Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lushan Xiao, Southern Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Hongbo Zhu, University of South China, China Zike Yang, Xiamen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fang Wen 6479512@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 09 November 2024 ACCEPTED 03 December 2024 PUBLISHED 17 December 2024

CITATION

Su Y, Luo P, Ni L, Hu J, Weng J, Shen E, Zhou Q, Chen T, Xiao J, Xiao J, Xie W, Shan R, Yao X and Wen F (2024) The clinical value of local consolidative therapy for oligoresidual disease in PD-1/PD-11 inhibitorstreated non-small cell lung cancer. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1525236. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1525236

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Su, Luo, Ni, Hu, Weng, Shen, Zhou, Chen, Xiao, Xiao, Xie, Shan, Yao and Wen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The clinical value of local consolidative therapy for oligoresidual disease in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors-treated non-small cell lung cancer

Yuqi Su^{1†}, Pan Luo^{1†}, Ling Ni¹, Jianbin Hu¹, Jie Weng¹, Erdong Shen¹, Qiang Zhou¹, Tao Chen², Jiwen Xiao³, Jia Xiao¹, Wangti Xie¹, Rong Shan¹, Xiang Yao¹ and Fang Wen^{1*}

¹Department of Oncology, Yueyang Central Hospital, Yueyang, Hunan, China, ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Yueyang Central Hospital, Yueyang, Hunan, China, ³Department of Oncology, Hunan University of Medicine General Hosipital, Huaihua, Hunan, China

Background: Few real-world studies exist regarding the clinical value of local consolidative therapy (LCT) for oligo-residual disease (ORD) in NSCLC patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Therefore, we retrospectively evaluated whether LCT could improve the prognosis of NSCL patients with ORD after effective first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment.

Methods: A total of 132 patients with metastatic NSCLC who had received firstline PD-1/PD-L1inhibitors-based systemic treatment and developed ORD (defined as residual tumors limited to three organs and five lesions) were included. The LCT group consisted of 41 patients received LCTs for oligoresidual lesions before treatment failure, and the remaining 91 patients, who did not receive local therapies, constituted the non-LCT group. The progressionfree survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of the two groups were analyzed.

Results: With a median follow-up of 12.0 months, 86 patients developed progressive disease and 42 patients died. Compared with the non-LCT group, LCT group exhibited significant longer progression-free survival (PFS) (median 11.0 vs. 7.0 months, P=0.017) and overall survival (OS) (median 26.0 vs. 17.0 months, P=0.003). Multivariable analysis demonstrated that LCT was an independent predictor of prolonged PFS (HR=0.606, 95% CI=0.370-0.964, P=0.035) and OS (HR=0.467, 95% CI=0.229-0.949, P=0.035). Subgroup analysis revealed that the dominant population considerably benefited from LCT in terms of PFS and OS included patients with 1-2 residual tumor sites (mPFS: 11.0 vs. 7.0 months, P=0.013; mOS: 23.0 vs. 17.0 months, P=0.018) and those with high PD-L1 expression (mPFS: 13.0 vs. 7.0 months, P=0.018; mOS: 34.0 vs. 16.0 months, P=0.030). In addition, the All-LCT group had significantly longer PFS (mPFS 16.0 vs. 7.0, P=0.002) and OS (mOS 28.0 vs. 17.0, P= 0.002) than did the non-LCT group. However, patients who received LCT to only some of their lesions had not experienced improvements in PFS (P=0.546) or OS (P=0.198).

Conclusion: LCT may provide extra survival benefits among patients with oligoresidual NSCLC after effective first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment, particularly in those patients with one or two residual lesions, high PD-L1 expression, or who had received LCT for all lesions. LCT may be a novel treatment option for this specific population.

KEYWORDS

non-small cell lung cancer, local consolidative therapy, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, oligoresidual disease, immune checkpoint inhibitors

1 Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common malignancy, and its annual morbidity and mortality rates continue to increase. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for more than 80% of lung cancers, and approximately 50% of NSCLC patients present with distant metastases at diagnosis (1, 2). Advanced NSCLC patients who lack active anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) or epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) genetic mutations are considered to have a poor prognosis. However, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, which target the programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) or programmed death-1 (PD-1) axis, have recently dramatically changed the treatment scenario for these patients (3-5). Several pivotal trials have demonstrated that PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors (involving either monotherapy or combination therapy) improve the clinical efficacy of first-line treatment for advanced NSCLC patients without ALK or EGFR mutations (6–8), especially for those with PD-L1 expression $\geq 50\%$ (9, 10). However, the development of resistance to monotherapy remains the main obstacle to achieving long-term survival in advanced NSCLC patients.

