite ABC HRP kit (Vector PK-6200). Next, sections underwent Oil Red O staining by pretreating with propylene glycol for 4 minutes, followed by Oil Red O incubation for 1.5 hours while rocking. DAB was completed after washing off the Oil Red O with ddH₂O. Finally, IHC was completed with hematoxylin counterstain and cover slipping with aqueous mounting medium.

Statistical analysis

The statistics of the exploratory scRNA-seq analysis are described within the relevant bioinformatic data analysis sections above. The descriptive p-values of the scRNA-seq studies were used to design *in vitro* and *ex vivo* experiments to test specific hypotheses. Where appropriate, graphs indicate the mean +/- SD for each group.

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were completed by Dr. Chi-Hsiung Wang during the review process to determine if data had normal distribution. Groups were compared using a student's t-test when normal distribution was observed, and using a Mann-Whitney U test when non-normal distribution was observed. These tests as well as the linear regression analysis was conducted using Prism software version 7.05 (GraphPad). Statistical significance of in vitro assays testing the null hypothesis that lipid treatment in macrophages has no effect on prostatic epithelial or stromal cell growth was calculated using a oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA). Testing the null hypothesis that there was no difference in intracellular lipid between TREM2+ vs TREM2⁻ macrophages (or CD74⁺ vs CD74⁻ macrophages) was calculated using a paired, two-tailed t-test after ex vivo analysis of these macrophage subsets. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In data figures, significance is indicated by *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, and ****=p<0.0001, unless noted otherwise. Where applicable, the effect size was determined using Cohen's d statistic.

Data availability

The scRNA-seq data is available in GEO under accession numbers GSE269205. Any further information about tissue resources and reagents associated with these studies should be directed to, and will be fulfilled by, the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability

The R scripts used to perform the scRNA-seq analysis are available at https://github.com/natallah/Lipid-rich_macrophages_accrue_in_large_prostates_2024 and a stable version of the scripts at the time of manuscript submission is available through Zenodo (41) at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo11494628 and is associated with an Apache 2.0 license, allowing users to freely use the scripts for any purpose.

Results

Myeloid cells increase in abundance as human prostate size increases

In these studies, existing scRNA-seq human prostate transition zone (TZ) leukocyte data (7) were expanded to provide a balanced set, where CD45+EpCAM-CD200- leukocytes from 10 "large" (>90 grams) prostate tissues were compared to leukocytes from 10 "small" (<40 grams) prostate tissues (Figure 1A; Table 1). Detailed patient characteristics are listed in Supplementary Data Sheet 1. Patients with large prostates had higher IPSS values (p=0.0008, d=1.80) compared to patients with smaller prostates, but there was no statistically significant difference in age or body mass index (BMI; marginal p=0.0552, d=0.92) between groups (Supplementary Figures S1A–D). Visualization of all CD45⁺ cells by scRNA-seq identified a



FIGURE 1

Myeloid cells increase in abundance as human prostate size increases. A total of 10 small (<40 grams) and 10 large (>90 grams) prostate transition zone tissues were digested and prepared for FACS, followed by scRNA-seq of CD45+ cells. (A) Schematic representing the setup for scRNA-seq studies of human BPH leukocytes. Viable CD45⁺EpCAM⁻CD200⁻ cells were sorted by FACS. Single-cell RNA-seq was conducted using the 10X Chromium system, aiming for 5000 cells/sample at a depth of 50,000 reads/cell. (B) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plot of 100,459 individual cells from 20 patient samples, demonstrating dominant T and myeloid cell populations. Each color indicates a unique cell cluster. (C) UMAP of CD45+ cells from the 20 TZ tissues in (A), colored to highlight cells from small (blue) or large (pink) prostates. (D) Graph representing the mean +/- SD of the percentage of cells in each scRNA-seq cluster among total CD45⁺ cells between samples in large (pink) versus small (blue) prostates (n=10/group). Asterisks indicate a statistically significant increase (clusters 2, 12, 18) or decrease (clusters 1, 3, 7, 21) in populations from large versus small prostate tissues by permutation test (*=FDR <0.05 for each). (E) Flow cytometry analysis of the number of CD45⁺CD11b⁺ myeloid cells per 100,000 viable cells +/- SD in the digested patient samples used for scRNA-seq analysis (available for n=9 per group). **p=0.0096, d=1.39 using an unpaired t-test.

broad spectrum of inflammatory cell types, with T and myeloid cells as the most abundant major classes (Figure 1B). General cell types were determined using highly expressed cluster markers and CITEseq (42) analysis (Supplementary Figures S1H–K). Comparing cells from large versus small prostates identified shifts in the relative abundance of cell clusters, with an increase in some myeloid clusters and a decrease in some T cell clusters (Figures 1C, D). These shifts in cell abundance did not appear to be related to skewed contributions from individual patient samples (Supplementary Figures S2A, B). Evaluation of CD11b⁺ myeloid cells, CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells, and CD19⁺ B cells by flow cytometry in these patient samples indicate that increased myeloid cells (p=0.0096; d=1.39) in large versus small samples are the primary contributor to accumulated leukocytes in BPH, although $CD4^+$ T cells also increase in large versus small samples (Figure 1E; Supplementary Figures S2C-E). These data suggest that myeloid cells are critically involved in the progressive inflammation that is associated with prostatic hyperplasia.

TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophage subtypes accumulate in large versus small prostates

Subclustering analysis of prostatic myeloid cells from all 20 patients was performed to investigate specific changes in the subpopulations.

TABLE 1 Demographic summary of scRNA-seq patient groups.

Group	Sample ID	Age (years)	BMI	Prostate Volume (mL)
Small	003	67	24.9	20
	004	61	25.1	32.7
	006	74	25.5	17.2
	007	61	26.6	25
	008	68	22.6	21
	009	63	27.2	23.3
	010	68	27.8	29.5
	1144	61	26.2	29
	013	69	27.3	31
	1196	71	27.2	34
	Mean:	66.3	26.0	26.3
Large	766	71	34.4	227.7
	012	67	26.3	100
	1157	76	24.3	145
	1195	63	29	123
	1338	51	38	140
	1344	64	33.6	133
	0118	68	22.5	96.1
	1579	64	24.7	114
	1595	73	32.7	335
	1652	59	29.4	207
	Mean:	65.6	29.5	162.1

Patient demographics of the 20 patients used for scRNA-seq prostate tissues including patient age, BMI, and prostate volume.

