Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Peter Brossart, University of Bonn, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Run Shi Mshirun0122@gmail.com

RECEIVED 23 November 2024 ACCEPTED 29 November 2024 PUBLISHED 11 December 2024

CITATION

Li J, Wang X and Shi R (2024) Editorial: Spotlighting the interaction network of hub genes, molecules, and cells in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) and their contribution to malignant progression. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1533290. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1533290

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Wang and Shi. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Spotlighting the interaction network of hub genes, molecules, and cells in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) and their contribution to malignant progression

Jianpeng Li¹, Xuanbin Wang² and Run Shi^{3*}

¹Department of Cardiology, Taizhou Second People's Hospital, The Affiliated Taizhou Second People's Hospital of Yangzhou University, Taizhou, China, ²Laboratory of Chinese Herbal Pharmacology, Department of Pharmacy, Renmin Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan, China, ³Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

KEYWORDS

tumor microenvionment, molecular subtyping, machine learning, precise medicine, cancer progression

Editorial on the Research Topic

Spotlighting the interaction network of hub genes, molecules, and cells in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) and their contribution to malignant progression

The tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) is a complex and dynamic network that comprises diverse elements, including various cell types, extracellular matrix components, and secreted molecules. These components interact with each other and deeply influence malignant phenotypes and therapeutic responses (1). For example, cancer cells can evade each step of the cancer immunity cycle by interacting with various immune cells (2). They can induce the recruitment of immunosuppressive cells, such as regulatory T cells (Tregs) and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which could inhibit the activation and function of cytotoxic cells like T cells and natural killer (NK) cells (3). Furthermore, some tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) can develop into a pro-tumor and immunosuppressive phenotype in response to tumor-derived signals (4). Besides, TIME has an impact on genomic instability and angiogenesis, and these alterations can jointly attenuate the therapeutic efficacy, especially immunotherapy for cancer (5). Therefore, we established the Research Topic to encourage researchers to focus on and further investigate the complex interactions within the TIME and their implications for cancer progression and treatments.

The Research Topic includes seven original articles and three reviews with a wide range of diverse cancer types. Three original articles focused on the tumor microenvironment (TME) and relevant molecules in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) from different perspectives. Gong et al. identified distinct molecular subtypes associated with

neutrophils in HCC, exhibiting significant differences in prognosis, clinical pathological characteristics, inflammation-related pathways, and immune-related features. Furthermore, the authors constructed a neutrophil-derived signature (NDS) to predict overall survival and efficacy of immunotherapy and chemotherapy for HCC patients using machine learning approaches. Additionally, Xu et al. reported two distinct m⁶A modification patterns based on the 23 m⁶A regulators, and the two m⁶A subtypes correlate with different clinical outcomes and biological features. Subsequently, they developed an m⁶A risk score model to improve survival prediction and estimation of drug responses for HCC patients. Moreover, Ouyang et al. conducted a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis to evaluate both the expression and mutation patterns of PANoptosis-related genes (PRGs) in HCC, and a PANoptosis risk model was constructed to offer a precise prediction of clinical outcomes and therapeutic sensitivity for HCC patients. The authors then performed experiments to validate the expression profiles and biological functions of their identified hub genes involved in the PANoptosis-related gene signature.

Despite different malignancies (osteosarcoma and chronic myeloid leukemia), the studies of Wu et al. and Zhong et al. shared similar ideas in investigating TME and implications for cancer treatment. They focused on differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and then identified different clusters with distinct immunological properties based on their expression profiles. They also commonly performed LASSO regression analysis to screen for key biomarkers of diagnosis or prognosis. Furthermore, sensitive drugs for specific subtypes or high-risk populations were investigated for precise treatment.