Local consolidative therapy (LCT) has been defined as any palliative or radical local therapy directed at the primary site of disease or any site of metastasis. LCTs for lung cancer include surgical resection, definitive radiotherapy and ablation. The clinical role of LCT in advanced NSCLC has been extensively studied. Many studies have shown that LCT can improve treatment outcomes for select patients with advanced lung cancer (11-13). In 2017, an analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database suggested that multimodality therapy, particularly involving combined thoracic surgery and chemotherapy, can dramatically improve the prognosis of patients with stage IV NSCLC (14). A multicenter randomized trial led by the MD Anderson Cancer Center showed that LCT improved PFS and OS compared with systemic maintenance treatment (15). Thoracic or hepatic ablation, including microwave ablation (MWA) and radiofrequency ablation, has been shown to improve the prognosis of metastatic NSCLC (16-18) and is thought to be as effective as surgery in early-stage NSCLC (19-21). Surgical interventions at the primary or metastatic site of NSCLC provide remarkable benefits when combined with standard systemic treatment for advanced NSCLC patients (22–24). SBRT (stereotactic body radiation therapy) relieves clinical symptoms and effectively controls locally progressing lesions in advanced NSCLC patients (25). However, whether SBRT improves the prognosis of advanced NSCLC has been controversial. Some studies have demonstrated no significant improvement in the treatment response or prognosis resulting from the addition of SBRT to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in advanced NSCLC patients compared with the use of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors alone (26, 27).

The concept of the oligo-residual disease (ORD) state is inspired by the oligo-metastatic paradigm, which is different from widely used methods for treating metastatic disease. Oligo-residual sites may be the main progressive lesions when patients are resistant to systematic treatment (28). Limited research has shown that LCT can improve local control and increase PFS when it is administered for oligo-residual disease in advanced NSCLC patients receiving TKIs (29). However, the outcome of the real-world application of LCT in immune checkpoint inhibitors-treated NSCLC patients with oligo-residual disease has been rarely reported. Herein, we conducted a retrospective cohort study to investigate whether LCT combined with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor is a promising firstline strategy for oligo-residual advanced NSCLC.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patients

Metastatic NSCLC patients without EGFR or ALK genetic mutations who received first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors monotherapy or its combination treatment at the Yueyang Center Hospital and Hunan University of Medicine General Hosipital between March 2019 and February 2024 were retrospectively reviewed. ORD was defined as the presence of 1-5 residual lesions (including the primary site, cranial or lymph node metastases were counted per lesion) limited to 1-3 organs at the best response of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment, according to the consensus of oligometastatic disease (30). Lesions that had completely

disappeared on computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were excluded from the definition of residual disease. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with a pathological diagnosis; 2) patients who received first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors monotherapy or its combination with other therapies; 3) patients with an oligo-residual disease status that occurred during the PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment period; 4) patients with tumors that were evaluated via brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and chest and abdomen enhanced CT scan or 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (18F-FDG-PET-CT) at the time of definitive ORD determination or initial staging; and 5) patients who were ≥18-years-old and who had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (PS) score<2. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with a history of other malignancies; 2) patients with oligo-metastatic disease at baseline; 3) patients with pleural metastasis or pericardial effusion; 4) patients who received LCT within three weeks of or before initiating PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors therapy; and 5) patients with PFS ≤ 2 months. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was ethically approved by the institutional review boards of the two medical centers (approval number: 2022-049).

2.2 Treatment and follow-up

The decision to use PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors as monotherapy or in combination with other therapies was based on physician recommendations and patient preferences. PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were administered every 3 weeks until the onset of intolerable toxicity or disease progression (up to 24 months) and mainly included tislelizumab (200 mg/cycle), camrelizumab (200 mg/cycle), sintilimab (200 mg/cycle), pembrolizumab (200 mg/cycle) and atezolizumab (1,200 mg/cycle). LCT, including hypofractionated or conventional radiotherapy, surgery and other local ablative therapies, was performed on some or all of the oligo-residual primary or metastatic sites after effective systemic treatment and before initial disease progression, according to the advice of the multidisciplinary team and with patient consent. Chest and abdominal enhanced CT scans were performed every 6 weeks for therapeutic response evaluation. Enhanced brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed every 6 weeks if there was evidence of brain metastases and approximately every 4 months if there was no baseline brain lesion and no symptoms thereafter. Serial images of the patients were reviewed by a senior radiologist. Telephone calls were also used to obtain medical records, and the cut-off date was 10 July 2024.