Bold values indicate the mean age, BMI, and prostate volume for each group.

Myeloid subclustering analysis demonstrates 14 bioinformatically distinct subclusters (Figure 2A). SingleR does not identify specific subpopulations (Supplementary Figure S3A), so empirical evaluation of biomarkers was used to classify subclusters (Figures 2A, B; Supplementary Figure S3B). Subclusters 8, 9 (FCN1⁺VCAN⁺S100A8/ 9⁺), and 12 (FTH1⁺S100A4⁺) indicate a monocyte/macrophage phenotype (15). Subclusters 6 and 10 (CD1C⁺FCER1A⁺CLEC10A⁺) were identified as type 2 conventional dendritic cells (cDC2s). Mitochondrial genes are among the top markers for subcluster 3 despite normalization. Apparent resident macrophages (Møs) were also discovered, with subclusters 4 and 5 expressing LYVE1, F13A1, and FOLR2 (43), while subclusters 0 and 5 express C1QC, CD74, and APOE (44). To further substantiate resident populations, alignment of yolk-sac derived macrophage markers using Garnett (34) indicates this phenotype primarily coincides with subclusters 3 and 4, and, to a lesser extent, subcluster 5 (Supplementary Figures S4A, B). Subcluster 0 is the

only subcluster with high expression of TREM2, previously defined as lipid-associated macrophages in other diseases, such as obesity (45) and atherosclerosis (46, 47). Subcluster 7 contains TNF⁺IL1B⁺ inflammatory macrophages, but subclusters 1 and 2 may also have inflammation-regulating properties since they have upregulated expression of NR4A1⁺SPP1⁺TNF⁺ and SPP1⁺IL1B⁺ subcluster markers, respectively. Minor populations include metallothioneinexpressing macrophages [MAC-MT (15)] and interferon-inducible cell macrophages [IFNIC M\$\$ (48, 49)] in subclusters 11 $(MT1F^+MT1E^+MT1X^+)$ and 13 $(ISG15^+MX1^+IFITM3^+IFITM1^+)$, respectively. Permutation analysis of macrophage subclusters indicates a statistically significant decrease in the abundance of subclusters 2 (Møs), 6 (cDC2), 9, and 12 (monocytes/macrophages), but a statistically significant increase in the abundance of subclusters 0 (TREM2⁺: FDR=1.5x10⁻⁴, h=0.27) and 5 (MARCO⁺: FDR=1.5x10⁻⁴, h=0.65) in large versus small prostate TZ tissues (Figures 2C, D). These data suggest either proliferation or increased recruitment/polarization of these macrophage cell states in BPH patients. Evaluation of proliferating cells by aligning myeloid cells to a cell cycle-related gene classifier indicates that, indeed, TREM2+ and MARCO+ macrophages (subclusters 0 and 5, respectively) are the two myeloid subclusters with the highest expression of cell cycle-related genes in BPH (Supplementary Figures S4C, D).

BPH macrophages that do not reflect either an M1 or M2 phenotype specifically accumulate with increased prostate volume

Macrophage polarization to M1 and M2 phenotypes has been used widely to suggest either pro-or anti-inflammatory function in various disease states (13), however, these definitions scarcely reflect macrophage phenotypes in vivo. Alignment of BPH myeloid subpopulations with M1 and M2 transcriptional profiles determined that some clusters have primarily M1-like (subclusters 4, 7, 9, 11-13) or M2-like (subclusters 6 and 10) phenotypes, but other clusters have either a mixed phenotype (subclusters 2 and 8), or do not match well with either polarization profile (subclusters 0, 1, 3, and 5) in tissues (Figures 3A, B; Supplementary Table S1). The cDC2 subclusters were the only subpopulations with M2-like polarization, while macrophage subpopulations aligned with either M1-like, mixed, or neither M1 nor M2 phenotypes (Figures 2A, 3B). These data demonstrate the diversity of BPH macrophages and suggest that TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages (subclusters 0 and 5, respectively), which increase in relative abundance as the prostate expands, do not fit the spectrum of M1/M2 polarization (Figures 2, 3B). Furthermore, macrophage subpopulations within the neither M1-like nor M2-like category have a statistically significant increase in proportion of total myeloid cells (p<0.0001, d=2.26 via unpaired two-tailed t-test), while all other cluster phenotypes (M1-like, M2-like, and mixed)



FIGURE 2

TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophage subtypes accumulate in large versus small prostates. Myeloid cell subclustering was conducted by taking original myeloid clusters from the CD45+ scRNA-seq analysis, followed by removal of cells expressing keratin genes or T/B cell specific genes. (A) UMAP plot indicating 14 myeloid cell subclusters with putative identity. (B) Violin plots of genes used to identify myeloid subpopulations. (C) Graph representing the mean +/- SD of the percentage of cells in each myeloid subcluster among total myeloid cells between samples in large (pink) versus small (blue) prostates (n=10/group). Asterists indicate statistically significant changing populations in large versus small prostate tissues by permutation test (*FDR<0.05). Black rectangles indicate that subclusters 0 and 5 have statistically significant upregulation as a percentage of total myeloid cells in large versus small prostates (0: FDR=1.5x10⁻⁴, h=0.27; 5:FDR=1.5x10⁻⁴, h=0.65). (D) UMAP plot of myeloid subclustering from the 20 TZ tissues in (A), colored to highlight cells from small (blue) or large (pink) prostates.

have a statistically significant decrease in proportion of total myeloid cells (M1-like: p=0.0003, d=2.08; M2-like: p=0.0472, d=0.95; and Mixed: p=0.0201, d=1.14; respectively, via U test or unpaired t-test) in large versus small prostate tissues (Figure 3C). To determine whether BPH myeloid cells align with previously defined subpopulations, our myeloid subpopulations were evaluated based on the profiles of prostatic immune cells by Tuong et al (15). This evaluation suggests strong alignment, with DC, macrophage, and monocyte clusters overlapping accordingly (Supplementary Figure S4E). Furthermore, BPH macrophage subpopulations do align with synovial macrophage subtypes previously identified by Zhang et al. in rheumatoid arthritis patients (33) (Supplementary Figure S4F), indicating a transcriptional similarity between myeloid cells in BPH and a defined autoimmune disease.