As regards immunosuppression, Bi et al. found that high expression of CDKL3 in esophageal cancer (ESCA) was not only associated with poor prognosis but also negatively correlated with the abundance of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and anti-tumor immune response. These findings suggest CDKL3 as an immunosuppressive molecule in the TME of ESCA. The authors also reported that the knockdown of CDKL3 in ESCA cells could inhibit autophagy induction and M2 macrophage polarization. Hypoxic TME is also a critical factor in the progression and outcome of solid cancers (6). To explore its influence on tumor progression and therapy outcome, Zhang et al. utilized Lasso regression to analyze transcriptomic data of patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) and identified seven robust hypoxiaassociated genes. Based on these genes, the authors further established a novel prognostic score for CRC called the hypoxiarelated prognosis score (HPS), and they found that HPS is significantly related to different extracellular matrix compositions, various immune cell infiltration, and suppressive immune response. The two articles suggest that some immunosuppressive factors involved in TME play important roles in shaping tumor progression, therapeutic resistance, and patient outcomes in solid cancers. These findings highlight the complexity of the TME in modulating immune responses and reveal the potential of targeting these factors to improve the therapy efficacy.

Overall, all the above-mentioned seven original articles regarding the identification of distinct molecular subtypes, immune-regulatory genes or molecules, and prognostic biomarkers in diverse cancer types or subtypes offer valuable insights into tumor progression and therapeutic sensitivity, which shows a promising way for personalized treatment strategies for cancer patients.

Li et al. focused on plasmablastic lymphoma (PBL), a rare but aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma. They comprehensively summarized the current knowledge on the epidemiology, molecular profiles, clinical and pathological features, differential diagnosis, treatment strategies, prognostic factors, and potential novel therapeutic approaches in PBL patients. This review highlights the fact that, despite developments in treatment strategies such as intensive chemotherapy, targeted therapies, and immunotherapy, the prognosis of PBL remains poor. Therefore, there is an urgent need for further exploration of PBL's biological characteristics and the development of more effective targeted therapeutic approaches. Another review from Guo et al. summarized five cellular composition modules by integrating the cellular (sub)types, phenotypes, and functions in the TME of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). Furthermore, the authors pointed out that cross-module regulations are determinants of the immunosuppressive TME in PDAC, and highlighted TME-targeted strategies that potentially improve PDAC therapy. In addition, Gunes et al. reviewed the current knowledge of the expression of signaling lymphocytic activation molecule family (SLAMF) receptors in solid tumors and tumorinfiltrating immune cells and summarized their associations with patient outcomes. The authors also discussed the therapeutic potential of targeting SLAMF receptors to improve outcomes of cancer therapy in solid tumors. Thus, a better understanding of the interactions between SLAMF receptors and TME components may contribute to the development of interventions that can reprogram the TME into a more favorable environment to enhance the efficacy of cancer therapy such as immunotherapy.

In summary, these studies contributed by diverse authors in this Research Topic highlight the important roles of TIME in cancer progression and therapeutic resistance. We believe these findings could show a promising way for personalized strategy to reprogram the TIME for improving cancer management.

Author contributions

JL: Writing – original draft. XW: Writing – review & editing. RS: Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all the authors who contributed to this Research Topic.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Baghban R, Roshangar L, Jahanban-Esfahlan R, Seidi K, Ebrahimi-Kalan A, Jaymand M, et al. Tumor microenvironment complexity and therapeutic implications at a glance. *Cell Commun Signal.* (2020) 18:59. doi: 10.1186/s12964-020-0530-4

2. Chen DS, Mellman I. Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity. (2013) 39:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.012

3. Lindau D, Gielen P, Kroesen M, Wesseling P, Adema GJ. The immunosuppressive tumour network: myeloid-derived suppressor cells, regulatory T cells and natural killer T cells. *Immunology*. (2013) 138:105–15. doi: 10.1111/imm.2013.138.issue-2

4. Yang Q, Guo N, Zhou Y, Chen J, Wei Q, Han M. The role of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) in tumor progression and relevant advance in targeted therapy. *Acta Pharm Sin B*. (2020) 10:2156–70. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2020.04.004

5. Liu B, Zhou H, Tan L, Siu KTH, Guan XY. Exploring treatment options in cancer: Tumor treatment strategies. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. (2024) 9:175. doi: 10.1038/ s41392-024-01856-7

 Shi R, Sun J, Zhou H, Hu T, Gao Z, Wang X, et al. Hypoxia within tumor microenvironment characterizes distinct genomic patterns and aids molecular subtyping for guiding individualized immunotherapy. J Big Data. (2024) 11:81. doi: 10.1186/s40537-024-00945-2