2.3 Outcomes and statistical analysis

Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time interval from diagnosis to the date of last follow-up or death. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from treatment initiation to treatment and disease progression (according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours [RECIST], version 1.1) or censoring if there was no disease progression or death; moreover, patients without disease progression at the end of the study were recorded as censored.

Statistical analyses were performed via SPSS 23.0 software. PFS and OS were estimated via the Kaplan-Meier method, and survival curves were compared via log-rank tests. GraphPad Prism 8.0 was used to plot survival curves. Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were used to evaluate prognostic factors affecting PFS and OS and to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) for OS and PFS. P value less than 0.05 (two-sided) was considered to be statistically significant in this study.

3 Results

3.1 Patient characteristics

A total of 132 patients, consisting of 71 males and 61 females, were included in our study (Table 1). Ninety-one patients received only systemic therapy (non-LCT group), whereas the other 41 patients received local consolidative therapy at the best response after effective systemic treatment (LCT group, detailed in Table 2). Local ablative therapy was administered to the small-sized lung (18 pts) and liver (6 pts) lesions. Hypofractionated radiotherapy (>2 Gy to <5Gy per fraction) was more frequently used for the treatment of bone (5 pts) and intracranial lesions (3 pts), whereas stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT, \geq 5 Gy per fraction) was mainly used for patients with small intracranial (8 pts) or lung lesions (9 pts). Surgical therapy was mainly performed for solitary metastatic lesion (3 pts) or primary lung lesion (2 pts). LCT was performed at all sites of residual disease (complete LCT, including the primary tumor and all metastases) in 36.6% (15/41) of the patients in our study cohort. Among these 132 patients, 51 (38.6%) had high PD-L1 expression $(\geq 50\%)$, whereas 33 (25.0%) had low PD-L1 expression (<50%). For the remaining 48 (36.4%) patients, data on PD-L1 expression status were not available, or PD-L1 testing was not performed.

3.2 Survival outcomes of the overall population

After a median follow-up time of 12.0 months (95% confidence interval [CI]=9.98-14.02), 46 patients did not progress, whereas 42 deaths were recorded (31.82%). Treatment-related adverse events (AEs) in the two groups were not analyzed because of incomplete documentation. However, no grade ≥ 3 local treatment-related adverse events were documented in this study. The median progression-free survival (mPFS) and median overall survival (mOS) times for the entire cohort were 8.0 months (95% CI=6.72-9.28) and 20.0 months (95% CI=16.97-23.03), respectively. Prognostic indicators affecting PFS and OS were identified via univariate (Supplementary Tables 1, 2) and multivariate (Tables 3, 4) Cox regression analyses. According to the results of the multivariate analysis, LCT was an independent factor associated with longer PFS (HR=0.606, 95% CI=0.370-0.964, P=0.035) and OS (HR=0.467, 95% CI=0.229-0.949, P=0.035). LCT led to a 39.4% reduction in the risk of disease progression or death and a 53.3% reduction in the risk of death

TABLE 1 Patients baseline characteristics.

values	No.(%)	LCT group	non-LCT group	P value*
Age (years)				0.258
≤55	74(56.06%)	20	54	
>55	58(43.94%)	21	37	
Gender				0.810
Male	71(53.79%)	14	57	
Female	61(46.21%)	27	34	
Smoking				0.304
Yes	57(43.18%)	15	42	
No	75(56.82%)	26	49	
Pathology				0.319
Squamous cell carcinoma	60(45.45%)	16	44	
Non-squamous carcinoma	72(54.55%)	25	47	
Regimens				0.818
Combinational therapy	56(42.42%)	18	38	
Monotherapy	76(57.58%)	23	53	
ICIs [†]				0.869
PD-L1 monoclonal antibody	31(23.48%)	10	21	
PD-1 monoclonal antibody	101(76.52%)	31	70	
Number of residual sites				0.442
1-2	72(54.55%)	24	48	
3-5	60(45.45%)	16	43	
Number of metastatic organs				0.741
1-2	68(51.52%)	22	46	
>2	64(48.48%)	19	45	
Brain metastasis				0.993
Yes	87(65.91%)	27	60	
No	45(34.09%)	14	31	
PD-L1 TPS (%)				0.814
Low+unknown	84(63.64%)	28	56	
≥50%	48(36.36%)	16	35	

*Chi-square test; [†]Immune checkpoint inhibitors.

foroligo-residual NSCLC patients following effective first-line PD-1/ PD-L1 inhibitors treatment.