Velocity analysis suggests that TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages are an initial cell state

Given the known plasticity of macrophages, velocity analysis was conducted to determine the trajectory of these subpopulations related to one another. This analysis reveals that the majority of trajectories originate with $TREM2^+$ macrophages (cluster 0) and $MARCO^+$ macrophages (cluster 5), while the DCs originate with cluster 10, and clusters 8 and 12 may be a source of monocytes (Figure 4A). While these paths are generally similar between macrophages from both small and large tissue samples (Supplementary Figure S4G), the velocity length in clusters 0 and 5 decrease as prostate size increases, suggesting cells within these clusters may be more stable in that phenotype (Figure 4B) (50). If



less transition from $TREM2^+$ monocyte-derived or $MARCO^+$ tissueresident macrophages to other clusters occurs, this increased stability could be a reason that these specific cell states accumulate in large BPH tissues (Figures 2–4).

TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages accumulate intracellular lipid and are associated with clinical characteristics

Since $TREM2^+$ macrophages accumulate in BPH tissues, immunofluorescence was conducted to determine the localization of these cells in human prostate TZ. $TREM2^{high}CD68^+$ macrophages are primarily located within stromal areas, while $TREM2^{low}CD68^+$ macrophages are located both within the stroma and adjacent to epithelial cells (Figure 5A). Evaluating overlapping upregulated marker genes (LogFC>0.5) between $TREM2^+$ and $MARCO^+$ macrophages highlighted CD74 as a potential extracellular marker and indicated upregulation of lipidrelated genes such as *LIPA*, *APOE*, and *MSR1*. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis identified upregulation of the PPAR signaling pathway in both subclusters (Supplementary Figures S5, S6). Lipid and

atherosclerosis pathways were among the top statistically significant altered pathways in both TREM2+ (subcluster 0) and MARCO⁺ (subcluster 5) macrophages (Supplementary Figure S7). Furthermore, linear regression of the percent cells in each cluster among total myeloid cells from each patient yielded a statistically significant positive correlation with patient BMI (TREM2⁺ macrophages: p=0.0005, $R^2 = 0.5005$ and MARCO⁺ macrophages: p=0.0009, $R^2 = 0.468$) and IPSS (TREM2⁺ macrophages: p=0.0196, $R^2 = 0.2671$ and MARCO⁺ macrophages: p=0.0005, $R^2 = 0.499$) in this 20-patient cohort (Figures 5B-E). To determine if these cells have more intracellular lipid compared to other macrophages in the prostate, fresh TZ tissues were digested and stained with BODIPY to evaluate neutral lipid levels in the different cell types. HLADR⁺TREM2^{high} macrophages had statistically significant higher median fluorescent intensity (MFI) for BODIPY than HLADR⁺TREM2^{low} macrophages (p=0.0254, d=3.72 via paired, two-tailed t-test; Figures 5F, G). Using shared marker CD74 for evaluation of intracellular lipid in both TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages determined that HLADR⁺CD74^{high} macrophages have higher BODIPY MFI than HLADR+CD74^{low} macrophages (p=0.0531, d=2.70 via paired, two-tailed t-test; Supplementary Figure S8). The gating strategy for macrophage subset



identification is indicated in Supplementary Figure S9. These studies demonstrate that accumulating macrophages in BPH tissues increase intracellular neutral lipid stores and positively correlate with patient symptoms.

T cell subclustering indicates no accumulation of T cell subpopulations in large versus small volume prostates

Since lipid-rich myeloid cells have been shown to have decreased antigen presentation capability resulting in diminished T cell activation (51), we evaluated whether T cell subpopulations change as prostate size increases. Subclustering analysis of CD3⁺ T cells and CD3⁺ NK cells from all 20 samples was performed and visualized to produce 14 transcriptomically-distinct subpopulations (Supplementary Figure S10A). Identification of subclusters was

determined empirically with both CD4 and CD8 cell surface protein expression and with differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between clusters (Supplementary Figures S10, S11) (52-55). There are no statistically significant differences in T cell subclusters due to individual patient samples (Supplementary Figure S12A). When comparing cells from large versus small tissues, only CD16⁻ NK cells demonstrate an increase in relative proportion among total CD45⁺ cells, while the majority of T cell subclusters are unchanged with the exception of a decrease in the relative proportion of CD8⁺ T effector/central memory (T_{EM/CM}) and metallothionein expressing T (MT-T) cells (Supplementary Figures S11, S12B, C). Expression of metallothionein genes have also been used as markers of T cell exhaustion (56). While it is important to note that CD45⁺ inflammatory cells in general accumulate as prostate size increases (7, 57), there is no statistically significant increase in the relative abundance of T cell subclusters that associate with increasing prostate volume.



FIGURE 5

TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages are associated with IPSS and BMI and accumulate intracellular lipid. (A) Immunofluorescence image of human BPH tissue indicating TREM2 (green) co-localization with macrophage (M ϕ) marker CD68 (red). DAPI (blue) indicates nuclei. Red arrows identify TREM2^{low} macrophages and yellow arrows indicate TREM2^{high} macrophages in the prostate stroma. Scale bars = 100 µm. (B–E) Linear regression of the percentage of cells in TREM2+ cluster 0 (B, D) or MARCO+ cluster 5 (C, E) versus patient BMI (B, C) or IPSS (D, E). (F, G) Human BPH tissues were digested and stained for flow cytometry analysis. BODIPY staining intensity was measured in TREM2⁺ versus TREM2⁻ subpopulations. (F) Example histogram of BODIPY median fluorescence intensity (MFI) in TREM2⁺ (red) versus TREM2⁻ (blue) macrophage subpopulations. (G) Quantitation of (F) from 4 independent patient samples, where the BODIPY MFI was normalized to the MFI for all HLA-DR⁺ macrophages in each sample. *p=0.0254, d=3.72 via paired, two-tailed t-test.

Lipid-loaded THP-1 macrophages increase prostatic epithelial and stromal cell proliferation

The neutral lipid composition of lipid droplets is primarily triacylglycerols or cholesterol esters. To examine the impact of

excess lipid on the microenvironment, THP-1 monocyte-derived macrophages were pre-loaded with either cholesterol or oleic acid (OA) prior to transwell co-culture with prostatic epithelial (NHPrE-1) or stromal (BHPrS-1) cell lines. Both epithelial and stromal cells demonstrate a statistically significant increase in proliferation when co-cultured with OA-loaded macrophages, but not cholesterol-loaded macrophages, compared to control macrophages (NHPrE-1: p_{adj} =0.0138, d=5.20; BHPrS-1: p_{adj} =0.0027, d=2.83) via one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test (Figures 6A, B). To visualize lipid-loading in a subset of macrophages, BPH patient tissues were stained with both Oil Red O and CD68 via IHC. This analysis demonstrates the presence of both lipid-rich and lipid-poor macrophages in the prostate stroma of BPH patients (Figure 6C). These data support the idea that specific BPH macrophage subpopulations accumulate intracellular lipid and directly stimulate increased epithelial and stromal cell proliferation, resulting in increased prostatic hyperplasia and patient symptoms.

Discussion

The results from these studies provide a detailed cellular description of leukocytes within the prostate transition zone and demonstrate the specific accumulation of TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages as prostate size increases. The enriched macrophage subpopulations have elevated intracellular lipid and altered PPAR γ signaling compared to other BPH macrophages. Since these lipid-rich macrophages positively correlate with urinary symptom scores (IPSS) and lipid-loaded macrophages stimulate epithelial and stromal proliferation *in vitro*, the present data support that TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages promote prostatic hyperplasia and associated urinary symptoms in BPH patients.

Data herein highlight the complexity of macrophage phenotype classification. Macrophage plasticity is widely discussed and could be the result of ontogeny as well as responses to stimuli in the microenvironment. This highlights a need to understand

macrophage cell states in relation to organ and disease status, so that macrophage function can be characterized in a targeted manner. It is notable that the transcriptional phenotypes of resident macrophages can differ between organs even though function remains the same (58). Transcriptional profiling of macrophage cell states is further complicated by technical differences in processing and sequencing between studies within the same organ (15, 16, 59), highlighting a need for standardization of methodology. Nonetheless, the successful alignment of BPH macrophage subpopulations to those of synovial macrophages in rheumatoid arthritis illustrates the association between inflammation in BPH and autoimmune disease (7, 33) and confirms that inflammatory commonalities between conditions exist. The blend of macrophage phenotypes observed in BPH is suggestive of a chronic, non-resolving inflammatory state. Further studies are needed to investigate the involvement of T cell activation and function in the chronic inflammatory process in BPH tissues, as this will determine whether BPH categorizes into either an autoimmune or an autoinflammatory disease process.

BPH macrophage phenotypes described in these studies also indicate a need to update macrophage polarization categories. Previous studies have demonstrated that cells resembling M1/M2 polarization are spread among macrophage subclusters with varying transcriptional profiles (59, 60). Considering the presence of a progressive inflammatory state in BPH tissues as prostate size increases, it is reasonable to predict that macrophages with an M1 phenotype would accumulate in large versus small prostates. However, our data indicate that subpopulations of macrophages expressing neither M1- nor M2-like polarization signatures preferentially accumulate as prostate size increases, while M1-like



FIGURE 6

Lipid-loaded THP-1 macrophages increase prostatic epithelial and stromal cell proliferation. THP-1 monocytes were differentiated to macrophages (Møs) by 48-hour treatment with PMA. Cells were lipid-loaded with either cholesterol or oleic acid (OA) as indicated. (**A**, **B**) Crystal violet growth assay representing cell proliferation of (**A**) NHPrE-1 epithelial cells and (**B**) BHPrS-1 fibroblasts after 4 days of transwell co-culture with control, cholesterol-treated, or OA-treated macrophages. Graphs represent the mean +/- SD of the average values from 3-4 independent experiments, normalized to the cells incubated with control macrophages. P-values were calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. (**C**) Human BPH tissues were stained for neutral lipids with Oil Red O (red) and macrophage marker CD68 (brown) via IHC. Image demonstrates lipid-rich macrophages (arrows) and lipid-poor macrophages (asterisks) in the prostate stroma. Staining was completed using two BPH patient tissues, unique from the single-cell patient cohort. Scale bar = 20 µm. Lowercase letters indicate the results of Tukey's multiple comparisons test for Panels (**A**, **B**). Statistically different comparisons are indicated by different letters.

macrophages actually *decrease* in relative abundance in large versus small prostates. Activation of PPAR γ in lipid-loaded macrophages may be involved in the polarization signature since this transcription factor is known to inhibit expression of some inflammatory genes (61). PPAR γ signaling may also be responsible for the high expression of *MRC1* (CD206), a marker often used to indicate M2 polarization, in MARCO⁺ macrophages (62).

Accumulation of macrophage subpopulations in BPH tissues warrants the investigation of prostatic macrophage origin. Lineage tracing would be necessary to verify whether the macrophage subpopulations identified in these studies are derived from yolksac macrophages, fetal monocytes, or bone marrow hematopoietic stem cells (63, 64). However, analysis of previously defined conserved tissue-resident macrophage markers in this work suggests that some BPH macrophages are tissue-resident (43, 44). The analysis of cell cycle genes provides evidence that TREM2⁺ and MARCO⁺ macrophages are proliferating, but it is also possible that these cells are infiltrating the tissue from circulating monocytes given their stromal localization. Indeed, tissue-resident macrophages can be derived from monocytes as well as the yolksac or fetal liver (65). The velocity analysis also indicates these cells become more stable as prostate size increases, which is perhaps due to a biological function that keeps these cells from transitioning to other transcriptional phenotypes.