3.3 Prognosis differences between the LCT and non-LCT groups

At the last follow-up, 14 (36.15%) and 28 (30.77%) patients had died in the LCT and non-LCT groups, respectively. The Kaplan

-Meier curves for the two groups are shown in Figure 1. The mPFS in the LCT group (11.0 months, 95% CI 8.86–13.14) was longer than that in the non-LCT group (7.0 months, 95% CI 6.31–7.69) (P=0.017) (Figure 1A). Similarly, the mOS was 26.0 months (95% CI 17.46–34.54) in the LCT group, which was longer than the 17.0 months (95% CI 14.68–19.32) in the non-LCT group (P=0.003) (Figure 1B). Compared with the non-LCT group, the LCT group clearly exhibited a longer time to disease progression and a better prognosis.

TABLE 2 Detailed information of local consolidative therapy of patients with ORD.

	All (n = 41) n%*					
Radiotherapy						
Conventionally fractionated external-beam radiation						
Spinal cord metastasis	1(2.4%)					
Adrenal gland metastasis	2(4.9%)					
Lymphatic metastasis	3(7.3%)					
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	2(4.9%)					
Stereotactic body radiotherapy						
Intracranial metastasis	8(19.5%)					
Bone metastasis	3(7.3%)					
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	9(22.0%)					
Hypofractionated radiotherapy						
Intracranial metastasis	3(7.3%)					
Bone metastasis	5(12.2%)					
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	1(2.4%)					
Surgical	resection					
Intracranial metastasis1(2.4%)						
Lymphatic metastasis	1(2.4%)					
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	2(4.9%)					
Adrenal gland metastasis	1(2.4%)					
Abla	ition					
Microwave ablation						
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	6(14.6%)					
Liver metastasis	2(4.9%)					
Radiofrequency ablation						
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	3(7.3%)					
Liver metastasis	4(9.8%)					
Cryoablation						
Primary or metastatic lung lesion	9(22.0%)					

ORD, oligo-residual disease.

Subgroup analysis was performed for patients with different PD-L1 levels (Figure 2) or numbers of residual lesions (Figure 3). LCT significantly prolonged PFS (mPFS: 13.0 vs. 7.0 months, 95% CI 5.78–20.22 vs. 6.24–7.76; P=0.018) and OS (mOS: 34.0 vs. 16.0 months, 95% CI 26.0–NA vs. 11.62–20.38; P=0.030) in patients with high PD-L1 expression (Figures 2A, B), whereas patients with low (PFS: P=0.661, OS: P=0.167) (Figures 2C, D) PD-L1 expression did not receive any prognostic benefit from LCT. Furthermore, only patients with 1–2 residual lesions benefited from LCT for PFS (mPFS: 11.0 vs. 7.0 months, 95% CI 8.70–13.30 vs. 6.17–7.83; P=0.013) (Figure 3A) and OS (mOS: 23.0 vs. 17.0 months, 95% CI 14.29–31.71 vs. 14.44–19.56; P=0.018) (Figure 3B). However,

TABLE 3 Multivariate analysis for PFS.

	HR	95% CI	P value
Brain metastasis (yes vs. no)	1.582	0.969-2.584	0.067
Number of metastatic organs (1-2 vs. >2)	1.390	0.898-2.153	0.140
Local consolidative therapy (yes vs. no)	0.606	0.370-0.964	0.035

TABLE 4 Multivariate analysis for OS.

	HR	95% CI	P value
Age (≤55 vs. >55)	0.447	0.220-0.910	0.026
Number of metastatic organs (1-2 vs. >2)	1.884	0.958-3.705	0.067
Number of residual sites (1-2 vs.3 -5)	1.380	0.713-2.672	0.339
Local consolidative therapy (yes vs. no)	0.467	0.229-0.949	0.035

LCT did not improve the prognosis in the subgroup with 3–5 oligoresidual lesions (PFS: P=0.588; OS: P=0.076) (Figures 3C, D).

3.4 Differences in prognosis between the all-LCT and part-LCT groups

In the LCT group, 15 patients received complete local consolidative therapy to all of the residual sites (all-LCT group), including both the primary tumor and all of the metastatic lesions, whereas the other 26 patients received LCT to only part of the lesions (part-LCT). PFS in the all-LCT group (mPFS 16.0 months, 95% CI 11.13–20.88) was significantly longer than that in the part-LCT group (mPFS 8.0 months, 95% CI 6.04–9.96; P=0.002) (Figure 4A). Patients in the all-LCT group (mOS: 28.0 months, 95% CI 25.25–30.75) exhibited a longer OS time than those in the part-LCT group (mOS: 20.0 months, 95% CI 14.10–25.90; P=0.019) (Figure 4B).

In addition, the all-LCT group had significantly longer PFS (mPFS 16.0 vs. 7.0 months, 95% CI 11.13–20.88 vs. 6.31–7.69; P=0.002) (Figure 4A) and OS (mOS 28.0 vs. 17.0 months, 95% CI 25.25–30.75; P=0.002) (Figure 4B) than did the non-LCT group. However, patients who received LCT to only some of the lesions did not exhibit improved PFS (P=0.546) (Figure 4A) or OS (P=0.198) (Figure 4B).

4 Discussion

LCT, which plays a critical role in preventing local symptoms and complications associated with tumor growth, is widely used in patients with metastatic NSCLC after effective systemic therapy. The role of consolidative radiotherapy in immune checkpoint inhibitors-treated NSCLC patients with ORD demands thorough investigation. To the best of our knowledge, this retrospective study is the first to investigate the real-world use and outcome of LCT for oligo-residual NSCLC patients at the best response of first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment. We are the first to found that survival benefit of LCT appears to occur mainly in patients with fewer



residual lesions, high PD-L1 expression, or receive LCT for all residual diseases. This provides a novel treatment option that should be considered for this subset of patients.

Currently, the survival benefit of local therapy combined with targeted or chemotherapy in patients with oligometastatic NSCLC has been confirmed by many studies, including randomized clinical trials and retrospective studies (15, 23, 25). Previous treatment with radiotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC results in longer PFS and OS with pembrolizumab treatment than in patients who have not received prior radiotherapy (**31**). Pembrolizumab after LAT for oligometastatic NSCLC appears to prolong PFS from 7.1 months (as reported in the KEYNOTE-042) to 19.1 months (**32**, **33**). In addition, the PACIFIC trial partially confirmed the survival benefit of immunotherapy combined with radiotherapy in patients with stage III NSCLC. Recently, a randomized phase 2 trial examining the use of SABR alone compared with SABR with

immunotherapy (I-SABR) for people with early-stage NSCLC demonstrated that LCT combined with immunotherapy is more favorable for improving the prognosis of early-stage NCSCLC patients (34). Local therapy for oligo-residual lesions has been widely used to relieve clinical symptoms in metastatic NSCLC. However, clinical data on the prognostic value of LCT in patients with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors-treated oligo-residual NSCLC are currently scarce and should be further explored in the era of immunotherapy. Moreover, there is a growing need for an appropriate combination strategy to overcome acquired resistance in NSCLC patients receiving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor treatment.

Oligo-residual disease is observed in approximately 20% of patients receiving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor or targeted therapy (29, 35). Approximately half of patients experience initial progressive disease (PD) exclusively from residual tumor lesions after receiving targeted or PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapies for NSCLC (35, 36).





Substantial genetic mutations and immune microenvironmental heterogeneities exist among metastatic NSCLC lesions (37), which may lead to different drug sensitivities among tumor lesions. These drug-resistant lesions may become residual disease and sources of PD. Additionally, some lesions may acquire resistance to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors and develop to disease progression ultimately. Local consolidative therapy targeting residual lesions after effective systemic treatment before disease progression is very important for preventing disease progression. Previous studies have demonstrated that LCT can improve local control and significantly prolong PFS in osimertinib-treated NSCLC patients with oligo-residual lesions (29). Our study confirmed the findings in a recent study that LCT appears to offer additional survival benefits for patients with metastatic NSCLC who develop oligo-residual disease following effective immunotherapy (35). Furthermore, we are the first to verify the clinic value of LCT in patients with ORD during first-line PD-1/PD-

L1 inhibitor treatment. Compared with systemic treatment alone, LCT combined with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor-based first-line treatment led to significant improvements in median PFS (from 7 to 11 months) and median OS (from 17 to 26 months), corresponding to a 39.4% reduction in the risk of recurrence and a 53.3% reduction in the risk of death. Our data (combined with those of previous studies) proved that LCT of residual lesions may be an appropriate combination strategy for overcoming acquired resistance in immunotherapy-treated NSCLC patients.

To better understand the effect of LCT on survival, subgroup analyses based on the number of residual sites or PD-L1 level were performed. Our study is the first to demonstrate that LCT following effective first-line PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment improved PFS and OS mainly in NSCLC patients with 1–2 residual sites or high PD-L1 expression. This may help to identify beneficial populations for LCT. In this study, LCT improved mPFS by approximately 4 months



07

and improved mOS by 6 months for patients with 1–2 residual lesions, but no significant benefit was observed for patients with more than 3 residual lesions. Therefore, LCT should not be widely recommended for patients with more than 3 residual sites after PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor treatment, except for symptomatic relief.