While lipid metabolism in prostate cancer has attracted substantial attention, there has been minimal investigation of these pathways in BPH tissues. Recent work by Popovics, et al. has indicated the presence of "foamy" macrophages in the glandular lumen of BPH tissues (66), but through our studies we discovered that a subpopulation of lipid-rich macrophages additionally accumulate in the stroma of human prostates and may contribute to cell proliferation and LUTS. Work in the steroid hormone imbalance model of BPH also suggests that TREM2^{hi} macrophages exist in the mouse prostate after hormone treatment (67).

The mechanism by which TREM2⁺ or MARCO⁺ macrophages accumulate lipid is unclear. If lipid-rich macrophages in BPH are indeed a combination of tissue-resident (MARCO⁺) and monocytederived (TREM2⁺) cells as the cluster markers suggest, it indicates that both systemic and local variables could contribute to lipidloading. Previous studies have determined that high fat diet can increase macrophage infiltration and alter polarization status in a prostate cancer model and demonstrated that lipid-loaded tumorassociated macrophages (MARCO⁺) support prostate tumor growth (16, 68). In BPH, positive correlations of TREM2⁺/MARCO⁺ macrophage abundance with patient BMI also supports a model where elevated systemic lipids serve as a source of lipid uptake, while the TREM2 or MARCO proteins themselves could serve as lipid receptors (16, 69). Intracellular reprogramming of lipid metabolism regulators or pathways could also contribute to lipid accumulation (70). Further studies are needed to explore both the cause(s) and downstream effects of the net gain of neutral lipid in BPH macrophages.

The scRNA-seq data presented in these studies have limitations. The classification of macrophage subpopulations was primarily empirical due to the lack of defined cell states as discussed above. However, the focus on immune cells in this study compared to previous datasets (71-73) provides both more cells and more genes/ cell for immune subcluster identification. The presence of lipid-rich macrophages was also verified in distinct BPH tissues. All identified immune subpopulations will be further investigated for unique biological functions in future studies. Although the scRNA-seq study was limited to 20 total patients and primarily examines the changes related to excessive prostate size in BPH, statistically significant changes within CD45⁺ leukocytes, specifically among macrophages, were identified in large versus small prostates. Finally, while the in vitro studies were limited to the use of THP-1 cells, which do not reflect macrophages in all disease states, the data support a model in which lipid-loading in macrophages promotes prostate cell proliferation. It is not known which lipids are most relevant to the inflamed BPH tissue microenvironment, so the naturally abundant fatty acid, oleic acid, was used for lipidloading and downstream proliferation studies.

Although these data indicate that TREM2⁺ macrophages positively correlate with patient-reported urinary symptoms via the IPSS, the question remains whether these cells drive BPH progression and subsequently worsen symptoms or are accumulating as a response, such as in an effort to resolve chronic inflammation. TREM2⁺ cells have been shown to have beneficial properties in hepatic and renal tissue damage, but blockade of these cells restores anti-tumor immunity (74-77). There is evidence for both pro- and anti-atherosclerotic properties of TREM2 (47, 78), and it remains possible that lipid-loaded macrophages have a role in restraining inflammation via PPAR (79). Signaling induced by TREM2 can also result in the expression of phagocytic receptors and senescence genes in myeloid cells (69, 80). In the tumor microenvironment, lipidloading in tumor-associated macrophages decreases phagocytosis and increases PD-L1 expression, resulting in an immunosuppressive environment for tumor growth (51). However, increased TREM2 expression in myeloid cells can increase phagocytic ability and is elevated in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (69, 81). Thus, the function of TREM2⁺ cells may differ based on organ/disease context, with evidence that these cells promote progression of some autoimmune diseases.

These studies suggest potential therapeutic targets not previously investigated in BPH. Therapeutic targeting of inflammation in BPH patients using TNF-antagonists is underway (NCT06062875, clinicaltrials.gov). TNF blockade reduces macrophage accumulation in human and mouse prostate tissues (7), although the macrophage subtypes involved have not yet been determined. Lipid metabolism pathways have been investigated as therapeutic targets in prostate cancer patients, but not in BPH patients. Data here suggests that infiltrating lipid-rich macrophages may be a more specific inflammatory cell target in BPH patients. Further studies characterizing the role of these cells in BPH will yield new therapeutic strategies, such as lipid-based or cell-specific therapeutics, that are necessary to decrease chronic inflammation and voiding symptoms.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: GSE269205 (GEO).

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Endeavor Health (formerly known as NorthShore University HealthSystem) Institutional Review Board (IRB). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from the IRBapproved NorthShore Urologic Disease Biorepository and Database with informed consent and de-identified clinical annotation.

Author contributions

NL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. EM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. PF: Data curation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AK: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MB: Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing review & editing. YF: Data curation, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. HK: Formal analysis, Methodology, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. GC: Data curation, Methodology, Validation, Writing - review & editing. PT: Data curation, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. MA: Data curation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. SB: Data curation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. AG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AH: Data curation, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. BH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. OF: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. CW: Writing review & editing, Formal analysis, Validation. SC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Writing - original draft. TR: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. SH: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing -

review & editing. RV: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by 1P20DK116185 (SH and TR), R01DK117906 (SH), and R01DK135516 (SH and AG) from NIDDK, the Purdue University Institute for Cancer Research (NIH grant P30CA023168), the IU Simon Cancer Center (NIH grant P30CA082709), and the NorthShore University HealthSystem Research Institute/Medical Group Pilot Grant (RV). This work was also generously supported by the Collaborative Core for Cancer Bioinformatics, the Walther Cancer Foundation, and the Rob Brooks Fund for Precision Prostate Cancer Care.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate Phillip SanMiguel and the Purdue University Genomics Facility for their aid in scRNA-seq library normalization and sequencing. The authors are grateful to the NorthShore Biospecimen Repository, pathology assistants Taylor Marvin and Amanda Proulx, clinical research coordinator George Javich, and especially patients who have donated their tissue for research, without which much of this work would not have been possible. We also acknowledge Jeffrey Gaynes for his technical assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1494476/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Collaborators GBDBPH. The global, regional, and national burden of benign prostatic hyperplasia in 204 countries and territories from 2000 to 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Healthy Longev.* (2022) 3: e754–e76. doi: 10.1016/S2666-7568(22)00213-6