A considerable body of literature has demonstrated the interaction between LCT and immunotherapy (14, 34). Radiotherapy (especially SBRT) is considered as being able to enhance the response to immunotherapy through several mechanisms, such as via the regulation of CD8+ T cells (34, 38). Residual disease after PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy may be resistant to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy, and LCT of residual disease may release tumor antigens from dead cells, which may resensitize residual disease to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor by activating natural killer cells or CD8+ T cells. In our study, the survival benefit was mainly observed in patients with high PD-L1 expression. A possible explanation for this effect is that diseases with high PD-L1 expression are resensitized to immunotherapy by the strong immune stimulation resulting from LCT.

Many studies have demonstrated the feasibility and potential clinical value of local consolidative therapy combined with systemic therapy in treating metastatic NSCLC. Consolidative therapy to all metastatic sites has been shown to be a feasible option for patients with oligometastatic NSCLC during first-line targeted treatment, with significantly improved PFS and OS compared with LCT to partial sites or targeted treatment alone (39). In addition, another study showed that LCT to all lesions could significantly reduce the risk of disease progression and death compared with non-LCT, however, LCT to partial metastatic lesions failed to improve PFS and OS compared with non-LCT (40). To the best of our knowledge, most clinical trials have only included patients who received LCT for all metastatic lesions. Therefore, whether patients who receive LCT for only some lesions can exhibit improved survival time remains controversial, especially in the era of immunotherapy. Herein, our study demonstrates for the first time that there is no survival benefit for oligo-residual NSCLC patients who receive LCT to only some of the lesions after PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors treatment.

This study had several limitations. First, this was a single-center study with a relatively small sample size and a retrospective design, which may have caused considerable selection bias in our study. Although the main clinical characteristics between the LCT group and the non-LCT group were generally balanced, some important baseline parameters were not included. In addition, it was difficult for us to collect accurate and comprehensive clinical information on the safety profiles of the two groups because they were not documented in detail. A larger prospective study with strict enrolment criteria is imperative to confirm these preliminary but valuable findings that were provided in our study and to further evaluate the safety and quality of life of LCT in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors-treated NSCLC patients with ORD.

In conclusion, LCT appears to provide additional survival benefits for NSCLC patients with ORD after effective PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors-based therapy, especially for patients with 1–2 residual lesions, high PD-L1 expression or who receive LCT for all residual diseases. Prospective studies with more rigorous designs and larger sample sizes are needed for further validation.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Yueyang Central Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

YS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. PL: Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. LN: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. JH: Data curation, Writing - review & editing. JW: Project administration, Writing - review & editing. ES: Data curation, Writing - review & editing. QZ: Data curation, Writing - review & editing. TC: Data curation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. JWX: Data curation, Resources, Validation, Writing - review & editing. JX: Data curation, Writing - review & editing. WX: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. RS: Data curation, Writing - review & editing. XY: Data curation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. FW: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Project of Yueyang Central Hospital (Grant No. YYSZXYN2022046) and the Clinical Medical Technology Innovation Guidance Project of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2021SK52804).

Acknowledgments

All of the authors are grateful for the support that they received and the contributions that all parties made in writing this paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Testa U, Castelli G, Pelosi E. Lung cancers: molecular characterization, clonal heterogeneity and evolution, and cancer stem cells. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2018) 10(8):248. doi: 10.3390/cancers10080248

2. Walters S, Maringe C, Coleman MP, Peake MD, Butler J, Young N, et al. Lung cancer survival and stage at diagnosis in Australia, Canada, Denmark, Norway, Sweden and the UK: a population-based study, 2004-2007. *Thorax.* (2013) 68:551–64. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-202297

3. Shen X, Huang S, Xiao H, Zeng S, Liu J, Ran Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of PD-1/PD-L1 plus CTLA-4 antibodies \pm other therapies in lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Hosp Pharm*. (2023) 30:3–8. doi: 10.1136/ejhpharm-2021-002803

4. Zhang D, Huang J, Zhang C, Guan Y, Guo Q. Progress on PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitors in lung cancer. *Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi.* (2019) 22:369–79. doi: 10.3779/j.issn.1009-3419.2019.06.07

5. Zhou F, Qiao M, Zhou C. The cutting-edge progress of immune-checkpoint blockade in lung cancer. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2021) 18:279–93. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-00577-5

6. Sequeira T, Almodovar MT. Immunotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: a review. *Port J Card Thorac Vasc Surg.* (2023) 30:55–65. doi: 10.48729/pjctvs.371

7. Paz-Ares I, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Robinson A, Soto Parra H, Mazières J, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in patients with metastatic squamous NSCLC: protocol-specified final analysis of KEYNOTE-407. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2020) 15:1657–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2020.06.015

8. Gadgeel S, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Speranza G, Esteban E, Felip E, Dómine M, et al. Updated analysis from KEYNOTE-189: pembrolizumab or placebo plus pemetrexed and platinum for previously untreated metastatic nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2020) 38:1505–17. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.03136

9. Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, Gettinger SN, Smith DC, McDermott DF, et al. Five-year survival and correlates among patients with advanced melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, or non-small cell lung cancer treated with nivolumab. *JAMA Oncol.* (2019) 5:1411–20. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.2187

10. Garon EB, Hellmann MD, Rizvi NA, Carcereny E, Leighl NB, Ahn MJ, et al. Five-year overall survival for patients with advanced non–Small-cell lung cancer treated with pembrolizumab: results from the phase I KEYNOTE-001 study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:2518–27. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.00934

11. Wu Y, Verma V, Liang F, Lin Q, Zhou Z, Wang Z, et al. Local consolidative therapy versus systemic therapy alone for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2022) 114:635–44. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2022.02.023

12. Elamin YY, Gomez DR, Antonoff MB, Robichaux JP, Tran H, Shorter MK, et al. Local consolidation therapy (LCT) after first line tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) for patients with EGFR mutant metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Clin Lung Cancer*. (2019) 20:43–7. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2018.09.015

13. Gomez DR, Tang C, Zhang J, Blumenschein GR Jr., Hernandez M, Lee JJ, et al. Local consolidative therapy vs. Maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a multi-institutional, phase II, randomized study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:1558–65. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.00201

14. Liu K, Zheng D, Xu G, Du Z, Wu S. Local thoracic therapy improve prognosis for stage IV non-small cell lung cancer patients combined with chemotherapy: A Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database analysis. *PloS One.* (2017) 12: e0187350. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0187350

15. Gomez DR, Blumenschein GRJr., Lee JJ, Hernandez M, Ye R, Camidge DR, et al. Local consolidative therapy versus maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer without progression after first-line systemic therapy: a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2016) 17:1672–82. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(16)30532-0

16. Ni Y, Ye X, Yang X, Huang G, Li W, Wang J, et al. Microwave ablation as local consolidative therapy for patients with extracranial oligometastatic EGFR-mutant non-

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1525236/ full#supplementary-material

small cell lung cancer without progression after first-line EGFR-TKIs treatment. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. (2020) 146:197-203. doi: 10.1007/s00432-019-03043-6

17. Yang Z, Lyu X, Yang H, Wang B, Xu D, Huo L, et al. Survival after radiofrequency ablation and/or chemotherapy for lung cancer and pulmonary metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1240149. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1240149

18. Ni Y, Liu B, Ye X, Fan W, Bi J, Yang X, et al. Local thermal ablation with continuous EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors for EGFR-mutant non-small cell lung cancers that developed extra-central nervous system (CNS) oligoprogressive disease. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* (2019) 42:693–9. doi: 10.1007/s00270-018-02153-x

19. Ji Z, Ni Y, He C, Huo B, Liu S, Ma Y, et al. Clinical outcomes of radioactive seed brachytherapy and microwave ablation in inoperable stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Am J Cancer Res.* (2023) 13:3753–62.

20. Ji Z, Huo B, Liu S, Liang Q, Xing C, Hu M, et al. Clinical outcome of CT-guided stereotactic ablative brachytherapy for unresectable early non-small cell lung cancer: A retrospective, multicenter study. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:706242. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2021.706242

21. Chan MV, Huo YR, Cao C, Ridley L. Survival outcomes for surgical resection versus CT-guided percutaneous ablation for stage I non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol.* (2021) 31:5421–33. doi: 10.1007/s00330-020-07634-7

22. Deboever N, Mitchell KG, Farooqi A, Ludmir EB, Hofstetter WL, Mehran RJ, et al. Perioperative and oncologic outcomes of pulmonary resection for synchronous oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer: Evidence for surgery in advanced disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2024) 167:1929–1935.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2023.08.024

23. Mitchell KG, Farooqi A, Ludmir EB, Corsini EM, Sepesi B, Gomez DR, et al. Pulmonary resection is associated with long-term survival and should remain a therapeutic option in oligometastatic lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2021) 161:1497–1504.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2020.02.134