2. Ren J, Li Y, Zhang X, Xiong M, Zhang H, An L, et al. Correlation between metabolic syndrome and periurethral prostatic fibrosis: results of a prospective study. *BMC Urol.* (2024) 24:38. doi: 10.1186/s12894-024-01413-y

3. Lepor H. Pathophysiology of lower urinary tract symptoms in the aging male population. *Rev Urol.* (2005) 7 Suppl 7:S3–S11.

4. Foo KT. Decision making in the management of benign prostatic enlargement and the role of transabdominal ultrasound. *Int J Urol.* (2010) 17:974–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2042.2010.02668.x

5. Sandhu JS, Bixler BR, Dahm P, Goueli R, Kirkby E, Stoffel JT, et al. Management of lower urinary tract symptoms attributed to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH): AUA guideline amendment 2023. *J Urol.* (2024) 211:11–9. doi: 10.1097/JU.000000000003698

6. Torkko KC, Wilson RS, Smith EE, Kusek JW, van Bokhoven A, Lucia MS. Prostate biopsy markers of inflammation are associated with risk of clinical progression of benign prostatic hyperplasia: findings from the MTOPS study. *J Urol.* (2015) 194:454–61. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2015.03.103

7. Vickman RE, Aaron-Brooks L, Zhang R, Lanman NA, Lapin B, Gil V, et al. TNF is a potential therapeutic target to suppress prostatic inflammation and hyperplasia in autoimmune disease. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:2133. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29719-1

8. Strand DW, Aaron L, Henry G, Franco OE, Hayward SW. Isolation and analysis of discreet human prostate cellular populations. *Differentiation*. (2016) 91:139–51. doi: 10.1016/j.diff.2015.10.013

9. Parikesit D, Mochtar CA, Umbas R, Hamid AR. The impact of obesity towards prostate diseases. *Prostate Int.* (2016) 4:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.prnil.2015.08.001

10. Yin T, Li X, Li Y, Zang X, Liu L, Du M. Macrophage plasticity and function in cancer and pregnancy. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1333549. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1333549

11. Locati M, Curtale G, Mantovani A. Diversity, mechanisms, and significance of macrophage plasticity. *Annu Rev Pathol.* (2020) 15:123–47. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012418-012718

12. Mantovani A, Sozzani S, Locati M, Allavena P, Sica A. Macrophage polarization: tumor-associated macrophages as a paradigm for polarized M2 mononuclear phagocytes. *Trends Immunol.* (2002) 23:549–55. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4906(02)02302-5

13. Becker M, De Bastiani MA, Parisi MM, Guma FT, Markoski MM, Castro MA, et al. Integrated transcriptomics establish macrophage polarization signatures and have potential applications for clinical health and disease. *Sci Rep.* (2015) 5:13351. doi: 10.1038/srep13351

14. King KR, Aguirre AD, Ye YX, Sun Y, Roh JD, Ng RP Jr., et al. IRF3 and type I interferons fuel a fatal response to myocardial infarction. *Nat Med.* (2017) 23:1481–7. doi: 10.1038/nm.4428

15. Tuong ZK, Loudon KW, Berry B, Richoz N, Jones J, Tan X, et al. Resolving the immune landscape of human prostate at a single-cell level in health and cancer. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 37:110132. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110132

16. Masetti M, Carriero R, Portale F, Marelli G, Morina N, Pandini M, et al. Lipidloaded tumor-associated macrophages sustain tumor growth and invasiveness in prostate cancer. *J Exp Med.* (2022) 219(2):e20210564. doi: 10.1084/jem.20210564

17. Zernecke A, Erhard F, Weinberger T, Schulz C, Ley K, Saliba AE, et al. Integrated single-cell analysis-based classification of vascular mononuclear phagocytes in mouse and human atherosclerosis. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2023) 119:1676–89. doi: 10.1093/cvr/ cvac161

18. MacParland SA, Liu JC, Ma XZ, Innes BT, Bartczak AM, Gage BK, et al. Single cell RNA sequencing of human liver reveals distinct intrahepatic macrophage populations. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:4383. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06318-7

19. Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM 3rd, Zheng S, Butler A, et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell.* (2021) 184:3573–87 e29. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048

20. Hao Y, Stuart T, Kowalski MH, Choudhary S, Hoffman P, Hartman A, et al. Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2024) 42:293–304. doi: 10.1038/s41587-023-01767-y

21. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM3rd, et al. Comprehensive integration of single-cell data. *Cell*. (2019) 177:1888–902 e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031

22. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2018) 36:411–20. doi: 10.1038/nbt.4096

23. Satija R, Farrell JA, Gennert D, Schier AF, Regev A. Spatial reconstruction of single-cell gene expression data. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2015) 33:495–502. doi: 10.1038/ nbt.3192

24. Hafemeister C, Satija R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol.* (2019) 20:296. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1874-1

25. Blondel VD, Guillaume J-L, Lambiotte R, Lefebvre E. Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *J Stat Mech: Theory Exp.* (2008) 10:P10008. doi: 10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/P10008

26. Zappia L, Oshlack A. Clustering trees: a visualization for evaluating clusterings at multiple resolutions. *Gigascience*. (2018) 7. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giy083

27. Wilcoxon F. Individual comparisons by ranking methods. *Biom Bull.* (1945) 1:80–3. doi: 10.2307/3001968

28. Aran D, Looney AP, Liu L, Wu E, Fong V, Hsu A, et al. Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. *Nat Immunol.* (2019) 20:163–72. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0276-y

29. Benjamini YaH Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B*. (1995) 57:289–300. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

30. Miller SA, Policastro RA, Sriramkumar S, Lai T, Huntington TD, Ladaika CA, et al. LSD1 and aberrant DNA methylation mediate persistence of enteroendocrine progenitors that support BRAF-mutant colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* (2021) 81:3791–805. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-3562

31. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innovation (Camb)*. (2021) 2:100141. doi: 10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141

32. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS*. (2012) 16:284–7. doi: 10.1089/ omi.2011.0118

33. Zhang F, Wei K, Slowikowski K, Fonseka CY, Rao DA, Kelly S, et al. Defining inflammatory cell states in rheumatoid arthritis joint synovial tissues by integrating single-cell transcriptomics and mass cytometry. *Nat Immunol.* (2019) 20:928–42. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0378-1

34. Pliner HA, Shendure J, Trapnell C. Supervised classification enables rapid annotation of cell atlases. *Nat Methods.* (2019) 16:983-6. doi: 10.1038/s41592-019-0535-3

35. Yaari G, Bolen CR, Thakar J, Kleinstein SH. Quantitative set analysis for gene expression: a method to quantify gene set differential expression including gene-gene correlations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:e170. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt660

36. La Manno G, Soldatov R, Zeisel A, Braun E, Hochgerner H, Petukhov V, et al. RNA velocity of single cells. *Nature*. (2018) 560:494–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0414-6

37. Bergen V, Lange M, Peidli S, Wolf FA, Theis FJ. Generalizing RNA velocity to transient cell states through dynamical modeling. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2020) 38:1408–14. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0591-3

38. Lange M, Bergen V, Klein M, Setty M, Reuter B, Bakhti M, et al. CellRank for directed single-cell fate mapping. *Nat Methods*. (2022) 19:159–70. doi: 10.1038/s41592-021-01346-6

39. Jiang M, Strand DW, Fernandez S, He Y, Yi Y, Birbach A, et al. Functional remodeling of benign human prostatic tissues *in vivo* by spontaneously immortalized progenitor and intermediate cells. *Stem Cells.* (2010) 28:344–56. doi: 10.1002/stem.284

40. Franco OE, Jiang M, Strand DW, Peacock J, Fernandez S, Jackson RS 2nd, et al. Altered TGF-beta signaling in a subpopulation of human stromal cells promotes prostatic carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res.* (2011) 71:1272–81. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3142

41. Lanman NA. Infiltrating lipid-rich macrophage subpopulations identified as a regulator of increasing prostate size in human benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Zenodo* (2024). doi: 10.1101/2024.06.07.597992

42. Stoeckius M, Hafemeister C, Stephenson W, Houck-Loomis B, Chattopadhyay PK, Swerdlow H, et al. Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells. *Nat Methods*. (2017) 14:865–8. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4380

43. Vallejo J, Cochain C, Zernecke A, Ley K. Heterogeneity of immune cells in human atherosclerosis revealed by scRNA-Seq. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2021) 117:2537–43. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvab260

44. Zimmerman KA, Bentley MR, Lever JM, Li Z, Crossman DK, Song CJ, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing identifies candidate renal resident macrophage gene expression signatures across species. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2019) 30:767–81. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2018090931

45. Jaitin DA, Adlung L, Thaiss CA, Weiner A, Li B, Descamps H, et al. Lipidassociated macrophages control metabolic homeostasis in a trem2-dependent manner. *Cell.* (2019) 178:686–98 e14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.054

46. Willemsen L, de Winther MP. Macrophage subsets in atherosclerosis as defined by single-cell technologies. J Pathol. (2020) 250:705–14. doi: 10.1002/path.v250.5

47. Patterson MT, Firulyova MM, Xu Y, Hillman H, Bishop C, Zhu A, et al. Trem2 promotes foamy macrophage lipid uptake and survival in atherosclerosis. *Nat Cardiovasc Res.* (2023) 2:1015–31. doi: 10.1038/s44161-023-00354-3

48. Lin JD, Nishi H, Poles J, Niu X, McCauley C, Rahman K, et al. Single-cell analysis of fate-mapped macrophages reveals heterogeneity, including stem-like properties, during atherosclerosis progression and regression. *JCI Insight.* (2019) 4(4):e124574. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.124574

49. Zernecke A, Winkels H, Cochain C, Williams JW, Wolf D, Soehnlein O, et al. Meta-analysis of leukocyte diversity in atherosclerotic mouse aortas. *Circ Res.* (2020) 127:402–26. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.120.316903

50. Svensson V, Pachter L. RNA velocity: molecular kinetics from single-cell RNAseq. *Mol Cell.* (2018) 72:7–9. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.09.026

51. Marelli G, Morina N, Portale F, Pandini M, Iovino M, Di Conza G, et al. Lipidloaded macrophages as new therapeutic target in cancer. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2022) 10(7):e00458. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2022-004584

52. Andreatta M, Corria-Osorio J, Muller S, Cubas R, Coukos G, Carmona SJ. Interpretation of T cell states from single-cell transcriptomics data using reference atlases. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:2965. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-23324-4

53. Szabo PA, Levitin HM, Miron M, Snyder ME, Senda T, Yuan J, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of human T cells reveals tissue and activation signatures in health and disease. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:4706. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12464-3

54. Wang X, Shen X, Chen S, Liu H, Hong N, Zhong H, et al. Reinvestigation of classic T cell subsets and identification of novel cell subpopulations by single-cell RNA sequencing. *J Immunol.* (2022) 208:396–406. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100581

55. Jin W, Yang Q, Peng Y, Yan C, Li Y, Luo Z, et al. Single-cell RNA-Seq reveals transcriptional heterogeneity and immune subtypes associated with disease activity in human myasthenia gravis. *Cell Discovery*. (2021) 7:85. doi: 10.1038/s41421-021-00314-w

56. Xu L, Lu Y, Deng Z, Li X, Shi Y, Zhao K, et al. Single-cell landscape of immunocytes in patients with extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J Transl Med.* (2022) 20:210. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03424-5

57. Theyer G, Kramer G, Assmann I, Sherwood E, Preinfalk W, Marberger M, et al. Phenotypic characterization of infiltrating leukocytes in benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Lab Invest.* (1992) 66:96–107.