24. Bertolaccini L, Pardolesi A, Forti Parri SN, Bonfanti B, Brandolini J, Solli P. Surgical approaches in patients with oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Dis.* (2018) 10:498–502. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.11.135

25. Ramadan S, Quan K, Schnarr K, Juergens RA, Hotte SJ, Mukherjee SD, et al. Impact of stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) in oligoprogressive metastatic disease. *Acta Oncol.* (2022) 61:705–13. doi: 10.1080/0284186x.2022.2063067

26. Ye H, Pang H, Shi X, Ren P, Huang S, Yu H, et al. Nivolumab and hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients with advanced lung cancer: ABSCOPAL-1 clinical trial. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:657024. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.657024

27. Wang BC, Kuang BH, Lin GH. Immunotherapy alone or in combination with stereotactic body radiotherapy in advanced lung cancer: A pooled analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Oncol.* (2022) 2022:7506300. doi: 10.1155/2022/7506300

28. Miyawaki T, Kenmotsu H, Kodama H, Nishioka N, Miyawaki E, Mamesaya N, et al. Association between oligo-residual disease and patterns of failure during EGFR-TKI treatment in EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer: a retrospective study. *BMC Cancer.* (2021) 21:1247. doi: 10.1186/s12885-021-08983-2

29. Zeng Y, Ni J, Yu F, Zhou Y, Zhao Y, Li S, et al. The value of local consolidative therapy in Osimertinib-treated non-small cell lung cancer with oligo-residual disease. *Radiat Oncol.* (2020) 15:207. doi: 10.1186/s13014-020-01651-y

30. Dingemans AC, Hendriks LEL, Berghmans T, Levy A, Hasan B, Faivre-Finn C, et al. Definition of synchronous oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer-A consensus report. J Thorac Oncol. (2019) 14:2109–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.07.025

31. Shaverdian N, Lisberg AE, Bornazyan K, Veruttipong D, Goldman JW, Formenti SC, et al. Previous radiotherapy and the clinical activity and toxicity of pembrolizumab in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer: a secondary analysis of the KEYNOTE-001 phase 1 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2017) 18:895–903. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(17)30380-7

32. Bauml JM, Mick R, Ciunci C, Aggarwal C, Davis C, Evans T, et al. Pembrolizumab after completion of locally ablative therapy for oligometastatic non-

small cell lung cancer: A phase 2 trial. JAMA Oncol. (2019) 5:1283–90. doi: 10.1001/ jamaoncol.2019.1449

33. Mok TSK, Wu YL, Kudaba I, Kowalski DM, Cho BC, Turna HZ, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for previously untreated, PD-L1-expressing, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-042): a randomised, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2019) 393:1819–30. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32409-7

34. Chang JY, Lin SH, Dong W, Liao Z, Gandhi SJ, Gay CM, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy with or without immunotherapy for early-stage or isolated lung parenchymal recurrent node-negative non-small-cell lung cancer: an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* (2023) 402:871–81. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(23)01384-3

35. Zhang J, Gao J, Jiang S, Mao J, Chu L, Chu X, et al. Oligo-residual disease in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor-treated metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: incidence, pattern of failure, and clinical value of local consolidative therapy. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2024) 73:140. doi: 10.1007/s00262-024-03720-7

36. Guo T, Ni J, Yang X, Li Y, Li Y, Zou L, et al. Pattern of recurrence analysis in metastatic EGFR-mutant NSCLC treated with osimertinib: implications for

consolidative stereotactic body radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. (2020) 107:62–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2019.12.042

37. Zhang Y, Chang L, Yang Y, Fang W, Guan Y, Wu A, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity comparison among different subtypes of non-small-cell lung cancer through multi-region tissue and matched ctDNA sequencing. *Mol Cancer*. (2019) 18:7. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-0939-9

38. Vanpouille-Box C, Alard A, Aryankalayil MJ, Sarfraz Y, Diamond JM, Schneider RJ, et al. DNA exonuclease Trex1 regulates radiotherapy-induced tumour immunogenicity. *Nat Commun.* (2017) 8:15618. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15618

39. Xu Q, Zhou F, Liu H, Jiang T, Li X, Xu Y, et al. Consolidative local ablative therapy improves the survival of patients with synchronous oligometastatic NSCLC harboring EGFR activating mutation treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2018) 13:1383–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2018.05.019

40. Chen Y, Wang Y, Yang Z, Hu M, Lu J, Zhang Y, et al. Local consolidative therapy for synchronous oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer treated with first-line pembrolizumab: A retrospective observational study. *Thorac Cancer*. (2022) 13:732–41. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.14312