58. Italiani P, Boraschi D. From monocytes to M1/M2 macrophages: phenotypical vs. *Funct Differ Front Immunol.* (2014) 5:514. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00514

59. Song H, Weinstein HNW, Allegakoen P, Wadsworth MH 2nd, Xie J, Yang H, et al. Single-cell analysis of human primary prostate cancer reveals the heterogeneity of tumor-associated epithelial cell states. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:141. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-27322-4

60. Siefert JC, Cioni B, Muraro MJ, Alshalalfa M, Vivie J, van der Poel HG, et al. The prognostic potential of human prostate cancer-associated macrophage subtypes as revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. *Mol Cancer Res.* (2021) 19:1778–91. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-20-0740

61. Chawla A. Control of macrophage activation and function by PPARs. *Circ Res.* (2010) 106:1559–69. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.216523

62. Coste A, Dubourdeau M, Linas MD, Cassaing S, Lepert JC, Balard P, et al. PPARgamma promotes mannose receptor gene expression in murine macrophages and contributes to the induction of this receptor by IL-13. *Immunity*. (2003) 19:329–39. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(03)00229-2

63. McGrath KE, Frame JM, Palis J. Early hematopoiesis and macrophage development. Semin Immunol. (2015) 27:379-87. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2016.03.013

64. Stremmel C, Schuchert R, Wagner F, Thaler R, Weinberger T, Pick R, et al. Yolk sac macrophage progenitors traffic to the embryo during defined stages of development. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:75. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02492-2

65. Mass E, Nimmerjahn F, Kierdorf K, Schlitzer A. Tissue-specific macrophages: how they develop and choreograph tissue biology. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2023) 23:563–79. doi: 10.1038/s41577-023-00848-y

66. Popovics P, Skalitzky KO, Schroeder E, Jain A, Silver SV, Van Fritz F, et al. Steroid hormone imbalance drives macrophage infiltration and Spp1/osteopontin(+)

foam cell differentiation in the prostate. J Pathol. (2023) 260:177–89. doi: 10.1002/ path.v260.2

67. Silver SV, Tucker KJ, Vickman RE, Lanman NA, Semmes OJ, Alvarez NS, et al. Characterization of prostate macrophage heterogeneity, foam cell markers, and CXCL17 upregulation in a mouse model of steroid hormone imbalance. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:21029. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-71137-4

68. Hayashi T, Fujita K, Nojima S, Hayashi Y, Nakano K, Ishizuya Y, et al. High-fat diet-induced inflammation accelerates prostate cancer growth via IL6 signaling. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2018) 24:4309–18. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0106

69. Colonna M. The biology of TREM receptors. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2023) 23:580–94. doi: 10.1038/s41577-023-00837-1

70. Nardi F, Franco OE, Fitchev P, Morales A, Vickman RE, Hayward SW, et al. DGAT1 inhibitor suppresses prostate tumor growth and migration by regulating intracellular lipids and non-centrosomal MTOC protein GM130. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:3035. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-39537-z

71. Fei X, Liu J, Xu J, Jing H, Cai Z, Yan J, et al. Integrating spatial transcriptomics and single-cell RNA-sequencing reveals the alterations in epithelial cells during nodular formation in benign prostatic hyperplasia. *J Transl Med.* (2024) 22:380. doi: 10.1186/ s12967-024-05212-9

72. Henry GH, Malewska A, Joseph DB, Malladi VS, Lee J, Torrealba J, et al. A cellular anatomy of the normal adult human prostate and prostatic urethra. *Cell Rep.* (2018) 25:3530–42 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.11.086

73. Joseph DB, Henry GH, Malewska A, Reese JC, Mauck RJ, Gahan JC, et al. Singlecell analysis of mouse and human prostate reveals novel fibroblasts with specialized distribution and microenvironment interactions. *J Pathol.* (2021) 255:141–54. doi: 10.1002/path.v255.2

74. Coelho I, Duarte N, Barros A, Macedo MP, Penha-Goncalves C. Trem-2 promotes emergence of restorative macrophages and endothelial cells during recovery from hepatic tissue damage. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:616044. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.616044

75. Binnewies M, Pollack JL, Rudolph J, Dash S, Abushawish M, Lee T, et al. Targeting TREM2 on tumor-associated macrophages enhances immunotherapy. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 37:109844. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109844

76. Park MD, Reyes-Torres I, LeBerichel J, Hamon P, LaMarche NM, Hegde S, et al. TREM2 macrophages drive NK cell paucity and dysfunction in lung cancer. *Nat Immunol.* (2023) 24:792–801. doi: 10.1038/s41590-023-01475-4

77. Subramanian A, Vernon KA, Zhou Y, Marshall JL, Alimova M, Arevalo C, et al. Protective role for kidney TREM2(high) macrophages in obesity- and diabetes-induced kidney injury. *Cell Rep.* (2024) 43:114253. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114253

78. Piollet M, Porsch F, Rizzo G, Kapser F, Schulz DJJ, Kiss MG, et al. TREM2 protects from atherosclerosis by limiting necrotic core formation. *Nat Cardiovasc Res.* (2024) 3:269–82. doi: 10.1038/s44161-024-00429-9

79. Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: *in vivo* veritas. J Clin Invest. (2012) 122:787–95. doi: 10.1172/JCI59643

80. Bancaro N, Cali B, Troiani M, Elia AR, Arzola RA, Attanasio G, et al. Apolipoprotein E induces pathogenic senescent-like myeloid cells in prostate cancer. *Cancer Cell.* (2023) 41:602–19 e11. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2023.02.004

81. Correale C, Genua M, Vetrano S, Mazzini E, Martinoli C, Spinelli A, et al. Bacterial sensor triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-2 regulates the mucosal inflammatory response. *Gastroenterology.* (2013) 144:346–56 e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.10.040